Evaluation of two Sigma Transwab® systems for Maintenance of Viability of
pathogenic *Candida* spp. Using the Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute M40-
A2 Standard

E. Elcocks^a and E.C. Adukwu^{a#}

^aCentre for Research in Biosciences, Faculty of Health and Applied Sciences,
University of the West of England, Bristol, United Kingdom, BS16 1QY.

Running Head: Recovery of *Candida* spp. Using Swab Transport Systems

Address correspondence to E. Adukwu, Emmanuel.Adukwu@uwe.ac.uk

ABSTRACT

A key aspect to routine microbiology processes include the retrieval, transport and maintenance of specimens. Swab transport systems (STS) can be utilised for their low cost, ease of use and their ability to recover and maintain specimens over long durations. An increase in healthcare complications due to fungal infections raises the requirement for STS to efficiently recover and preserve pathogens of yeast origin. The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M40-A2 protocol is used to assess the compliance of STS to a quality control standard but at present does not include the recovery of yeast. The aim of this study was to compare the results of two commercial STS and their ability to recover and maintain viability of five clinical and reference strains of *Candida* spp., including *C. auris*, when stored at room temperature and 4°C, over 48 h, using the qualitative roll plate method. Findings from this study indicate that the STS used in this study are suitable for the collection and maintenance of the *Candida* spp. tested, and is very suitable for the recovery of clinical *C. auris*.

INTRODUCTION

There has been a global increase of infections caused by invasive fungi, with molds and yeasts repeatedly described as pathogens (1). Of these infections, it is indicated that *Candida* spp. are a leading cause, and candidiasis affects more than a quarter of a million patients worldwide every year (2). This increase in opportunistic fungal pathogens can be linked to the progression of medical actions such as chemotherapy and transplants which results in an increase in immuno-compromised patients (3).

One species of *Candida* that is of particular concern is *C. auris*. Since the discovery of *C. auris* in 2009 (4) there have been rising concerns due to its rapid spread across the globe in the short time since its discovery (5); common misidentification for other *Candida* spp. (6–9); the serious associated infections including reports of isolation from the bloodstream, urinary tract, ear canal, wounds, heart muscle and bone (10); high mortality rate (10–12); and it's antifungal resistance (6, 13). Rapid and efficient isolation and identification of these infectious agents are paramount to successful treatment, thus swab transport systems (STS) are often used for their low cost, ease of use and ability to maintain microorganism viability over extended periods of time (14). The Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute (CLSI) M40-A2 is an approved standard which outlines testing procedures for liquid transport systems and provides manufacturers and end-users with a criteria for compliance (15). This protocol indicates the methodology to be used, storage conditions and length of incubation time for testing various STS. The protocol also outlines a list of organisms to be used for quality control, though amongst these organisms, yeasts are only indicated for urine transport systems. The compliance criteria of an STS in M40-A2 is described as no greater than 3 log decrease when stored at 4 °C or room temperature, or 1 log increase when stored at 4 °C. The enumeration should also be ≥ 5 CFU from the same dilution

as used in time-zero plate counts after the specified holding period. The aim of this study is to investigate and evaluate the efficiency of two commercial transport swabs to recover several *Candida* spp., including *C. auris*, using the qualitative roll-plate method outlined by the M40-A2.

METHODS

The method in this study followed the CLSI M40-A2 protocol with some minor adaptations. The STS used in this study were both manufactured by Medical Wire and Equipment (MWE; Corsham, UK) and included their Sigma Transwab® (MW176S) and Sigma Transwab® Purflock® (MW176PF). Both STS utilise a liquid amies carrying media. The Gram stain procedure was carried out on all species of *Candida*. *Candida* spp. stored on microbank beads at -80°C were grown on Sabouraud Dextrose Agar (SDA; EO Labs, Bonnybridge, UK) at 30°C for 48 h and used to inoculate 0.85% physiological saline (OXOID, Basingstoke, UK) until turbidity reached McFarland Standard 0.5 (OD_{625nm} 0.08 – 0.1). Serial dilutions were made in saline and 100 µl of 10⁻² and 10⁻³ dilutions were used to inoculate swabs aiming to achieve an approximate inoculation spike of 10⁵ and 10⁶ CFU/mL. Initial inocula was verified by plate count to determine CFU/ml. Three swabs were used per condition and incubated at either room temperature or 4°C for 0, 24 and 48 hours (T0/T24/T48). Swabs were plated using the roll plate method outlined in M40-A2 onto SDA agar and incubated at 30°C for 48 h and then enumerated.

RESULTS

Results from Gram staining *Candida* spp. can be seen in Figure 1. All show the expected Gram positive appearance with typical round/oval budding morphology. All

strains of *Candida*, including *C. auris*, were successfully recovered by both STS. Both STS used were compliant with the M40-A2 criteria for all *Candida* spp. tested (Table 1). No overgrowth of organisms is shown when stored at 4°C with most of the STS showing a slight decrease in CFU after 48 h, whilst all STS show an increase in CFU after 48 h when stored at room temp (Figure 2).

