Phylogenetic distribution of roseobacticides in the *Roseobacter* group and their effect on microalgae

Eva C. Sonnenschein^{1*}, Christopher Broughton William Phippen², Mikkel Bentzon-Tilia¹, Silas Anselm Rasmussen², Kristian Fog Nielsen², Lone Gram¹

¹ Technical University of Denmark, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Anker Engeldsvej 301, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

² Technical University of Denmark, Department of Biotechnology and Biomedicine, Søtofts Plads 221, DK-2800 Kgs. Lyngby, Denmark

* corresponding author: Eva C. Sonnenschein; e-mail: evaso@bio.dtu.dk

Summary

The *Roseobacter*-group species *Phaeobacter inhibens* produces the antibacterial tropodithietic acid (TDA) and the algaecidal roseobacticides with both compound classes sharing part of the same biosynthetic pathway. The purpose of this study was to investigate the production of roseobacticides more broadly in TDA-producing roseobacters and to compare the effect of producers and non-producers on microalgae. Of 33 roseobacters analyzed, roseobacticide production was a unique feature of TDA-producing *P. inhibens*, *P. gallaeciensis* and *P. piscinae* strains. One TDA-producing *Phaeobacter* strain, 27-4, was unable to produce roseobacticides, possibly due to a transposable element. TDA-producing *Ruegeria mobilis* and *Pseudovibrio* did not produce roseobacticides. Addition of roseobacticide-containing bacterial extracts affected the growth of the microalgae *Rhodomonas salina*, *Thalassiosira pseudonana* and *Emiliania*

26 *huxleyi*, while growth of *Tetraselmis suecica* was unaffected. During co-cultivation, growth
 27 of *E. huxleyi* was initially stimulated by the roseobacticide producer DSM 17395, while the
 28 subsequent decline in algal cell numbers during senescence was enhanced. Strain 27-4
 29 that does not produce roseobacticides had no effect on algal growth. Both bacterial
 30 strains, DSM 17395 and 27-4, grew during co-cultivation presumably utilizing algal
 31 exudates. Furthermore, TDA-producing roseobacters have potential as probiotics in
 32 marine larviculture and it is promising that the live feed *Tetraselmis* was unaffected by
 33 roseobacticides-containing extracts.

34

35 **Originality-significance statement**

36 Some *Roseobacter*-group bacteria produce the antibacterial compound tropodithetic acid
 37 (TDA) and have potential as probiotics in marine aquaculture. However, a few of these
 38 strains additionally produce algaecidal compounds, the roseobacticides, which would
 39 restrict their use in marine larviculture where algae are used as live feed for fish larvae.
 40 We herein found that roseobacticides are limited to TDA-producing *Phaeobacter* strains
 41 and were not biosynthesized by TDA-producers outside this genus. Roseobacticides
 42 affected several strains of microalgae, but not the chlorophyte that is used as live feed in
 43 the aquaculture industry. Thus, the application of *Roseobacter* strains as probiotics is not
 44 hampered. Furthermore, these results demonstrate how *Roseobacter*-group strains act as
 45 gardeners of microalgae and thereby would be involved in environmental processes on a
 46 larger scale.

47 Introduction

48 Microalgae are responsible for half of the global primary production and are the basis of
 49 the marine food web (Field *et al.*, 1998). The phycosphere surrounding an algal cell is
 50 inhabited by bacteria that benefit from increased nutrient concentrations (Cole, 1982;
 51 Azam and Malfatti, 2007; Amin *et al.*, 2012). In return, these bacteria may provide the
 52 algae with supplements such as vitamins (Croft *et al.*, 2005; Cooper and Smith, 2015) and
 53 protect them from infections or grazing (Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*, 2011). The
 54 bacteria may, however, also act as pathogens or parasites causing lysis and death of the
 55 algal cells (Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*, 2011; Riclea *et al.*, 2012; Wang *et al.*, 2014).
 56 Possibly, these modes are interlinked genetically, or metabolically, and depend on exterior
 57 factors such as nutrient supply. This scenario was proposed for the interaction between
 58 *Emiliana huxleyi*, a globally important, bloom-forming haptophyte (Read *et al.*, 2013) and
 59 the alphaproteobacterium *Phaeobacter inhibens* (Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*, 2011;
 60 Segev *et al.*, 2016b; Wang *et al.*, 2016; Wang and Seyedsayamdost, 2017). The bacterium
 61 belongs to the *Roseobacter* group, one of the most common and widespread marine
 62 bacterial groups and a group often co-appearing with microalgae (Sapp *et al.*, 2007; Luo
 63 and Moran, 2014; Tan *et al.*, 2015; Eva C Sonnenschein *et al.*, 2017). *Roseobacter*-group
 64 bacteria can be involved in the degradation of the algae-produced
 65 dimethylsulfoniopropionate into dimethylsulfide, which is released into the atmosphere and
 66 serves as cloud nuclei (Miller and Belas, 2004; Dickschat *et al.*, 2010). *P. inhibens*
 67 produces at least two groups of bioactive molecules: the antibacterial compound
 68 tropodithietic acid (TDA), and the algaecidal roseobacticides, the production of the latter
 69 being induced by *p*-coumaric acid, an algal degradation product (Brinkhoff *et al.*, 2004;
 70 Seyedsayamdost, Carr, *et al.*, 2011). First identified as its tautomer thiotropocin in 1984,

71 TDA is a small acid comprising sulfur and a 7-membered carbon ring (Kintaka *et al.*, 1984;
 72 Brock *et al.*, 2013; D'Alvise *et al.*, 2016). The roseobacticide family was discovered in 2011
 73 and contains roseobacticide A to K with roseobacticide A and B generally being the
 74 dominant forms (Seyedsayamdost, Carr, *et al.*, 2011; Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*,
 75 2011). Like TDA, the roseobacticides also contain at least one sulfur atom and a tropone
 76 ring. Furthermore, they contain additional aromatic side chains derived from aromatic
 77 amino acids. It has been hypothesized that under nutrient-rich conditions, *P. inhibens*
 78 produces TDA to protect *E. huxleyi* from pathogens and, when *E. huxleyi* senesces, the
 79 algal degradation product would induce production of roseobacticides in *P. inhibens*,
 80 enhancing the algal decay. While the metabolic pathways of both compound groups are
 81 not fully elucidated (Geng *et al.*, 2008; Brock *et al.*, 2014; Seyedsayamdost *et al.*, 2014;
 82 Wang *et al.*, 2016), it has been shown for *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 that the production of
 83 TDA and roseobacticides are interlinked, i.e. that the same genes are essential for the
 84 biosynthesis of both compounds and that the metabolites probably share the same
 85 precursor (Wang *et al.*, 2016).

