NMDA spikes mediate amplification of odor pathway information in the piriform cortex

Amit Kumar¹, Oded Schiff¹, Edi Barkai³, Bartlett W. Mel^{4*}, Alon Polog-Polsky^{2*} and Jackie Schiller^{1*}.

1 Department of Physiology, The Rappaport Faculty of Medicine and Research Institute, Technion-Israel Institute of Technology, Haifa Israel.

2 Department of Physiology and Biophysics, University of Colorado School of Medicine, Aurora, CO, USA

3 University of Haifa, Department of Neurobiology, Haifa, Israel

4 Biomedical Engineering Department, University of Southern California, Los Angeles, CA, USA

*To whom the correspondence should be addressed, jackie@tx.technion.ac.il (lead contact); alon.polog-polsky@ucdenver.edu; mel@usc.edu

Abstract	19
The piriform cortex (PCx) receives direct input from the olfactory bulb (OB) and is the	20
brain's main station for odor recognition and memory. The transformation of the odor	21
code from OB to PCx is profound: mitral and tufted cells in olfactory glomeruli respond to	22
individual odorant molecules, whereas pyramidal neurons (PNs) in the PCx responds to	23
multiple, apparently random combinations of activated glomeruli. How these	24
“discontinuous” receptive fields are formed from OB inputs remains unknown. Counter to	25
the prevailing view that olfactory PNs sum their inputs passively, we show for the first	26
time that NMDA spikes within individual dendrites can both amplify OB inputs and impose	27
combination selectivity upon them, while their ability to compartmentalize voltage signals	28
allows different dendrites to represent different odorant combinations. Thus, the 2-layer	29
integrative behavior of olfactory PN dendrites provides a parsimonious account for the	30
nonlinear remapping of the odor code from bulb to cortex.	31
	32

	33
	34
Introduction	35
The piriform cortex (PCx) is the main cortical station in olfactory processing. It	36
receives direct odor information from the olfactory bulb, as well as contextual	37
information from higher brain regions, and is thought to be the brain's primary site for	38
odor discrimination and recognition ¹ .	39
Olfaction starts at the nasal epithelium where a single odor activates multiple odorant	40
receptors (ORs). At the olfactory bulb, information from like ORs converge to ~1000	41
mirror symmetric pairs of glomeruli ^{2,3} . Thus, on either side of the brain an odor is	42
represented as a distributed pattern of activation over ~1000 glomeruli, which	43
together form a molecular map of the odor. Mitral and tufted (M/T) cells, the	44
glomerular outputs, carry the olfactory signal next to the PCx via the lateral olfactory	45
tract (LOT). LOT axons traverse layer 1 of the PCx and form synaptic contacts with	46
the thin distal dendrites of pyramidal neurons and layer 1 interneurons ^{1,4} .	47
Unlike other sensory cortices which are topographically organized, the connectivity	48
scheme between the OB and PCx lacks any apparent spatial structure: individual LOT	49
axons from the bulb terminate in broad overlapping swaths of the PCx ⁵⁻⁸ so that each	50
glomerulus excites a widely dispersed and apparently randomly distributed population	51
of PCx neurons. In turn, each pyramidal neuron in PCx receives synaptic input from	52
roughly ~10% of the 1000 glomeruli, also apparently randomly sampled ⁸⁻¹⁴ . In	53
keeping with the notion of random convergence and divergence of OB axons in PCx,	54
electrophysiological studies show that each odorant activates an apparently random	55
population of from 3% to 15% of the neurons in layer 2 of the PCx at low	56
concentration ¹³ .	57
Pyramidal neurons in the piriform cortex are the main integration units within which	58
the discrete molecular information channels of the OB are combined to form "odor	59
objects", but the biophysical and circuit-level mechanisms that remap LOT inputs into	60
the olfactory code in PCx remain poorly understood. Three features of the mapping of	61
LOT excitation into pyramidal neuron activity in PCx are noteworthy, and in the	62
context of the existing literature, lead to a conundrum:	63

1. Pyramidal neurons are reliably driven by LOT inputs, even though LOT synaptic contacts onto PNs are formed on distal tuft dendrites, are few in number (~200 total contacts,⁸), and are sparsely activated (just 1% of glomeruli activated in the OB reliably drives many PCx pyramidal neurons⁹. This suggests pyramidal neurons in PCx have some means of amplifying weak distal inputs.

2. Pyramidal neurons in PCx are combination selective, that is, they respond supralinearly to specific combinations of glomerular inputs but not others^{9,15}. These combinations are of relatively high order, so that a pyramidal neuron that responds strongly to odorant may respond weakly to a chemically similar odorant that activates a heavily overlapping pattern of glomeruli¹³.

3. Pyramidal neurons in PCx have "discontinuous" receptive fields, that is, they respond to multiple chemically diverse odorants^{13,16-18}, while failing to respond to re-combinations of those odorants' component parts^{9,15}. For example, a pyramidal neuron that is unresponsive to individual odor components A, B, or C may respond strongly to combinations AB and AC but fail to respond to combination BC.

