1	Driving the expression of the Salmonella enterica sv Typhimurium flagellum using flhDC
2	from Escherichia coli results in key regulatory and cellular differences.
3	
4	Ayman Albanna <sup>1,2,6</sup> , Martin Sim <sup>1,2§</sup> , Paul A Hoskisson <sup>3</sup> , Colin Gillespie <sup>4</sup> , Christopher V.
5	Rao <sup>5</sup> and Phillip Aldridge <sup>1,2</sup>
6	
7	1: Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Baddiley Clark Building, Newcastle University,
8	Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4AX. UK.
9	2: Institute for Cell and Molecular Biosciences, Newcastle University, Framlington Place,
10	Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4HH. UK.
11	3: Strathclyde Institute of Pharmacy and Biomedical Sciences, University of Strathclyde,
12	Glasgow, United Kingdom G4 0RE. UK.
13	4: School of Mathematics & Statistics, Herschel Building, Newcastle University, Newcastle
14	upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE1 7RU. UK.
15	5: Department of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of Illinois at Urbana-
16	Champaign, Urbana, Illinois, United States, 61801.
17	6: College of environmental science & technology, Mosul University, Iraq 41002
18	
19	Running Title: E. coli flhDC function in S. enterica
20	
21 22 23 24	*Corresponding author. Centre for Bacterial Cell Biology, Baddiley Clark Building, Newcastle University, Richardson Road, Newcastle upon Tyne, United Kingdom, NE2 4AX. UK. Phone: +44-191-2083218. Email: phillip.aldridge@ncl.ac.uk.

- 25 § Present address: Isomerase Therapeutics Ltd., Chesterford Research Park, Cambridge,
  26 CB10 1XL. UK.
- 27

## 28 ABSTRACT

29

The flagellar systems of Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica exhibit a significant level 30 31 of genetic and functional synteny. Both systems are controlled by the flagellar specific 32 master regulator FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. Since the early days of genetic analyses of flagellar systems it 33 has been known that *E. coli flhDC* can complement a  $\Delta flhDC$  mutant in *S. enterica*. The 34 genomic revolution has identified how genetic changes to transcription factors and / or 35 DNA binding sites can impact the phenotypic outcome across related species. We were therefore interested in asking: using modern tools to interrogate flagellar gene expression 36 37 and assembly, what would the impact be of replacing the *flhDC* coding sequences in S. 38 enterica for the E. coli genes at the flhDC S. entercia chromosomal locus? We show that 39 even though all strains created are motile, flagellar gene expression is measurably lower 40 when *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> are present. These changes can be attributed to the impact of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> DNA 41 recognition and the protein-protein interactions required to generate a stable FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> 42 complex. Furthermore, our data suggests that in *E. coli* the internal flagellar FliT regulatory 43 feedback loop has a marked difference with respect to output of the flagellar systems. We 44 argue due diligence is required in making assumptions based on heterologous expression 45 of regulators and that even systems showing significant synteny may not behave in exactly 46 the same manner.

47

## 48 **IMPORTANCE**

The bacterial motility organelle known as the flagellum is shared across many bacterial species. *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella enterica* have underpinned our appreciation of how bacteria express and assemble the bacterial flagellum for over half a century. We show that even though the *E. coli* and *S. enterica* flagellar systems look genetically identical, they input regulatory signals into the flagellar system differently. Our conclusions

- 54 are based on experiments where we carefully transfer the master flagellar regulator from
- 55 E. coli into the S. enterica chromosome and measure a range of outputs relating to
- 56 flagellar gene expression, assembly and functional output.

57

The flagellum in the enteric bacteria, Escherichia coli and Salmonella enterica, has been

## 59 INTRODUCTION

60

61

62 studied extensively for over fifty years and provides the canonical example for bacterial 63 motility. These studies have revealed not only the complex structure of the enteric 64 flagellum but also its role in host colonization, pathogenesis, and cellular physiology (1-4). 65 In addition, these studies have identified many of the complex regulatory processes that 66 coordinate the assembly and control of this exquisitely complex biological machine (3-5). 67 68 The flagellum in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* are structurally very similar and are often tacitly 69 assumed to be effectively identical aside from differences in the filament structure. 70 However, in the case of regulation, these assumptions are based more on sequence 71 similarity rather than on actual experimental data (5) (6). Indeed, a number of studies have 72 shown that these two systems are regulated in entirely different manners in response to 73 environmental signals despite strong gene synteny. For example, many common *E. coli* 74 strains are motile only during growth in nutrient-poor conditions whereas many common S. 75 enterica strains are motile only during growth in nutrient-rich conditions (7). In addition, E. 76 coli is more motile at 30°C than at 37°C whereas motility S. enterica is generally 77 insensitive to these temperature differences (8). E. coli flhDC are transcribed from a single 78 transcriptional start site that is responsive to OmpR, RcsB and CRP regulation, to name 79 only a few regulatory inputs (8). In contrast *S. enterica flhDC* transcription is significantly

80 more complex with up to 5 transcriptional start sites, albeit with only a subset being

81 responsible for the majority of *flhDC* transcription (9).

