Genomic and epidemiological monitoring of yellow fever virus transmission potential

Faria, N. R. ^{1¶*}, Kraemer, M. U. G. ^{1,2*}, Hill, S. C. ^{1*}, Goes de Jesus, J. ^{3*}, de Aguiar, R. S. ^{4*}, Iani, F. C. M. ^{5,6*}, Xavier, J. ³, Quick, J. ⁷, du Plessis, L. ¹, Dellicour, S. ⁹, Thézé, J. ¹, Carvalho, R. D. O. ⁸, Baele, G. ⁹, Wu, C.-H. ¹⁰, Silveira, P. P. ⁴, Arruda, M. B. ⁴, Pereira, M. A. ⁵, Pereira, G. C. ⁵, Lourenço, J. ¹, Obolski, U. ¹, Abade, L. ^{1,11}, Vasylyeva, T. I. ¹, Giovanetti, M. ³, Yi, D. ¹², Weiss, D.J. ¹³, Wint, G. R. W. ¹, Shearer, F. M. ¹³, Funk, S. ¹⁴, Nikolai, B. ^{15,16}, Adelino, T. E. R. ⁵, Oliveira, M. A. A. ⁵, Silva, M. V. F. ⁵, Sacchetto, L. ¹⁷, Figueiredo, P. O. ¹⁷, Rezende, I. M. ¹⁷, Mello, E. M. ¹⁷, Said, R. F. C. ¹⁸, Santos, D. A. ¹⁸, Ferraz, M. L. ¹⁸, Brito, M. G. ¹⁸, Santana, L. F. ¹⁸, Menezes, M. T. ¹⁹, Brindeiro, R. M. ¹⁹, Tanuri, A. ¹⁹, dos Santos, F. C. P. ²⁰, Cunha, M. S. ²⁰, Nogueira, J. S. ²⁰, Rocco, I., M. ²⁰, da Costa, A. C. ²¹, Komninakis, S. C. V. ²², Azevedo, V. ⁷, Chieppe, A. O. ²³, Araujo, E. S. M. ⁶, Mendonça, M. C. L. ⁶, dos Santos, C. C. ⁶, dos Santos, C. D. ⁶, Mares-Guia, A. M. ⁶, Nogueira, R. M. R. ⁶, Sequeira, P. C. ⁶, Abreu, R. G. ²⁴, Garcia, M. H. O. ²⁴, Alves, R. V. ²⁴, Abreu, A. L. ²⁵, Okumoto, O. ²⁵, Kroon, E. G. ⁸, de Albuquerque, C. F. C. ²⁶, Lewandowski, K. ²⁷, Pullan, S. T. ²⁷, Carroll, M. ²⁸, Sabino, E. C. ²¹, Souza, R. P. ²⁰, Suchard, M. A. ^{29,30}, Lemey, P. ⁹, Trindade, G. S. ⁸, Drumond, B. P. ⁸, Filippis, A. M. B. ⁶, Loman, N. J. ⁷, Cauchemez, S. ^{14,15*}, Alcantara, L. C. J. ^{3*¶}, Pybus, O. G. ^{1*¶}

1. Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, OX1 3PS, United Kingdom

2. Computational Epidemiology Lab, Boston Children's Hospital, Boston, USA & Harvard Medical School, Boston, USA

3. Laboratório de Flavivírus, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ Bahia, Salvador, Brazil

4. Laboratory of Molecular Virology, Departamento de Genética, Instituto de Biologia, Rio De Janeiro, Brazil

5. Laboratório Central de Saúde Pública, Instituto Octávio Magalhães, FUNED, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

6. Laboratório de Flavivírus, Instituto Oswaldo Cruz, FIOCRUZ, Rio de Janeiro - RJ, Brazil

7. Institute of Microbiology and Infection, University of Birmingham, Birmingham B15 2TT, United Kingdom

8. Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

9. Department of Microbiology and Immunology, Rega Institute, KU Leuven – University of Leuven, Herestraat 49, 3000 Leuven, Belgium

10. Department of Statistics, University of Oxford, Oxford OX1 3LB, United Kingdom

11. The Global Health Network, University of Oxford, United Kingdom

12. Department of Statistics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA

13. Malaria Atlas Project, Big Data Institute, Nuffield Department of Medicine, University of Oxford, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford OX3 7FY, UK

14. London School of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene, London, United Kingdom

15. Mathematical Modelling of Infectious Diseases and Center of Bioinformatics,

Biostatistics and Integrative Biology, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

16. CNRS UMR2000: Génomique évolutive, modélisation et santé, Institut Pasteur, Paris, France

17. Instituto de Ciências Biológicas, Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, MG, Brazil

18. Secretaria de Estad de Saúde de Minas Gerais, Belo Horizonte, Minas Gerais, Brazil

19. Laboratory of Medical Virology, Departamento de Genética Instituto de Biologia, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

20. Núcleo de Doenças de Transmissão Vetorial, Instituto Adolfo Lutz, São Paulo, Brazil

21. Instituto de Medicina Tropical e Faculdade de Medicina da Universidade de São Paulo, São Paulo, Brazil

22. Retrovirology Laboratory, Federal University of São Paulo, Brazil, School of Medicine of ABC (FMABC), Clinical Immunology Laboratory, Santo André, São Paulo, Brazil

23. Coordenação de Vigilância Epidemiológica do Estado do Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

24. Departamento de Vigilância das Doenças Transmissíveis da Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Ministério da Saúde, Brasília-DF, Brazil

25. Secretaria de Vigilância em Saúde, Coordenação Geral de Laboratórios de Saúde Pública, Ministério da Saúde, Brasília-DF, Brazil

- 26. Organização Pan Americana da Saúde OPAS/OMS, Brasília-DF, Brazil
- 27. Public Health England, National Infections Service, Porton Down, UK
- 28. NIHR HPRU in Emerging and Zoonotic Infections, Public Health England, United Kingdom

29. Department of Biostatistics, UCLA Fielding School of Public Health, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

30. Department of Biomathematics and Human Genetics, David Geffen School of Medicine at UCLA, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

[¶]Correspondence to:

Nuno Rodrigues Faria

Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, UK <u>nuno.faria@zoo.ox.ac.uk</u>

Luiz Carlos Junior Alcantara

Fundação Oswaldo Cruz (FIOCRUZ), Salvador, Bahia, Brazil lalcan@bahia.fiocruz.br

Oliver G. Pybus Department of Zoology, University of Oxford, UK <u>oliver.pybus@zoo.ox.ac.uk</u>

Abstract

The yellow fever virus (YFV) epidemic that began in Dec 2016 in Brazil is the largest in decades. The recent discovery of YFV in Brazilian *Aedes sp.* vectors highlights the urgent need to monitor the risk of re-establishment of domestic YFV transmission in the Americas. We use a suite of epidemiological, spatial and genomic approaches to characterize YFV transmission. We show that the age- and sex-distribution of human cases in Brazil is characteristic of sylvatic transmission. Analysis of YFV cases combined with genomes generated locally using a new protocol reveals an early phase of sylvatic YFV transmission restricted to Minas Gerais, followed in late 2016 by a rise in viral spillover to humans, and the southwards spatial expansion of the epidemic towards previously YFV-free areas. Our results establish a framework for monitoring YFV transmission in real-time, contributing to the global strategy of eliminating future yellow fever epidemics.

Yellow fever (YF) is an acute viral hemorrhagic disease responsible for 29000–60000 deaths annually in South America and Africa (1) and is the most severe mosquito-borne infection in the tropics (2). Despite the existence of a highly effective YF vaccine since 1937, an estimated >400 million unvaccinated people live in areas at risk of infection (3). Yellow fever virus (YFV) is a member of the *Flaviviridae* family and is classified into four genotypes: East African, West African, South American I, and South American II (4-8). YFV transmission occurs mainly via the so-called "sylvatic cycle", in which non-human primates (NHP) are infected by the bite of infected tree-dwelling mosquitoes, such as *Haemagogus* spp. and *Sabethes* spp. (9, 10). YFV transmission can also occur via a "domestic cycle", in which humans are infected by *Aedes* sp. mosquitoes that mostly feed on humans (11, 12).

Beginning in 2016, the Americas have experienced the highest number of YF human cases and epizootics for decades. Brazil accounts for nearly a quarter of YF cases in the Americas and has reported 1299 confirmed cases and 421 deaths from YF infection since Jul 2016. Notably, the last case of YF in Brazil attributed to a domestic cycle was in 1942. An intensive eradication campaign eliminated *Aedes aegypti* and YF from Brazil in the 1950s (*13*) but the vector became re-established in the 1970s and *Aedes spp.* mosquitoes are now abundant across most of Brazil (*14*). The consequences of a re-ignition of domestic cycle transmission in Brazil would be very serious, as an estimated 35 million people living in areas at risk for YFV outbreaks in Brazil remain unvaccinated against the disease (*3*). New surveillance and analytical approaches are therefore urgently needed to monitor this risk in real-time.

Yellow fever virus outbreak in Brazil, 2016–2017

The first human case of the current outbreak in Minas Gerais was confirmed in Dec 2016. Between then and the end of Jul 2017, there were 777 PCR-confirmed human cases across 10 states in Brazil, mostly in Minas Gerais (60% of cases), followed by Espírito Santo (32%), Rio de Janeiro (3%), and São Paulo (3%) (15). The fatality ratio of severe cases during this epidemic was estimated at 34.5%, comparable to previous outbreaks (16, 17). Despite the magnitude and rapid expansion of the outbreak, little is known about its genomic epidemiology. Further, it is uncertain how the virus is spreading through space, and between humans and NHPs, and analytical insights into the contribution of the domestic cycle to ongoing transmission are lacking.

To characterise the 2017 YFV outbreak in Brazil, we first compare the time series of confirmed cases in humans (n=683) and NHP (n=314) reported by public health institutes in Minas Gerais (MG), the epicentre of the outbreak (**Fig. 1A and B, fig. S1**). The time series are strongly associated (cross-correlation coefficient=0.97; p<0.001). Both peak in late January 2017 and human cases are estimated to lag those in NHP by only 4 days (**table S1**). NHP cases are geographically more dispersed in MG than human cases, which are more concentrated in Teófilo Otoni and Manhuaçu municipalities (**Fig. 1D and E**). Despite this, the number of human and NHP cases per municipality are positively correlated (**Fig. 1F**).

To establish whether human cases are acquired in proximity to potential sources of sylvatic infection, we estimate the distance between the municipality of residence of each human case and its nearest habitat of potential transmission, determined by using the enhanced vegetation index (EVI) (*18*) (**Materials and Methods**). The average minimum distance between the residence of confirmed human YFV cases and areas with EVI>0.4 is only 5.3km. In contrast, we estimate that a randomly chosen resident of MG lives, on average, at least 51km away from areas with EVI>0.4. Similarly, confirmed human YFV cases reside on average only 1.7km from the nearest NHP case, whereas the mean minimum distance of a randomly

chosen resident of MG to the nearest NHP case is 39.1km. This is consistent with the YF infection risk being greatest for people who reside or work in forested areas where sylvatic transmission occurs. Importantly, we find that most human cases (98.5%) were notified in municipalities with YFV vaccination coverage above the threshold of 80% recommended by WHO (*3*) that is sufficient to prevent and control outbreaks. On average, human YFV cases would need to travel 65km from their place of residence to reach an area where vaccination coverage is <80%.