What biophysical mechanism(s) could account for a pyramidal neuron's ability to (1) amplify distal LOT inputs; (2) enforce combination selectivity on those inputs; and (3) maintain multiple "discontinuous" recognition subunits? A possible mechanism could be compartmentalized NMDA spikes in pyramidal neuron dendrites, which could provide both, the thresholding nonlinearity that enforces combination selectivity, and the amplification that allows distal inputs to drive somatic action potentials¹⁹⁻²⁵.

Weighing against this hypothesis, however, the one study that has analyzed dendritic responses of olfactory PNs using current injections and focal electrical stimulation reported that pyramidal neurons in the PCx lack sufficient NMDA (or other) regenerative currents that could provide either the combination selectivity or amplification of LOT inputs²⁶. Rather, they reported that pyramidal neurons in PCx, unlike their counterparts in other cortical areas, act as intrinsically linear summing units. This leads to a conundrum: the only alternative source of nonlinearity that would seem capable of producing combination selectivity – recurrent network effects mediated by intracortical inputs (IC) to pyramidal neurons – has also apparently been ruled out: Davison and Ehlers (2009) tested whether a pyramidal neuron's ability to respond selectively to LOT input combinations depends on IC inputs, which outnumber a pyramidal neuron's LOT inputs 10 to 1, but they found no reduction in a

pyramidal neuron's combination selectively when its IC inputs were blocked with baclofen. Given the importance of understanding the cellular mechanisms underlying odor representation in PCx, we revisited the question as to whether pyramidal neuron dendrites in PCx can generate local spikes^{21,24,27-31}. We found that robust NMDA spikes can indeed be generated in dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons, both in layer 1a which receives direct LOT input, as well as in deeper layers, and using a model we show that these local spikes can serve to effectively amplify clustered versus distributed LOT inputs forming the basis for a discontinuous receptive field. We also show that supralinear summation of LOT inputs is largely confined to a single dendrite, whereas nonlinear interactions of LOT inputs between dendrites are small. These findings support the idea that a pyramidal neuron in PCx can represent multiple distinct glomerular combinations within its apical dendritic arbor, which fulfills the basic requirements for a discontinuous receptive field¹³. Finally, we show that interactions between LOT and IC inputs are also nonlinear, a fact that will likely be important for understanding the recurrent pattern completion functions of the PCx.

Results

Glutamate uncaging evoked NMDA spikes in apical dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons.

To directly address the fundamental question of whether dendrites of pyramidal neurons in PCx can generate dendritic spikes we used focal glutamate uncaging (MNI-glutamate) to activate specific dendritic locations. Neurons were loaded with the calcium sensitive dye OBG-6F (200 μ M) and CF633 (200 μ M) to visualize the dendritic tree and perform calcium imaging (Figure 1a). Gradually increasing the laser intensity to uncage glutamate, evoked EPSP-like potentials, which increased progressively up to a threshold laser activation, beyond which a local spike was initiated (Figure 1a-b; n=10 cells). We then perfused the slices with specific blockers for voltage-gated sodium, calcium and NMDAR channels^{28,32}. Addition of the voltage-gated sodium channel blocker TTX (1 μ M) did not significantly change the peak of the slow spikes (90.8 ± 6.0 % of control; p=0.16; n=5). In some cases, we recorded a fast spikelet that preceded the prolonged voltage plateau, reminiscent of the sodium spikelets observed in CA1 pyramidal dendrites and tuft dendrites of

neocortical layer 5 pyramidal neurons^{21,33}; we verified that the fast spikelet was blocked by TTX (example of the fast spikelet is shown in Figure 2f and Figure 4b). An add-on cocktail of voltage-gated calcium channel blockers (w-agatoxin 0.5 μ M, conotoxin-GVIA 5 μ M; SNX 482 200 nM, nifedipine 10 μ M) had a small effect on the peak of the dendritic spike (85.4 ± 4.2 % of control; $p=0.08$; $n=5$). Finally, APV (2-amino 5-phosphonovalerate; 50 μ M) a specific NMDAR channel blocker, completely abolished spike initiation (Figure 1b-d). These results indicate that like fine dendrites of hippocampal and neocortical pyramidal neurons^{31,34}, NMDA spikes can be initiated in dendrites of pyramidal neurons in PCx. Voltage-gated sodium and calcium channels only minimally contributed to the slow component of the spike, while the majority of the current was carried by NMDAR channels^{28,32}.

Initiation of NMDA spikes by activation of LOT inputs using focal synaptic stimulation.

Having established with focal glutamate uncaging that pyramidal neurons in PCx are capable of producing NMDA spikes in their distal dendrites, we asked whether synaptic activation of LOT inputs can also trigger dendritic spikes. We directly activated LOT inputs using synaptic stimulation electrodes visually positioned within the LOT pathway in layer 1^{15,35} (Figure 2a). The dendritic stimulus location was verified using calcium imaging which showed a low amplitude localized calcium transient in response to a small subthreshold EPSP (Figure 2b). Gradually increasing the stimulus intensity led to a linearly increasing EPSP up to a threshold stimulation intensity, after which a dendritic spike was initiated (Figure 2c-d).

The average spike threshold evoked by LOT stimulation and recorded at the soma was 14.1 ± 1.6 mV and the dendritic spike amplitude and area under the voltage curve (hereafter “area”) measured at the soma was 27.1 ± 2.4 mV and 3817.8 ± 396.9 mV*ms respectively (mean $\pm$ SEM; $n=48$ cells; stimulation location 276.78 ± 11.45 μ m from soma).