82

Part of the problem is that different questions have been asked when studying the regulation
of motility in these two bacterial species. Most studies in *E. coli* have focused on the

85 environmental signals and associate regulatory process that induce bacterial motility. In 86 particular, they have focused on the processes that regulate the expression of the master 87 flagellar regulator,  $FlhD_4C_2$  (8). Most studies in *S. enterica*, on the other hand, have focused 88 on the regulatory processes that coordinate the assembly process following induction (4). In 89 particular, they have focused on the downstream regulatory processes induced by  $FlhD_4C_2$ 90 (3).

91

92 Despite differences in regulation, the protein subunits of master flagellar regulators, FlhC 93 and FlhD, exhibit high sequence similarity sharing 94 and 92% identity, respectively, 94 between E. coli and S. enterica. Given that modifications to transcription factors and/or promoter structure can lead to divergence in regulatory circuits (10), we were interested in 95 96 how FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> functions in different genetic backgrounds? Previously, it was shown that E. 97 *coli flhDC* can complement a  $\Delta flhDC$  mutant in *S. enterica*, suggesting that these proteins 98 are functions identical in the two bacterial species (11). However, it is not clear whether 99 they are regulated in the same manner. We, therefore, investigated the impact of replacing 100 the native master regulator in S. enterica with the one from E. coli. Defining the impact of 101 known FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> regulators such as ClpP, YdiV, FliT and FliZ on the two complexes 102 suggest that these two species have adapted in how they perceive  $FlhD_4C_2$ . We argue that 103 these phenotypic differences arise from adaptations E. coli and S. enterica have made 104 during evolution to expand or modify cellular function with respect to movement within 105 specific environmental niches.

### 107 **RESULTS**

108

109 Orthologous flhDC from E. coli can functionally complement flhDC in S. enterica110

111 Given the similarities between the flagellar systems in S. enterica and E. coli, we sought to 112 determine whether the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> master regulator is functionally equivalent in these two 113 species of bacteria. To test this hypothesis, we replaced the *flhDC* genes in *S. enterica* 114 *flhDC*<sub>SE</sub>) with the *flhDC* genes from *E. coli* (*flhDC*<sub>EC</sub>). The reason that we performed these 115 experiments in S. enterica rather than E. coli was that the flagellar system is better 116 characterized in the former, particularly with regards to transcriptional regulation. To avoid 117 plasmid associated artefacts associated with the ectopic expression of *flhDC*, we replaced 118 the entire S. enterica flhDC operon with the flhDC operon from E. coli at the native 119 chromosomal locus (Figure S1).

120

121 We first tested whether *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> was motile as determined using soft-agar motility plates. 122 As shown in Figures 1A and B, these strains formed rings similar to the wild type. These 123 results demonstrate that *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> is functional in *S. enterica*. However, motility plates 124 measure both motility and chemotaxis and do not provide any insights regarding possibly 125 changes in the number of flagella per cell. To determine the impact *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> had upon 126 flagellar numbers we used a FliM-GFP fusion as a proxy for flagellar numbers (Figure 1C). 127 When this fluorescent protein fusion is expressed in cells, it forms spots associated with 128 nascent C-rings that loosely correlate with the number of flagella (12-14). By counting the 129 number of spots per cell, we can determine the number of flagella made per cell. As 130 shown Figure 1C, *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> did not change flagellar numbers as compared to the wild type. 131 These results demonstrate  $flhDC_{EC}$  induces flagellar gene expression at similar levels as 132 the wild type.

133

# 134 *flhDC requires a specific transcription rate to maintain optimal flagellar numbers*

135

136 The flagellar network in S. enterica contains a number of feedback loops to ensure that the 137 cells regulate the number of flagella produced (4). One possibility is that these feedback 138 loops mask any differences in FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2EC</sub> activity. To test this hypothesis, we replaced the 139 native PflhD promoter with the tetracycline-inducble PtetA/tetR promoters. We then measured 140 flagellar gene expression using a luciferase reporter system (15). In this case, a consistent and significant change in flagellar gene expression was observed when comparing 141 142 FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2EC</sub> to FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2SE</sub> activity (Figure 2). Maximal expression of P<sub>flgA</sub> and P<sub>flic</sub>, chosen to 143 reflect flagellar gene expression at different stages of flagellar assembly (5), for both 144 complexes was observed between 10 and 25 ng/ml of anhydrotetracycline, when *flhDC* 145 transcription was from P<sub>tetA</sub> (Figure 2A and B). In contrast, P<sub>tetR</sub>, the weaker of the two 146 tetracycline inducible promoters, reached a maximal output between 50 to 100 ng/ml 147 anhydrotetracycline. In both scenarios the output for FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2EC</sub> control was lower than for 148 the native FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2SE</sub> complex (Figure 2A and 2B).

149

We also measured the number of FliM-GFP foci at different anhydrotetracycline concentrations.  $P_{tetR}$ ::*flhDC* expression generated on average of approximately two FliMfoci per cell at 25 ng/ml of anhydrotetracycline for both FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes (Figure 2C). In contrast, 5 ng/ml induction of the  $P_{tetA}$ ::*flhDC<sub>EC</sub>* strain was sufficient to generate typical FliM-foci numbers (approx. 8 flagellar foci per cell). Even with the strong decrease in average foci per cell at these levels of induction, the number of basal bodies observed is sufficient to allow motility at comparable levels in the motility agar assay (Figure S2).

