1 An optimized strategy for cloning-based locus-specific bisulfite sequencing PCR

2

3 Mario Van Poucke^{1,*}, Xanthippe Boulougouris¹, Bart De Spiegeleer², Christian Burvenich¹, Luc
4 Duchateau¹ and Luc J. Peelman¹

5

6 ¹ Department of Nutrition, Genetics and Ethology, Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent
7 University, Heidestraat 19, B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium

8 ² Drug Quality and Registration (DruQuaR) group, Faculty of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Ghent
9 University, Ottergemsesteenweg 460, B-9000 Ghent, Belgium

10

11 * Correspondence: Dr Mario Van Poucke, Department of Nutrition, Genetics and Ethology, Faculty
12 of Veterinary Medicine, Ghent University, Heidestraat 19, B-9820 Merelbeke, Belgium; Tel +32 9
13 2647806; Fax +32 9 2647849; E-mail: Mario.VanPoucke@UGent.be

14

15 Keywords: bias, bisulfite conversion, clone, CpG island, epigenetic, method, methylation, UBC
16 integrity assay

17 **ABSTRACT**

18 In this methods paper, we describe a successful strategy to investigate locus-specific methylation by
 19 cloning-based bisulfite sequencing. We cover sample handling, DNA isolation, DNA quality control
 20 before bisulfite conversion, bisulfite conversion, DNA quality control after bisulfite conversion, *in*
 21 *silico* identification of CpG islands, methylation-independent bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP) assay
 22 design, methylation-independent BSP, cloning strategy, sequencing and data analysis. Methods that
 23 are described nicely elsewhere will not be covered in detail. Instead, the focus will be on tips/tricks
 24 and new methods/strategies used in this protocol, including quality control assessment of the DNA
 25 before and after bisulfite conversion and a pooled cloning strategy to reduce time, costs and effort
 26 during this step. In addition we comment on dealing with bias and improving overall protocol
 27 efficiency.

28 INTRODUCTION

29 There are a lot of ways to study DNA methylation. Depending on the scientific question, the
30 samples (type, quality, quantity, number), the laboratory equipment and funds, researchers can
31 compose their most appropriate strategy. Since all methods have their pros and cons, it is vital to
32 evaluate all steps for potential bias, take measures to prevent them and include necessary controls to
33 monitor them [1-2].

34 Here, we report our strategy for cloning-based locus-specific bisulfite sequencing PCR (BSP) to
35 investigate the methylation status of specific CpG islands at single base resolution. The strategy is
36 partially based on described strategies [3-11], but also contains some useful adaptations. This
37 strategy can be used to investigate if a gene specific expression change in an organism is caused by
38 an altered methylation status of that gene.

39 First, bisulfite treatment, the gold standard method in DNA methylation studies, will selectively
40 convert “unmethylated” cytosine (C) to uracil (U), while “methylated” C will not be converted [12].
41 It should be noted that other C-modifications, such as 5-formylcytosine (5-fC) and 5-
42 carboxylcytosine (5-caC), will be converted to U as well, while others, such as 5-
43 hydroxymethylcytosine (5-hmC), will not be converted either. However, adapted methods exist to
44 study these rarer modifications separately [13-14].

45 Then, PCR is performed to selectively amplify the bisulfite-converted region of interest, whereby U
46 (native C, 5-fC and 5-caC) will be replaced by thymine (T) and non-converted C (native 5-mC and
47 5-hmC) by C. After Sanger sequencing, all remaining Cs can be considered as “methylated” Cs in
48 the native sequence (5-mC or 5-hmC). We prefer a cloning-based strategy (instead of direct
49 sequencing) in order to obtain DNA methylation haplotypes. In addition, the interpretation of the
50 peaks is unequivocally (no mixed bases, misaligned signals or PCR slippage). In order to make it

less laborious, we maximize amplicon lengths based on the bisulfite-converted DNA quality control and use a pooled cloning strategy.

53

54 **PROTOCOL**

55 **1) Sample handling**

56 Because bisulfite sequencing is most successful with intact starting material, tissue/DNA samples
57 should be handled/stored in a way that prevents DNA degradation. Well known key factors are
58 temperature (cold, avoiding freeze-thaw cycles), humidity (dry), sunlight (darkness) and time
59 (quick). For extensive guidelines see [15].