DISCUSSION

Both swab transport systems have demonstrated successful and efficient recovery and maintenance of *Candida* spp. and of particular interest is the recovery of *C. auris*. Considering the recent increase in global concern for *C. auris* and its associated implications, as previously mentioned, and in light of the findings from this study, MWE Sigma Transwab® and Sigma Transwab® Purflock® can be described as very suitable for the recovery of *C. auris* and can be implemented in the viability and transport of this opportunistic pathogen and aid in the timely treatment of associated infections. In a recent study (16) several *Candida* spp. were recovered using other commercial STS based on the M40-A2 protocol, however, the study did not investigate three of the *Candida* spp. in this study including the *C. auris*. To our knowledge, this study is the first to evaluate STS efficiency and recovery of *C. auris* using the M40-A2 protocol. The M40-A2 does not currently directly address the recovery of yeasts, with the exception of guidance for urine transport systems (15). In this study it was found that when using the protocol for adjusting initial inocula, enumeration was lower than that of bacteria, as expected, due to the difference in size of yeast and bacterial cells. Of the *Candida* spp. used within this study, only *C. auris* is a known clinical isolate. Though using a panel of clinically isolated bacteria would better reflect the use of STS in clinical situations, the use of reference strain

cultures is in-line with the M40-A2 protocol which utilises quality control strains for testing STS. With regards to a direct comparison of each STS, though the swab tip of each STS used in this study varied, the results from the foam or flocked swab were similar. One study (17) claims that foam swabs are superior to flocked swabs, though this preference was due to foam swabs ability to perform better when used in antigen testing experiments and not a reflection of the specimen recovery and maintenance.

Within this study, overgrowth was seen in both swabs for *C. auris* and in the Sigma swab for *C. albicans* at room temperature. When stored at 4°C, no overgrowth was seen in either STS. With an increase of transport delays being seen due to increasing costs for containment and movement of services to more central laboratories ^[18], transport in cool temperatures is suitable, particularly for specimens of pathogenic yeast origin, and specimen maintenance would be ensured.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was funded by Medical Wire and Equipment, Corsham, UK and supported by the Faculty of Health and Applied Sciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK. The funders had no role in data collection and interpretation, or the decisions involved with submitting the work for publication.

REFERENCES

1. Yapar N. 2014. Epidemiology and risk factors for invasive candidiasis. *Ther Clin Risk Manag* 10:95–105.
2. Arendrup MC, Patterson TF. 2017. Multidrug-Resistant *Candida*: Epidemiology, Molecular Mechanisms, and Treatment. *J Infect Dis* 216:S445–S451.
3. Vecchione A, Florio W, Celandroni F, Barnini S, Lupetti A, Ghelardi E. 2017. Comparative evaluation of six chromogenic media for presumptive yeast identification. *J Clin Pathol* 70:1074–1078.
4. Satoh K, Makimura K, Hasumi Y, Nishiyama Y, Uchida K, Yamaguchi H. 2009. *Candida auris* sp. nov., a novel ascomycetous yeast isolated from the external ear canal of an inpatient in a Japanese hospital. *Microbiol Immunol* 53:41–44.
5. Lockhart SR, Etienne KA, Vallabhaneni S, Farooqi J, Chowdhary A, Govender NP, Colombo AL, Calvo B, Cuomo CA, Desjardins CA, Berkow EL, Castanheira M, Magobo RE, Jabeen K, Asghar RJ, Meis JF, Jackson B, Chiller T, Litvintseva AP. 2017. Simultaneous emergence of multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* on 3 continents confirmed by whole-genome sequencing and epidemiological analyses. *Clin Infect Dis* 64:134–140.
6. Kathuria S, Singh PK, Sharma C, Prakash A, Masih A, Kumar A, Meis JF, Chowdhary A. 2015. Multidrug-resistant *Candida auris* misidentified as *Candida haemulonii*: Characterization by matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization-time of flight mass spectrometry and DNA sequencing and its antifungal susceptibility profile variability by vitek 2, CL. *J Clin Microbiol* 53:1823–1830.
7. Lockhart SR, Jackson BR, Vallabhaneni S, Ostrosky-Zeichner L, Pappas PG,