158 Replacement of flhC but not flhD in S. enterica with the E. coli orthologs affects motility

159

160	The hetero-oligomeric regulator $FIhD_4C_2$ is unusual in bacteria as the majority of
161	transcriptional regulators are believed to be homo-oligomeric complexes. To determine the
162	relative contributions of the two subunits, we individually replaced the <i>flhC</i> or <i>flhD</i> genes
163	from S. enterica with their ortholog from E. coli (Figure S1). When we tested the two
164	strains using motility plates, we found that motility was inhibited in the strain where $flhC_{EC}$
165	replaced the native <i>S. enterica flhC</i> (Figure 3A; blue bars), with an 88% reduction in
166	swarm diameter when compared to WT S. enterica. The introduction of flhDEc compared
167	to $flhDC_{EC}$ or $flhDC_{SE}$ produced swarms of a comparable size (Figure 3A; blue bars).
168	
169	Using the dose-dependent inducible $P_{tetA}$ promoter(16) we observed that $P_{tetA}$ expression
170	of $flhC_{EC}$ led to reduced $P_{flgA}$ transcription and strongly reduced $P_{fliC}$ transcription (Figure
171	4). Strains expressing <i>flhD</i> EC in <i>S. enterica</i> showed a mild increase in PrigA gene
172	expression and a similar response for $P_{flic}$ , although these changes were not significant (P
173	= 0.32) (Figure 4). These data suggest that the combination of FlhDse and FlhCec
174	generates an inefficient $FlhD_4C_2$ complex, resulting in reduced motility.
175	
176	Orthologous FIhC and FIhD interaction is species specific and a key determinant of
177	promoter recognition by the FlhD <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub> complex
178	
179	The results above demonstrate that $fhC_{EC}$ is not functionally identical to $fhC_{ST}$ . One
180	possibility is that that $FlhC_{EC}$ is impaired in $FlhD_4C_2$ for DNA-binding. Alternatively, the
181	stability of the FlhD <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub> complex is reduced in the $flhC_{EC}$ strain, leading to reduced FlhD <sub>4</sub> C <sub>2</sub>
182	activity. To test these hypotheses, we purified all combinations of the $FlhD_4C_2$ complex
183	using affinity (Ni+ and heparin) chromatography (Figure 5A). In each complex, FlhD was

184 tagged with a carboxy-terminal hexa-histidine to facilitate affinity purification. Such

expression constructs have previously been used successfully to purify the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>
complex (17, 18). Using either Ni+ affinity or heparin purification, we observed complete
complex retrieval for three combinations (Figure 5A). FlhC recovery was less efficient in
the FlhD<sub>SE</sub>/FlhC<sub>EC</sub> complex. In contrast, no FlhD<sub>SE</sub>/FlhC<sub>EC</sub> complex was recovered via
Heparin purification, used to mimic DNA during protein purification of DNA-binding proteins
(Figure 5A). This suggests that the FlhD<sub>SE</sub>/FlhC<sub>EC</sub> complex is less stable, resulting on a
lower yield of complex retrieval.

192

193 We next used the EMSA assays to test all four protein complexes for their ability to bind 194 the S. enterica PflaAB promoter region. Quantification of the DNA shifts showed that 195 complexes containing the orthologous FIhC<sub>EC</sub> reduced the P<sub>flgAB</sub> promoter binding profile, 196 compared to FlhC<sub>SE</sub> complexes (Figure 5B). This is consistent with FlhC being the DNA 197 binding subunit of the complex and the variation in FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> activated promoter-binding 198 sites between S. enterica and E. coli (19). Therefore, these results suggest that FlhC is a 199 key determinant of DNA binding ability. Furthermore, the reduction in FlhC<sub>EC</sub> motility and 200 flagellar gene expression in S. enterica is a result of the FlhDse/FlhCec complex being 201 unstable, ultimately reducing the cellular concentration of the  $FlhD_4C_2$  complex.

202

203 FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2EC</sub> responds to proteolytic regulation

204

S. enterica and E. coli both regulate the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex through ClpXP-mediated
proteolytic degradation. Proteolytic degradation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> plays a fundamental role in
facilitating rapid responses to environmental changes that require motility (20, 21). The
FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex has a very short half-live of approximately 2-3 minutes (22). Proteolytic
degradation of FlhD and FlhC is regulated in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* by YdiV (23).

210	However, ydiV is not expressed under standard laboratory conditions in model E. coli
211	strains, suggesting that CIpXP activity is modulated in a species-specific manner (7).
212	
213	Previous work has shown that YdiV delivers $FlhD_4C_2$ complexes to ClpXP for degradation
214	(24). We have assessed the impact on motility for $\Delta clpP$ and $\Delta ydiV$ mutations (Figure 3).
215	The $\Delta clpP$ and $\Delta ydiV$ mutants exhibited improved motility and flagellar gene expression,
216	including the FlhDse/FlhCec strain (Figure 3A and B). These results suggest that
217	proteolytic degradation mechanism of FlhD and FlhC, and its regulation, is common to E.
218	coli and S. enterica.

