1

# **GDF15** Concentrations in Maternal Serum Associated with Vomiting in Pregnancy:

# the Cambridge Baby Growth Study

Clive J. Petry<sup>1</sup>, Ken K. Ong<sup>1,2</sup>, Keith A. Burling<sup>3</sup>, Peter Barker<sup>3</sup>, John R.B. Perry<sup>2</sup>, Carlo L.

Acerini<sup>1,4</sup>, Ieuan A. Hughes<sup>1</sup>, David B. Dunger<sup>1,4</sup>, Stephen O'Rahilly<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Paediatrics, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K.

<sup>2</sup>Medical Research Council Epidemiology Unit, Wellcome Trust-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K. <sup>3</sup>NIHR Biomedical Research Centre Core Biochemistry Assay Lab, Department of Clinical Biochemistry, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K.

<sup>4</sup> Metabolic Research Laboratories and MRC Metabolic Diseases Unit, Wellcome Trust-MRC Institute of Metabolic Science, University of Cambridge, Addenbrooke's Hospital, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K.

Correspondence: S O'Rahilly,

Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Hills Road, Cambridge CB2 0QQ, U.K.

E-mail: <a href="mailto:so104@medschl.cam.ac.uk">so104@medschl.cam.ac.uk</a>

2

# Abstract

Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy (NVP) affects 70-90% of all pregnant women but its pathogenesis is unknown. Growth and Differentiation Factor 15 (GDF15), secreted from the trophoblast and decidual stromal cells, is present at high levels in the blood of pregnant women. The receptor for GDF15 has recently been identified and is specifically expressed in the hindbrain where it transmits aversive signals including nausea and conditioned taste aversion. We explored the relationship between GDF15 concentrations in maternal serum during pregnancy and self-reported NVP. In a study of 791 women from the Cambridge Baby Growth Study maternal GDF15 concentrations were higher in women who reported vomiting in the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester (geometric mean: 11,670 pg/mL; 95% confidence interval: 11,056-12,318) and were even higher in the eleven women who reported taking antiemetics during pregnancy (13,376 (10,821-16,535) compared to those who reported no nausea or vomiting during pregnancy (10,657 (10,121-11,222); P=0.02 and P=0.04, respectively, adjusted for gestational age at sampling and maternal BMI). In conclusion serum GDF15 concentrations early in the second trimester of pregnancy are significantly and positively associated with second trimester vomiting and with maternal anti-emetic use. In the context of the recently revealed biology of GDF15 this data suggests that antagonism of GDF15 may have some potential for therapeutic benefit in NVP.

#### Background

Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy (NVP) affects 70-90% of all pregnant women. The most severe form of NVP, hyperemesis gravidarum (HG), leads to maternal dehydration and electrolyte imbalance and is the most common cause for hospital admission during early pregnancy [1]. Even though the majority of cases of NVP are mild or moderate, these

conditions have substantial consequences for the mother's quality of life [2], psychological morbidity [3], workplace productivity [4], and diet quality, characterised by high intakes of carbohydrates and added sugar [5]. Furthermore, NVP may have potential adverse effects on the developing fetus, as indicated by higher likelihood of low birth weight, preterm delivery, and small size at birth for gestational age in women with HG [6]. While effective pharmacological interventions are available, there are concerns regarding possible fetal teratogenicity of some agents [7].

Despite the high prevalence of NVP, its pathogenesis is poorly understood. Protective factors include: non-primiparous pregnancy, older maternal age and smoking [1]. Reproductive hormones, such as human chorionic gonadotropin, progesterone and oestrogen, have been implicated due to their rise in concentrations in the mother's circulation contemporaneous with the manifestation of NVP [8]. However nausea and vomiting are not common side-effects of such agents when administered in other settings. Recent genetic studies of HG have implicated rare variants in *TSHR*, which encodes the thyrotropin receptor [9], and *RYR2*, which encodes a stress-induced intracellular calcium release channel involved in cyclic-vomiting syndrome [10] in some familial cases. In contrast to rare and deleterious mutations as causes of HG, evolutionary theories have been proposed for NVP as a beneficial strategy to protect the fetus from maternal ingestion of noxious substances, particularly during the early stages of pregnancy coinciding with organogenesis when the fetus is most vulnerable [8].

Growth and Differentiation Factor 15 (GDF15) signalling through its receptor (a heterodimer of GFRAL and RET) has recently been identified to activate the mammalian chemoreceptor

trigger zone of the medulla to suppress food intake in mice [11-14], and therefore represents a potential mechanism for the aversion to foods and eating behaviours during periods of stress, sickness or high vulnerability to external toxins [8]. GDF15 is expressed predominantly in liver, but also by other tissues including placental trophoblasts and decidua and circulating levels rise rapidly in maternal blood during the first trimester of pregnancy and remain elevated until delivery [15].

