1 Type of article: Letter

2 **Symmetric assembly and disassembly processes in an ecological**
 3 **network**

4

5 Jason M. Tylianakis^{1,2,3}, Laura B. Martínez-García^{4,5}, Sarah J. Richardson⁴, Duane A.
 6 Peltzer⁴, Ian A. Dickie³

7

8 ¹ Centre for Integrative Ecology, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Private Bag
 9 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand.

10 ² Department of Life Sciences, Imperial College London, Silwood Park Campus, Buckhurst Road, Ascot,
 11 Berkshire SL5 7PY, United Kingdom

12 ³ Bio-protection Research Centre, School of Biological Sciences, University of Canterbury, Private Bag
 13 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand.

14 ⁴ Landcare Research, PO Box 69040, Lincoln 7640, New Zealand

15 ⁵ Department of Soil Quality, Wageningen University. P.O. Box 47. Wageningen 6700 AA, The
 16 Netherlands

17

18 **Statement of authorship**

19 J.M.T. and I.A.D. designed the study, in discussion with all other authors. L.B.M.-G.
 20 and I.A.D. conducted molecular analyses. J.M.T. conducted statistical analyses. S.J.R.
 21 and D.A.P. collected plant abundance data. All authors contributed to field sampling
 22 and writing of the manuscript.

23

24 **Running title:** Network assembly and disassembly

25

26 **Keywords:** community assembly, succession, ecosystem development, retrogression,
 27 mutualist network, mycorrhizal symbiosis, preferential attachment

28

29 Number of words in abstract:150

30 Number of words in the main text (excluding abstract, acknowledgements,
 31 references, table and figure legends: 4,981

32 Number of references: 48

33 Number of figures: 2

34

35 Corresponding author: Jason M. Tylianakis, School of Biological Sciences, University

36 of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch 8140, New Zealand. Email:

37 jason.tylianakis@canterbury.ac.nz Ph: +64 3 369 5379 Fax: +64 3 3642590

38

39 **Abstract**

40 The processes whereby ecological networks emerge, persist and decay throughout
 41 ecosystem development are largely unknown. Here we study networks of plant and
 42 arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF) communities along a 120,000 yr soil
 43 chronosequence, as they undergo assembly (progression) and then disassembly
 44 (retrogression). We found that network assembly and disassembly were symmetrical,
 45 self-reinforcing processes that together were capable of generating key attributes of
 46 network architecture. Plant and AMF species that had short indirect paths to others
 47 in the community (i.e. high centrality), rather than many direct interaction partners
 48 (i.e. high degree), were best able to attract new interaction partners and in the case
 49 of AMF species, also to retain existing interactions with plants during retrogression.
 50 We then show using simulations that these non-random patterns of attachment and
 51 detachment promote nestedness of the network. These results have implications for
 52 predicting extinction sequences, identifying focal points for invasions, and suggesting
 53 trajectories for restoration.

54

55

56

57

58 Introduction

59 The arrangement of species interactions in ecological networks can determine the
60 stability and functioning of ecosystems (Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Thebault & Fontaine
61 2010; Thompson *et al.* 2012). However, the processes that generate and maintain
62 network structure during ecosystem development are unresolved. The generally
63 accepted hypothesis for complex network assembly is that new nodes (e.g., species)
64 preferentially connect with those that are linked to many immediate neighbors
65 (Barabási & Albert 1999) (i.e. generalist nodes with high ‘degree’). This ‘preferential
66 attachment’ was predicted (Jordano *et al.* 2003), then shown empirically (Olesen *et*
67 *al.* 2008), to occur during the assembly of pollination networks within a season.
68 Moreover, preferential attachment could in theory promote nestedness, a network
69 property that relates to network stability (Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Thebault & Fontaine
70 2010), such that networks would become more stable over time (Ponisio *et al.* 2017).
71 In contrast, long-term changes to interaction networks have shown contradictory
72 patterns, such that new interactions can primarily involve more-connected species in
73 ant-plant networks (Díaz-Castelazo *et al.* 2010), less-connected species in pollination
74 networks (Burkle *et al.* 2013), or simply be opportunistic based on available partners
75 (Ponisio *et al.* 2017). Thus, the importance of preferential attachment based on
76 species degree remains contentious for ecological networks.

77
78 Although studies on the generation of network architecture have largely focused on
79 node attachment during network assembly, an opposing process of preferential
80 detachment can theoretically produce the same architecture as preferential
81 attachment (Salathé *et al.* 2005; König *et al.* 2012). This possibility is strengthened by
82 empirical findings that pollination networks show preferential loss of less-connected
83 species and their interactions during network decay (Aizen *et al.* 2012; Burkle *et al.*
84 2013). It is also possible that network architecture may be generated by preferential
85 attachment during community assembly, and maintained by preferential
86 detachment during disassembly. This would imply that the same rules may operate
87 during both the assembly and disassembly of ecological networks (Bascompte &
88 Stouffer 2009), rather than via different pathways, as would be expected if networks