In line with the uncaging data, APV (50 μ M) blocked the initiation of dendritic spikes by LOT inputs and linearized stimulus-response curves (Figure 2c-e). At just-suprathreshold stimulus intensity, APV decreased the response peak and area by 56.3 ± 3.8 % and 88.5 ± 2.6 % respectively ($n=17$). Also similar to uncaging, in 22% of neurons we recorded a fast initial spike component (Figure 2f; amplitude 13.322 ± 0.516 mV, threshold 9.71 ± 0.79 mV, $n=8$; 217.14 ± 29 μ m from soma).

The average resting membrane potential was relatively hyperpolarized (-80.1 ± 1.43 mV), thus in many cases the NMDA spike stayed subthreshold to somatic firing however in other cases we could observe firing as a result of the NMDA spike.

Occasionally we observed spontaneous spike-like events, which resembled synaptically evoked spikes in shape, including a clear inflection at spike initiation (Figure 2g-h). This indicates that the basic circuitry of the piriform cortex can support the initiation of such spikes. The average spontaneous spike amplitude and area were 17.78 ± 2.09 mV and 9941 ± 2640 mV*ms respectively (n=22 spikes).

To further study the role of NMDARs in synaptically evoked spikes, we blocked NMDARs intracellularly. We and others have previously shown that intracellular MK801 can block NMDARs from the inside thus can serve as a powerful tool to dissect regenerative postsynaptic amplification effects of NMDARs from network recurrent effects (Lavzin et al. 2012). Addition of MK801 to the patch pipette solution completely blocked dendritic spikes (Figure 2e). The spike amplitude and area at just-suprathreshold stimulation intensity was reduced to $32.7 \pm 5.3\%$ and $17.2 \pm 2.2\%$ respectively (n=6 cells; 40 min after patch breakthrough).

Dendritic calcium imaging revealed that NMDA spikes were accompanied by large calcium transients around the activated dendritic site (Figure 3). Using OGB-6F we observed a maximal calcium transient at the activated dendritic location which fell off steeply in both proximal and distal directions relative to the activated sites (Figure 3b-e). These data are consistent with NMDA spike-evoked calcium profiles seen in basal dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons, and indicate the initiation of a local, non-actively propagated spike^{28,32}.

Together with the uncaging data, these results indicate that LOT inputs are capable of generating NMDA spikes in distal pyramidal neuron dendrites, and these spikes strongly amplify peak and time-averaged somatic EPSP responses compared to just-subthreshold (to NMDA spike) responses ($218.4 \pm 16\%$ and $313.5 \pm 34.1\%$ for peak amplitude and area respectively).

NMDA spikes can be generated throughout the apical tree, and by both LOT and IC inputs

In addition to bulb inputs conveyed by the LOT in layer 1a, pyramidal neurons in PCx receive a much larger number of inputs from IC axons in the deeper layers³⁶⁻³⁸.

Typically, an odor response in the piriform cortex is composed of feedforward LOT activity followed by recurrent IC activity, and it is thought that odor responses in PCx are strongly shaped by this recurrent input^{18,39,40}. To develop a more comprehensive picture of dendritic integration in pyramidal neurons of PCx, it is therefore important to determine whether IC inputs can also trigger NMDA spikes in pyramidal neuron dendrites.

Using glutamate uncaging, we first tested whether NMDA spikes could be initiated at progressively more proximal sites along the apical dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons. We found that spikes could not only be generated throughout the LOT-recipient zone in layer 1a, but also in layers 1b and 2 where pyramidal neurons primarily receive intracortical inputs (Figure 4). In keeping with previous reports (Major et al. 2008), the amplitude of NMDA spikes recorded at the soma increased significantly (from 6.4 ± 0.7 mV to 25.9 ± 3.1 mV) as the uncaging site moved from distal (318.6 ± 7.9 μ m) to proximal (99 ± 14.6 μ m) dendritic locations (Figure 4c; $p=0.00014$). Thus, the apical dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons are capable of generating spikes throughout the layers that receive LOT and IC inputs.

To examine with greater specificity the relative contribution of LOT versus IC inputs to NMDA spike initiation at different distances from the soma, we used the GABA-B agonist baclofen (100 μ M), which was previously shown to selectively silence intracortical inputs^{15,35}. When dendritic spikes were initiated at distal dendritic locations using synaptic stimulation (254.85 ± 14.95 μ m from soma), addition of baclofen only slightly altered spike amplitude (Figure 4d-e; spike amplitude was reduced by 10.4 ± 7.3 %, $p=0.02$ and spike threshold increased by 10.1 ± 12.6 %, $p=0.004$, $n=6$). However, at mid and proximal apical dendritic regions, baclofen exerted significant effects on spike initiation and voltage amplitude (Figure 4f-h). At mid dendritic locations (197.3 ± 12 μ m), local spikes were evidently triggered by a mixture of LOT and intracortical inputs, since upon baclofen application, response amplitude was reduced (peak voltage response was reduced by 42.0 ± 13.3 %, compared to control; $n=6$). At more proximal locations (161.6 ± 9.6 μ m) spike initiation was almost completely dependent on intracortical inputs: when baclofen was present, we were unable to initiate local spikes at all, and the voltage response was significantly reduced (Figure 4f-g; peak response was reduced by 63.2 ± 6.2 %, compared to control; $n=6$). At all dendritic locations, addition of APV (50 μ M)

completely abolished spike initiation (Figure 4d-h). However, at proximal locations APV did not significantly change the response amplitude recorded in the presence of baclofen (Figure 4g-h; reduction of $8 \pm 10.6\%$; $p=0.44$; $n=5$). Thus, mainly the regenerative part of the spike was suppressed by the intracortical blockade by baclofen, leaving only the underlying EPSP.