60 **2) DNA isolation**

61 Total DNA is isolated with the Quick-DNA Miniprep Plus Kit (including a Proteinase K digest,
62 according to the Zymo Research's recommendations), described to extract ultra-pure concentrated
63 RNA-free high-quality DNA from a wide range of biological sample types ready for bisulfite
64 sequencing (maximal binding capacity of the column is 25 µg DNA and minimal elution volume is
65 35 µl). Many other kits or protocols are described that should work equally good [16]. At first use
66 we recommend to isolate DNA from a test sample and evaluate the procedure(s) based on the DNA
67 quality control results (see Protocol, section 3).

68 **3) DNA quality control before bisulfite conversion**

69 The quantity and purity of the extracted DNA is measured with Nanodrop as dsDNA (Isogen).
70 Integrity is evaluated by analysing 1 µg of DNA on a 1% agarose gel and by performing the UBC
71 integrity assay on 5 ng DNA (Table 1 and Figure 1.A). The UBC integrity assay consists of a single
72 monoplex PCR reaction amplifying fragments of different lengths (137, 365, 593, 821,... bp)
73 analysed on a 2% agarose gel [17]. Pure and intact DNA will allow amplification of all fragments,
74 while higher degrees of impurity and/or degradation will result in a decrease of amplification

75 products starting with the longer amplicons. Implementation of the multi-use UBC integrity assay in
76 the lab is of particular interest since this single assay can not only be used for quality assessment of
77 DNA from different mammals (checking presence, integrity, amplificability), but also to estimate
78 the DNA contamination level in RNA samples and to perform quality assessment of cDNA reverse
79 transcribed from RNA isolated from any tissue (reflecting the RNA quality). Ideally, DNA should
80 be pure and integer (= OD260/280 around 1.8 on Nanodrop, a high molecular weight band on gel
81 and generation of all amplicons with the UBC integrity assay). Also for this step other methods (e.g.
82 fluorometric- or microfluidic-based methods) can be used to perform DNA quality control [18].

83 **4) Bisulfite conversion**

84 Bisulfite conversion is performed on 500 ng of RNA-free high-quality DNA with the EZ DNA
85 Methylation-Lightning Kit (according to the Zymo Research's recommendations), described to
86 convert > 99.5% of unmethylated Cs and to protect > 99.5% of methylated Cs, with a DNA
87 recovery of > 80%. Higher input levels of DNA are not recommended because they might result in
88 incomplete bisulfite conversion. Recommended input levels can go as low as 100 pg, however this
89 will lower proportionally the number of downstream PCR reactions and the maximal fragment
90 length that can be amplified (because of the lower input of damaged DNA, the number of the longer
91 fragments might drop below the threshold for amplification). The bisulfite-converted DNA is eluted
92 in 10 µl (around 40 ng/µl bisulfite-converted DNA). Many other kits or protocols are described that
93 can be used [10,16]. At first use we recommend to perform bisulfite conversion on a test sample and
94 evaluate the procedure(s) based on the DNA quality control results after bisulfite conversion (see
95 Protocol, section 5).

96 **5) DNA quality control after bisulfite conversion**

97 The quantity and purity of the DNA after bisulfite conversion, known to damage DNA, is measured
98 with Nanodrop as ssRNA (Isogen). Integrity and amplificability is evaluated by performing the

99 UBC bisulfite integrity assay on 5 ng of bisulfite-converted DNA (Table 1 and Figure 1.B). It is a
100 similar assay as the one used for native DNA, but for DNA after bisulfite conversion [17].
101 Comparing the results of both integrity assays will give an idea about the impact of bisulfite
102 conversion on the DNA integrity of the sample. It will also give an idea about the maximal fragment
103 length that can be PCR amplified from the sample. Because of the fragility of bisulfite-converted
104 DNA, it is advised to proceed immediately to PCR and freeze the rest in aliquots.

105 **6) *In silico* identification of CpG islands**

106 *In silico* identification of CpG islands in target genes is based on common hits in different genome
107 browsers (Ensembl, UCSC and NCBI) and online tools such as CpG Islands (The Sequence
108 Manipulation Suite), DBCAT, CpGplot (EMBOSS) and MethPrimer [19-25].

109 **7) Methylation-independent BSP assay design**

110 Methylation-independent BSP primer design and electronic PCR, detecting potential mispriming
111 sites and undesired PCR products, is performed by BiSearch [26]. To our knowledge, it is the only
112 free software combining BSP primer design and electronic PCR. Customized parameters are
113 discussed below.