Fig. 1. Spatial and temporal epidemiology of YFV in Minas Gerais, 2016-2017. (A) Time series of human YFV cases in Minas Gerais confirmed by serology, RT-qPCR, or virus isolation for the first YFV epidemic wave (Aug 2016 to Oct 2017). (B) Same as panel A, but for NHP YFV cases, confirmed by RT-qPCR only. (C) Same as panel A, but for human CHIKV cases. (D) Geographic distribution in Minas Gerais of human YFV cases. Fig. S2 shows the geographic distribution of CHIKV cases for the same time period. (F) Positive association between the number of human and NHP cases in each municipality of Minas Gerais (Pearson's r=0.62; p<0.0001; non-parametric Spearman's rank $\rho=0.32$; p<0.05). Teófilo Otoni and Manhuaçu are the municipalities with the highest number of human and NHP confirmed YFV cases, respectively.

Risk of YFV domestic transmission

YFV was recently detected in *Ae. albopictus* mosquitoes caught in MG in Jan 2017 (*19*). Further, experiments suggest that *Aedes* spp. mosquitoes from the neighbouring state of Goiânia can transmit Brazilian YFV, albeit less effectively than vectors from elsewhere in Brazil (*20*). It is therefore important to investigate whether YFV cases in MG occur where and when *Aedes* spp. vectors are active. To do so, we analysed confirmed chikungunya virus (CHIKV) cases from MG in 2016-17 (**Fig. 1C**).

CHIKV is transmitted by the domestic mosquitoes *Ae. aegypti* and *Ae. albopictus (21)*. There were 3755 confirmed CHIKV cases reported in MG during Jan 2015 to Oct 2017. The CHIKV epidemic in MG in 2017 began later and lasted longer than the YFV outbreak (**Fig. 1C**), consistent with the hypothesis that YF and CHIKV in the region are transmitted by different vector species. However, 26 of the municipalities with YFV human cases also reported CHIKV cases (**Fig. 1D** and **fig. S2**), indicating that YFV *is* present in municipalities with *Aedes* mosquitoes. The mean YFV vaccination rate in districts with both YFV and CHIKV cases is 72.3% (range=61-78%). Thus, relatively high vaccination rates in the locations in MG where YF spillover to humans occurs, and potentially lower vector competence (*21*), may be ameliorating the risk of establishment of a domestic YFV cycle in the state. However, adjacent urban regions (including São Paulo and Rio de Janeiro) have lower YFV vaccination rates (*3*), receive tens of millions of visitor per year (*22*), and have recently experienced YF human cases (*17*). Thus, the possibility of sustained domestic transmission of YF in southern Brazil necessitates continual virological and epidemiological monitoring.

Epidemiological model to investigate YFV transmission mode

We next sought to establish a framework to evaluate the routes of YFV transmission during an outbreak from the characteristics of infected individuals. Specifically, we assess whether an outbreak is driven by sylvatic *vs.* domestic transmission by comparing the age and sex distributions of observed YFV cases with those expected under a domestic cycle in Minas Gerais. For example, an individual's risk of acquiring YFV via the sylvatic cycle depends on their likelihood of travel to forested areas, which is typically highest among male adults (23). In contrast, under a domestic transmission cycle we expect more uniform exposure across age- and sex-classes.

The male-to-female sex ratio of reported YFV cases in MG is 5.7 (*i.e.* 85% of cases are male) and incidence is highest among males aged 40-49 (**Fig. 2**). We compare this distribution to that expected under two models of domestic cycle transmission. In model M1, all age- and sex- classes vary in their vaccination status but are equally exposed to YFV, a scenario that is typical of arboviral transmission (*24*). Under model M1, predicted cases are characterized by a sex ratio \sim 1 and incidence peaks among individuals aged 20-25 (**Fig. 2**). In model M2, we assume that the pattern of YFV exposure among age- and sex- classes follows that observed for CHIKV. The sex ratio of reported CHIKV cases in MG is 0.49 (*i.e.* 33% of cases are male; **fig. S3**). Under model M2, predicted incidence is highest in females aged >30. The discrepancy between the observed age distribution and that predicted under the two domestic cycle models indicates that the YF epidemic in MG is dominated by sylvatic transmission. The method introduced here shows that age- and sex-structured epidemiological data can be used to qualitatively evaluate the mode of YFV transmission during an outbreak.



Fig. 2. Age and sex distribution of YFV cases in Minas Gerais, 2016-2017. The red bars show the proportion of observed VEV cases in Minas

2017. The red bars show the proportion of observed YFV cases in Minas Gerais that occur in each age class, in males (panel **A**) and females (panel **B**). These empirical distributions are notably different from those predicted under two models of domestic cycle transmission. Model M1 (white bars) assumes that all age- and sex-classes are equally exposed to YFV but vary in vaccination status, whilst model M2 (orange bars) assumes that variation in YFV exposure among classes follows that observed for CHIKV (**fig. S3**; **Materials and Methods**).

Genomic surveillance of the Brazilian YFV outbreak

During a YF outbreak it is important to undertake virological surveillance to (*i*) track epidemic origins and transmission hotspots, (*ii*) characterise genetic diversity to aid molecular diagnostics, (*iii*) detect viral mutations associated with disease severity, and (*iv*) exclude the possibility that human cases are caused by vaccine reversion.

We generated and analyzed 52 complete YF genomes from infected humans (n=32) and nonhuman primates (n=20) from the most affected Brazilian states, including Minas Gerais (n=40), Espírito Santo (n=8), Rio de Janeiro (n=2), São Paulo (n=1) and Bahia (n=1) (**Fig. 3, tables S2, S3**). We included two new genomes from samples collected in 2003 during a previous YFV outbreak in MG in 2002–2003 (25). Although genomes were generated using a combination of sequencing methods (**table S2**), 70% of the new sequences were generated in Minas Gerais itself using a rapid MinION portable sequencing protocol for YFV (26) (table **S4**). This protocol was made publicly available in May 2017 following pilot sequencing experiments using a cultured vaccine strain (see **Materials and Methods**). Median genome coverages were similar for samples obtained from NHP (99%; median Ct=11) and from human cases (99%; median Ct=15) (table S5).

To put the newly generated YFV genomes in a global context, we added our genomes to 61 publicly available genomes, including two NHP genomes available from the ongoing outbreak (27). We developed and applied a new automated online phylogenetic tool to reliably identify and classify YFV gene sequences, which is also publicly available (see **Materials and Methods**; **fig. S4**). Phylogenies estimated using maximum likelihood and Bayesian methods, and the genotyping tool, all consistently place the Brazilian outbreak strains in a single clade within the South America I (SA1) genotype (27), with maximum statistical support (bootstrap=100%; posterior probability>0.99) (**Fig. 3A**; **fig. S4**).

The outgroup to the YFV outbreak clade is strain BeH655417, sampled in Roraima, north Brazil in 2002. In contrast, local isolates sampled during the previous outbreak in MG in 2003 are more distantly related to the outbreak clade within the SA1 genotype (**Fig. 3**). Thus the 2017 outbreak was more likely caused by a YFV strain introduced from northern Brazil (or another unsampled region) than by the re-emergence of a lineage that had persisted in MG, although low sampling densities mean this conclusion is provisional. The 14-year gap between the current outbreak and the date of the most closely related non-outbreak strain agrees with the reported periodicity of YF outbreaks in northern Brazil (*28*), which is thought to be dictated by the rate of accumulation of susceptible NHP hosts (*16, 29*).

At least 7 PCR-confirmed YFV human cases in MG received a YF vaccine up to 3 days before onset of symptoms. To test the scenario that these infections were caused by natural infection, and not by vaccine reactivation, we sequenced the YFV genomes of two of these cases (**Fig. 3A, table S3**). Our phylogenetic analysis (**Fig. 3A**) clearly shows that the human cases represent natural infections caused by the ongoing outbreak, and are conclusively not derived from the 17D vaccine strain which belongs to the West African YFV genotype (**Fig. 3A**).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/299842; this version posted April 16, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 3. Genomic surveillance of the Brazilian YFV epidemic. (A)

Maximum likelihood phylogeny of complete YFV genomes (*n*=103) shows that all sequences from the outbreak belong to a single strongly supported clade within the South America I (SA1) genotype (red triangle; see fig. S5 for an annotated tree and **Fig. 4** for details of the outbreak clade). The scale bar is in units of substitutions per site (s/s). Highlighted sequences (grey circles) represent (i) the most closely related genome to the outbreak, sampled from a human isolated collected in 2002 in Alto Alegre, Roraima, North Brazil, (ii) two historical Minas Gerais sequences collected in 2003, (iii) the vaccine strain 17D used in Brazil, and (iv) the YFV outbreak Angola in 2015-2016 (12). (B) Root-to-tip regression of sequence sampling time against genetic divergence from the root of the outbreak clade. Sequences are coloured according to sampling location. (C) Violin plots showing estimated posterior distributions (white circle=mean) of the time of the most common ancestor (tMRCA) of the outbreak clade. Estimates were obtained using two different datasets (grey=SA1 genotype, red=outbreak clade only) and under different evolutionary models: a=uncorrelated lognormal relaxed clock (UCLN) model with a skygrid tree prior with covariates; b=UCLN model with a skygrid tree prior without covariates; c=fixed local clock model (see Materials and Methods).

Unifying YFV epidemiology and molecular evolution

Viral genomes are a valuable source of information about epidemic dynamics (e.g. (30)) but have rarely been used to investigate YFV outbreaks in detail. Here we show how a suite of three analytical approaches, that combine genetic, epidemiological and spatial data, can provide high-resolution insights into YFV transmission.

First, we used a Bayesian method (31) to explore potential covariates of fluctuations in the effective population size of the YFV outbreak in 2017. After confirming that genetic divergence in the YFV outbreak clade accumulates over the timescale of sampling (Fig. 3B, fig. S5), we tested which epidemiological time series best describe trends in inferred YFV effective population size. Our analysis reveals that effective population size fluctuations of the YFV outbreak are almost equally well explained by the dynamics of human and NHP YFV cases (inclusion probability=0.55 for human cases and =0.45 for NHP cases). These two YFV time series explain the genetic diversity dynamics of the ongoing outbreak 10³ times better than the CHIKV time series, whose inclusion probability is <0.001 and which represents viral transmission by Aedes spp. vectors. One benefit of this approach is that epidemiological data contribute to estimation of the timescale of the outbreak. By incorporating the time series of YFV cases into evolutionary inference, we estimate the time of the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the outbreak clade to be late-Sep 2016 (95% Bayesian Credible Interval, BCI: Jun-Dec 2016) (Fig. 3C, fig. S6), consistent with the date of the first PCR-confirmed case of YFV in NHP in MG (Jul 2016). The uncertainty around the TMRCA estimate is reduced by 22% when epidemiological and genomic data are combined, compared to genetic data alone (Fig. 3C).

