

1 Growth potential of *Listeria monocytogenes* in twelve different types
2 of RTE salads: impact of food matrix, storage temperature and shelf
3 life

4 Matthias Ziegler¹, David Kent², Roger Stephan¹, Claudia Guldimann^{1#}

5

6 ¹ Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene, Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Switzerland

7 ² Department of Food Science, Cornell University, Ithaca, NY, United States

8

9 # address correspondence to: Claudia Guldimann, Institute for Food Safety and Hygiene,

10 Vetsuisse Faculty, University of Zurich, Switzerland; claudia.guldimann@uzh.ch

11

12

13 **Abstract**

14 Listeriosis is a food borne disease associated with high hospitalization and fatality rates; in
15 2014, EU member states reported 2194 cases with 98.9% hospitalization rates and 210
16 fatalities. Proper risk analysis and the development of effective food safety strategies
17 critically depend on the knowledge of the growth characteristics of *L. monocytogenes* on the
18 product in question. Ready-to-eat (RTE) salads present a challenge in this context due to the
19 absence of a heat treatment step before consumption and the interaction of pathogens with the
20 plant microbial microbiota. This study provides challenge-test based data of the growth
21 characteristics of *L. monocytogenes* on twelve RTE salads. The food matrix, storage time and
22 storage temperature were factors with a significant impact on the growth of *L.*
23 *monocytogenes*. While most tested salads permitted a significant increase of *L.*
24 *monocytogenes* in at least one of the tested conditions, no growth was observed on celeriac,
25 carrot and corn salad products. There was a considerable increase in growth at 8 °C compared
26 to 5 °C. Our data indicate that the reduction of the storage temperature at retail level to 5 °C
27 and product shelf life could help mitigate the risk of *L. monocytogenes* in RTE salads.

28

29 Keywords:

30 *Listeria monocytogenes*; challenge test; cold growth; salad; RTE

31

32

33 **1. Introduction**

34 Listeriosis remains one of the most severe food borne diseases. The relatively low incidence
35 (0.46 cases / 100'000 in the EU in 2015 with an ongoing, significant increase since 2008)
36 (European Food Safety AuthorityEuropean Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2016)
37 is offset by the a high mortality rate (15-30 deaths / 100 cases) (Barton Behravesh et al.,
38 2011; de Valk et al., 2005; Popovic, Heron, & Covacin, 2014; WERBER et al., 2012), mostly
39 due to severe forms of Listeriosis like central nervous system infections, septicemia and
40 abortions/neonatal infections (Allerberger & Wagner, 2010). Food borne outbreaks of
41 Listeriosis have been associated in the past with dairy products, fish and seafood, meat
42 products, fresh fruit and vegetables, and ready-to-eat (RTE) products (Datta, Laksanalamai,
43 & Solomotis, 2013; European Food Safety AuthorityEuropean Centre for Disease Prevention
44 and Control, 2016). Within the RTE food category, raw products without a heat-treatment
45 step during the production process (e.g. RTE-salads, fruit, vegetable or dairy products) have
46 an inherently increased risk for contamination with pathogens. *Listeria monocytogenes* is a
47 challenging problem in this context and a priority for food producers due to its ubiquitous
48 presence in the environment and the ability to grow at refrigeration temperatures. A current
49 literature review of studies on the contamination levels of RTE salads with mixed ingredients
50 (defined as raw salads combined with processed foods such as ham, chicken, salmon or
51 pasta) concludes that within the EU, about 2-10% of products were contaminated with *L.*
52 *monocytogenes* (Söderqvist, 2017). In 2015 the EU member states reported 0.04% of tested
53 RTE salad products to exceed the legal limit of *L. monocytogenes* (European Food Safety
54 AuthorityEuropean Centre for Disease Prevention and Control, 2016). In Switzerland, leafy
55 green RTE salads caused an outbreak in 2013-2014 (Stephan et al., 2015). Producers of RTE
56 salads are faced with two fundamental and contradictory requirements: (i) to provide food at
57 the highest possible safety standards while (ii) meeting the demand from retailers and

58 consumers for food with an increasingly long shelf life. The EU food safety regulations limit
59 *L. monocytogenes* to < 100 colony forming units (CFU)/g at the end of the shelf life of a food
60 product. Accordingly, different food safety criteria are applicable based on whether a food
61 supports the growth of *L. monocytogenes*. For food that permits growth of *L. monocytogenes*,
62 the producer must test for absence in 25 g at the time the food leaves the immediate control
63 of the producer, while for food that does not permit growth of *L. monocytogenes* the food
64 safety criterion is < 100 CFU/g at the end of the shelf life (EC regulation No 2073/2005). To
65 assess the risk associated with extending the shelf life, it is therefore crucial to determine the
66 growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* in the respective food product.

67 The aim of this study was to determine the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* on twelve
68 RTE salad products, under packaging and storage conditions that mirror retail and home
69 conditions.

70

71 **2. Materials and methods**

72 Experiments were largely performed according to the document "EURL *Lm* TECHNICAL
73 GUIDANCE DOCUMENT for conducting shelf-life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in
74 ready-to-eat foods, Version 3" (Beaufort, Bergis, Lardeux, & Lombard, 2014) with minor
75 modifications. All experiments were carried out in three independent replicates.

76

77 **2.1. Bacterial strains, growth conditions and subtyping**

78 The three strains of *L. monocytogenes* used in this study were all isolated from a RTE salad
79 production facility (table 1). Stock cultures of *L. monocytogenes* were maintained at -80 °C in
80 brain heart infusion (BHI; Oxoid, Basel, Switzerland) broth with 15% glycerol. To prepare
81 the inocula, stock cultures were streaked on BHI agar plates and incubated overnight. A
82 single colony was inoculated into 5 ml BHI broth and incubated overnight (37 °C, 200 rpm),

83 subcultured in the morning 1:100 into 5 ml fresh BHI broth, and incubated for 6 h (37 °C,
84 200 rpm) to obtain an early-stationary-phase culture (9.6 ± 0.1 log CFU/ml). This culture was
85 then incubated at 5 °C for 20 h for cold adaptation. Strain pools were obtained by combining
86 equal quantities of the cold adapted stationary phase cultures.