114 Because bisulfite-converted DNA is not complementary anymore, a choice has to be made whether
115 to design primers amplifying the sense or the antisense strand. We suggest to try both strands and
116 choose the most optimal primers. Because of the symmetry of the CpG motifs and the mode of
117 action of the methyltransferases, the methylation status of every CpG motif should be identical to its
118 complement, unless the region of interest is prone to hemimethylation [27]. Signs for
119 hemimethylation can be observed by analysing the methylation status of CpGs in overlapping parts
120 of amplicons targeting the different strands and warrant further investigation.

121 Amplicon length is based on the length of the CpG island to be analysed (see Protocol, section 6),
122 the integrity and amplificability of the bisulfite-converted DNA (see Protocol, section 5) and the

123 cloning strategy (see Protocol, section 9). Using the described protocol, amplicons up to 800 bp can
 124 be amplified starting from high-quality DNA.

125 Because of the bisulfite conversion, 4-base DNA (25% of A, G, C and T) will be shifted towards 3-
 126 base DNA (towards 25% A, 25% G, 0% C and 50 % T), reducing DNA complexity. In order to have
 127 the same specificity, bisulfite primers might need to be longer compared to native primers.

128 In case an estimate of the primer occurrence in a particular template is wanted, the following
 129 formula can be used: $N \times (pA^{Na}) \times (pG^{Ng}) \times (pC^{Nc}) \times (pT^{Nt})$, with N being the number of
 130 nucleotides in the template, pA/pG/pC/pT the estimated frequencies of the respective nucleotides in
 131 that template (sum should be 1) and Na/Ng/Nc/Nt the number of the respective nucleotides in the
 132 primer. In an average mammalian genome of 3×10^9 bp (assuming that every nucleotide appears at
 133 25%), a native primer of 20 bp (containing 5 times each nucleotide) would theoretically occur
 134 0,0027 times ($= (3 \times 10^9) \times (0.25^5) \times (0.25^5) \times (0.25^5) \times (0.25^5)$), so considered to be highly
 135 specific. For bisulfite primers in a hypothetical 100% methylated genome (0% C converted to T), it
 136 would be the same. In a hypothetical 100% unmethylated genome (100% C converted to T) a
 137 similar 20-bp primer (all 5 Cs converted to Ts) would occur 3 times ($= (3 \times 10^9) \times (0.25^5) \times$
 138 $(0.25^5) \times (0^0) \times (0.5^{10})$), so considered to be not specific. In a genome where 40% of the Cs
 139 would be methylated, a similar 20-bp primer (3 out of 5 Cs would be converted to T) would occur
 140 0.02 times ($= (3 \times 10^9) \times (0.25^5) \times (0.25^5) \times (0.1^2) \times (0.4^8)$), about 10 times less specific than
 141 the respective native primer.

142 Taking into account the completeness of genome databases, the specificity of potential PCR primers
 143 can be checked via the fast PCR tool of BiSearch using the 16-mer mismatch string parameter to
 144 specify nucleotide specific differences (e.g. random mismatch in the genome and Cs that might or
 145 might not be converted after bisulfite treatment). In addition, the native versions of the bisulfite
 146 primers can be checked for known SNPs via NCBI-BLAST in order to prevent null-alleles [28].

147 To avoid that primer annealing is affected by the methylation status of the primer target sequence,
148 primers should not contain CpGs. In case they do, degenerate primers should be designed with a Y
149 (C or T) instead of a C. Amplifying unconverted DNA can be prevented by including some non-
150 CpG Cs in the native primer sequence (they will be replaced by Ts in the bisulfite primer and as a
151 result only be specific for converted DNA). To make sure, it can be experimentally verified that
152 methylation-independent primers do not amplify unconverted DNA.

153 Annealing temperatures should be as high as possible to prevent potential secondary structures in
154 the template and avoiding primer dimer formation. Inter-primer melting temperature (T_m)
155 differences should be as low as possible (lower than 1°C) to prevent non-binding of the primer with
156 the lower T_m or non-specific binding of the primer with the higher T_m .