- Chiller T. 2017. Thinking beyond the common *Candida* species: Need for speciation of *Candida* due to the emergence of multidrug resistant *Candida auris*. J Clin Microbiol 55:JCM.01355-17.
8. Lockhart SR, Berkow EL, Chow N, Welsh RM. 2017. *Candida auris* for the Clinical Microbiology Laboratory: Not Your Grandfather's *Candida* Species. Clin Microbiol Newsl 39:99–103.
9. Kordalewska M. 2017. Rapid and Accurate Molecular Identification of the Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Pathogen *Candida auris* 55:2445–2452.
10. Sarma S, Upadhyay S. 2017. Current perspective on emergence, diagnosis and drug resistance in *Candida auris*. Infect Drug Resist 10:155–165.
11. Tsay S, Kallen A, Jackson BR, Chiller TM, Vallabhaneni S. 2018. Approach to the Investigation and Management of Patients with *Candida auris*, an Emerging Multidrug-Resistant Yeast. Clin Infect Dis 66:306–311.
12. Sears D, Schwartz BS. 2017. *Candida auris*: An emerging multidrug-resistant pathogen. Int J Infect Dis 63:95–98.
13. Chowdhary A, Voss A, Meis JF. 2016. Multidrug-resistant *Candida auris*: 'new kid on the block' in hospital-associated infections? J Hosp Infect 94:209–212.
14. Gizzie N, Adukwu E. 2016. Evaluation of liquid-based swab transport systems against the new approved CLSI M40-A2 standard. J Clin Microbiol 54:1152–1156.
15. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute C. 2014. M40-A2: Quality Control of Microbiological Transport Systems; Approved Standard - Second Edition, 2nded.
16. Scansen KA, Bonsu BK, Stoner E, Mack K, Salamon D, Leber A, Marcon MJ. 2010. Comparison of polyurethane foam to nylon flocked swabs for collection

201 of secretions from the anterior nares in performance of a rapid influenza virus
 202 antigen test in a pediatric emergency department. J Clin Microbiol 48:852–856.
 203 17. Van Horn KG, Audette CD, Sebeck D, Tucker KA. 2008. Comparison of the
 204 copan ESwab system with two amies agar swab transport systems for
 205 maintenance of microorganism viability. J Clin Microbiol 46:1655–1658.

206 Table 1 - Qualitative results of bacterial recovery of *Candida* spp. isolated from the Sigma Transwab® (MW176S) and Sigma
 207 Transwab® Purflock® (MW176PF) at room temperature and at 4°C.

Organism	Swab	Temp.	Dilution 10 ⁻²				Dilution 10 ⁻³			
			0hr	24hr	48hr	Compliance	0hr	24hr	48hr	Compliance
<i>Candida auris</i> NCPF 8971	Sigma	RT	TNTC	TNTC	TNTC	✓	51	50	TNTC	✓
	Sigma	4°C	TNTC	179	183	✓	51	16	20	✓
	Purflock	RT	TNTC	TNTC	TNTC	✓	35	55	TNTC	✓
	Purflock	4°C	TNTC	TNTC	208	✓	35	43	29	✓
<i>Candida albicans</i> NCPF 3179	Sigma	RT	164	TNTC	TNTC	✓	14	117	255	✓
	Sigma	4°C	164	153	134	✓	14	14	13	✓
	Purflock	RT	176	TNTC	TNTC	✓	14	92	200	✓
	Purflock	4°C	176	100	133	✓	14	12	17	✓
<i>Candida tropicalis</i> NCPF 3111	Sigma	RT	128	TNTC	TNTC	✓	14	143	TNTC	✓
	Sigma	4°C	128	71	66	✓	14	4	5	✓
	Purflock	RT	102	TNTC	TNTC	✓	12	67	146	✓
	Purflock	4°C	102	77	55	✓	12	5	9	✓
<i>Candida parapsilosis</i> ATCC 22019	Sigma	RT	158	TNTC	TNTC	✓	7	46	236	✓
	Sigma	4°C	158	58	109	✓	7	10	6	✓
	Purflock	RT	135	TNTC	TNTC	✓	13	46	121	✓
	Purflock	4°C	135	120	154	✓	13	9	13	✓
<i>Candida glabrata</i> ATCC 2001	Sigma	RT	198	96	269	✓	16	8	20	✓
	Sigma	4°C	198	71	113	✓	16	4	10	✓
	Purflock	RT	171	182	260	✓	18	21	36	✓
	Purflock	4°C	171	197	165	✓	18	17	23	✓

208 TNTC – too numerous to count; RT – Room temperature. (n=3)

209
210
211
212
213
214
215
216
217
218
219
220
221
222
223
224

Figure 1 – Gram strain images of: (A) *C. auris* NCPF 8971; (B) *C. albicans* NCPF 3179; (C) *C. tropicalis* NCPF 3111; (D) *C. glabrata* ATCC 2001; (E) *C. parapsilosis* ATCC 22019. 100x magnification.

225
226
227
228
229
230
231
232
233
234
235

(A)

(B)

(C)

(D)

(E)

(F)

Figure 2 – Enumeration plates showing growth of *Candida auris* on SDA for (A) Sigma STS at T0; (B) Sigma STS at T48 and RT; (C) Sigma STS at T48 and 4°C; (D) Sigma Purflock STS at T0; (E) Sigma Purflock STS at T48 and RT; (F) Sigma Purflock STS at T48 and 4°C.