Second, in order to better understand YFV transmission between humans and NHP we reconstructed and measured the movement of YFV lineages between the NHP reservoir and humans, using a phylogenetic structured coalescent model (32). Although previous studies have confirmed that YFV is circulating in five neotropical NHP families (Aotidae, Atelidae, Callitrichidae, Pitheciidae, Cebidae; Fig 4E;, table S3), thus far NHP YFV genomes during the 2017 outbreak have been recovered only from Alouatta spp. (family Cebidae) (27). In this analysis we used the TMRCA estimate obtained above (Fig. 3C) to inform the phylogenetic timescale (Fig 4B). Even though most (61%) YFV genomes were from human cases, almost all internal nodes in the outbreak phylogeny whose host state is well supported (posterior probability >0.8) are inferred to belong to the NHP population, consistent with an absence of domestic transmission and in agreement with the large number of NHP cases reported in southeast Brazil (17). Only one internal node (the ancestor of sequences from human cases M26 and M98) is assigned as human with a posterior probability >0.8 (Fig. 4A). Travel information for our YFV human cases (table S3) shows that M26 was living in a rural area of São Caetano do Sul, SP, located >1000km from the urban area of Teófilo Otóni, MG, where M98 was resident. Thus, these two human cases are unlikely to be epidemiologically linked; their ancestor has been likely incorrectly assigned due to the relative under-representation of YFV sequences from NHP compared to human sequences in our data. Despite this, the structured coalescent approach clearly reveals significant changes in the frequency of NHPto-human host transitions through time, rising from zero around Nov 2016 and peaking in Jan 2017 (Fig. 4B). Remarkably, this phylogenetic trend matches the time series of confirmed YFV cases in MG (Fig. 1B), demonstrating that viral genomes, when analysed using appropriate models, can be used to quantitatively track the dynamics of zoonosis during the course of a complex outbreak (33).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/299842; this version posted April 16, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.



Fig. 4. Spatial and evolutionary dynamics of YF in southeast Brazil. (A)

Maximum clade credibility phylogeny inferred under a two-state (human and NHP) structured coalescent model. External node symbols denote sample type. Grey bars and labels to the right indicate sample location (RJ=Rio de Janeiro, ES=Espírito Santo, BA=Bahia; other sequences were sampled in MG). Internal nodes whose posterior state probabilities (human or NHP) are >0.8 are annotated by circles. Internal branches are coloured blue for NHP, red for human. A fully annotated tree is shown in fig. S7 (B). The average number of YFV phylogenetic state transitions (from NHP to human) per month (see also panel A). Solid line=median estimate, shaded area=95% Bayesian Credible Interval (BCI). (C) Expansion of the YFV epidemic wavefront estimated using a continuous phylogeographic approach (35). For each point in time, the plot shows the maximum spatial distance between phylogeny branches and the inferred location of outbreak origin. Solid line = median estimate, shaded area = 95% BCI. (D) Reconstructed spatiotemporal diffusion of the YFV outbreak. Phylogeny branches are arranged in space according the locations of phylogeny nodes (circles). Locations of external nodes are known, whilst those of internal nodes are inferred (34). GO=Goiás, SP=São Paulo. Shaded regions show 95% credible regions of internal nodes. Nodes and uncertainty regions are coloured according to time. (E) Summary of the frequency of detection of YFV in non-human primates in the Americas (35). Circle sizes represent the proportion of published studies (n=15) that have detected YFV in each primate family and region (table S3). SA=South America region except Brazil, CA=Central America, CB=Caribbean, BR1=Brazil (before 2017), BR2=Brazil (this study).

Third, we measured the outbreak's spatial spread using a phylogenetic relaxed random walk approach (36) (see Materials and Methods; table S6). When projected through space and time (Fig. 4D; Movie S1), the outbreak phylogeny reveals a southerly dissemination of virus lineages from their inferred origin in northeast MG, towards densely populated areas, including Rio de Janeiro and São Paulo (where YF vaccination was not recommended until Jul 2017 and Jan 2018, respectively). We estimate virus lineages move on average 7.5 km/day (95% BCI: 3.8 to 21.8 km/day) (34). This velocity remains high when human YFV terminal branches are removed (8.2 km/day) and therefore reflects most likely the rate of YFV lineage movement within the sylvatic cycle and not the movement of asymptomatic infected humans. These rates are higher than expected given the distances typically travelled by NHPs in the region (37), and suggest the possibility that movement of some YFV lineages may have been aided by human activity, e.g. by transport of infected mosquitoes in vehicles (38) or hunting or illegal trade of NHPs in the Atlantic forest (39, 40). The epidemic wavefront (maximum distance of phylogeny branches from the inferred epidemic origin) moved little before Nov 2016, after which the wavefront expanded at ~7km/day, until Feb 2017. Thus by the time YF was declared a public health emergency in MG (13 Jan 2017; dashed lines in Figs. 3c and 4a-c), the epidemic had already travelled >500km from northeast MG (Figs 4c.d) and caused >100 cases in both humans and NHP (Fig. 1). Notably, the first detection in humans in Dec 2016 was concomitant with both the spatial expansion of the epidemic (Fig 4c) and the rise in the number of NHP-to-human zoonoses (Fig. 4B), most probably driven by an increase in the abundance of sylvatic vectors. Thus, the outbreak lineage appeared to circulate among NHP in a geographically restricted area for several months before human cases were detected.

Conclusion

Epidemiological and genomic surveillance of human and animal populations at risk is crucial for the early detection of YFV transmission and its rapid containment. The upsurge of cases since Dec 2017 means the YFV epidemic in Brazil is continuing to unfold. Longitudinal studies of NHP are needed to understand how YF lineages disseminate across South America between YF outbreak years, and how YF epizootics might be determined by the dynamics of susceptible animals in the reservoir. To achieve the World Health Organization's goal to eliminate yellow fever epidemics by 2026, YF surveillance demands a global, coordinated strategy. Our results and analyses show that rapid genomic surveillance of YFV in NHP and humans, when integrated with epidemiological and spatial data, can help anticipate the risk of human YFV exposure through space and time and monitor the likelihood of sylvatic versus domestic transmission. We hope that the toolkit introduced here will prove useful in guiding the control of yellow fever outbreaks in a resource-efficient manner.

Acknowledgements

This work supported in part by CNPg # 400354/2016-0 and FAPESP # 2016/01735-2. N.R.F. is supported by a Royal Society and Wellcome Trust Sir Henry Dale Fellowship (204311/Z/16/Z), internal HEFCE GCRF grant 005073, and John Fell Research Fund Grant 005166. This research received funding from the ERC under grant agreement 614725-PATHPHYLODYN and from the Oxford Martin School. MUGK is supported by the Society in Science, the Branco Weiss Fellowship, administered by ETH Zurich and acknowledges funding from a Training Grant from the National Institute of Child Health and Human Development (T32HD040128) and the National Library of Medicine of the National Institutes of Health (R01LM010812, R01LM011965). SD is funded by the Fonds Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO, Flanders, Belgium). GB acknowledges support from the Interne Fondsen KU Leuven / Internal Funds KU Leuven. ACdC is funded by FAPESP # 2017/00021-9. ACdC and ECS are grateful to Illumina, Zymo Research, Sage Science and Promega for donation of reagents. BBN and SC are supported by the EU's Horizon 2020 Programme through ZIKAlliance (grant 734548), the Investissement d'Avenir program, the Laboratoire d'Excellence Integrative Biology of Emerging Infectious Diseases program (grant ANR-10-LABX-62-IBEID), the Models of Infectious Disease Agent Study of the National Institute of General Medical Sciences, the AXA Research Fund, and the Association Robert Debré. PL and MAS acknowledge funding from the European Research Council (grant agreement 725422-ReservoirDOCS) and from the Wellcome Trust Collaborative Award 206298/Z/17/Z. acknowledges support by the Research Foundation, Flanders (Fonds voor PL Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek, Vlaanderen, G066215N, G0D5117N and G0B9317N).

Supplementary Materials for

Genomic and epidemiological monitoring of yellow fever virus transmission potential

E-mail: nuno.faria@zoo.ox.ac.uk (N.R.F.); lalcan@bahia.fiocruz.br (L.C.J.A.) oliver.pybus@zoo.ox.ac.uk (O.G.P.)

This file includes:

Materials and Methods	2
Description of epidemiological data	2
Model of age-sex distributions in a domestic vs. sylvatic cycle	4
Estimating expected spatial distances to the source of YF infection	5
Ethical statement for biological data	5
Viral RNA isolation and sample processing	6
Real-time quantitative PCR	6
Validation of the sequencing primer scheme for MinION	8
cDNA synthesis, library preparation and whole-genome for MinION	10
cDNA synthesis for whole-genome sequencing with Ion Torrent	11
cDNA synthesis, library prep and metagenomic sequencing with Illumina	11
Automated phylogenetic typing tool	12
Curation of whole-genome sequence datasets	13
Bayesian skygrid with epidemiological covariates	14
Structured coalescent analyses	15
Phylogeographic inference in continuous space	17
Data availability	18
Full Reference List	19

Materials and Methods

Description of epidemiological data

Between Jan 2015 and Sep 2017, 2571 samples from patients residing in 212 municipalities of Minas Gerais (MG) with symptoms compatible with YFV infection were tested at the Fundação Ezequiel Dias (FUNED), located in Belo Horizonte, MG, southeast Brazil (Fig. **S1**). During the same period, 9555 human samples from patients residing in 362 municipalities of MG were tested for CHIKV infection in the same laboratory (Fig. S1). Following Pan American World Health Organization (PAHO) guidelines, YFV human samples were obtained ≤ 6 days after the onset of clinical symptoms, after which they were subjected to RT-qPCR. If samples were obtained >6 days after onset of disease, serological confirmation of YFV through IgM detection was performed. Due to potential cross-reactivity of serological assays, a positive YF serological test performed 6 days after onset of symptoms can indicate either recent YF infection, past YF vaccination, or infection with other circulating flaviviruses, such as Zika virus or dengue virus (41). Sex, age, municipality of residence, date of sample collection, and date of onset of symptoms were available for human YFV cases, YFV(H). The post-mortem liver tissue samples from non-human primates (NHP) in MG reported here were tested using YFV RT-qPCR at the Universidade Federal Minas Gerais (UFMG) in Belo Horizonte. The information available for the YFV-positive NHP cases included primate family or species, date of capture, and municipality of sample collection.



Fig. S1. Sensitivity of diagnostics for human YFV (left) and CHIKV (right) samples in Minas Gerais. The proportion of samples positive for at least one test (black bars) in each age- and sex-class is shown, together with the proportion of positives for each test separately (IgM, viral isolation, or RT-qPCR). The black line indicates the average proportion of cases per age class, for male and female cases.

