1 Running head: Herbaria for understanding global change 2 3 The unrealized potential of herbaria for global change biology Emily K. Meineke<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Charles C. Davis<sup>1</sup>, T. Jonathan Davies<sup>2</sup> 4 5 6 <sup>1</sup>Department of Organismic and Evolutionary Biology, Harvard University Herbaria, 22 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA, USA 02138 7 8 <sup>2</sup>Department of Biology, McGill University, 1205 Dr. Penfield Avenue, Montreal, QC H3A 1B1, 9 CA 10 \*Emily K. Meineke, emily\_meineke@fas.harvard.edu, phone: (+01) 919-308-3267, 22 Divinity Avenue, Cambridge, MA, USA 02138 11 12 **Abstract** 13 14 Plant and fungal specimens in herbaria are becoming primary resources for investigating how 15 plant phenology and geographic distributions shift with climate change, greatly expanding 16 inferences across spatial, temporal, and phylogenetic dimensions. However, these specimens 17 contain a wealth of additional data—including nutrients, defensive compounds, herbivore 18 damage, disease lesions, and signatures of physiological processes—that capture ecological and 19 evolutionary responses to the Anthropocene but which are less frequently utilized. Here, we 20 outline the diversity of herbarium data, global change topics to which they have been applied, 21 and new hypotheses they could inform. We find that herbarium data have been used extensively 22 to study impacts of climate change and invasive species, but that such data are less commonly 23 used to address other drivers of biodiversity loss, including habitat conversion, pollution, and 24 overexploitation. In addition, we note that fungal specimens are under-explored relative to 25 vascular plants. To facilitate broader application of plant and fungal specimens in global change 26 research, we outline the limitations of these data and modern sampling and statistical tools that

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

may be applied to surmount challenges they present. Using a case study of insect herbivory, we illustrate how novel herbarium data may be employed to test hypotheses for which few data exist, despite potentially large biases. With the goal of positioning herbaria as hubs for global change research, we suggest future research directions and curation priorities. **Keywords:** climate change, invasive species, habitat conversion, extinction, museum specimens, historical data, herbarium, global change **INTRODUCTION** A key challenge for biologists today is to determine how species are responding to the major drivers of global change and biodiversity loss: habitat conversion and degradation, climate change, invasive species, pollution, and overexploitation (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005). Over the past decades, field observations and experiments have informed much of our understanding of biological responses to these major drivers, particularly climate change. However, like all scientific approaches, they have limitations. Experiments are almost always at smaller spatial scales than inferences (e.g., Pelini et al., 2011) and field observations are often restricted to temperate biomes (Wolkovich et al., 2012). Experiments and observations typically only allow researchers to test hypotheses about a single driver of global change, while organisms are generally exposed to many. Perhaps most critically, the majority of experiments and field observations are short-term. Experiments addressing global change are commonly conducted for a few years at most (e.g., Diamond et al., 2012), limited by grant timelines and funding cycles. Field observations are often designed to span latitude and altitude as a proxy for warming, relying on the assumption that patterns across space will represent future patterns across time

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

(e.g., Kozlov et al., 2013). While long-term field observations can span over a century in cases where people across generations collect the same observations, these data are rare and only available for a few phenomena (e.g., Keeling & Whorf 2005; Aono & Kazui 2008). Scientists have increasingly turned to biological collections to expand data across time, space, and taxonomy, thus better matching the scales at which recent global change is occurring (Pyke & Ehrlich 2010). In particular, herbarium specimens, preserved (often pressed) plants and fungi, have been the subject of a new wave of global change research. The potential of herbarium data for global change biology stems in large part from its temporal extent. Since the 1700s, scientists, including Linnaeus and Darwin, have collected herbarium specimens to describe new species, aid taxonomic classifications, and as part of regional floristic treatments (e.g., Moffett 2014). Although collecting has slowed in recent years in many localities (Meyer et al., 2016; e.g., Fig. 1a), scientists and amateurs continue to collect. In many parts of the world, such as the northeastern US, the density of sampling extends far beyond what is available from observations and experiments (Fig. 1b) and encompasses most lineages of vascular plants (Fig. 1c), fungi, diatoms, and groups variously classified as algae. Current estimates indicate that herbaria house over 350,000,000 specimens (Thiers 2016), representing increasingly connected national (e.g., the Consortia of US Herbaria, Chinese Virtual Herbarium [http://www.cvh.ac.cn/news/8]) and international networks of data (Fig. 1d; e.g., Australasian Virtual Herbarium [https://avh.chah.org.au/], iDigBio [https://www.idigbio.org/], and GBIF [https://www.gbif.org/]). Scientists today employ these specimens for purposes that could not have been imagined by their collectors. Evolutionary biologists extract and sequence ancient DNA from herbarium specimens to reconstruct phylogenetic relationships or infer population dynamics (Gugerli et al.,

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

2005; Wandeler et al., 2007) and have documented selection on species traits through changes in plant morphology (Kavanagh et al., 2011; Burns et al., 2012). More recently, ecologists routinely use herbarium specimens as occurrence records for determining species distributions (Graham et al., 2004; Newbold 2010) and as records of leafing, flowering, and fruiting phenology (Miller-Rushing et al., 2006; Primack et al., 2004; Bolmgren & Lonnberg 2005; Everill et al., 2014) to understand how these aspects of biology are influenced by rising global temperatures. The value of such data is now well recognized (Lavoie & Lachance 2006; Pyke & Ehrlich 2010; Johnson et al., 2011; Lavoie 2013; Vellend et al., 2013; Willis et al., 2017a). The unrealized potential of herbaria is perhaps most apparent in the opportunities presented by other types of data that are only rarely extracted from specimens but which provide opportunities to assess the effects of global change, including signatures of pollination efficiency, pollution concentrations, physiological characteristics, nutrient concentrations, pathogen loads, morphological and anatomical traits, genotypes, endophytes, and herbivory (Table 1). These could allow researchers to address hypotheses about species and ecosystem responses to global change, from shifts at the species level to changing environmental processes, including nutrient cycles, changes in air quality, and biological control (Table 1). Given the potential value of herbaria to global change research, it is perhaps surprising that, with the exception of their use as records of species in occurrences and phenology, they have not been more widely used. One explanation is that herbarium data present distinct challenges not present in data gathered from experiments and field observations. Some of these are associated with the vast and dispersed nature of herbarium collections. For example, selecting appropriate focal taxa is critical in any ecological or evolutionary study. While collections contain millions of specimens, they often lack easily accessible digital records. This

makes determining which taxa are well-represented within and across collections difficult. Other challenges arise as a result of biases; plants are more frequently collected at certain times of year to capture flowering or fruiting, and collection effort has been uneven across space and time (Meyer *et al.*, 2016; Daru *et al.*, *In Press*). Challenges also arise because of preservation artefacts; DNA degrades, specimens lose coloration over time, and insects often eat pressed plants housed within museums. Extracting credible data from collections presents significant and complex challenges, and, thus, much of their potential has remained untapped (Davis *et al.*, 2015; Meyer *et al.*, 2016).

In contrast to previous perspectives, which have highlighted the potential of herbaria to inform our understanding of species phenology and geographic distributions, particularly with regard to climate change (Lavoie & Lachance 2006; Primack *et al.*, 2004; Pyke & Ehrlich 2010; Johnson *et al.*, 2011; Lavoie 2013; Vellend *et al.*, 2013; Willis *et al.*, 2017a), here, we explore the broader applications of herbarium specimens to global change research. First, we consider less common applications to global change hypotheses, emphasizing novel methods. Next, we discuss current limitations of using herbarium collections for these purposes by identifying research gaps and challenges associated with collecting and analyzing herbarium data. To illustrate how some such challenges may be overcome, we present a case study focused on species interactions, an aspect of global change biology that is data-poor, but for which herbarium data may be suited despite biases in the underlying collections. Finally, we outline novel future research directions and suggest curation priorities with the goal of positioning herbaria as primary data repositories for ecological and evolutionary research on the effects of global change.

120

121

122

123

124

125

126

127

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

CONTRIBUTIONS OF HERBARIA TO GLOBAL CHANGE RESEARCH Interest in applying herbarium data for investigating global change has grown in recent decades. While climate change has been the focus of most studies, use of herbarium data is slowly permeating other areas of global change biology research. Here, we briefly review the ways in which these data have been employed to examine biological responses to the five key drivers of global change and biodiversity loss: climate change, habitat conversion and degradation, invasive species, and—where studies are available—pollution, and overexploitation. We categorize research addressing these drivers into three types of biotic responses: shifts in distributions and population sizes, changes in physiology or morphology, and altered ecological interactions. Within these categories, we consider each of the five key drivers of change ordered by how thoroughly they have been investigated. We highlight particular studies that we consider to be most innovative or that best represent a general approach and apologize in advance for not being able to cite all the many excellent publications that have used herbarium data and which have addressed a wide range of important and exciting questions. Shifts in distributions and population sizes Herbarium specimens typically include collection localities and therefore serve as occurrence records that are now widely used to parameterize species distribution models and to understand the effects of recent global change on species distributions (Feeley 2012; Calinger 2015; D'Andrea et al., 2009). For many species, herbarium specimens have revealed plant species range-shifts both upwards in elevation and pole-wards in latitude in response to recent warming (Feeley et al., 2013), with some species' ranges contracting while others expand (Feeley 2012). The use of herbarium specimens in this context is now widespread. Though most studies have focused on terrestrial vascular plants, some have included algae (Riera et al., 2015) and species

from aquatic systems (Wernberg *et al.*, 2011; Yaakub *et al.*, 2014), highlighting the taxonomic and functional diversity represented in herbaria.

142

143

144

145

146

147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

Herbaria occurrence records have also revealed the effects of habitat conversion on species composition, ranges, and abundance. In developed countries, this research has focused on urbanization, arguably the most profound form of global change in these regions (United Nations 2007). Herbarium specimens are among the only floristic records for these areas prior to development and have revealed that urbanization drives native species declines across many cities (e.g., Bertin 2002; DeCandido et al., 2004; Dolan et al., 2011; Gregor et al., 2012; Celesti-Grapow et al., 2013). Herbaria can also provide insights into urban filters on plant communities and have demonstrated that urbanization reduces the occurrence of species associated with wetland habitats (Bertin 2002; DeCandido et al., 2004; Dolan et al., 2011; Gregor et al., 2012; Celesti-Grapow et al., 2013). Unfortunately, while habitat loss through urbanization and deforestation in remote, highly biodiverse regions, such as the wet tropics, is likely a major driver of extinction (e.g., see Wearn et al., 2012), herbarium specimens from many of these regions are relatively sparse (Meyer et al., 2016) and thus provide poor baselines from which to derive estimates of biodiversity change (Feeley & Silman 2011). Nonetheless, herbarium data can help identify species in decline and regions that may provide refuges (Farnsworth & Ogurcak 2006; Romeiras et al., 2014), as well as capture the interactions between climate change and habitat conversion, notably to demonstrate how human land use limits the extent to which species can track their climatic niches (Feeley & Silman 2010).

While many native species are declining, non-native species are increasing in abundance, and a small proportion of these have become invasive (Mack *et al.*, 2000). Herbaria provide historical records of invasive species spread through time and across space (Lavoie *et al.*, 2007;

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

176

177

178

179

180

181

182

183

184

185

186

187

Crawford & Hoagland 2009) and thus can help identify the mechanisms by which non-native species have dispersed from continent-to-continent and expanded their geographic ranges. Analyses of plant occurrence records from herbaria have revealed that human transportation networks, notably paved roads and railroads, are important pathways for invasion (e.g., Barney 2006; Joly et al., 2011). With recent advances in molecular techniques, it is now possible to map the spread not just of species but also particular genotypes using herbarium data, as has been illustrated for the common reed, *Phragmites australis* (Saltonstall 2002). These fine-scale historical data can provide information on both the rate and direction of spread, revealing likely centers of introduction and regions of high vulnerability. Physiological and morphological change Most species are unable to completely escape global change in space and thus must adapt or acclimate in situ or risk extinction. Herbarium specimens can capture physiological and morphological changes reflecting such responses, including shifts in morphology (Law & Salick 2005; Leger 2013), timing of life histories (Kharouba & Vellend 2015), and physiology (Miller-Rushing et al., 2009). In a small but growing number of studies, physiological and morphological data, such as on plant leaf size (Guerin et al., 2012), stomatal densities (Miller-Rushing et al., 2009), carbon and oxygen isotope measurements (Miller-Rushing et al., 2009; Bonal et al., 2011), and specific leaf area (Reef & Lovelock 2014), have been extracted and provide insights into changing photosynthetic rates and leaf palatability for herbivores, for example. The most studied of these shifts with herbarium data are changes in plant phenology. Flower counts from herbarium specimens have revealed advances in peak flowering of approximately 2.4 days for each degree Celsius rise in temperatures (Calinger et al., 2013), and

similar advances due to the urban heat island effect (Primack *et al.*, 2004) that are particularly pronounced in ephemeral species (Neil *et al.*, 2010). Researchers have also turned to herbaria to identify potential cues driving plant phenology, revealing interactive effects of temperature, precipitation, and latitude on the timing of flowering (Matthews & Mazer 2016). Such data have proven extremely valuable as both a biotic index of climate change, and as a record of biotic responses to climate warming. The extraction and application of phenological data from herbaria has been reviewed extensively elsewhere (Miller-Rushing et al. 2006; Willis *et al.*, 2017a).