Taken together these results indicate that pyramidal neurons in PCx are capable of NMDA spike generation throughout their apical arbors; that both LOT and IC inputs can generate NMDA spikes within their respective layers; and that these synaptically evoked dendritic spikes show the commonly observed increase in amplitude as the initiation site moves closer to the soma²⁸.

Combination selectivity and compartmentalization of pyramidal neuron dendrites

Having a “discontinuous” receptive field means an olfactory pyramidal neuron must respond selectively to multiple different combinations of LOT inputs (e.g. AB and CD), but not to the individual inputs (A, B, C, or D), or to re-combinations of the same inputs (AC or BD). We tested a pyramidal neuron’s capacity for responding selectively to multiple distinct LOT input combinations, in two stages.

First, we verified that the NMDA spike thresholding nonlinearity could provide a mechanism for enforcing combination selectivity *within* a dendrite. For example, a dendrite with a threshold of 2 could respond to a combination of inputs 1 and 2, but not to the individual components 1 or 2. To test this, we used stimulating electrodes to activate two LOT inputs separately and in combination on a single dendrite (3 EPSPs at 50 Hz; $354.4 \pm 17.47\ \mu\text{m}$ from soma; average interelectrode distance of $35.69 \pm 1\ \mu\text{m}$). Input 1 was activated over a full range of intensities, up through NMDA spike initiation. After generating input 1’s baseline input-output curve, the same stimulus sequence was repeated in the presence of input 2, which provided a constant “bias” input (average EPSP bias amplitude at the soma was $3.81 \pm 0.24\ \text{mV}$; $n=26$). Coactivation of the two inputs resulted in a strong nonlinear interaction, where input 2 significantly lowered the threshold for local spike generation by input 1 (by $46.55 \pm 1.8\%$ for peak voltage; Figure 5a-d) without changing the response peak amplitude. The pronounced left shift of the input-output curve caused by input 2 is a fundamentally nonlinear interaction resembling the function $\text{sigmoid}(x_1 + x_2, \theta)$,

where x_1 and x_2 represent the magnitudes of the two inputs, and θ represents the threshold. Had the interaction been linear, the effect of input 2 would have been to lift input 1's entire input-output curve vertically by an amount equal to the bias voltage, with no change in threshold, giving the $\text{sigmoid}(x_1, \theta) + x_2$. Given the form of the within-branch nonlinearity, we conclude that with an appropriate setting of the NMDA spike threshold, the distal dendrites of olfactory pyramidal neurons are well suited to enforce LOT combination-selectivity.

The second stage question is whether a co-activation of two inputs of comparable magnitude to those used in the experiments above, but split *between* dendrites, are less effective at driving the cell than the within-branch combination. We found in favor of this hypothesis that the nonlinear interaction between LOT inputs delivered to two different branches, even when those branches were "sisters" (Figure 5c-e), was much weaker than the within-branch interaction, as evidenced by the much smaller change in spike threshold caused by the bias input ($7.98 \pm 0.75\%$, $n=5$ threshold change for the sister-branch Figure 5c-e). The threshold coupling between branches was weaker still when the bias was delivered to a different branch that was more remote than a sister ($6.28 \pm 1.88\%$, $n=6$ threshold change for the different-branch, Figure 5e).

To more closely examine the role of NMDA channels in the pairing outcomes, we repeated the pairing experiments in the presence of APV (Figure 5c-d). In these cases, the bias added a roughly constant value to the unpaired voltage response (Control + APV) all along the curve, confirming that without NMDA channels, pyramidal neuron dendrites revert to roughly linear summation.

Together these results support a model of olfactory coding in PCx in which (1) NMDA spike generation in the distal apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons provides the superlinearity needed to enforce selectivity for specific combinations of LOT inputs, while the compartmentalization of voltage signals in the apical tree allows for different LOT combinations to be mapped onto different apical dendrites with relatively little crosstalk between them.

Nonlinear summation of LOT and IC inputs

As odor responses in pyramidal neurons of the PCx are driven first by direct LOT activity and subsequently shaped by recurrent IC inputs^{4,9,39,40}, it is critical to

understand how LOT and IC inputs summate, whether linearly or nonlinearly, and if nonlinearly, with what type of nonlinear interaction.