From the laboratory cases tested in MG between Jan 2015 and October 2017, the following datasets were prepared for use in epidemiological analyses. Note that the same patient may have been tested with different tests, so the sample size (N) given below equals the total number of individuals with at least one positive test, which may be less than the sum of the number of positive tests:

- <u>Dataset A:</u> YFV(H) cases confirmed either by RT-qPCR (n=159) or by virus isolation (n = 62) or by IgM (n=478) at FUNED (n=683);
- <u>Dataset B:</u> YFV(NHP) cases confirmed by RT-qPCR in liver tissue analysed at the UFMG (N=314);
- <u>Dataset C:</u> CHIKV confirmed by RT-qPCR (n=144) or by IgM (n=3609) at FUNED (N=3755; no virus isolation was performed for CHIKV).
- <u>Dataset D:</u> YFV(H) confirmed either by RT-qPCR (n=159) or by virus isolation (n=62) at FUNED (n=221);
- <u>Dataset E:</u> CHIKV confirmed by RT-qPCR at FUNED (n=144).

The geographic distribution of YFV(H), YFV(NHP) and CHIKV cases are shown in **Fig. 1D**, **Fig. 1E** and **fig. S2**, respectively. Note that these maps correspond, respectively, to datasets A, B and C described above.



Fig. S2. Geographic distribution of CHIK cases in Minas Gerais. The figure shows cases confirmed by serology, RT-qPCR, or virus isolation in Minas Gerais from Jan 2015 to October 2017 (corresponding to dataset C).

To assess the association between the time series of YFV(NHP) and YFV(H) cases, we computed pairwise cross correlations among datasets A, B, and C, correcting for time lag and assuming that each dataset followed a unimodal distribution across time that covered a single epidemic wave of YFV. The correlations and corresponding P-values are shown in **table S1**.

Table S1. Time-series cross correlation analysis. A, B and C correspond to datasets A, B and C described in the text. Period: time frame during which the comparison is undertaken. The dataset in brackets is fixed during that period, while the other dataset is shifted temporally to correct for potential lag. *p*-value: *p*-value of the correlation between the two "auto-correlated" series, accounting for the time-lag. Time lag: the lag between the two series estimated to nearest day via linear interpolation. Similar observations were obtained when datasets D and B, D and C, and B and E were compared (data not shown).

Comparison	A vs B	A vs C	B vs C
Deriod	1 Aug 2016 to	1 Aug 2016 to	1 Aug 2016 to
renou	1 Oct 2017 (A)	1 Oct 2017 (A)	1 Oct 2017 (A)
<i>P</i> -value	< 0.0001	< 0.0001	< 0.0001
Cross-correlation	0.971	0.757	0.725
Time lea	B is 4 days	C is 62 days	C is 64 days
Time lag	ahead of A	behind A	behind B

Model of age-sex distributions under domestic and sylvatic transmission cycles

To characterize whether human YF cases result from a domestic or sylvatic transmission cycle we examined the age-sex distribution of human YF cases in MG between Dec 2016 (the date of first confirmed human YFV RT-qPCR case) and October 2017 (see **Fig. 1**). Two models were developed to predict the age-sex distribution of YFV cases expected under a domestic cycle. In *model M1*, we assume that exposure to YFV in the domestic cycle is independent of sex and age. We reconstructed the resulting age-sex distribution from the underlying population age pyramid in MG (42) and from vaccine coverage per birth cohort (3). The expected number of individuals of age *a* and sex *s* that are at risk of YFV infection is then:

$$S_1(a,s) = N(a,s). p_U(a,s)$$
 (1)

where N(a, s) is the number of individuals of age *a* and sex *s* in the population and $p_U(a, s)$ is the proportion of unvaccinated individuals in that group. We assume that the proportion of vaccinated individuals is independent of sex in a given birth cohort. The expected proportion of YFV cases that are of age *a* and sex *s* is therefore:

$$P_1(a,s) = \frac{S_1(a,s)}{\sum_{a',s'} S_1(a',s')}$$
(2)

In *model M2*, we assume that, under a scenario of domestic cycle transmission, the risk of exposure to YFV for a susceptible individual would be proportional to that seen for CHIKV cases (**fig. S3**).



Fig. S3. Age-sex distribution of reported CHIKV cases in Minas Gerais. The y-axis shows the percentage of CHIV cases belonging to each age- and sex-class that were confirmed by at least one diagnostic test in Minas Gerais between Jan 2015 and October 2017 (dataset C).

Let C(a, s) denote the number of reported CHIKV cases of age *a* and sex *s*. For an individual of age *a* and sex *s*, the relative risk of being reported as a CHIKV case is defined as:

$$RR_{CHIK}(a,s) = \frac{C(a,s)}{N(a,s)}$$
(3)

Thus in model M2, the expected proportion of YFV cases that are of age *a* and sex *s* is:

$$P_2(a,s) = \frac{S_1(a,s).RR_{CHIK}(a,s)}{\sum_{a',s'} S_1(a',s').RR_{CHIK}(a',s')}$$
(4)

Estimating expected spatial distances to the source of YF infection

Many human YFV cases were reported in cities across the region and the travel history of most cases remains unknown. To assess the likelihood of a sylvatic transmission cycle scenario, in which most infections occur in forested areas, we calculate the average great circle distance between the place of residence of each human case and the nearest location with environmental conditions suitable for sylvatic transmission (*43*). We then compare this distance to that expected for typical (non-YFV infected) residents of Minas Gerais, estimated using high-resolution population datasets from 2015 (*44*). We used overall greenness of the environment [Enhanced Vegetation Index (EVI) (*45*)] to identify areas with environmental conditions suitable for sylvatic transmission (*46*). Several thresholds of EVI for each municipality were considered: 0.33 (5%), 0.41 (50%), 0.46 (95%). Great-circle distances were calculated using the "rdist earth" function in R (*47*). We also calculated the distance to areas with known occurrences of positive non-human primates, again for both confirmed YF cases in humans and for typical residents of Minas Gerais.

Ethical statements for biological data

The project was supported by the Pan American World Health Organization (PAHO) and the Brazilian Ministry of Health as part of arboviral genomic surveillance efforts. Human samples were previously obtained for routine diagnostic purposes from persons visiting local clinics in Minas Gerais and Rio de Janeiro. Residual anonymized clinical diagnostic samples, with no or minimal risk to patients, were provided for research and surveillance purposes within the terms of Resolution 510/2016 of CONEP (Comissão Nacional de Ética em Pesquisa, Ministério da Saúde; National Ethical Committee for Research, Ministry of Health). We included 121 samples extracted at the Fundação Ezequiel Dias (FUNED), the main central public health laboratory in Minas Gerais (MG) (sub-study I). An additional 8 non-human primate (NHP) samples were extracted at the Universidade Federal de Minas Gerais (UFMG) and subsequently sent to FIOCRUZ Bahia for sequencing. Human samples were also processed at the Reference Centre for Arbovirus in Rio de Janeiro, the Laboratory of Flavivirus at FIOCRUZ Rio de Janeiro (sub-study II). Ethical approval for human samples was obtained from CEP/CAAE: 0026.0.009.000-07, with Institutional Review Board approval numbers 027/2007 and 1.920.256). Samples obtained from the Reference Centre for Arbovirus of São Paulo, Adolfo Lutz Institute (IAL) have been processed in agreement with routine surveillance activities from the Brazilian Ministry of Health and under the CEUA (Comitê de Ética de Uso de Animas em Pesquisa) registration number 02/2011.

Table S2. Laboratories involved in YFV genome sequencing. H=Human, NHP=Nonhuman primate, MG = Minas Gerais, BA=Bahia, RJ=Rio Janeiro, ES=Espírito Santo.

Institution, State	Sample collection	Sequencing Platform	Sub- study
FUNED, MG	MG, SP	MinION	Ι
FIOCRUZ, BA	MG, BA	MinION	II
FIOCRUZ, RJ	MG, ES, RJ	Ion Torrent TM	III
IAL, SP	ES	MiSeq	IV

Viral RNA isolation and sample processing

Human clinical samples included tissue and serum or plasma. In brief, viral RNA was extracted from 200 µL of clinical sample using QIAmp Viral RNA Minikit (Qiagen) according to the manufacturer's instructions but with several protocol changes. Tissue samples were first homogenised using a TissueLyser LT (Oiagen). A small piece of tissue (~2 mm diameter) was cut using a disposable scalpel and added to a 2 mL Eppendorf tube containing a 5 mm stainless steel bead (Qiagen). 560 µl AVL lysis buffer (Qiagen) was added to each tube and the sample was homogenised for 5 min at 50 Hz on a TissueLvser LT followed by a 10 min incubation at room temperature to lyse virions. Samples were centrifuged at 1,200g for 2 min to pellet cellular material, and 500 µL of supernatant was transferred to a new tube containing 500 µL of 100% EtOH. For serum or plasma samples, 200 µL of the sample was added to 560 µL of AVL lysis buffer (Qiagen) and left to incubate for 10 minutes before addition 560 µL of 100% EtOH. RNA extraction was subsequently completed on-site according the manufacturer's protocol for all sample types. To avoid contamination between samples due to the high number of virions, regular glove changes were conducted and parafilm was used to seal the gap between collection tubes and OIAamp Mini columns (Qiagen) during centrifugation. Batches always contained only primate or only human samples and a negative extraction control was processed with every batch. Human samples were linked to a record and clinical information such as date of onset of symptoms,

date of sample collection, municipality, state of residence, age, sex, residence type and, when available, vaccine and travel history.

Real-time quantitative PCR (sub-studies I to III)

YFV reverse transcription quantitative real-time PCR (RT-qPCR) was performed on 121 samples using the Superscript III Platinum One-Step qRT-PCR System (Invitrogen) on a StepOnePlus Real-Time PCR machine (Applied Biosystems). The conserved YFV 5' non-coding region was targeted using the primers YFall15F (5' to 3': GCTAATTGAGGTGYATTGGTCTGC), YFall103R (5' to 3': CTGCTAATCGCTCAAMGAACG) and the probe YFall41 (5' to 3': FAM-ATCGAGTTGCTAGGCAATAAACAC-BHQ), based on the previously described Domingo's assay (*48*). Thermocyler conditions consisted of reverse transcription at 45°C for 15 min, denaturation at 95 °C for 5 min, followed by 40 cycles of denaturation at 95 °C for 10s, and annealing and extension at 60°C for 40s. To check RNA isolation efficiency, we used RNase P as an endogenous positive control. Assays for RNase P used the primers RNaseP-F (5' to 3':AGATTTGGACCTGCGAGCG), RNaseP-R (GAGCGGCTGTCTCCACAAGT), and a probe (FAM-TTCTGACCTGAAGGCTCTGCGCG-BHQ1).

Table S3. Epidemiological data associated with each isolate processed/sequenced in this study. ID=Project identifier; Lab=Laboratory where samples were processed/sequenced; Host=Host species; State: MG=Minas Gerais, BA=Bahia; ES=Espirito Santo; RJ=Rio de Janeiro; Date=Date of sample collection; Ct=RT-qPCR Cycle threshold value. "–"=not available. **Alouatta spp.* suspected. ¹=No date of vaccination available; patient was from São Paulo and was visiting Itambacuri (MG). ² = Patient vaccinated in Jan 2017 and developed symptoms 3 days later.

