Non-productive Binding Modes as a Prominent Feature of A β ₁₋₄₀ Fiber Elongation: Insights from Molecular Dynamics Simulation

Rajiv K Kar,¹ Jeffrey R Brender,² Anirban Ghosh,¹ Anirban Bhunia^{1*}

¹Department of Biophysics, Bose Institute, P-1/12 CIT Scheme VII (M), Kolkata 700054, India

²Radiation Biology Branch, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, MD 20814, USA

*** To whom correspondence should be addressed:**

Dr. Anirban Bhunia

Email: anirbanbhunia@gmail.com / bhunias@jbose.ac.in

Tel: +91-33-2569 3336

Abstract:

Amyloid formation has been implicated in a number of neurodegenerative diseases. The elongation of amyloid fibers is thermodynamically strongly favorable but kinetic traps exist where the incoming monomer binds in an incompatible conformation that blocks further elongation. Unfortunately, this process is difficult to follow experimentally at the atomic level. It is also too complex to simulate in full detail and thus so far has been explored either through coarse-grained simulations, which may miss many important interactions, or full atomic simulations in which the incoming peptide is constrained to be near the ideal fiber geometry. Here we use an alternate approach starting from a docked complex in which the monomer is from an experimental NMR structure of one of the major conformations in the unbound ensemble, a largely unstructured peptide with the central hydrophobic region in a 3_{10} helix. A 1000 ns full atomic simulation in explicit solvent shows the formation of a metastable intermediate by sequential, concerted movements of both the fiber and monomer. A Markov state model shows the unfolded monomer is trapped at the end of the fiber in a set of interconverting anti-parallel β -hairpin conformations. The simulation here may serve as a model for the binding of other non- β -sheet conformations to amyloid fibers.

KEY WORDS

Amyloid beta, dock-lock, energy landscape, meta-stable states, Markov state modeling

Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases are often characterized by the self-assembly of specific proteins into a fibrillar, “misfolded” amyloid state. The standard model for amyloid growth¹ predicts that the initial nucleation is rate-limiting; the extension of fibers is assumed to be a rapid process. Recent experiments, however, have challenged this assumption. The dissolution of radiolabeled monomers from the ends of unlabeled fibers² supports a two-stage binding mechanism in which the fast reversible association of monomeric A β to fibers (“docking”) is followed by a second, slower step, interpreted as a conformational transition of the incoming monomer on the fiber surface that results in essentially irreversible binding (“locking”).^{3, 4} Fluorescence microscopy indicates fiber elongation proceeds in a stop-and-go manner with bursts of fast elongation followed by periods of slow or absent growth⁵⁻⁸, which may be interpreted as failure of the incoming monomer to adopt the correct conformation after binding. The incorrectly docked monomer then arrests growth by preventing other incoming peptides from attaching to the fiber.⁴

A structural understanding of this process at the atomic level has proven very difficult to achieve experimentally as the growing fiber ends comprise only a small fraction of the total sample. In the absence of experimental data on the molecular level, molecular dynamics (MD) has been used to explore the dock-lock hypothesis. The fiber elongation process is too complex and occurs on too long of a time-scale to fully model at the atomic level. Coarse-grained results suggest a rugged landscape for fiber elongation⁹⁻¹⁴ with both productive and non-productive binding modes.¹⁵ On the other hand, constrained atomistic simulations starting from an geometry favorable for binding often show a smooth, downhill trajectories without prominent metastable states.¹⁶ Instead of the low-energy kinetic traps found in the coarse grained simulations of random coil interactions with amyloid fibers, diffusion and dehydration of the fiber tip are the primary obstacles to fiber elongation in these simulations. It is an open question how the binding of states that do not closely match the fiber conformation may initiate amyloid fiber elongation.

To explore this question for the A β ₁₋₄₀ peptide, we take a different approach by describing the system atomistically in explicit solvent but starting the simulation from a docked state in which the bound monomer is in a partially helical conformation reflective of some of the states in the unbound ensemble. The system eventually reaches a metastable state in which the C-terminal strand of the amyloid fiber is wrapped around a collapsed coil conformation of the monomer.

This result confirms non-productive binding modes may be a prominent feature of A β fiber elongation.

Results and discussion

The simulations start from a NMR structure of the A β_{40} monomer in aqueous solution.¹⁷ The A β_{40} monomer does not have a true structure in the traditional sense, but a wealth of experimental data has shown that certain conformations are more likely than others.^{18,19,20,21} Although estimates of the actual conformations in the ensemble vary considerably, the central hydrophobic core (CHC, Leu17-Ala21) often folds into a helix in other experimental and computational studies.^{22,23,21,24} The remainder of the peptide is largely unstructured and exposed to solvent, with the exception of the hydrophobic C- and N-ter both of which make contact with the CHC. The monomer therefore has a defined surface for docking, although the contacts defining the structure are few and weak.

The docked complex of the A β_{1-40} monomer with the amyloid fiber is shown in Figure 1A. Solid State NMR measurements indicate that the two β -strands of the fiber are displaced relative to each other.²⁵ This stagger creates two asymmetrical shelves at the ends of the fiber, one exposing the sidechains of the N-terminal strand and another the sidechains of the C-terminal strands. The monomer binds to the C-terminal end with the 3_{10} helix resting on the inward facing hydrophobic residues (A22, I24, and L26). The helix is at an angle to the fiber with a slight tilt to the C-terminal strand of the fiber. The largely disordered termini of the A β_{1-40} monomer, which are poorly defined in the NMR structure, primarily sit above the surface of the fiber and make few contacts with the fiber surface, with the exception of R5, which makes a salt bridge to E14.

Unfolding of A β_{40} in a stepwise transition

The docked complex was formed by rigid body docking of the experimental structure of the monomer and fiber. However, the A β monomer is flexible and is expected to change as it adapts itself to the surface of the fiber. Moreover, the ends of the fiber only form a small fraction of the sample and are therefore not well defined in the experimental structure. As a result, the initial complex in the simulation is not likely to be stable and will evolve as the monomer unfolds on the surface of the fiber and the ends of the fiber fray and adapt their equilibrium positions.

This transition is conveniently analyzed through cluster analysis. Clustering aims to group the conformations sampled during the trajectory to reflect the basins of the free energy landscape. Clustering requires a structural distance metric. Due to its disordered nature, the conformational ensemble is most efficiently analyzed by clustering the conformations by pairwise RMSD. Grouping conformations by clustering offers a suitable mechanism to identify the recurrence and transition probabilities during the time course of simulation.²⁶ Geometrical linkage clustering collects conformations, which are structurally similar and lie in the same energy basin of the free energy surface.