87 The three strains used in this study were serotyped using listeria antisera from Denka Seiken
88 (Pharma Consulting, Burgdorf, Switzerland) according to the manufacturer's protocol. They
89 were then further characterized using multi locus sequence typing (MLST) based on seven
90 housekeeping genes as described by Ragon et al. (Ragon et al., 2008) with minor
91 modifications. DNA was extracted using the DNeasy Blood&Tissue Kit (Qiagen, Hilden,
92 Germany) according to manufacturer's protocol. The PCRs were performed using a
93 HotStarTaq master mix (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) and the following conditions: for *bglA*,
94 *cat* and *ldh*: 95 °C for 15 min, followed by 41 cycles of 95 °C for 30 s, 45 °C for 30 s and 72
95 °C for 30 s; for *abcZ*, *dapE*, *dat*, and *lhkA*: 95 °C for 15 min followed by 35 cycles of 95 °C
96 for 30 s, 52 °C for 30 s, and 72 °C for 30 s. The final extension was at 72 °C for 10 min for
97 all amplifications.

98 PCR products were purified using the GenElute PCR Clean-Up Kit (Sigma, Steinheim
99 Germany) according to manufacturer's protocol and were sequenced using primers oR and
100 oF (Ragon et al., 2008). The clonal complex (CC) and sequence type (ST) were determined
101 using the *Listeria* MLST database hosted at the Institute Pasteur (<http://bigsd.b.pasteur.fr>).

102

103 **2.2. Cold growth in rich medium**

104 Growth at cold storage temperatures was determined for the three *L. monocytogenes* strains
105 used in this study individually in a control experiment. Early stationary phase cultures were
106 obtained and cold adapted as described above. This culture was then diluted 1:1000 into 10
107 ml fresh BHI broth and incubated at 5 °C and 8 °C for 11 days. CFU/ml were determined by

108 plate counting 10 µl aliquots from each culture at t=0 and after 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 11 days.
109 Aliquots were serially diluted in Maximum Recovery Diluent (MRD; Oxoid, Basel,
110 Switzerland) and 10 µl of the serial dilutions were spotted on BHI agar plates and run over by
111 tilting as described by Kuehbachler et al. (Kühbacher, Cossart, & Pizarro-Cerdá, 2014).
112 Plates were incubated at 37 °C for 24 h. Final results were expressed as log CFU/ml.

113

114 **2.3. Salad products**

115 The range of salads was limited to plant based products without added ingredients of animal
116 origin, and were chosen to represent commonly sold RTE salad products. A total of 12
117 different RTE salad products from a producer in Switzerland were used for the challenge
118 tests (table 2). Three RTE salad products were packaged under modified atmosphere. To
119 avoid sampling effects due to uneven distribution of *L. monocytogenes* within a package, the
120 whole content of a bag was used for analysis. For this purpose, 30 g salad portions (parsley:
121 20 g portions) were produced specifically for this study, shipped to our facility under
122 preservation of the cold chain at 5 °C and inoculated 12-24 h after production. The packaging
123 foil and, where appropriate, the modified atmosphere was identical to the larger packages that
124 were produced for retail.

125

126 **2.4. Inoculation of the food matrix**

127 To ensure that all three strains used in this study had a similar growth phenotype on salad
128 products, a first experiment was performed with the three *L. monocytogenes* strains
129 inoculated individually on two RTE salad products (iceberg lettuce and arugula). Based on
130 the results of these preliminary experiments, the other ten RTE salad products were
131 inoculated with an equalized pool of the three strains.

132 To achieve a final bacterial load of 5 log CFU/g in the products, the cold adapted stationary

133 phase culture was serially diluted in MRD and 1 ml of the appropriate dilution was
134 homogeneously distributed over the product. This concentration was chosen higher than
135 recommended by EU technical guidance document (2 log CFU/g) (Beaufort et al., 2014) to
136 be able to quantify also a decrease in CFU/g. Unlike in other products, the normal microbiota
137 in RTE salad products is in the range of 5-6 log CFU/g and the administered inoculum is
138 therefore only a fraction of the total bacteria present in the samples. The inoculum was
139 administered through a septum of scotch tape using a syringe and a gauge 22 needle.
140 Immediately after inoculation, the syringe hole was sealed with a second scotch tape to
141 maintain the modified atmosphere. Negative control samples were inoculated in the same
142 way with 1 ml MRD, and for RTE salad products packaged under modified atmosphere,
143 uninoculated bags of product served as controls for the modified atmosphere. After
144 inoculation, all samples were shaken for 1 min in a standardized manner to optimize the
145 distribution of the inocula. To preserve the cold chain, the bags of salad and the bacteria were
146 kept on ice at all times during these procedures.

147

148 **2.5. Storage conditions**

149 The RTE salad products were stored at 5 °C and 8 °C, for 4, 5, 6, 7 and 8 days. Temperature
150 in both cold rooms was continuously controlled and recorded with temperature loggers
151 (EasyLog, Lascar Electronics, Pennsylvania, USA).

152

153 **2.6. Microbiological analyses**

154 Enumeration of *L. monocytogenes*, Enterobacteriaceae and the total viable count (TVC) were
155 performed according to ISO 11290-2:1998, ISO 21528-2:2004 and ISO 4833-2:2013
156 respectively with minor modifications.

157 *L. monocytogenes* and TVC were determined immediately after inoculation (t=0) and 4, 5, 6,
158 7 and 8 days after inoculation. The counts of Enterobacteriaceae were determined at t=0 and
159 8 days after inoculation. At each time point, one inoculated sample and one negative
160 (uninoculated) control sample per temperature were analyzed. The whole content of a unit
161 was transferred into sterile stomacher bags, diluted 1:10 with MRD and homogenized for 30 s
162 in a Stomacher® 400 Circulator (Seward, Worthing, United Kingdom). For products
163 packaged under modified atmosphere, the gas composition in the bag was measured before
164 opening and compared to gas composition in uninoculated packages using a gas detector
165 (CheckPoint, Dansensor, Neuwied, Germany). Serial dilutions in MRD were prepared and
166 0.1 ml was spread-plated on the following agar plates in duplicate: PALCAM (Merck,
167 Darmstadt, Germany) for the enumeration of *L. monocytogenes* (aerobic incubation for 24 h
168 at 37 °C); plate count (PC; Oxoid, Basel, Switzerland) for TVC (aerobic incubation for 48 h
169 at 37 °C); violet red bile glucose (VRBG; BD, Allschwil Switzerland and Merck, Darmstadt
170 Germany) for Enterobacteriaceae (anaerobic incubation for 48 h at 37 °C). The average of the
171 duplicate plates was calculated and expressed as log CFU/g. The limit of detection was 2 log
172 CFU/g.