Since LOT and IC inputs are segregated along the proximal-distal axis of a pyramidal neuron's apical dendritic tree, we used focal synaptic stimulations to examine the interaction between distal sites representing predominantly LOT inputs, and more proximal sites representing predominantly IC inputs. In these experiments, one electrode was positioned at a distal site and held fixed, while a second electrode, which again provided the bias input, was moved closer to the soma within the same branch (Figure 6a; average interelectrode distance of $138.46 \pm 7.78\mu\text{m}$ and bias voltage of 3.9 ± 0.27 mV; $n=13$). We found that summation of LOT and IC inputs was very similar in form to the summation of two inputs confined to the LOT (Figure 6a-e): the IC bias input again led to a substantial threshold reduction for LOT inputs ($35.44 \pm 2.0\%$, $n=15$), with no increase in response magnitude. Indeed, the threshold-lowering effect of the bias input was relatively constant within increasing separation of the two inputs (Figure 6f), indicating that over much of its length, a pyramidal neuron apical dendrite functions as a single, relatively location-insensitive integrative subunit.

In contrast to the weak dependence on location, the nonlinear interaction of LOT and IC inputs depended completely on NMDA regenerativity: blocking NMDARs linearized the input-output curves, destroying their sigmoidal form, and eliminating the basis for a superlinear within-branch interactions (Figure 6, grey curves).

To complete the picture, we examined the interaction between a distal LOT input and a proximal IC input either on sister or different branches (Figure 7). When the bias was provided by an IC input on a sister branch, the nonlinear interaction was evident, though significantly weaker compared to that seen with a same-branch IC bias (Figure 7a-b, e). Threshold reduction was $23.38 \pm 2.34\%$ ($n=8$) for IC locations on sister branches. The interaction was weaker still when the IC bias was on a more distantly related branch, $18.4 \pm 2.88\%$ ($n=7$), approaching the minimal nonlinear interaction seen with LOT-LOT different-branch pairing (Figure 7c-e).

In summary, summation between LOT-IC inputs within a single apical dendrite is also nonlinear, with only a slight weakening of the nonlinear interaction as the inputs are increasingly separated (i.e. compared to more closely spaced LOT-LOT pairs).

This indicates that apical dendrites of pyramidal neurons in PCx, function as relatively simple separate integrative subunits that apply a sigmoidal nonlinearity to their summed inputs with relatively little dependence on location. On the other hand, we observed a much weaker nonlinear interaction between inputs to different dendrites, indicating pyramidal dendrites enjoy a significant degree of dendritic compartmentalization.

Modeling

To cross check our experimental findings, we developed a compartmental model of a reconstructed PCx pyramidal neuron, and recorded its responses to stimulus configurations similar to those used in our experiments. We first established that the model cell can generate NMDA spikes in response to concentrated synaptic excitation at any location along a pyramidal neuron's apical dendrite, and that both the threshold for spike initiation and the spike amplitude measured at the soma increase as the site of spike initiation moves closer to the soma (Supplementary Figure S1). We next replicated the same/sister/different branch pairing experiments shown in Figures 5-7. We found a close correspondence between the experimental and modeling results, wherein an LOT bias input activated on the same dendrite produced a much larger threshold-lowering effect than a bias input of the same size (measured at the soma) delivered to the LOT region of a sister or different branch (Supplementary Figure S2). Thus, the model supports our experimental finding that nonlinear synaptic summation of LOT inputs to distal apical dendrites is strongly compartmentalized, with individual apical dendrites acting as well-separated integrative subunits.

We also found close correspondence to the experimental data for interactions between LOT and IC inputs on same, sister and different branches (Supplementary Figure S2). The threshold lowering power of an IC bias input on the same branch was somewhat reduced compared to a bias input activated within the LOT itself, consistent with a mild distance-dependent attenuation of synaptic interactions on pyramidal neurons on same apical dendrites. In addition, the model replicated the experimental finding that IC bias inputs on sister and different branches had a significantly weaker effect than an IC bias on the same branch (compare Supplementary Figure S2g to Figure 8e), though the degree of compartmentalization of IC inputs was, as in the experiments, and as expected from passive cable theory, less pronounced than the

compartmentalization of LOT inputs. Thus, the model supports our experimental findings that IC inputs interact nonlinearly with LOT inputs, but compared to highly compartmentalized interactions between LOT sites on different branches, the effects of IC inputs are less well compartmentalized.

Finally, we used the model to verify that under in vivo-like conditions, the combined effects of NMDA spikes and dendritic compartmentalization can produce the “discontinuous” receptive fields typical of pyramidal neurons in PCx. In particular, we predicted that combination selectivity would be observed for glomerular activation patterns that resulted in clustered excitation on apical dendrites, since this would tend to activate NMDA spikes and powerfully drive the cell, whereas input combinations that activated apical dendrites diffusely would fail to trigger NMDA spikes and therefore drive the pyramidal neuron only weakly.

To test this idea, we distributed LOT inputs in the distal apical tree in either a clustered or dispersed fashion, along with inhibitory inputs targeting both the distal apical dendrites (representing feedforward inhibition), as well as the perisomatic region (representing feedback inhibition) (Figure 8a). We activated LOT inputs with in vivo-like firing patterns designed to mimic mitral cell responses to odors (Figure 8b, bottom;^{9,18,41,42}). The timing and kinetics of feedforward and feedback inhibitory inputs were likewise designed to mimic in-vivo like activation as described in the literature (Figure 8b)^{18,43-47}.

