1 Vector-mediated viral transmission favours less virulent viruses

- 2
- 3 Emily J. Remnant, Niklas Mather, Thomas L. Gillard, Boris Yagound and Madeleine
- 4 Beekman
- 5
- 6 Behaviour and Genetics of Social Insects Laboratory, School of Life and Environmental
- 7 Sciences, The University of Sydney
- 8
- 9

10 Abstract

11 While it is well-established that the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor* is largely responsible 12 for the widely-reported decline of populations of the Western honeybee Apis mellifera, the 13 exact role the mite plays in honeybee health remains unclear. The last few years have seen a 14 surge in studies associating RNA viruses vectored by the mite with the death of honeybee colonies. Varroa facilitates the spread of RNA viruses because it feeds on developing bee brood 15 and transfers haemolymph from bee-to-bee. Such a change in transmission, from horizontal and 16 vertical to vector-based, is predicted to lead to an increase in virulence of RNA viruses, thus 17 potentially providing an explanation for the observed association between Varroa and certain 18 viruses. Here we document the effect of changing the route of transmission of honeybee viruses 19 20 contained in the haemolymph of honeybee pupae. We find that a change in mode of transmission rapidly increases viral titres of two honeybee viruses, Sacbrood virus (SBV) and 21 22 Black queen cell virus (BQCV). This increase in viral titre is accompanied by an increase in 23 virulence. In contrast, the virus most often associated with Varroa, Deformed wing virus (DWV), shows a reduction in viral titre in the presence of SBV and BQCV. In addition, DWV 24 does not cause mortality to honeybee pupae in isolation. Most likely a change in mode of 25 transmission due to the arrival of a vector quickly eliminates the most virulent honeybee viruses 26 27 resulting in an association between *Varroa* and less virulent viruses such as DWV. Our work therefore provides empirical evidence for an alternative explanation for the widely-observed 28 29 association between Varroa and DWV.

30

31 Keywords: Honeybee viruses, virulence, evolution, vector transmission.

32 Introduction

33 It is indisputable that the Western honeybee *Apis mellifera* suffers from the negative effects of 34 inappropriate use of pesticides¹ and a range of parasites and diseases². The most important 35 parasite today is the ectoparasitic mite *Varroa destructor*. The emergence of *V. destructor* is 36 the result of a host shift that occurred when *A. mellifera* and the Asian Hive Bee, *A. cerana*, 37 were brought into contact by beekeepers in the 1930s³.

Varroa destructor (hereafter simply referred to as *Varroa*) is aptly named. When left untreated, *Varroa* typically destroys the colonies of its host⁴. In Europe and the United States managed honeybee colonies suffer greatly from *Varroa* and require constant treatment with miticides to prevent colonies from dying. At the same time, wild or feral honeybee populations have been decimated or gone extinct⁵.

Varroa females feed on the haemolymph of the developing bees and in doing so are thought to vector viruses carried therein^{6,7}. Although a variety of viruses could potentially be transmitted by *Varroa*⁸, one in particular – Deformed wing virus (DWV) – is strongly associated with *Varroa*. For example, as *Varroa* sequentially invaded the islands of Hawaii, viral titres of DWV increased, while the diversity of DWV viral strains decreased, such that a single strain came to dominate after a few years⁹. A similar phenomenon was seen in New Zealand where titres of DWV dramatically increased with the length of exposure to *Varroa*¹⁰.

Vector-based transmission is predicted to lead to an increase in virulence because it changes 50 the evolutionary trade-off between virulence and transmission¹¹. While an obligate parasite is 51 selected to replicate quickly, so that it can infect as many hosts as possible, a high rate of 52 53 replication may kill the host before the parasite is transmitted to its next host. Selection will thus act against a pathogen that kills or immobilises its host if this reduces its long-term 54 55 transmission success^{12,13}. The arrival of a vector changes the dynamics of the transmissionvirulence trade-off. If a pathogen can harness a mobile vector to facilitate its spread to new 56 57 hosts, then it no longer relies on its current host for transmission.

An increase in virulence after a change in route of transmission was recently documented in 58 59 the obligate endosymbiont Wolbachia and one of its native hosts, the isopod Armadillidium vulgare. Because Wolbachia is normally transmitted vertically, via eggs, it requires its host to 60 be alive and reach reproductive age. Hence, Wolbachia tends to form symbiotic relationships 61 with its hosts. However, when the route of transmission was changed from vertical to 62 63 horizontal, by injecting *Wolbachia* directly into the haemolymph of the host, *Wolbachia* titres 64 quickly escalated and infections became highly virulent, resulting in the death of the hosts after only a few serial passages¹⁴. 65

66 At first glance the association between Varroa and DWV seems to fit the predicted change in virulence after the arrival of a vector, and thus a change in mode of transmission. However, 67 honey bees host many viruses that are both common and widespread ^{8,15} including viruses that, 68 like DWV, are present in Varroa and can also be vector-transmitted (eg. viruses of the Acute 69 bee paralysis virus complex (ABPV and Kashmir bee virus, etc.)¹⁶). This raises the question: 70 71 why has DWV become synonymous with Varroa infestation, but not other honey bee viruses? 72 An alternative explanation for the observed association is that more virulent viruses are eliminated from the population due to excessive host mortality following vector-based 73 74 transmission, thereby allowing less virulent DWV to take the upper hand^{10,17,18}. Here we test this alternative explanation empirically using a population of honeybees naïve to both Varroa 75 76 and DWV.

77 We experimentally changed the transmission of bee viruses from horizontal (via faeces and 78 feeding) to vector-mediated transmission by performing a serial passage experiment. We 79 injected extracts from bee pupa to bee pupa repeatedly for up to 30 transmission cycles and found that two viruses naturally present in our bee population, Sacbrood virus (SBV) and Black 80 queen cell virus (BQCV), rapidly increased in titre. In contrast, DWV introduced via injection 81 rapidly decreased in titre accompanied by a rapid increase in titres of SBV and BQCV. More 82 importantly, DWV alone did not cause mortality in pupae, whereas injection with serially 83 passaged bee extracts containing high titres of SBV and BQCV did. We conclude that the 84 85 observed association between Varroa and DWV may not necessarily be due to Varroa increasing the virulence of DWV, but could be explained by *Varroa* eliminating other viruses 86 87 that become more virulent when the mode of transmission changes. 88

89 **Results**

90 Experimental overview

91 To mimic the effects of changing to a new, vector-based transmission route we serially injected 92 honey bee pupae with viruses and monitored the changes in virus levels. Injecting honeybee 93 extracts into pupae has previously been used to incubate viruses prior to serological experiments¹⁹ and to obtain standardised inoculum for injection experiments²⁰. We adapted this 94 95 protocol to conduct serial transmission of honeybee extracts by pupal injection for 20+ transmission cycles. We performed two independent transmission experiments with different 96 97 starting inoculum: (1) extracts obtained from asymptomatic (DWV-naïve) honeybees; and (2) 98 extracts obtained from symptomatic (DWV-infected) honeybees.