89 exhibit hysteresis (Suding & Hobbs 2009). To our knowledge, whether networks
 90 assemble and disassemble via the same mechanisms remains untested.
 91
 92 Here we study interaction network assembly and disassembly in a community of
 93 plants and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) (Montesinos-Navarro *et al.* 2012)
 94 throughout 120,000 years of ecosystem development. Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi
 95 are essential mutualists of most terrestrial plants (Brundrett 2009), and have typical
 96 within-site diversity comparable to plant communities (Öpik *et al.* 2009). Moreover,
 97 the high importance of symbiotic interactions for determining AMF community
 98 composition (Martínez-García *et al.* 2015; Vályi *et al.* 2015) demands a focus on
 99 interactions (Powell *et al.* 2015) for these systems, within the context of soil
 100 nutrients which can also be important drivers of community structure (Krüger *et al.*
 101 2015). First, we test whether network assembly and disassembly processes are
 102 random or related to species' direct or indirect connections to others the network.
 103 Concomitantly, we test the hypothesis (Bascompte & Stouffer 2009) that the
 104 processes governing attachment during assembly mirror those for detachment
 105 during disassembly. Finally, we use simulations to determine how non-random
 106 assembly and disassembly processes impact upon key aspects of network
 107 architecture.
 108
 109 The first requirement for an interaction to take place is an encounter, and if co-
 110 occurrence rates between AMF propagules and plants were merely stochastic
 111 (Encinas-Viso *et al.* 2015), we would expect to see more frequent than random
 112 attachment to the most abundant partner species. In addition to such random
 113 encounter probabilities, species' abundances may convey benefits to their
 114 interaction partners. For example, an established AMF species that has used its
 115 associations with many plant species to develop an extensive mycelium network
 116 could be an attractive partner for newly-arriving plants, because they would not
 117 need to pay the initial construction costs for the mycelium network but they would
 118 gain immediate access to a large soil volume (Newman 1988; Simard & Durall 2004).
 119 Likewise, high AMF diversity can reduce competition among plant species and
 120 provide insurance against variability in conditions (Moeller & Neubert 2016), such

121 that plant species that interact with many AMF experience increased biomass (Wagg
122 *et al.* 2011). This greater biomass could make AMF-generalist plants more attractive
123 partners because they have the capacity to provide more carbon across a wider
124 range of conditions. Selection for partner quality could therefore lead to traditional
125 preferential attachment for abundant, generalist species (Barabási & Albert 1999;
126 Olesen *et al.* 2008), and a mechanism for this selection could be post-infection
127 selectivity by plants and AMF in their use of interaction partners (Kiers *et al.* 2011).
128 In fact, preferential attachment to generalists could also occur if generality is simply
129 an indicator of low partner selectivity.

130

131 The benefits of interacting with generalists may also depend in part on whether they
132 share their interaction partners with other species. Although AMF typically interact
133 with many plant species, there is growing evidence that their composition (Martínez-
134 García *et al.* 2015) and fitness can differ across plant hosts (Ehinger *et al.* 2009).
135 Host-plant selectivity may not necessarily be species specific, but rather groups of
136 AMF can associate with ecological groups of plants (Davison *et al.* 2011). Closely-
137 related AMF also tend to co-occur in a given location (Horn *et al.* 2014), and closely-
138 related organisms tend to share interaction partners (Gómez *et al.* 2010). We
139 therefore hypothesize that large interacting consortia of ecologically-similar, and in
140 some cases phylogenetically related, plants and fungi will form at a given
141 successional stage, with the dominant consortium potentially differing between
142 early-successional generalist species and later-successional forest species (Öpik *et al.*
143 2009; Davison *et al.* 2011). Thus, at each stage of ecosystem development, newly-
144 arriving fungi would have a higher probability of interacting with plants in the
145 dominant ecological group at that stage, which will already interact with species
146 from the dominant fungal group. These plant species would not necessarily be the
147 most generalist individually, but they would share AMF partners with many other
148 plant species in the dominant group at that stage (i.e. with the majority of species in
149 the site). This high interaction-partner overlap with others in the network may also
150 bring fitness benefits by reducing the distance that positive indirect effects must
151 travel (Bastolla *et al.* 2009), which may make species with many short indirect paths
152 to others more reliable interaction partners. We therefore hypothesise that the

153 attractiveness of a species to new arrivals will depend on its distance (i.e. path
154 length) from other species in the network, as a measure of the extent to which it
155 could receive positive indirect effects by sharing partners with species from the
156 dominant consortium (see Fig. S1 for our approach to measuring this path length).
157
158 To test these hypotheses, we used a dataset (Martínez-García *et al.* 2015) of 10
159 plant-AMF networks that were sampled at different sites along a long-term
160 (>120,000 yr) chronosequence comprising all stages of ecosystem development,
161 including retrogression (Peltzer *et al.* 2010). Chronosequences represent a powerful
162 tool for understanding long-term co-ordinated changes amongst species and their
163 interactions, resource availability and ecosystem processes (Peltzer *et al.* 2010).
164 Strong gradients from initial N-limitation to eventual strong P-limitation of
165 ecosystem processes drive turnover of plant and AMF species (Richardson *et al.*
166 2004; Martínez-García *et al.* 2015). We treated ecosystem progression (during which
167 plant species richness and biomass increase; Richardson *et al.* 2004) as the assembly
168 phase, and ecosystem retrogression (during which strong P-limitation drives declines
169 in plant diversity and biomass; Richardson *et al.* 2004) as the network disassembly
170 phase. We defined attachment as the first ecosystem stage at which a plant or AMF
171 species was observed along the chronosequence, and detachment as the final
172 ecosystem stage in which a species was observed.

173

174 **Materials and Methods**

175 Dataset

176 We generated networks of interactions between vascular plants and arbuscular
177 mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) using data from a study that examined AMF beta diversity
178 and the importance of soil age vs. plant host for explaining AMF community
179 structure (Martínez-García *et al.* 2015). Sampling and sequencing methods can be
180 found in that study or in the Supporting Information (Appendix 1), but we summarise
181 the key points here. Sampling was conducted along the Franz Josef soil
182 chronosequence, on the southern west coast of the South Island, New Zealand.