86 TDA-producing roseobacters are also of interest in biotechnological application as
 87 potential probiotic bacteria in marine aquaculture (Bruhn *et al.*, 2005; D'Alvise *et al.*, 2012,
 88 2013; Bentzon-Tilia *et al.*, 2016). Especially, fish larvae are prone to bacterial infections
 89 due to their undeveloped immune system and probiotics are a promising alternative to the
 90 use of antibiotics that can result in development and spread of antibiotic resistance
 91 (Cabello, 2006). The fish larvae are fed with live feed such as rotifers and *Artemia* that are
 92 themselves fed with live microalgae. Pathogenic bacteria may proliferate in these feed
 93 cultures due to high levels of nutrients (Verdonck *et al.*, 1997). *P. inhibens* is an excellent
 94 antagonist of fish pathogenic Vibrionaceae due to the production of TDA and can kill or

95 inhibit fish pathogens in the live feed and reduce mortality caused by vibriosis in fish larvae
96 (D'Alvise *et al.*, 2012, 2013; Grothkjær *et al.*, 2016). So far, no negative effect of *P. inhibens*
97 was found on the aquaculture organisms themselves (Neu *et al.*, 2014), but pure TDA was
98 able to change the natural microbiota of the feed algae *Nannochloropsis salina* (Geng *et*
99 *al.*, 2016). However, it is obviously of concern that the bacterium potentially can harm the
100 microalgae used for feeding.

101 The aim of this study was to analyze the distribution of roseobacticides within the
102 *Roseobacter* group and determine which TDA-producing organisms also produce
103 roseobacticides. We compared the genomes of producers and non-producers to identify
104 genes likely contributing to the biosynthesis of roseobacticides. Furthermore, we
105 investigated the impact of a roseobacticide producer and a non-producer on microalgae
106 using bacterial extracts as well as co-cultivation.

107

108 **Results and discussion**

109 *Phylogenetic distribution of roseobacticides*

110 Thirty-three *Roseobacter*-group bacteria, including 27 TDA producers, were analyzed for
111 the ability to biosynthesize roseobacticides upon induction with *p*-coumaric acid (see
112 Supplementary materials & methods for details). If the dominant roseobacticide,
113 roseobacticide B, was detected in the ethyl acetate extract of the culture by mass
114 spectrometry, the strain was considered positive for production of roseobacticides (Table
115 1, Fig. S1, Table S1). With the exception of *P. piscinae* 27-4 and *P. porticola* P97, all
116 tested *Phaeobacter* strains produced both, TDA and roseobacticide B (Fig. 1).
117 Interestingly, the roseobacticide producer 8-1 was even collected in the same location and
118 year as 27-4 underlining the high phenotypic diversity among genetically similar

119 *Roseobacter*-group strains (Table 1) (Sonnenschein *et al.*, 2017). Strains from the closely
120 related genera *Pseudophaeobacter* and *Leisingera* as well as TDA-producers from the
121 genera *Ruegeria* and *Pseudovibrio* did not produce roseobacticides under the tested
122 conditions. This confirmed previous roseobacticide analyses of the strains DSM 17395
123 (BS107), 2.10, 27-4 and TM1040, and adds DSM 16374 as a roseobacticide producer
124 although not detected previously (Seyedsayamdost, Carr, *et al.*, 2011; Wang and
125 Seyedsayamdost, 2017). Thus, the ability of roseobacticide production appears to be
126 limited to the phylogenetic neighbours *P. inhibens*, *P. gallaeciensis* and *P. piscinae*. In
127 contrast, TDA is produced by several *Roseobacter*-group bacteria, which are not closely
128 related. Evolution of the TDA genes is in agreement with the phylogenetic clustering of the
129 strains (Fig. S2). We propose that a common ancestor of *P. inhibens*, *P. gallaeciensis* and
130 *P. piscinae* obtained the TDA biosynthetic genes e.g. by horizontal gene transfer and
131 subsequently, additionally developed the ability to produce roseobacticides. This
132 hypothesis is support by the findings by Wang *et al.*, who demonstrated a tight genetic and
133 metabolic dependency of roseobacticides to TDA in *P. inhibens* DSM 17395 (Wang *et al.*,
134 2016).

135 *P. piscinae* 27-4 produced TDA, while roseobacticides were not detected. The species
136 *P. piscinae* is phylogenetically distinct from the species *P. inhibens* and *P. gallaeciensis*
137 (Sonnenschein *et al.*, 2017), but based on whole genome comparison, 27-4 is very similar
138 to at least three other *P. piscinae* strains (average nucleotide identity (ANI) to M6-4.2, S26,
139 and 8-1 is 98.5, 98.3, 95.9%, respectively). To investigate the genetic background of
140 roseobacticide production, the predicted protein sequences of the roseobacticide producer
141 strains M6-4.2, 8-1, DSM 17395 and DSM 26640 and the non-producer 27-4 were
142 compared using OrthoVenn (Wang *et al.*, 2015). This revealed fourteen orthologous

143 protein clusters unique to the producer strains (Fig. S3, see ^a; Table 2A) and five unique to
 144 the non-producer (Fig. S3, see ^b; Table 2B). The proteins unique to the producer strains
 145 differ from those found by Wang *et al.* (Wang *et al.*, 2016) as being essential for the
 146 production of roseobacticides in DSM 17395 using a transposon library; however, some lie
 147 within close proximity (distance of < 20 genes) (Fig. S4). Since being identified by two
 148 independent approaches, the genetic loci are likely to be involved in the production. The
 149 proteins herein identified as unique to the producer strains include a sulfurase and
 150 glutathione S-transferase that could be involved in the biosynthesis of roseobacticides
 151 (Table 2). Sulfur is a key component of both TDA and roseobacticides (Wang *et al.*, 2016)
 152 and glutathione was proposed to be involved in the TDA resistance mechanism by *P.*
 153 *inhibens* (Wilson *et al.*, 2016).

154 Four of the orthologous proteins unique to the non-producer 27-4 represent a
 155 transposable element (Fig. S5). This element appears three times in the genome of 27-4
 156 on the plasmids F, E and C. It covers the majority of plasmid F (13 kb) and thus, the
 157 transposable element could have entered the strain via this plasmid. The transposon
 158 located on plasmid C disrupts a gene cluster that contains four genes encoding a
 159 transcriptional regulator, an endonuclease, an oxidoreductase and an aldehyde
 160 dehydrogenase, with the transposable element inserted in the gene of the oxidoreductase
 161 (Fig. S5). Using this bioinformatic approach, we can only speculate that disruption of this
 162 gene cluster could have led to loss of the ability to produce roseobacticides and further
 163 experimentation by e.g. site-directed deletion is required.