Visually, cluster analysis shows a stepwise transition away from the initial docked complex as the simulation progresses. The stepwise changes in this transition are easily tracked by changes in the inter-monomer (Figure 2) and fiber-monomer contacts (Figure S1-S3). Early points can be clustered into 5-8 closely related groups containing near-identical structures. A transition away from the initial unbound structure begins to take place within the first 100 ns. The first event is the sudden hydrophobic collapse of the protein around 50 ns, which is reflected by a decrease in both the radius of gyration (Figure S4A) and the solvent accessible area (Figure S4B). At the same time, the binding of the monomer and conformational change within a monomer, drive a corresponding structural change in the fiber. As the monomer compacts on itself, the leading C-terminal β -strand loses contact with the monomer and becomes exposed (Figures S1). The loss of the leading C-terminal β -strand drives a structural transition as the monomer and fiber change conformation to adapt themselves to each other (Figures S5). Interestingly, there is similar movement on the opposite side of the fiber. Similar to previous MD results by Okumura and Itoh,²⁷ the loop connecting the C- and N-terminal β -strands at the opposite end begins to coil against itself within the first 100 ns (Figures S1-S3) mirroring the coil found between the monomer and fiber at the other end that forms as the simulation progresses (Figure 1D).

After the initial hydrophobic collapse, more contacts start to develop between the hydrophobic residues in the N- and C-terminal regions and the CHC region of the monomer, driving a structural transition to a more collapsed conformation that becomes more prominent as time goes on. During this transition, a turn forms between D23 and S26 and another between H13 and L17. Simultaneously, the N-terminus disengages from the CHC at around 500 ns. This movement is guided by a corresponding movement of the leading C-terminal β -strand to cover

the part of the surface of the monomer not in contact with the fiber. The effect of these two transitions is to form a U-shaped like structure that differs from a normal anti-parallel β -hairpin by the intercalation of some of the chains between the strands. This conformation bears a slight resemblance to the repeating steric zipper found in the amyloid structure, with the exception that the secondary structure is more distorted than a canonical β -sheet and the loop connecting the β -sheets is much shorter than in the amyloid fiber. The orientation of the monomer is also inconsistent with the final “locked” conformation with the hairpin oriented nearly perpendicular to the fiber edge. Linkage cluster analysis^{28, 29} indicates this specific cluster grows at the expense of others as time progresses, eventually dominating the smaller, more structurally diverse clusters found in the 100 ns time frame (Figures S6-S7). This specific family of U-shaped structures therefore represents a distinct intermediate through which multiple conformations converge.

The system continues to evolve before eventually reaching stability around the 900 ns mark. The most prominent change in the monomer is at the C-terminal end, which bends against itself near the 900 ns mark to form another hairpin structure. This movement is matched by a corresponding movement of the leading C-terminal β -strand of the fiber into contact with the C-terminus of the monomer. Once this occurs, the system stabilizes with time. At 900 ns, the higher order clusters (4-5 groups) are of comparable size to the previous cluster and no significant shift occurs in the range of 900 ns to 1 μ s time scale, suggesting near-convergence (Figure S7), at least within the limited time frame of the simulation.

Transient metastable states found over the free energy landscape

Individual conformations are limited in their ability to describe a complex, dynamic system such as A β fiber binding. The analysis of the structure of cluster centers suggests the conformational transition from the bound to unbound structure is primarily driven by hydrophobic collapse. Making this assumption, energy landscapes are constructed using the radius of gyration, R_g , as one collective variable and the RMSD from the initial docked structure as the second variable to probe the conformational space within the binding process (Figure 3). The validity of an energy landscape constructed as in this manner is supported by a scree plot, which shows that 81% of the collective variance in RMSD can be explained by the first two principal components (Figure

S8). To highlight the kinetic aspect of the transition, we can partition into the energy landscape into the three time regimes that were previously identified in Figure 2.

The energy landscape (Figure 3A) from the first partition has several distinct energetic minima, a broad basin with an energy of -17.6 kJ/mol closely resembling the starting structure and several sharp minima with a slightly lower free energy that correspond to more compact structures with an alternate hydrogen bonding structure. In these alternate conformations (Figure 3A left), the 3_{10} helix of the initial structure unwinds slightly and the hydrogen bond between Asp23 and Ser26, which defines the loop that terminates the 3_{10} helix, is lost. The N-terminus also moves away from the CHC and instead folds against the N-terminal part of the 3_{10} helix. Outside the broad basin near the central minimum, the overall surface is rough, with high-energy barriers between metastable states.

In contrast to the rough energy landscape found in the initial phases of the simulation, the energy landscape from the 100-500 ns time is smooth with two deep but broad minima separated by a low energy barrier, one dominated by a series of bend structures (Figure 3B right) and another dominated by the hydrophobic collapse of the C-terminus against the CHC (Figure 3B left). A conformational change in the loop region from V24-I32 converts either of these structures into the minimum energy conformations found between 500-1000 ns. The conformational change is driven by a change in the hydrogen-bonding network of the polar side chain of Asn27.²² In the earlier structures, the Asn27 side chain is hydrogen bonded to the adjacent backbone residues. After the appearance of dominant cluster at ~500 ns (as discussed in Figure S7), the side chain moves in between the two strands of the loop, creating a hydrogen bond network between the backbone amides of Asn27 and Phe19; and Glu22 on one strand of the loop and Gly29 on the other. The side chain of Asn27 therefore appears to act as a switch to converting one conformation to another. Importantly, the hinge region at Gly25-Asn27 is well-maintained in all the structural ensembles, which has been reported as key conformational change promoting aggregation in several previous reports.^{30,31} Turns and bending within the regions Glu7-Gly9, His13-Gln15, and Val24-Asp27 also correlate well with earlier findings.^{32,33,34,35} The transition to final conformation is largely mediated by a change on the fiber side. The hydrophobic Val24-Ile31 loop, which had previously become disordered with the loss of the final β -strand, at around 700 ns forms a structured loop that packs against the end of the pseudo-hairpin of the monomer. The final state is at the bottom of a broad energetic

minimum that represents a large ensemble of closely related structures, reflecting the fact that convergence has been reached.

Validation of the converged structure with STD-NMR

The accuracy of the docked model was checked by STD NMR, which detects transient contacts between the A β ₁₋₄₀ monomer and high molecular weight species by spin diffusion (Figure 1). The interpretation of the spectrum was complicated by line broadening from field inhomogeneity caused by the large fiber particles resulting in severe signal overlap. Due to the degree of signal overlap, peaks could not be uniquely assigned and a quantitative analysis is impossible. Nevertheless, even with the overlap, a qualitative estimation of the relative strengths of interactions among different types of residues could be made.