183

184 An important feature of this chronosequence, which led us to select this site, is that
 185 strong soil nutrient gradients (Appendix 1 in the Supporting Information) are
 186 associated with pronounced shifts in ecological community composition, structure
 187 and function, such that ecosystem development exhibits a clear progressive phase
 188 up to the surface soils aged 5,000 - 12,000 years, then retrogression in the older sites.
 189 We treated the sites up to 12,000 years old as the assembly phase, because this was
 190 the site of peak tree biomass and height, and a retrogressive phase thereafter in
 191 which tree basal area (biomass) declines about three-fold (Richardson *et al.* 2004;
 192 Wardle *et al.* 2008) (the disassembly phase). We defined assembly and disassembly
 193 phases *a priori* according to well-characterized ecosystem stages of progression and
 194 retrogression (Richardson *et al.* 2004; Wardle *et al.* 2008), rather than by using the
 195 size of the sampled plant-AMF network, for two reasons. First, younger sites have
 196 many herbaceous plants of low biomass (so many can fit into a given sample area),
 197 which can make sampled species richness per plot appear lower in later sites (see
 198 Table S1) because the roots of large-biomass trees are more likely to be repeatedly
 199 sampled at random. Second, many of the diverse species that were sampled at
 200 young sites were not important components of our plant-AMF network, which is
 201 evidenced by the sampled plant-fungal associations showing a significant pattern of
 202 accumulation up to the site of peak biomass then subtraction during retrogression
 203 (described below).

204
 205 We sampled fungal communities on roots at ten surfaces of the following ages (in
 206 years): <5, 15, 70, 290, 500, 1000, 5000, 12,000, 60,000, 120,000 (Supporting
 207 Information: Appendix 1 and Table S1). At each site we sampled 50 root fragments,
 208 which then underwent a molecular analysis to identify the plant species, as well as
 209 any AMF present inside the root (see Martínez-García *et al.* 2015 or Appendix 1 in
 210 the Supporting Information). We did not assign weights to these links, as the
 211 hypotheses we test here relate to the initial formation of interactions, rather than
 212 their strength or frequency once formed.

213

214 Data analysis

215 We hypothesized that species entering the network for the first time during the
 216 progressive phase would associate non-randomly with other existing species based
 217 on their direct or indirect connections to other species within the network (i.e.
 218 preferential attachment; Barabási & Albert 1999). We also hypothesized that the
 219 same would occur during the disassembly phase, such that the probability of species
 220 going locally extinct from the network would depend on the network position of
 221 species with which they associate (i.e. preferential detachment (Salathé *et al.* 2005;
 222 Aizen *et al.* 2012)). We tested for preferential formation of interactions (attachment)
 223 by new species during ecosystem progression (5 - 12,000 year-old) and preferential
 224 disappearance (detachment) during ecosystem retrogression (12,000 – 120,000
 225 year-old), representing respectively the assembly and disassembly of the network. A
 226 species was deemed to have attached during the progressive phase when it first
 227 appeared in our samples of the chronosequence. During the progressive phase, once
 228 a species had attached (i.e. a link was formed), it was deemed to remain part of the
 229 network for the remainder of ecosystem progression. This assumption was made to
 230 ensure that any species that appeared, disappeared then reappeared during the
 231 progressive phase were not counted twice, because this would give any species-
 232 specific interaction preferences of these species a disproportionate weighting in
 233 analyses. Also, it gave the largest sample size with which to estimate each species'
 234 number of interaction partners (our predictor variable) in each stage.

235
 236 Detachment was deemed to have occurred during the retrogressive phase when a
 237 species that had been present during the peak of the progressive phase was absent
 238 from a given site and all remaining sites along the sequence. Note that, because by
 239 definition attachment and detachment processes must occur during the interval
 240 between two chronosequence stages, we treated the 12,000 year-old site (which
 241 represented the community peak in diversity and biomass) as the 'peak' community,
 242 comprising the last stage of assembly and the first stage from which disassembly
 243 occurred. Although there were only two sites older than this 'peak' community, the
 244 statistical power for the test came from the number of species within each site,
 245 because preferential detachment was tested across species, within sites.

246

247 An underpinning assumption of this analysis (and of our selection of the 12,000 year
248 site as the peak) is that interactions have the tendency to accumulate during the
249 assembly phase then be lost during the disassembly phase. In other words, the
250 interactions present in sites preceding or following the peak community would be
251 nested subsets of those in the peak site. This pattern has been seen previously
252 during the disassembly of pollination networks (Aizen *et al.* 2012). To test for such a
253 pattern, we generated two matrices in which we ranked the sites according to their
254 age (rows), either becoming progressively older or younger than the site of peak
255 biomass, which was in the top row. Cells of the matrices depicted the presence or
256 absence of each pairwise plant-AMF association (columns). These matrices included
257 all interactions, not just those present in the peak-biomass site. We tested each
258 matrix for nestedness, using the nestedness metric based on overlap and decreasing
259 fill (NODF), with 999 permutations and a swap algorithm, using the *oecosimu*
260 function in the *vegan* (Oksanen *et al.* 2008) package for R (R Core Team 2013). This
261 analysis revealed a pattern whereby the peak biomass site had a set of interactions,
262 of which progressively older or younger sites tended to have decreasing subsets ($P <$
263 0.001 for rows, columns and overall NODF statistic for both assembly and
264 disassembly), such that interactions, on average, tended to progressively accumulate
265 during progression but be sequentially lost during retrogression.