To explore the hypothesis that GDF15-GFRAL signalling may represent a fetal-induced system to promote protective aversive eating behaviours by the mother during early pregnancy [16], we measured circulating GDF15 concentrations in maternal serum during the 1<sup>st</sup> half of pregnancy and related these levels to self-reported NVP in a large prospective pregnancy cohort study.

#### **Materials & Methods**

#### Cohort

The prospective Cambridge Baby Growth Study recruited 2,229 mothers (and their partners and offspring) attending antenatal ultrasound clinics during early pregnancy at the Rosie Maternity Hospital, Cambridge, United Kingdom, between 2001 and 2009 [17]. All mothers were over 16 years of age. In this cohort, 96.9 % of the offspring were of white ethnicity, 0.8 % were of mixed race, 0.6 % were black (African or Caribbean), 0.8 % were East-Asian, and 0.9 % were Indo-Asian. Blood samples, from which serum was separated and aliquoted, were collected from 1,177 (52.8%) mothers at recruitment.

Each mother was given a printed questionnaire at recruitment to fill in and return after the birth of their child [18]. The questionnaire included boxes to tick if the participants had experienced NVP during pregnancy. If either the nausea or vomiting boxes was ticked there were further boxes to complete concerning the timing (i.e. week(s) of pregnancy) when the nausea or vomiting was experienced. An additional question asked "Have you taken any medicine during this pregnancy?" and a table was provided for positive responses with the following headings: "Name", "Disease", "Daily Dose", "No. of Days" and "Gestational Week(s)". A total of 1,238 women (54.6 %) returned a questionnaire. Of these, only 3 self-reported that they had HG and a further 17 reported treatment with an anti-emetic agent: cyclizine (n=7), promethazine (n=5), prochlorperazine (n=4), metoclopramide (n=2), domperidone (n=2), prednisolone (n=2), chlorphenamine (n=1), ondansetron (n=1), chlorpromazine (n=1) and unknown (n=1). The timing of NVP was categorised into trimesters (1<sup>st</sup> : up to gestational week 12; 2<sup>nd</sup> 13 to 27 weeks; 3<sup>rd</sup> 28+ weeks).

The current analysis was based on 791 women in CBGS who had an available serum sample collected between gestational age 12 and 18 weeks and returned a completed questionnaire [18].

## **GDF15** Concentration Measurements

Serum GDF15 concentrations were measured using an in-house Meso Scale Discovery electrochemiluminescence immunoassay (Meso Scale Diagnostics, Rockville, Maryland, U.S.A.) developed using antibodies from R & D Systems Ltd. Quantikine reagents (BioTechne Ltd., Abingdon, U.K.). The sensitivity of this assay was 3 pg/mL and the working range went

up to 32,000 pg/mL. Batch-to-batch variability was 9.8% at 352 pg/mL, 8.1% at 1490 pg/mL and 7.8% at 6667 pg/mL.

#### Statistical Analysis

Women were categorised into one of three groups: vomiting; nausea but no vomiting; and no nausea or vomiting. The primary outcome was vomiting during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester, as this coincided with the timing of maternal serum sampling. These were compared to women who reported no nausea or vomiting. Maternal body mass index (BMI) was calculated dividing self-reported body weight prior to pregnancy by self-reported height-squared.

Serum GDF15 concentrations were natural logarithm-transformed to achieve a normal distribution and were considered as the dependent variable in linear regression models with adjustment for gestational age at serum sample collection. Where the relationship with adjusted log-transformed GDF15 concentrations did not appear to be linear, data were transformed to approximate linearity prior to analysis. Statistical analyses were performed using Stata 13.1 (StataCorp LP, College Station, Texas, U.S.A.). P<0.05 was considered to indicate statistically significance.

## Results

37.7% (n=298) of women reported vomiting during any trimester of pregnancy. A further 37.9% (n=300) reported nausea but no vomiting, and only 24.4% (n=193) reported no nausea or vomiting. More women (32.0%, n=253) reported vomiting during the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester compared to 22.1% (n=175) in the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester, and only 3.8% (n=30) in the 3<sup>rd</sup> trimester. 86.9% and 56.7% of those reporting vomiting in the 2<sup>nd</sup> and 3<sup>rd</sup> trimesters also reported

vomiting during the 1<sup>st</sup> trimester, respectively. Women who reported vomiting during the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester had higher parity, were younger and were carrying relatively more female babies than women who reported no nausea or vomiting during pregnancy (Table 1), but there were no differences in BMI.