219

To complement the motility assays, we investigated how  $\Delta clpP$  and  $\Delta ydiV$  mutations impact the number of FliM-foci in cell. Both  $\Delta clpP$  and  $\Delta ydiV$  mutants showed an increased number of FliM-foci compared to the wild type (Figure 6 A-C). For *flhC*<sub>EC</sub> strain, FliM-foci were observed in 13% of the population where individual cells exhibited just one or two foci. However, the  $\Delta clpP$  or  $\Delta ydiV$  mutants increased the flagellated population of the *flhC*<sub>EC</sub> strains to 51 and 46 % respectively, albeit with the majority still possessing only a single FliM focus (Figure 6 B and C).

227

228 FliT and FliZ regulation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes

229

FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> activity has an additional level of regulation in *S. enterica* via the flagellar-specific
regulators FliT and FliZ. FliT functions as an export chaperone for the filament cap protein,
FliD, and is a regulator of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> activity (17, 25). FliT disrupts the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complex but
is unable to disrupt a FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>:DNA complex. Therefore, FliT modulates availability of
FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes for promoter binding (17). In contrast, FliZ is a negative regulator of

*ydiV* expression and thus increases the number of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes in *S. enterica* (26,
236 27).

237

238	In motility assays of $\Delta fliT$ mutants, we observed a difference between our different $flhDC$
239	strains. Motility is increased in a $\Delta fliT$ mutant background in <i>S. enterica</i> ((28) and Figure
240	3A). However, when $flhDC_{EC}$ and $flhD_{EC}$ replaced the native genes, a reduced swarm size
241	was observed (Figure 3A). Furthermore, quantification of $P_{flic}$ activity agreed with the
242	motility profile for $\Delta fliT$ mutants, where $flhDC_{EC}$ and $flhD_{EC}$ containing strains had reduced
243	promoter activity compared to wild type (Figure 3B). This suggests that the FlhD $_4C_2$
244	complexes are being perceived differently by FliT in S. enterica. The results for $\Delta clpP$ and
245	$\Delta y diV$ mutants suggests that this is not due to protein stability, as all complex
246	combinations reacted in a comparable fashion (Figure 3).
247	
248	In contrast, the loss of <i>fliZ</i> resulted in a consistent reduction in motility, except for the
249	$fhC_{EC}$ strain. However, as the $fhC_{EC}$ strain was already impaired in motility, it is possible
250	that the resolution of the motility assay was unable to identify differences in $\Delta fliZ$ mutant.
251	Flagellar gene expression activity did, however, suggest a 2-fold drop in $P_{flic}$ expression in
252	the <i>flhC</i> <sub>EC</sub> $\Delta$ <i>fliZ</i> strain as compared to the otherwise wild-type (Figure 3B).
253	
254	Analysis of FliM-foci distribution in $\Delta fliT$ mutant reinforced the observed discrimination of
255	flhDC <sub>EC</sub> and flhD <sub>EC</sub> gene replacements. Calculating the average foci per cell, S. enterica
256	$\Delta$ <i>fliT</i> mutants showed an increased average number of foci per cell from 2.9 to 6.3, while
257	the flhD <sub>EC</sub> (fliT <sup>+</sup> : 3.4 versus $\Delta$ fliT: 4.2) and flhDC <sub>EC</sub> replacements (fliT <sup>+</sup> : 3.6 versus $\Delta$ fliT:

258 2.7) exhibited no significant changes (Figure 7A). Interestingly, in a  $\Delta fliZ$  mutant

259 background, the FliM-foci analysis was able to differentiate *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> and *flhD*<sub>EC</sub> from the

- 260 native *S. enterica flhDC* strain. Both replacements exhibited an increase in the average 261 foci compared to *S. enterica*  $\Delta fliZ$  (Figure 7A).
- 262
- 263 These data suggest that there is a fundamental difference in how the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes 264 in *E. coli* and *S. enterica* respond to, at least, FliT regulation. There are two explanations 265 for this: a) the *E. coli* combinations are being regulated via an unidentified mechanism in 266 S. enterica or b) that they are insensitive to FliT regulation. Both arguments predict that in 267 E. coli FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> may respond differently to FliT regulation. Comparing S. enterica and E. 268 *coli* does indeed identify a difference in the response to a  $\Delta fliT$  mutant. While a  $\Delta fliT$ 269 mutant in S. enterica leads to a consistent increase in FliM-foci, no significant difference is 270 noted for an *E. coli*  $\Delta$ *fliT* mutant compared to *E. coli* wild type (Figure 7B). This suggests 271 that the regulatory impact of FliT is very different in these two flagellar systems and the 272 role FliT plays in S. enterica is potentially adaptive and species specific. 273
- 274