Plant phenology is just one response to changes in atmospheric chemistry. Concentrations of pollutants in the atmosphere, including heavy metals, anthropogenic nitrogen, carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), and other greenhouse gases have varied over time, with increases surprisingly early in modern human history (Renberg *et al.*, 1994; Steffen *et al.*, 2007). However, because historical data are sparse, and pollutant sources are often diffused, changes in pollution can be hard to track using traditional ecological approaches. Herbarium specimens serve as records of pollutant variation over space and time, helping link species' exposure to their responses. For example, epiphytes, which accumulate atmospheric nitrogen, but do not uptake nitrogen from soil, can serve as bioindicators of nitrogen pollution (Stewart *et al.*, 2002). Mosses similarly serve as bioindicators of atmospheric metals (Weiss *et al.*, 1999), and lichens serve as bioindicators of various pollutants, including a diversity of oxidants (Sigal & Nash 1983).

Data from herbaria can also be used to identify the traits associated with invasiveness. A key question in invasion biology is why few species become invasive while most non-native species remain at low abundances (Sakai *et al.*, 2001). By capturing physiological and morphological data, herbarium studies have shown that adaptation to local conditions can facilitate invasiveness (Vandepitte *et al.*, 2014) and, consistent with other types of data

212

213

214

215

216

217

218

219

220

221

222

223

224

225

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

(Wolkovich & Cleland 2011), that some invasive species are able to better track temperature and thus take advantage of earlier springs resulting from warming (Calinger 2015). **Shifts in Ecological Interactions** Ecological interactions are increasingly recognized as moderating species responses to global change (Gilman et al., 2010; Zarnetske et al., 2012), but empirical data on this topic are sparse. Herbarium specimens have served as records of the interactions between plants and their associates, revealing how these interactions have shifted over time. These plant associates, including insect pollinators, herbivores and pathogens, are sometimes preserved on leaves (Lees et al., 2011) and branches (Youngsteadt et al., 2015) or are preserved as DNA or RNA (Malmstrom et al., 2007). In addition, flowers and leaves of herbarium specimens can contain signatures of interactions, such as the accumulation of defensive compounds induced by insect herbivores (Zangerl & Berenbaum 2005) and pollen (Ziska et al., 2016). The trace-record of interactions between plants and pollinators, herbivores, and pathogens captured on herbarium specimens could be used to address a topic that has attracted much interest in climate change research: potential phenological asynchronies and ecological mismatches between associated species resulting from differential responses to warming climates (Post et al., 2008; Both et al., 2009). Phenological asynchronies occur if the direction, rate, or magnitude of change differs between associated species, if phenological responses differ in space, or if responses within a single trophic level are varied, such that phenological tracking is not possible (as discussed in detail elsewhere, e.g., Hegland et al., 2009). Occurrence data from herbaria and contemporaneous insect collections have suggested that climate change might lead to asynchronies between some butterflies and their adult food plants (Kharouba & Vellend 2015). Other types of ecological mismatches can also disrupt mutualisms. Corolla tube length

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

data from herbarium specimens, along with corresponding bumble bee collections that provided data on bee tongue length, showed that bees and flowers may be ecologically mismatched in Colorado, USA; bee tongues lengths have decreased over time, while corolla tube lengths have remained constant (Miller-Struttmann *et al.*, 2015). However, there are few studies along these lines, and we expand on the potential of herbarium data for studying phenological asynchronies in the following section.

Similar data can serve as records of changing species interactions due to habitat conversion, pollution, and invasions. Anthropogenic disturbance of a habitat can expose species to novel conditions that disrupt co-evolved interactions. By rehydrating orchid flowers from herbaria and counting the pollinaria (pollen clumps and associated tissues removed by bees), Pauw & Hawkins (2011) demonstrated that the local decline of an orchid during urbanization was driven by reduced pollination. Youngsteadt et al. (2015) counted scale insects on stems of herbarium specimens and demonstrated that the urban heat island effect and natural warming cycles in forests are associated with elevated abundance of a scale insect herbivore, suggesting that ecological responses to warming in cities could predict the effects of climate change on insect pests. In one of the few herbarium studies to explore how pollution affects species interactions, Ziska et al. (2016) analyzed pollen preserved in herbarium specimens and demonstrated that elevated CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations reduced pollen protein concentrations, altering nutrient availability for pollinators, with possible fitness consequences for both pollinators and plants. In a separate study, Zangerl & Berenbaum (2005) provided support for the natural enemy release hypothesis of plant invasion (Keane & Crawley 2002; Mitchell & Power 2003) by measuring exotic plant defensive compounds before and after introduction of their co-evolved herbivores. They found evidence that invasive plants can escape their insect herbivores—and the

258

259

260

261

262

263

264

265

266

267

268

269

270

271

272

273

274

275

276

277

278

need to manufacture expensive defensive compounds in response to them—when first introduced into new habitats. GAPS IN THE APPLICATION OF HERBARIUM DATA Overview We have provided a brief overview of the diverse applications of herbarium data for understanding biotic responses to global change. However, many of these data have only recently become commonplace in ecological studies and we suggest their potential has not been fully realized. Here, we identify significant gaps in current uses of herbarium specimens in global change biology (Table 1). As we indicate above, data from herbaria have been used extensively to explore plant distributional and phenological shifts in response to climate change. However, herbarium specimens have been used less frequently to study plant responses to three of the five key drivers of biodiversity loss: habitat conversion, pollution, and overexploitation. By many estimates, habitat conversion currently drives most terrestrial species extinctions (Millennium Ecosystem Assessment 2005), making this a particularly notable omission. With these overarching themes in mind, we suggest future research directions we believe could benefit from using herbarium data. Guidance for ecosystem management and restoration Herbarium specimens are rare records of historical biodiversity and thus could help guide ecological restoration. A few studies have highlighted this potential, mostly within the context of urban environments (DeCandido et al., 2004; Atha et al., 2016). However, less common are examples of using herbarium data to explore other types of habitat conversion, such as

agricultural expansion and deforestation, much less integrate such findings into restoration programs. As is the case for urbanization, these types of habitat transformations are likely to have nuanced effects on local biodiversity that are not well understood but which may be captured by collections. Herbarium specimens can additionally be used to determine areas and species of conservation priority, as has been demonstrated for timber species in Angola (Romeiras *et al.*, 2014). We suggest there may be many opportunities along these lines for conservation of plants of economic and/or cultural importance, including both timber species and wild crop relatives, which are often well-represented in herbaria, with many specimens collected prior to intensification of global change.

### Markers of plant physiological change

Specimens within herbaria provide more than just records of occurrence across space and time; they also bear the imprint of past environments, including information on genotypic and physiological shifts. For example, herbarium specimens could be employed to collect long-term data on shifts in traits correlated with photosynthetic rates and nutrient concentrations across taxa and habitats. These are ecosystem variables for which we have little historical data, but which have important consequences. For example, leaf gas exchange rates can affect carbon balance (Bonan 2008), and the net effects of global change, particularly climate change, on this and similar ecosystem processes is a topic of great interest (Clark 2004; Wu *et al.*, 2011). Increasing CO<sub>2</sub> levels are expected to increase photosynthetic rates in plants, increasing net carbon storage in forests (Ainsworth & Long 2005). However, long-term experiments to evaluate the relationship between CO<sub>2</sub> and photosynthetic rates have been in place for less than 20 years (Norby *et al.*, 2016) and do not incorporate other recent global changes that may have interactive effects on photosynthesis, most notably warming, changes in soil moisture, and nitrogen

303

304

305

306

307

308

309

310

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319

320

321

322

323

324

deposition. While methods have been developed to extract such data from herbarium specimens—for example, quantifying proxies for gas exchange preserved in leaves of pressed plants (Miller-Rushing et al., 2009; Bonal et al., 2011)—and are not new (Woodward et al. 1987), they have much unrealized potential in global change research. **Records of changing species interactions** We suggest that herbarium specimens could provide unparalleled insights for understanding shifting species interactions in the Anthropocene. Above, we outlined a few studies along these lines, but we believe the data within herbarium specimens has not been fully exploited. For example, presently, there is little consensus on whether climate change induced phenological asynchronies are common or rare, in large part because data are sparse. It is, however, possible to pair herbarium records with collections of species with which they are tightly associated, such as their pollinators. This approach is potentially powerful, but requires substantial data. It is possible, therefore, that for many species, collections will not yield data of sufficient spatial and temporal resolution to quantify phenology for associated species. An alternative approach is to draw indirect inferences on species interactions using data from only one partner; observations of pollen, for example, can provide information on plant-pollinator interactions (Pauw & Hawkins 2011; Ziska et al., 2016). Such methods can be more easily expanded to systems for which corresponding collections on interactors are sparse or unavailable. In a number of studies, researchers have used similar approaches to explore effects of global change on antagonistic interactions between plants and their associates by extracting data on herbivory, and plant pathogens (Malmstrom et al., 2007; Lees et al., 2011; Youngsteadt et al., 2015; Syfert et al., 2017). However, methods remain relatively underdeveloped. Plant-insect interactions might be of particular interest, because insects are

326

327

328

329

330

331

332

333

334

335

336

337

338

339

340

341

342

343

344

345

346

ectothermic, and, thus, their abundances are expected to shift with global climate warming in many cases (Kingsolver et al., 2013). Herbivory is also ubiquitous among present day plants (Turcotte et al., 2014), has driven evolution of much of the biodiversity on earth (Futuyma & Agrawal 2009), and has significant economic consequences in agriculture and forestry (Oerke & Dehne 2004). Despite its importance as an ecological process, we are aware of few studies quantifying plant-insect interactions on individual specimens (but see Morrow & Fox 1989). HERBARIA AS NOVEL DATA SOURCES: LIMITATIONS AND CHALLENGES We have shown that herbarium data can be applied to diverse topics and have suggested gaps that warrant future exploration. Here, we outline challenges these data present and, when available, approaches to reduce obstacles to their use, which we believe is a key hurdle to using herbarium data in global change research. We consider some general methods that could be applied widely to herbarium and biological collections data. Finally, we provide a table detailing potential challenges posed by specific types of herbarium data, along with suggested ways to approach these challenges (Table 2). Biases over space, time, and phylogeny Herbarium specimens are non-randomly collected across space and time, in part because their historical purpose was to document species' ranges and capture morphological variation within and between species, and not to address ecological questions. Using specimens for global change research requires accounting for this unevenness in sampling over space, time, and taxonomy. This topic has been reviewed recently by Meyer et al., (2016), who analyze biases represented in specimens and observational data, and Daru et al. (In Press), which includes biases represented

348

349

350

351

352

353

354

355

356

357

358

359

360

361

362

363

364

365

366

367

368

369

space in Massachusetts.

in herbarium specimens, specifically. Though the sampling biases described in these publications should serve as a roadmap for those expected more generally in herbarium data, the gaps and biases present across entire herbaria do not necessarily represent those in the subset of data extracted for particular studies. For example, an herbarium may include few species from a particular province in China, but have many specimens for each of these species. A first step in any ecological analyses is to design appropriate sampling procedures to minimize biases. There is a rich literature on sampling techniques in ecology (see Southwood & Henderson 2009), but these have been largely overlooked when "sampling" herbarium specimens. Instead, when deriving data from specimens in ecological research, it is more common to conduct systematic sampling, analyzing specimens within a specified timeframe and/or spatial area rather than considering herbarium specimens as a sample drawn from a larger population. Now that centralized databases contain millions of specimens, traditional ecological tools, such as stratified random resampling or rarefaction, may allow researchers to minimize biases. In addition, researchers can focus analyses on the richer parts of collections, thus reducing noise and bias introduced by taxa, time periods, or locations for which few specimens are available. For example, if we were to sample a particular plant species across the northeastern US (Fig. 1b; the state in the bottom right is Massachusetts, and the state below it and to the left is Connecticut), we may find that more recent samples are available for Connecticut than for Massachusetts, a known pattern given the historical idiosyncrasies of institutions and collections in the region. Therefore, if we were interested in testing a global change hypothesis that requires a time series, we may decide to focus our sampling in Connecticut to take advantage of its time series and avoid the uneven temporal coverage across

371

372

373

374

375

376

377

378

379

380

381

382

383

384

385

386

387

388

389

390

391

392

Even when sampling procedures are designed carefully, however, it may not be possible to select specimens evenly across all axes of variation. Numerous modern statistical tools are available to account for uneven sampling and non-independence of data. These include, notably, spatial regression techniques, such as spatial autoregressive models, spatial lag models, and spatial error models (Plant 2012), that account for spatial non-independence in data. Various phylogenetic comparative methods, including independent contrasts (Felsenstein 1985) and phylogenetic generalized least squares regression (PGLS), are available for incorporating phylogenetic non-independence among taxa. There is also a large literature on null models in ecology (Gotelli & Graves 1996) that details methods for subsampling data to incorporate potential biases into null models, thus holding bias constant when testing for relationships among variables of interest. New machine learning tools and Bayesian techniques (notably in programs such as Stan, WinBUGS, and JAGS) allow for the analyses of complex, hierarchically structured, and incomplete datasets and are suited to analyzing large, sparsely sampled data, all common features of collections data. Many of these methods are already well developed for modelling species distributions—e.g., Maximum Entropy, Generalized Linear and Additive Models, Boosted Regression Trees, and Random Forest (Elith & Leathwick 2009)—though they are not yet integrated into ecology more generally (Thesen 2016). **Data extraction and validation** Once appropriate herbarium specimens are selected, depending on the analysis, it may then be necessary to design sampling strategies to extract data from within individual herbarium sheets. Like any other unit, such as a plot, agricultural field, or transect, individual herbarium specimens can be subsampled to quantify features that may be hard to measure across entire specimens, such as data on arthropod and pathogen associations, or other micro-traits including stomatal

density, cell shape etc. In some cases, it may be necessary to measure the surface area of specimens to account for their size, a process that can be automated in programs such as ImageJ ([https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/]), or to estimate specimen density, volume or other dimension to standardize measures for differences in 'sampling space'.