157 **8) Methylation-independent BSP**

158 Because PCR on bisulfite-converted DNA is prone to non-specific amplification due to its high AT
159 content, it is strongly recommended to use a HotStart polymerase. From the wide range of available
160 DNA polymerases, we use TEMPase HotStart Polymerase (according to VWR's recommendations),
161 designed to diminish the formation of non-specific priming events during reaction set-up and the
162 first ramp of thermal cycling. It is a non-proofreading DNA polymerase (produces 3'-A overhangs),
163 allowing TA cloning (see Protocol, section 9). Other DNA polymerases can be used, but not all.
164 Archaeal polymerases, such as the high-fidelity polymerases Vent and Pfu, are unable to efficiently
165 copy bisulfite-converted DNA due to the stalling triggered by template uracil [29]. In addition,
166 unmodified high-fidelity polymerases will complicate subsequent TA-cloning, because they do not
167 produce 3'-A overhangs.

168 PCR is performed for 40 cycles (30"-95°C, 30"-Ta, 2'-72°C) with 5 ng bisulfite-converted DNA as
169 a template (= on average 80 reactions can be performed per conversion) on a S1000 Thermal Cycler
170 (Bio-Rad) with gradient function. During optimization of the assays, a 5-point gradient PCR is

171 performed with as annealing temperature (T_a) the predicted T_m -4°C , -2°C , $+0^{\circ}\text{C}$, $+2^{\circ}\text{C}$ and $+4^{\circ}\text{C}$.
 172 Amplicons are analysed on a 2% agarose gel. The averaged T_a of all T_a with specific amplification
 173 is chosen as assay T_a . Because of the complexity of the PCR reaction (fragmented DNA, low
 174 complexity target, presence of U) it might be needed to increase extension times.

175 **9) Cloning strategy**

176 If multiple fragments need to be analysed, we opt for a pooled cloning strategy in pCRII (TA-
 177 cloning kit, Invitrogen) in order to reduce time, costs and effort during this step. Ideally, pooled
 178 amplicons should differ in length (the longer the amplicons, the longer the difference). After PCR
 179 on bisulfite-converted DNA with a non-proofreading DNA polymerase, the different amplicons are
 180 analysed on a 2% agarose gel, cut out with a scalpel and eluted together (up to 4 different
 181 amplicons) with the GENECLAN II kit (MP Biomedicals) in 8 μl . One μl of the eluted amplicon
 182 mix is analysed on a 2% agarose gel to validate the amplicon quantities (Figure 1.C). Six μl of the
 183 eluted amplicon mix is then ligated in 1 μl pCRII with 1 μl T4 DNA ligase (= 1 U) and 2 μl 5x T4
 184 DNA ligase buffer at 14°C overnight. Two μl of the ligation mix is then transformed into 50 μl
 185 Subcloning Efficiency DH5 α Competent Cells and grown overnight on LB plates containing 100
 186 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ ampicillin and 50 $\mu\text{g/ml}$ X-gal (allowing blue/white screening; according to Invitrogen's
 187 instructions). The next day, individual white colonies (containing 1 insert) are striped on new plates
 188 and grown overnight. The next day, a tip-point of cells is resuspended in 100 μl water and 2 μl is
 189 used for colony PCR. If the amplicon length difference of the pooled fragments can be
 190 distinguished on a 2% agarose gel, pCRII primers bordering the TA cloning site can be used to
 191 amplify the insert to be sequenced (Table 1). Two μl of the PCR product can be analysed on a 2%
 192 agarose gel to check the amount and the identity of the insert based on fragment length (Figure
 193 1.D). By doing so, the amount of input for sequencing and the number of clones to be sequenced
 194 from each pooled fragment can be controlled. If some of the pooled fragments can not be

distinguished from each other by length, they can be first cut with a specific restriction enzyme before gel analysis, or fragment specific primers can be used on the undetermined clones. If preferring another cloning strategy, the above-mentioned issues can be adopted as needed.

10) Sequencing

The rest of the colony PCR product (= 8 µl) of the selected clones (at least 6 for every fragment) is cleaned-up for Sanger sequencing by adding 4 U exonuclease I (Bioké) and 2 U antarctic phosphatase (Bioké), and incubating for 30 min at 37°C (enzymatic reaction) and 15 min at 80°C (enzyme inactivation). Two µl of the treated PCR product is usually (depending on its amount based on Figure 1.D) used for the sequencing reaction with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Applied Biosystems; Table 2) using one or both (depending on the length of the insert) PCR primers as individual sequencing primer.