99

100 1. Serial transmission of asymptomatic (DWV-naïve) inoculum

101 In our first experiment (Figure 1A; Serial Transmission 1), we took our starting inoculum from 102 adults sampled from three asymptomatic honeybee colonies from Sydney, Australia (lacking 103 DWV and naïve to Varroa, referred to hereafter as colonies 1, 2 and 3). We subjected whiteeved pupae from the same three colonies to each of three treatments: (1) pupae injected with 104 105 inoculum containing viruses; (2) pupae injected with extraction buffer as a procedural control 106 ('buffer'); and (3) pupae left unmanipulated ('control'). After 4 days, we harvested pupae for 107 extraction to generate inoculum for the next transmission cycle. We passaged inoculum for 20 108 transmission cycles (18 for colony 3; see Materials and Methods).

109 We used end-point PCR to screen for the presence of the five known viruses present in 110 Australia²¹ in our initial adult workers and in pupae sampled at regular intervals during the 18-20 serial transmission cycles. We detected two just two viruses: Sacbrood virus (SBV) and 111 112 Black Queen Cell virus (BQCV). Control pupae did not test positive for SBV and BQCV. In 113 contrast, buffer-injected procedural controls occasionally tested positive for SBV and BQCV. 114 It has been well documented that the effect of injection procedure alone can cause the irruption 115 of latent viral diseases in bees¹⁹, in line with our observations of SBV and BQCV in our buffer, 116 but not unmanipulated, control pupae.

- 117
- 118 Serial transmission results in a rapid increase in viral titre

To determine whether serial transmission resulted in increased viral titres, we assessed expression levels of SBV and BQCV using quantitative PCR and compared those to the expression levels of two endogenous control genes, *Actin* and *Rps5* (see Materials and Methods). We standardised between the three independent colonies and transmission cycles by re-injecting bee extract from colonies 1-3, transmission cycles 1, 5, 7, 10, 15 and 18 (colony 3)

or 20 (colonies 1 and 2) into pupae sourced from an independent colony and performed qPCR
on these samples, together with buffer-injected and unmanipulated controls.

Both SBV and BQCV virus showed a rapid increase in titre (Figure 2, Table S2). Compared to control and buffer-injected pupae, BQCV levels increased in pupae injected with bee extract after only one transmission cycle, after which levels remained the same (Figure 2 A). Levels of SBV remained low after one transmission cycle but had increased by transmission cycle 5 and remained high thereafter (Figure 2 B).

- To correlate viral titres as measured by qPCR to total RNA content, we examined the amount of viral RNA in pupae injected with bee extract after 20 transmission cycles (colonies 1 and 2, as colony 3 was no longer available due to the loss of the colony's queen) using HiSeq (Illumina) total RNA sequencing. BQCV and SBV levels made up the vast majority of nonribosomal RNA in pupae, collectively accounting for 92.6% and 86% of total RNA in colony 1 and 2 pupae, respectively. BQCV levels reached approximately 60%, while SBV levels ranged between 26-35% (Figure 3 A, Table S3).
- 138

139 2. Serial transmission of DWV results in a decrease in DWV titre

140 We then repeated our serial transmission experiment (Figure 1A, Serial Transmission 2) using 141 inoculum obtained from 5 symptomatic, DWV-infected adult bees from New Zealand (see Material and Methods, including details of quarantine permits), and injecting into lab reared 142 143 pupae obtained from 2 independent recipient honeybee colonies (referred to as colony 4 and 5; 144 naïve to both DWV and Varroa). We passaged inoculum for 30 transmission cycles. We 145 guantified the total amount of viral RNA in the initial adults (DWV source) and after 1, 10, 20 146 and 30 transmission cycles in pupae 4 days post-injection, along with buffer-injected and 147 control pupae taken from cycle 20 using HiSeq (Illumina) sequencing (Figure 3 B, Table S3).

Almost 90% of non-ribosomal RNA came from DWV in our original inoculum, suggesting 148 149 that the viral load of symptomatic honey bees can reach extreme levels (Figure 3 B; 'DWV 150 source'; Table S3). After one transmission cycle, DWV levels reached 25-32% of total non-151 ribosomal RNA in injected pupae from both colonies. Thereafter, DWV levels decreased 152 rapidly until only a small amount (<10%) of RNA could be attributed to DWV after 10 153 transmission cycles (Figure 3 B; Table S3). The decrease in DWV titres was accompanied by 154 an increase in BQCV and SBV titre (Figure 3 B), similar to the increase seen in our serial 155 transmission experiment without the inclusion of DWV (Figure 3 A). In the buffer injected 156 pupae from colony 4, we also saw high levels of SBV, indicating that the injection procedure 157 alone can result in increase in endogenous virus levels, in line with previous observations ¹⁹.

158 We also saw a shift in DWV strain composition. DWV is known to comprise of 3 main master variants: strain DWV-A, DWV-B and DWV-C^{22,23}. Strain A is globally associated with 159 increased viral titres and colony decline^{9,24}. Strain B is an emerging DWV genotype that has 160 161 increased virulence compared to DWV-A in laboratory experiments, but has also been found 162 in colonies that seem to cope with the presence of Varroa^{17,20,22,23} (the effect of strain C is currently unknown). Our original inoculum contained low amounts of strain B (0.34% of total 163 164 viral RNA) which had increased after 20 transmission cycles, particularly in colony 5 (1.66%), 165 only to drop again after 30 cycles (Figure 3 B, Table S3). The total amount of RNA attributable 166 to virus ranged between 88-97% in pupae injected with virus inoculum at all cycles tested, in 167 contrast with control (0.3-0.4% virus) and buffer samples (62% in colony 4 (mentioned above), 168 and 0.18% in colony 5).

169

170 Injecting pupae with serially transmitted SBV and BQCV results in high mortality while DWV
171 alone does not

To compare the virulence of our serially passaged extracts, we injected lab-reared white-eyed pupae with inoculum extracted from our DWV source adults, inoculum from Serial transmission experiment 1, cycle 20 (containing BQCV/SBV, without DWV), and inoculum from Serial transmission experiment 2, cycle 20 (containing DWV/BQCV/SBV). We performed two independent mortality experiments, testing inoculum from cycle 20 from colony 1 and 4 in one independent source colony, and colony 2 and 5 in a second independent source colony (see Figure 1 B for schematic).