We compared clustered activation of LOT inputs (Figure 8, black) to the same number of synaptic inputs dispersed randomly over the entire LOT-receiving area of the dendritic tree (Figure 8, pink). As predicted, we found that that clustered LOT inputs reliably evoked NMDA spikes, powerfully amplifying postsynaptic signal compared to Ohmic (voltage-independent) NMDAR (Figure 8c-d). In contrast, dispersed LOT activation typically failed to overcome the local spike threshold on any dendrite, due both to the lack of concentrated excitation and the presence of inhibition, and therefore resulted in significantly smaller local dendritic and somatic voltage responses (Figure 8b-d, pink). The difference between clustered and dispersed synaptic distributions was evident over a large input range (Figure 8c-d), leading to preferential amplification of input combinations that target individual postsynaptic branches (Figure 8c). This effect was entirely dependent on regenerative NMDAR currents: stimulation with Ohmic NMDARs, eliminated the strong dependence of

postsynaptic responses on the spatial distribution of synaptic inputs (Figure 8c, inset, 391
8d, dotted). 392

Discussion 396

How pyramidal neurons in piriform cortex integrate their bulb inputs to generate 397
olfactory percepts has been an unsettled question. To address this, we studied the 398
integrative properties of pyramidal neuron dendrites in PCx using glutamate 399
uncaging, focal synaptic stimulation, and compartmental models. Our primary aim 400
was to determine whether local spike generation in the dendrites of PCx pyramidal 401
neurons could serve the dual purposes of amplifying pyramidal neuron responses to 402
LOT inputs impinging on their distal dendrites, as well as provide a nonlinear binding 403
mechanism that could underlie a pyramidal neuron's selectivity for multiple distinct 404
odorant combinations. 405

We found that pyramidal neurons in PCx can generate local spikes throughout their 407
apical dendrites, and that a spike in a dendritic branch can powerfully depolarize the 408
soma (i.e. producing up to 40-50 mV depolarizations depending on distance and cell 409
size). Our results are consistent with two key properties of local spikes seen in thin 410
(basal, apical oblique, and tuft) dendrites of pyramidal neurons in other cortical areas. 411
First, the majority of spikes we observed in PCx pyramidal neurons are mediated 412
primarily by NMDAR channels similar to thin dendrites in other pyramidal neurons 413
^{20,21,24,27,29,32-34}. In addition, in a subset of cases we observed fast spikes reminiscent 414
of sodium spikelets observed in thin tuft and basal dendrites of pyramidal neurons in 415
other cortical areas ^{21,23,28,33}. Second, the NMDA spike amplitude (measured at the 416
soma) increase progressively as the site of spike initiation moves closer to the soma 417
^{28,48}. 418

Beyond the properties of NMDA spikes per se, we found that the logic of synaptic 419
integration in pyramidal neuron dendrites in PCx, particularly the pronounced 420
difference between within-branch and between-branch summation, is also consistent 421
with that seen in other types of pyramidal neurons ^{18,19,49}. In particular, synaptic 422
inputs to a PCx pyramidal neurons are processed via a 2-layer computation. First, 423

LOT inputs are combined within individual dendrites as roughly a weighted sum (i.e. 424
linearly) up to the local spike threshold (Figure 2d), so that an individual dendrite 425
behaves comparably to a "neuron" in a conventional artificial neural network. A 426
telltale sign of this type of linear-nonlinear (LN) input-output function *within* a 427
dendrite is the nearly pure left-shifting of a "control" input's sigmoidal input-output 428
curve caused by a constant "bias" input activated on the same branch – an effect seen 429
in both our experimental data and simulation results (Figure 5, and Supplementary 430
Figure S2). In the second layer of processing, the outflows from the separately 431
thresholded dendritic “subunits” are combined linearly at the soma as a prelude to 432
output spike generation. A telltale sign of linear summation *between* dendrites is the 433
uniform lifting of one branch's input-output curve (especially over its subthreshold 434
range where saturation effects are minimal) by the constant somatic bias voltage 435
generated by another dendrite. This is best seen in the peak amplitude curves in 436
Figure 7b and d, and in the model input pairing figure (Supplementary Figure S2). A 437
second sign of (relatively) independent functioning of different dendrites is the much 438
smaller threshold shift in a dendrite's i/o curve seen when a bias input is applied to a 439
different branch, where the least nonlinear crosstalk occurs between LOT-receiving 440
zones in "cousin" branches, and only slightly more between directly adjoining "sister" 441
branches (Figures 5e, 7e, and Supplementary Figure S2g; see also ⁵⁰). 442

The 2-layer architecture of a pyramidal neuron in PCx allows it to respond selectively 443
to specific high-order odorant combinations – those whose LOT activity patterns 444
deliver concentrated (suprathreshold) excitation to at least one apical dendrite – 445
without responding to the vast majority of LOT patterns that produce more diffuse, 446
and therefore subthreshold, excitation to multiple branches within the dendritic arbor. 447
This ability to respond to multiple distinct high-order combinations, without 448
responding to re-combinations of the same odor components, may account for this 449
cell type's hallmark physiological property, namely its "discontinuous" receptive field 450
^{13,16-18}. This same type of scenario, in which a neuron computes a disjunction over a 451
set of nonlinear "features" mapped onto different dendrites has been previously 452
proposed to underlie the pooling of multiple simple cell-like subunits within a 453
complex cell's receptive field in V1 ^{49,51}; the pooling of higher-order feature 454
conjunctions in a memory circuit ^{19,52-54}, and as a means to multiplex one of several 455
neural pathways through to a cell's output, as might occur in the context of a decision 456
task ⁵⁵. 457