164 The transposable element itself contains seven genes encoding a transposase, a
 165 resolvase, a RNA polymerase sigma factor, an anti-sigma E protein, two secreted surface
 166 proteins, and a peptide methionine sulfoxide reductase. The peptide methionine sulfoxide

167 reductase is also present in the non-roseobactide producing TDA-producer *Ruegeria* sp.
168 strain TM1040 (Fig. S6), but not in other *Ruegeria* or *Pseudovibrio* strains, making it
169 unlikely as a shared reason for the non-production of roseobacticides.

170 The ability to biosynthesize roseobacticides appears to have been developed in a
171 common ancestor of *P. inhibens*, *P. gallaeciensis* and *P. piscinae* in contrast to TDA
172 production. The TDA-producer *Phaeobacter*, *Ruegeria* and *Pseudovibrio* are not
173 phylogenetic neighbours, thus this feature possibly ‘jumped’ by horizontal gene transfer
174 between different *Roseobacter*-group species. Furthermore, the strains selected for the
175 analysis herein were obtained from different locations and time points demonstrating how
176 conserved the phenotype of roseobacticide production is within those species.

177

178 *Bioactivity of roseobacticides against microalgae*

179 Ethyl acetate extracts of DSM 17395 and 27-4 and their corresponding TDA-negative
180 mutants were prepared from cultures grown under roseobacticide-inducing conditions.
181 Only the extract of DSM 17395 contained roseobacticides as determined by HPLC-HRMS
182 (Fig. S7). The strains were grown under iron limited conditions, which generally diminishes
183 the production of TDA (D’Alvise *et al.*, 2016) and correspondingly TDA was not present in
184 detectable quantities.

185 The algae were treated with a roseobacticide extract 10-fold diluted with respect to the
186 original bacterial culture (i.e. for roseobacticide-containing extract, the bacterial strains
187 were cultivated in 25 mL ½YTSS with *p*-coumaric acid. The culture was extracted twice
188 with 1:1 ethylacetate, dried and resuspended in 2.5 mL methanol. 50 µl of this
189 roseobacticide-containing extract was added to 5 mL of algal culture for the bioassay). Cell
190 numbers were assessed over 9 days. Growth of the cryptophyte *R. salina* (Fig. 2A) and

191 the diatom *T. pseudonana* (Fig. 2B) was only affected by the roseobacticide-containing
 192 extract of the DSM 17395 wildtype. While the cell numbers initially dropped, the algae had
 193 recovered until the end of the experiment. The chlorophyte *T. suecica* (Fig. 2C) was not
 194 affected by any extract. Roseobacticides might also have an effect on *T. suecica* at higher
 195 concentrations, however, this could not be evaluated due to non-availability of pure
 196 standards. Initially, no growth of the haptophyte *E. huxleyi* was observed in cultures
 197 supplemented with any of the four extracts at the given concentration (Fig. S8). However,
 198 when the extract was diluted to a 100-fold, an inhibitory effect of the DSM 17395 wildtype
 199 extract was observed (Fig. 2D). Growth of the eukaryotic organisms was unaffected by the
 200 addition of diluent (methanol) (Fig. 2).

201 In comparison to previous bioassays (Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*, 2011), we
 202 monitored microalgae representing four different groups (haptophyte, cryptophyte,
 203 heterokont, and chlorophyte) over nine days. We found the same impact on the
 204 cryptophyte *R. salina* and the haptophyte *E. huxleyi*. While the heterokont studied
 205 previously, *Chaetoceros muelleri*, was only weakly affected by roseobacticides, the
 206 heterokont *T. pseudonana* was highly affected in our experiments. The effect on any algae
 207 was temporary and the algae were able to recover, possibly due to the degradation of
 208 roseobacticides. Using the high concentration of *Phaeobacter* extracts, both the
 209 roseobacticide-containing and non-containing extracts had a high lytic effect on the
 210 haptophyte *E. huxleyi* (Fig. S8) suggesting that other so far undescribed metabolites play a
 211 role in the interaction with the *Roseobacter*-group bacteria.

212

213

214

215 *Bacterial-microalgal co-cultivation*

216 The roseobacticide producer DSM 17395 or the non-producer 27-4 were co-cultivated with
 217 the microalgae *E. huxleyi* for 27 days and cell numbers were assessed (Fig. 3). The algae
 218 reached the maximum cell concentrations on days 12 (*E. huxleyi* + DSM 17395), 15 (*E.*
 219 *huxleyi* + 27-4) and 18 (*E. huxleyi*). The algal cell concentrations of the axenic cultures
 220 compared to those in the co-cultivation setup with DSM 17395 were significantly lower on
 221 days 9 ($p \leq 0.01$) and 12 ($p \leq 0.05$) and significantly higher on days 21 ($p \leq 0.0001$), 24
 222 ($p \leq 0.001$), 27 ($p \leq 0.0001$). There was no difference between the axenic cultures and those
 223 incubated with 27-4. Accordingly, co-cultivation setups of DSM 17395 and 27-4 differed in
 224 algal cell counts on day 12 ($p \leq 0.05$), 21 ($p \leq 0.05$), 24 ($p \leq 0.05$) and 27 ($p \leq 0.01$). The
 225 bacterial cell concentrations of both strains were significantly higher in the co-cultivation
 226 setups in comparison to the axenic samples of DSM 17395 and 27-4 from day 6 ($p \leq 0.01$)
 227 and 3 ($p \leq 0.001$) onwards, respectively. There was no significant difference of the bacterial
 228 numbers in the co-cultivations between DSM 17395 and 27-4, but on day 0 ($p \leq 0.001$).

229 Thus, we demonstrated the different direct effects on microalgae of two closely related
 230 TDA-producers; a roseobacticide producer and a non-producer. The roseobacticide
 231 producer promoted algal growth and enhanced their decay, an observation that was also
 232 found by a recent study on the metabolic dynamics of the interaction of *E. huxleyi* and *P.*
 233 *inhibens* (Segev *et al.*, 2016b). While the enhanced decay might be attributed to the
 234 production of roseobacticides, the growth promotion would indicate that there is more to
 235 the beneficial effect of *P. inhibens* than TDA only. In contrast, the roseobacticide non-
 236 producer had no effect on the microalgae. The switch between mutualism and parasitism
 237 in algae-bacteria interactions has also been found in the interaction between the
 238 dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum* and *Dinoroseobacter shibae* (Wang *et al.*, 2014; H.