11) Data analysis

The chromatograms are inspected manually for errors and the sequences are trimmed (insert without amplicon specific primer sequences, because they do not represent the methylation status of the native fragment) with BioEdit (free software) [30]. Extracting the methylation data (including quality control and visualisation in lollipop-style) is performed with BiQ Analyzer (free software) [31].

COMMENTARY

1) Dealing with bias

It is important to evaluate every step of the protocol for a potential introduction of bias. Most critical is probably the conversion efficiency of the bisulfite treatment. According to the specifications of the kit used in our protocol the conversion efficiency is > 99.5% (= less than 1 error per 200 CpGs). For a hypothetical amplicon of 400 bp containing 40 CpGs, this would mean

less than 1 CpG error per 5 amplicons. Experimental bisulfite conversion efficiencies can be estimated by calculating the percentage of non-CpG Cs in the native amplicon sequence that are really converted to Ts in the bisulfite-converted sequence (one of the QC parameters of BiQ Analyzer). Including non-CpG Cs in the native primer sequence will prevent amplification of unconverted DNA and thus lower potential bias.

Another source of potential bias is caused by PCR. According to the PCR Fidelity Calculator (ThermoFischer Scientific) [32], amplification of the hypothetical 400-bp fragment for 40 cycles with *Taq* DNA polymerase would introduce 1 error in 1/3 of the amplicons. Because only C>T errors at methylated CpGs or T>C errors at unmethylated CpGs (= 1/3 of all possible errors) of the 40 CpGs of the amplicon (= 1/10 of the sequence) would create bias (all other errors would be noticed as errors), this would theoretically result in a wrong determination of the methylation status of only 1 CpG per 90 amplicons ($= 1/3 * 1/3 * 1/10$; almost 20-fold less than bisulfite conversion errors). Because we perform cloning-based sequencing involving colony PCR with a non-high-fidelity DNA polymerase, a similar PCR bias is created during this step. However, there would be no implications here when using a high-fidelity DNA polymerase to lower this bias. In addition, it might even lower potential PCR slippage (another PCR bias), typically due to sequential Ts (N>9). In case PCR slippage during colony PCR hinders sequencing (not an issue before cloning), sequencing could be performed on DNA extracted from a single clone (instead of performing colony PCR).

To test if the primers amplify methylation independent (and not in favour of unmethylated templates), PCR on a 50:50 methylated/unmethylated bisulfite converted control sample is frequently performed. To make sure that all tested amplicons are really 50:50 methylated/unmethylated, all regions under investigation are first PCR amplified with native primers on native DNA as a template (these amplicons can contain multiple overlapping BSP

243 amplicons). These 100% unmethylated amplicons are mixed and split into two parts. One part will
 244 serve as the unmethylated part, the second part will be 100% CpG methylated by a CpG
 245 methyltransferase treatment (M.SssI, Bioké). This can be verified by cutting an aliquot of both parts
 246 with *HpaII* (Bioké). It will cut unmethylated CCGG (= unmethylated part 1), but not methylated
 247 CCGG (= methylated part 2). Both parts are then mixed, cleaned-up (QIAquick DNA purification
 248 kit, Qiagen) and bisulfite converted. Then all BSP assays are performed and amplicons digested
 249 with *HpaII* and *TaqI*. *HpaII* (cuts CCGG) will not cut bisulfite converted DNA (unmethylated
 250 CCGG will be converted to TTGG and methylated CCGG will be converted to TCGG). So, if none
 251 of the amplicons are digested it means that the bisulfite conversion was successful. *TaqI* (cuts
 252 TCGA) will not cut the unmethylated part (all native TCGA sequences are converted to TTGA), but
 253 will cut the methylated part (all native TCGA will not be converted and all CCGA will be converted
 254 to TCGA). So, if half of the amplicons are digested it means that the assays amplify methylation
 255 independent.

256 In order to have a reliable estimate of the methylation status and to minimize the effect of a
 257 potential error at every single CpG, six clones are sequenced. To obtain a more precise
 258 determination of the methylation status of partially methylated loci, additional clones containing
 259 those loci can be sequenced or methylation specific primers targeting those loci can be used as
 260 deemed fit. In case of doubt about cloning bias, direct sequencing can be performed and the results
 261 compared with the ones obtained via cloning-based sequencing.