173

174 **2.7. Calculation of the growth potential δ**

175 The growth potential δ (CFU/g) for *L. monocytogenes* was calculated according to the
176 "EURL *Lm* TECHNICAL GUIDANCE DOCUMENT" (Beaufort et al., 2014). Briefly, for
177 each time point at each temperature the difference between the log CFU/g at the evaluation
178 point and the log CFU/g at the beginning of the challenge test was calculated for each of the
179 three independent replicates. The growth potential δ was defined as the highest value
180 obtained among three replicates. When δ was higher than 0.5 log CFU/g the RTE salad
181 product was classified as "able to support the growth of *L. monocytogenes*" at the

182 corresponding temperature. If δ was ≤ 0.5 log CFU/g the RTE salad product was classified as
183 "unable to support the growth of *L. monocytogenes*".

184

185 **2.8. Statistical analysis**

186 Statistical analysis and graphics were performed in R (Version 3.4.0) (R Core Team, 2015)
187 using R studio (Version Version 1.0.143) (RStudio Team, 2015). A linear mixed effects
188 model was calculated using lmer in LmerTest (Kuznetsova, Bruun Brockhoff, & Bojesen
189 Christensen, 2016) with up to three-way interactions between time, salad and temperature as
190 random effects and replicate as fixed effect. Pairwise contrasts were calculated using lsmeans
191 (Lenth, 2016). The ggplot2 package (Wickham, 2009) was used for visualization. Holm-
192 Bonferroni adjusted p-values were calculated for multiple comparisons within one type of
193 salad.

194 To determine if the three *L. monocytogenes* strains differed from each other in their growth
195 on salad, linear mixed effects models were calculated; model selection was AIC based. An
196 ANOVA was used to determine if the factor "strain" had an effect on the outcome "log
197 CFU/ml".

198 The R scripts can be downloaded from (insert link R_scripts_and_data).

199

200 **3. Results and Discussion**

201 **3.1. Strain characterization and results from the proof of concept experiments**

202 The three strains used in this study belonged to serotype 1/2a, 1/2b and 4b, CC 14, 517 and 6
203 and ST 91, 517 and 6 respectively (table 1).

204 A series of experiments was performed to ensure the soundness of the experimental setup.

205 The cold-growth ability of the strains used in this study was confirmed in rich medium. All
206 three *L. monocytogenes* strains were able to grow at the 5 °C and 8 °C in rich medium and

207 reached early stationary phase after eleven days at 5 °C vs. after five days at 8 °C. There was
208 no difference in cold growth between the three strains (supplementary figure 1).

209 To avoid bias caused by one of the strains used in the pool outgrowing the others, the first
210 experiments (on iceberg lettuce and arugula samples) were performed with each strain
211 individually. Since there was no significant effect of “strain” on “log CFU/ml” in the
212 outcome ($F=2.57$, $p=0.08$), all further experiments were performed using a pool of the three
213 strains. For the final analysis, the results from the individual strains on iceberg lettuce and
214 arugula were combined to be comparable to the results from the experiments with the pooled
215 strains.

216 The temperature was logged hourly for the 5 °C storage unit (mean 4.9 °C, SD 1.13,
217 maximum 8 °C) and the 8 °C storage unit (mean 8.11 °C, SD 0.53, maximum 13 °C) over the
218 complete duration of the experiments (152 days).

219 For those salads packaged under modified atmosphere, the gas composition was monitored.
220 In mixed salad 1, none of the values were outside the range specified by the producer, while
221 in the iceberg lettuce, some of the units stored at 8 °C were out of range. Many of the mixed
222 salad 2 units were out of range, including some of the uninoculated controls (supplementary
223 table 1). This may indicate a partial failure of this specific packaging/produce combination to
224 reach a steady state between plant respiration and diffusion of gases through the packaging
225 foil. The airtightness of the scotch tape used to seal the inoculation hole was tested by
226 puncturing three bags and applying the seal before storing them for 72 h with 2.2 kg weight
227 on top of them. None of the bags deflated (data not shown).

228 **3.2. Growth of *L. monocytogenes* on RTE salad products**

229 We found that storage temperature, storage duration and the food matrix with its associated
230 microbiota had a significant impact on the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* under the
231 tested conditions.

232 *Impact of temperature on the growth potential of L. monocytogenes*

233 At 5 °C, the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* exceeded 0.5 log CFU in both mixed
234 salads, parsley, iceberg lettuce, garden radish, beetroot and white cabbage at least on one
235 time point (figure 1, table 2). This was either at very late time points (e.g. at t=8 for garden
236 raddish) and/or not by a large margin, e.g. the growth potential was between 0.5-1 log CFU.
237 Also, the increase in CFU/g between t=0 and the later time points was only statistically
238 significant in iceberg lettuce ($p < 0.05$, table 2). Accordingly, at 5 °C the risk to exceed the
239 food safety criterion of < 100 CFU/g for *L. monocytogenes* during shelf life could be
240 contained for most salads. If the limit of detection was to be lowered to 10 CFU/g at the
241 routine testing level, a growth potential of < 1 log CFU over the shelf live would still contain
242 the bacterial load with *L. monocytogenes* to below 100 CFU/g and therefore be considered
243 tolerable for foods not intended for infants or other vulnerable groups such as hospital
244 patients.

245 At 8 °C, the situation was drastically different. With the exception of corn salad, celeriac and
246 carrots, *L. monocytogenes* exhibited a growth potential over or very close to 1.0 CFU. This
247 increase in CFU/g between t=0 and the later time points was statistically significant in all
248 salads except arugula ($p < 0.05$, table 2). In this situation, it is crucial to test at the stricter
249 food safety criterion of “absent in 25 g” before the food leaves the immediate control of the
250 producer.