179 Overall survival was significantly affected by treatment in both assays (respectively χ^2_4 = 235.68, p < 0.00001, n = 300 and $\chi^2_4 = 355.21$, p < 0.00001, n = 300; Table S4). Mortality of 180 pupae when injected with DWV alone was not statistically different from buffer-injected 181 182 controls (both p > 0.153; Figure 4, Table S4). When pupae were injected with cycle 20 inoculum 183 from both serial transmission experiments, mortality between inoculum with and without DWV 184 were not statistically different (both p > 0.068; Figure 4, Table S4). In both instances, mortality 185 was much higher compared to buffer-injected pupae and pupae injected with DWV alone (all 186 p < 0.00001; Figure 4, Table S4). Clearly, increased mortality is due to the increased titres of SBV and BQCV, not due to the presence of DWV. When testing the effect of 'source colony' 187 188 on pupae survival we found that our first source colony had a significantly higher survival than 189 the second ($\chi^2_1 = 4.90$, p = 0.0268, n = 600). However, the overall result was the same for both 190 colonies. 'Replicate' had no significant effect on survival in both colonies (respectively χ^2_4 = 5.87, p = 0.209, n = 300 and $\chi^2_4 = 8.84$, p = 0.065, n = 300, Table S4). 191 192

193 **Discussion**

194 We aimed to investigate the effect of changing the route of transmission, from horizontal and, 195 in some cases vertical, to vector-transmitted, to determine if such a change in route of 196 transmission alone is sufficient to increase virulence of RNA viruses contained in the 197 haemolymph of honeybees. We found that two viruses, Sacbrood virus (SBV) and Black queen 198 cell virus (BQCV) rapidly increased in titres when injected into white-eyed pupae. In contrast, 199 when we injected inoculum containing high titres of Deformed wing virus (DWV) strain A, DWV viral titres rapidly decreased, most likely due to competition with SBV and BQCV. 200 201 Interestingly, injecting high titres of DWV strain A into pupae did not result in the death of the 202 pupae, indicating that this strain of DWV does not kill developing brood. Injecting high titres 203 of SBV and BOCV did result in high mortality.

204 Both SBV and BQCV are brood diseases; young larvae normally become infected early on 205 via feeding by adult bees²⁵. When brood dies from either virus, nurse bees will remove and 206 partially cannibalise the dead brood, thus themselves accumulating the virus. Because both 207 viruses end up in the bees' hypopharyngeal gland (in which brood food is produced), nurse bees transmit the viruses when feeding young larvae²⁵. Under natural conditions, and in the absence 208 209 of Varroa, both SBV and BQCV were found to occur at a frequency of around 10% in summer in Britain using immunodiffusion tests²⁶. Both viruses are easily detected when bee extract from 210 211 adult bees is injected into pupae²⁷, indicating that both viruses are present at low incidences 212 without causing overt infections, and readily amplify upon injection into pupae. In Australia, 213 BQCV was found in 65% and SBV in 35% of hives using more sensitive molecular detection 214 methods, further indicating high viral prevalence in the absence of overt infections²¹. Our 215 results suggest that repeated vector-mediated transmission of bee extract containing SBV or 216 BQCV will rapidly lead to such high viral titres that the brood never develops to adulthood. 217 Our experimental conditions were restricted to pupae, as our quarantine permits required 218 injected pupae to be terminated prior to eclosion. Therefore, our results reflect conditions that 219 are favourable to replication in brood, as we harvested injected pupae randomly, regardless of 220 whether they would have successfully eclosed. Considering that Varroa parasitises brood 221 initially, the process of vector-mediated transmission similarly begins in brood. However in 222 contrast to our experimental conditions, only those surviving to eclosion will harbour the 223 viruses that are selected for. This suggests that *Varroa* selects against high replication of viruses 224 causing brood mortality, whereas our selective regime did the opposite.

Another virus commonly found in honey bees, Acute Bee Paralysis Virus (ABPV), cannot replicate when injected into pupae that already contain either SBV or BQCV²⁶, showing that indeed SBV and BQCV are highly competitive, probably due to their ability to replicate rapidly.

228 ABPV, and the closely related Kashmir Bee virus (KBV) and Israeli Acute Bee virus (IAPV), 229 are often the first viruses to be associated with the arrival of *Varroa* before they are gradually 230 displaced by DWV^{9,28}. A study documenting the change in viral landscape as *Varroa* invaded 231 the islands of New Zealand, found negative associations between KBV and DWV and between 232 DWV and SBV in both bee and mite samples, while SBV and BQCV were positively associated 233 in both bees and mites¹⁰. As the time since the arrival of *Varroa* increased, the prevalence of 234 KBV, SBV and BQCV decreased, while DWV increased¹⁰. These results are consistent with 235 the hypothesis that the succession of honeybee viruses after the arrival of *Varroa* is due to the 236 most virulent viruses being selected against, if *Varroa* transmission facilitates an increase in replication rate ^{6,18}. Our results are the first to provide experimental evidence for this 237 238 hypothesis.

239 Clearly honeybee colonies contain a number of different viruses, both of different species as 240 well as different strains of the same species given the high mutation and replication rates of 241 RNA viruses²⁹. Competition amongst viruses drives virulence^{30,31}. Inevitably some viruses are more virulent than others. When virulence is too high, the host is likely to die before it has a 242 243 chance to emerge and transmit the virus to other bees via feeding or faeces. Thus, viruses that 244 are too virulent will be selected against. The arrival of a vector changes the dynamics, as now 245 even highly virulent strains can be transmitted if they manage to get into the vector. But such 246 an increase in the prevalence of highly virulent viruses is bound to be temporary if the vector 247 is killed in the process. Because a vector such as *Varroa* depends on the bee to complete its 248 development (the female mites emerge from the brood cell together with the emerging bee), its 249 arrival will not improve long-term transmissibility of virulent variants, thus leading to the 250 succession from highly virulent viral species to less virulent species as documented in New 251 Zealand¹⁰.

252 The last few years have seen a surge in publications that link the arrival of Varroa to the 253 emergence of specific strains of DWV^{9,10,22-24}. Initially it was thought that DWV strain A was the most virulent strain while strain B was considered to be more benign^{22,32}. However, this 254 255 simple interpretation now seems questionable, as recently strain B has been associated with colony losses¹⁷ and appears to be more virulent in an experimental setting²⁰. Regardless, the 256 257 prevailing wisdom is that *Varroa* has led to a change in virulence of an otherwise relatively benign virus by changing the virus' mode of transmission, thus modifying the virulence-258 259 transmission tradeoff⁹. The association between DWV and *Varroa* is so strong, that many now 260 claim that it is the virus that needs to be controlled, not the mite, if we want to protect the bees. 261 We offer experimental evidence for an alternative explanation for the association between 262 Varroa and DWV. In the presence of more virulent viruses such as SBV and BQCV, DWV is

outcompeted and, if present at all, often below detection level in the absence of *Varroa*. The arrival of *Varroa* quickly selects for an increase in the prevalence of the most virulent viruses until they become so virulent their transmission grinds to a halt due to the death of the brood and thus the mites. Now more benign viruses such as DWV can make their appearance. Hence, perhaps instead of *Varroa* actively selecting for specific, virulent strains of DWV, DWV is simply 'the last virus standing' after more virulent species have been selected against.