262 Results from identical sequences from overlapping amplicons can also be used to evaluate the
 263 reliability of the results. In addition, chromatograms are inspected manually in order to avoid base
 264 calling errors during sequencing.

265 Finally, it is obvious that positive and negative controls should be performed and contamination
 266 should be avoided at any time.

267 **2) Improving overall protocol efficiency**

268 It is important to avoid DNA degradation because bisulfite sequencing is most successful with intact
269 starting material. In addition, it will allow you to amplify longer amplicons (determined by the UBC
270 bisulfite integrity assay), resulting in less amplicons to process. In order to maximize the chance to
271 reach the threshold number of fragments for amplification of these longer fragments, the maximal
272 advised DNA input for bisulfite conversion is used.

273 The protocol involves 2 PCR steps, one PCR on bisulfite-converted DNA before cloning and one
274 colony PCR. Because the theoretical bias created by the DNA polymerase is about 20-fold lower
275 than the bias created during bisulfite conversion, there is no big benefit to use more expensive high-
276 fidelity DNA polymerases, that even might complicate TA cloning. In our opinion, if primer design
277 guidelines are followed properly, there is no need for optimization (except for determining the
278 optimal experimental Ta) or performing (semi)-nested PCR.

279 Because cloning-based bisulfite sequencing is labour intensive, the pooled cloning strategy really
280 makes it more efficient. If pooled amplicons differ at least 50 bp in length, their clones can easily be
281 distinguished from each other by a single colony PCR with universal vector primers. If not,
282 additional work might be needed to identify the clones in order not to sequence too many clones
283 containing the same amplicon. Although we were able to amplify amplicons of 800 bp, it is always
284 easier to amplify, clone and sequence smaller amplicons. In the pooled cloning strategy we used no
285 more than 4 amplicons between 350 and 500 bp.

286 At last, using free data analysis software, such as BiQ Analyser, minimizes errors and speeds up the
287 analysis.

288 ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

289 We wish to thank Carolien Rogiers, Dominique Vander Donckt, Linda Impe and Ruben Van
290 Gansbeke for excellent technical assistance.

291

292 CONFLICT OF INTEREST

293 The authors declare no conflict of interest

294

295 REFERENCES

- 296 [1] Kurdyukov S, Bullock M: DNA Methylation Analysis: Choosing the Right Method. *Biology*
297 2016; **5**:3. doi: 10.3390/biology5010003.
- 298 [2] Olkhov-Mitsel E, Bapat B: Strategies for discovery and validation of methylated and
299 hydroxymethylated DNA biomarkers. *Cancer Med* 2012; **1**:237-60. doi: 10.1002/cam4.22.
- 300 [3] Clark SJ, Harrison J, Paul CL, Frommer M: High sensitivity mapping of methylated cytosines.
301 *Nucleic Acids Res* 1994; **22**:2990-7.
- 302 [4] Warnecke PM, Stirzaker C, Song J, Grunau C, Melki JR, Clark SJ: Identification and resolution
303 of artifacts in bisulfite sequencing. *Methods* 2002; **27**:101-7.
- 304 [5] Wojdacz TK, Hansen LL, Dobrovic A: A new approach to primer design for the control of PCR
305 bias in methylation studies. *BMC Res Notes* 2008; **1**:54. doi: 10.1186/1756-0500-1-54.
- 306 [6] Zhang Y, Rohde C, Tierling S, *et al*: DNA methylation analysis by bisulfite conversion, cloning,
307 and sequencing of individual clones. *Methods Mol Biol* 2009; **507**:177-87. doi: 10.1007/978-1-
308 59745-522-0_14.
- 309 [7] Darst RP, Pardo CE, Ai L, Brown KD, Kladde MP: Bisulfite sequencing of DNA. *Curr Protoc*
310 *Mol Biol* 2010; **Chapter 7**:Unit 7.9.1-17. doi: 10.1002/0471142727.mb0709s91.