251 The strikingly higher growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* at 8 °C compared to 5 °C may
252 also challenge the common practice to store RTE products at 8 °C at retail level. Our data
253 suggest that in some cases, growth of *L. monocytogenes* to > 100 CFU/g during shelf life
254 could be mitigated by a stricter temperature management at retail level to limit storage
255 temperature of RTE salad products to a maximum of 5 °C.

256 *Impact of time on the growth potential of L. monocytogenes*

257 As expected, time was a crucial factor in the outcome of total counts (CFU/g) of *L.*
258 *monocytogenes*. In many of the salad products that supported the growth of *L. monocytogenes*
259 (e.g. garden radish and white cabbage at 8 °C and iceberg lettuce at 5 °C and 8 °C), *L.*
260 *monocytogenes* increased significantly between t=0 and t=4, after which a steady state was
261 reached where no more significant increase occurred. A potential explanation for this plateau
262 might be the interaction with the plant microbiota associated with individual plant species
263 that limits further growth. In contrast, parsley at 8 °C permitted a significant increase in
264 CFU/g also between t=4 and the later time points. This suggests that minced parsley is a
265 high-risk product that supports substantial growth of *L. monocytogenes*.

266 *Impact of the food matrix and its associated microbiota on the growth potential of L.*
267 *monocytogenes*

268 The food matrix had an impressive impact on the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes*. Four
269 of the twelve RTE salad products tested in this study did not support the growth of *L.*
270 *monocytogenes* in a significant way under the tested conditions, while the other eight
271 products supported growth of *L. monocytogenes* at varying levels (table 2). Potential reasons
272 for these vast differences may lie in the normal microbiota of the plant, the composition of
273 the product, the level of processing of the plant matrix (e.g. availability of plant juice
274 depending on the level of cutting vs. whole leaves), and in the natural defense mechanisms of
275 the plants in question.

276 The product group that supported growth of *L. monocytogenes* comprised iceberg lettuce,
277 parsley, arugula, beetroot, garden radish, white cabbage and the mixed salads. The maximal
278 growth potential of 2.84 log CFU was observed in parsley (t=8, 8 °C) (figure 1).

279 *Listeria* has previously been shown to grow on iceberg lettuce (at 3, 5 and 10 °C) (Beuchat &
280 Brackett, 1990b; Koseki & Isobe, 2005), arugula (6 days at 7 °C) (Sant'Ana, Barbosa, Destro,
281 Landgraf, & Franco, 2012) and “green salad” (6 days at 7 °C) (Sant'Ana et al., 2012).

282 Conflicting data exist on raw cabbage: one study on white cabbage found considerable
283 growth (15 days at 4 °C, 7.5 days at 10 °C) (Wang et al., 2013), while another study on RTE
284 cabbage found a reduction in *L. monocytogenes* numbers (6 days at 7 °C = -0.21 (SD 0.9) log
285 CFU/g) (Sant'Ana et al., 2012). However, it is unclear if the cabbage in this latter study was
286 processed or raw. Juice from fermented cabbage might have some antimicrobial properties
287 against Gram negative organisms (Gogo, Shitandi, Lokuruka, & Sang, 2010).

288 Celeriac, carrots and corn salad did not support the growth of *L. monocytogenes*. At no point
289 in time did the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* exceed 0.5 log CFU in these products.
290 In fact, the CFU/g *L. monocytogenes* dropped significantly between t=0 and the later time
291 points at both 5 and 8 °C (figure 2). To our knowledge, this is the first report on the fate of *L.*
292 *monocytogenes* on corn salad and celeriac. In contrast to the celeriac root, temperature-
293 dependent growth of *L. monocytogenes* has been shown on celery stalks (decrease in CFU/g
294 over 7 days at 4 °C (Vandamm, Li, Harris, Schaffner, & Danyluk, 2013) vs. growth or
295 minimal increase at 10 °C over 7 days (Kaminski, Davidson, & Ryser, 2014; Vandamm et al.,
296 2013). Also, celery stalks have been implicated in an outbreak of listeriosis (Gaul et al., 2013).
297 The relatively high content of nitrite in celery in combination with the fine cut of the celeriac
298 julienne analyzed in the present study might provide a possible explanation for our
299 observations; in fact celery powder is used as a natural source of nitrite in meat curing
300 processes for its antimicrobial activity and coloring properties (Buchanan, Stahl, & Whiting,
301 1989; Junttila, Hirn, Hill, & Nurmi, 2016; McClure, Kelly, & Roberts, 1991). The anti-
302 listerial effect of carrots has been attributed to phytoalexins produced by carrots in response
303 to fungal infections and other types of stress (Abdul-Rauf, Beuchat, & Ammar, 1993; Babic,
304 Nguyen-the, Amiot, & Aubert, 2008; Beuchat & Brackett, 1990a; Kurosaki & Nishi, 1983). It
305 is conceivable that harvesting might result in increased concentrations of phytoalexins in
306 carrots due to microlesions in the plants. The activity of phytoalexins is mainly directed

307 against fungi and Gram+ organisms (Kurosaki & Nishi, 1983). This would spare the mostly
308 Gram- natural microbiota of the plants, which is reflected in the fact that the total viable
309 count increased over time in all salads except celeriac at 5 °C.

310 **3.3. Total viable count on RTE salad products**

311 The initial total viable count ranged from 4.9 log CFU/g (celeriac (SD=0.09), white cabbage
312 (SD=0.14)) to 6.7-6.8 log CFU/g (parsley (SD=0.44), arugula (SD=0.71), garden radish
313 (SD=0.24)). At 8 °C, all products showed a significant increase in TVC at all time points,
314 reaching as much as 9 log CFU/g (parsley). At 5 °C, celeriac (all time points) and arugula (all
315 time points except at t=8) showed no significant increase in TVC. In all other products, there
316 was a significant increase in TVC (figure 3). While other authors found similar counts for the
317 TVC on iceberg lettuce (5.61 ± 0.41 log CFU/g) (Koseki & Isobe, 2005) and carrots ($5.79 \pm$
318 0.04 log CFU/g) (Sant'Ana et al., 2012), studies found higher numbers for cabbage ($7.67 \pm$
319 0.09 log CFU/g) (Sant'Ana et al., 2012), arugula (8.11 ± 0.16 log CFU/g) (Sant'Ana et al.,
320 2012) and corn salad (6.63-6.85 log CFU/g) (Wei, Wolf, & Hammes, 2005). However, the
321 validity of comparisons between studies is at least questionable, as many factors like plant
322 variety and maturity, the processing conditions, packaging and gas composition, or storage
323 temperature will influence the outcome. Additionally, the specific microbiota of the plant
324 may vary regionally and will engage in complex interactions with pathogens like *L.*
325 *monocytogenes* (Brandl, 2006),