267 *Preferential attachment and detachment*

268 We analyzed preferential attachment and detachment processes using generalized
269 linear mixed effects models with binomial errors and the canonical logit link function,
270 conducted in the *lme4* package (Bates *et al.* 2014) in R. We used separate models to
271 test for attachment processes during progression vs. detachment processes during
272 retrogression, and for plants vs. AMF. The response variable in each model was
273 binary, whereby each existing species in the network for each site was coded with a
274 value of one if a newly-arriving species attached to it, or detached from it, in that site,
275 otherwise zero. Site (surface along the chronosequence) was included as a random
276 factor, such that preferential attachment was tested according to the relative
277 network roles of the various species within a site, rather than comparing species
278 across sites, which could be influenced by differences in the sizes of networks.

279 Identity of the attaching species, nested within site, was also included as a random
 280 factor to control for the non-independence of a single plant or AMF-OTU attaching
 281 or detaching from multiple partners. The fixed predictor variable was either degree
 282 or centrality (defined below).
 283
 284 To measure the path length among species of a trophic level, which is related to the
 285 number of species through which positive indirect effects (Bastolla *et al.* 2009) must
 286 travel, we used closeness centrality (hereafter 'centrality', defined in Fig. S1) in the
 287 unipartite projection of the bipartite interaction network (Gómez & Perfectti 2012).
 288 Centrality is often correlated with degree (Martín González *et al.* 2010) (see also Fig.
 289 S3), but carries additional information by measuring the number of links from a focal
 290 species to all others in the network (not just immediate neighbors), and has been
 291 used as a measure of species' importance (Martín González *et al.* 2010) or functional
 292 specialization (Dalsgaard *et al.* 2008) in mutualistic networks. In the unipartite
 293 projection, species at a given trophic level are linked if they share an interaction
 294 partner (Fig. S1), so high centrality indicates that a species shares partners with
 295 many other species that also share partners with many others. In this sense, high
 296 centrality in the unipartite projection (Fig. S1) indicates that positive indirect effects
 297 of sharing mutualists with other species at the same trophic level (Bastolla *et al.*
 298 2009) would have a short distance to travel. In contrast, species with low centrality
 299 tend to interact with partners that are not used by the dominant consortium of
 300 tightly-interacting plants and AMF. Although centrality may be implicitly used to
 301 infer the nature of flows through a network (e.g. paths vs. walks, replication vs.
 302 spread), we do not make any assumption about the nature of flows, if any, in the
 303 plant-AMF network. Rather, we use centrality simply as a measure of the length of
 304 indirect paths within a trophic level. Centrality was calculated in the 'sna' package
 305 (Butts 2014) in R, scaled within a given network (i.e. within each site).
 306
 307 We tested whether attachment to a node during assembly, or detachment from a
 308 node during disassembly, depended on that node's centrality (Fig. S1) or degree (the
 309 number of partners with which the species interacts, normalized within networks of
 310 a given site age). We used the centrality/degree of a node in the chronosequence

stage immediately prior to that in which the new node attached or an existing node detached. This allowed us to compare the fit of models with centrality vs. degree as the predictor using the Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Thus, the predictor variable in each model was either the degree or centrality of each existing species in the site, to which newly-arriving species either attached vs. did not, or detached vs. did not in the next stage of the chronosequence. For completeness, we also ran models with both of these predictors, to determine if any potential collinearity qualitatively altered their separate effects. None of our models showed any signs of overdispersion. We repeated these analyses controlling for species abundances in case sampling effort generated any spurious effects (see Controlling for the effect of species abundances in Appendix 1 of the Supporting Information).

We omitted from this analysis any links representing new species attaching to other newly-arriving species, because we could not ascribe a value of network position for those species to use as the predictor variable. However, these pairings among two newly-arriving species were rare, and the species involved typically also interacted with other species already present in the network. Across all sites, 20 newly-arriving plant species were associated with newly-arriving AMF, and of these, only 4 did not also attach to AMF species that were already present in the network, for which network position could be calculated. Similarly, of the 15 newly-arriving AMF species that attached to newly-arriving plants, 7 did not also attach to existing species.

Simulations

To explore the consequences of preferential attachment and detachment based on centrality vs. degree, we conducted a set of simulations of network assembly and disassembly scenarios (more detail provided in Appendix 1 of the Supporting Information). At each time step, newly-arriving plant or AMF species would interact with one existing species, with a probability proportional to the existing species' degree (i.e. the Barabási & Albert (1999) model) or distance from other species at the same trophic level (closeness centrality). We also ran a third scenario whereby attachment was random (equally probable attachment to any existing species), to provide a null point of comparison.

343

344 This assembly phase continued until networks contained 50 species of plant and 50
345 of AMF. Subsequently, each network was subjected to a disassembly phase, using
346 the same scenario (degree-based, centrality-based or random) as was used during
347 that network's assembly. Alternating plant and AMF species were removed (i.e. went
348 extinct) with a probability that was inversely proportional to the degree or closeness
349 centrality of the species with which they interacted, such that species that interacted
350 with species of high degree or high centrality were less likely to go extinct. As with
351 the assembly phase, we ran a scenario of the disassembly phase whereby extinction
352 was random.

353

354 Each scenario of the assembly and disassembly phases was run for 1000 replicates
355 (each comprising multiple time steps). At each time step within each replicate, after
356 species arrived or went extinct, we recorded the nestedness of the network using
357 NODF, calculated using the `nestednodf` function in the `vegan` package (Oksanen *et al.*
358 2008) for R. Nestedness is a common feature of mutualistic networks (Bascompte *et al.*
359 2003), which has also been observed recently in plant-mycorrhizal networks
360 (Montesinos-Navarro *et al.* 2012) and has been shown to increase the persistence of
361 networks (Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Thebault & Fontaine 2010). We scaled the observed
362 NODF by the distribution of NODF values obtained by randomizing the adjacency
363 matrix using a null model (Appendix 1 of the Supporting Information).