- 311 [8] Li Y, Tollefsbol TO: DNA methylation detection: bisulfite genomic sequencing analysis.
312 *Methods Mol Biol* 2011; **791**:11-21. doi: 10.1007/978-1-61779-316-5_2.
- 313 [9] Patterson K, Molloy L, Qu W, Clark S: DNA methylation: bisulphite modification and analysis.
314 *J Vis Exp* 2011; **56**:e3170. doi: 10.3791/3170.
- 315 [10] Hernández HG, Tse MY, Pang SC, Arboleda H, Forero DA: Optimizing methodologies for
316 PCR-based DNA methylation analysis. *Biotechniques* 2013; **55**:181-97. doi: 10.2144/000114087.
- 317 [11] Methylation Analysis by Bisulfite Sequencing: Chemistry, Products and Protocols from
318 Applied Biosystems. URL: https://tools.thermofisher.com/content/sfs/manuals/cms_039258.pdf.
319 [accessed 05-12-2017].
- 320 [12] Frommer M, McDonald LE, Millar DS, *et al*: A genomic sequencing protocol that yields a
321 positive display of 5-methylcytosine residues in individual DNA strands. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
322 1992; **89**:1827-31.
- 323 [13] Neri F, Incarnato D, Krepelova A, Parlato C, Oliviero S: Methylation-assisted bisulfite
324 sequencing to simultaneously map 5fC and 5caC on a genome-wide scale for DNA demethylation
325 analysis. *Nat Protoc* 2016; **11**:1191-205. doi: 10.1038/nprot.2016.063.
- 326 [14] Booth MJ, Branco MR, Ficiz G, *et al*: Quantitative sequencing of 5-methylcytosine and 5-
327 hydroxymethylcytosine at single-base resolution. *Science* 2012; **336**:934-7. doi:
328 10.1126/science.1220671.
- 329 [15] Doorenweerd C, Beentjes K: Extensive guidelines for preserving specimen or tissue for later
330 DNA work. URL: <https://science.naturalis.nl/media/medialibrary/2013/08/preservingdna.pdf>
331 [accessed 17-08-2017].
- 332 [16] Holmes EE, Jung M, Meller S, *et al*: Performance evaluation of kits for bisulfite-conversion of
333 DNA from tissues, cell lines, FFPE tissues, aspirates, lavages, effusions, plasma, serum, and urine.
334 *PLoS One* 2014; **9**:e93933. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0093933.

335 [17] Van Poucke M, Peelman L: Flexible, multi-use, PCR-based nucleic acid integrity assays based
336 on the ubiquitin C gene. *bioRxiv* 2017; doi.org/10.1101/168195.

337 [18] Zonta E, Nizard P, Taly V: Assessment of DNA Integrity, Applications for Cancer Research.
338 *Adv Clin Chem* 2015; **70**:197-246. doi: 10.1016/bs.acc.2015.03.002.

339 [19] Yates A, Akanni W, Ridwan Amode M, *et al*: Ensembl 2016. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2016;
340 **44**:D710-6. doi:10.1093/nar/gkv1157.

341 [20] Kent WJ, Sugnet CW, Furey TS, *et al*: The human genome browser at UCSC. *Genome Res*
342 2002; **12**:996-1006.

343 [21] National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI)[Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National
344 Library of Medicine (US), National Center for Biotechnology Information; [1988] – [cited 2017
345 Aug 17]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/>.

346 [22] Stothard P: The Sequence Manipulation Suite: JavaScript programs for analyzing and
347 formatting protein and DNA sequences. *Biotechniques* 2000; **28**:1102-4.

348 [23] Kuo HC, Lin PY, Chung TC, *et al*: DBCAT: database of CpG islands and analytical tools for
349 identifying comprehensive methylation profiles in cancer cells. *J Comput Biol.* 2011; **18**:1013-7.
350 doi: 10.1089/cmb.2010.0038.

351 [24] Larsen F, Gundersen G, Lopez R, Prydz H: CpG islands as gene markers in the human
352 genome. *Genomics*. 1992; **13**:1095-107.

353 [25] Li LC, Dahiya R: MethPrimer: designing primers for methylation PCRs. *Bioinformatics*. 2002;
354 **18**:1427-31.

355 [26] Tusnady GE, Simon I, Varadi A, Aranyi T: BiSearch: Primer-design and Search Tool for PCR
356 on Bisulfite Treated Genomes. *Nucleic Acids Res* 2005; **33**:e9.

357 [27] Sun S, Li P: HMPL: a Pipeline for Identifying Hemimethylation Patterns by Comparing two
358 samples. *Cancer Informatics* 2015; **14**:235–45. doi: 10.4137/CIN.S17286.