## Maternal GDF15 Concentrations

The median GDF15 concentration was 11,004 pg/mL (range 2,378-34,621) in serum samples collected at mean gestational age 15.1 weeks (range 12.0-18.0). Maternal GDF15 concentrations were not associated with gestational age at sampling (linear model with log-GDF15: P=0.4, standardised  $\beta$ =-0.03).

### Maternal GDF15 Concentrations Associated with Vomiting in Pregnancy

Maternal GDF15 concentrations were higher in women who reported vomiting in the 2nd trimester of pregnancy compared to those who reported no nausea or vomiting during pregnancy (P=0.02; Table 2). This association was unaltered by adjustment for gestation at serum sampling or by maternal BMI. The maternal GDF15 concentrations were unchanged in women who reported nausea alone in the 2nd trimester of pregnancy.

Eleven women (1.4%) reported taking an anti-emetic medication during pregnancy (10 (90.9%) of whom reported vomiting, 1 (9.1%) of reported nausea without vomiting). Their serum GDF15 concentrations were also raised compared to women who reported no nausea or vomiting during pregnancy (P=0.04, adjusted for gestation; Table 2).

8

### Discussion

In this large prospective pregnancy cohort study, we show that maternal circulating GDF15 concentrations were higher in women who reported vomiting in the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester and were even higher in women who reported taking anti-emetics during pregnancy, compared to those who reported no nausea or vomiting during pregnancy.

To our knowledge, this is the first report relating GDF15 concentrations to vomiting during pregnancy. Circulating GDF15 concentrations rise rapidly in maternal blood during early pregnancy and several studies have reportedly substantially lower concentrations at around 6-13 weeks gestation in those pregnancies that subsequently miscarried [19, 20]. Possible explanations for this highly reproducible phenomenon have included the suggestion that maternal circulating GDF15 is a biomarker of successful placentation. Alternatively it has been suggested that GDF15 (also known as Macrophage inhibitory cytokine 1) may promote fetal viability through an immunomodulatory action. However the recent discovery of the highly specific expression of the receptor for GDF15 in the hindbrain makes this less likely [21]. Despite that uncertainty, our findings provide a possible mechanistic explanation for the widely observed associations between NVP and lower rates of miscarriage, malformations and prematurity [22].

Ideally, we would have measured maternal GDF15 concentrations in samples collected at gestational age 9 weeks, which is the peak for NVP symptoms. However, many women have not yet presented to maternal health services at that stage, and indeed for many women NVP represents the first indication of pregnancy. Maternal BMI was only available prepregnancy, but weight is unlikely to have changed much during the initial 15 weeks of

pregnancy. Unsurprisingly, reflecting recruitment of the cohort at routine antenatal clinics, cases of HG were under-represented. Future case-control study designs are needed to test whether our findings can be extrapolated to HG.

There is a pressing need for improved therapy for NVP and HG. Manipulation of GDF15 and/or its signalling pathways may provide a novel avenue in this regard. Future studies are also needed to understand the role of fetal genetic variation and other factors that regulate maternal GDF15 concentrations during pregnancy.

It has been proposed that the role of GDF15 in the adult organism is to provide a signal to the brain that the organism is engaging in a behaviour that is damaging. Its hindbrainlocalised receptor activates a highly aversive signal which promotes the future avoidance of this particular behaviour. We propose that the placenta has evolved to use the GDF15 system to promote food-aversive behaviours in the mother to protect the fetus from exposure to maternal ingestion of potential teratogens during the vulnerable stages of organ development.

## Declarations

## Ethics approval and consent to participate

The Cambridge Baby Growth Study was approved by the Cambridge Research Ethics Committee, Cambridge, United Kingdom. All procedures followed were in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines. Written informed consent was obtained from all the study participants.

#### 10

## Competing interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

#### Funding

This project was supported by an unrestricted award from the Novo Nordisk Foundation (International Prize for Excellence in diabetes research) (SOR). The Cambridge Baby Growth Study has been funded by the Medical Research Council (7500001180), European Union Framework 5 (QLK4-1999-01422), the Mothercare Charitable Foundation (RG54608), Newlife Foundation for Disabled Children (07/20), and the World Cancer Research Fund International (2004/03). It is also supported by the National Institute for Health Research Cambridge Biomedical Research Centre. KKO and JRB are supported by the Medical Research Council [Unit Programme MC\_UU\_12015/2].

The sponsors had no role in the study design, collection, analysis or interpretation of the data, the writing of the manuscript or the decision to submit it for publication.