### 275 **DISCUSSION**

276

277 Two model flagellar systems that form the foundation of the flagellar field are those from 278 the enteric species E. coli and S. enterica. These two systems have led to key discoveries 279 in relation to many aspects of flagellar structure, type 3 secretion, flagellar cell biology and 280 the regulation of flagellar assembly. Textbook explanations suggest that most flagellar 281 systems are being activated, regulated and built according to the models for *E. coli* and *S.* 282 enterica. Modifications of transcriptional regulatory circuits contribute to the phenotypic 283 diversity we see in closely related gene sets and we are only now able to investigate this in 284 depth due to the tools available. Here we have taken a simple step and asked how do 285 orthologous FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes function in the closely related species *E. coli* and *S.* 286 enterica? 287 288 At the onset of our work it was known that FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> from *E. coli* could sustain motility in *S.* 289 enterica(11). Our work was focussed on understanding and defining the species-specific 290 differences in the regulon of two orthologous genes. Here we took advantage of the well-291 defined flagellar assembly tools to measure outputs such as, motility, flagellar assembly 292 per cell and flagellar gene expression. Bioinformatic analysis identifies only an 8 and 6% 293 identity difference between FlhD and FlhC in E. coli and S. enterica respectively, 294 suggesting that these proteins function in an analogous fashion. It is well established that 295 related taxa usually rely on orthologous regulators to coordinate response to a given signal 296 (10).

297

The fine detail of the differences in the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes only became apparent when we began to focus on their effect on flagellar gene expression and flagellar assembly. In all of our assays FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2EC</sub> exhibited a reduction in flagellar gene expression compared to

FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2SE</sub>. Biochemical analysis of isolated complexes showed that FlhC<sub>EC</sub> had weaker DNA binding ability to the P<sub>flgAB</sub> promoter region from *S. enterica*, consistent with previous investigations into FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> DNA binding activity (19). The isolation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes from our strains suggested that a key aspect of the phenotypes we observed, was the stability of the complexes formed.

306

307 With respect to *flhDC* transcription we show a discrepancy in flagellar numbers defined by 308 FliM-foci when using PtetA/PtetR::flhDC expression. This was somewhat surprising as all 309 constructs exhibited good swarming ability on motility agar plates (Figure S2). Original 310 studies on the regulation of P<sub>tetA</sub>/P<sub>tetR</sub> from Tn10 have shown that these two promoters 311 have differing activities but both respond to TetR regulation. We show that even though 312 maximal activity of PfigA and Pfic can reach 40-50% of PtetA::flhDC expression for PtetR 313 strains, this results in an average of 2 flagella per cell. This suggests that even though the 314 majority of the literature states that E. coli and S. enterica produce between 4 and 8 315 flagella per cell, only 1 or 2 per cell is needed for an optimal output of the system with 316 respect to motility agar assays.

317

318 It has been shown that FliT interacts with FlhC and that in S. enterica the output of this 319 circuit is to destabilize FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> complexes that are not bound to DNA. Our data suggests 320 that this level of regulation does not impact E. coli FlhC. The nature of the adaptability 321 needed by the favourable conditions to drive motility in E. coli may have led to the FliT 322 regulatory input becoming less critical. Similarly, the impact of FliZ regulation becomes 323 apparent for FlhD<sub>EC</sub> containing complexes when we assess flagellar numbers. FliZ 324 regulates the transcription of ydiV in S. enterica (27). It is plausible that the impact in 325 changing ydiV regulation is the source of this differentiation, especially as YdiV is 326 proposed to interact with FlhDse. Furthermore, we know that ydiV is not expressed in

- 327 model *E. coli* strains, strengthening the argument that FlhD<sub>EC</sub> has adapted to the absence
- $328 \quad \ of \ YdiV \ or \ vice \ versa \ FlhD_{SE} \ to \ YdiV.$
- 329
- 330 Importantly our analysis shows that even though these two systems are genetically similar,
- 331 investigation of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> activity identifies subtle but key differences into how the FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>
- 332 complex is modulated in two closely related species. We argue that this is a valid example
- of the caution needed in the age of synthetic biology to exploit heterologous systems in
- alternative species or chassis'. Our data shows that even systems showing significant
- 335 synteny may not behave in exactly the same manner and due diligence is required in
- 336 making assumptions based on heterologous expression.

#### 338 MATERIALS AND METHODS

339

## 340 Bacterial Strains and Growth conditions

341

342	S. enterica and E. coli strains used in this study have been previously described elsewhere
343	(12, 15, 17, 28). This study used S. enterica serovar Typhimurium strain LT2 as the
344	chassis for all experiments. E. coli genetic material was derived from MG1655. All strains
345	were grown at either 30°C or 37°C in Luria Bertani Broth (LB) either on 1.5% agar plates
346	or shaken in liquid cultures at 160 rpm (17). Antibiotics used in this study have been
347	described elsewhere (29). Motility assays used motility agar (17) incubated at 37°C for 6 to
348	8 hours. Motility swarms were quantified using images captured on a standard gel doc
349	system with a ruler in the field of view and quantified using ImageJ to measure the vertical
350	and horizontal diameter using the average as the swarm size. All motility assays were
351	performed in triplicate using single batches of motility agar.

352

### 353 Genetic Manipulations

354

355 For the replacement of *flhDC* coding sequences the modified lambda red recombination 356 system described by Blank et al (2011) was used (30). Deletion of *clpP*, *ydiV*, *fliT* and *fliZ* 357 was performed using the pKD system described by Datsenko and Wanner (2000) (31). 358 P<sub>tetA</sub> / P<sub>tetR</sub> replacements of the P<sub>flhDC</sub> region was also performed using Datsenko and 359 Wanner (2000) with the template being Tn10dTc (32). For Blank et al (2011) replacement experiments we used autoclaved chlortetracycline instead of anhydrotetracycline as 360 361 described for the preparation of Tetracycline sensitive plates (33). All other gene 362 replacements were performed as previously described (17). All primers used for these 363 genetic manipulations are available on request.