Wang *et al.*, 2015). It was suggested that *D. shibae* obtains its energy by degradation of polyhydroxyalkanoate and dimethylsulfoniopropionate (DMSP) (Wang *et al.*, 2014), pathways that are also present in *P. inhibens* (Dickschat *et al.*, 2010; Kanehisa *et al.*, 2015) and with the latter molecule actually preventing the effect of TDA (Wichmann *et al.*, 2016). Additionally, *E. huxleyi* is a known producer of DMSP (Wolfe and Steinke, 1996; Steinke *et al.*, 2007). The growth promoting effect of bacterial indole-3-acetic acid demonstrated for the interaction between a diatom and *Sulfitobacter* appears unlikely due the inability of *Phaeobacter* to produce this specific molecule (Amin *et al.*, 2015; Labeeuw *et al.*, 2016). Furthermore, the establishment of these microbial interactions depend on motility, chemotaxis, attachment and quorum sensing (Sonnenschein *et al.*, 2012; H. Wang *et al.*, 2015), which are well-known characteristics of *Phaeobacter inhibens* (Newton *et al.*, 2010; Berger *et al.*, 2011; Gram *et al.*, 2015; Wang *et al.*, 2016). Thus, while some pathways appear universally important for microalgae-bacteria interactions, each species, and even each strain, might facilitate unique interactions. Such is the case in the herein presented phaeobacters where the interaction ranges from having no effect to detrimental effects on different microalgae.

LC-HRMS and LC-MS/MS analysis of co-cultivation

Ethyl acetate extracts of upscaled DSM 17395 and *E. huxleyi* co-culture, collected at 17 and 27 days (early and late stationary phase of *E. huxleyi*), were examined for the presence of TDA and roseobacticides by both full scan LC-HRMS (QTOF) and multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) LC-MS/MS (QqQ). Neither TDA or roseobacticides were detected in the co-culture at either time point.

In our experience, roseobacticides are produced in minute quantities, even in dense bacterial monocultures. Although roseobacticides were not detected in our LC-MS analysis in the co-cultures, it is plausible, if not likely, that roseobacticides are produced in quantities below our current limits of detection (LOD), even despite the approximately 5-10 fold lower LOD of the triple-quadrupole mass spectrometer (QqQ) compared to the QTOF.

Conclusions

A mutualistic-parasitic interaction between the bacterium *P. inhibens* and the microalgae *E. huxleyi* has been proposed in previous studies (Seyedsayamdost, Case, *et al.*, 2011; Segev *et al.*, 2016a). This interaction is driven by the bacterial metabolites TDA and roseobacticides. It is not, however, known if all TDA producing roseobacters also produce roseobacticides. We here demonstrated that TDA production is a more widespread feature than roseobacticide biosynthesis and that the production of both compound groups is typical of the *Phaeobacter* genus. Furthermore, the proposed conversion from mutualistic to parasitic interaction of *P. inhibens* with the microalgae *E. huxleyi* was reproduced *in vitro*, while this effect was not observed in a closely related *Phaeobacter* strain that lost the ability of roseobacticide production.

The finding of a non-roseobacticide producing *Phaeobacter* strain, in combination with the fact that the microalgae used as aquaculture live feed were unaffected by the roseobacticides, is promising for future applications of phaeobacters as biocontrol agents in aquaculture. Also, roseobacticides are produced in very small quantities even when induced in bacterial culture and thus, *in situ* concentrations are presumably much lower than those tested in this study (not detectable with current techniques). Furthermore, we demonstrated that small genetic changes, possibly due to a transposable element, caused

286 a different interaction phenotype with the ecologically important microalgae *E. huxleyi*,
287 which thus may have larger environmental implications.

288

289 **Acknowledgements**

290 *Rhodomonas salina* was kindly provided by Thomas Kiørboe (DTU Aqua, Denmark).

291 *Phaeobacter porticola* P97^T was kindly provided by Sven Breider (University of Oldenburg,
292 Germany). Thanks to Rasmus Kenneth Bojsen and Sven Anders Folkesson for help with
293 flow cytometry (DTU Vet, Denmark). The collaboration with Boyke Bunk and Cathrin
294 Spröer (DSMZ, Germany) on the genome sequences is acknowledged. Funding from the
295 European Union (Seventh Framework Programs MaCuMBA (KBBE-2012-6-311975) and
296 PharmaSea (KBBE-2012-6-312184)) and the Villum Kahn Rasmussen foundation
297 (VKR023285) is acknowledged. Agilent Technologies is acknowledged for the Thought
298 Leader Donation of the UHPLC-QTOF system.

299

300 **Supplementary information**

301 Supplementary information containing materials and methods, supplementary tables and
302 figures accompanies this article.

303

304 **References**

305 Altschul, S.F., Madden, T.L., Schäffer, A.A., Zhang, J., Zhang, Z., Miller, W., and Lipman,
306 D.J. (1997) Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database
307 search programs. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **25**: 3389–402.
308 Amin, S.A., Hmelo, L.R., van Tol, H.M., Durham, B.P., Carlson, L.T., Heal, K.R., et al.
309 (2015) Interaction and signalling between a cosmopolitan phytoplankton and

310 associated bacteria. *Nature* **522**: 98–101.

311 Amin, S. a, Parker, M.S., and Armbrust, E.V. (2012) Interactions between diatoms and
312 bacteria. *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **76**: 667–84.

313 Azam, F. and Malfatti, F. (2007) Microbial structuring of marine ecosystems. *Nat. Rev.*
314 *Microbiol.* **5**: 782–791.

315 Bentzon-Tilia, M., Sonnenschein, E.C., and Gram, L. (2016) Monitoring and managing
316 microbes in aquaculture - Towards a sustainable industry. *Microb. Biotechnol.* **9**: 576–
317 584.

318 Berger, M., Neumann, A., Schulz, S., Simon, M., and Brinkhoff, T. (2011) Tropodithietic
319 acid production in *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis* is regulated by N-acyl homoserine
320 lactone-mediated quorum sensing. *J. Bacteriol.* **193**: 6576–85.

321 Breider, S., Freese, H.M., Spröer, C., Simon, M., Overmann, J., and Brinkhoff, T. (2017)
322 *Phaeobacter porticola* sp. nov., an antibiotic-producing bacterium isolated from a sea
323 harbour. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **67**: 2153–2159.

324 Brinkhoff, T., Bach, G., Heidorn, T., Liang, L., Schlingloff, A., and Simon, M. (2004)
325 Antibiotic Production by a *Roseobacter* Clade-Affiliated Species from the German
326 Wadden Sea and Its Antagonistic Effects on Indigenous Isolates. *Appl. Environ.*
327 *Microbiol.* **70**: 2560–2565.

328 Brock, J. and Schulz-Vogt, H.N. (2011) Sulfide induces phosphate release from
329 polyphosphate in cultures of a marine *Beggiatoa* strain. *ISME J.* **5**: 497–506.

330 Brock, N.L., Citron, C.A., Zell, C., Berger, M., Wagner-Döbler, I., Petersen, J., et al. (2013)
331 Isotopically labeled sulfur compounds and synthetic selenium and tellurium analogues
332 to study sulfur metabolism in marine bacteria. *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **9**: 942–50.

333 Brock, N.L., Nikolay, A., and Dickschat, J.S. (2014) Biosynthesis of the antibiotic

334 tropodithietic acid by the marine bacterium *Phaeobacter inhibens*. *Chem. Commun.*
335 **50**: 5487.