269

270 Materials and Methods

271 We used honeybees (Apis mellifera) of standard Australian commercial stock that had been 272 kept at the University of Sydney's apiary for multiple years without showing any symptoms of 273 disease. Varroa is not present in Australia. Moreover, it is widely accepted that DWV is not established in Australia after a recent comprehensive, country-wide survey ²¹. A second study 274 275 showed that strains distantly related to DWV are present in the northern states of Australia (NT 276 and QLD). Next-generation transcriptome sequencing identified contigs showing 53-69% amino acid identity to DWV strains A and B³³. This indicates that in some regions of Australia, 277 honeybees host a related virus that is distinct from previously characterised DWV variants. 278 279 Nevertheless, the colonies used in all of our experiments were sourced from regions where no 280 trace of DWV has been identified in previous surveys, including our own. In addition, we did not detect DWV in our serial transmission experiment or in subsequent next generation 281 282 sequencing of controls (see further). We thus conclude that our bees were naïve to DWV.

283

284 1. Serial transmission experiment

285 *1.1 Inoculum preparation*

286 We modified the extraction protocol from Roberts and Anderson³⁴. For our first serial transmission experiment, we sampled healthy, DWV-naïve adult bees collected from hive 287 288 entrances of three separate colonies (colonies 1, 2 and 3, Figure 1A). For each colony, we 289 crushed the thorax and abdomen of five bees in 2ml 0.5 M potassium phosphate buffer (pH 8), 290 removed the lysate by pipetting, then added 5% v/v diethyl ether and 10% v/v chloroform and 291 centrifuged the tubes at 12,000 rpm for 2 minutes. We removed the supernatant and filtered it 292 through a 0.22 µm bacterial filter to remove non-viral pathogens. We then diluted the extracts 293 with potassium phosphate buffer by a factor of 10⁻³. Dilution was necessary because injection 294 of undiluted honeybee extract rapidly kills pupae, potentially due to carryover of toxic 295 metabolites (J. Roberts; personal communication). This dilution factor was chosen based on 296 pilot experiments, where we injected 10-fold serial dilutions of adult and pupal bee extracts into white-eye pupae (ranging from undiluted through to 10⁻⁶). A 10⁻³ dilution gave the highest 297

298 concentration that showed no signs of lethality 1 day post-injection. We added 10% v/v green 299 food dye to the bee extract prior to injection to check if injections had been successful. We 300 injected the DWV-free inoculum obtained from each colony into pupae obtained from the same 301 three colonies (1, 2 and 3) as described below.

To obtain DWV for our second serial transmission experiment, we sourced bees visibly showing symptoms of DWV from the top bars of frames from *Varroa* infected colonies in New Zealand (see below for Quarantine details). We cut the thorax and abdomen of five adult bees sagitally in halves and used one half of each bee for inoculum preparation as described above. We kept the other half at -70°C under quarantine conditions for later whole-transcriptome sequencing. We used DWV-containing inoculum as the starting material for injecting into three independent colonies (colonies 4, 5 and 6) as described below.

309

310 *1.2 Injection Procedure*

311 We took 75 white-eyed pupae from their brood comb from each of the six experimental 312 colonies, and distributed pupae into three treatment groups of 25: experimental, buffer and control. We injected the experimental group with 2 µL of initial honeybee inoculum using a 313 314 Hamilton 10 μ l syringe and a 0.3mm needle. As described above, pupae from colonies 1, 2 and 315 3 were injected with 2µl inoculum taken from DWV-free, asymptomatic nestmate bees, and 316 pupae from colonies 4, 5 and 6 were injected with inoculum obtained from DWV-symptomatic 317 bees from New Zealand (Figure 1 A). We injected the buffer group (procedural control) with 2 318 µL of potassium phosphate buffer to control for the effect of injection. Experimental and buffer 319 group pupae were injected between the fourth and fifth abdominal tergites. We did not perform 320 any further manipulations on the control group. After injections we placed pupae in petri dishes 321 lined with filter paper soaked in 12% glycerol and incubated them at 34.5°C. All pupae were 322 stored in the lab in our approved guarantine facility under guarantine conditions. After 4 days, 323 we froze the pupae at -70°C until required. To prepare for the next round of injections we 324 selected five pupae for extraction using a random number generator. We randomly selected 325 another five to determine viral levels using real-time quantitative PCR, and kept the remaining 326 fifteen in reserve. Extracts from previous transmission cycles were then injected into the next 327 round of white-eyed pupae collected from brood combs originating from the same six 328 experimental colonies. For the DWV-naïve transmission experiment, we concluded a total of 329 20 transmission cycles for colonies 1 and 2 whereas the third colony replaced its queen so that 330 we were unable to collect pupae beyond 18 transmission cycles. For our DWV-positive 331 transmission experiment, we concluded 30 transmission cycles for colonies 4 and 5, whereas

the queen from colony 6 was replaced during the 4th transmission cycle and thus this colony
 was excluded from any further analysis.

334

335 1.3 Detection of viruses

336 We used end-point PCR to screen for the presence of viruses in our starting colonies. We used Trizol (Life Technologies) to extract RNA from 12 uninjected bees collected from colonies 1-337 338 3 at the beginning of the first serial transmission experiment. For our second serial transmission 339 experiment we extracted RNA from 6 pupae from colonies 4-6, sampled at the time of 340 transmission cycle 1. We quantified RNA using a Qubit Broad Range Assay (Life 341 Technologies), and normalised to 200 ng/µl before treatment with DNAse. We synthesised first 342 strand cDNA from 0.5ug total RNA using SuperScript III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) 343 and random hexamer primers. We performed PCRs to screen for presence of BQCV, SBV, 344 IAPV and Lake Sinai Virus (LSV), as these viruses are most commonly found in Australian 345 bees ²¹, using the primers described in Table S1 with an initial 5 min denaturing step at 94 °C, followed by 38 cycles of 94 °C for 1 min, annealing temperature for 1 min and 72 °C for 1 min 346 per kb of product, with a final extension step for 10 min at 72 °C. We visualised PCR products 347 on a 1.5% agarose gel using SYBR Safe DNA stain (Life Technologies). Positive PCR products 348 349 were sequenced by Macrogen and identity confirmed by BLAST to NCBI GenBank online 350 database.