The strongest STD signal arises from the terminal protons from branched aliphatic residues: γ protons from Val12 and Val18, δ protons from Leu17 and Leu34, suggesting that these hydrophobic residues are most frequently in contact with the fiber and likely contribute most of the bulk of the binding energy (Figure 1B). The aromatic rings of the Phe19 and Phe20 residues also make frequent contacts with the fiber surface. Both findings are reflected in the contact map of the MD simulation (Figure S6), at least on a qualitative level. Specifically, F20 makes multiple contacts with the fiber. The terminal δ and γ of Ile31 and Ile32 and the β protons of Ala21 and Ala30 show a somewhat weaker STD signal. The STD effect is noticeably weaker in the H α region 4.0-4.5 ppm. The lack of an STD signal in the H α region indicates most of the contacts of the fiber are to the side chain of the A β ₄₀ monomer and not the backbone (Figure 1C and 1D), in agreement with previous CPMG data.³⁶

Estimates of Markov States

Based on the conformational analysis of the trajectory, convergence is apparently achieved at ~900 ns from a transition from a metastable state occurring at around 400 ns. The apparent convergence does not mean that the system is static merely that the energy landscape is no longer changing on the nanosecond to microsecond time-scale. Complex biological systems often have multiple energy wells separated by high barriers that are inaccessible to molecular dynamics simulations with conventional sampling. The transition from the initial docked state to the final

locked conformation takes place on a time-scale of tens of seconds, implying a series of rare events are evolved that are not sampled with a 1 μ s molecular dynamics simulation.

To further explore the conformational space at convergence, we first performed accelerated molecular dynamics (aMD) to starting from ten conformations taken from snapshots at equal intervals within the 400 to 900 ns time segment. This method is an enhanced sampling technique that reduces the energy barrier that separate the states in the conformational hyperspace to favor transitions between energy minima.^{37,38} A dual boosting scheme using the entire potential and a separate boosting term to the torsional energy terms of the peptide specifically was employed to accelerate both large scale movements of the protein and solvent (Table 1).

Table 1: The details of the aMD parameters used in the simulation. These values are obtained from a preparatory 10 ns classical MD for each of the system.

aMD Systems	Total number of atoms	Average Potential Energy (kJ/mol)	Dihedral Energy (kJ/mol)	EthreshP	alphaP	EthreshD	alphaD
1	28855	-85817.751	2273.009	-81201.0	4616.8	3201.009	185.6
2	26104	-77101.553	2249.983	-72924.9	4176.64	3177.983	185.6
3	27271	-80831.570	2246.226	-76468.2	4363.36	3174.226	185.6
4	27661	-82075.817	2255.547	-77650.1	4425.76	3183.547	185.6
5	31570	-94518.129	2248.865	-89466.9	5051.20	3176.865	185.6
6	29566	-88147.302	2234.292	-83416.7	4730.56	3162.292	185.6
7	27388	-81218.981	2250.990	-76836.9	4382.08	3178.990	185.6
8	26767	-79192.512	2251.883	-74909.8	4282.72	3179.883	185.6
9	26707	-79013.412	2252.871	-74740.3	4273.12	3180.871	185.6
10	26611	-76072.783	2257.088	-71815.0	4257.76	3185.088	185.6

A network model mapping the transitions between states can be constructed from these 10 short trajectories. A meaningful network model can only be created if the many conformations from the simulations are first clustered into a small number of states. Directly using atomic distances as variables for clustering produces a sparsely populated high dimensional space that leads to poorly defined clusters. The dimensionality of this space can be reduced by using principal component analysis but clustering based distances can give improper weight to the large amplitude fluctuations typical of unstructured regions, which are largely irrelevant for distinguishing between important conformational states.

An alternative is to consider the kinetics associated with each state, grouping conformations that undergo similar large-scale motions rather than similar conformations by the RMSD definition. Kinetic variables that serve as a reaction coordinates can be defined based on the eigenvalue decomposition of a time lagged correlation matrix (time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA)). The time lag defines the time-scale of motion; shorter time lags correspond to high frequency motions while longer time scales correspond to more rare collective events. A plot of lag time versus the implied relaxation time scale suggests a time-lag of 3 ns, adequately models the slow collective motions in the sample (Figure S8). A Hidden Markov Model network can then be constructed after clustering the states based on the kinetic variables identified by TICA.

Network transition pathway analysis

The five macrostates generated by fuzzy clustering are easily identifiable as basins on an energy map (Figure 4) that can explain 85% of the kinetic variance (Figure 5 right). State 0 is a collapsed coil conformation with a pseudo hairpin between that closely resembles the final, converged conformation obtained from cluster analysis (Figure 2H). States 1 and 2 are both anti-parallel β -sheet hairpins with a short β -strand from Y10-V12. The two states are differentiated by the other strand in the β -hairpins pair: in State 1 the Y10-V12 β -sheet is paired with E3-H6 near the N-terminus while State 2 is paired with a C-terminal strand from L34-V36. This is a different conformation than the anti-parallel β -sheets found in D23N mutants of A β where the C-terminal β -sheet is paired with another C-terminal β -sheet reflected about the fiber axis.³⁹ State 3 is a more collapsed version of state 0 generated by the wrapping of the C-terminus against the CHC is stabilized by van der Waals and electrostatic forces.¹⁸ State 4 is a mostly unfolded extended conformation characterized by bends and devoid of other secondary structure. State 4 is also closest to the amyloid conformation, as it can be converted into the fiber conformations by several crankshaft motions and the straightening out of the β -bends into two regular β -sheets. The remaining conformations require the breaking of secondary structure and tertiary contacts.

A network transition pathway between the states can be constructed using the Hidden Markov Model. State 0 is near the minima of the energy landscape at the end of the simulation and can be thought of as the starting state of the model. From this starting state, the transition flux is outward, primarily to states 1 and 2, with some flux towards state 3. States 1 and 2

interconvert with each other but transitions to other species are rare. State 3 serves as an intermediate between State 0 and States 1 and 2 with most of the flux coming inward from State 0 and outward to States 1 and 2. State 4 is essentially a rare off-pathway intermediate along the transition from State 3 to State 1. The barrier between states is relatively low, averaging around ~2-3 kT (1.2-1.8 kcal/mol). Overall, the model suggests a transition after binding from a partial helical conformation to a series of collapsed coil structures into beta-sheet hairpins that interconvert on a slower time-scale.

Discussion

The transition of the monomer from the initial bound state to the final metastable intermediate appears to be the consequence of five distinct sequential events: 1) Unfolding of the 3_{10} helix on the surface of the fiber. 2) Hydrophobic collapse and compaction following the expulsion of water from the interior. 3) Movement of the leading C-terminal β -strand of the fiber away from the main body of the fiber and into contact with the monomer. 4) A concerted movement within the monomer involving disengagement of the N-terminus away from the central hydrophobic cluster and the creation of two hydrogen bonded turns to form a distorted hairpin structure stabilized by the interaction of sidechains of the β -strands against each other rather than by hydrogen bonds between strands (Figures 2H, 3D, and state 0 in Figure 5). 5) Packing of the leading loop of the pseudo hairpin against the monomer surface to generate the first metastable state. 6) Further evolution to form two interconverting β -hairpin stabilized by hydrogen bonds (Figure 5 - States 1 and 2). Overall, the transition can be divided into two distinct steps: a fast internal unfolding and compaction of the monomer and slower rearrangement driven by changes in the fiber conformation.