ID	Lab	Sample	Host	State	Municipality	Date	CtS	Sex	Age	Residence
M5	FUNED	Liver	Alouatta	MG	Sta. Rita de Caldas	15/02/201	711	-	-	-
M7	FUNED) Tissue	Cebidae	MG	Delfinopolis	14/02/201	76	-	-	-
M9	FUNED) Tissue	Alouatta	MG	Ouro Fino	15/02/201	711	-	-	-
M11	FUNED) Tissue	Cebidae	MG	Caldas	13/02/201	76	-	-	-
M16	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Caratinga	09/01/201	717	М	43	Urban
M17	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Ladainha	14/01/201	712	М	49	Rural
M18	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Itambacuri	21/01/201	715	М	52	Rural
M25	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Novo Cruzeiro	25/01/201	713	М	62	Rural
M26	FUNED	Liver	Human	SP	S. Caetano do Sul	07/01/201	715	М	62	Rural
M35	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Teofilo Otoni	20/01/201	721	М	45	Rural
M36	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Novo Cruzeiro	14/01/201	716	F	55	Rural
M43	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Pote	18/01/201	713	М	43	Rural
M47	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Setubinha	19/01/201	712	М	40	Urban
M48	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Ladainha	07/01/201	717	М	52	Rural
M51	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Novo Cruzeiro	18/01/201	718	М	46	Urban
M58	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Itambacuri	28/01/201	722	М	53	Rural
M68	FUNED	Liver	Human	MG	Ladainha	28/01/201	720	F	55	Rural
M73	FUNED	Liver	Cebidae	MG	Aguanil	22/02/201	714	-	-	Urban
M78	FUNED	Liver	Callithrix	MG	Claraval	20/02/201	712	-	-	Rural
M79	FUNED	Liver	Alouatta	MG	Abre Campo	17/02/201	711	-	-	Rural
M83	FUNED	Liver	Callithrix	MG	Ladainha	09/02/201	712	M	Adult	t –

M94 FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Imbe de Minas	13/01/201714 1	M 38	Rural
M96 FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Ladainha	19/01/201719 1	M 56	Urban
M98 FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Teofilo Otoni	10/01/201715 1	M 72	Urban
M99 FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Teofilo Otoni	21/01/201710 1	M 58	Rural
M100FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Ladainha	02/01/201714 1	M 43	Rural
M105FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Pie. Caratinga	12/01/201717 1	M 33	Rural
M107FUNED Liv	er Human	MG	Novo Cruzeiro	30/01/2017 9 1	M 35	Rural
M123FUNED Sen	ım Human	MG	Itambacuri	27/01/201718	F 47	Rural ¹
M138FUNED Seru	ım Human	MG	St. Barbara Leste	20/01/201715 1	M 50	Rural ²
M164FUNED Seru	ım Human	MG	Simonesia	30/01/201732	F 0	-
M210UFMG Liv	er Callithrix	MG	Vale do Rio Doce	19/01/201712	F -	-
M211UFMG Liv	er Alouatta	MG	Vale do Rio Doce	13/01/2017 9	F -	-
M216UFMG Liv	er Callicebus	MG	Zona da Mata	25/01/201714	F -	-
M217UFMG Liv	er <i>Cebidae</i>	MG	Norte de Minas	25/01/201711	м -	-
M225FioCruz Seru	ım Human	MG	-	15/03/2003 -		-
M226FioCruz Seru	ım Human	MG	-	15/08/2003 -		-
M218FioCruz NA	A NHP (unk)) BA	Cordeiros	10/03/2017 -		-
438 FioCruz bloc	od NHP (unk)) ES	Domingos Martins	31/01/201719		-
460 FioCruz Liv	er Human	MG	Novo Cruzeiro	30/01/201716 1	M 35	Rural
465 FioCruz Liv	er Human	MG	Itambacuri	30/01/201717 1	M 35	Rural
480 FioCruz Liv	er Human	MG	Teófilo Otoni	28/01/201713	F 47	Rural
532 FioCruz Liv	er Alouatta	MG	Coronel Murta	13/01/2017 8	F Adult	-
1536 FioCruz seru	m Human	ES	Vitória	22/02/201711	M 31	Urban
1818 FioCruz seru	m Human	ES	Cariacica	10/03/201719	M 65	Urban
2109 FioCruz Liv	er Alouatta	ES	Cariacica	08/03/2017 7		-
2115 FioCruz Liv	er Callithrix	ES	Cariacica	09/03/201711		-
3919 FioCruz seru	m Human	ES	Domingos Martins	10/04/201714	M 45	Rural
4278 FioCruz Liv	er Alouatta	RJ	Maricá	17/04/201711	M 5	
4480 FioCruz plas	na Human	RJ	Casimiro de Abreu	22/04/201719	M 47	Urban
8 IAL* Liv	er NHP(unk)	ES	Itarana	24/01/201712		-
11 IAL* Liv	er NHP (unk)) ES	Venda N. Imigrante	24/01/201713		-

Validation of the sequencing primer scheme for MinION

Two candidate sequencing primer schemes were designed using Primal Scheme (http://primal.zibraproject.org) to amplify 500 bp or 1000 bp overlapping amplicons (26) of the complete genome of the YFV South American genotype 1, based on previous reports (27), with an overlap length of 75 bp between each neighbouring pair of primers. The scheme was validated at Public Heath England, UK. cDNA synthesis and multiplex PCR were conducted on RNA extracts from a cultured vaccine strain YFV 17D. PCR products were cleaned using 0.8x Ampure XP (Beckman Coulter) bead cleanups, quantified, and pooled. Libraries for the MinION were constructed using the ligation sequencing kit 1D (SQK-LSK108) and native barcoding kit (EXP-NBD103). The library was sequenced on an R9.4 flow cell (FLO-MIN106). Basecalled reads were aligned to a YFV reference genome using bwa (GenBank accession JF912190). Given that the regions overlap, alternate amplicons are amplified in two separate PCR reactions. These are pooled and barcoded together (in previous studies (26, 49) these pools were barcoded separately, but this reduces the number of samples per flowcell by half). Mapping the reads to the reference genome showed the scheme provided good coverage across most of the coding-region of the genome. 95% of the genome had a depth of at least 379 reads, and 70% of the genome had a depth of at least 1941

reads. Both the 500bp and 1000bp PCRs with 40 cycles of PCR were tested in May 2017 at Minas Gerais (FUNED) on 7 samples of previously extracted RNA. Following PCR, quantitated dsDNA concentrations were higher for the 500 bp scheme than for the 1000 bp scheme, and therefore this scheme was chosen for all following assays (<u>https://github.com/zibraproject/zika-pipeline/tree/master/schemes</u>).

Table S4. Primer sequences (n=54) for the YFV-500bp MinION sequencing scheme.

>YFV 500 1 LEFT GTCTGGTCGTAAAGCTCAGGGA >YFV 500 1 RIGHT TGGGGCAGTTGTATTCCATGGA >YFV 500 2 LEFT TCTGAGGACCTTGGGAAAACCT >YFV 500 2 RIGHT ACCCCCTCAATGAAATCCCTGT >YFV 500 3 LEFT AACATGACGCAACGAGTTGTCA >YFV 500 3 RIGHT TGTTCCAATTCTCCTGCTTGGC >YFV 500 4 LEFT TGCCAAGTTTACCTGTGCCAAA >YFV 500 4 RIGHT CTTTTGTGACTCGCATTGCACC >YFV 500 5 LEFT TGGAATTTGAGCCTCCACATGC >YFV 500 5 RIGHT TCCTTGTGCCACTGGTAAGTCA >YFV 500 6 LEFT GCCTCCACCAATGATGATGAAGT >YFV 500 6 RIGHT ACACTTGAGCTCTCTCTTGCCA >YFV 500 7 LEFT ACAATGTCCATGAGCATGATCCT >YFV 500 7 RIGHT ACGGACACTCTTTCCTGGACTT >YFV 500 8 LEFT CAATACGGCTGGAAGACTTGGG >YFV 500 8 RIGHT GGCAAGCTTCCCTTTTCACCTC >YFV 500 9 LEFT TCAATTGGGGGGTCCAGTTAGCT >YFV 500 9 RIGHT TCATGAAAGTGCAGTCCAACCG >YFV 500 10 LEFT GTTGGAGGCATGGTGCTTCTAG >YFV 500 10 RIGHT GGGGTATGGTCTTCTGCATGGA >YFV 500 11 LEFT TGACAATGGCTGAGGTGAGACT

>YFV 500 15 LEFT ATCATCATGGACGAAGCACATTTTT >YFV 500 15 RIGHT CCTTCATCCACAAGCACAGGTT >YFV 500 16 LEFT TCTTGGCCACTGACATAGCTGA >YFV 500 16 RIGHT CTCAAAGCACCACTTTCGGTCA >YFV 500 17 LEFT ATGACCAGAGGAGAGTCTTCCG >YFV 500 17 RIGHT GGCCAGAACAAACAGCATGACT >YFV 500 18 LEFT TGCTCTTGCACTCTGAGGAAGG >YFV 500 18 RIGHT GCAGCTCCTGGTTTCAAGTCAA >YFV 500 19 LEFT AGGCATGCTGGAAAAGACTAAGG >YFV 500 19 RIGHT TTCTTCTCATAGAGGGCAGGCA >YFV 500 20 LEFT GCACAGAGGAGGGGTGTTTCATG >YFV 500 20 RIGHT CACGGTCCACTTCCACAATGTC >YFV 500 21 LEFT AAGATGAAGACTGGACGCAGGG >YFV 500 21 RIGHT GATGATGGGGGACGACTCTCCAA >YFV 500 22 LEFT TGGGGTGGAACATCATCACCTT >YFV 500 22 RIGHT TCTGTTTCCACACTGCGTGTTC >YFV 500 23 LEFT CTGTGAATCAAACATCCCGCCT >YFV 500 23 RIGHT GGTTCTTTTCTCTGGCCAGGTG >YFV 500 24 LEFT TGACACCAGAGCAAAGGATCCA >YFV 500 24 RIGHT CGCATAGAATCCACCACCCTCT >YFV 500 25 LEFT TGAATGAGGACCACTGGGCATC