336 Bruhn, J., Nielsen, K., Hjelm, M., Hansen, M., Bresciani, J., Schulz, S., and Gram, L.
337 (2005) Ecology, inhibitory activity, and morphogenesis of a marine antagonistic
338 bacterium belonging to the *Roseobacter* clade. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **71**: 7263–
339 7270.

340 Cabello, F.C. (2006) Heavy use of prophylactic antibiotics in aquaculture: A growing
341 problem for human and animal health and for the environment. *Environ. Microbiol.* **8**:
342 1137–1144.

343 Cole, J.J. (1982) Interactions Between Bacteria and Algae in Aquatic Ecosystems. *Annu.*
344 *Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **13**: 291–314.

345 Cooper, M.B. and Smith, A.G. (2015) Exploring mutualistic interactions between
346 microalgae and bacteria in the omics age. *Curr. Opin. Plant Biol.* **26**: 147–153.

347 Croft, M.T., Lawrence, A.D., Raux-Deery, E., Warren, M.J., and Smith, A.G. (2005) Algae
348 acquire vitamin B12 through a symbiotic relationship with bacteria. *Nature* **438**: 90–3.

349 D’Alvise, P.W., Lillebø, S., Prol-Garcia, M.J., Wergeland, H.I., Nielsen, K.F., Bergh, Ø.,
350 and Gram, L. (2012) *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis* reduces *Vibrio anguillarum* in cultures
351 of microalgae and rotifers, and prevents vibriosis in cod larvae. *PLoS One* **7**: e43996.

352 D’Alvise, P.W., Lillebø, S., Wergeland, H.I., Gram, L., and Bergh, Ø. (2013) Protection of
353 cod larvae from vibriosis by *Phaeobacter* spp.: A comparison of strains and
354 introduction times. *Aquaculture* **384–387**: 82–86.

355 D’Alvise, P.W., Phippen, C.B.W., Nielsen, K.F., and Gram, L. (2016) Influence of Iron on
356 Production of the Antibacterial Compound Tropodithietic Acid and Its Noninhibitory
357 Analog in *Phaeobacter inhibens*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **82**: 502–509.

358 Dickschat, J., Zell, C., and Brock, N. (2010) Pathways and substrate specificity of DMSP
359 catabolism in marine bacteria of the Roseobacter clade. *Chembiochem* **11**: 417–425.

360 Edgar, R.C. (2004) MUSCLE: multiple sequence alignment with high accuracy and high
361 throughput. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **32**: 1792–1797.

362 Field, C.B., Behrenfeld, M.J., Randerson, J.T., and Falkowski, P. (1998) Primary
363 Production of the Biosphere: Integrating Terrestrial and Oceanic Components.
364 *Science (80-.).* **281**: 237–240.

365 Gaboyer, F., Tindall, B.J., Ciobanu, M.C., Duthoit, F., Le Romancer, M., and Alain, K.
366 (2013) *Phaeobacter leonis* sp. nov., an alphaproteobacterium from Mediterranean
367 Sea sediments. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **63**: 3301–3306.

368 Geng, H., Bruhn, J.B., Nielsen, K.F., Gram, L., and Belas, R. (2008) Genetic dissection of
369 tropodithietic acid biosynthesis by marine roseobacters. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**:
370 1535–1545.

371 Geng, H., Tran-Gyamfi, M.B., Lane, T.W., Sale, K.L., and Yu, E.T. (2016) Changes in the
372 Structure of the Microbial Community Associated with Nannochloropsis salina
373 following Treatments with Antibiotics and Bioactive Compounds. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**:
374 1–13.

375 Gram, L., Melchiorson, J., and Bruhn, J. (2010) Antibacterial activity of marine culturable
376 bacteria collected from a global sampling of ocean surface waters and surface swabs
377 of marine organisms. *Mar. Biotechnol. (NY).* **12**: 439–451.

378 Gram, L., Rasmussen, B.B., Wemheuer, B., Bernbom, N., Ng, Y.Y., Porsby, C.H., et al.
379 (2015) *Phaeobacter inhibens* from the *Roseobacter* clade has an environmental niche
380 as a surface colonizer in harbors. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **38**: 483–493.

381 Grotkjær, T., Bentzon-Tilia, M., D’Alvise, P., Dourala, N., Nielsen, K.F., and Gram, L.

(2016) Isolation of TDA-producing *Phaeobacter* strains from sea bass larval rearing units and their probiotic effect against pathogenic *Vibrio* spp. in *Artemia* cultures. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **39**: 180–188.

Hjelm, M., Bergh, O., Riaza, A., Nielsen, J., Melchiorson, J., Jensen, S., et al. (2004) Selection and identification of autochthonous potential probiotic bacteria from turbot larvae (*Scophthalmus maximus*) rearing units. *Syst. Appl. Microbiol.* **27**: 360–371.

Hjelm, M., Riaza, A., Formoso, F., Melchiorson, J., and Gram, L. (2004) Seasonal incidence of autochthonous antagonistic *Roseobacter* spp. and *Vibrionaceae* strains in a turbot larva (*Scophthalmus maximus*) rearing system. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**: 7288–7294.

Huerta-Cepas, J., Szklarczyk, D., Forslund, K., Cook, H., Heller, D., Walter, M.C., et al. (2016) eggNOG 4.5: a hierarchical orthology framework with improved functional annotations for eukaryotic, prokaryotic and viral sequences. *Nucleic Acids Res* **44**: D286-93.

Kanehisa, M., Sato, Y., Kawashima, M., Furumichi, M., and Tanabe, M. (2015) KEGG as a reference resource for gene and protein annotation. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**: D457-62.

Kintaka, K., Ono, H., Tsubotani, S., Harada, S., and Okazaki, H. (1984) Thiotropocin, a new sulfur-containing 7-membered-ring antibiotic produced by *Pseudomonas* sp. *J. Antibiot. (Tokyo)*.

Kumar, S., Stecher, G., and Tamura, K. (2016) MEGA7: Molecular Evolutionary Genetics Analysis Version 7.0 for Bigger Datasets. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **33**: 1870–1874.

Labeeuw, L., Khey, J., Bramucci, A.R., Atwal, H., de la Mata, A.P., Harynuk, J., and Case, R.J. (2016) Indole-3-Acetic Acid Is Produced by *Emiliania huxleyi* Coccolith-Bearing Cells and Triggers a Physiological Response in Bald Cells. *Front. Microbiol.* **7**: 1–16.