The final product of the simulation is a network model of the conformational rearrangements occurring after the unfolding of the monomer on the surface of the fiber. Two anti-parallel β -sheet conformations dominate the conformational landscape. Neither conformation is compatible with the conformation of the amyloid fiber, implying a further conformational transition is needed for fiber extension. On the basis of surface plasmon resonance experiments, this step occurs in a series of events predicted to occur on the seconds time-scale,⁴⁰ beyond on the time-scale of our simulation experiments. The conformational states in the network model therefore likely correspond to the initial “docked” states in the dock-lock hypothesis. Most of the

conformations are incompatible with incorporation into the fiber and are kinetic traps that must be overcome for fiber extension. One relatively rare state (Figure 5 - state 4) can likely be converted into the amyloid conformation by a series of crankshaft motions and may represent a possible pathway out of the kinetically trapped docked conformations and into a growth competent conformation.

Any simulation necessarily requires a choice between conformational sampling and physical accuracy. To reduce the degrees of freedom to a tractable number and enable specific water and interactions, we started the simulation from a docked complex using an experimental structure as the initial basis for the monomer conformation. The accuracy of the simulation therefore depends on the plausibility of the initial model. The defining characteristic of our initial starting structure is the 3_{10} helix in the central hydrophobic core of the monomer. Helical structures have been observed as both elements of the conformational ensemble of the $A\beta_{1-40}$ / $A\beta_{1-42}$ monomer⁴¹⁻⁴⁴ and as discrete oligomeric intermediates along the aggregation pathway.⁴⁵⁻⁵⁰ Although the exact details of the surface induced unfolding process will likely vary for different initial starting conformations, the basic elements of the conformational transition; namely the loss of non- β -sheet secondary structure, the compaction of the monomer following expulsion of water from the interior, and the envelopment of the exposed hydrophobic elements in the monomer by the frayed ends of the fiber, are likely conserved. The simulation here may serve as a model for the binding of other non- β -sheet conformations to amyloid fibers, both as a step in fiber elongation and in the entrapment of other non-amyloid proteins at the fiber ends,^{51, 52} which may play a vital role in amyloid cytotoxicity then by preventing them from carrying out their biological roles.^{53, 54}

Materials and Methods

All the simulations were carried out using the Amber14 suite with the ff99SB-ILDN¹ force-field on a GPU workstation having a C600/X series Tesla card. Initial coordinates for the molecular modelling study were collected from the Protein Data Bank, accession codes 2LFM and 2M4J, for the partial helical¹⁷ and amyloid fiber structures⁵⁵ of $A\beta_{1-40}$ (amino-acid sequence DAEFRHDSGYEVHHQKLVFFAEDVGSNKGAIIGLMVGGVV), respectively. The initial docked conformation of monomeric $A\beta_{1-40}$ complexed with amyloid fiber was constructed using the Z-dock server,⁵⁶ which uses a rigid body protein-protein docking algorithm based on the Fast Fourier Transform correlation technique to explore the translational and rotational space about

the complex. The selection of docked poses within clusters are based on the pairwise-shape complementarity and energies of atomic contact, desolvation, and electrostatic parameters.⁵⁶ The final selection of docked complex in this work is based on the visual inspection as well as the low Z-Rank-score.⁵⁷

Classical MD simulation - We performed an unbiased simulation procedure within the uniform density approximation with application of periodic boundary conditions (PBC) in the three-dimensional space. The simulation began with solvation of the complex with TIP3P water models in a truncated octahedron water-box with an edge-length of 10 Å from edge solute atom.⁵⁸ Neutralization of the solvent system was achieved by addition 7 Na⁺ counter ions added using a Monte-Carlo simulation method.⁵⁹ 10,717 water molecules were added for a total of 35,620 atoms. The length of the periodic box is 8 Å from the edge atoms of the docked complex. The PME method with cubic β -spline interpolation and a grid spacing of 1 Å was used for calculation of the electrostatic interactions.⁶⁰ For the computation of the Lennard-Jones potential and Coulomb interactions, the non-bonded cut-off was fixed at 1.0 nm. The SHAKE algorithm was used for re-ordering the hydrogen bond length during simulation with an integration time step of 2 fs and a relative tolerance value of 10^{-5} Å.⁹

Energy minimization was first performed to relax the water molecules, holding the protein and ions of the system constant, for 2000 steps using the steepest descent algorithm. Following this, the protein system was relaxed, restraining the water molecules for equivalent steps. Finally, the entire system was minimized with 2000 steps using the steepest descent algorithm, followed by conjugate gradient minimization until the RMS fluctuations and gradient were stable. The system was then heated to bring the temperature to 300 K using Langevin dynamics.⁶¹ During the uniform density approximation, constant pressure dynamics were performed with isotropic position scaling using the Berendsen barostat to maintain correct density.⁶² The temperature in the production run was controlled using the canonical (constant T) ensemble. The total production run was 1000 ns (1 μ s). The analysis of trajectory frames was performed using tools MMTSB for cluster analysis,¹² PCAsuite for principal component analysis (<http://mmb.pcb.ub.edu/software/pcasuite/>), cpptraj¹³, Bio3d,¹⁴ VMD for visualization and timeline analysis,¹⁵ and various GROMACS modules⁶³.

Accelerated MD simulation (aMD) – Ten conformations taken from snapshots at equal

intervals within the 400 to 900 ns time segment were subjected to a 10 ns classical MD simulation to obtain the parameters for a 50ns accelerated MD simulation to improve sampling by decreasing the energy barrier between high-energy states.³⁸ A dual boosting system³⁷ was used using the dihedral potential and the total potential of the system as independent boosting energies defined according to

$$V^*(r) = V(r) + \Delta V(r) \quad \text{Equation (1)}$$

$V^*(r)$ in equation 1 refers to the modified potential and $\Delta V(r)$ refers to the boost potential. The boost potential was defined by equation 2.⁶⁴

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta V(r) &= 0 & V(r) &\geq E \\ &= \frac{(E-V(r))^2}{\alpha+E-V(r)} & V(r) &< E \end{aligned} \quad \text{Equation (2)}$$

where E refers to the threshold energy, which governs the potential surface affected by the boost, whereas α is the acceleration factor determining the shape of the modified potential. Values of E and α are calculated from number of atoms and the total energy from a 10 ns preparatory simulation and can be found in Table 1.