364

365 **Results**

366 In total our samples yielded 33 operational taxonomic units (OTUs, hereafter
367 referred to as species) of AMF and 53 species of plant, among which we observed
368 399 pairwise interactions (i.e. links, defined as the colonisation of a plant root by an
369 AMF species) (Fig. 1). There were an average of 16 AMF (SD = 3.33) and 10.8 (SD =
370 3.77) plant species, with 137.5 (SD = 24.14) interactions per site (Table S1). The AMF
371 community changes and turnover along the chronosequence have been described in
372 detail elsewhere (Martínez-García *et al.* 2015).

373

374 We first tested whether preferential attachment or detachment occurred to existing
 375 species that had many partners or high centrality. At their earliest occurrence along
 376 the sequence, both plant and AMF species were significantly more likely to interact
 377 with species that shared interaction partners with many others (i.e. high centrality,
 378 Fig. 2A, $P < 0.0001$ in both cases), but not those with many partners (i.e. high degree;
 379 $P > 0.862$ in both cases) (Table S2). In addition to this preferential attachment, plants
 380 also showed preferential detachment, such that they were significantly less likely to
 381 be lost from the network during ecosystem retrogression if they interacted with AMF
 382 species that had high centrality ($P < 0.0001$; Fig. 2D; Table S2). In contrast, the
 383 apparent decline in AMF detachment probability with plant centrality (Fig. 2C) or
 384 degree was non-significant (Table S2). Thus, symmetrical assembly and disassembly
 385 processes, either of which could theoretically generate complex network
 386 architecture (Barabási & Albert 1999; Salathé *et al.* 2005; Bastolla *et al.* 2009; König
 387 *et al.* 2012), both operated on the plant community to rapidly generate architecture
 388 that did not change significantly throughout ecosystem development (Appendix 2 of
 389 the Supporting Information, Table S3, Fig. S2).

390
 391 Although species with many direct interaction partners also had significantly shorter
 392 indirect paths on average (i.e. degree and centrality were correlated in our networks;
 393 Fig. S3), we found no evidence of preferential attachment or detachment of plants or
 394 AMF based on degree alone (Table S2). Moreover, when both degree and centrality
 395 were included in models, attachment probability *decreased* with increasing degree
 396 (Table S2) and plant detachment probability *increased* when they interacted with
 397 AMF of high degree (Table S2), as has been observed in a long-term study of
 398 pollination networks (Burkle *et al.* 2013). Importantly, in all our models, centrality
 399 explained attachment or detachment probability better than did equivalent models
 400 with only degree as the predictor, and its effect remained qualitatively unchanged
 401 when degree was also included in the model (Table S2). Most importantly, the
 402 relation between AMF centrality and plant attachment and detachment probability
 403 remained significant after controlling for abundance (Appendix 2 of the Supporting
 404 Information, Table S4).

405

Our findings suggest that both attachment and detachment are key processes in network development and, when combined, the loss or gain of links becomes self-reinforcing (König *et al.* 2012). We simulated the processes examined here to explore the consequences for network architecture (Appendix 1 of the Supporting Information) and found that both preferential attachment and detachment processes were important for determining and maintaining the nestedness of the network (Fig. S4), a key element of ecological network architecture (Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Montesinos-Navarro *et al.* 2012) whereby specialists interact with species that also interact with generalists. Preferential attachment (based on degree or centrality) generated networks that were considerably more nested than random, and preferential detachment maintained this nestedness in the face of species extinctions (Fig. S4).

Discussion

Obviously species do not have information about the architecture of their interaction networks, so centrality (a species' indirect proximity to others at the same trophic level) must be associated with ecological characteristics that make species more likely to attract and retain interaction partners. There are several potential, non-exclusive explanations for this attractiveness. The simplest would be if abundant species shared many partners due to a high probability of random encounters. In our dataset, there was a weak correlation between a species' abundance and centrality within sites (Fig. S5). Moreover, the core of interacting generalists (i.e. the species involved in the majority of interactions in the network, and whose interaction partners overlapped considerably with the rest of the community) was present throughout much of ecosystem development (see dark colors in top left of matrices in Fig. 1), and this ubiquity likely made them a reliable target for newly arriving species. However, causality cannot be inferred from these correlations in our dataset, as attraction of diverse fungal mutualists could equally cause longer-term persistence of plants (Scheublin *et al.* 2007). Moreover, the significant relationships between centrality and attachment or detachment probability remained qualitatively unchanged when controlling for abundance (Appendix 2 of the Supporting Information, Table S4).

438

439 Therefore, although abundance likely plays some role, the attractiveness of plant
440 species with high centrality to AMF, and vice versa, reflects additional benefits to
441 associating with those species. One such benefit of close proximity is the potential to
442 receive beneficial indirect effects (Bastolla *et al.* 2009); plants may benefit from the
443 AMF communities generated by other species (Bever 2002), and plant-plant
444 competition can be reduced (and plant biomass increased) by high AMF diversity
445 (Wagg *et al.* 2011). Thus, consortia of plants that are able to share diverse AMF
446 communities with one another may grow better, and therefore have the ability to
447 provide more carbon to AMF symbionts. These groupings may also reflect habitat
448 preference of the species involved, with habitat generalist plant and AMF species
449 interacting tightly during early succession and forest specialists during late
450 succession (Davison *et al.* 2011), an hypothesis congruent with findings of partner
451 specificity occurring at the level of ecological groups (Öpik *et al.* 2009).