We screened for viruses throughout the experiment using endpoint PCR at various timepoints. For serial transmission experiment 1, we examined 5 pupae from all three treatment groups at transmission cycles 1, 5, 7, 10, 13 and 15. For serial transmission experiment 2 we examined 3 pupae from all treatment groups at transmission cycles 1, 10, and 20 to validate the presence of DWV in our experimental group, and the absence of DWV from our buffer and control groups.

356

357 2. Assessment of virus levels

358 2.1 Serial transmission experiment 1- Quantitative Real-time PCR

359 To compare the viral titres between colonies 1-3 after serial transmission with extracts sourced 360 from DWV-naïve bees, we collected white-eyed pupae from an independent colony to 361 standardise for colony background. We injected bee extracts from experimental groups of 362 colonies 1-3, from transmission cycles 1, 5, 7, 10, 15 and 20 (cycle 18 for colony 3), into 10 363 white-eyed pupae, along with 10 buffer-injected procedural controls and 10 uninjected 364 (unmanipulated) controls (see Figure 1 B for a schematic representation of the experiment). We 365 randomly selected five pupae from each group and extracted RNA from each pupa separately 366 in 1 mL of Trizol. We treated the RNA with DNAse and performed cDNA synthesis using the

367 same method described above. We then diluted cDNA to a final concentration of 27 ng/µl. We 368 created negative controls for the qPCR assay by pooling extracted RNA from samples drawn 369 from the same treatment group and transmission cycle, treating them with DNAse and mixing 370 them with all the reagents for cDNA synthesis except the reverse transcriptase enzyme. We 371 created the standards for our qPCR assay by taking previous cDNA samples with high levels 372 of SBV and BQCV, then performing a serial dilution over 3 orders of magnitude.

373 We designed qPCR primers to amplify SBV and BQCV and used previously published 374 primers for β -Actin, Ef1- α , and Rps5, which served as endogenous controls (Table S1). We 375 confirmed the specificity of each primer pair via melt-curve analysis and gel electrophoresis. 376 We performed the assay using a Roche LightCycler 480 using 2x SYBR Master Mix (Roche 377 Technologies). We pre-incubated the reactions (95°C, 10 minutes) prior to 45 amplification 378 cycles (95°C, 10 seconds; 58°C 10 seconds; 72°C, 10 seconds), and measured fluorescence at 379 each extension step. We obtained Cq values using the second derivative maximum method 380 using the Roche LightCycler 480 software. The same software was used to calculate the 381 efficiencies of each set of primers from the standard curves on each plate.

382

383 Statistical analysis – quantitative real-time PCR

We compared the stability of each reference gene in Bestkeeper³⁵ and used the two most stable

reference genes, *Actin* and *Rps5*, to normalise the expression of BQCV and SBV in all samples.

386 The expression level of each gene was calculated as E^{Cq}_{min} - Cq_i , where E is the efficiency of the

primers calculated, Cq_{min} is the lowest Cq value for a given gene and Cq_i is the Cq of sample *i*.

388 The expression of each of SBV and BQCV in each sample was then normalised against the 389 geometric mean of the expression levels for both reference genes to obtain the final relative

390 expression score³⁶. To compare the viral titres between each transmission cycle and between

391 colonies 1-3 in our pupal quantitative PCR, we performed a one-way ANOVA (Table S2).

392

393 2.2 Serial transmission experiment 2- Whole transcriptome RNA sequencing

394 To compare virus levels between colonies 4 and 5 after serial transmission with DWV-395 inoculum, we extracted RNA from five randomly selected pupae 4 days post-injection for each 396 of transmission cycles 1, 10, 20 and 30, as well as buffer injected and unmanipulated control 397 pupae from transmission cycle 20. We also extracted RNA from five pupae from transmission 398 cycle 20 from colonies 1 and 2 from serial transmission experiment 1 (DWV-naïve 399 transmission). Finally, we extracted RNA from our DWV source population, using the stored 400 half of adult bees from which the haemolymph containing DWV was initially extracted (see 401 Figure 1 B for schematic). We extracted RNA using 1mL Trizol as outlined above. After DNase

402 treatment, we used an RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen) to clean RNA to a total of $\geq 5\mu g$. Cleaned 403 RNA was diluted to a minimum of $100 \text{ ng/}\mu\text{L}$ into a $50 \mu\text{L}$ aliquot and stored at -70°C until it 404 was shipped on dry ice to the Australian Genome Research Facility (AGRF) laboratory 405 (Melbourne, Australia) for preparation of whole transcriptome, 100bp paired-end library with 406 ribosome depletion (Ribo-zero Gold (human/mouse/rat)), and HiSeq (Illumina) sequencing. 407 We multiplexed fifteen libraries across two lanes, providing between 3.13-4.2 Gb data per 408 library, for a total data yield of 56.74 Gb. The raw sequencing reads from this project have been 409 deposited to Genbank under the Bioproject ID PRJNA397460 at the Sequence Read Archive 410 (SRA Study ID: SRP114989).

- 411
- 412 Sequencing data analysis

413 We performed an initial *de novo* assembly of sequencing reads for each sample using Trinity³⁷. 414 To determine which honey bee viruses were present, we used BLAST searches to compare 415 Trinity-assembled contigs to a custom honey bee virus database containing all currently known 416 honey bee virus genome sequences. We found contigs matching to BQCV and SBV in all samples. DWV contigs were present in assemblies from the DWV source population and cycles 417 418 1-30 of the DWV serial transmission experiment. In addition, the DWV source population also contained contigs matching to the recently described Apis Rhabdovirus 1 and 2 (ARV-1 and 419 420 ARV-2)³⁸. However the levels of these viruses were below 0.05% of the total RNA reads (Table 421 S3), and were subsequently not detected in any further transmission cycles. We found no other 422 viruses in our samples. Interestingly, short contigs for DWV were also assembled from our 423 buffer injected and unmanipulated controls. Previous studies using Illumina HiSeq and MiSeq 424 technology have reported 'sample bleeding' due to reads being incorrectly assigned to the wrong 425 sample source when multiplexed in the same sequencing lane^{20,39}. To assess the level of DWV 426 read misassignment in our buffer and control samples, we aligned sequencing reads of each 427 sample to DWV-A and DWV-B genomes using Bowtie2⁴⁰. All control samples showed less 428 than 0.02% of total reads aligning to DWV. This level was similar to the level of multiplex 429 sample bleeding that we observed in a negative control sample. In addition, we were unable to 430 amplify DWV using PCR from cDNA synthesised from our buffer and control samples, 431 therefore we concluded that the DWV contigs present in our controls are a result of inaccurate 432 sample assignment of reads during the multiplexed HiSeq sequencing run.