Trajectory Analysis - The Hidden Markov State Models (HMSM)²⁹ were constructed using PyEMMA 2.4⁶⁵ and the Jupyter notebook.⁶⁶ based on the cosine values of the backbone torsion angles (ϕ Φ and ψ Ψ), and the distances between the $C\alpha$ atoms of Leu17, Val18, Gly29, and Val36 as discretization features. This distance criteria was used to include the interaction of LVFF region with the C-ter residues that have active role in governing the amyloid-beta conformations.²⁰ These distances were converted into kinetic variables using time-lagged independent component analysis (TICA).⁶⁷ The *k-means* clustering algorithm⁶⁸ was further used for categorizing the conformation into 200 microstates. The PCCA+ algorithm was finally used to cluster the kinetically relevant microstates into 5 final macrostates (or statistically relevant meta-stable states).⁶⁹

The transition process can only be considered Markovian if the probability for future states,⁷⁰ can be predicted for present state according to Equation 3.

$$P(n\tau) = [T(n\tau)]^n P(0) \quad \text{Equation (3)}$$

In which $\mathbf{P}$ is the vector of the state populations, τ is the lag time, and $\mathbf{T}$ is transition probability

matrix, of the model. The Chapman-Kolmogorov test⁷¹ was used to validate the Markovian (Equation 5).

$$T(n\tau) \approx T(\tau)^n \quad \text{Equation (4)}$$

Where, $T(\tau)$ is an estimate of transition matrix with reference to the lag time τ and n is the number of integration steps. The results of the test are shown in Figure S9. This test is performed with the help of *cktest* script.⁶⁵ The free energy is constructed with Equation 5:

$$F(x) = -\log \pi_i(x)/kT \quad \text{Equation (5)}$$

In Equation 5, π_i refers to the stationary probability of state x estimated with reference to the first eigenvector of the HMSM transition matrix.

Saturation Transfer Difference (STD) NMR spectroscopy - Saturation transfer difference (STD) NMR experiments were performed on a Bruker AVANCE III 500 MHz NMR spectrometer with a 5 mm SMART probe at 288 K. Unlabelled A β_{1-40} was purchased from Genscript USA, Inc. To remove preformed aggregates, the peptide was dissolved in 1 % ammonium hydroxide at 1 mg/ml followed by the removal of the solvent by lyophilization. After lyophilization, 0.1 mg of the peptide was first dissolved in 270 μ L of 1 mM NaOH in 10% D₂O at 4°C and sonicated for 15 min. 30 μ L of 200 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.2) was added to bring the final concentration to 78 μ M in 20 mM sodium phosphate (pH 7.2). The sample solution was finally brought to room temperature and filtered through a 0.22 μ m filter immediately before the start of each experiment. For preparation of the A β_{1-40} fibers, 0.1 mg of the lyophilized peptide was solubilized in a 10 mM sodium phosphate buffer (pH 7.4) solution at a concentration of 160 μ M and incubated for 48 hours at 37 °C under agitation at 1000 rpm. ~1 μ M mature cross- β fibril was added to 78 μ M of the monomeric A β_{1-40} for the STD experiment.

Acknowledgements

This research was partly supported by Institutional fund (Plan Project-II to A.B.), and partly by Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR), Govt. of India (02(0292)/17/EMR-II) (to AB).

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interests.

References

- [1] Oosawa, F., and Asakura, S. 1975. *Thermodynamics of the polymerization of protein*, Academic Press, London; New York.
- [2] Esler, W. P., Felix, A. M., Stimson, E. R., Lachenmann, M. J., Ghilardi, J. R., Lu, Y. A., Vinters, H. V., Mantyh, P. W., Lee, J. P., and Maggio, J. E. 2000. Activation barriers to structural transition determine deposition rates of Alzheimer's disease A beta amyloid. *J. Struct. Biol.* 130:174-183.
- [3] Esler, W. P., Stimson, E. R., Jennings, J. M., Vinters, H. V., Ghilardi, J. R., Lee, J. P., Mantyh, P. W., and Maggio, J. E. 2000. Alzheimer's disease amyloid propagation by a template-dependent dock-lock mechanism. *Biochemistry* 39:6288-6295.
- [4] Massi, F., and Straub, J. E. 2001. Energy landscape theory for Alzheimer's amyloid beta-peptide fibril elongation. *Proteins*. 42:217-229.
- [5] Kellermayer, M. S. Z., Karsai, A., Benke, M., Soos, K., and Penke, B. (2008) Stepwise dynamics of epitaxially growing single amyloid fibrils, *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 105, 141-144.
- [6] Wordehoff, M. M., Bannach, O., Shaykhalishahi, H., Kulawik, A., Schiefer, S., Willbold, D., Hoyer, W., and Birkmann, E. (2015) Single Fibril Growth Kinetics of alpha-Synuclein, *J Mol Biol* 427, 1428-1435.
- [7] Ferkinghoff-Borg, J., Fonslet, J., Andersen, C. B., Krishna, S., Pigolotti, S., Yagi, H., Goto, Y., Otzen, D., and Jensen, M. H. (2010) Stop-and-go kinetics in amyloid fibrillation, *Phys Rev E* 82.
- [8] Patil, S. M., Mehta, A., Jha, S., and Alexandrescu, A. T. (2011) Heterogeneous amylin fibril growth mechanisms imaged by total internal reflection fluorescence microscopy, *Biochemistry-Us* 50, 2808-2819.
- [9] Lee, C. F., Loken, J., Jean, L., and Vaux, D. J. (2009) Elongation dynamics of amyloid fibrils: A rugged energy landscape picture, *Phys Rev E* 80.
- [10] Nguyen, P. H., Li, M. S., Stock, G., Straub, J. E., and Thirumalai, D. (2007) Monomer adds to preformed structured oligomers of A beta-peptides by a two-stage dock-lock mechanism, *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 104, 111-116.
- [11] O'Brien, E. P., Okamoto, Y., Straub, J. E., Brooks, B. R., and Thirumalai, D. (2009) Thermodynamic Perspective on the Dock-Lock Growth Mechanism of Amyloid Fibrils, *J Phys Chem B* 113, 14421-14430.
- [12] Straub, J. E., and Thirumalai, D. (2010) Principles governing oligomer formation in amyloidogenic peptides, *Current Opinion in Structural Biology* 20, 187-195.
- [13] Straub, J. E., and Thirumalai, D. (2011) Toward a Molecular Theory of Early and Late Events in Monomer to Amyloid Fibril Formation, *Annual Review of Physical Chemistry, Vol 62* 62, 437-463.
- [14] Schor, M., Mey, A. S. J. S., Noe, F., and MacPhee, C. E. (2015) Shedding Light on the Dock-Lock Mechanism in Amyloid Fibril Growth Using Markov State Models, *J Phys Chem Lett* 6, 1076-1081.
- [15] Gurry, T., and Stultz, C. M. (2014) Mechanism of amyloid-beta fibril elongation, *Biochemistry-Us* 53, 6981-6991.
- [16] Schwierz, N., Frost, C. V., Geissler, P. L., and Zacharias, M. (2016) Dynamics of Seeded Aβ40-Fibril Growth from Atomistic Molecular Dynamics Simulations: Kinetic Trapping and Reduced Water Mobility in the Locking Step, *J Am Chem Soc* 138, 527-539.
- [17] Vivekanandan, S., Brender, J. R., Lee, S. Y., and Ramamoorthy, A. (2011) A partially folded structure of amyloid-beta(1-40) in an aqueous environment, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 411, 312-316.