452

453 Plant species were also less likely to be lost during retrogression if they interacted
454 with AMF species that had high centrality (Fig. 2D). We hypothesized (based on the
455 benefits described above) that mutually beneficial interactions will involve species
456 with high centrality, and we observed that plant species that preferentially
457 interacted with these core species were significantly more likely to persist during
458 retrogression. The decline in soil P during ecosystem development likely makes
459 plants increasingly dependent on AMF-provided P. Likewise, declining plant
460 photosynthetic rates along the chronosequence (Whitehead *et al.* 2005) could
461 increase competition among AMF for plant-derived carbon. Thus, the need to
462 develop and maintain mutualists and avoid symbiotic ‘cheaters’ will intensify along
463 the sequence, particularly during retrogression. Over time, both plants and AMF can
464 reduce their resource allocation to less-beneficial partners (Kiers *et al.* 2011),
465 particularly when resources are scarce (Bever 2015). The interactions that persist
466 during retrogression may therefore be those that are most mutually beneficial.
467 Previous work has found that species in late-successional plant-AMF networks tend
468 to interact more frequently with a subset of their total range of partners (Bennett *et*
469 *al.* 2013), and a viable strategy may thus be to test a number of partners initially,

470 then gradually restrict resource allocation to a subset of these that provide the
471 greatest benefit (Bever 2015) as resources become limiting. This process could easily
472 occur at the level of plant individuals, but be reflected as a loss of links at the species
473 level.

474
475 Finally, if our findings are found to generalize to other ecological networks, they
476 would have several potential applications. First the symmetry of assembly and
477 disassembly processes for plants and our observation that interactions in sites
478 moving forward or backwards from the site of peak biomass were nested subsets of
479 that site (see Materials and Methods section) suggests that knowledge of assembly
480 order for a given system could be used to predict the interactions most at risk of
481 extinction, such as in using network information for conservation (Tylianakis *et al.*
482 2010). Species reintroductions during restoration could also follow the reverse order
483 of extinction and replace extinct species with others that fulfill the same network
484 role, or focus on species that share interaction partners with others, and will then
485 attract interactions with any new species that colonize. Similarly, non-native species
486 should interact preferentially with high-partner-overlap species in the network,
487 which could therefore be a focus for biosecurity monitoring to detect invasions early.

488
489 It is impossible to fully understand the architecture of complex networks in isolation
490 from the dynamic processes that generate and maintain them (Barabási & Albert
491 1999). We have shown empirically that both preferential attachment and
492 detachment underpinned the development of an ecological network under changing
493 abiotic conditions. Importantly, species with short indirect paths to others at the
494 same trophic level were more attractive interaction partners, congruent with
495 suggestions that positive indirect effects may be important for species persistence in
496 mutualistic networks (Bastolla *et al.* 2009). Moreover, interactions in sites moving
497 forward or backward in age from the site of peak biomass were nested subsets of
498 those in the peak biomass site. This pattern has been observed in the disassembly of
499 pollination networks (Aizen *et al.* 2012), and we found it to also occur during
500 assembly. Finally, we showed through simulation that symmetrical, self-reinforcing
501 processes can generate and maintain important features of interaction network

502 architecture (Barabási & Albert 1999; Salathé *et al.* 2005; Bastolla *et al.* 2009; König
503 *et al.* 2012), thereby linking species colonization and extinction processes to
504 emergent and potentially stabilizing (Bastolla *et al.* 2009; Thebault & Fontaine 2010)
505 ecosystem properties.

506

507 **References**

- 508 Aizen, M.A., Sabatino, M. & Tylianakis, J.M. (2012). Specialization and Rarity Predict
509 Nonrandom Loss of Interactions from Mutualist Networks. *Science*, 335,
510 1486-1489.
- 511 Barabási, A.-L. & Albert, R. (1999). Emergence of scaling in random networks. *Science*,
512 286, 509-512.
- 513 Bascompte, J., Jordano, P., Melian, C.J. & Olesen, J.M. (2003). The nested assembly
514 of plant-animal mutualistic networks. *Proceedings of the National Academy
515 of Sciences of the United States of America*, 100, 9383-9387.
- 516 Bascompte, J. & Stouffer, D.B. (2009). The assembly and disassembly of ecological
517 networks. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B-Biological
518 Sciences*, 364, 1781-1787.
- 519 Bastolla, U., Fortuna, M.A., Pascual-Garcia, A., Ferrera, A., Luque, B. & Bascompte, J.
520 (2009). The architecture of mutualistic networks minimizes competition and
521 increases biodiversity. *Nature*, 458, 1018-U1091.
- 522 Bates, D., Maechler, M., Bolker, B. & Walker, S. (2014). lme4: Linear mixed-effects
523 models using Eigen and S4.
- 524 Bennett, A.E., Daniell, T.J., Öpik, M., Davison, J., Moora, M., Zobel, M. *et al.* (2013).
525 Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal networks vary throughout the growing season
526 and between successional stages. *PloS one*, 8, e83241.
- 527 Bever, J.D. (2002). Negative feedback within a mutualism: host-specific growth of
528 mycorrhizal fungi reduces plant benefit. *Proceedings of the Royal Society of
529 London B: Biological Sciences*, 269, 2595-2601.
- 530 Bever, J.D. (2015). Preferential allocation, physio - evolutionary feedbacks, and the
531 stability and environmental patterns of mutualism between plants and their
532 root symbionts. *New Phytologist*, 205, 1503-1514.