Quantification of flagellar gene expression
Flagellar gene expression assays were performed using the plasmids pRG39::cat ( $P_{flic}$ )
and pRG52::cat (P <sub>flgA</sub> ) (15). Both plasmids were transformed into strains using
electroporation. Gene expression was quantified as described previously and analysis was
based on a minimum of $n = 3$ repeats for each strain tested (15).
Quantification of FliM-GFP foci
FliM-GFP foci were quantified using Microbetracker on images captured using a Nikon Ti
inverted microscope using filters and exposure times described previously (14). Strains
were grown to an OD600 of 0.5 to 0.6 and cells immobilised using a 1 % agarose pad
containing 10 % LB (14, 17). For each strain a minimum of 5 fields of view were captured
from 3 independent repeats. This allowed analysis of approximately 1000 - 1500 cells per
strain. For the comparison of FIiM foci in <i>E. coli</i> $\Delta fliT$ to <i>S. enterica</i> $\Delta fliT$ shown in Figure
7B the chemostat growth system described by Sim et al (2017) was used. For this
experiment the growth rate of both strains was similar to batch culture in LB at 37°C where
the media used was a MinE base with 0.1% Yeast extract and 0.2% glucose (14, 17).
Purification of $FlhD_4C_2$ complexes
Purification of proteins complexes was based on previously described methods (17). Wild

type FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2SE</sub> was purified using pPA158. The other 3 complexes were purified from

388 plasmids generated using the New England Biolabs NEBuilder DNA Assembly kit on the

389 backbone of pPA158. The *E. coli* strain BL21 was used for all protein induction

390	experiments prior to protein purification using either a pre-equilibrated 5ml His-trap column
391	or a 5ml heparin column (GE Healthcare). Proteins were visualised using Tricine-based
392	SDS polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and standard commassie blue staining (17).
393	
394	Electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA).
395	
396	All EMSA assays were performed using Ni++ (his-trap) purified proteins as this allowed
397	analysis of all four complexes (Figure 5A). Buffer exchange from elution buffer to a 100mM
398	Tris-HCI, 300 mM NaCI 1mM DTT (pH 7.9) buffer was performed through 10 cycles of
399	protein concentration in VivaSpin columns with 20 ml buffer reduced to 5 ml per round of
400	centrifugation at 4500 rpm. A protein concentration range of 100 to 700 nM was used with
401	80 ng / ml of a PCR product containing PfigAB from S. enterica. After incubation bound and
402	unbound DNA were resolved using 5% acrylamide gels made with 1x TBE buffer.
403	Quantification of gel images was performed using ImageJ.

# 405 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

406

# 407 PDA would like to recognize the internal financial support of ICAMB during this study. The

- 408 stipend and research costs for the PhD of AA was provided by The Ministry of Higher
- 409 Education and Scientific Research (Iraq). We would like to thank the financial support of
- 410 the Newcastle University Faculty of Medicine for providing the John William Luccok and
- 411 Ernest Jeffcock Research PhD Studentship to MS for this study. PAH would like to
- 412 acknowledge the support of iUK/BBSRC (grant: BB/N023544/1), NERC (grant:
- 413 NE/M001415/1), the University of Strathclyde and the Microbiology Society for funding. We
- 414 would also like to thank all lab members for feedback on the project during the
- 415 experimental and writing phases.

# 417 **REFERENCES**

- 418
- Duan Q, Zhou M, Zhu L, Zhu G. 2013. Flagella and bacterial pathogenicity. J Basic
   Microbiol 53:1–8.
- 421 2. Minamino T, Imada K, Namba K. 2008. Mechanisms of type III protein export for
  422 bacterial flagellar assembly. Mol Biosyst 4:1105–1115.
- 423 3. Chevance FFV, Hughes KT. 2008. Coordinating assembly of a bacterial macromolecular machine. Nat Rev Micro 6:455–465.
- 425 4. Aldridge P, Hughes KT. 2002. Regulation of flagellar assembly. Curr Opin
  426 Microbiol 5:160–165.
- 427 5. Chilcott GS, Hughes KT. 2000. Coupling of flagellar gene expression to flagellar
  428 assembly in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Microbiol
  429 Mol Biol Rev 64:694–708.
- 430 6. Minamino T, Namba K. 2004. Self-assembly and type III protein export of the
  431 bacterial flagellum. J Mol Microbiol Biotechnol 7:5–17.
- 432 7. Wada T, Hatamoto Y, Kutsukake K. 2012. Functional and expressional analyses of
  433 the anti-FlhD4C2 factor gene ydiV in Escherichia coli. Microbiology 158:1533–1542.
- 434 8. Soutourina OA, Bertin PN. 2003. Regulation cascade of flagellar expression in
  435 Gram-negative bacteria. FEMS Microbiol Rev 27:505–523.
- 436 9. Mouslim C, Hughes KT. 2014. The effect of cell growth phase on the regulatory
  437 cross-talk between flagellar and Spi1 virulence gene expression. PLoS Pathog
  438 10:e1003987.
- 439 10. Perez JC, Groisman EA. 2009. Evolution of transcriptional regulatory circuits in
   440 bacteria. Cell 138:233–244.
- 441 11. Kutsukake K, lino T, Komeda Y, Yamaguchi S. 1980. Functional homology of fla
  442 genes between Salmonella typhimurium and Escherichia coli. Mol Gen Genet
  443 178:59–67.
- Aldridge P, Karlinsey JE, Becker E, Chevance FFV, Hughes KT. 2006. Flk
  prevents premature secretion of the anti-sigma factor FlgM into the periplasm. Mol
  Microbiol 60:630–643.
- 13. Delalez NJ, Wadhams GH, Rosser G, Xue Q, Brown MT, Dobbie IM, Berry RM,
  Leake MC, Armitage JP. 2010. Signal-dependent turnover of the bacterial flagellar
  switch protein FliM. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 107:11347–
  11351.
- 451 14. Sim M, Koirala S, Picton D, Strahl H, Hoskisson PA, Rao CV, Gillespie CS,
  452 Aldridge PD. 2017. Growth rate control of flagellar assembly in Escherichia coli
  453 strain RP437. Sci Rep 7:41189.