Mismatch to the currently accepted view of olfactory pyramidal neurons 458

As previously discussed, our results showing that pyramidal neurons in PCx have a 2- 459
layer summation logic arising from (1) NMDA regenerativity and (2) a 460
compartmentalized dendritic tree, are inconsistent with a previous study in olfactory 461
cortex which reported little sign of regenerative NMDA or sodium currents in 462
pyramidal neuron dendrites, and concluded that pyramidal neurons in PCx integrate 463
their inputs essentially linearly ²⁶. The reasons for the discrepancy between the 464
Bathellier et al. study and ours are unknown, but presumably stem from 465
methodological differences. One difference in the experimental conditions was the 466
slicing procedure: We used coronal slices whereas Bathellier et al. used parasagittal 467
slices. This may have led to differences in the preservation of LOT inputs in our 468
slices, which may have increased the efficacy by which dendrites could be stimulated 469
focally. 470

Transformation of the odor code from olfactory bulb to piriform cortex 472

Anatomical data indicate that (1) axons originating in the olfactory bulb and traveling 473
along the LOT terminate broadly throughout the piriform cortex, targeting a dispersed 474
population of pyramidal neurons, and (2) single pyramidal neurons receive inputs 475
from multiple broadly distributed olfactory glomeruli ^{8,10,11}. Lacking any evidence for 476
a patterning of these connections, the anatomical projection from the olfactory bulb to 477
the cortex is generally assumed to be random. In keeping with this assumption, 478
physiological data show that different odors activate a unique set of neurons widely 479
distributed across the PCx, and that individual olfactory pyramidal neurons respond to 480
a small, unpredictable subset of odors ¹³. Thus, whereas the bulb forms a molecular- 481
based code wherein M/T cells in a given glomerulus respond to any odor containing 482
that glomerulus' associated molecule, pyramidal neurons in PCx fire only to high- 483
order glomerular combinations, but respond to multiple combinations that have little 484
to no chemical overlap with each other. 485

This transformation from molecule-specific responses in olfactory glomeruli to multi- 486
combination selectivity in pyramidal neurons in PCx requires a nonlinear 487
transformation, and indeed several in-vivo and in-vitro studies have indicated that 488
single pyramidal neurons in PCx do integrate odor information nonlinearly ^{9,13,15,18}. 489
In particular, activation of a single glomerulus or LOT fiber generates only a small 490
subthreshold depolarization in most connected PCx pyramidal neurons. On the other 491

hand, when multiple glomeruli or multiple LOT inputs are activated simultaneously, 492
or combinations of odorants are presented to an animal, PCx pyramidal neurons 493
produce strong supralinear responses^{9,13,15,17,56}. 494

What mechanism underlies the supralinear integration of convergent LOT inputs onto 495
single PCx pyramidal neurons? Apicella et al.¹⁵ showed the supralinearity requires 496
neither cooperative interactions in the bulb, nor participation of recurrent IC inputs in 497
PCx. Another potential source of supralinearity would be the cell's output spiking 498
mechanism: a high threshold for somatic action potential generation could in principle 499
be used to limit a pyramidal neuron's responses to only those stimuli that activate N 500
(or more) connected LOT axons. However, without dendritic subunitization, the cell 501
should respond to *any* combination of N LOT inputs, destroying the combination 502
selectivity needed to account for a PCx pyramidal neuron's discontinuous RF. In 503
contrast, our results support the idea that the dendritic thresholding nonlinearity 504
provided primarily by regenerative NMDA currents can mediate the supralinear 505
integration of LOT inputs observed previously both in-vitro and in vivo. 506

The softer compartmentalization of IC inputs, and its implications 507

In our exploration of the nonlinear interactions between driver inputs within the LOT 508
and bias inputs delivered either within the LOT or at the IC-receiving regions of the 509
apical tree, we found that the threshold-lowering effects of IC inputs were less well 510
compartmentalized. The effect can be traced to passive cable theory: IC inputs are 511
closer to the branch points where sister and cousin dendrites connect to each other, so 512
that their effects are felt more widely. In quantitative terms, beginning with a 513
"control" input-output curve generated by an LOT input, we found that the threshold- 514
lowering power of a second LOT input on the same branch was roughly 10 times that 515
of an LOT input delivered to a different branch. In contrast to this strong 516
compartmentalization, the threshold-lowering effect of an IC bias input on the same 517
branch is only twice that of an IC bias delivered to a different branch (Figure 7e). The 518
observation that IC inputs modulate more globally comes with a caveat, however: it 519
was previously shown that the degree of nonlinear crosstalk between dendritic 520
branches tends to be overestimated in subthreshold summation experiments, 521
compared to a cell operating in the firing regime which enhances subunit 522
independence⁵⁰. This is because the somatic spike-generating mechanism acts as a 523
sort of time-averaged "voltage clamp"⁵⁷ that suppresses subthreshold voltage 524
communication between dendrites⁵⁰. In light of this effect, it remains to be 525

determined whether the softer compartmentalization of IC inputs seen in both our experiments and simulations will persist to the same degree under normal operating conditions in the olfactory cortex.