533 Brundrett, M.C. (2009). Mycorrhizal associations and other means of nutrition of
534 vascular plants: understanding the global diversity of host plants by resolving
535 conflicting information and developing reliable means of diagnosis. *Plant and*
536 *Soil*, 320, 37-77.

537 Burkle, L.A., Marlin, J.C. & Knight, T.M. (2013). Plant-pollinator interactions over 120
538 years: loss of species, co-occurrence, and function. *Science*, 339, 1611-1615.

539 Butts, C.T. (2014). sna: Tools for Social Network Analysis.

540 Dalsgaard, B., Martín González, A.M., Olesen, J.M., Timmermann, A., Andersen, L.H.
541 & Ollerton, J. (2008). Pollination networks and functional specialization: a test
542 using Lesser Antillean plant–hummingbird assemblages. *Oikos*, 117, 789-793.

543 Davison, J., Öpik, M., Daniell, T.J., Moora, M. & Zobel, M. (2011). Arbuscular
544 mycorrhizal fungal communities in plant roots are not random assemblages.
545 *FEMS Microbiology Ecology*, 78, 103-115.

546 Díaz-Castelazo, C., Guimarães, P.R., Jordano, P., Thompson, J.N., Marquis, R.J. & Rico-
547 Gray, V. (2010). Changes of a mutualistic network over time: reanalysis over a
548 10 - year period. *Ecology*, 91, 793-801.

549 Ehinger, M., Koch, A.M. & Sanders, I.R. (2009). Changes in arbuscular mycorrhizal
550 fungal phenotypes and genotypes in response to plant species identity and
551 phosphorus concentration. *New Phytologist*, 184, 412-423.

552 Encinas-Viso, F., Alonso, D., Klironomos, J.N., Etienne, R.S. & Chang, E.R. (2015). Plant
553 –mycorrhizal fungus co - occurrence network lacks substantial structure.
554 *Oikos*.

555 Gómez, J.M. & Perfectti, F. (2012). Fitness consequences of centrality in mutualistic
556 individual-based networks. *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological*
557 *Sciences*, 279, 1754-1760.

558 Gómez, J.M., Verdú, M. & Perfectti, F. (2010). Ecological interactions are
559 evolutionarily conserved across the entire tree of life. *Nature*, 465, 918-921.

560 Horn, S., Caruso, T., Verbruggen, E., Rillig, M.C. & Hempel, S. (2014). Arbuscular
561 mycorrhizal fungal communities are phylogenetically clustered at small scales.
562 *The ISME journal*, 8, 2231-2242.

Jordano, P., Bascompte, J. & Olesen, J.M. (2003). Invariant properties in
coevolutionary networks of plant-animal interactions. *Ecology letters*, 6, 69-
81.

Kiers, E.T., Duhamel, M., Beesetty, Y., Mensah, J.A., Franken, O., Verbruggen, E. *et al.*
(2011). Reciprocal rewards stabilize cooperation in the mycorrhizal symbiosis.
science, 333, 880-882.

König, M., Tessone, C. & Zenou, Y. (2012). Nestedness in Networks: A Theoretical
Model and Some applications. *SIEPR Discussion Papers*, 11, 1-61.

Krüger, M., Teste, F.P., Laliberté, E., Lambers, H., Coghlan, M., Zemunik, G. *et al.*
(2015). The rise and fall of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal diversity during
ecosystem retrogression. *Mol. Ecol.*, 24, 4912-4930.

Martín González, A.M., Dalsgaard, B. & Olesen, J.M. (2010). Centrality measures and
the importance of generalist species in pollination networks. *Ecological
Complexity*, 7, 36-43.

Martínez-García, L.B., Richardson, S.J., Tylianakis, J.M., Peltzer, D.A. & Dickie, I.A.
(2015). Host identity is a dominant driver of mycorrhizal fungal community
composition during ecosystem development. *New Phytologist*, 205, 1565-
1576.

Moeller, H.V. & Neubert, M.G. (2016). Multiple friends with benefits: an optimal
mutualist management strategy? *The American Naturalist*, 187, E1-E12.

Montesinos-Navarro, A., Segarra-Moragues, J.G., Valiente-Banuet, A. & Verdu, M.
(2012). The network structure of plant-arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi. *New
Phytologist*, 194, 536-547.

Newman, E.I. (1988). Mycorrhizal links between plants: their functioning and
ecological significance. *Advances in Ecological Research*, 18, 243-270.

Oksanen, J., Kindt, R., Legendre, P., O'Hara, B., Simpson, G.L., Solymos, P. *et al.*
(2008). vegan: Community Ecology Package <http://cran.r-project.org/>.

Olesen, J.M., Bascompte, J., Elberling, H. & Jordano, P. (2008). Temporal dynamics in
a pollination network. *Ecology*, 89, 1573-1582.

Öpik, M., Metsis, M., Daniell, T., Zobel, M. & Moora, M. (2009). Large - scale parallel
454 sequencing reveals host ecological group specificity of arbuscular
mycorrhizal fungi in a boreonemoral forest. *New Phytologist*, 184, 424-437.

595 Peltzer, D.A., Wardle, D.A., Allison, V.J., Baisden, W.T., Bardgett, R.D., Chadwick, O.A.
596 *et al.* (2010). Understanding ecosystem retrogression. *Ecological Monographs*,
597 80, 509-529.