- 454 15. Brown JD, Saini S, Aldridge C, Herbert J, Rao CV, Aldridge PD. 2008. The rate
  455 of protein secretion dictates the temporal dynamics of flagellar gene expression. Mol
  456 Microbiol 70:924–937.
- 457 16. Bertrand KP, Postle K, Wray LV, Reznikoff WS. 1984. Construction of a single458 copy promoter vector and its use in analysis of regulation of the transposon Tn10
  459 tetracycline resistance determinant. J Bacteriol 158:910–919.
- Aldridge C, Poonchareon K, Saini S, Ewen T, Soloyva A, Rao CV, Imada K,
  Minamino T, Aldridge PD. 2010. The interaction dynamics of a negative feedback
  loop regulates flagellar number in Salmonella enterica serovar Typhimurium. Mol
  Microbiol 78:1416–1430.
- 464 18. Wang S, Fleming RT, Westbrook EM, Matsumura P, McKay DB. 2006. Structure
  465 of the Escherichia coli FlhDC complex, a prokaryotic heteromeric regulator of
  466 transcription. Journal of Molecular Biology 355:798–808.
- 467 19. Stafford GP, Ogi T, Hughes C. 2005. Binding and transcriptional activation of non468 flagellar genes by the Escherichia coli flagellar master regulator FlhD2C2.
  469 Microbiology (Reading, Engl) 151:1779–1788.
- 470 20. Kitagawa R, Takaya A, Yamamoto T. 2011. Dual regulatory pathways of flagellar
  471 gene expression by ClpXP protease in enterohaemorrhagic Escherichia coli.
  472 Microbiology 157:3094–3103.
- Tomoyasu T, Ohkishi T, Ukyo Y, Tokumitsu A, Takaya A, Suzuki M, Sekiya K,
  Matsui H, Kutsukake K, Yamamoto T. 2002. The ClpXP ATP-dependent protease
  regulates flagellum synthesis in Salmonella enterica serovar typhimurium. J
  Bacteriol 184:645–653.
- 477 22. Claret L, Hughes C. 2000. Rapid Turnover of FlhD and FlhC, the Flagellar Regulon
   478 Transcriptional Activator Proteins, during Proteus Swarming. J Bacteriol 182:833–
   479 836.
- Wada T, Morizane T, Abo T, Tominaga A, Inoue-Tanaka K, Kutsukake K. 2011.
  EAL domain protein YdiV acts as an anti-FlhD4C2 factor responsible for nutritional control of the flagellar regulon in Salmonella enterica Serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 193:1600–1611.
- 484 24. Takaya A, Erhardt M, Karata K, Winterberg K, Yamamoto T, Hughes KT. 2012.
  485 YdiV: a dual function protein that targets FlhDC for ClpXP-dependent degradation by 486 promoting release of DNA-bound FlhDC complex. Mol Microbiol 83:1268–1284.
- 487 25. Bennett JC, Thomas J, Fraser GM, Hughes C. 2001. Substrate complexes and
  488 domain organization of the Salmonella flagellar export chaperones FlgN and FliT.
  489 Mol Microbiol 39:781–791.
- 490 26. Saini S, Brown JD, Aldridge PD, Rao CV. 2008. FliZ Is a posttranslational activator
  491 of FlhD4C2-dependent flagellar gene expression. J Bacteriol 190:4979–4988.
- 492 27. Wada T, Tanabe Y, Kutsukake K. 2011. FliZ Acts as a Repressor of the ydiV Gene,
  493 Which Encodes an Anti-FlhD4C2 Factor of the Flagellar Regulon in Salmonella
  494 enterica Serovar Typhimurium. J Bacteriol 193:5191–5198.