Prior to analysing the viral content of our transcriptomes, we assessed the proportion of residual ribosomal RNA (rRNA) reads, as complete ribosome depletion may not be obtained when using Ribo Zero Gold (human/mouse/rat) for invertebrate samples. We identified *Apis mellifera* rRNA from Trinity contigs by BLAST searches and aligned reads for each sample

using Bowtie2. The percentage of residual rRNA reads ranged from 2.5 - 46% of total RNA
reads per library (Table S3). These values were factored into any subsequent viral percentage
calculations.

440 To determine the viral content in each treatment condition, we aligned sequencing reads of 441 each sample to BQCV, SBV, DWV-A and DWV-B genomes using Bowtie2. We used 442 representative SBV and BQCV contigs assembled *de novo* from our samples as the template 443 for Bowtie2 alignments, as our SBV and BQCV strains differed significantly to the reference 444 SBV and BQCV genomes from Genbank (SBV: 92.6% nucleotide identity to AF092924⁴¹; 445 BQCV: 89.2% nucleotide identity to AF183905⁴²). We used DWV-A and B reference 446 sequences available in Genbank (AJ489744 and AY251269) as the template for Bowtie2 447 alignments, as the DWV strain assembled from our DWV source population matched to DWV-A with high nucleotide identity (98.7%). The reference SBV, BQCV and source DWV 448 449 sequences used in this study have been deposited to Genbank under accession numbers 450 MF623170, MF623171 and MF623172. We compared the SBV and BQCV sequences from our 451 study to published available SBV and BQCV genomes. We performed nucleotide alignments in Geneious (v10.2.4⁴³) using Muscle and generated phylogenetic trees using PhyML (Figure 452 453 S1).

454

455 *3. Assessment of virulence*

456 *3.1 Pupal survival screen*

457 To assess the virulence of the viruses contained in the inoculum generated after serial 458 transmission cycles in experiment 1 (containing SBV/BQCV) and experiment 2 (containing 459 DWV/SBV/BQCV), we developed a mortality assay using change in pupal eye colour to 460 determine pupal mortality. As pupae develop, pigments such as ommochromin are deposited in 461 the compound eyes and ocelli, causing a change in colour from white, through pink and red, to 462 the endpoint black⁴⁴ (see Figure S2). By comparing the colour of a pupa's eyes over consecutive 463 days, we developed an assay that allowed us to determine the point in time a pupa died. A pupa 464 was determined to have died when its eyes had ceased changing colour over two consecutive photographs, and/or when the compound eye had retracted from the cuticle (Figure S2). 465

We sourced pupae from 2 independent honeybee colonies and injected them with inoculum as per our serial transmission protocol. We injected inoculum from transmission cycle 20 from Colony 1 (BQCV/SBV) and Colony 4 (DWV/BQCV/SBV) for the first trial, and Colony 2 and Colony 5 for the second trial, along with the DWV source inoculum, buffer and unmanipulated controls (12 pupae per replicate, 5 replicates per colony, see Figure 1 B for schematic). We placed pupae into 0.6mL 96 well PCR plates so that we could monitor their development by

472 taking photographs of their eyes two times per day. We used a 1.5% w/v agar gel as a substrate 473 to maintain moisture and standardise the height of pupae, and added 0.01g $100mL^{-1}$ copper 474 sulphate to the hot agar prior to pouring in order to inhibit fungal growth. We photographed 475 pupae using a Nikon D5100 camera with a Tamron 60mm F/2 macro lens and terminated the 476 experiment at day 6 prior to eclosion.

477

478 3.1 Statistical analysis – survival screen

479 We compared the pupae's survival with Cox's proportional hazards survival analyses using R-480 $3.3.3^{45}$ with the package survival⁴⁶. We checked the log-linearity of covariates by plotting the Cox models' martingale residuals against fitted values⁴⁷. We checked the proportional hazards 481 482 assumption of the Cox regression models following Grambsh & Therneau⁴⁸ (all p > 0.05). In each Cox model we investigated for each colony the effect of 'treatment' (i.e. control, buffer, 483 pure DWV, serially transmitted BQCV and SBV, serially transmitted BQCV, SBV and DWV) 484 485 on pupae survival. We also included 'replicate' as a covariate, as well as the interaction between 486 treatment and replicate in all models. Since this interaction proved to be non significant in both 487 models (respectively colony 1: p = 0.214 and colony 2: p = 0.244), we removed the interaction 488 and recalculated the model. Post-hoc *p*-values were corrected for multiple comparisons 489 following the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure ⁴⁹.

490

491 *Quarantine permits*

Frozen worker honey bee samples containing Deformed wing virus were imported from the New Zealand Institute for Plant and Food Research, Hamilton, under our Department of Agriculture and Water Resources import permit 0000917783. To work with DWV in Australia, we require a quarantine permit that restricts us from injecting imported viruses into adult bees. However, we are permitted to inject into pupae provided we terminate experiments prior to eclosion. Therefore, we injected inoculate into white-eyed pupae, and we terminated each cycle after 4 days to enable sufficient time for viral replication, while avoiding eclosion (Figure 1 A).

500 Data Availability

501 The raw sequencing reads from this project have been deposited to Genbank under the 502 Bioproject ID PRJNA397460 at the Sequence Read Archive (SRA Study ID: SRP114989). The 503 reference SBV, BQCV and source DWV sequences used in this study have been deposited to 504 Genbank under accession numbers MF623170, MF623171 and MF623172.

- 505
- 506

507	Acknowledgements				
508	We thank the Australian Research Council (ARC) for financial support (FT120100120 an				
509	DP170100844 to MB) and the University of Sydney's Marie Bashir Institute for Infectiou				
510	Dise	Diseases and Biosecurity for seed funding (to EJR and MB). BY is supported by the Fysser			
511	Foundation. We thank The New Zealand Institute for Plant & Food Research for providing				
512	DW	v samples.			
513	A+1	an contributions			
514	FIR	Author contributions			
516	EIR	EIR NM TLG BY and MB analysed the data EIR and MB wrote the paper			
517	Lur,	This, TES, ET and ThE analysed the data. Est and ThE wrote the puper.			
518	Competing financial interests				
519	The	The authors declare no competing financial interests			
520					
521	Refe	References			
522	1	Carreck, N. L. & Ratnieks, F. L. W. Will neonicotinoid moratorium save the bees?			
523		<i>Res. Fortnight</i> 415 , 20 and 22 (2013).			
524	2	Cornman, R. S. <i>et al.</i> Pathogen webs in collapsing honey bee colonies. <i>PLoS One</i> 7 ,			
525		e43562, doi:doi:10.1371/			
526	jour	journal.pone.0043562 (2012).			
527	3	Oldroyd, B. P. Coevolution while you wait: Varroa jacobsoni, a new parasite of			
528		western honeybees. Trends Ecol. Evol. 14, 312-315 (1999).			
529	4	Ritter, W. Varroa disease of the honeybee Apis mellifera. Bee World 62, 141-153			
530		(1981).			
531	5	Neumann, P. & Carreck, N. L. Honey bee colony losses. <i>J. Api. Res.</i> 49, 1-6 (2010).			
532	6	Martin, S. J. The Role of Varroa and Viral Pathogens in the Collapse of Honeybee			
533		Colonies: A Modelling Approach. J. Appl. Ecol. 38, 1082-1093 (2001).			
534	7	Sumpter, D. J. T. & Martin, S. J. The dynamics of virus epidemics in Varroa infested			
535		honey bee colonies. <i>J. Anim. Ecol.</i> 73 , 51-63 (2004).			
536	8	Chen, Y. P. & Siede, R. Honey bee viruses. <i>Adv. Virus Res.</i> 70 , 33-80 (2007).			