- 406 Lee, K., Choo, Y.-J., Giovannoni, S.J., and Cho, J.-C. (2007) *Ruegeria pelagia* sp. nov.,
407 isolated from the Sargasso Sea, Atlantic Ocean. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **57**:
408 1815–8.
- 409 Luo, H. and Moran, M.A. (2014) Evolutionary Ecology of the Marine *Roseobacter* Clade.
410 *Microbiol. Mol. Biol. Rev.* **78**: 573–587.
- 411 Medema, M.H., Takano, E., and Breitling, R. (2013) Detecting sequence homology at the
412 gene cluster level with multigeneblast. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **30**: 1218–1223.
- 413 Miller, T., Hnilicka, K., Dziedzic, A., Desplats, P., and Belas, R. (2004) Chemotaxis of
414 *Silicibacter* sp. strain TM1040 toward dinoflagellate products. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*
415 **70**: 4692–4701.
- 416 Miller, T.R. and Belas, R. (2004) Dimethylsulfoniopropionate metabolism by *Pfiesteria*-
417 associated *Roseobacter* spp. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **70**: 3383–91.
- 418 Muramatsu, Y., Uchino, Y., Kasai, H., Suzuki, K., and Nakagawa, Y. (2007) *Ruegeria*
419 *mobilis* sp. nov., a member of the Alphaproteobacteria isolated in Japan and Palau.
420 *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **57**: 1304–1309.
- 421 Neu, A.K., Månsson, M., Gram, L., and Prol-García, M.J. (2014) Toxicity of bioactive and
422 probiotic marine bacteria and their secondary metabolites in *Artemia* sp. and
423 *Caenorhabditis elegans* as eukaryotic model organisms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **80**:
424 146–153.
- 425 Newton, R.J., Griffin, L.E., Bowles, K.M., Meile, C., Gifford, S., Givens, C.E., et al. (2010)
426 Genome characteristics of a generalist marine bacterial lineage. *ISME J.* **4**: 784–798.
- 427 Park, S., Park, D.S., Bae, K.S., and Yoon, J.H. (2014) *Phaeobacter aquaemixtae* sp. nov.,
428 isolated from the junction between the ocean and a freshwater spring. *Int. J. Syst.*
429 *Evol. Microbiol.* **64**: 1378–1383.

- 430 Porsby, C., Nielsen, K., and Gram, L. (2008) *Phaeobacter* and *Ruegeria* species of the
431 *Roseobacter* clade colonize separate niches in a Danish Turbot (*Scophthalmus*
432 *maximus*)-rearing farm and antagonize *Vibrio anguillarum* under different growth
433 conditions. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **74**: 7356–7364.
- 434 Rao, D., Webb, J.S., and Kjelleberg, S. (2005) Competitive interactions in mixed-species
435 biofilms containing the marine bacterium *Pseudoalteromonas tunicata*. *Appl. Environ.*
436 *Microbiol.* **71**: 1729–1736.
- 437 Read, B. a, Kegel, J., Klute, M.J., Kuo, A., Lefebvre, S.C., Maumus, F., et al. (2013) Pan
438 genome of the phytoplankton *Emiliania* underpins its global distribution. *Nature* **499**:
439 209–13.
- 440 Riclea, R., Gleitzmann, J., Bruns, H., Junker, C., Schulz, B., and Dickschat, J.S. (2012)
441 Algicidal lactones from the marine *Roseobacter* clade bacterium *Ruegeria pomeroyi*.
442 *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.* **8**: 941–950.
- 443 Ruiz-Ponte, C. and Cilia, V. (1998) *Roseobacter gallaeciensis* sp. nov., a new marine
444 bacterium isolated from rearings and collectors of the scallop *Pecten maximus*. *Int. J.*
445 *Syst. Bacteriol.* **48**: 537–542.
- 446 Sapp, M., Schwaderer, A.S., Wiltshire, K.H., Hoppe, H.G., Gerdt, G., and Wichels, A.
447 (2007) Species-specific bacterial communities in the phycosphere of microalgae?
448 *Microb. Ecol.* **53**: 683–699.
- 449 Segev, E., Wyche, T.P., Kim, K.H., Petersen, J., Ellebrandt, C., Vlamakis, H., et al.
450 (2016a) Dynamic metabolic exchange governs a marine algal-bacterial interaction.
451 *Elife* **5**: e17473.
- 452 Segev, E., Wyche, T.P., Kim, K.H., Petersen, J., Ellebrandt, C., Vlamakis, H., et al.
453 (2016b) Dynamic metabolic exchange governs a marine algal-bacterial interaction.

454 *Elife* **5**: e17473.

455 Seyedsayamdost, M.R., Carr, G., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2011) Roseobacticides: small
 456 molecule modulators of an algal-bacterial symbiosis. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **133**: 18343–
 457 18349.

458 Seyedsayamdost, M.R., Case, R.J., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2011) The Jekyll-and-Hyde
 459 chemistry of *Phaeobacter gallaeciensis*. *Nat. Chem.* **3**: 331–335.

460 Seyedsayamdost, M.R., Wang, R., Kolter, R., and Clardy, J. (2014) Hybrid Biosynthesis of
 461 Roseobacticides from Algal and Bacterial Precursor Molecules. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*
 462 **136**: 15150–15153.

463 Sonnenschein, E.C., Nielsen, K.F., D’Alvise, P., Porsby, C.H., Melchiorson, J., Heilmann,
 464 J., et al. (2017) Global occurrence and heterogeneity of the *Roseobacter*-clade
 465 species *Ruegeria mobilis*. *ISME J.* **11**: 569–583.

466 Sonnenschein, E.C., Phippen, C.B.W., Nielsen, K.F., Mateiu, R.V., Melchiorson, J., Gram,
 467 L., et al. (2017) *Phaeobacter piscinae* sp. nov., a species of the *Roseobacter* group
 468 and potential aquaculture probiont. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **67**: 4559–4564.

469 Sonnenschein, E.C., Syit, D.A., Grossart, H.-P., and Ullrich, M.S. (2012) Chemotaxis of
 470 *Marinobacter adhaerens* and its impact on attachment to the diatom *Thalassiosira*
 471 *weissflogii*. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* **78**: 6900–7.

472 Steinke, M., Evans, C., Lee, G. a., and Malin, G. (2007) Substrate kinetics of DMSP-lyases
 473 in axenic cultures and mesocosm populations of *Emiliania huxleyi*. *Aquat. Sci.* **69**:
 474 352–359.

475 Tan, S., Zhou, J., Zhu, X., Yu, S., Zhan, W., Wang, B., and Cai, Z. (2015) An association
 476 network analysis among microeukaryotes and bacterioplankton reveals algal bloom
 477 dynamics. *J. Phycol.* **51**: 120–132.

478 Vandecandelaere, I., Segaeert, E., Mollica, A., Faimali, M., and Vandamme, P. (2009)
479 *Phaeobacter caeruleus* sp. nov., a blue-coloured, colony-forming bacterium isolated
480 from a marine electroactive biofilm. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **59**: 1209–1214.