An important but frequently overlooked next step is to evaluate the reliability of derived statistics. To characterize spatial and temporal biases, researchers have turned to data validation, in which herbarium data are placed on common axes with trusted data. For example, several recent studies have validated the utility of herbarium specimens for phenological research by fitting common models to field observation and herbarium data (Robbirt *et al.*, 2011; Spellman & Mulder 2016). By contrasting flowering data from herbaria to that from observations, Davis *et al.* (2015) showed that herbarium specimens covered more climatic space than observations. Observational data alone might thus provide a more limited estimate of future climate change scenarios than herbarium specimens. This study highlights that traditional data sources also have limitations and gaps in coverage, some of which can be alleviated by including data from herbarium specimens. Similarly, pollution measures derived from herbarium specimens can be calibrated by comparing pollutant concentrations to those from other historical data sources, such as deposits in peat bogs and ice cores (Weiss *et al.*, 1999).

Cross validation with an independent dataset is perhaps the most robust approach for detecting biases in data. However, in many cases, herbarium data cannot be directly compared to independently derived data because no companion data exist, or the collection of such data requires inordinate effort, e.g., the manual cleaning and standardization of multiple datasets. When companion data are unavailable, we suggest another approach for assessing data reliability: comparing summary statistics relevant to the hypothesis to be tested to theoretical

expectations from the literature. We demonstrate this approach focusing on insect herbivory, a process that is likely to shift due to global change, but for which few historical data are available (for detailed sampling and statistical methods, see Appendix S1). This is a particularly challenging case study because collectors most likely select specimens that have little damage, so absolute estimates of herbivory are probably strongly biased. Nonetheless, paleontologists have used fossil herbivory, for which data are even more sparse, to assess changes in diversity and abundance of herbivory with climatic changes across epochs (Wilf & Labandeira 1999). Therefore, we suggest that the much higher resolution, more abundant data available from within herbaria should provide at least as much information on contemporary herbivory patterns.

Despite potential biases, as a proof-in-concept example we demonstrate that herbarium specimens harbor diverse types of herbivory damage (Fig. 2). We also provide evidence that they could provide unique longitudinal data on plant-insect interactions (Fig. 3). Herbivory on herbarium specimens has phylogenetic signal (Fig. 3a), with Blomberg's K value of 0.4 (above zero indicates phylogenetic signal, with a value of one matching to Brownian motion), though our dataset of 20 species precludes formal significance testing. Similarly, the composition of herbivory, i.e., the relative amount of chewing, leaf galls, leaf mines, etc.—is significantly more similar for individuals within than among genera and species (Fig. 3b). These taxonomic and temporal patterns indicate that herbarium specimens may provide adequate data for addressing questions related to how herbivore communities are changing over time and how herbivore pressure varies across plant community members, questions that are of growing interest but for which historical data are limited. In addition, herbivory measured on herbarium specimens is highly skewed, with most specimens showing little or no damage, and a few showing heavy damage (Fig. 3c), as also observed in field data (Turcotte *et al.*, 2014), and accumulates as the

growing season progressed (Fig. 3d), which suggests that these data are sensitive enough to capture accumulating herbivory on individual plants through the growing season.

Collector bias toward intact specimens makes it difficult to infer the absolute magnitude of herbivory from herbarium specimens. However, cross-validation with field data might allow calibration of herbivory estimated from collections and allow researchers to quantify and correct for under-estimates. Another limitation of these data is that we cannot infer herbivory rates, i.e., herbivory per day or month, without data on the timing of leaf-out, though degree-day data may serve as a proxy and thus facilitate these estimates and associated inferences.

### Coarse, incomplete, or inaccurate metadata

In some cases, specimens have been misidentified or taxonomic arrangements revised, but herbarium records have not kept pace with changes. New databases and bioinformatics tools now allow rapid taxonomic name synonymisation (e.g., the taxize R-package, [https://cran.r-project.org/web/packages/taxize/index.html]). Judicious choices of exemplar species can also help researchers avoid problematic taxa, as can sampling within herbaria with well-curated metadata. However, in some cases, reviewing each specimen individually is necessary, and herbarium specimens are themselves the key resource for resolving species identities. In contrast to historical observations, for which it is usually impossible to verify species identifications, herbarium specimens can always be revisited. However, numerous groups likely remain poorly described in herbaria, and despite rapid efforts to mobilize collections online, the resolution of digital images may not be sufficient to provide definitive identification, especially in taxonomic groups with many closely related and morphological similar species, such as in the graminoids.

Another hurdle in using herbarium specimens, especially for ecological applications, is that locations and dates associated with specimens are sometimes absent or incomplete. Label information on specimen locations can be at coarse geographical scales (e.g., at the county level in the US), or is not provided, especially for specimens collected long ago. This is further complicated by the fact that such specimens may be inaccurately georeferenced, which can propagate through subsequent analyses especially if climate data is linked to such data (Park & Davis 2017). Because we cannot go back in time and collect more fine-scale location data, its absence may prevent researchers from using specimens to address certain hypotheses. However, centralized databases, such as Integrated Digitized Biocollections ([https://www.idigbio.org/]), now allow researchers to assess the availability and resolution of data across many herbaria, and thus evaluate whether there is sufficient information to address a hypothesis of interest before investing time in what could be wasted research effort.

# **Effects of preservation**

Preservation can degrade certain data sources, such as DNA, plant structure, and plant coloration. Technological advances have already helped overcome some of these limitations and may do so to an even greater extent in the future. For example, DNA quality varies considerably among herbarium specimens, but it is already possible to amplify very low, fragmented concentrations of DNA from specimens allowing population and phylogenetic studies using ancient DNA (Särkinen *et al.*, 2012; Applequist & Campbell, 2014). Genomic data may eventually allow us to link genes to key plant traits, thus providing a means to characterize evolutionary responses to environmental stress, pathogens, or competitors that cannot be measured directly from preserved specimens or that have been lost as specimens have become degraded.

Other problems arise because of accidental damage to specimens, notably flooding and insect pests. For example, the global seedbank in Norway was almost flooded in the spring of 2017 due to melting permafrost. In addition, insect pests readily eat herbarium specimens that are not in sealed cabinets, and even herbaria with sealed cabinets can experience infestations, which may not be identified until hundreds of specimens are damaged. While many herbaria use integrated pest management to monitor and treat these occurrences today, historical specimens are often part of personal collections that were exposed to insects. Physical damage of specimens could affect data quality, notably for measurements of herbivory that occurred before collection.

#### **NEXT GENERATION HERBARIA**

We have discussed how herbarium collections can contribute to global change research, and we have described some simple approaches for sampling and analyzing data from herbaria, helping overcome a key barrier to the use of herbarium specimens in ecology. We suggest consideration of sampling strategies which are used in ecology more generally, the adoption of statistical methods to help account for gaps in coverage, and new computational tools, such as those that are appropriate for sparsely sampled data. We emphasize the importance of cross-validating data extracted from herbarium specimens, and suggest how data reliability can be assessed even when companion data are not available. We also identified gaps in the literature that point to future research opportunities. Here, we detail new frontiers for using data derived from herbaria in global change research.

Herbarium specimens could provide unprecedented amounts of data on fungal responses to global change. While herbaria house millions of fungal specimens, these records are rarely integrated into global change research. This presents opportunities for investigating fungal

507

508

509

510

511

512

513

514

515

516

517

518

519

520

521

522

523

524

525

526

527

528

responses to global change in ways that parallel ongoing efforts using plants. Though examples are sparse, herbaria studies have shown increasing incidence of fungal plant diseases (Antonovics *et al.*, 2003) and effects of climate on fungal phenology (Kauserud *et al.*, 2008; Kauserud *et al.*, 2010; Diez *et al.*, 2013). Researchers could draw methods from these studies to more deeply investigate fungal responses, which will be critical determinants of ecosystem function in the future.

Plant and fungal data from herbaria, such as those described in Tables 1 and 2, could be placed on common axes with data from traditional sources—field observations, experiments, and fossils—to generate more robust predictions of how species will respond to drivers of global change (Davis et al., 2015; Youngsteadt et al., 2015). Because all of these methods introduce biases and have limitations, the most robust inferences would include data from multiple approaches, and herbarium data could be uniquely suited in some cases to inform outstanding debates about global change introduced by more traditional approaches. For example, observations and experiments can yield different results about ecologically important phenomena, such as phenological responses to warming (Wolkovich et al., 2012). Herbarium data may allow researchers to resolve such discrepancies, in part, because specimens can capture both long-term processes, including evolution, and short-term processes, such as plastic responses, that are generally not represented together in either observations or experiments. In addition, herbarium records and experiments could be used together to tease apart mechanisms that drive species responses, and herbarium data could be combined with data from fossils (as other modern data have been compared to fossils, as described in Labandeira & Currano 2013) to contrast effects of current and historical climate change by placing temperatures across epochs and recent time on the same axes. While patterns and dynamics might differ due to radically

different timescales of these data, such differences might themselves reveal important insights into universal drivers of and responses to global change (e.g., increased CO<sub>2</sub> levels and warming).

529

530

531

532

533

534

535

536

537

538

539

540

541

542

543

544

545

546

547

548

549

550

Leveraging the potential of herbarium data will require advances that allow researchers access to "big data" that span the full range of spatial, temporal, and taxonomic information contained within herbaria and that will require new computational tools to explore. Museum specimen records and images are now more accessible than ever thanks to large scale digitization efforts that have created centralized repositories of these data (e.g., Integrated Digitized Biocollections, Australia's Virtual Herbarium, Museum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris), although much data remain dark. Extracting ecologically meaningful data from digitized specimens presents additional challenges, notably in the time and resources required. The rapid growth of citizen science, in which the public aid in data collection efforts, has provided one way forward. Recent collaborations between biologists and computer scientists present new opportunities (Willis et al., 2017b) and have allowed for the development of crowdsourcing image annotation tools (e.g., CrowdCurio, [https://www.crowdcurio.com/]) to extract phenological data from digitized herbarium specimens. These tools are already being adopted and have enormous power for leveraging herbarium data for climate change research, and preliminary studies suggest they can generate highly usable data despite inherent crowdsourcing error (Williams et al., In Review). Similarly, computer algorithms for analyzing digital images could provide an alternative approach for quantifying traits, such as leaf morphology (Corney et al., 2012a; Corney et al., 2012b; Unger et al., 2016; Wilf et al., 2016) and offer the opportunity to rapidly collect data across large numbers of specimens.

552

553

554

555

556

557

558

559

560

561

562

563

564

565

566

567

568

569

570

571

Plant and fungal collections continue to grow, but the goals of herbaria frequently remain unaligned with their ecological utility. There is a need to better integrate current research demands into collecting methods and collection management. Therefore, we suggest a shift in how herbaria operate to help maximize contributions of herbaria to global change research and to center herbaria as key repositories of ecological data. We propose several key strategies to move towards this goal, the last three of which are potentially the most resource intensive: 1) Organize specimens by evolutionary history (phylogeny) and spatial location. Collections are most accessible when they are organized by taxonomy and specimen location because researchers almost always sample within clades and areas. Following a more phylogenetically oriented classification and ordering rather than outdated classifications that do not align with current understanding of phylogeny might facilitate ease of usage (see: [http://www.bvaenviro.com/Public/Angiosperm%20Phylogeny%20Group%20III/APG%20II I%20linear%20list.pdf ]). 2) Digitize and generate publicly accessible online databases. While this is not a novel suggestion, we include it to emphasize the importance of publicly available databases and specimen images for supporting ecological research efforts and to acknowledge that the digital tools necessary for making such data available are still evolving. In many cases, research questions do not initially require physical specimens, and online specimen information facilitates assessment of project feasibility, research planning, and sampling. Online databases and images can also serve as sources of preliminary data that can be used in grant applications.