- [18] Zhang, S., Iwata, K., Lachenmann, M. J., Peng, J. W., Li, S., Stimson, E. R., Lu, Y., Felix, A. M., Maggio, J. E., and Lee, J. P. (2000) The Alzheimer's peptide α beta adopts a collapsed coil structure in water, *J Struct Biol* 130, 130-141.
- [19] Lim, K. H., Collver, H. H., Le, Y. T., Nagchowdhuri, P., and Kenney, J. M. (2007) Characterizations of distinct amyloidogenic conformations of the A β (1-40) and (1-42) peptides, *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 353, 443-449.
- [20] Ikebe, J., Kamiya, N., Ito, J., Shindo, H., and Higo, J. (2007) Simulation study on the disordered state of an Alzheimer's beta amyloid peptide A β (12-36) in water consisting of random-structural, beta-structural, and helical clusters, *Protein Sci* 16, 1596-1608.
- [21] Jarvet, J., Damberg, P., Danielsson, J., Johansson, I., Eriksson, L. E., and Gräslund, A. (2003) A left-handed 3(1) helical conformation in the Alzheimer A β (12-28) peptide, *FEBS Lett* 555, 371-374.
- [22] Zheng, W., Tsai, M. Y., Chen, M., and Wolynes, P. G. (2016) Exploring the aggregation free energy landscape of the amyloid- β protein (1-40), *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 113, 11835-11840.
- [23] Hou, L., Shao, H., Zhang, Y., Li, H., Menon, N. K., Neuhaus, E. B., Brewer, J. M., Byeon, I. J., Ray, D. G., Vitek, M. P., Iwashita, T., Makula, R. A., Przybyla, A. B., and Zagorski, M. G. (2004) Solution NMR studies of the A β (1-40) and A β (1-42) peptides establish that the Met35 oxidation state affects the mechanism of amyloid formation, *J Am Chem Soc* 126, 1992-2005.
- [24] Tomaselli, S., Esposito, V., Vangone, P., van Nuland, N. A., Bonvin, A. M., Guerrini, R., Tancredi, T., Temussi, P. A., and Picone, D. (2006) The α -to- β conformational transition of Alzheimer's A β (1-42) peptide in aqueous media is reversible: a step by step conformational analysis suggests the location of beta conformation seeding, *Chembiochem* 7, 257-267.
- [25] Petkova, A. T., Yau, W. M., and Tycko, R. (2006) Experimental constraints on quaternary structure in Alzheimer's beta-amyloid fibrils, *Biochemistry-US* 45, 498-512.
- [26] Melvin, R. L., Godwin, R. C., Xiao, J., Thompson, W. G., Berenhaut, K. S., and Salsbury, F. R. (2016) Uncovering Large-Scale Conformational Change in Molecular Dynamics without Prior Knowledge, *J Chem Theory Comput* 12, 6130-6146.
- [27] Okumura, H., and Itoh, S. G. (2016) Structural and fluctuational difference between two ends of A β amyloid fibril: MD simulations predict only one end has open conformations, *Sci Rep-Uk* 6.
- [28] Phillips, J. L., Colvin, M. E., and Newsam, S. (2011) Validating clustering of molecular dynamics simulations using polymer models, *BMC Bioinformatics* 12, 445.
- [29] Pande, V. S., Beauchamp, K., and Bowman, G. R. (2010) Everything you wanted to know about Markov State Models but were afraid to ask, *Methods* 52, 99-105.
- [30] George, A. R., and Howlett, D. R. (1999) Computationally derived structural models of the beta-amyloid found in Alzheimer's disease plaques and the interaction with possible aggregation inhibitors, *Biopolymers* 50, 733-741.
- [31] Petkova, A. T., Ishii, Y., Balbach, J. J., Antzutkin, O. N., Leapman, R. D., Delaglio, F., and Tycko, R. (2002) A structural model for Alzheimer's beta -amyloid fibrils based on experimental constraints from solid state NMR, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99, 16742-16747.
- [32] Lee, J. P., Stimson, E. R., Ghilardi, J. R., Mantyh, P. W., Lu, Y. A., Felix, A. M., Llanos, W., Behbin, A., Cummings, M., and Van Crielinge, M. (1995) ¹H NMR of A β amyloid peptide congeners in water solution. Conformational changes correlate with plaque competence, *Biochemistry-US* 34, 5191-5200.
- [33] Ball, K. A., Phillips, A. H., Wemmer, D. E., and Head-Gordon, T. (2013) Differences in β -strand populations of monomeric A β 40 and A β 42, *Biophys J* 104, 2714-2724.
- [34] Söldner, C. A., Sticht, H., and Horn, A. H. C. (2017) Role of the N-terminus for the stability of an amyloid- β fibril with three-fold symmetry, *PLoS One* 12, e0186347.
- [35] Scheidt, H. A., Morgado, I., Rothmund, S., and Huster, D. (2012) Dynamics of amyloid β fibrils revealed by solid-state NMR, *J Biol Chem* 287, 2017-2021.