>YFV_500_11_RIGHT	>YFV_500_25_RIGHT
ATCCCATGGCACCTTCTCTTCA	ATCTCCACTCACTGCCATCCTC
>YFV_500_12_LEFT	>YFV_500_26_LEFT
TGGGAAGAGGAAGCTGAGATCAG	TGATACACCACCAGCATGTCCA
>YFV_500_12_RIGHT	>YFV_500_26_RIGHT
TCTCCATCCCATCTACCCTCCA	CTTCCCATGAACAGACCACGTG
>YFV_500_13_LEFT	>YFV_500_27_LEFT
CGAGGGGCCTTTCTCGTTAGAA	CAAGATGAGCTGGTTGGCAGAG
>YFV_500_13_RIGHT	>YFV_500_27_RIGHT
GTCTTGTTTTCCCAGCTCCAGG	CTGCAGATCAGCATCCACAGAG
>YFV_500_14_LEFT	
AACTGAGGTGAAAGAGGAGGGG	
>YFV_500_14_RIGHT	
GGGGTGGCAGTCATCAAGATTG	

cDNA synthesis, library preparation and sequencing for MinION (sub-study I) cDNA was reverse transcribed from viral RNA using the Protoscript II First Strand Sequencing kit (NEB) with random hexamer priming. Multiplex PCR was conducted using Q5 High Fidelity Hot-Start DNA Polymerase (New England Biolabs) and the 500bp sequencing primer scheme (Table S4). All samples were subjected to 32-40 cycles of PCR using the thermocycling conditions and reaction conditions described in Quick et al. (26). PCR products were purified using a 1x Ampure XP bead cleanup and concentrations were measured using a Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity kit on a Qubit 3.0 fluorimeter (ThermoFisher). Library preparation for the ONT MinION was conducted using Ligation Sequencing 1D (SQK-LSK108) and Native Barcoding kit (EXP-NBD103) according to the manufacturer's instructions, but with the changes detailed in (26). Amplified DNA and appropriate negative controls were sequenced in barcoded multiplexes of 6-12 samples per MinION run using FLO-MIN106 flow cells. Sequencing was performed without basecalling for 48 hours using MinKNOW. Consensus sequences for each barcoded sample were generated following previously published methods (26). Briefly, raw files were basecalled using Albacore, demultiplexed and trimmed using Porechop, and then mapped with bwa to a reference genome (GenBank Accession No. JF912190). Nanopolish variant calling was applied to the assembly to detect single nucleotide variants to the reference genome. Consensus sequences were generated; non-overlapped primer binding sites, and sites for which coverage was <20X were replaced with ambiguity code N. Sequencing statistics can be found in table S5.

Sample	Host	Ct	Total Reads	Mapped Reads	Bases Covered >10x	Bases Covered >=25x	% Reference Covered
M5	NHP	11	35357	35319	10216	10216	99
M7	NHP	6	29103	29073	10216	10216	99
M9	NHP	11	16838	16781	10216	10216	99
M11	NHP	6	13499	13416	10216	10216	99
M16	Human	17	45277	44706	10216	9946	97
M17	Human	12	12984	12974	10216	10216	99
M18	Human	15	30246	27268	10204	9370	91

Table S5. Statistics for the sequences generated using the MinION sequencer.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/299842; this version posted April 16, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

M25	Human	13	9477	9463	10216	10214	99
M26	Human	15	116601	111963	10216	10204	99
M35	Human	21	35936	28670	9946	9673	94
M36	Human	16	24004	22049	10216	9358	91
M43	Human	13	4858	4836	10216	9365	91
M47	Human	12	130596	130176	10216	10213	99
M48	Human	17	11516	11119	6460	5767	56
M51	Human	18	37159	36972	10216	10216	99
M58	Human	22	45367	45163	10216	10216	99
M68	Human	20	45925	45642	10216	10209	99
M73	NHP	14	107280	105827	10216	10216	99
M78	NHP	12	24353	24190	10216	10216	99
M79	NHP	11	35816	35449	10216	10216	99
M83	NHP	12	46097	45590	10216	10216	99
M94	Human	14	215524	213371	10216	10216	99
M96	Human	19	22424	20838	8772	5768	56
M98	Human	15	66026	65498	10216	9673	94
M99	Human	10	93181	92822	10216	10216	99
M100	Human	14	148291	147395	10216	10216	99
M105	Human	17	36169	35955	10216	10216	99
M107	Human	9	21532	21417	10216	10216	99
M123	Human	18	31236	30980	10216	10136	98
M138	Human	15	77337	76703	10216	10216	99
M164	Human	32	33076	28899	9075	8346	81
M210	NHP	12	359234	358667	10216	10216	99
M211	NHP	9	342819	342251	10216	10216	99
M216	NHP	14	387989	387305	10216	8916	87
M217	NHP	11	260350	259631	10211	7361	71
M218	NHP	NA	70075	69013	10216	10216	99

cDNA synthesis and sequencing using Ion Torrent (sub-study II)

cDNA synthesis was executed with SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (ThermoFisher Scientific) and random hexamers. Subsequently, YFV genome amplification was performed using Platinum Taq High Fidelity DNA Polymerase (ThermoFisher Scientific). PCR products were analyzed by electrophoresis gel and purified using PureLink Genomic DNA spin columns (ThermoFisher Scientific). YFV amplicons were quantified using a Qubit dsDNA High Sensitivity kit on the Qubit Fluorometric 2.0 (ThermoFisher Scientific). Sequencing libraries were prepared using 100ng of PCR products with an Ion Plus Fragment Library Kit (ThermoFisher Scientific), according to the manufacturer's instructions. For template amplification, emulsion PCR (emPCR) was performed using the Ion PGM Template OT2 kit and the Ion OneTouch 2 system (ThermoFisher Scientific). Ion Sphere particles (ISPs) were enriched using the Ion OneTouch ES (ThermoFisher Scientific). Enriched ISPs were sequenced using the Ion Torrent Personal Genome Machine Sequencer and the Ion PGM Hi-Q Sequencing kit (ThermoFisher Scientific), with the Ion 316 Chip. Data were collected for up to 8-9h. Reads were extracted, primer trimmed and mapped to a reference using Geneious R9 (9.1.7 version) (50). Briefly, primers were trimmed from each read (first 22 nt from 5' end). Reads were extracted based on amplicon size and coverage normalization was performed. Consensus genome sequences were generated by reference mapping to GenBank accession JF912190.

cDNA synthesis, library preparation and sequencing using Illumina (sub-study III) Fourteen specimens were centrifuged at 20,000×g for 20 min and then filtered through a 0.45 um filter (Merck Millipore, USA). The filtrates were treated with a mixture of nuclease enzymes to reduce background nucleic acids from the host cells and bacteria. RQ1 RNase-Free DNase (Promega Inc), DNase I (Zymo Research), Benzonase (Merck Millipore), RNase A (Zymo Research), RNase ONE (Promega Inc), Turbo DNase (Thermo Fisher) and 10X Turbo DNase buffer were added to the clarified supernatant and incubated at 37°C for 2h. Viral nucleic acids were extracted using a Maxwell 16 automated extractor (Promega Inc). Viral cDNA synthesis from extracted viral RNA/DNA was performed by using 50 pmol of a dodecamer of random primer in a reverse transcription reaction with AMV Reverse Transcriptase (Promega Inc) and RNasin Ribonuclease Inhibitor (Promega Inc). The 2nd strand cDNA synthesis was performed using DNA Polymerase I Large (Klenow) Fragment (Promega Inc), followed by the use of a Nextera XT Sample Preparation Kit (Illumina Inc) to construct a DNA library with each sample identifiable using dual barcodes. For size selection, we used a Pippin Prep (Sage Science Inc) to select a 400 bp insert (range 200-600 bp). The library was deep-sequenced using the MiSeq Illumina platform with 2 x 300 bp paired ends. Paired-end reads of 2x300 bp generated by MiSeq were demultiplexed using the vendor software from Illumina. Demultiplexed Illumina reads were mapped on the JF912190 reference genome using bwa-mem program (51). The genome analysis toolkit (52) was used to perform variant calling and generate consensus sequences with a 3x minimum read depth coverage.

Automated phylogenetic typing tool

We developed an tool that automatically classifies and accurately annotates YFV genome sequences, which is publicly available at <u>http://bioafrica2.mrc.ac.za/rega-genotype/typingtool/yellowfevervirus/</u>.

To build this YFV typing tool, we prepared two reference datasets that include publicly available sequences, one with whole-genomes (n=34, length=10,235 bp) and another with envelope gene sequences (n=34, length=1,443 bp). The accession numbers for each reference sequence of each genotype are as follows are as follows; for South American genotype 1: JF912190, JF912187, JF912188, JF912189, JF912180, JF912182, JF912185, JF912179, JF912184, JF912183, JF912186; for South American genotype 2: TVP17388, JF912181; for the West African genotype: AF094612, JX898871, JX898872, AY640589, JX898875, JX898874, JX898873, AY572535, AY603338, JX898868, JX898870, JX898876, JX898878, JX898880, X898877, JX898869, YFU54798; and for the East African genotype: AY968064, AY968065, DQ235229, JN620362. To validate the reference datasets, phylogenetic trees were constructed using maximum likelihood (ML) with a general time-reversible model and among-site rate variation modeled using a discretized gamma distribution (GTR + Γ_4), which was inferred as the best-fitting nucleotide substitution model in jModelTest (53). Trees were estimated using RAxML v8 (54) with 100 bootstrap replicates. All genotype clades are supported by bootstrap values of 100%, with the exception of the West-African genotype in the env tree, which is supported by a bootstrap score of 99%.

Classification of query sequences using the YFV subtyping tool involves two steps. The first step identifies the virus species using the basic local alignment search tool (55) that searches the RefSeq NCBI Reference sequence database that contains 7952 viruses reference genomes (56). The virus species is identified if the alignment score >400, which is the sum of identities minus gaps and mismatches. In addition, the tool also creates a codon alignment

and identifies polymorphic sites and genetic diversity in the alignment, and aligns the query sequence to the NC_002031 curated reference sequence (57).

The second step involves the reconstruction of a phylogenetic tree with a reference dataset using neighbour-joining (**fig. S4**). Statistical support for phylogenetic clustering of the query strain with the pre-defined reference genotypes using 1,000 bootstrap replicates. A query sequence is assigned to a particular genotype if clustering is supported by a bootstrap score >70%. The YFV typing tool accepts up to 2,000 sequences per submission and analyses each of sequence independently. At the end of the analysis, a phylogenetic tree is created that displays all query sequences and the reference dataset. A formatted report, estimated phylogenetic tree, and alignments can all be downloaded in multiple formats by the user.



Fig. S4. Illustration of the output of the online YFV classification tool. The figure shows the ML phylogeny of 6 target sequences analyzed by the tool. The output also provides a link to genome coverage and a more detailed report. The reference dataset is colored according to genotype.

Curation of whole-genome sequence datasets

We screened the GenBank database for published complete YFV genome sequences sampled worldwide using an in-house shell script. We retrieved publicly available data from a total of 14 countries across several regions: Caribbean (Trinidad), East Africa (Ethiopia, Uganda and Sudan), Central Africa (Angola), East Asia (China), West Africa (Senegal, Ivory Coast, Ghana, Nigeria and Guinea-Bissau), and South America (Bolivia and Brazil). These genome represent viruses sampled over the last 90 years, from 1927 to 2017. Sequences were aligned using MAFFT v.7 (58). Maximum likelihood phylogenetic trees were estimated using RAxML (54) under a GTR + Γ_4 nucleotide substitution model, as described previously. Rootto-tip regressions of sequence sampling date against genetic divergence were conducted (**fig. S5**) to identify and remove 7 (sub-study III) potential contaminants or mislabelled sequences (*59*). ML trees and root-to-tip analyses were performed for several datasets (**fig. S5**). All alignments were screened for recombination using the Phi-test available in SplitsTree v.4 (*60*); the null hypothesis of the absence of recombination could not be rejected (P<0.05) and lack of recombination was confirmed using the RDP4 package (*61*). The *outbreak dataset* comprises 52 genome isolates, 50 of which were generated by this study and 2 of which were published in (*27*) (clade c in **figure S5**; see also **table S3**).