573

574

575

576

577

578

579

580

581

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

3) Retain or, at minimum, digitize damaged specimens. Curators sometimes dispose of specimens that were damaged by insects or pathogens before they were collected. They do this for good reasons; herbaria have limited space and specimen storage comes at a cost. However, damaged specimens contain valuable information on locations and about species interactions. For suggestions on sterile ecological vouchers see below. 4) Collect and preserve tissue for future molecular and chemical analyses. Curators at many herbaria now collect and preserve tissue samples, in addition to pressed and dried specimens, explicitly for future molecular analyses. These samples can be dried and stored with silica gel and preserved at room temperature or, more ideally, cryobanked for future extraction. Such infrastructure is also invaluable for preserving RNA, which is essential for investigating gene expression but is often degraded rapidly. These materials are best frozen as soon as possible but field fixatives are now available to reduce the burden of collecting such samples. Recent efforts in tissue banking (see, e.g., [https://frozenark.org/], [http://www.ggbn.org/ggbn portal/]) provide a useful guide on how such approaches could be implemented. 5) Add sampling information to specimen labels. Specimens are most useful when labels include metadata, which are recorded regularly as part of basic collecting protocol, i.e., date, geolocation, species. We suggest that the type of sampling and habitat should also be indicated as standard metadata. For example, one system would be to indicate sampling as targeted or opportunistic, and if targeted, to indicate the intention of the collection, e.g., to document galls on the specimen or to get a collection from a particular area. Indication of a specimen's immediate and adjacent habitats, e.g., along a roadside, in an urban or

595

596

597

598

599

600

601

602

603

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

614

615

among local amateur naturalist groups.

transformed environment, or in a forest, would also help ecologists to determine if specimens were collected in appropriate ways for particular studies. 6) **Develop protocols for storing ecological vouchers.** Traditionally, herbaria have focused on maximizing number and morphological diversity within species across their ranges. However, because of increasing interest in historical ecology, there is a need to prioritize specimens that represent ecological effects (Baker et al., 2017). Space is an ongoing issue in herbaria, and ecological vouchers are especially challenging to store, as specimens from a single study can number in the thousands. We suggest that herbaria develop new protocols that allow ecologists to deposit whole digital specimens and small physical samples from plants in their studies. One example might be to reduce these collections in their physical size and to minimally retain sufficient tissue for subsequent DNA extraction. In concert with rapidly developing DNA barcoding methods (see also below), this approach would facilitate the reconstruction of historical patterns of plant community assembly. 7) Resample areas and clades for which there are strong historical collections. Many herbaria have collections that are temporally biased toward the 19<sup>th</sup> and 20<sup>th</sup> centuries, and few specimens are available from the last 30 years. However, the intensification of global extinction drivers has largely occurred over the past few decades. We suggest that herbaria look to resample areas and clades with strong historical collections, particularly in cases where this objective can be incorporated into planned collection efforts and associated regional/geographic priorities. New collections would allow researchers to make contrasts before and after significant global change. Such efforts are common, and well organized

8) Create barcode libraries. As molecular sequencing costs have declined, it is now more reasonable to create digital repositories of genetic data using species-specific markers, allowing samples to be matched to collections using both molecules and morphology. The former may be especially valuable when plant samples lack key identifying traits, such as flowers or leaves. Although controversial, DNA barcoding efforts, such as the Barcode of Life ([http://www.barcodeoflife.org/]), which now holds over 5 million barcode sequences, illustrate the potential of such approaches.

## **CONCLUSIONS**

Data from herbaria are increasingly being incorporated in to global change research. Researchers are developing creative new methods to understand how diverse factors affect plants, fungi, and their associates. These methods include using herbarium specimens as occurrence and phenological records and as sources of DNA, physiology, and morphology. Data derived from herbaria have wide breadth across space, time, and the tree of life. Several topics of broad interest in global change biology and to which herbaria can contribute remain underexplored but show great promise. Exciting applications include the use of herbaria as blueprints for restoration, signatures of physiological change, and records of changing species interactions. Collections of all kinds are threatened by declines in financial support. The future of herbaria will, in part, depend on their ability to adapt to current research demands and funding priorities. Here, we have emphasized applications to global change research, but a broader dialogue is needed to maximize collection utility across other disciplines. We should recognize that the value of such collections may only become apparent in the future. We must therefore maximize

the current use of collections while continuing high standards of preservation to benefit future generations. **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS** We thank Barbara Hanrahan, Anthony Brach, and Daniel Park for their knowledge of and assistance within the Harvard University Herbaria. We thank Charlie Willis for providing expert advice and data from Willis et al. (2008). We thank Matt Bertone, David Boufford, and Charley Eiseman for their natural history expertise. **Funding statement** This project was supported by a Discovery Grant from The Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (http://www.nserc-crsng.gc.ca/index\_eng.asp) to TJD. This material is based upon work supported by the National Science Foundation Postdoctoral Research Fellowship in Biology under Grant No. (1611880) to EKM. Any opinions, findings, and conclusions or recommendations expressed in this material are those of the authors and do not necessarily reflect the views of the National Science Foundation. This work was also supported by the Harvard University Herbaria and the Department of Organismal and Evolutionary Biology at Harvard.

### LITERATURE CITED

- Agrawal, A.A. & Fishbein, M. (2008). Phylogenetic escalation and decline of plant defense strategies. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*,
- 668 **105**, 10057–10060.

664

665

669

673

677

681

685

690

694

698

701

704

- Aono, Y. & Kazui, K. (2008). Phenological data series of cherry tree flowering in Kyoto, Japan,
- and its application to reconstruction of springtime temperatures since the 9th century.
- 672 *International Journal of Climatology*, **28**, 905–914.
- Ainsworth, E.A. & Long, S.P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO2
- enrichment (FACE)? A meta-analytic review of the responses of photosynthesis, canopy. *New*
- 676 *Phytologist*, **165**, 351–371.
- Antonovics, J., Hood, M.E., Thrall, H., Abrams, J.Y. & Duthie, G.M. (2003). Herbarium studies
- on the distribution of anther-smut fungus (Microbotryum violaceum) and Silene species
- 680 (Caryophyllaceae) in the eastern United States. *American Journal of Botany*, **90**, 1522–1531.
- Atha, D.E., Forrest, T., Naczi, R.F.C., Pace, M.C., Rubin, M., Schuler, J.A. et al. (2016). The
- 683 historic and extant spontaneous vascular flora of The New York Botanical Garden. *Brittonia*, **68**,
- 684 245–277.
- Baker, T.R., Pennington, R. T., Dexter, K. G., Fine, P. V., Fortune-Hopkins, H., Honorio, E. N.,
- Huamantupa-Chuquimaco, I., Klitgård, B. B., Lewis, G. P., de Lima, H. C. and Ashton, P.
- 688 (2017). Maximising Synergy among Tropical Plant Systematists, Ecologists, and Evolutionary
- Biologists. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **32**, 258–267.
- Bale, J.S., Masters, G.J., Hodkinson, I.D., Awmack, C., Bezemer, T.M., Brown, V.K. et al.
- 692 (2002). Herbivory in global climate change research: direct effects of rising temperature on
- 693 insect herbivores. *Global Change Biology*, **8**, 1–16.
- Barbet-Massin, M., Jiguet, F., Albert, C.H. & Thuiller, W. (2012). Selecting pseudo-absences for
- species distribution models: how, where and how many? *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **3**,
- 697 327–338.
- Barney, J.N. (2006). North American history of two invasive plant species: phytogeographic
- distribution, dispersal vectors, and multiple introductions. *Biological Invasions*, **8**, 703–717.
- Bertin, R.I. (2002). Losses of native plant species from Worcester, Massachusetts. *Rhodora*, **104**,
- 703 325–349.
- Bolmgren, K. & Lonnberg, K. (2005). Herbarium data reveal an association between fleshy fruit
- type and earlier flowering time. *International Journal of Plant Sciences*, **166**, 663–670.
- 708 Bonal, D., Ponton, S., Le Thiec, D., Richard, B., Ningre, N., Hérault, B. et al. (2011). Leaf
- functional response to increasing atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the last century in two

- 710 northern Amazonian tree species: A historical  $\delta$ 13C and  $\delta$ 18O approach using herbarium
- 711 samples. Plant, Cell and Environment, **34**, 1332–1344.
- 713 Bonan, G.B. (2008). Forests and climate change: Forcings, feedbacks, and the climate benefits of
- 714 forests. *Science*, **320**, 1444–1449.

715

719

722

725

729

733

737

740

743

746

750

- Both, C., van Asch, M., Bijlsma, R.G., van den Burg, A.B. & Visser, M.E. (2009). Climate
- 717 change and unequal phenological changes across four trophic levels: constraints or adaptations?
- 718 *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **78**, 73–83.
- 720 Burns, K.C., Herold, N. & Wallace, B. (2012). Evolutionary size changes in plants of the south-
- west Pacific. *Global Ecology and Biogeography*, **21**, 819–828.
- Buswell, J.M., Moles, A.T. & Hartley, S. (2011). Is rapid evolution common in introduced plant
- 724 species? *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 214–224.
- 726 Calinger, K.M. (2015). A functional group analysis of change in the abundance and distribution
- of 207 plant species across 115 years in north-central North America. Biodiversity and
- 728 *Conservation*, **24**, 2439–2457.
- 730 Calinger, K.M., Queenborough, S. & Curtis, P.S. (2013). Herbarium specimens reveal the
- 731 footprint of climate change on flowering trends across north-central North America. *Ecology*
- 732 *Letters*, **16**, 1037–1044.
- 734 Celesti-Grapow, L., Capotorti, G., Del Vico, E., Lattanzi, E., Tilia, A. & Blasi, C. (2013). The
- vascular flora of Rome. Plant Biosystems An International Journal Dealing with all Aspects of
- 736 *Plant Biology*, **147**, 1059–1087.
- 738 Clark, D.A. (2004). Tropical forests and global warming: slowing it down or speeding it up?
- 739 Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 2, 73–80.
- 741 Corney, D., Clark, J.Y., Tang, H.L. & Wilkin, P. (2012a). Automatic extraction of leaf characters
- from herbarium specimens. *Taxon*, **61**, 231–244.
- 744 Corney, D.P., Tang, H.L., Clark, J.Y., Hu, Y. & Jin, J. (2012b). Automating digital leaf
- measurement: the tooth, the whole tooth, and nothing but the tooth. *PLOS ONE*, **7**, e42112.
- 747 Crawford, P.H.C. & Hoagland, B.W. (2009). Can herbarium records be used to map alien species
- invasion and native species expansion over the past 100 years? *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**,
- 749 651–661.
- 751 D'Andrea, L., Broennimann, O., Kozlowski, G., Guisan, A., Morin, X., Keller-Senften, J. et al.
- 752 (2009). Climate change, anthropogenic disturbance and the northward range expansion of
- 753 Lactuca serriola (Asteraceae). *Journal of Biogeography*, **36**, 1573–1587.

- 755 Daru, Barnabas H, Daniel S Park, Richard Primack, Charles G Willis, David S Barrington,
- 756 Timothy JS Whitfeld, Tristram G Seidler, Patrick W Sweeney, David R Foster, Aaron M Ellison,
- and C. C. Davis. In press. Widespread sampling biases in herbaria revealed from large-scale
- 758 digitization. New Phytologist.

763

767

771

774

777

781

785

789

792

796

- Davis, C.C., Willis, C.G., Connolly, B., Kelly, C. & Ellison, A.M. (2015). Herbarium records are
- reliable sources of phenological change driven by climate and provide novel insights into
- species' phenological cueing mechanisms. *American Journal of Botany*, **102**, 1599–1609.
- DeCandido, R., Muir, A.A. & Gargiullo, M.B. (2004). A first approximation of the historial and
- extant vascular flora of New York City: Implications for native plant species conservation.
- 766 *Journal of the Torrey Botanical Society*, **131**, 243–251.
- 768 Diamond, S.E., Nichols, L.M., McCoy, N., Hirsch, C., Pelini, S.L., Sanders, N.J. et al. (2012). A
- 769 physiological trait-based approach to predicting the responses of species to experimental climate
- 770 warming. *Ecology*, **93**, 2305–2312.
- Diez, J.M., James, T.Y., McMunn, M. & Ibanez, I. (2013). Predicting species-specific responses
- of fungi to climatic variation using historical records. *Global Change Biology*, **19**, 3145–3154.
- Dolan, R.W., Moore, M.E. & Stephens, J.D. (2011). Documenting effects of urbanization on
- flora using herbarium records. *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 1055–1062.
- 778 Elith, J. & Leathwick, J.R. (2009). Species Distribution Models: Ecological Explanation and
- 779 Prediction Across Space and Time. In: *Annual Review of Ecology Evolution and Systematics*, pp.
- 780 677–697.
- 782 Everill, P.H., Primack, R.B., Ellwood, E.R. & Melaas, E.K. (2014). Determining past leaf-out
- 783 times of New England's deciduous forests from herbarium specimens. American Journal of
- 784 *Botany*, **101**, 1293–1300.
- Farnsworth, E.J. & Ogurcak, D.E. (2006). Biogeography and decline of rare plants in New
- 787 England: Historical evidence and contemporary monitoring. *Ecological Applications*, **16**, 1327–
- 788 1337.
- 790 Feeley, K.J. (2012). Distributional migrations, expansions, and contractions of tropical plant
- species as revealed in dated herbarium records. *Global Change Biology*, **18**, 1335–1341.
- 793 Feeley, K.J., Hurtado, J., Saatchi, S., Silman, M.R. & Clark, D.B. (2013). Compositional shifts in
- 794 Costa Rican forests due to climate-driven species migrations. Global Change Biology, 19, 3472–
- 795 3480.
- 797 Feeley, K.J. & Silman, M.R. (2010). Land-use and climate change effects on population size and
- 798 extinction risk of Andean plants. *Global Change Biology*, **16**, 3215–3222.