#### Authors' contributions

SOR hypothesised the involvement of GDF15 in NVP and initiated the project, which was designed by SO, DD, JP and KO. KAB and PB performed the serum measurements. CP collated Cambridge Baby Growth Study questionnaire data, prepared the serum samples and performed the statistical analyses. IH, DD, CA and KO designed and oversee the Cambridge Baby Growth Study. CP and KO drafted the manuscript, and all authors commented on subsequent drafts and approved the final manuscript.

## Acknowledgements

We thank all the families who took part in the Cambridge Baby Growth Study, and we acknowledge the crucial role played by the research nurses especially Suzanne Smith, Ann-Marie Wardell and Karen Forbes, staff at the Addenbrooke's Wellcome Trust Clinical Research Facility, and midwives at the Rosie Maternity Hospital. We also thank Diane Wingate for expert assistance in sample preparation and Ashley Clarke for developing and validating the GDF15 immunoassay.

## References

- Jarvis S, Nelson-Piercy C. Management of nausea and vomiting in pregnancy. BMJ 2011;
   342:d3606.
- (2) Wood H, McKellar LV, Lightbody M. Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy: blooming or bloomin' awful? A review of the literature. Women Birth 2013; 26:100-4.
- (3) Mitchell-Jones N, Gallos I, Farren J, Tobias A, Bottomley C, Bourne T. Psychological morbidity associated with hyperemesis gravidarum: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BJOG 2017; 124:20-30.
- (4) Trovik J, Vikanes Å. Hyperemesis Gravidarum is associated with substantial economic burden in addition to severe physical and psychological suffering. Isr J Health Policy Res 2016; 5:43.
- (5) Chortatos A, Haugen M, Iversen PO, Vikanes Å, Magnus P, Veierød MB. Nausea and vomiting in pregnancy: associations with maternal gestational diet and lifestyle factors in the Norwegian Mother and Child Cohort Study. BJOG 2013; 120:1642-53.

- (6) Veenendaal MV, van Abeelen AF, Painter RC, van der Post JA, Roseboom TJ. Consequences of hyperemesis gravidarum for offspring: a systematic review and metaanalysis. BJOG 2011; 118:1302-13.
- (7) Koren G. Safety considerations surrounding use of treatment options for nausea and vomiting in pregnancy. Expert Opin Drug Saf 2017; 16:1227-34.
- (8) Patil CL, Abrams ET, Steinmetz AR, Young SL. Appetite sensations and nausea and vomiting in pregnancy: an overview of the explanations. Ecol Food Nutr 2012; 51:394-417.
- (9) Coulon AL, Savagner F, Briet C, Vernin M, Munier M, Chabre O, Rodien P. Prolonged and Severe Gestational Thyrotoxicosis Due to Enhanced hCG Sensitivity of a Mutant Thyrotropin Receptor. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2016; 101:1-5.
- (10) Fejzo MS, Myhre R, Colodro-Conde L, MacGibbon KW, Sinsheimer JS, Reddy MV,
   Pajukanta P, Nyholt DR, Wright MJ, Martin NG, Engel SM, Medland SE, Magnus P, Mullin
   PM. Genetic analysis of hyperemesis gravidarum reveals association with intracellular
   calcium release channel (RYR2). Mol Cell Endocrinol 2017; 439:308-16.
- (11) Mullican SE, Lin-Schmidt X, Chin CN, Chavez JA, Furman JL, Armstrong AA, Beck SC, South VJ, Dinh TQ, Cash-Mason T, Cavanaugh C, Nelson S, Huang CC, Hunter MJ, Rangwala SM. GDF15 Mediates Weight Loss through Interaction with GFRAL. Nature Med 2017; 23:1150–7.
- (12) Emmerson PJ, Wang F, Du Y, Liu Q, Pickard RT, Emmerson PJ, Gonciarz MD, Coskun T,
  Hamang MJ, Muppidi A, Alsina-Fernandez J, Barnard GC, Tang JX, Liu XL, Mao XD, Siegel
  R, Sloan JH, Gimeno RE, Zhang BB, Shan B, Wu XL. The metabolic effects of GDF15 are
  mediated by the orphan receptor GFRAL. Nature Med 2017; 23:1215–9.