- [36] Krishnamoorthy, J., Brender, J. R., Vivekanandan, S., Jahr, N., and Ramamoorthy, A. (2012) Side-chain dynamics reveals transient association of A β (1-40) monomers with amyloid fibers, *J Phys Chem B* 116, 13618-13623.
- [37] Hamelberg, D., de Oliveira, C. A., and McCammon, J. A. (2007) Sampling of slow diffusive conformational transitions with accelerated molecular dynamics, *J Chem Phys* 127, 155102.
- [38] Miao, Y., Sinko, W., Pierce, L., Bucher, D., Walker, R. C., and McCammon, J. A. (2014) Improved Reweighting of Accelerated Molecular Dynamics Simulations for Free Energy Calculation, *J Chem Theory Comput* 10, 2677-2689.
- [39] Qiang, W., Yau, W. M., Luo, Y., Mattson, M. P., and Tycko, R. (2012) Antiparallel β -sheet architecture in Iowa-mutant β -amyloid fibrils, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 109, 4443-4448.
- [40] Cannon, M. J., Williams, A. D., Wetzel, R., and Myszk, D. G. (2004) Kinetic analysis of beta-amyloid fibril elongation, *Anal Biochem* 328, 67-75.
- [41] Jarvet, J., Damberg, P., Danielsson, J., Johansson, I., Eriksson, L. E. G., and Graslund, A. (2003) A left-handed 3(1) helical conformation in the Alzheimer A beta(12-28) peptide, *Febs Letters* 555, 371-374.
- [42] Zheng, W. H., Tsai, M. Y., Chen, M. C., and Wolynes, P. G. (2016) Exploring the aggregation free energy landscape of the amyloid-beta protein (1-40), *P Natl Acad Sci USA* 113, 11835-11840.
- [43] Tomaselli, S., Esposito, V., Vangone, P., van Nuland, N. A. J., Bonvin, A. M. J. J., Guerrini, R., Tancredi, T., Temussi, P. A., and Picone, D. (2006) The alpha-to-beta conformational transition of Alzheimer's A beta-(1-42) peptide in aqueous media is reversible: A step by step conformational analysis suggests the location of beta conformation seeding, *Chembiochem* 7, 257-267.
- [44] Hou, L. M., Shao, H. Y., Zhang, Y. B., Li, H., Menon, N. K., Neuhaus, E. B., Brewer, J. M., Byeon, I. J. L., Ray, D. G., Vitek, M. P., Iwashita, T., Makula, R. A., Przybyla, A. B., and Zagorski, M. G. (2004) Solution NMR studies of the A beta(1-40) and A beta(1-42) peptides establish that the met35 oxidation state affects the mechanism of amyloid formation, *Journal of the American Chemical Society* 126, 1992-2005.
- [45] Misra, P., Kodali, R., Chemuru, S., Kar, K., and Wetzel, R. (2016) Rapid alpha-oligomer formation mediated by the Abeta C terminus initiates an amyloid assembly pathway, *Nat Commun* 7, 12419.
- [46] Kirkitadze, M. D., Condrón, M. M., and Teplow, D. B. (2001) Identification and characterization of key kinetic intermediates in amyloid beta-protein fibrillogenesis, *J Mol Biol* 312, 1103-1119.
- [47] Abedini, A., and Raleigh, D. P. (2009) A critical assessment of the role of helical intermediates in amyloid formation by natively unfolded proteins and polypeptides, *Protein Eng Des Sel* 22, 453-459.
- [48] Abedini, A., and Raleigh, D. P. (2009) A role for helical intermediates in amyloid formation by natively unfolded polypeptides?, *Phys Biol* 6, 015005.
- [49] Narayanan, S., and Reif, B. (2005) Characterization of chemical exchange between soluble and aggregated states of beta-amyloid by solution-state NMR upon variation of salt conditions, *Biochemistry* 44, 1444-1452.
- [50] Maji, S. K., Amsden, J. J., Rothschild, K. J., Condrón, M. M., and Teplow, D. B. (2005) Conformational dynamics of amyloid beta-protein assembly probed using intrinsic fluorescence, *Biochemistry* 44, 13365-13376.
- [51] Olzscha, H., Schermann, S. M., Woerner, A. C., Pinkert, S., Hecht, M. H., Tartaglia, G. G., Vendruscolo, M., Hayer-Hartl, M., Hartl, F. U., and Vabulas, R. M. (2011) Amyloid-like Aggregates Sequester Numerous Metastable Proteins with Essential Cellular Functions, *Cell* 144, 67-78.
- [52] Rahman, M. M., Zetterberg, H., Lendel, C., and Hard, T. (2015) Binding of human proteins to amyloid-beta protofibrils, *ACS Chem Biol* 10, 766-774.
- [53] Xue, W. F., Hellewell, A. L., Hewitt, E. W., and Radford, S. E. (2010) Fibril fragmentation in amyloid assembly and cytotoxicity When size matters, *Prion* 4, 20-25.

- [54] Xue, W. F., Hellewell, A. L., Gosal, W. S., Homans, S. W., Hewitt, E. W., and Radford, S. E. (2009) Fibril Fragmentation Enhances Amyloid Cytotoxicity, *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 284, 34272-34282.
- [55] Lu, J. X., Qiang, W., Yau, W. M., Schwieters, C. D., Meredith, S. C., and Tycko, R. (2013) Molecular structure of beta-amyloid fibrils in Alzheimer's disease brain tissue, *Cell* 154, 1257-1268.
- [56] Pierce, B. G., Wiehe, K., Hwang, H., Kim, B. H., Vreven, T., and Weng, Z. (2014) ZDOCK server: interactive docking prediction of protein-protein complexes and symmetric multimers, *Bioinformatics* 30, 1771-1773.
- [57] Pierce, B., and Weng, Z. (2007) ZRANK: reranking protein docking predictions with an optimized energy function, *Proteins* 67, 1078-1086.
- [58] Jorgensen, W. L., Chandrasekhar, J., Madura, J. D., Impey, R. W., and Klein, M. L. (1983) Comparison of Simple Potential Functions for Simulating Liquid Water, *Journal of Chemical Physics* 79, 926-935.
- [59] Ravishanker, G., Auffinger, P., Langley, D. R., Jayaram, B., Young, M. A., and Beveridge, D. L. (1997) Treatment of Counterions in Computer Simulations of DNA, In *Reviews in Computational Chemistry*.
- [60] Hardy, D. J., Wu, Z., Phillips, J. C., Stone, J. E., Skeel, R. D., and Schulten, K. (2015) Multilevel summation method for electrostatic force evaluation, *J Chem Theory Comput* 11, 766-779.
- [61] Wu, X. W., and Brooks, B. R. (2003) Self-guided Langevin dynamics simulation method, *Chem Phys Lett* 381, 512-518.
- [62] Salomon-Ferrer, R., Gotz, A. W., Poole, D., Le Grand, S., and Walker, R. C. (2013) Routine Microsecond Molecular Dynamics Simulations with AMBER on GPUs. 2. Explicit Solvent Particle Mesh Ewald, *Journal of Chemical Theory and Computation* 9, 3878-3888.
- [63] Pronk, S., Páll, S., Schulz, R., Larsson, P., Bjelkmar, P., Apostolov, R., Shirts, M. R., Smith, J. C., Kasson, P. M., van der Spoel, D., Hess, B., and Lindahl, E. (2013) GROMACS 4.5: a high-throughput and highly parallel open source molecular simulation toolkit, *Bioinformatics* 29, 845-854.
- [64] Hamelberg, D., Mongan, J., and McCammon, J. A. (2004) Accelerated molecular dynamics: a promising and efficient simulation method for biomolecules, *J Chem Phys* 120, 11919-11929.
- [65] Scherer, M. K., Trendelkamp-Schroer, B., Paul, F., Pérez-Hernández, G., Hoffmann, M., Plattner, N., Wehmeyer, C., Prinz, J. H., and Noé, F. (2015) PyEMMA 2: A Software Package for Estimation, Validation, and Analysis of Markov Models, *J Chem Theory Comput* 11, 5525-5542.
- [66] Michaud-Agrawal, N., Denning, E. J., Woolf, T. B., and Beckstein, O. (2011) MDAAnalysis: a toolkit for the analysis of molecular dynamics simulations, *J Comput Chem* 32, 2319-2327.
- [67] Naritomi, Y., and Fuchigami, S. (2011) Slow dynamics in protein fluctuations revealed by time-structure based independent component analysis: the case of domain motions, *J Chem Phys* 134, 065101.
- [68] Wolf, A., and Kirschner, K. N. (2013) Principal component and clustering analysis on molecular dynamics data of the ribosomal L11·23S subdomain, *J Mol Model* 19, 539-549.
- [69] Kube, S., and Weber, M. (2007) A coarse graining method for the identification of transition rates between molecular conformations, *J Chem Phys* 126, 024103.
- [70] Prinz, J. H., Keller, B., and Noé, F. (2011) Probing molecular kinetics with Markov models: metastable states, transition pathways and spectroscopic observables, *Phys Chem Chem Phys* 13, 16912-16927.
- [71] Noé, F., Schütte, C., Vanden-Eijnden, E., Reich, L., and Weikl, T. R. (2009) Constructing the equilibrium ensemble of folding pathways from short off-equilibrium simulations, *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106, 19011-19016.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: (A) The docking pose of $A\beta_{40}$ over the surface of the amyloid fibril. (B) ^1H STD-NMR spectrum of $A\beta_{40}$ reflecting the group epitope mapping of the monomer to the amyloid fibril. **Blue:** Reference ^1H spectra of $A\beta_{40}$ in the presence of amyloid fibril (molar ratio of $A\beta_{40}$ and amyloid fibril = 1:100). **Red:** STD-NMR spectra reflecting the resonance transfer to the binding epitope. (C) Comparison of the initial docked state to the STD-NMR results. The protons of $A\beta_{40}$ in close proximity to the amyloid fibril are represented by spheres. Proton color representation: Red-aromatic proton; Yellow-beta proton; Magenta-gamma proton; Orange-delta proton. (D) Docked state of $A\beta_{40}$ and amyloid fibril at the end of the simulation after 1 micro-sec MD simulation.