- Feeley, K.J. & Silman, M.R. (2011). Keep collecting: accurate species distribution modelling
- requires more collections than previously thought. *Diversity and Distributions*, **17**, 1132–1140.
- Felsenstein, J. (1985). Phylogenies and the comparative method. *The American Naturalist*, **125**,
- 804 1–15.

805

808

812

815

817

821

825

828

831

835

838

- Fornoni, J. (2011). Ecological and evolutionary implications of plant tolerance to herbivory.
- 807 *Functional Ecology*, **25**, 399–407.
- Futuyma, D.J. & Agrawal, A.A. (2009). Macroevolution and the biological diversity of plants
- and herbivores. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 811 *America*, **106**, 18054–18061.
- Gilman, S.E., Urban, M.C., Tewksbury, J., Gilchrist, G.W. & Holt, R.D. (2010). A framework
- for community interactions under climate change. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **25**, 325–331.
- 816 Gotelli, N.J. & Graves, G.R. (1996). Null models in ecology. Smithsonian Institution Press.
- Graham, C.H., Ferrier, S., Huettman, F., Moritz, C. & Peterson, A.T. (2004). New developments
- in museum-based informatics and applications in biodiversity analysis. *Trends in Ecology &*
- 820 *Evolution*, **19**, 497–503.
- Gregor, T., Bönsel, D., Starke-Ottich, I. & Zizka, G. (2012). Drivers of floristic change in large
- 823 cities A case study of Frankfurt/Main (Germany). Landscape and Urban Planning, 104, 230–
- 824 237.
- 826 Guerin, G.R., Wen, H. & Lowe, A.J. (2012). Leaf morphology shift linked to climate change.
- 827 *Biology Letters*, **8**, 882–886.
- 829 Gugerli, F., Parducci, L. & Petit, R.J. (2005). Ancient plant DNA: review and prospects. *New*
- 830 *Phytologist*, **166**, 409–418.
- Harris, L.W. & Davies, T.J. (2016). A Complete Fossil-Calibrated Phylogeny of Seed Plant
- Families as a Tool for Comparative Analyses: Testing the 'Time for Speciation' Hypothesis.
- 834 *PLOS ONE*, **11**, e0162907.
- Hegland, S.J., Nielsen, A., Lazaro, A., Bjerknes, A.L. & Totland, O. (2009). How does climate
- warming affect plant-pollinator interactions? *Ecology Letters*, **12**, 184–195.
- Johnson, K.G., Brooks, S.J., Fenberg, P.B., Glover, A.G., James, K.E., Lister, A.M. et al. (2011).
- 840 Climate Change and Biosphere Response: Unlocking the Collections Vault. *Bioscience*, **61**, 147–
- 841 153.
- Joly, M., Bertrand, P., Gbangou, R.Y., White, M.C., Dubé, J. & Lavoie, C. (2011). Paving the
- way for invasive species: Road type and the spread of Common ragweed (Ambrosia
- artemisiifolia). *Environmental Management*, **48**, 514–522.

- Kauserud, H., Heegaard, E., Semenov, M.A., Boddy, L., Halvorsen, R., Stige, L.C. et al. (2010).
- 848 Climate change and spring-fruiting fungi. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B-Biological*
- 849 *Sciences*, **277**, 1169–1177.
- Kauserud, H., Stige, L.C., Vik, J.O., Okland, R.H., Hoiland, K. & Stenseth, N.C. (2008).
- Mushroom fruiting and climate change. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the*
- 853 *United States of America*, **105**, 3811–3814.
- Kavanagh, P.H., Lehnebach, C.A., Shea, M.J. & Burns, K.C. (2011). Allometry of Sexual Size
- Dimorphism in Dioecious Plants: Do Plants Obey Rensch's Rule? *American Naturalist*, **178**,
- 857 596–601.

850

854

858

861

865

869

872

876

880

883

887

- Keane, R.M. & Crawley, M.J. (2002). Exotic plant invasions and the enemy release hypothesis.
- 860 *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **17**, 164–170.
- Keeling, C. & Whorf, T. (2005). Atmospheric carbon dioxide record from Mauna Loa. *Carbon*
- 863 Dioxide Research Group, Scripps Institution of Oceanography, University of California La Jolla,
- 864 *California*, 92093–90444.
- 866 Kharouba, H.M. & Vellend, M. (2015). Flowering time of butterfly nectar food plants is more
- sensitive to temperature than the timing of butterfly adult flight. *Journal of Animal Ecology*, **84**,
- 868 1311–1321.
- 870 Kingsolver, J.G., Diamond, S.E. & Buckley, L.B. (2013). Heat stress and the fitness
- consequences of climate change for terrestrial ectotherms. Functional Ecology, 27, 1415–1423.
- Knepp, R.G., Hamilton, J.G., Mohan, J.E., Zangerl, A.R., Berenbaum, M.R. & DeLucia, E.H.
- 874 (2005). Elevated CO<sub>2</sub> reduces leaf damage by insect herbivores in a forest community. *New*
- 875 *Phytologist*, **167**, 207–218.
- 877 Kozlov, M.V., van Nieukerken, E.J., Zverev, V. & Zvereva, E.L. (2013). Abundance and
- 878 diversity of birch-feeding leafminers along latitudinal gradients in northern Europe. *Ecography*,
- **36.** 1138–1149.
- Labandeira, C.C. & Currano, E.D. (2013). The Fossil Record of Plant-Insect Dynamics. In:
- 882 Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Vol 41 (ed. Jeanloz, R), pp. 287–+.
- Lavoie, C. (2013). Biological collections in an ever changing world: Herbaria as tools for
- biogeographical and environmental studies. Perspectives in Plant Ecology Evolution and
- 886 *Systematics*, **15**, 68–76.
- 888 Lavoie, C., Jodoin, Y. & de Merlis, A.G. (2007). How did common ragweed (Ambrosia
- artemisiifolia L.) spread in Ouebec? A historical analysis using herbarium records. *Journal of*
- 890 *Biogeography*, **34**, 1751–1761.

- 892 Lavoie, C. & Lachance, D. (2006). A new herbarium-based method for reconstructing the
- phenology of plant species across large areas. *American Journal of Botany*, **93**, 512–516.
- 895 Law, W. & Salick, J. (2005). Human-induced dwarfing of Himalayan snow lotus, Saussurea
- 896 laniceps (Asteraceae). Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of
- 897 *America*, **102**, 10218–10220.
- Lees, D.C., Lack, H.W., Rougerie, R., Hernandez-Lopez, A., Raus, T., Avtzis, N.D. et al. (2011).
- 900 Tracking origins of invasive herbivores through herbaria and archival DNA: The case of the
- 901 horse-chestnut leaf miner. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, 9, 322–328.
- Leger, E.A. (2013). Annual plants change in size over a century of observations. *Global Change Biology*, 19, 2229–2239.
- 906 Lloyd, J. & Farquhar, G.D. (2008). Effects of rising temperatures and CO<sub>2</sub> on the physiology of
- 907 tropical forest trees. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological Sciences*, **363**,
- 908 1811–1817.

898

902

905

909

913

917

921

924

927

930

- 910 Mack, R.N., Simberloff, D., Lonsdale, W.M., Evans, H., Clout, M. & Bazzaz, F.A. (2000).
- 911 Biotic invasions: Causes, epidemiology, global consequences, and control. *Ecological*
- 912 *Applications*, **10**, 689–710.
- 914 Malmstrom, C.M., Shu, R., Linton, E.W., Newton, L.A. & Cook, M.A. (2007). Barley yellow
- 915 dwarf viruses (BYDVs) preserved in herbarium specimens illuminate historical disease ecology
- of invasive and native grasses. *Journal of Ecology*, **95**, 1153–1166.
- 918 Matthews, E.R. & Mazer, S.J. (2016). Historical changes in flowering phenology are governed
- by temperature x precipitation interactions in a widespread perennial herb in western North
- 920 America. *New Phytologist*, **210**, 157–167.
- 922 Meineke, E.K., Dunn, R.R., Sexton, J.O. & Frank, S.D. (2013). Urban warming drives insect
- pest abundance on street trees. *PLOS ONE*, **8**, e59687.
- Meyer, C., Weigelt, P. & Kreft, H. (2016). Multidimensional biases, gaps and uncertainties in
- global plant occurrence information. *Ecology Letters*, **19**, 992–1006.
- 928 Millennium Ecosystem Assessment, 2005. Ecosystems and Human Well-being: Synthesis. Island
- 929 Press, Washington, DC.
- 931 Miller-Rushing, A.J., Primack, R.B., Templer, P.H., Rathbone, S. & Mukunda, S. (2009). Long-
- 932 term relationships among atmospheric CO 2, stomata, and intrinsic water use efficiency in
- 933 individual trees. *American Journal of Botany*, **96**, 1779–1786.
- 935 Miller-Rushing, A.J., Primack, R.B., Primack, D. & Mukunda, S. (2006). Photographs and
- herbarium specimens as tools to document phenological changes in response to global warming.
- 937 *American Journal of Botany*, **93**, 1667–1674.

939 Miller-Struttmann, N.E., Geib, J.C., Franklin, J.D., Kevan, P.G., Holdo, R.M., Ebert-May, D. et

940 *al.* (2015). Functional mismatch in a bumble bee pollination mutualism under climate change.

- 941 *Science*, **349**, 1541-1544.
- 943 Mitchell, C.E. & Power, A.G. (2003). Release of invasive plants from fungal and viral
- 944 pathogens. *Nature*, **421**, 625–627.
- 946 Moffett, R. (2014). A biographical dictionary of contributors to the natural history of the Free
- 947 State and Lesotho. SUN MeDIA Bloemfontein, Bloemfontein.
- 949 Morrow, P.A. & Fox, L.R. (1989). Estimates of pre-settlement insect damage in Australian and
- 950 North American forests. *Ecology*, **70**, 1055–1060.
- Neil, K.L., Landrum, L. & Wu, J. (2010). Effects of urbanization on flowering phenology in the
- 953 metropolitan phoenix region of USA: Findings from herbarium records. *Journal of Arid*
- 954 Environments, **74**, 440–444.
- Newbold, T. (2010). Applications and limitations of museum data for conservation and ecology,
- 957 with particular attention to species distribution models. *Progress in Physical Geography*, **34**, 3–
- 958 22.

938

942

945

948

951

955

959

964

967

971

974

978

- Norby, R.J., De Kauwe, M. G., Domingues, T. F., Duursma, R. A., Ellsworth, D. S., Goll, D. S.,
- Lapola, D. M., Luus, K. A., MacKenzie, A. R., Medlyn, B. E., Pavlick, R. (2016). Model-data
- 962 synthesis for the next generation of forest free-air CO<sub>2</sub> enrichment (FACE) experiments. *New*
- 963 *Phytologist*, **209**, 17–28.
- 965 Oerke, E.-C. & Dehne, H.-W. (2004). Safeguarding production—losses in major crops and the
- 966 role of crop protection. *Crop protection*, **23**, 275–285.
- Pachauri, R.K., Meyer, L., Plattner, G.K. and Stocker, T. (2015). IPCC, 2014: Climate Change
- 969 2014: Synthesis Report. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Fifth Assessment
- 970 Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. IPCC.
- 972 Park, D.S. & Davis, C.C. (2017). Implications and alternatives of assigning climate data to
- 973 geographical centroids. *Journal of Biogeography*.
- Park, D.S. & Potter, D. (2013). A test of Darwin's naturalization hypothesis in the thistle tribe
- 976 shows that close relatives make bad neighbors. *Proceedings of the National Academy of*
- 977 Sciences, **110**, 17915–17920.
- 979 Parmesan, C., Ryrholm, N., Stefanescu, C., Hill, J.K., Thomas, C.D., Descimon, H. et al. (1999).
- Poleward shifts in geographical ranges of butterfly species associated with regional warming.
- 981 *Nature*, **399**, 579–583.

- Pauw, A. & Hawkins, J.A. (2011). Reconstruction of historical pollination rates reveals linked
- declines of pollinators and plants. *Oikos*, **120**, 344–349.
- 986 Pelini, S.L., Bowles, F.P., Ellison, A.M., Gotelli, N.J., Sanders, N.J. & Dunn, R.R. (2011).
- 987 Heating up the forest: open-top chamber warming manipulation of arthropod communities at
- 988 Harvard and Duke Forests. *Methods in Ecology and Evolution*, **2**, 534–540.
- 990 Pilson, D. (2000). The evolution of plant response to herbivory: simultaneously considering
- 991 resistance and tolerance in Brassica rapa. *Evolutionary Ecology*, **14**, 457–489.
- 993 Plant, R.E. (2012). Spatial data analysis in ecology and agriculture using R. cRc Press, Boca
- 994 Raton, FL, USA.