326 **3.4. Total Enterobacteriaceae on RTE salad products**

327 The initial count for Enterobacteriaceae ranged from 2.5 log CFU/g (celeriac, SD=0.61) to
328 5.5 log CFU/g (corn salad, SD=0.69). With the exception of corn salad, the CFU count for
329 Enterobacteriaceae increased between t=0 and t=8 at 5 °C and at 8 °C. The sharpest increase
330 in Enterobacteriaceae was observed in iceberg lettuce (3.5 and 3.6 log CFU/g difference
331 between t=0 and t=8 at 5 °C and 8 °C, respectively, $p < 0.001$). In corn salad, a decreasing

332 trend in Enterobacteriaceae was observed at both temperatures (-0.9 and -0.3 log CFU/g
333 difference between t=0 and t=8 at 5 °C and 8 °C, respectively, not statistically significant)
334 (figure 4). As most of the epiphytic microbiota of plants belongs to either *Pseudomonas* or
335 the Enterobacteriaceae (Lund, 2008), the numbers we found are within the expected range of
336 4-8 log CFU/g (European Commission, Scientific Committee on Food, 2002).

337 **3.5. Available microbial models**

338 EC no 2073/2005 regulates the microbial criteria for foodstuffs and mandates that the food
339 production officer shall “conduct studies (...) to investigate the compliance with the criteria
340 during shelf-life”. Apart from challenge tests, which are labor intensive and require advanced
341 research capacities, annex II of the EC no 2073/2005 allows the use of “predictive
342 mathematical modeling established for the food in question” to assess the growth potential of
343 *L. monocytogenes* in food. Existing modeling tools use physicochemical properties such as
344 temperature, water phase salt, pH, CO₂, smoke intensity, nitrite and organic acids to predict
345 growth of microorganisms. The available models for *L. monocytogenes* are either geared
346 towards meat / seafood (“food spoilage and safety predictor FSSP” <http://fssp.food.dtu.dk>,
347 “listeria meat model” <http://www.cpmf2.be/software.php>, “DMRI predictive models for
348 meat” <http://dmripredict.dk>) or dairy products (“dairy products safety predictor”
349 <https://aqr.maisondulait.fr>). Large discrepancies were found when comparing observed
350 growth of *L. monocytogenes* in a cheese food matrix with predictions obtained from the
351 “Combase Modeling Toolbox” (<https://www.combase.cc>) in 2011, and the authors caution
352 against applying data obtained in laboratory media to model growth in food systems
353 (Schvartzman, Belessi, Butler, Skandamis, & Jordan, 2011). It remains a fact that the growth
354 of pathogens on food matrix, and especially raw products, is notoriously difficult to model
355 due to interactions with their microbiota. The linear mixed effects model calculated on the
356 data in this study (insert link R_scripts_and_data) is perfectly valid for the dataset established

357 in this study. However, extrapolations to other salad products would have to be done very
358 carefully. The results are likely affected by changes in the microbiota and composition of the
359 salad matrix due to differences in soil composition, farming practices and seasonal
360 fluctuations in temperature and humidity. The same is true for extrapolations from public
361 databases on microbial growth in food such as Combase (<https://www.combase.cc>).
362 Therefore, even though the EU-legislation allows for the use of microbial modeling tools to
363 assess the growth potential of *L. monocytogenes* in food, the generation of solid wet lab data
364 in challenge tests is crucial for complex food matrices such as RTE salads composed of
365 different raw produce.

366

367 Conflict of interest statement: the authors declare no conflict of interest.

368 Funding: This work was supported by University of Zurich

369 Tables

370 Table 1

Species	Strain designation	Serotype	ST	CC	Lineage
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	N16-1125	1/2a	ST91	CC14	II
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	N16-0716	1/2b	ST517	CC517	I
<i>Listeria monocytogenes</i>	N16-0855	4b	ST6	CC6	I

371

372 ST: sequence type. CC: clonal complex

373

Table 2:

RTE salad	Ingredients	MAP (%) ¹	Challenge test results summary				
			O2/CO2	5°C		8°C	
			contrast	δ^2	p ¹⁴	δ^2	p ¹⁴
Iceberg lettuce ³	cut, 6 mm, 100%	1-7 / 9-15	0 - 4	0.82	0.028	1.44	<0.001
			0 - 5	0.85	0.004	1.73	<0.001
			0 - 6	0.81	0.008	1.93	<0.001
			0 - 7	1.00	0.014	1.71	<0.001
			0 - 8	0.90	0.002	2.23	<0.001
Corn salad ⁴	entire rosettes, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	-0.83	<0.001	-0.61	0.007
			0 - 5	-0.87	<0.001	-0.71	<0.001
			0 - 6	-1.06	<0.001	-0.81	<0.001
			0 - 7	-1.23	<0.001	-0.76	0.001
			0 - 8	-1.13	<0.001	-0.58	0.001
Grated carrots ⁵	peeled, grated, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	-2.62	<0.001	-2.15	<0.001
			0 - 5	-2.76	<0.001	-2.06	<0.001
			0 - 6	-3.10	<0.001	-2.53	<0.001
			0 - 7	-2.76	<0.001	-2.63	<0.001
			0 - 8	-3.10	<0.001	-3.10	<0.001
Julienne carrots ⁵	peeled, julienne, 1.6 mm, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	-2.15	<0.001	-2.58	<0.001
			0 - 5	-2.73	<0.001	-2.36	<0.001
			0 - 6	-2.51	<0.001	-2.36	<0.001
			0 - 7	-2.73	<0.001	-2.51	<0.001
			0 - 8	-2.91	<0.001	-2.58	<0.001
Mixed salad 1 ⁶	iceberg lettuce 35%, endive 14%, sugarloaf lettuce 20%, lollo rosso lettuce 15%, frisee lettuce 16% (all cut 20 mm)	4-10 /8-14	0 - 4	0.56	0.773	0.93	0.007
			0 - 5	0.49	0.548	0.95	0.002
			0 - 6	0.54	0.331	0.91	0.003
			0 - 7	0.69	0.153	1.00	0.006
			0 - 8	0.62	0.475	0.77	0.009
Mixed salad 2 ⁷	endive 25%, multileaf lettuce 20%, rosso 15%, lollo rosso salad 10%, head lettuce 5% (all cut 40-50 mm), arugula (entire leaves) 5%, carrot (peeled, chips) 10%, garden radish (slices) 10%	5-11 /9-15	0 - 4	0.09	1.000	0.82	0.024
			0 - 5	0.33	1.000	1.50	<0.001
			0 - 6	0.42	1.000	1.37	<0.001
			0 - 7	0.53	1.000	1.46	<0.001
			0 - 8	0.64	1.000	1.14	<0.001
Parsley ⁸	Minced, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	0.66	1.000	2.14	<0.001
			0 - 5	0.73	1.000	2.37	<0.001
			0 - 6	1.14	0.222	2.52	<0.001
			0 - 7	1.27	0.119	2.46	<0.001
			0 - 8	1.25	0.093	2.84	<0.001
Garden radish ⁹	julienne, 3 mm, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	0.20	1.000	1.23	0.001
			0 - 5	0.41	1.000	1.34	0.001
			0 - 6	0.38	1.000	1.50	0.001
			0 - 7	0.32	1.000	1.28	0.006
			0 - 8	0.56	1.000	1.31	0.001
Beetroot ¹⁰	spaghetti-style, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	0.53	1.000	1.59	<0.001
			0 - 5	0.53	0.767	1.31	<0.001
			0 - 6	0.96	0.144	1.59	<0.001
			0 - 7	0.64	0.247	1.42	<0.001
			0 - 8	0.58	0.309	1.58	<0.001
Arugula ¹¹	entire leaves, 100%	n/a	0 - 4	0.23	1.000	1.06	0.359
			0 - 5	0.42	1.000	1.03	1.000
			0 - 6	0.21	1.000	0.89	1.000
			0 - 7	0.28	1.000	0.89	1.000
			0 - 8	0.39	1.000	1.05	0.417
Celeriac ¹²	julienne, 1.6 mm, 100% dipped in an ascorbic acid/citric acid	n/a	0 - 4	-0.30	0.011	-0.78	<0.001
			0 - 5	-0.48	<0.001	-1.17	<0.001

bath (0.875 g/l and 16.25 g/l) for 20-30 min

		0 - 6	-0.56	<0.001	-1.38	<0.001
		0 - 7	-0.81	<0.001	-1.68	<0.001
		0 - 8	-1.01	<0.001	-1.75	<0.001
White cabbage ¹³ julienne, 1 mm 100%	n/a	0 - 4	0.70	1.000	1.84	<0.001
		0 - 5	0.92	1.000	1.76	<0.001
		0 - 6	0.91	1.000	1.67	<0.001
		0 - 7	0.74	1.000	1.51	<0.001
		0 - 8	0.89	1.000	1.48	<0.001

¹ MAP: modified atmosphere packaging, values are in %, ² growth potential defined as the maximal delta between t=0 and t=x in three independent replicates, ³ *Lactuca sativa*, ⁴ *Valerianella locusta*, ⁵ *Daucus carota* subsp. *Sativus*, ⁶ *Lactuca sativa*, *Cichorium endivia*, *Cichorium intybus* var. *foliosum*; *Lactuca sativa* var. *Crispa*; *Cichorium endivia* L. var. *crispum* Lam., ⁷ *Cichorium endivia*; "multileaf lettuce"; *Lactuca sativa* var. *Crispa*; *Lactuca sativa* var. *Capitata*; *Eruca sativa*; *Daucus carota* subsp. *sativus*; *Raphanus sativus* var. *Sativus*, ⁸ *Petroselinum crispum*, ⁹ *Raphanus sativus* var. *Sativus*, *Beta vulgaris*, ¹¹ *Eruca sativa*, ¹² *Apium graveolens*, ¹³ *Brassica oleracea* convar. *capitata* var. *alba*, ¹⁴ p-value for the contrast between t=0 and t=x

4. Figure captions:

Figure 1: *L. monocytogenes* on RTE salads that supported growth. The numbers above time points reflect mean log CFU/g. Asterisks denote time points where the log CFU/g were significantly different from t=0 ($p < 0.05$). The ribbon around the line represents the standard deviation.

Please use colors for all 4 figures in print.

Figure 2: *L. monocytogenes* on RTE salads that did not support growth. The numbers above time points reflect mean log CFU/g. Asterisks denote time points where the log CFU/g were significantly different from t=0 ($p < 0.05$). The ribbon around the line represents the standard deviation.

Please use colors for all 4 figures in print.

Figure 3: Total count of viable bacteria at 37 °C in RTE salad products. The numbers above time points reflect mean log CFU/g. Asterisks denote time points where the log CFU/g were significantly different from t=0 ($p < 0.05$). The ribbon around the line represents the standard deviation. gr. grated; jul. julienne; g. garden; sal. salad; w. white.

Please use colors for all 4 figures in print.

Figure 4: Total count of Enterobacteriaceae in RTE salad products. The numbers above time points reflect mean log CFU/g. Asterisks denote time points where the log CFU/g at t=8 were significantly different from t=0 ($p < 0.05$). The ribbon around the line represents the standard deviation. gr. grated; jul. julienne; g. garden; m. mixed; sal. salad; w. white; cab. cabbage.

Please use colors for all 4 figures in print.