Figure 2: Inter-side-chain contact map (upper panel) for $A\beta_{40}$ conformations obtained from the MD simulation in presence of amyloid fiber. (A-D) Contact map from time frame (A) 0 ns to 100 ns (B) 100 ns to 500 ns (C) 500 ns to 900 ns (D) 900 ns to 1000 ns from cluster centroids. The distance cut-off ranges from 0 to 1.5 Å (red to blue contours). (E-H) Animation of sequential phenomenon dictating the dynamics of $A\beta_{40}$ conformations (lower panel). Sequential dynamics from (E) 0 ns to 100 ns. (F) 100 ns to 500 ns (G) 500 ns to 900 ns (H) 900 ns to 1000 ns. Sequential events are represented with red numbers and the direction of conformational change is shown with an arrow head. Key residues involved in formation of “turns” are shown in green color and residues involved in hydrophobic contact are shown in blue.

Figure 3: Energy landscape based on the Root-Mean-Squared Deviation (RMSD) and Radius of Gyration (Rg) as variables prepared from (A) 0 ns to 100 ns. (B) 100 ns to 500 ns (C) 500 ns to 900 ns. (D) 900 ns to 1000 ns. The Gibbs free energy cost associated with the minima structure is represented by the bar in kJ/mol. $A\beta_{40}$ conformations representing the minima are differentiated using * and ** symbols based on the energy cost. Residues involved in formation of hydrogen bonds are represented with cyan color and those involved in formation of hydrophobic patch are represented with yellow color.

Figure 4: (A) Free energy map of the Hidden Markov State Model plotted against tICA component 1 and 2. (B) Projection of the 200 microstates onto the free energy map. The microstates are lumped into 5 macrostates, which are colored according to their membership.

Figure 5: Network transition pathway analysis of the Hidden Markov State Model. The probability flux between the 5 macrostates is shown on the left, with the arrow size proportional to the probability of transition. Representative conformations of the 5 macrostates are shown on the right with key residues outlined with the CPK model.

Figure 1.

Figure 2.

Figure 3.

Figure 4.

Figure 5.

Supporting Information

Figure S1: Step-wise changes: Fiber-monomer contacts Analysis of the molecular fluctuations in the amyloid fiber in the presence of A β_{1-40} from 0 ns to 100 ns. The incoming A β_{1-40} monomer docked to the amyloid fiber is shown in pink color. The fragment to which A β_{1-40} is docked (near-fragment) is shown in yellow and the extreme end (far-fragment) is shown in orange. Significant changes in the secondary structure of near and far-fragment are shown with red highlights.

Figure S2: Analysis of the molecular fluctuations in the amyloid fibrillar structure in presence of $A\beta_{1-40}$ from 200 ns to 900 ns. The incoming $A\beta_{1-40}$ monomer docked to the amyloid fiber is shown in pink color. The fragment to which $A\beta_{1-40}$ is docked (near-fragment) is shown in yellow and the extreme end (far-fragment) is shown in orange. Significant changes in the secondary structure of near and far-fragment are shown with red highlights.

Figure S3: Analysis of the molecular fluctuations in the amyloid fibrillar structure in presence of A β ₁₋₄₀ from 900 ns to 1000 ns. The incoming A β ₁₋₄₀ monomer docked to the amyloid fiber is shown in pink color. The fragment to which A β ₁₋₄₀ is docked (near-fragment) is shown in yellow and the extreme end (far-fragment) is shown in orange. Significant changes in the secondary structure of near and far-fragment are shown with red highlights.

Figure S4: (A) Plot of the radius of gyration (R_g) of the monomer from the MD simulation. (B) Plot of the change in solvent accessible surface area as a function of time

Figure S5: Contact map analysis between the fiber and monomer of complexed $A\beta_{1-40}$ at (A) the start of the simulation (0 ns) and (B) end of the simulation (1000 ns). The plot was computed using Contact Map Analysis server (login.weizmann.ac.il/cma/).

Figure S6: Representative structure from the largest cluster which appears at ~500 ns. Residues that represent β -sheet secondary structure are shown in extreme right panel represented as sticks.

Figure S7: Cluster index based on pairwise RMSD plot for A β ₁₋₄₀ conformations, analysed for (A) 0 ns – 100 ns, (B) 500 ns, (C) 900 ns, (D) 1000 ns. The RMSD cut-off ranges from 0 to 1.21 Å (red to blue contours).

Figure S8: Implied relaxation time scale of the MSM constructed by the k -means algorithm constructed with 200 centers.

Figure S9: Results of the Chapman-Kolmogorov tests for the MSM at lag $\tau = 3$ ns.