989

992

995

999

1003

1007

1010

1014

1017

1020

1024

- 996 Pearse, W.D., Inouye, D.W., Primack, R.B., Davis, C.C., Davies, T.J. In Press. A statistical
- 997 estimator for determining the limits of contemporary and historic phenology. *Nature Ecology*
- 998 and Evolution.
- 1000 Post, E., Pedersen, C., Wilmers, C.C. & Forchhammer, M.C. (2008). Warming, plant phenology
- and the spatial dimension of trophic mismatch for large herbivores. *Proceedings of the Royal*
- 1002 *Society B-Biological Sciences*, **275**, 2005–2013.
- Primack, D., Imbres, C., Primack, R.B., Miller-Rushing, A.J. & Del Tredici, P. (2004).
- Herbarium specimens demonstrate earlier flowering times in response to warming in Boston.
- 1006 *American Journal of Botany*, **91**, 1260–1264.
- 1008 Pyke, G.H. & Ehrlich, P.R. (2010). Biological collections and ecological/environmental
- research: a review, some observations and a look to the future. *Biological Reviews*, **85**, 247–266.
- 1011 Reef, R. & Lovelock, C.E. (2014). Historical analysis of mangrove leaf traits throughout the 19th
- and 20th centuries reveals differential responses to increases in atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Global
- 1013 *Ecology and Biogeography*, **23**, 1209–1214.
- 1015 Renberg, I., Persson, M.W. & Emteryd, O. (1994). Preindustrial atmospheric lead contamination
- detected in swedish lake sediments. *Nature*, **368**, 323–326.
- 1018 Riera, R., Sangil, C. & Sanson, M. (2015). Long-term herbarium data reveal the decline of a
- temperate-water algae at its southern range. *Estuarine Coastal and Shelf Science*, **165**, 159–165.
- 1021 Robbirt, K.M., Davy, A.J., Hutchings, M.J. & Roberts, D.L. (2011). Validation of biological
- collections as a source of phenological data for use in climate change studies: a case study with
- the orchid Ophrys sphegodes. *Journal of Ecology*, **99**, 235–241.
- 1025 Romeiras, M.M., Figueira, R., Duarte, M.C., Beja, P. & Darbyshire, I. (2014). Documenting
- biogeographical patterns of African timber species using herbarium records: A conservation
- perspective based on native trees from Angola. *PLOS ONE*, **9**, e103403–e103403.

- Sakai, A.K., Allendorf, F.W., Holt, J.S., Lodge, D.M., Molofsky, J., With, K.A. et al. (2001).
- The population biology of invasive species. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.*, **32**, 305–332.
- Saltonstall, K. (2002). Cryptic invasion by a non-native genotype of the common reed,
- 1033 Phragmites australis, into North America. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
- 1034 *the United States of America*, **99**, 2445–2449.

1035

1038

1041

1044

1047

1049

1052

1055

1058

1062

1066

1070

- Schaefer, H., Hardy, O.J., Silva, L., Barraclough, T.G. & Savolainen, V. (2011). Testing
- Darwin's naturalization hypothesis in the Azores. *Ecology letters*, **14**, 389–396.
- Särkinen, T., Staats, M., Richardson, J.E., Cowan, R.S. & Bakker, F.T. (2012). How to open the
- treasure chest? Optimising DNA extraction from herbarium specimens. *PLOS ONE*, **7**, e43808.
- Sheppard, L., Bell, J.R., Harrington, R. & Reuman, D.C. (2016). Changes in large-scale climate
- alter spatial synchrony of aphid pests. *Nat. Clim. Chang.*, **6**, 610–+.
- Sigal, L.L. & Nash, T.H. (1983). Lichen communities on conifers in southern California
- mountains: an ecological survey relative to oxidant air pollution. *Ecology*, **64**, 1343–1354.
- Southwood, T.R.E., Henderson, P.A. (2009). *Ecological Methods*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Spellman, K.V. & Mulder, C.P.H. (2016). Validating Herbarium-Based Phenology Models
- 1051 Using Citizen-Science Data. *Bioscience*, **66**, 897–906.
- Steffen, W., Crutzen, P.J. & McNeill, J.R. (2007). The Anthropocene: Are humans now
- overwhelming the great forces of nature. *Ambio*, **36**, 614–621.
- Stewart, G.R., Aidar, M.P.M., Joly, C.A. & Schmidt, S. (2002). Impact of point source pollution
- on nitrogen isotope signatures (delta N-15) of vegetation in SE Brazil. *Oecologia*, **131**, 468–472.
- 1059 Syfert, M.M., Serbina, L., Burckhardt, D., Knapp, S. & Percy, D.M. (2017). Emerging New Crop
- 1060 Pests: Ecological Modelling and Analysis of the South American Potato Psyllid Russelliana
- solanicola (Hemiptera: Psylloidea) and Its Wild Relatives. *PLOS ONE*, **12**, e0167764.
- Thiers, B. (continuously updated). Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and
- associated staff. New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium. Available at:
- http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/ Last accessed December 2016.
- Turcotte, M.M., Davies, T.J., Thomsen, C.J.M. & Johnson, M.T.J. (2014). Macroecological and
- macroevolutionary patterns of leaf herbivory across vascular plants. . *Proceedings of the Royal*
- 1069 *Society B-Biological Sciences*, **281**, 20140555.
- 1071 United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs, Population Division (2008). World
- 1072 Urbanization Prospects: The 2007 Revision.

- 1074 Unger, J., Merhof, D. & Renner, S. (2016). Computer vision applied to herbarium specimens of
- 1075 German trees: testing the future utility of the millions of herbarium specimen images for
- automated identification. *BMC evolutionary biology*, **16**, 248.
- Vandepitte, K., Meyer, T.D., Helsen, K., Acker, K.V., Roldan-Ruiz, I., Mergeay, J. et al. (2014).
- 1079 Rapid genetic adaptation precedes the spread of an exotic plant species. *Molecular ecology*, 23,
- 1080 2157–2164.

1081

1085

1087

1090

1094

1098

1102

1105

1108

1112

- Vellend, M., Brown, C.D., Kharouba, H.M., McCune, J.L. & Myers-Smith, I.H. (2013).
- Historical Ecology: using Unconventional Data Sources to Test for Effects of Global
- Environmental Change. *American Journal of Botany*, **100**, 1294–1305.
- 1086 Vogel, G. (2017). Where have all the insects gone? *Science*, **356**, 576–579.
- Wandeler, P., Hoeck, P.E.A. & Keller, L.F. (2007). Back to the future: museum specimens in
- population genetics. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, **22**, 634–642.
- Warren, M.S., Hill, J.K., Thomas, J.A., Asher, J., Fox, R., Huntley, B. et al. (2001). Rapid
- responses of British butterflies to opposing forces of climate and habitat change. *Nature*, **414**,
- 1093 65–69.
- 1095 Weiss, D., Shotyk, W., Kramers, J.D. & Gloor, M. (1999). Sphagnum mosses as archives of
- recent and past atmospheric lead deposition in Switzerland. Atmospheric Environment, 33, 3751–
- 1097 3763.
- Wernberg, T., Russell, B.D., Thomsen, M.S., Gurgel, C.F.D., Bradshaw, C.J.A., Poloczanska,
- 1100 E.S. et al. (2011). Seaweed communities in retreat from ocean warming. Current Biology, 21,
- 1101 1828–1832.
- Wilf, P. & Labandeira, C.C. (1999). Response of plant-insect associations to Paleocene-Eocene
- 1104 warming. *Science*, **284**, 2153–2156.
- 1106 Wilf, P., Zhang, S., Chikkerur, S., Little, S.A., Wing, S.L. & Serre, T. (2016). Computer vision
- cracks the leaf code. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, **113**, 3305–3310.
- Williams, A., Willis, C. G., Davis, C. C., Goh, J. Ellison, A. M., Law, E. (In Review). Deja Vu:
- 1110 Characterizing worker quality using task consistency. ACM CHI Conference on Human Factors
- in Computing Systems.
- Willis, C.G., Ellwood, E.R., Primack, R.B., Davis, C.C., Pearson, K.D., Gallinat, A.S. et al.
- 1114 (2017a). Old Plants, New Tricks: Phenological Research Using Herbarium Specimens. Trends in
- 1115 *ecology & evolution*, **32**, 531–546.
- 1117 Willis, C.G., Law, E., Williams, A. C., Franzone, B. F., Bernardos, R., Bruno, L., Hopkins, C.,
- 1118 Schorn, C., Weber, E., Park, D. S., Davis, C. C. (2017b). CrowdCurio: an online crowdsourcing

- platform to facilitate climate change studies using herbarium specimens. New Phytologist, 215,
- 1120 479–488.

1125

1128

1132

1135

1139

1143

1147

1151

1154

1158

- Willis, C.G., Ruhfel, B., Primack, R.B., Miller-Rushing, A.J. & Davis, C.C. (2008). Phylogenetic
- patterns of species loss in Thoreau's woods are driven by climate change. *Proceedings of the*
- National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America, **105**, 17029–17033.
- Wolkovich, E.M. & Cleland, E.E. (2011). The phenology of plant invasions: a community
- ecology perspective. Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment, **9**, 287–294.
- Wolkovich, E.M., Cook, B.I., Allen, J.M., Crimmins, T.M., Betancourt, J.L., Travers, S.E. et al.
- 1130 (2012). Warming experiments underpredict plant phenological responses to climate change.
- 1131 *Nature*, **485**, 494–497.
- Woodward, F.I. (1987). Stomatal numbers are sensitive to increases in CO2 from pre-industrial
- 1134 levels. *Nature*, **327**, 617–618.
- Wu, Z.T., Dijkstra, P., Koch, G.W., Penuelas, J. & Hungate, B.A. (2011). Responses of
- terrestrial ecosystems to temperature and precipitation change: a meta-analysis of experimental
- manipulation. *Global Change Biology*, **17**, 927–942.
- 1140 Yaakub, S.M., McKenzie, L.J., Erftemeijer, P.L.A., Bouma, T. & Todd, P.A. (2014). Courage
- under fire: Seagrass persistence adjacent to a highly urbanised city-state. *Marine Pollution*
- 1142 Bulletin, 83, 417–424.
- Youngsteadt, E., Dale, A.G., Terando, A.J., Dunn, R.R. & Frank, S.D. (2015). Do cities simulate
- climate change? A comparison of herbivore response to urban and global warming. *Global*
- 1146 *Change Biology*, **21**, 97–105.
- 21148 Zangerl, A.R. & Berenbaum, M.R. (2005). Increase in toxicity of an invasive weed after
- reassociation with its coevolved herbivore. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of
- 1150 the United States of America, **102**, 15529–15532.
- Zarnetske, P.L., Skelly, D.K. & Urban, M.C. (2012). Biotic Multipliers of Climate Change.
- 1153 Science, **336**, 1516–1518.
- 2155 Zavala, J.A., Nabity, P.D. & DeLucia, E.H. (2013). An Emerging Understanding of Mechanisms
- 1156 Governing Insect Herbivory Under Elevated CO<sub>2</sub>. In: Annual Review of Entomology, Vol 58 (ed.
- Berenbaum, MR). Annual Reviews Palo Alto, pp. 79–97.
- 2159 Ziska, L.H., Pettis, J.S. & Edwards, J. (2016). Rising atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> is reducing the protein
- concentration of a floral pollen source essential for North American bees. *Proceedings of the*
- 1161 Royal Society B. 283.

**Table 1.** Key research questions and hypotheses from the global change literature for which herbaria may be well-suited as a data source. Most of the research questions apply to multiple global change drivers and are grouped by the driver that has received the most interest. These suggestions are biased toward plants, for which more diverse herbarium data extraction methods have been developed, though we believe many could also be tested for fungi. We searched Web of Science to estimate the current interest in each research topic. Searches were performed with "Herbari\*" & the listed keywords. The "Citations" column contains the number of citations retrieved and, when available, iconic, representative examples from the literature.