References


- Abdul-Rauf, U. M., Beuchat, L. R., & Ammar, M. S. (1993). Survival and Growth of *Escherichia coli* 0157:H7 on Salad Vegetables. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 59(7), 1999–2006.
- Allerberger, F., & Wagner, M. (2010). Listeriosis: a resurgent foodborne infection. *Clin. Microbiol Infect*, 16(1), 16–23. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1469-0691.2009.03109.x>
- Babic, I., Nguyen-the, C., Amiot, M. J., & Aubert, S. (2008). Antimicrobial activity of shredded carrot extracts on food-borne bacteria and yeast. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 76(2), 135–141. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1994.tb01608.x>
- Barton Behravesh, C., Jones, T. F., Vugia, D. J., Long, C., Marcus, R., Smith, K., et al. (2011). Deaths Associated With Bacterial Pathogens Transmitted Commonly Through Food: Foodborne Diseases Active Surveillance Network (FoodNet), 1996–2005. *J. Infect. Dis*, 204(2), 263–267. <http://doi.org/10.1093/infdis/jir263>
- Beaufort, A., Bergis, H., Lardeux, A.-L., & Lombard, B. (2014). EURL Lm TECHNICAL GUIDANCE DOCUMENT for conducting shelf-life studies on *Listeria monocytogenes* in ready-to-eat foods. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 74(3), 594–604. <http://doi.org/10.1128/AEM.01921-07>
- Beuchat, L. R., & Brackett, R. E. (1990a). Inhibitory Effects of Raw Carrots on *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 56(6), 1734–1742.
- Beuchat, L. R., & Brackett, R. E. (1990b). Survival and Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on Lettuce as Influenced by Shredding, Chlorine Treatment, Modified Atmosphere Packaging and Temperature. *Journal of Food Science*, 55(3), 755–758. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2621.1990.tb05222.x>
- Brandl, M. T. (2006). Fitness of human enteric pathogens on plants and implications for food safety. *Annual Review of Phytopathology*, 44(1), 367–392. <http://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.phyto.44.070505.143359>
- Buchanan, R. L., Stahl, H. G., & Whiting, R. C. (1989). Effects and Interactions of Temperature, pH, Atmosphere, Sodium Chloride, and Sodium Nitrite on the Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Journal of Food Protection*, 52(12), 844–851. <http://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-52.12.844>
- Datta, A. R., Laksanalamai, P., & Solomotis, M. (2013). Recent developments in molecular sub-typing of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *Food Addit. Contam. Part a. Chem. Anal. Control Expo. Risk Assess*, 30(8), 1437–1445. <http://doi.org/10.1080/19440049.2012.728722>
- de Valk, H., Jacquet, C., Goulet, V., Vaillant, V., Perra, A., Simon, F., et al. (2005). Surveillance of listeria infections in Europe. *Euro. Surveill*, 10(10), 251–255.
- European Commission, Scientific Committee on Food. (2002). Risk Profile on the Microbiological Contamination of Fruits and Vegetables Eaten Raw. https://ec.europa.eu/food/sites/food/files/safety/docs/sci-com_scf_out125_en.pdf
- European Food Safety Authority, European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. (2016). The European Union summary report on trends and sources of zoonoses, zoonotic agents and food-borne outbreaks in 2015. *EFSA Journal*, 14(12), 148. <http://doi.org/10.2903/j.efsa.2016.4634>
- Gaul, L. K., Farag, N. H., Shim, T., Kingsley, M. A., Silk, B. J., & Hyytia-Trees, E. (2013). Hospital-acquired listeriosis outbreak caused by contaminated diced celery--Texas, 2010. *Clin. Infect. Dis*, 56(1), 20–26. <http://doi.org/10.1093/cid/cis817>
- Gogo, L. A., Shitandi, A. A., Lokuruka, M. N. I., & Sang, W. (2010). Antimicrobial Effect of Juice Extract From Fermented Cabbage Against Select Food- Borne Bacterial Pathogens. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 6, 1807–1813.

- Junttila, J., Hirn, J., Hill, P., & Nurmi, E. (2016). Effect of Different Levels of Nitrite and Nitrate on the Survival of *Listeria monocytogenes* During the Manufacture of Fermented Sausage. *Dx.Doi.org*, 52(3), 158–161. <http://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X-52.3.158>
- Kaminski, C. N., Davidson, G. R., & Ryser, E. T. (2014). *Listeria monocytogenes* transfer during mechanical dicing of celery and growth during subsequent storage. *Journal of Food Protection*, 77(5), 765–771. <http://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-13-382>
- Koseki, S., & Isobe, S. (2005). Growth of *Listeria monocytogenes* on iceberg lettuce and solid media. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 101(2), 217–225. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2004.11.008>
- Kurosaki, F., & Nishi, A. (1983). Isolation and antimicrobial activity of the phytoalexin 6-methoxymellein from cultured carrot cells. *Phytochemistry*, 22(3), 669–672. [http://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(00\)86959-9](http://doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(00)86959-9)
- Kuznetsova, A., Bruun Brockhoff, P., & Bojesen Christensen, H. (2016). lmerTest: Tests in Linear Mixed Effects Models. <https://CRAN.R-Project.Org/Package=lmerTest>.
- Kühbacher, A., Cossart, P., & Pizarro-Cerdá, J. (2014). Internalization Assays for *Listeria monocytogenes*. In *Cell Imaging Techniques* (Vol. 1157, pp. 167–178). New York, NY: Springer New York. http://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4939-0703-8_14
- Lenth, R. V. (2016). Least-Squares Means: The R Package lsmeans. *Journal of Statistical Software*, 69(1), 1–33. <http://doi.org/10.18637/jss.v069.i01>
- Lund, B. M. (2008). Ecosystems in vegetable foods. *Journal of Applied Bacteriology*, 73(28), 115s–126s. <http://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2672.1992.tb03631.x>
- McClure, P. J., Kelly, T. M., & Roberts, T. A. (1991). The effects of temperature, pH, sodium chloride and sodium nitrite on the growth of *Listeria monocytogenes*. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 14(1), 77–91.
- Popovic, I., Heron, B., & Covacin, C. (2014). Listeria: an Australian perspective (2001–2010). *Foodborne Pathogens and Disease*, 11(6), 425–432. <http://doi.org/10.1089/fpd.2013.1697>
- R Core Team, R Core. (2015). R: A language and environment for statistical computing. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna.
- Ragon, M., Wirth, T., Hollandt, F., Lavenir, R., Lecuit, M., Le, M. A., & Brisse, S. (2008). A new perspective on *Listeria monocytogenes* evolution. *PLoS Pathogens*, 4(9), e1000146. <http://doi.org/10.1371/journal.ppat.1000146>
- RStudio Team. (2015). RStudio: Integrated Development for R. Retrieved from <http://www.rstudio.com/>.
- Sant'Ana, A. S., Barbosa, M. S., Destro, M. T., Landgraf, M., & Franco, B. D. G. M. (2012). Growth potential of *Salmonella* spp. and *Listeria monocytogenes* in nine types of ready-to-eat vegetables stored at variable temperature conditions during shelf-life. *International Journal of Food Microbiology*, 157(1), 52–58. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijfoodmicro.2012.04.011>
- Schvartzman, M. S., Belessi, C., Butler, F., Skandamis, P. N., & Jordan, K. N. (2011). Effect of pH and water activity on the growth limits of *Listeria monocytogenes* in a cheese matrix at two contamination levels. *Journal of Food Protection*, 74(11), 1805–1813. <http://doi.org/10.4315/0362-028X.JFP-11-102>
- Söderqvist, K. (2017). Is your lunch salad safe to eat? Occurrence of bacterial pathogens and potential for pathogen growth in pre-packed ready-to-eat mixed-ingredient salads. *Infection Ecology & Epidemiology*, 7(1), 1407216. <http://doi.org/10.1080/20008686.2017.1407216>
- Stephan, R., Althaus, D., Kiefer, S., Lehner, A., Hatz, C., Schmutz, C., et al. (2015). Foodborne transmission of *Listeria monocytogenes* via ready-to-eat salad: A nationwide