- 495 28. Aldridge P, Karlinsey J, Hughes KT. 2003. The type III secretion chaperone FlgN regulates flagellar assembly via a negative feedback loop containing its chaperone substrates FlgK and FlgL. Mol Microbiol 49:1333–1345.
- 498 29. Bonifield HR, Hughes KT. 2003. Flagellar phase variation in Salmonella enterica is
   499 mediated by a posttranscriptional control mechanism. J Bacteriol 185:3567–3574.
- Blank K, Hensel M, Gerlach RG. 2011. Rapid and highly efficient method for
   scarless mutagenesis within the Salmonella enterica chromosome. PLoS ONE
   6:e15763.
- 503 31. Datsenko KA, Wanner BL. 2000. One-step inactivation of chromosomal genes in
   504 Escherichia coli K-12 using PCR products. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA 97:6640–6645.
- 505 32. **Rappleye CA**, **Roth JR**. 1997. A Tn10 derivative (T-POP) for isolation of insertions 506 with conditional (tetracycline-dependent) phenotypes. J Bacteriol **179**:5827–5834.
- 507 33. Maloy SR, Nunn WD. 1981. Selection for loss of tetracycline resistance by
   508 Escherichia coli. J Bacteriol 145:1110–1111.

# 510 FIGURES and LEGENDS

# 511



512

513

**Figure 1 A.** Motility of *flhDC*<sub>ST</sub> and *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> driven by P<sub>flhDC</sub>. **B.** Quantification of swarms produced in motility agar after 6 to 8 hours incubation. Error bars indicate calculated standard deviations. **C.** Percentage frequency of FliM-GFP foci for *flhDC*<sub>EC</sub> compared to *S*.

517 *enterica* with *flhDC* under the control of P<sub>flhDC</sub>. Colors of bars in the graph correspond to

518 the source of *flhDC* as shown in (**B**).



521



523

522

**Figure 2** Titration of  $P_{tetA}$ ::*flhDC*<sub>ST/EC</sub> and  $P_{tetR}$ ::*flhDC*<sub>ST/EC</sub> activity suggests a given rate of transcription drives optimal flagellar assembly. **A.** Activity of  $P_{flgA}$  in response to  $P_{tetA}$  or  $P_{tetR}$  transcription of *flhDC* from *S. enterica* (S.e.) or *E. coli* (E.c.). **B.** Activity of  $P_{fliC}$  in response to  $P_{tetA}$  or  $P_{tetR}$  transcription of *flhDC*. **C.** flagellar numbers as defined by FliM-foci in response to  $P_{tetA}$  or  $P_{tetR}$  transcription of *flhDC*. 529



531

532

**Figure 3** Motility phenotypes and gene expression of  $flhDC_{ST}$ ,  $flhDC_{EC}$ ,  $flhD_{EC}$  and  $flhC_{EC}$ strains in the absence of known FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> regulators. **A.** Quantification of n = 3 swarms per strain produced in motility agar after 6 to 8 hours incubation at 37°C. Error bars indicate calculated standard deviations. **B.** Relative activity of P<sub>fliC</sub> in all strains as a percent of the maximal activity observed in *flhD*<sub>EC</sub>  $\Delta y diV$ .



540

541

542 **Figure 4** Titration of P<sub>tetA</sub>::flhDC for S. enterica, flhDC<sub>EC</sub>, flhD<sub>EC</sub> and flhC<sub>EC</sub> suggests that

543  $flhC_{EC}$  exhibits low motility due reduced  $P_{flgA}$  activity and a strong reduction in  $P_{fliC}$  activity.

544 Inducible expression was driven from the P<sub>tetA</sub> promoter within the TetRA cassette of Tn10.

545 The data shown in both panels is significant using ANOVA statistical analysis P < 0.05. 546



548

549

**Figure 5** The FlhD<sub>ST</sub>FlhC<sub>EC</sub> complex is an active but unstable complex. **A.** Protein gel showing purified complexes with either HIS<sub>6</sub> or Heparin based purification protocols. The nature of the FlhDC complex allows isolation of both proteins in these assays. Arrows indicate the FlhC and FlhD bands. **B.** Quantification of EMSA to define the binding ability of the complex combinations compared to *S. enterica* FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub>. Data shows that whenever FlhC<sub>EC</sub> is present a reduced level of binding to P<sub>flgAB</sub> was observed.



558

- **Figure 6** Impact of protein stability regulators of FlhD<sub>4</sub>C<sub>2</sub> on flagellar numbers as defined
- 561 by FliM-foci. Quantification of FliM-foci was performed using the semi-automatic protocols
- 562 defined with in Microbetracker. **A.** Wild Type foci distribution; **B.**  $\Delta clpP$ ; **C.**  $\Delta ydiV$ .
- 563



565

566

**Figure 7** FliT and FliZ regulation reflects when FlhC<sub>EC</sub> or FlhD<sub>EC</sub> are present. **A.** FliM-Foci quantification is consistent with the observed motility phenotype of  $\Delta fliT$  mutants. For  $\Delta fliZ$ FliM-foci numbers discriminate between the source of FlhD, FlhD<sub>SE</sub> exhibits a consistnet drop in foci while FlhD<sub>EC</sub> containing strains show comparable foci averages. **B.** Testing the hypothesis that  $\Delta fliT$  mutants respond differently in *E. coli* compared to *S. enterica*. Note: this experiment in **(B)** uses the species *E. coli* and *S. enterica* not engineered replacements.

- 575
- 576