Global change driver	Research questions	Herbarium data	Hypotheses	Keywords	Citations
Climate change	Are phenological shifts increasing or decreasing in magnitude?	<ul> <li>Flowering</li> <li>Plant, fungal fruiting</li> <li>Leaf-out</li> <li>Locality, date collected</li> </ul>	Plant flowering and leaf-out are becoming less sensitive to climate as species reach their tolerance thresholds.  Fungal fruiting patterns are changing across the season due to the redistribution of rainfall.	"Climate change" & "Phenolog*" or "Flower*" or "Leaf-out" or "Fruit*" or	95 Diez et al. (2013) Kauserud et al. (2010)
	How does plant geographic location affect phenological responses to climate change?	<ul> <li>Flowering</li> <li>Plant, fungal fruiting</li> <li>Leaf-out</li> <li>Locality, date collected (latitude, longitude)</li> </ul>	Within and between species, plant phenological cueing mechanisms vary across latitude.	"Seed*"	Matthews & Mazer (2016)

Are species' ranges shifting with climate change?	Locality, date collected (historical and current ranges)	Species are moving poleward and up elevations due to climate change.  Human development limits plant species movement poleward.	"Climate change" & "Species distribution" or "Range" & "Latitude" or "Elevation" or "Urbanization"	<b>20</b> Feeley <i>et al.</i> (2013) Feeley & Silman (2010)
Does dispersal syndrome influence plant range shifts due to climate change?	<ul> <li>Fruit dispersal mode</li> <li>Locality, date collected (historical and current ranges)</li> </ul>	Bird-dispersed plants are able to migrate longer distances than those dispersed simply by gravity.	"Climate Change" & "Dispersal"	19

How does climate change affect pest/pathogen abundance/diversit y/community structure?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage with specimens that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls (historical and current ranges, host shifts)</li> <li>Pathogen lesions, DNA, RNA (historical and current ranges, host shifts)</li> <li>Locality, date collected (community structure)</li> </ul>	Warmer climates increase the geographic spread of	"Climate Change" & "Pest" or "Herbiv*" or "Pathogen" or "Disease"	Youngsteadt et al. (2015)
--	---	---	---	---------------------------

	Have pollination rates changed through time? If so, are declines driven by climate change? Does climate change interact with other global change drivers, such as urbanization?	•	Flower morphology, e.g., corolla length Metrics of fitness, such as seed size, set Pollen protein concentrations Pollen removal	Pollination has decreased due to a combination of drivers, including climate change.	"Climate Change" & "Pollin*" or "Pollen"	Miller- Struttman et al. (2015) Pauw & Hawkins (2011) Ziska et al. (2016)
1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2	How have climatic niches changed, and how well do current environmental niche models match past distributions?	•	Locality, date collected (realized historical and current niches)	Climate envelopes predict geographical distributions, such that species fill newly available niche space driven by climate change.	"Climate Change" &  "Niche" or  "Species distribution" or  "SDM"	59
S	Are climatic changes and range shifts associated with shifts in gas exchange rates?	•	Gas exchange proxies, e.g., stomatal densities, isotope ratios, and guard cell lengths Water use efficiency proxies, e.g., stomatal density, molecular hydrogen isotope composition δD	Climate change has increased photosynthetic rates, except when plants are water-stressed.	"Climate change" & "Photosynthe*" or "Gas exchange" or "Stomata" or "Stomatal conductance"	7 Miller-Rushing et al. (2009)

Are plants evolving in situ to climate change?  Are cooler adapted genotypes being displaced by warmer adapted genotypes via migration?	<ul> <li>Plant DNA (allele frequencies)</li> <li>Morphology, e.g. size, shape, specileaf area</li> </ul>	these shifts are consistent with changing climate, e.g.	"Climate change" & "Evolution" or "Adaptation"	36
What roles do plant water relations play in responses to climate change across phylogeny and habitats?	<ul> <li>Water use efficie proxies, e.g., stor density, molecula hydrogen isotope composition δD</li> <li>Gas exchange pro e.g., stomatal densities, isotope ratios, and guard lengths</li> <li>Morphology, e.g. size, shape, specileaf area</li> <li>Plant carbon con</li> </ul>	warming increases plant productivity at mid and upper latitudes, except when plants are water stressed.  cell Plants worldwide are living close to their hydraulic limits.	"Climate change" &  "Water-use  efficiency" or  "Water stress" or  "Water potential"	4

Does climate change promote phenological asynchrony and/or ecological mismatch between associated species?	<ul> <li>Flowering</li> <li>Flower morphology, e.g., corolla length</li> <li>Plant, fungal fruiting</li> <li>Leaf-out</li> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Pollen removal</li> </ul>	Plant and insect phenology shift at similar rates with temperature, such that pollination and herbivory rates are constant despite climate change.  Plants and their insect/mycorrhizal associates respond to different cues and thus will become/are less synchronized due to climate change.  Asynchronies driven by climate change will be reduced over time by rapid selection for insects to synchronize with plants and in the case of pollination, and	"Climate change" & "Synchrony" or "Asynchrony" or "Ecological mismatch"	Kharouba & Vellend (2015) Miller- Struttman et al. (2015)
--	---	---	---	---

Invasive species	Is invasive species spread facilitated by genomic change?	•	Plant DNA (allele frequencies) Locality, date collected (time of introduction, spread)	New mutations or gene combinations enable invasive species to overcome dispersal barriers, perhaps via gene surfing on expanding population fronts.	"Invasive" or "Non-native" & "Genome" & "Adaptation" or "Genomic change"	Buswell et al. (2011) Vandepitte et al. (2013)
	Have invasive species demonstrated greater phenological advancement with climate warming than native species?	•	Flowering Leaf-out	Greater phenological advancement of non-native compared to native species facilitates invasions.	"Invasive" or "Non-native" & "Phenolog*" or "Flower*" or "Leaf-out" or "Fruit*" or "Seed*"	<b>46</b> Calinger (2015)
	What are the physical pathways of invasive species spread?	•	Plant, leaf miner DNA Locality, date collected (time of introduction, spread)	Natural pathways, such as waterways, were historically more important for invasive plant and insect species spread, but increasingly roads and railroads are key.	"Invasive" or "Non-native" & "Spread" or "Railroad" or "Road"	80  Barney (2006)  Joly et al.  (2011)  Saltonstall  (2002)

In novel habit does release f natural enemi promote invas species spread	assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls	One mechanism by which species become invasive is escape from co-evolved natural enemies. (Enemy Release Hypothesis)	"Invasive" or "Non-native" & "Natural enem*" or "Natural enemy release"	Zangerl & Berenbaum (2005)
What roles do diseases play invasions?	▲ Locality date	Diseases carried by non-native plants can facilitate their invasions via apparent competition.	"Invasive" or Non-native" & "Pathogen" or "Disease"	8 Malmstrom et al. (2007)

	Does exotic species' relatedness to natives determine invasiveness?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls (time of introduction, spread, host shifts)</li> <li>Plant defensive compounds</li> <li>Locality, date collected</li> <li>(time of introduction, spread)</li> </ul>	Exotic insect herbivores and pathogens are more likely to establish on novel host plants closely related to their co-evolved host plants.  Exotic plant/pathogen/herbivo re relatedness to native plants reduces the probability that they become invasive. (Darwin's Naturalization Hypothesis)	"Invasive" or "Non-native" & "Naturalization Hypothesis"	Though this search returns no references, see Park & Potter (2013) and Schaefer et al. (2011).
Habitat conversion	How do restored communities and their associates compare to predisturbance communities?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls</li> <li>Pathogen/endophyte/mycorrhizal DNA</li> <li>Locality, date collected (community metrics)</li> </ul>	Effects of restoration on diversity and community structure depend on land use legacies.	"Land use" or "Disturbance" & "Restoration"	Bertin (2002) Celesti-Grapow et al. (2013) DeCandido et al. (2004) Dolan et al. (2011) Gregor et al. (2012)

Can we us species occurrence to habitate to guide restoration	es prior change	Locality, date collected (species composition prior to intensified anthropogenic change)	Herbaria capture historical diversity and thus could serve as blueprints for restoration.	"Restoration"	37
Has global led to no-a communit	ınalog	Locality, date collected (historical community structure)	Habitat conversion, trade, climate change, among forms of global change, have led to novel plant and fungal communities.	"No-analog communit*" or "Novel communit*"	0
Are some communit structures robust to disturbanc invasion?	more	Locality, date collected (historical community structure, diversity) Plant DNA (phylogeny reconstructions)	More diverse plant communities are more resilient to herbivore/invasive plant/pathogen pressure. (Biodiversity Insurance Hypothesis)	"Insurance Hypothesis" or "Resilience" & "Diversity" or "Richness"	2

How do different types of habitat change filter plant species and their microbial/arthropo d associates?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls</li> <li>Pathogen/endophyte/mycorrhizal DNA</li> </ul>	Agriculture, urbanization, and other types of human development have signatures, such that biota in these habitats worldwide share common traits, and communities include certain species with global distributions. (Biotic Homogenization Hypothesis)	"Ecological filter" or "Habitat filter"	3
What is the timescale of natural restoration?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls</li> <li>Pathogen/endophyte/mycorrhizal DNA</li> <li>Locality, date collected time series of (community structure, diversity)</li> </ul>	Landscape connectivity increases the rate at which plants and their associates re-enter habitats.	"Re-establish*" or "Brownfield" or "Succession" or "Regeneration"	37

How do plant associates respond to land use change?	<ul> <li>Herbivory</li> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls</li> <li>Pathogen/endophyte/mycorrhizal DNA</li> <li>Pollen protein concentrations</li> <li>Pollen removal</li> </ul>	Biodiversity of plant associates has decreased over time due to habitat conversion.  Urbanization increases/decreases insect abundance/diversity/ herbivory/pollination.  Development releases some insects from their co-evolved natural enemies, allowing them to become pests (i.e., Enemy Release Hypothesis).  Reduced endophyte loads and diversity in cities affects plant interactions with pollinators and herbivores.	"Land use" or "Disturbance" & "Herbiv*" or "Pathogen" or "Disease" or "Pollin*" or "Pollen" or "Endophyte" or "Mutualis*" or "Commensal*" or "Competit*" or "Predat*"	Pauw & Hawkins (2011) Youngsteadt et al. (2015)
---	---	---	---	--

	What selection pressures does land use change impose on plants?	<ul> <li>Morphology, e.g., leaf size, shape, specific leaf area</li> <li>Plant DNA (allele frequencies)</li> </ul>	Cities worldwide, except deserts, select for species that can withstand relatively hot, dry, and open conditions.	"Land use" or "Disturbance" & "Adapt*" or "Selection" or "Evolution"	14  Dolan <i>et al</i> . (2011)  Neil <i>et al</i> . (2010)
	How has habitat conversion affected plants of cultural and economic importance, such as medicinal plants and wild crop relatives?	<ul> <li>Locality, date collected (abundance, historical and current ranges)</li> <li>Morphology, e.g., leaf size, shape, specific leaf area</li> <li>Metrics of fitness, such as seed size, set</li> <li>Physiological characteristics, such as such as stomatal densities, isotope ratios, and guard cell lengths</li> </ul>	Habitat conversion has reduced abundance and range sizes of many species.	"Land use" or "Disturbance" & "Economic" or "Crop" or "Medicinal"	14 Farnsworth & Ogurcak (2006)
Pollution	How has atmospheric pollution altered community composition of plants and fungi?	<ul> <li>Pollutant concentrations</li> <li>Locality, date collected (community structure, diversity)</li> </ul>	Pollution selects for resistant species and lineages, driving phylogenetic underdispersion and reduced diversity.	"Pollut*" & "Communit*" or "Assembl*"	8

Does biotic diversity enhance bioremediation, i.e., rates of pollutant removal, from a system?	More diverse communities remove pollutants more efficiently.	"Pollut*" & "Bioremediation" or "Recovery" & "Diversity" or "Richness"	0
How has exposure to atmospheric pollution varied through time and across regions?	Humans began polluting environments early in our history.	"Pollut*" & "Histor*" & "Human"	6

<ul> <li>Local collect struct phylodivers</li> <li>Pollution affect plant associates?</li> <li>Herbitansis</li> <li>Insect dama assign identification in the collection of the</li></ul>	centrations ality, date ected (community cture, ogenetic rsity)	"Pollut*" &  "Herbiv*" or  "Pathogen" or  "Disease" or  "Pollin*" or  "Pollen" or  "Endophyte" or  "Mutualis*" or  "Commensal*" or  "Competit*" or  "Predat*"	
--	---	---	--

		Pesticide presence has increased in natural plant populations over time.		
Are pesticides, e.g., neonicotinoids/chlorpyrifos, responsible for the sudden decline of many insects?	<ul> <li>Insects and their damage that can be assigned species identity, e.g., leaf mines, galls</li> <li>Pesticide residues in/on pollen and leaves</li> <li>Pollen removal</li> </ul>	Pesticides are present in a diversity of noncrop plants.  Wild insect diversity/abundance/herbivory has decreased due to pesticides.  Pollen transfer has declined with pesticide use.	"Pollut*" & "Pesticide" & "Insect"	0

Exploitation	Do protected areas truly protect threatened and endangered species?	•	Locality, date collected (historical and current occurrence within protected areas)	Because the locations of protected areas are driven by human concerns, and rarely informed by diversity data, many species are not protected.  The amount of biodiversity preserved over time depends on management regimes, and resulting habitat patch size and connectivity.	"Protected area" and "Threatened species" or "Endangered species"	3 Romeiras <i>et al.</i> (2014)
	Can we predict extinctions and populations declines due to exploitation?	•	Metrics of fitness, such as seed size, set Morphology, e.g., leaf size, shape, specific leaf area Physiological characteristics, such as such as stomatal densities, isotope ratios, and guard cell lengths Plant DNA (allele frequencies)	Elevated rates of genotypic/phenotypic change precede population collapse.	"Harvest" and "Extinction" or "Extirpation" or "Decline"	4

What are the selection coefficients imposed by human harvests?	<ul> <li>Morphology, e.g., leaf size, shape, specific leaf area</li> <li>Plant DNA (allele frequencies)</li> </ul>	Human harvests of wild plants reduce plant size by inducing selection pressure against larger individuals.	"Harvest" & "CITES" or "Exploitation" & "Selection" or "Adaptation" or "Morphology" or "Size" or "Height" or "Specific leaf area"	36 Law & Salick (2005)
--	--	--	---	------------------------------

**Table 2. Herbarium data for global change research.** Here, we highlight the different data types, challenges to their use, and potential solutions to overcome these challenges. We focus here on the data types and uses we have discussed in the main text; other applications may be subject to additional challenges (and potential solutions).