- Martin, S. J. *et al.* Global honey bee viral landscape altered by a parasitic mite. *Science* 336, 1304-1306 (2012).
- 539 10 Mondet, F., Miranda de, J. R., Kretzschmar, A., Le Conte, Y. & Mercer, A. R. On the
- 540 front line: quantitative virus dynamics in honeybee (*Apis mellifera* L.) colonies
- 541 along a new expansion front of the parasite *Varroa destructor*. *PLoS Path.* **10**,
- 542 e1004323, doi:doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004323 (2014).
- 543 11 Ewald, P. W. Host-parasite relations, vectors, and the evolution of disease severity.
 544 Ann. Rev. Ecol. Syst. 14, 465-485 (1983).
- 545 12 Anderson, R. M. & May, R. M. Coevolution of hosts and parasites. *Parasitology* 85,
 546 411-426 (1982).
- 547 13 Frank, S. A. Models of parasite virulence. *Quart. Rev. Biol* **71**, 37-78 (1996).
- Le Clec'h, W., Dittmer, J., Raimond, M., Bouchon, D. & Sicard, M. Phenotypic shift in *Wolbachia* virulence towards its native host across serial horizontal passages.
- 550 Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences **284**, 551 doi:10.1098/rspb.2017.1076 (2017).
- 55215Ribiere, M., Ball, B. V. & Aubert, M. in Virology and the honey bee (eds M. Aubert,
- 553B.V. Ball, & I. Fries)15-84 (EEC Publications, European Commission, 2008).
- Miranda de, J. R., Cordoni, G. & Budge, G. The Acute bee paralysis virus-Kashmit bee
 virus-Israeli acute paralysis virus complex. *J. Invert. Path.* **103**, S30-S47 (2010).
- Natsopoulou, M. E. *et al.* The virulent, emerging genotype B of Deformed wing virus
 is closely linked to overwinter honeybee worker loss. *Scientifc Reports* 7, 5242,
 doi:10.1038/s41598-017-05596-3 (2017).
- Schroeder, D. C. & Martin, S. J. Deformed wing virus: The main suspect in
 unexplained honeybee deaths worldwide. *Virulence* 3, 589-591,
 doi:10.4161/viru.22219 (2012).

- 562 19 Anderson, D. L. & Gibbs, A. J. Inapparent virus infections and their interactions in
- pupae of the honey bee (*Apis mellifera* Linnaeus) in Australia. J. Gen. Virol. 69,
 1617-1625 (1988).
- 565 20 McMahon, D. P. *et al.* Elevated virulence of an emerging viral genotype as a driver
- of honeybee loss. *Proc. R. Soc. Lond. B* 283, 20160811,
 doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2016.0811 (2016).
- 568 21 Roberts, J. M. K., Anderson, D. L. & Durr, P. A. Absence of deformed wing virus and
- 569 Varroa destructor in Australia provides unique perspectives on honeybee viral
- 570 landscapes and colony losses. *Scientific Reports* **7**, 6925, doi:10.1038/s41598-017-
- 571 07290-w (2017).
- 572 22 Mordecai, G. J. *et al.* Superinfection exclusion and the long-term survival of honey
 573 bees in *Varroa*-infested colonies. *The ISME Journal* 10.1038/ismej.2015.186, 1-
- 574 10, doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.186 (2015).
- 575 23 Mordecai, G. J., Wilfert, L., Martin, S. J., Jones, I. M. & Schroeder, D. C. Diversity of a
- honey bee pathogen: first report of a third master variant of the Deformed Wing
 Virus quasispecies. *The ISME Journal* 10.1038/ismej.2015.178, 1-10,
 doi:10.1038/ismej.2015.178 (2015).
- Wilfert, L. *et al.* Deformed wing virus is a recent global epidemic in honeybees
 driven by *Varroa* mites. *Science* **351**, 594-597 (2016).
- 581 25 Bailey, L. The multiplication and spread of sacbrood virus of bees. *Annals of Applied* 582 *Biology* 63, 483-491, doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1969.tb02844.x (1969).
- 583 26 Bailey, L., Ball, B. V. & Perry, J. N. The prevalence of viruses of honey bees in Britain.
 584 *Annals of Applied Biology* 97, 109-118, doi:10.1111/j.1744-7348.1981.tb02999.x
 585 (1981).

586	27	Bailey, L. & Woods, R. D. Two More Small RNA Viruses from Honey Bees and
587		Further Observations on Sacbrood and Acute Bee-Paralysis Viruses. J. Gen. Virol.
588		37 , 175-182, doi:doi:10.1099/0022-1317-37-1-175 (1977).
589	28	Tentcheva, D. et al. Prevalence and seasonal variations of six bee viruses in Apis
590		mellifera L. and Varroa destructor mite populations in France. Appl. Env. Microbiol.
591		70 , 7185-7191 (2004).
592	29	Holmes, E. C. The evolutionary genetics of emerging viruses. Ann. Rev. Ecol. Evol.
593		<i>Syst.</i> 40 , 353-372 (2009).
594	30	Ebert, D. Experimental evolution of parasites. <i>Science</i> 282 , 1432-1435 (1998).
595	31	Baalen van, M. & Sabelis, M. W. The dynamics of multiple infection and the
596		evolution of virulence. Am. Nat. 146 , 881-910 (1995).
597	32	Brettell, L. E. & Martin, S. J. Oldest Varroa tolerant honey bee population provides
598		insight into the origins of the global decline of honey bees. Scientific Reports 7,
599		45953, doi:10.1038/srep45953
600		https://www.nature.com/articles/srep45953#supplementary-information
601		(2017).
602	33	Roberts, J. M. K., Anderson, D. L. & Durr, P. A. Metagenomic analysis of Varroa-free
603		Australian honey bees (Apis mellifera) shows a diverse Picornavirales virome. J.
604		Gen. Virol. doi:DOI 10.1099/jgv.0.001073 (2018).
605	34	Roberts, J. & Anderson, D. Establishing the disease status of the Asian honeybee in
606		the Cairns region. (2013).
607	35	Pfaffl, M. W., Tichopad, A., Prgomet, C. & Neuvians, T. P. Detrmination of stable
608		housekeeping genes, differentially regulated target genes and sample integrity:
609		BestKeeper - Excel-based tool using pair-wise correlations. Biotech. Lett. 26, 509-
610		515 (2004).