- outbreak in Switzerland, 2013–2014. *Food Control*, 57, 14–17.
<http://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2015.03.034>
- Vandamm, J. P., Li, D., Harris, L. J., Schaffner, D. W., & Danyluk, M. D. (2013). Fate of *Escherichia coli* O157:H7, *Listeria monocytogenes*, and *Salmonella* on fresh-cut celery. *Food Microbiology*, 34(1), 151–157. <http://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2012.11.016>
- Wang, J., Rahman, S. M. E., Zhao, X.-H., Forghani, F., Park, M.-S., & Oh, D.-H. (2013). Predictive Models for the Growth Kinetics of *Listeria monocytogenes* on White Cabbage. *Journal of Food Safety*, 33(1), 50–58. <http://doi.org/10.1111/jfs.12022>
- Wei, H., Wolf, G., & Hammes, W. P. (2005). Combination of warm water and hydrogen peroxide to reduce the numbers of *Salmonella Typhimurium* and *Listeria innocua* on field salad (*Valerianella locusta*). *European Food Research and Technology*, 221(1-2), 180–186. <http://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-005-1133-4>
- Werber, D., Hille, K., Frank, C., Dehnert, M., Altmann, D., Müller-Nordhorn, J., et al. (2012). Years of potential life lost for six major enteric pathogens, Germany, 2004–2008. *Epidemiol. Infect.*, 141(05), 961–968. <http://doi.org/10.1017/S0950268812001550>
- Wickham, H. (2009). *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. In *ggplot2: elegant graphics for data analysis*. Springer, New York.

CFU count of *Listeria monocytogenes* on salad


Starting concentration: 10^5 CFU/g




Ribbon: SD

CFU count of *Listeria monocytogenes* on salad

Starting concentration: 10^5 CFU/g




Mean CFU for the TVC (total viable count)




Ribbon: SD

Mean CFU for the Enterobacteriaceae



Ribbon: SD

Supplementary figure 1: cold growth of *L. monocytogenes* strains in rich medium



Growth of the three *L. monocytogenes* strains N16-1126 (Δ), N16-0716 (x) and N16-0855 (\bullet) in rich medium at 5 °C (dotted lines) and 8 °C (solid lines).

modified atmosphere mixed salad 2

Replicate 1	Do		Mo		Di		Mi		Do		Fr			
	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)
	0	0	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8
uninoculated, 5°	7.9	12.1	10.9	8.4	7.2	10.9	9.6	8.5	8.6	9.0	11.2	7.2		
negative, 5°	7.8	12.0	12.8	8.0	11.5	8.2	9.4	8.9	9.1	9.4	11.3	7.9		
mixed strains, 5°	7.8	12.0	12.1	8.2	12.9	7.7	9.4	9.6	13.7	7.2	13.1	7.3		
negative, 8°	-	-	9.4	9.3	6.6	11.1	7.7	9.2	9.2	9.0	10.4	8.5		
mixed strains, 8°	-	-	12.6	7.8	10.2	8.9	7.5	10.5	9.6	9.2	15.9	5.3		

Replicate 2	Do		Mo		Di		Mi		Do		Fr			
	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)
	0	0	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8
uninoculated, 5°	5.9	11.8	5.1	12.7	4.9	12.4	7.6	10.2	2.9	13.1	1.4	13.6		
negative, 5°	6.4	10.9	6.6	11.5	9.3	10.0	7.9	10.3	5.1	11.6	3.0	12.8		
mixed strains, 5°	5.8	12.5	8.8	10.0	8.8	10.3	6.6	10.6	8.6	8.9	7.7	9.5		
negative, 8°	-	-	7.8	10.2	4.8	13.6	6.2	10.8	1.6	13.2	1.0	14.1		
mixed strains, 8°	-	-	4.9	12.4	4.8	12.3	4.5	12.1	5.7	10.2	6.2	9.9		

Replicate 3	Do		Mo		Di		Mi		Do		Fr			
	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)	O2 (%)	CO2 (%)
	0	0	4	4	5	5	6	6	7	7	8	8	8	8
uninoculated, 5°	4.9	11.3	6.5	9.0	7.0	8.7	8.1	7.8	5.3	9.1	6.7	7.7		
negative, 5°	5.6	10.9	8.4	8.5	6.1	9.1	6.8	8.3	6.6	8.2	6.8	7.7		
mixed strains, 5°	5.3	11.1	7.2	9.1	6.3	8.9	8.0	8.1	5.6	8.9	6.6	7.9		
negative, 8°	-	-	5.4	10.1	5.5	9.3	6.7	9.3	5.5	9.4	5.0	9.3		
mixed strains, 8°	-	-	10.6	7.5	4.4	10.0	5.1	9.5	4.8	9.4	7.2	8.1		

aim	O2 = 5-11	CO2 = 9-15
mean control d0	6.2	11.7
mean sample d0	6.5	11.6
mean control d8	6.4	9.5
mean sample d8 5	8.1	8.9
mean sample d8 8	7.6	9.2