Herbarium data	Challenges	Potential solutions
All data	Biases over space, time, and phylogeny	<ul> <li>Careful focal herbaria/species selection aided by digitized specimens</li> <li>Subsampling and techniques for inference with biased data, and statistical methods such as machine learning</li> </ul>
	Lack of recorded absences	Statistical tools for simulating or otherwise analysing presence-only data, which are already well-developed for species distribution models and may be employed for other areas of research.
Occurrence (locality, date collected)	Coarse-level geographical data.	<ul> <li>Careful focal herbaria/species selection aided by digitized specimens</li> <li>In some cases, it may be possible to address hypotheses with environmental data at coarse scales, e.g., temperature data averaged at the county</li> </ul>

		level in the U.S.
	Specimens are most likely to be collected at peak flowering times, thus missing early season phenological events and times of first event.	• Use statistical estimators to infer timing of first events from a sampled distribution (Pearse <i>et al</i> . In Press).
		When comparing across space, time, and/or phylogeny, relative measures may be sufficient to address hypotheses of interest.
Phenology	Collectors tend to retrieve specimens from near roadsides (Daru <i>et al</i> . In Press), which could affect phenology. For example, life events may be advanced relative to plants in more natural areas due to the urban heat	• For studies that do require absolute measurements, use specimens with geolocations and model potential biases (e.g., urbanization).
	island effect or delayed due to drought-like conditions.	• Additional studies are needed to assess the effects of local collection biases on global change data, especially for plant traits that are sensitive to temperature, such as phenology.
	For leaf-out, categorical criteria, such as pubescence, can be used to determine when specimens have newly flushed leaves (see Everill <i>et</i>	• Continuous criteria can be developed, such as leaf size relative to fully flushed leaf size (also see Everill <i>et al.</i>

	al. (2014)). However, many species do not have unique qualities associated with new leaves.	2014), but such criteria introduce considerably more work than do categorical characteristics.
	Botanists may be more likely to collect specimens from more vigorous individuals or branches with greater seed set, seed size, leaf size, water use efficiency, etc.	<ul> <li>When comparing across space, time, and/or phylogeny, relative measures may be sufficient to address hypotheses of interest.</li> <li>Cross-validate recent collections with observational data to ascertain, and thus allow correction for, potential sampling biases.</li> </ul>
Other traits (morphology, physiology, fitness, and microbiomes)		Check digital images and select intact specimens.
	Key tissues, morphological structures, or developmental stages may not be sampled or may be damaged. For example, roots are necessary for mycorrhizal studies but are not present on all specimens.	<ul> <li>If alleles for a trait are known, it may be possible to genotype a sample without a visible phenotype and thus avoid the need for the actual structure possessing the trait of interest.</li> <li>For certain species, roots can be easily collected and are thus present on many herbarium specimens. Overcoming this challenge should be a matter of</li> </ul>

		choosing appropriate focal taxa.
Pollination	Matching data on pollinators are often not available.	• Score pollination on herbarium specimens. This will only be possible for groups that have distinct pollen-holding structures (pollinaria), such as many orchids and milkweeds.
	To build matching plant-pollinator collections, one needs to know which pollinators are associated with which plants.	Identify plants via pollen morphology or DNA preserved on pollinator specimens.
	Botanists may be likely to collect less	<ul> <li>When comparing across space, time, and/or phylogeny, relative measures may be sufficient to address hypotheses of interest.</li> </ul>
Antagonistic interactions (herbivory, disease)	damaged specimens.	<ul> <li>Cross-validate recent collections with observational data to ascertain, and thus allow correction for, potential sampling biases.</li> </ul>
	Difficulty differentiating between taxa. For example, it may be difficult to identify taxa that created galls and leaf mines because of specimen degradation.	<ul> <li>Combined morphological and molecular methods may improve identifications.</li> <li>Focus on species with distinct,</li> </ul>

	T
	well-preserved gall, mine, and pathogen damage morphologies.
	• Direct sequencing of associates if preserved on plant specimens, for example, using DNA barcodes for species identification.
	• For most species, RNA will be too degraded. However, Malmstrom <i>et al.</i> (2007) have shown it is possible to extract usable RNA in some (perhaps rare) cases.
DNA, RNA degradation	Next generation sequencing techniques developed for amplifying degraded or ancient DNA
	Collection of tissue samples preserved in silica or banked in ultra-low temperature storage.
Quantifying damage on individual specimens	We have demonstrated the potential of subsampling specimens to quantify herbivory damage or pathogen lesions. (See the "Limitations and Challenges" section),

		analogous to the use of quadrats in vegetation sampling.
		<ul> <li>In cases where visual signs of herbivory are difficult to quantify, defensive compounds might provide an alternative way to detect damage.</li> </ul>
	Choosing appropriate indicator species for pollutants, regions, and time periods of interest	• Indicator species for many pollutants, such as nitrogen and heavy metals, have been established. Digitized records can then help select those with suitable temporal and geographic coverage.
Pollution	Pesticide degradation	• Some pesticides degrade faster than others, and recovering pesticide residues may be possible for chemicals that break down more slowly. This area of research has not been explored, and thus methods are not yet developed.
	Botanists may be unlikely to collect in heavily polluted environments or near areas where pesticides have been applied.	• Labels may indicate if specimens were collected near farms, roads, homes, and industry. These metadata can be included in subsequent

analyses.

1191 1192

1193

1194

1195

1196

1197

1198

1199

1200

1201

1202

1203

1204

1205

1206

1207

1208

1209

1210

1211

1212

1213

1214

1215

1216

1217

1218

1219

1220

Figure captions Fig. 1. The spatial, temporal, and phylogenetic extents of herbarium specimens. Here we explore the richness of herbarium data, with emphasis on the major herbaria in and area within the northeastern USA referred to as New England (http://neherbaria.org/). (a) The herbaria of New England house more than half a million regional herbarium specimens collected as early as the 1800s, before industrialization and globalization, that can serve as baselines prior to anthropogenic change. The density plot represents the number of collections each year. (b) These specimens have spatial coverage across the region (shown here), and millions more specimens are available from other parts of the world. Though there is clear spatial bias, the spatial coverage exceeds that which is typically available in observations and experiments. (c) These specimens span most branches of the vascular plant tree of life. Here, bars represent log abundance of specimens in families, which are organized by evolutionary history (Harris & Davies 2016). (d) Herbaria are distributed worldwide. Many of the larger herbaria have amassed similar coverage to the New England herbaria across these axes. Here, symbols represent herbaria. Herbaria with more than one million specimens are indicated by white stars. Fig. 2. The diversity of insect herbivory preserved on herbarium specimens. We found several types of herbivory on herbarium specimens made by a diversity of arthropods, and quantified herbivory in five categories representing, (a) chewing, (b) skeletonization, (c) stippling, (d) leaf galls (here, with emerging gall wasp circled in red), and leaf mines: (e) typical leaf mine and (f) leaf mine with miner inside circled in red. We found other herbivores, such as aphids and caterpillars, pressed with plant specimens, but these were rarer. Chewing damage is typically made by caterpillars and beetles; leaf mines are made by flies, beetles, and mites; stippling is made by leafhoppers and other species that remove cell contents from leaves; leaf galls are typically made by gall wasps. Fig. 3. Herbivory recorded on herbarium specimens of New England. We examined herbarium specimens from 20 species from the northeastern US for various types of herbivory (see Appendix S1) and contrasted observations to expectations from the literature. We found that (a) species that are closely related have similar herbivory (Blomberg's K=0.4), (b) composition of damage types on herbarium specimens is more similar within than between species and

1222

1223

1224

1225

1226

1227

1228

1229

1230

1231

1232

1233

1234

1235

1236

1237

1238

1239

1240

1241

1242

1243

1244

1245

1246

1247

1248

1249

1250

genera, illustrated here by two genera Viola and Lespedeza (PERMANOVA,  $F_{19.507}$ =7.05, p<0.001). Herbivory within genera clusters more tightly than between genera, as shown by the general separation of polygons. Similarly, herbivory composition is significantly different between species within genera, shown here as partial overlap of points colored by species. (c) Herbivory on herbarium specimens is highly skewed, and (d) herbivory increased as growing seasons progressed. Chewing damage is shown in (a) and (d) because it was the most prevalent type of herbivory (as shown in c). Detailed statistics are available in Appendix 1. Appendix S1. Detailed sampling methods for scoring herbivory on herbarium specimens Herbivory data collection We chose focal species by selecting from the list of native species included in Willis *et al.*, 2008. We filtered this list for species with over 15 specimens collected between 1900 and 1920, 1920 and 1940, 1940 and 1960 and deposited at the Harvard University Herbaria. We randomly chose 10 native rosids and 10 native asterids from this filtered database to ensure phylogenetic breadth in our survey. We chose only native species to avoid any effects of recent introduction on herbivory. We then randomly selected 40 specimens from each species collected between 1900 and 1960 from Connecticut, Massachusetts, and Rhode Island and preserved in the Harvard University Herbaria collections. We chose these states to minimize spatial variation in the data and these years to exclude impacts of recent global warming, which became pronounced in the 1970s (IPCC 2014). To quantify herbivory, we randomly subsampled five cells within a 40.64 by 25.40-cm grid overlaid on each specimen. If a cell did not include leaf material we selected another cell. We marked each grid cell for presence/absence of each type of herbivory, e.g., leaf mines, galls, chewing damage. We encountered several challenges when quantifying herbivory. Historically, many herbarium collections were previously kept in collectors' homes where they were not protected from insects. Insects can also infest tightly sealed cabinets where herbarium specimens are currently stored. We were only interested in herbivory that happened outdoors. Therefore, we developed methods for distinguishing indoor and outdoor herbivory. We determined that outdoor herbivory

1252

1253

1254

1255

1256

1257

1258

1259

1260

1261

1262

1263

1264

1265

1266

1267

1268

1269

1270

1271

1272

1273

1274

1275

1276

1277

1278

1279

1280

is generally distinct from indoor herbivory, in that live plants form toughened, necrotic tissue around the wounds made by herbivores. However, this tissue is more visible on some species than others. Therefore, certain species may not be appropriate for herbarium studies focused on herbivory. In this study, there were several cases in which individual specimens were damaged, and we could not distinguish indoor from outdoor herbivory. When we encountered this issue, we randomly selected another specimen to replace the specimen with ambiguous damage. Statistical analyses First, we examined the relationships between herbivore damage and host plants. We expected significant variation in herbivory between plant species, because plants have evolved to various extents to defend against different herbivores (Bale et al., 2002). We also expected that closely related species would have similar levels of damage, because they are likely to share similar antiherbivory traits, including defense and tolerance (Pilson 2000; Agrawal & Fishbein 2008; Futuyma & Agrawal 2009; Fornoni 2011), though we note that few studies explore plant tolerance in phylogenetically explicit frameworks. Consistent with these expectations, we demonstrated that there was (1) significant variation in herbivory between plant species (GLMER;  $X_{1.19}^2 = 855.50$ , P < 0.0001), focusing on chewing damage, which was by far the most prevalent type of herbivory (Fig. 3c), and (2) evidence suggesting close relatives had similar herbivory (Fig. 3a; phylogenetic signal: Blomberg's K=0.40), although low sample size (n=20) limited our ability to test for statistical significance. We then evaluated the prediction that damage composition would cluster by plant species. Because herbivory is frequently host-plant specific, the types of herbivory on plant species, e.g., chewing, skeletonization, leaf mines, and the relative amounts of herbivory types, should be more similar among individuals within species than between species. We tested this expectation by building a dissimilarity matrix using the abundances of each damage type on each specimen and evaluating the distances between samples among individuals within vs between species. As expected, damage types cluster within species and genera (Fig. 3b), and centroids within the dissimilarity matrix were significantly different among species (PERMANOVA:  $F_{19,507}$ =7.05, p<0.001). Second, we examined the statistical distribution of herbivory and the accumulation of damage through time. Because plants vary in their defenses against herbivory, and insect attacks can be highly localized, herbivory data tend to have medians of zero, a pattern seen frequently in studies

on living plants (Turcotte *et al.*, 2014). In addition, we would expect that as growing seasons progress, the diversity of damage types found on specimens should increase, as the leaves are exposed to herbivores for longer, and as galls and leaf mines grow and become detectable. We found support for both predictions in our data. Most observations showed no evidence of herbivory with a few observations showing high damage (Fig. 3c), but damage diversity, calculated as the number of types of damage per specimen, was higher on specimens collected later in the year (Fig. 3d; GLMER: Wald Z=4.06, p<0.0001).

Last, we contrasted our estimates of herbivory from herbarium specimens to a database of herbivory collated by Turcotte *et al.* (2014). We found five matching genera between datasets and demonstrated that, across these genera, herbivory was strongly correlated (Pearson correlation: r= 0.71).