598 Ponisio, L.C., Gaiarsa, M.P. & Kremen, C. (2017). Opportunistic attachment
599 assembles plant–pollinator networks. *Ecology Letters*, 20, 1261-1272.

600 Powell, J.R., Karunaratne, S., Campbell, C.D., Yao, H., Robinson, L. & Singh, B.K.
601 (2015). Deterministic processes vary during community assembly for
602 ecologically dissimilar taxa. *Nature communications*, 6.

603 R Core Team (2013). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R
604 Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna Austria.

605 Richardson, S.J., Peltzer, D.A., Allen, R.B., McGlone, M.S. & Parfitt, R.L. (2004). Rapid
606 development of phosphorus limitation in temperate rainforest along the
607 Franz Josef soil chronosequence. *Oecologia*, 139, 267-276.

608 Salathé, M., May, R.M. & Bonhoeffer, S. (2005). The evolution of network topology
609 by selective removal. *Journal of the Royal Society Interface*, 2, 533-536.

610 Scheublin, T.R., Van Logtestijn, R.S.P. & Van Der Heijden, M.G.A. (2007). Presence
611 and identity of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi influence competitive
612 interactions between plant species. *Journal of Ecology*, 95, 631-638.

613 Simard, S.W. & Durall, D.M. (2004). Mycorrhizal networks: a review of their extent,
614 function, and importance. *Canadian Journal of Botany*, 82, 1140-1165.

615 Suding, K.N. & Hobbs, R.J. (2009). Threshold models in restoration and conservation:
616 a developing framework. *Trends in ecology & evolution*, 24, 271-279.

617 Thebault, E. & Fontaine, C. (2010). Stability of Ecological Communities and the
618 Architecture of Mutualistic and Trophic Networks. *Science*, 329, 853-856.

619 Thompson, R.M., Brose, U., Dunne, J.A., Hall Jr, R.O., Hladysz, S., Kitching, R.L. *et al.*
620 (2012). Food webs: reconciling the structure and function of biodiversity.
621 *Trends in ecology & evolution*.

622 Tylianakis, J., Laliberté, E., Nielsen, A. & Bascompte, J. (2010). Conservation of
623 species interaction networks. *Biological Conservation*, 143, 2270-2279.

624 Vályi, K., Rillig, M.C. & Hempel, S. (2015). Land - use intensity and host plant identity
625 interactively shape communities of arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi in roots of
626 grassland plants. *New Phytologist*, 205, 1577-1586.

627 Wagg, C., Jansa, J., Stadler, M., Schmid, B. & Van Der Heijden, M.G.A. (2011).
 628 Mycorrhizal fungal identity and diversity relaxes plant-plant competition.
 629 *Ecology*, 92, 1303-1313.
 630 Wardle, D., Bardgett, R., Walker, L., Peltzer, D. & Lagerström, A. (2008). The response
 631 of plant diversity to ecosystem retrogression: evidence from contrasting long-
 632 term chronosequences. *Oikos*, 117, 93 -103.
 633 Whitehead, D., Boelman, N.T., Turnbull, M.H., Griffin, K.L., Tissue, D.T., Barbour,
 634 M.M. *et al.* (2005). Photosynthesis and reflectance indices for rainforest
 635 species in ecosystems undergoing progression and retrogression along a soil
 636 fertility chronosequence in New Zealand. *Oecologia*, 144, 233-244.

637

638

639 **Acknowledgements**

640 We thank P.J. Bellingham, T. Fukami, J.H. Jones, S. Pawar, D.B. Stouffer and members
 641 of the Tylianakis/Stouffer lab for critical discussions and comments on the
 642 manuscript. N. Bolstridge and C. Mitchel provided valuable lab assistance. The
 643 research was funded by Core funding for Crown Research Institutes from the New
 644 Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation and Employment's Science and Innovation
 645 Group, a Rutherford Discovery Fellowship to JMT, and the Bio-protection Research
 646 Centre (JMT and IAD).

647

648

649 **Author information**

650 The authors declare no competing financial interests. Correspondence and requests
 651 for materials should be addressed to J.M.T. (jason.tylianakis@canterbury.ac.nz).

652

653 **Supplementary Information** is available online

654
655 Figure 1: Plant-mycorrhizal association matrices during assembly (N = 8 sites) and
656 disassembly (i.e. retrogressive, N = 3 sites) phases of ecosystem development. The
657 site of peak biomass represents the end of assembly and beginning of disassembly,
658 so is included in both figures. Darker colours indicate that the plant-mycorrhizal
659 association was present in a greater number of sites (i.e. it formed early during
660 assembly or persisted during retrogression). These darker interactions tend to
661 involve species that share interaction partners with many others that also share
662 partners with each other (i.e. species with high centrality). The network is
663 significantly nested (see Appendix 2 of the Supporting Information), whereby
664 specialists interact with proper subsets of the species that interact with generalists.
665
666

Figure 2: Probability (left vertical axis) that a new A,C) arbuscular mycorrhizal fungal (AMF), or B,D) plant species entering the network will interact with an existing species during assembly (top), or detach from a species during disassembly (bottom) as a function of that species' proximity in the network to others of its trophic level (measured as closeness centrality of the unipartite projection of the plant-AMF network, see Fig. S1). Histograms top and bottom of each graph represent the frequencies (right vertical axis) of ones and zeroes respectively in the raw data. Trend lines are based on inverse-linked coefficients of a binomial linear mixed effects model with chronosequence stage (plant-mycorrhizal network) as a random effect. Solid lines were statistically significant ($P < 0.025$ in all cases), dashed line was not significant at $\alpha = 0.05$.