- 611 36 Vandesompele, J. *et al.* Accurate normalization of real-time quantitative RT-PCR
- data by geometric averaging of multiple internal control genes. *Gen. Biol.* 3,
 research0034.0031-0034.0011,
- 614 doi:<u>http://genomebiology.com/2002/3/7/research/0034.1</u> (2002).
- 615 37 Grabherr, M. G. *et al.* Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data 616 without a reference genome. *Nature Biotech.* **29**, 644-652 (2011).
- 61738Remnant, E. J. *et al.* A diverse range of novel RNA viruses in geographically distinct
- honey bee populations. *J. Virol.* 91, e00158-00117, doi:10.1128/JVI.00158-17
 (2017).
- Kircher, M., Sawyer, S. & Meyer, M. Double indexing overcomes inaccuracies in
 multiplex sequencing on the Illumina platform. *Nucleic Acids Research* 40, e3
 (2012).
- 40 Langmead, B. & Salzberg, S. L. Fast gapped-read alignment with Bowtie 2. *Nature Methods* 9, 357-359 (2012).
- Ghosh, R. C., Ball, B. V., Willcocks, M. M. & Carter, M. J. The nucleotide sequence of
 sacbrood virus of the honey bee: an insect picorna-like virus. *J. Gen. Virol.* 80, 15411549 (1999).
- Leat, N., Ball, B., Govan, V. & Davison, S. Analysis of the complete genome sequence
 of black queen-cell virus, a picorna-like virus of honey bees. *J. Gen. Virol.* 81, 21112119 (2000).
- Kearse, M. *et al.* Geneious Basic: An integrated and extendable desktop software
 platform for the organization and analysis of sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 28,
 1647-1649, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts199 (2012).
- 634 44 Dustmann, J. H. Pigment Studies on Several Eye-colour Mutants of the Honey Bee,
 635 Apis mellifera. Nature 219, 950-952 (1968).

636	45	Team, R. C. R: A language and environment for statistical computing. <i>R Foundation</i>
637		for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria (2017).
638	46	Therneau, T. A package for survival analysis in S version 2.38, <u>https://CRAN.R-</u>
639		<u>project.org/package=survival</u> (2015).
640	47	Fox, J. Cox proportional-hazards regression for survival data. An R and S-PLUS
641		companion to applied regression (2002).
642	48	Grambsch, P. M. & Therneau, T. M. Proportional hazards tests and diagnotics based
643		on weighted residuals. <i>Biometrika</i> 81 , 515-526 (1994).
644	49	Benjamini, Y. & Hochberg, Y. Controlling the false discovery rate - a practical and
645		powerful approach to multiple testing. Journal of the Royal Society Statistical
646		Society Series B - Statistical Methodology 57, 289-300 (1995).
647		

648

649

650 Figure 1: Experimental design of serial transmission experiments. (A) Serial transmission 1, 651 with starting inoculum derived from DWV-naïve adults, and injected into pupae from Colonies 1-3 for 20 serial transmission cycles. The number of cycles differed for colony 3 as this colony 652 653 lost its queen after 18 cycles. Serial transmission 2, with starting inoculum derived from DWV-654 positive adults from New Zealand, injected into pupae from colonies 4-6. Colony 6 lost its 655 queen early on in the experiment; hence this colony was not included in any further analyses. 656 (B) Resulting virus levels and virulence were determined by quantitative PCR (see results in Figure 2), whole transcriptome sequencing (see Figure 3) and mortality assays (see figure 4, 657 658 and text for further details)

659





- **Figure 2:** Dot plots showing the relative expression level (log₁₀(GT Normalised Ratio), mean
- \pm 95% CI) of (A) BQCV and (B) SBV compared to two internal honeybee control genes (*Actin*
- and *Rps5*) in pupae sourced from an independent colony and injected with serially transmitted
- inoculum from colonies 1-3 (transmission cycles 1, 5, 7, 10, 15 and 18 or 20), and control and
- buffer injected pupae. Letters indicate which groups differed statistically. See Table S2 for
- 665 details of the statistical analyses.
- 666



Transmission Cycle

667 Figure 3: Change in viral titres during (A) serial transmission experiment 1 (DWV-naïve); and (B) serial transmission experiment 2 (DWV-positive). A) Levels of SBV (grey) and BQCV 668 669 (black) in pupae from colony 1 and 2, 4-days post injection with inoculum after 20 serial 670 transmission cycles. Virus levels reached 92 and 86% as a percentage of total RNA, 671 respectively. B) Levels of SBV, BQCV and DWV-strain A (red) and strain-B (blue) in our original inoculum obtained from DWV-positive adults (DWV source), and pupae from colony 672 673 4 and 5, 4-days post injection with inoculum after 1, 10 and 20 serial transmission cycles. Also 674 shown are control and buffer pupae from transmission cycle 20. Although our original inoculum 675 ('Source (DWV)') contained exclusively DWV, DWV titres dropped dramatically after 676 injection into pupae, while the titres of SBV and BQCV increased. While our original inoculum 677 mainly contained DWV strain A; the contribution of strain B had increased after 20 transmission cycles, particularly in colony 5, but decreased again after 30 transmission cycles. 678 679 Data used to produce the figure are presented in Table S3.

680



681 Figure 4: Survival of pupae after injections with inoculum obtained from our original source adults (DWV source) containing mainly DWV strain A, and inoculum extracted after 20 682 683 transmission cycles in the absence and presence of DWV, from serial transmission experiment 1 (BQCV/SBV) and serial transmission experiment 3 (DWV/BQCV/SBV). Treatments with 684 the same letter do not differ significantly (see Table 1 for complete statistical analyses). Virus 685 686 levels present in the different inoculums are given in Figure 3. 'Control': unmanipulated pupae; 687 'Buffer': pupae injected with buffer only. (A) BQCV/SBV inoculum from cycle 20 of colony 1; DWV/BQCV/SBV inoculum from cycle 20 of colony 4, injected into independent source 688 689 colony I; (B) BQCV/SBV inoculum from cycle 20 of colony 2; DWV/BQCV/SBV inoculum from cycle 20 of colony 5, injected into independent source colony II. See Figure S2 for 690 691 photographs depicting the eye colour change observed in a control and bee extract-injected 692 pupa, used to determine time of mortality in the survival analysis.