Bayesian skygrid with epidemiological covariates

Time-scaled phylogenetic trees were reconstructed using the Bayesian phylogenetic inference framework available in BEAST v1.8.4 (62). We used a fully probabilistic approach that combined sequence substitution over an unknown tree, calibrated to a real time scale using a molecular clock model. We used the HKY + Γ_4 nucleotide substitution model and a relaxed molecular clock model, with an underlying lognormal distribution of branch rates (63).

For the molecular clock model, we assumed that the outbreak clade exhibited a different clock rate to ancestral paraphyletic lineages, as observed in previous epidemics (64) and therefore we used a fixed local clock model (27) on clade B (comprising 66 South American genotype 1 whole genomes; fig. S5). We also computed a Bayesian skygrid with covariates model using the outbreak clade A sequences alone (see fig. S5), for which we specified 36 grids (i.e. the approximate number of epidemiological weeks spanned by the duration of the phylogeny). Further, we ran a Bayesian skygrid-based generalized linear model (31) with a streamlined prior specification in which effective population size through time is associated with a single covariate, chosen probabilistically from a set of possible covariates, while also accounting for phylogenetic uncertainty. In this analysis we investigated the following set of 3 covariates: i) log-linear YFV(H) case counts (dataset A), ii) log-linear YFV(NHP) case counts (dataset B) and iii) log-linear CHIKV human case counts (dataset C). Specifically, for each grid point (epidemiological week) we include the log-transformed and standardized number of cases as described in Section 1. The association of each particular covariate with the effective population size dynamics of the outbreak is summarised by an inclusion probability. Distributions of the outbreak TMRCA obtained without and with covariates are shown in Fig. 3c (distributions b and c, respectively). Further, a comparison of the TMRCA estimates with and without YFV case counts can be found in fig. S6.



Fig. S6. Combining virus phylogeny and epidemic time series. Maximum clade credibility trees (MCCs) generated in BEAST are shown together with the corresponding posterior distribution of the time of most recent common ancestor (TMRCA; grey) of the outbreak clade. Panel (A) shows the results obtained using the standard skygrid model whilst (B) shows the results obtained using skygrid model with covariates (B). Addition of the epidemiological time series data reduces the statistical uncertainty of the estimated TMRCA parameter by 22%. Purple bars indicate uncertainty in estimates of divergence times of internal nodes in each tree.

Structured coalescent analyses

Viral lineage transitions among hosts were inferred using a structured coalescent model, as implemented in the MultiTypeTree v6.3.0 package (32) for BEAST v2.4.7 (65). The analysis was performed on the "outbreak dataset" only (i.e. clade c indicated in Fig. S5). The structured coalescent model also estimates time-scaled phylogenetic trees and state transition histories. It assumes a constant effective population size for each deme (i.e. human vs nonhuman host states, in this study) and asymmetric transition rates between demes. As in the other analyses above, we used an uncorrelated relaxed molecular clock model with a lognormal distribution prior on the branch rate parameters (63) and a HKY+ Γ_4 nucleotide substitution model. Default priors were used for the nucleotide substitution model. A lognormal prior was placed on the molecular clock rate parameter, with mean equal to 0.001 subtitutions per site per year (in real space) and standard deviation set to 1. An exponential prior with mean 1 was used for the effective population sizes of demes and transition rates between demes (=host species states). To ensure that the phylogenetic timescale is well informed we placed a normally distributed prior with mean 0.6306 years before the present (and standard deviation 0.11) on the time of the most recent common ancestor (TMRCA) of the tree. This TMRCA prior covers the 95% HPD interval of the TMRCA inferred by the skygrid model with the best-fitting covariate (i.e. posterior distribution c in Fig. 3c). When estimating transition rates between host states, two independent runs of 200 million steps were computed, sampling parameters every 20,000 steps. The two chains were combined with LogCombiner, discarding 10% of each chain as burn-in and subsampling only half of the remaining states. Tracer v1.6.0 (http://tree.bio.ed.ac.uk/software/tracer/) was used to check the MCMC analysis for convergence. A maximum clade credibility tree with annotated branches was then generated in TreeAnnotator (Fig. 4A; the same tree with detailed taxa information is shown in **fig. S7**). To recover the number host-switching events through time we counted the number of transitions between demes (host states) across monthly intervals for each tree in the posterior set of structured coalescent trees (migration histories). This count and its 95% HPD interval are shown in fig. 4C.



Fig. S7. Typed maximum clade credibility tree (corresponding to Fig. 4A). The node labels indicate the posterior probability of the most likely host state for each internal node,

inferred by the MultiTypeTree package (32). This representation does not include information on individual transition events between host states.

To test sensitivity to the TMRCA prior used, the analysis was repeated (i) without a prior on the TMRCA and (ii) using a normally distributed prior with mean 0.837 years before the present and standard deviation 0.25, which corresponds to the TMRCA inferred under a standard skygrid model (i.e. without covariates; see **Fig. 3C**). The inferred posterior distributions of the transition rates between human and NHP host states are shown in **fig. S8**, where it can be seen that the TMRCA prior does not significantly affect the estimate transition rate dynamics. We also verified that this is the case for the migration histories (data not shown). In addition, the rate of host-transition events from NHP to human - our key result - always clearly deviates from the prior, whereas the reverse rate (from human to NHP) recovers the prior. To further test the robustness of the estimated transition rates and the number of host-switching events through time, all analyses were repeated using a lognormal prior (with mean 0 and standard deviation 4) on the deme effective population sizes and on the transition rates between demes. This resulted in estimating slightly higher rates and thus inferring more host-transition events. Nonetheless, the HPD intervals of host transition rates under different priors largely overlap and the overall pattern was similar (not shown).



Fig. S8. Estimated posterior distributions of the host state transition rates of the structured coalescent model under different priors. From left to right, (i) using no prior on the TMRCA, (ii) using a normal distribution with mean 0.631 years before the present and standard deviation of 0.11, and (ii) using a normal distribution with mean 0.837 years before the present and a standard deviation of 0.25. The prior distribution used for the migration rates is shaded with dashed red lines. Orange=human to non-human primates spillovers, blue=NHP to humans spillovers.

Phylogeographic inference in continuous space

Bayesian continuous phylogeographic analyses were performed on the "*outbreak dataset*" only (i.e. clade c in **Fig. S5**) using the skygrid with covariates as the coalescent tree prior (*31*). We first inferred the best fitting continuous diffusion process by performing (log) marginal likelihood estimation using generalized stepping-stone sampling (*66*) on a range of relaxed random walk models, as well as the time-homogeneous Brownian motion process (**table S6**). Details of the stepping stone sampling approach were as follows: after an initial posterior exploration of 10 million iterations, we collected 1,000 samples from each of the 51 power distributions, distributed according to a Beta(0.3,1.0) distribution and sampling at every 1000th iteration. The log marginal likelihood estimates were highly consistent between independent runs in BEAST1.8.4 (*67*).

All the relaxed random walk models strongly outperformed the time-homogenous Brownian diffusion model. A model with Cauchy distributed diffusion rate variation among branches

yielded the highest Bayes Factor (BF) against the time-homogenous diffusion model, indicating among-branch heterogeneity in branch velocity. The Cauchy model is strongly preferred among all the relaxed random walk models (**table S6**).

Table S6. Generalized stepping-stone (GSS) sampling for each of the continuous diffusion models. Models are ordered according to their Bayes Factor (BF) score, calculated against the Brownian diffusion model (homogeneous diffusion).

Model	GSS	Bayes Factor
Homogeneous	-16137.39	0.0
Lognormal	-16082.79	54.60
Gamma	-16082.01	55.38
Cauchy	-16075.22	62.17

The Cauchy-distributed phylogeographic model selected above was then used to characterise the outbreak's spatio-temporal epidemic history (34). Posterior distributions under the Cauchy models were obtained using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC) sampling as implemented in BEAST v 1.8.4 (62). The BEAGLE library v2.1.2 was used to accelerate computation (68). MCMC chains were run in triplicate for 250 million generations, sampling every 50,000 steps. MCMC performance was inspected for convergence and for sufficient sampling using Tracer v.1.6.

To summarise virus diffusion over time and space, 1,000 post-burn-in phylogenies sampled at regular intervals from the posterior distribution were obtained. The branches of these phylogenies were extracted as vectors, each having start and end spatial coordinates, and start and end dates (i.e. branch duration) in decimal units (*36*). The R package "seraphim" was used to estimate statistics of spatial dissemination, such as dispersal velocity, diffusion coefficients, and evolution of the maximal wavefront distance from epidemic origin (*68, 69*), as well as generating monthly graphical representations of the inferred spatio-temporal spread process (**Movie S1**) using the "spreadGraphic" function (*70*).

Data availability

Epidemiological case counts, genome alignments, BEAST XML files, and code used in this study can be downloaded from the ZiBRA Github website (<u>https://github.com/zibraproject</u>; MinION sequencing protocols can be found at <u>https://github.com/zibraproject/zika-pipeline/tree/master/schemes</u>). Genome sequences generated in this study are publicly available in GenBank database under the accession numbers: MH018064-MH018115.