481 Verdonck, L., Grisez, L., Sweetman, E., Minkoff, G., Sorgeloos, P., Ollevier, F., and
482 Swings, J. (1997) Vibrios associated with routine productions of *Brachionus plicatilis*.
483 *Aquaculture* **149**: 203–214.

484 Vries, G.E. De, Harms, N., Hoogendijk, J., and Stouthamer, A.H. (1989) Isolation and
485 characterization of *Paracoccus denitrificans* mutants with increased conjugation
486 frequencies and pleiotropic loss of a (nGATCn) DNA-modifying property. *Arch.*
487 *Microbiol.* **152**: 52–57.

488 Wang, H., Tomasch, J., Jarek, M., and Wagner-Döbler, I. (2014) A dual-species co-
489 cultivation system to study the interactions between Roseobacters and dinoflagellates.
490 *Front. Microbiol.* **5**: 1–11.

491 Wang, H., Tomasch, J., Michael, V., Bhujju, S., Jarek, M., Petersen, J., and Wagner-
492 Döbler, I. (2015) Identification of Genetic Modules Mediating the Jekyll and Hyde
493 Interaction of *Dinoroseobacter shibae* with the Dinoflagellate *Prorocentrum minimum*.
494 *Front. Microbiol.* **6**: 1–8.

495 Wang, R., Gallant, É., and Seyedsayamdost, M.R. (2016) Investigation of the Genetics
496 and Biochemistry of Roseobacticide Production in the *Roseobacter* Clade Bacterium
497 *Phaeobacter inhibens*. *MBio* **7**: e02118-15.

498 Wang, R. and Seyedsayamdost, M.R. (2017) Roseochelin B, an Algaecidal Natural
499 Product Synthesized by the Roseobacter *Phaeobacter inhibens* in Response to Algal
500 Sinapic Acid. *Org. Lett.* [acs.orglett.7b02424](https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.orglett.7b02424).

501 Wang, Y., Coleman-Derr, D., Chen, G., and Gu, Y.Q. (2015) OrthoVenn: a web server for

502 genome wide comparison and annotation of orthologous clusters across multiple
503 species. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **43**: W78-84.

504 Wichmann, H., Brinkhoff, T., Simon, M., and Richter-Landsberg, C. (2016)
505 Dimethylsulfoniopropionate Promotes Process Outgrowth in Neural Cells and Exerts
506 Protective Effects against Tropodithietic Acid. *Mar. Drugs* **14**: 89.

507 Wilson, M.Z., Wang, R., Gitai, Z., and Seyedsayamdost, M.R. (2016) Mode of action and
508 resistance studies unveil new roles for tropodithietic acid as an anticancer agent and
509 the γ -glutamyl cycle as a proton sink. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **113**: 1630–5.

510 Wolfe, G. V. and Steinke, M. (1996) Grazing-activated production of dimethyl sulfide
511 (DMS) by two clones of *Emiliana huxleyi*. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **41**: 1151–1160.

512 Yoon, J.-H., Kang, S.-J., Lee, S.-Y., and Oh, T.-K. (2007) *Phaeobacter daeponensis* sp.
513 nov., isolated from a tidal flat of the Yellow Sea in Korea. *Int. J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.*
514 **57**: 856–861.

515 Zhang, D.C., Li, H.R., Xin, Y.H., Liu, H.C., Chi, Z.M., Zhou, P.J., and Yu, Y. (2008)
516 *Phaeobacter arcticus* sp. nov., a psychrophilic bacterium isolated from the Arctic. *Int.*
517 *J. Syst. Evol. Microbiol.* **58**: 1384–1387.

518

Figures and legends

Figure 1. Neighbor-joining (NJ) tree of 16S rRNA gene sequences of *Roseobacter* group strains tested for production of roseobacticide B. The NJ analysis was performed using the bootstrap test with 1000 replicates. Support values (percentages) from 1000 bootstrap replicates are displayed above branches. Only values >50% are shown at major nodes. Filled circles indicate nodes also recovered reproducibly with the maximum-likelihood method. Roseobacticide B producers are marked in green, non-producers in red. TDA-producers are marked with a black square and the strain carrying the disrupted TDA biosynthetic gene cluster with an empty square.

Figure 2. Bioactivity of *Phaeobacter* extracts against the microalgae A) *Rhodomonas salina*, B) *Thalassiosira pseudonana*, C) *Tetraselmis suecica* and D) *Emiliana huxleyi*. The extracts had a final concentration of 10% in A)-C) and 1% in D); percentage is given in regard to the original bacterial culture. ●: no addition, ○: methanol, ◆: DSM 17395 wildtype, ◇: DSM 17395 *tdaB*::Tn5, ■: 27-4 wildtype, □: 27-4 *tdaB*::Tn5. Points are means of three replicates and error bars are standard deviations of the mean, except for D), which is one replicate.

537

538 **Figure 3.** Co-cultivation of DSM 17395 wildtype and 27-4 wildtype with the microalgae

539 *Emiliania huxleyi*. A) Algal cell counts, B) bacterial cell counts. Points are means of

540 duplicates and error bars are standard deviations of the mean. ○: *E. huxleyi*, ◆: *E. huxleyi* +

541 DSM 17395, ■: *E. huxleyi* + 27-4, ◇: DSM 17395, □: 27-4.

542

543

Tables and legends

Table 1. Strains evaluated for roseobacticide and TDA production in this study. Ros. = roseobacticides, TDA = tropodithietic acid; na = not available; unpub = unpublished. * = strains that were also previously analyzed for roseobacticide production (Seyedsayamdost, Carr, *et al.*, 2011; Wang and Seyedsayamdost, 2017)

Species	Strain	Isolation site	Latitude	Longitude	Year of isolation	Production of		Genome NCBI acc. no.	Reference
						Ros.	TDA		
<i>Paracoccus denitrificans</i>	Pd1222	na	Na	na	<1989	-	-	CP000489.1-91.1	(Vries <i>et al.</i> , 1989)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	632-1	turbot farm, Spain	na	na	<2004	+	+	na	(Hjelm, Riaza, <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	A36a-5a	Jyllinge harbour, Denmark	55.7444	12.0958	2009	+	+	na	Bernbom unpub
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	A47a-4a	Jyllinge harbour, Denmark	55.7444	12.0958	2009	+	+	na	Bernbom unpub
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	A86a-3b	Jyllinge harbour, Denmark	55.7444	12.0958	2011	+	+	na	Bernbom unpub
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	JH63H3	Jyllinge harbour, Denmark	55.7444	12.0958	2012	+	+	na	unpub
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M2-4.2	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M6-4.3	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M8-4.3	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M23-2.2	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)