- 359 [28] Blast [Internet]. Bethesda (MD): National Library of Medicine (US), National Center for
360 Biotechnology Information; 2004 – [cited 2017 Feb 11]. Available from:
361 <https://blast.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/Blast.cgi>.
- 362 [29] Lasken RS, Schuster DM, Rashtchian A: Archaeobacterial DNA polymerases tightly bind uracil-
363 containing DNA. *J Biol Chem* 1996; **271**:17692-6.
- 364 [30] Hall TA: BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program
365 for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucl. Acids. Symp. Ser* 1999; **41**:95-8.
- 366 [31] Bock C, Reither S, Mikeska T, Paulsen M, Walter J, Lengauer T: BiQ Analyzer: visualization
367 and quality control for DNA methylation data from bisulfite sequencing. *Bioinformatics* 2005; **21**:
368 4067-8.
- 369 [32] PCR fidelity calculator (ThermoFisher Scientific). Available from:
370 [https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-](https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/pcr-fidelity-calculator.html)
371 [biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/pcr-](https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/pcr-fidelity-calculator.html)
372 [fidelity-calculator.html](https://www.thermofisher.com/us/en/home/brands/thermo-scientific/molecular-biology/molecular-biology-learning-center/molecular-biology-resource-library/thermo-scientific-web-tools/pcr-fidelity-calculator.html). Accessed 21 November, 2017.
- 373 [33] Boulougouris *et al*: personal communication (2017).

374 **FIGURES**

375 **Figure 1.** Agarose gels showing A) UBC integrity assay on genomic DNA before bisulfite
376 conversion (Protocol, section 3; adapted from [17]), B) UBC bisulfite integrity assay on
377 genomic DNA from (A) after bisulfite conversion (Protocol, section 5; adapted from [17]), C)
378 eluted 4-amplicon mix amplified on genomic DNA from (B) before cloning (Protocol, section
379 9; adapted from [33]), and D) colony PCR with pCRII primers on 5 clones containing
380 amplicons from (C) (Protocol, section 9; adapted from [33]). M: 1 kb+ ladder (ThermoFisher
381 Scientific), ND: native DNA, BD: bisulfite-converted DNA, E: eluted 4-amplicon mix, C1-4:
382 clone with an insert, C5: clone without an insert, N: no template control.

383

TABLES

Table 1. PCR details of the UBC (bisulfite) integrity [17] and pCRII assays.

PCR mix (VWR)		Cycling program		
5.7 µl	H ₂ O	14'30"	95°C	
1.0 µl	10x Key Buffer	00'30"	95°C	] x40
1.0 µl	10 µM primers (5 µM each primer ¹²³)	00'30"	Ta°C ¹²³	
0.2 µl	40 mM dNTPs (10 mM each nucleotide)	02'00"	72°C	]
0.1 µl	5 U/µl TEMPLase Hot Start DNA Polymerase	05'00"	72°C	
2.0 µl	Template	Hold	15°C	
10.0 µl	Total volume			

¹ UBC integrity assay: amplicons of 137, 365, 593, 821,... bp (Ta = 68°C)

F: 5'-GCACCCTGTCHGACTACAACATCCAGAA-3'

R: 5'-ATGGTGTCRCTGGGCTCSACYTC-3'

² UBC bisulfite integrity assay: amplicons of 105, 333, 561, 789,... bp (Ta = 54°C)

F: 5'-GAARGAGTTTATTTTGTATTT-3'

R: 5'-TCACTAAACTCMACYTCC-3'

³ pCRII assay: amplicons of insert length + 189 bp (Ta = 61°C)

F: 5'-AGCTATGACCATGATTACGCCAAG-3' (located 81 bp upstream TA cloning site)

R: 5'-AAACGACGGCCAGTGAATTGT-3' (located 108 bp downstream TA cloning site)

396 **Table 2.** Sanger sequencing details (BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit, Applied
397 Biosystems)

Sequencing mix		Cycling program		
3.0 µl	H ₂ O	2'00"	95°C	
0.5 µl	Ready Reaction mix	0'20"	95°C	]
2.0 µl	5x sequencing buffer ¹	0'10"	60°C	] x30
1.0 µl	GC-rich solution (Roche)	4'00"	65°C	]
1.5 µl	Sequencing primer (2 µM)			
2.0 µl	Template			
10.0 µl	Total volume			

¹ 200 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 + 5 mM MgCl₂