References

- 1. T. Garske *et al.*, Yellow Fever in Africa: estimating the burden of disease and impact of mass vaccination from outbreak and serological data. *PLoS Medicine* **11**, e1001638 (2014).
- 2. C. I. Paules, Fauci, A. S., Yellow Fever Once Again on the Radar Screen in the Americas. *The New England Journal of Medicine*, 1397-1399 (2017).
- 3. F. M. Shearer *et al.*, Global yellow fever vaccination coverage from 1970 to 2016: an adjusted retrospective analysis. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **17**, 1209-1217 (2017).
- 4. M. R. Nunes *et al.*, Genomic and phylogenetic characterization of Brazilian yellow fever virus strains. *Journal of Virology* **86**, 13263-13271 (2012).
- 5. J. P. Mutebi *et al.*, Phylogenetic and evolutionary relationships among yellow fever virus isolates in Africa. *Journal of Virology* **75**, 6999-7008 (2001).
- 6. J. J. von Lindern *et al.*, Genome analysis and phylogenetic relationships between east, central and west African isolates of Yellow fever virus. *The Journal of General Virology* **87**, 895-907 (2006).
- 7. E. Wang *et al.*, Genetic variation in yellow fever virus: duplication in the 3' noncoding region of strains from Africa. *Virology* **225**, 274-281 (1996).
- 8. G. J. Chang, B. C. Cropp, R. M. Kinney, D. W. Trent, D. J. Gubler, Nucleotide sequence variation of the envelope protein gene identifies two distinct genotypes of yellow fever virus. *Journal of Virology* **69**, 5773-5780 (1995).
- 9. M. N. O. Segura, Castro, F. C. C., *Atlas de Culicídeos na Amazônia Brasileira*. (Instituto Evandro Chagas Press, 2007), vol. 167.
- 10. C. Cardoso Jda *et al.*, Yellow fever virus in Haemagogus leucocelaenus and Aedes serratus mosquitoes, southern Brazil, 2008. *Emerging infectious diseases* **16**, 1918-1924 (2010).
- 11. A. A. Grobbelaar *et al.*, Resurgence of Yellow Fever in Angola, 2015-2016. *Emerging Infectious Diseases* **22**, 1854-1855 (2016).
- 12. M. U. Kraemer *et al.*, Spread of yellow fever virus outbreak in Angola and the Democratic Republic of the Congo 2015-16: a modelling study. *The Lancet Infectious Diseases* **17**, 330-338 (2017).
- 13. J. Vainio, "Yellow fever Technical consensus meeting," *World Health Organization* (1998).
- 14. M. U. Kraemer *et al.*, The global distribution of the arbovirus vectors Aedes aegypti and Ae. albopictus. *eLife* **4**, e08347 (2015).
- 15. Ministério da Saúde, Brasil, Boletim Epidemiólogico (<u>http://portalarquivos2.saude.gov.br/images/pdf/2017/setembro/06/2017_027.pdf</u>). **48**, (2017).
- 16. P. F. Vasconcelos *et al.*, Epidemic of jungle yellow fever in Brazil, 2000: implications of climatic alterations in disease spread. *Journal of Medical Virology* **65**, 598-604 (2001).
- 17. PAHO/WHO, "Epidemiological Update Yellow Fever <u>http://www.paho.org/hq/index.php?option=com_topics&view=readall&cid=2194&Ite</u> <u>mid=40784&lang=en</u> 16 Feb 2018," *PAHO/WHO Yellow Fever* (2018).
- 18. F. M. Shearer *et al.*, Existing and potential infection risk zones of yellow fever worldwide: a modelling analysis. *The Lancet Global health* **6**, e270-e278 (2018).
- 19. IEC. (2018).
- 20. D. Couto-Lima *et al.*, Potential risk of re-emergence of urban transmission of Yellow Fever virus in Brazil facilitated by competent Aedes populations. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 4848 (2017).

- 21. Vega-Rúa *et al.*, High level of vector competence of *Aedes aegypti* and *Aedes albopictus* from ten American countries as a crucial factor in the spread of Chikungunya virus. *Journal of Virology* **88**, 6294-6306 (2014).
- 22. N. R. Faria *et al.*, Genomic and epidemiological characterisation of a dengue virus outbreak among blood donors in Brazil. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 15216 (2017).
- 23. S. H. Tuboi, Z. G. Costa, P. F. da Costa Vasconcelos, D. Hatch, Clinical and epidemiological characteristics of yellow fever in Brazil: analysis of reported cases 1998-2002. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **101**, 169-175 (2007).
- 24. S. D. Thiberville *et al.*, Chikungunya fever: a clinical and virological investigation of outpatients on Reunion Island, South-West Indian Ocean. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **7**, e2004 (2013).
- 25. M. Ribeiro, C. M. Antunes, [Yellow fever: study of an outbreak]. *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* **42**, 523-531 (2009).
- 26. G. Quick J. *et al.*, Multiplex PCR method for MinION and Illumina sequencing of Zika and other virus genomes directly from clinical samples. *Nature Protocols* **XX**, XX (2017).
- 27. M. C. Bonaldo *et al.*, Genome analysis of yellow fever virus of the ongoing outbreak in Brazil reveals polymorphisms. *Memorias do Instituto Oswaldo Cruz* **112**, 447-451 (2017).
- 28. F. P. Camara, A. L. Gomes, L. M. Carvalho, L. G. Castello, Dynamic behavior of sylvatic yellow fever in Brazil (1954-2008). *Revista da Sociedade Brasileira de Medicina Tropical* 44, 297-299 (2011).
- 29. P. F. Vasconcelos *et al.*, Inadequate management of natural ecosystem in the Brazilian Amazon region results in the emergence and reemergence of arboviruses. *Cadernos de Saude Publica* **17 Suppl**, 155-164 (2001).
- 30. G. Dudas *et al.*, Virus genomes reveal factors that spread and sustained the Ebola epidemic. *Nature* **544**, 309-315 (2017).
- 31. M. S. Gill *et al.*, Understanding Past Population Dynamics: Bayesian Coalescent-Based Modeling with Covariates. *Systematic BBiology*, (2016).
- 32. T. G. Vaughan *et al.*, Efficient Bayesian inference under the structured coalescent. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 2272-2279 (2014).
- 33. G. Dudas, Carvalho, L. M., Rambaut A., Bedford, T., MERS-CoV spillover at the camel-human interface. *eLife* **31257.001**, (2018).
- 34. P. Lemey *et al.*, Phylogeography takes a relaxed random walk in continuous space and time. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **27**, 1877-1885 (2010).
- 35. P. R. Stephens *et al.*, Global Mammal Parasite Database version 2.0. *Ecology* **98**, 1476 (2017).
- 36. O. G. Pybus *et al.*, Unifying the spatial epidemiology and molecular evolution of emerging epidemics. *PNAS* **109**, 15066-15071 (2012).
- 37. L. Jung *et al.*, Effects of Local Habitat Variation on the Behavioral Ecology of Two Sympatric Groups of Brown Howler Monkey (Alouatta clamitans). *PloS One* **10**, e0129789 (2015).
- 38. E. Flacio *et al.*, Spread and establishment of Aedes albopictus in southern Switzerland between 2003 and 2014: an analysis of oviposition data and weather conditions. *Parasites & Vectors* **9**, 304 (2016).
- 39. A. Estrada *et al.*, Impending extinction crisis of the world's primates: Why primates matter. *Sci Adv* **3**, e1600946 (2017).
- 40. R. A. Nascimento, Montano, R. A. M., An assessment of illegal capuchin monkey trade in Bahia State, Brazil. *Neotropical Biology and Conservation* **8**, 79-87 (2013).

- 41. I. B. Rabe *et al.*, Interim Guidance for Interpretation of Zika Virus Antibody Test Results. *MMWR*. **65**, 543-546 (2016).
- 42. C. T. Lloyd *et al.*, High resolution global gridded data for use in population studies. *Scientific Data* **4**, 170001 (2017).
- 43. F. M. Shearer *et al.*, Existing and potential infection risk zones of yellow fever worldwide: a modelling analysis. *The Lancet Global Health* in press (2018).
- 44. A. Sorichetta *et al.*, High-resolution gridded population datasets for Latin America and the Caribbean in 2010, 2015, and 2020. *Scientific Data* **2**, 150045 (2015).
- 45. D. J. Weiss *et al.*, An effective approach for gap-filling continental scale remotely sensed time-series. *ISPRS J Photogramm Remote Sens* **98**, 106-118 (2014).
- 46. A. Hamlet *et al.*, The seasonal influence of climate and environment on yellow fever transmission across Africa. *PLoS Negl Trop Dis* **12**, e0006284 (2018).
- 47. R. C. Team. (R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria, 2014).
- 48. C. Domingo *et al.*, Advanced yellow fever virus genome detection in point-of-care facilities and reference laboratories. *Journal Clin Microb* **50**, 4054-4060 (2012).
- 49. N. R. Faria *et al.*, Establishment and cryptic transmission of Zika virus in Brazil and the Americas. *Nature* **546**, 406-410 (2017).
- 50. M. Kearse *et al.*, Geneious Basic: an integrated and extendable desktop software platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* **28**, 1647-1649 (2012).
- 51. H. Li, R. Durbin, Fast and accurate short read alignment with Burrows-Wheeler transform. *Bioinformatics* **25**, 1754-1760 (2009).
- 52. A. McKenna *et al.*, The Genome Analysis Toolkit: a MapReduce framework for analyzing next-generation DNA sequencing data. *Genome Res* **20**, 1297-1303 (2010).
- 53. D. Darriba *et al.*, jModelTest 2: more models, new heuristics and parallel computing. *Nature Methods* **9**, 772 (2012).
- 54. A. Stamatakis, RAxML version 8: a tool for phylogenetic analysis and post-analysis of large phylogenies. *Bioinformatics* **30**, 1312-1313 (2014).
- 55. S. F. Altschul, W. Gish, W. Miller, E. W. Myers, D. J. Lipman, Basic local alignment search tool. *Journal of Molecular BBiology* **215**, 403-410 (1990).
- 56. N. A. O'Leary *et al.*, Reference sequence (RefSeq) database at NCBI: current status, taxonomic expansion, and functional annotation. *Nucleic Acids Research* **44**, D733-745 (2016).
- 57. C. M. Rice *et al.*, Nucleotide sequence of yellow fever virus: implications for flavivirus gene expression and evolution. *Science* **229**, 726-733 (1985).
- 58. K. Katoh, D. M. Standley, MAFFT multiple sequence alignment software version 7: improvements in performance and usability. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **30**, 772-780 (2013).
- 59. A. Rambaut *et al.*, Exploring the temporal structure of heterochronous sequences using TempEst (formerly Path-O-Gen). *Virus Evolution* **2**, (2016).
- 60. D. H. Huson, D. Bryant, Application of phylogenetic networks in evolutionary studies. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **23**, 254-267 (2006).
- 61. D. P. Martin *et al.*, RDP4: Detection and analysis of recombination patterns in virus genomes. *Virus Evolution* **1**, vev003 (2015).
- 62. A. J. Drummond, A. Rambaut, BEAST: Bayesian evolutionary analysis by sampling trees. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* **7**, 214 (2007).
- 63. A. J. Drummond *et al.*, Relaxed phylogenetics and dating with confidence. *PLoS Biology* **4**, e88 (2006).
- 64. E. C. Holmes *et al.*, The evolution of Ebola virus: Insights from the 2013-2016 epidemic. *Nature* **538**, 193-200 (2016).

- 65. R. Bouckaert *et al.*, BEAST 2: a software platform for Bayesian evolutionary analysis. *PLoS Computational Biology* **10**, e1003537 (2014).
- 66. G. Baele *et al.*, Genealogical Working Distributions for Bayesian Model Testing with Phylogenetic Uncertainty. *Systematic Biology* **65**, 250-264 (2016).
- 67. A. J. Drummond *et al.*, Bayesian phylogenetics with BEAUti and the BEAST 1.7. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **29**, 1969-1973 (2012).
- 68. D. L. Ayres *et al.*, BEAGLE: an application programming interface and highperformance computing library for statistical phylogenetics. *Systematic Biology* **61**, 170-173 (2012).
- 69. S. Dellicour *et al.*, SERAPHIM: studying environmental rasters and phylogenetically informed movements. *Bioinformatics* **32**, 3204-3206 (2016).
- 70. S. Dellicour *et al.*, Using Viral Gene Sequences to Compare and Explain the Heterogeneous Spatial Dynamics of Virus Epidemics. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* **34**, 2563-2571 (2017).