<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M23-3.1	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter</i> sp.	M31-2.3a	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	na	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter piscinae</i>	27-4 ^{T*}	turbot farm, Spain	na	na	2001	-	+	CP010681-88	(Hjelm, Bergh, <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
<i>Phaeobacter piscinae</i>	8-1	turbot farm, Spain	na	na	2001	+	+	CP010767-75	(Hjelm, Bergh, <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
<i>Phaeobacter piscinae</i>	M6-4.2	turbot farm, Limfjord, Denmark	56.8579	9.0973	2006	+	+	CP010643-49	(Porsby <i>et al.</i> , 2008)
<i>Phaeobacter piscinae</i>	S26	sea bass farm, Greece	38.6021	23.2785	2013	+	+	JSWK01	(Grotkjær <i>et al.</i> , 2016)
<i>Phaeobacter inhibens</i>	2.10*	macroalgae, intertidal zone, Australia	na	na	<2005	+	+	CP002972.1-5.1	(Rao <i>et al.</i> , 2005)
<i>Phaeobacter inhibens</i>	DSM16374 ^{T*}	intertidal mud flat, Wadden Sea, Germany	53.6647	7.7620	1999	+	+	AXBB01	(Brinkhoff <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
<i>Phaeobacter inhibens</i>	DSM17395*	scallop farm, Spain	43.3613	-8.3740	<1998	+	+	CP002976.1-79.1	(Ruiz-Ponte and Cilia, 1998)
<i>Phaeobacter gallaeciensis</i>	DSM 26640 ^T	scallop farm, Spain	43.3613	-8.3740	<1998	+	+	CP006966.1-73.1	(Ruiz-Ponte and Cilia, 1998)
<i>Phaeobacter porticola</i>	P97 ^T	harbor, North Sea, Germany	53.7026	7.7063	2014	-	+	CP016364.1-69.1	(Breider <i>et al.</i> , 2017)
<i>Leisingera aquaemixtae</i>	CECT 8399 ^T	freshwater spring, Jeju Island, South Korea	33.2503	126.6171	<2014	-	-	CYSR01	(Park <i>et al.</i> , 2014)
<i>Leisingera caerulea</i>	DSM 24564 ^T	cathode exposed to seawater, Italy	44.3700	8.9400	<2009	-	-	AXBI01	(Vandecandela <i>et al.</i> , 2009)
<i>Leisingera daeponensis</i>	DSM 23529 ^T	tidal flat sediment, Yellow Sea, South Korea	31.6500	127.1000	<2007	-	-	AXBD01	(Yoon <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
<i>Pseudophaeobacter arcticus</i>	DSM 23566 ^{T*}	sediment, Arctic Ocean	75.0067	-169.9936	2003	-	-	AXBF01	(Zhang <i>et al.</i> , 2008)

<i>Pseudophaeobacter leonis</i>	DSM 25627 ^T	sediment, Mediterranean Sea	42.6933	3.8416	2008	-	-	na	(Gaboyer <i>et al.</i> , 2013)
<i>Pseudovibrio</i> sp.	FO-BEG1	coral reef, Florida, USA	na	na	<2011	-	+	CP003147.1-48.1	(Brock and Schulz-Vogt, 2011)
<i>Ruegeria mobilis</i>	270-3	turbot farm, Spain	na	na	<2004	-	+	LNXY01	(Hjelm, Bergh, <i>et al.</i> , 2004)
<i>Ruegeria mobilis</i>	F1926	Indian Ocean	-31.4061	91.1776	2006	-	+	CP015230.1-34.1	(Gram <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
<i>Ruegeria mobilis</i>	NBRC101030 ^T	glass slide exposed to seawater, Ishigaki Island, Japan	na	na	1990	-	+	LNWY01	(Muramatsu <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
<i>Ruegeria mobilis</i>	NBRC102038	seawater, Sargasso sea	na	na	<2007	-	+	LNWZ01	(Lee <i>et al.</i> , 2007)
<i>Ruegeria mobilis</i>	S1424	seawater, South Atlantic Ocean	-27.6162	15.1324	2006	-	+	LNXB01	(Gram <i>et al.</i> , 2010)
<i>Ruegeria</i> sp.	TM1040*	dinoflagellate culture	na	na	<2004	-	+	CP000375.1-77.1	(Miller <i>et al.</i> , 2004)

Table 2. Unique orthologous proteins to **A)** the producers M6-4.2, 8-1, DSM 17395 and DSM 26640 and **B)** the roseobacticide non-producer 27-4 .

A) Unique orthologues to the roseobacticide producers M6-4.2, 8-1, DSM 17395 and DSM 26640

	Locus tag in DSM 17395	Description	GO classification
1	PGA1_RS19085	hypothetical protein	-
2	PGA1_RS14175	transposase	transposase activity, sequence-specific DNA binding, transposition, DNA-mediated
3	PGA1_RS03520	hemolysin-type calcium-binding region	calcium ion binding, intein-mediated protein splicing
4	PGA1_RS04200	hypothetical protein	integral component of membrane
5	PGA1_RS02970	sulfurase	catalytic activity, molybdenum ion binding, pyridoxal phosphate binding, metabolic process
6	PGA1_RS03280	peptidase M50	integral component of membrane
7	PGA1_RS04870	class A beta-lactamase; EC:3.5.2.6	beta-lactamase activity, beta-lactam antibiotic catabolic process, response to antibiotic
8	PGA1_RS06640	glutathione S-transferase; EC:2.5.1.18	glutathione transferase activity, metabolic process
9	PGA1_RS09195	hypothetical protein	-
10	PGA1_RS09205	hypothetical protein	-
11	PGA1_RS09210	Lj965 prophage replication	-
12	PGA1_RS10850	hypothetical protein	-
13	PGA1_RS14180	integrase	nucleic acid binding, DNA integration
14	PGA1_RS19900	hypothetical protein	-

B) Unique orthologues to roseobacticide non-producer 27-4

	Locus tag in 27-4	Description	GO classification
1	PhaeoP14_03815, PhaeoP14_03816, PhaeoP14_03912, PhaeoP14_03913, PhaeoP14_03930, PhaeoP14_03931	uncharacterized protein	-
2	PhaeoP14_03813, PhaeoP14_03915, PhaeoP14_03928	RNA polymerase sigma factor SigK	DNA binding, transcription factor activity, sequence-specific DNA binding, sigma factor activity, DNA-templated transcription, initiation, regulation of transcription, DNA-templated
3	PhaeoP14_03814, PhaeoP14_03914, PhaeoP14_03929	hypothetical protein	membrane, integral component of membrane, plasma membrane
4	PhaeoP14_03817, PhaeoP14_03911, PhaeoP14_03932	peptide-methionine (R)-Sulfoxide reductase; EC:1.8.4, EC:1.8.4.12	Peptide-methionine (R)-sulfoxide reductase activity, response to oxidative stress, protein repair, oxidation-reduction process
5	PhaeoP14_03663, PhaeoP14_03731	hypothetical protein	-