- (13) Yang L, Chang CC, Sun Z, Madsen D, Zhu HS, Padkjær SB, Wu X, Huang T, Paulsen SJ, Wang J, Bugge A, Frantzen JB, Nørgaard P, Jeppesen JF, Yang Z, Secher A, Chen HB, Li X, John LM, Shan B, He ZH, Gao X, Hultman K, Su J, Hansen KT, Yang W, Jørgensen SB. The GFRAL receptor is 1 responsible and indispensable for conveying anti-obesity actions of GDF15/MIC-1. Nature Med 2017; 23:1158–66.
- (14) Hsu JY, Crawley S, Chen M, Ayupova DA, Lindhout DA Higbee J, Kutach A, Joo, W, Gao Z, Fu D, To C, Mondal K, Li B, Kekatpure A, Wang M, Laird T, Horner G, Chan J, McEntee M, Lopez N, Lakshminarasimhan D, White A, Wang SP, Yao J, Yie J, Matern H, Solloway M, Haldankar R Parsons T, Tang J, Shen WD, Chen YA Tian H, Allan BB Non-homeostatic body weight regulation through a brainstem-restricted receptor for GDF15. Nature 2017: doi:10.1038/nature24042.
- (15) Moore AG, Brown DA, Fairlie WD, Bauskin AR, Brown PK, Munier ML, Russell PK, Salamonsen LA, Wallace EM, Breit SN. The transforming growth factor-ss superfamily cytokine macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 is present in high concentrations in the serum of pregnant women. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2000; 85:4781-8.
- (16) O'Rahilly S. GDF15. From Biomarker to Allostatic Hormone. Cell Metabolism 2017; *in press*.
- (17) Petry CJ, Ong KK, Hughes IA, Acerini CL, Frystyk J, Dunger DB. Early Pregnancy-Associated Plasma Protein A Concentrations Are Associated With Third Trimester Insulin Sensitivity. J Clin Endocrinol Metab 2017; 102:2000-8.
- (18) Petry CJ, Ong KK, Hughes IA, Acerini CL, Dunger DB. Associations between bacterial infections and blood pressure in pregnancy. Pregnancy Hypertens 2017; doi: 10.1016/j.preghy.2017.09.004.

- (19) Tong S, Marjono B, Brown DA, Mulvey S, Breit SN, Manuelpillai U, Wallace EM. Serum concentrations of macrophage inhibitory cytokine 1 (MIC 1) as a predictor of miscarriage. Lancet 2004; 363:129-30.
- (20) Tong S, Ngian GL, Onwude JL, Permezel M, Saglam B, Hay S, Konje JC, Marczylo TH, Fleming G, Walker SP, Lappas M. Diagnostic accuracy of maternal serum macrophage inhibitory cytokine-1 and pregnancy-associated plasma protein-A at 6-10 weeks of gestation to predict miscarriage. Obstet Gynecol 2012; 119:1000-8.
- (21) Segerer SE, Rieger L, Kapp M, Dombrowski Y, Müller N, Dietl J, Kämmerer U. MIC-1 (a multifunctional modulator of dendritic cell phenotype and function) is produced by decidual stromal cells and trophoblasts. Hum Reprod 2012; 27:200-9.
- (22) Koren G, Madjunkova S, Maltepe C. The protective effects of nausea and vomiting of pregnancy against adverse fetal outcome--a systematic review. Reprod Toxicol 2014; 47:77-80.

15

Table 1 Clinical characteristics of the women who reported vomiting during the 2<sup>nd</sup>

trimester of pregnancy compared to women who reported no nausea or vomiting during

pregnancy.

	Vomiting (2 <sup>nd</sup> trimester)	No nausea or vomiting	p-value
Ν	175	193	
Age at delivery (years)	32.8 (32.1-33.5)	33.7 (33.1-34.3)	0.047
BMI (kg/m²)	23.9 (23.2-24.5)	23.9 (23.3-24.6)	1.0
Parity	1.7 (1.6-1.8)	1.5 (1.4-1.6)	0.02
Offspring Sex (N males (%))	79 (45.1%)	111 (57.8%)	0.02
Twin pregnancies	2	0	0.2

Data are geometric means (95% confidence intervals) or numbers of participants.

16

# Table 2 Maternal GDF15 concentrations by self-reported vomiting in the 2<sup>nd</sup> trimester or

anti-emetic use during pregnancy.

Group	n	Serum GDF-15 pg/mL	Unadjusted	Adjusted for	Additionally
				gestational	adjusted for
				age	maternal BMI
No nausea or	193	10,593 (10,066-11,147)	Ref	Ref	Ref
vomiting					
Vomiting (2 <sup>nd</sup>	175	11,581 (10,977-12,219)	P=0.02	P=0.02	P=0.02
trimester)					
Nausea without	325	10,772 (10,328-11,235)	P=0.6	P=0.6	P=0.5
vomiting (2 <sup>nd</sup>					
trimester)					
Anti-emetic use	11	13,157 (10,558-16,394)	P=0.06	P=0.04	P=0.04
(any trimester)					

Data are geometric means (95% confidence intervals).