Both the existence of nonlinear interactions between feedforward LOT and recurrent IC inputs to PCx pyramidal neurons, and the (unknown) degree to which IC inputs act locally (i.e., have modulatory effects confined to a single dendrite) vs. globally (affecting some or all dendrites) in vivo, suggest there remains much to learn about the functioning of the recurrent odor recognition network in piriform cortex. Besides carrying feedback from other pyramidal neurons in the area, IC inputs provide contextual information from higher-order cortical regions including the entorhinal cortex, orbitofrontal cortex and amygdala, potentially allowing the assignment of cognitive and emotional value to odors^{1,38}. The nonlinear interaction of LOT and IC inputs mediated by NMDA regenerativity could provide a biophysical mechanism for binding odor with contextual information in piriform cortex. If so, the dendritic subunitization of PCx pyramidal neurons, and the possibility of some locality of IC modulation within a neuron, could allow contextual information to be bound to certain odorant combinations represented by a neuron and not others.

Possible role of NMDA spikes in dendrite-specific plasticity induction

Backpropagating action potentials in apical dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons attenuate significantly as they propagate^{26,58}, making it less likely that bAPs contribute to spike timing dependent plasticity of distal LOT synapses. In contrast, given that NMDA currents can produce large localized calcium transients in apical dendrites, confined to within $\pm 20 \mu\text{m}$ of the activated site, such spikes could serve as local induction signals for plasticity of LOT synapses. In accordance with this notion, it was recently shown that NMDA spikes contribute to long-term potentiation (LTP) in the dendrites of CA3 neurons⁵⁹. In the same way, a group of LOT synapses that fire together on the same dendrite in PCx could trigger a local plasticity event that induces LTP of the activated synapses. When the same odor is re-encountered at a later time, and re-activates the group of now potentiated synapses, an even more powerful NMDA-dependent response might be generated, signaling odor recognition (for a discussion of related ideas see^{52,60}). Further work will be needed to determine the ways and conditions in which synaptic plasticity contributes to the learning-related functions of the olfactory cortex.

Author contribution	560
"A.K., O.S. and J.S. conducted the experiments and performed the analysis; J.S.	561
conceptualized and designed the experiments and performed analysis and figures;	562
A.P. and B.M. performed the modeling and figures; J.S., B.M., A.P. and E.B. wrote	563
the paper.	564
Acknowledgments	565
We thank Y. Schiller for helpful discussions throughout the project and helpful	566
comments on the manuscript. We thank Irena Reiter for excellent technical assistance	567
and processing the biocytin-filled neurons. This study was supported by Israeli	568
Science Foundation (J.S.), the Rappaport Foundation (J.S.), the Adelis Fund for Brain	569
Research at the Technion and Price funds (J.S.).	570
Declaration of interests	571
"The authors declare no competing interests."	572
	573

Figure legends

Figure 1. Glutamate uncaging evoked NMDA spikes in dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons. a. Fluorescence image reconstruction of a pyramidal neuron filled with CF633 (200 μ M) via the patch recording electrode. Uncaging location is indicated by the red dot. b. Voltage responses and dendritic spikes were evoked by uncaging of MNI-glutamate at increasing laser intensities in the Control condition (red); in the presence of the voltage gated sodium channel blocker TTX (1 μ M; blue); with an additional cocktail of voltage-gated calcium channel blockers (w-agatoxin 0.5 μ M, conotoxin-GVIA 5 μ M; SNX 482 200 nM, nifedipine 10 μ M; cyan), and finally adding APV (50 μ M; black). Insets, peak voltage response at increasing laser intensity for the different conditions. c. Overlay of the spike in the Control condition (red), in TTX (blue), in TTX+ calcium channel blockers (cyan) and with APV (black). All traces were collected using the same laser stimulation intensity. The spike was completely blocked with APV, and could not be reinitiated at higher laser intensities. d. Summary plot of the peak response amplitude in control, TTX, Ca²⁺ blockers, and APV (n=5). ** p<0.01 for comparison of APV with other blockers.

Figure 2. NMDA spikes evoked by LOT stimulation in PCx pyramidal neurons. a. A pyramidal neuron from PCx was loaded with the calcium sensitive dye OGB-6F (200 μ M) and CF633 (200 μ M) via the patch recording electrode. A focal double-barreled synaptic stimulating theta electrode was placed distally within the LOT innervation zone (280 μ m from soma). b. Line scan crossing the dendrite close to the stimulating electrode, showing calcium transients for a subthreshold EPSP (left) and for a dendritic spike at the same site (right). Dashed line denotes the time when the stimulus was delivered. Bottom traces show calcium transients in Control (red) and after addition of APV (black) for a subthreshold EPSP (left) and a dendritic spike (right). c. Voltage responses evoked by gradually increasing synaptic stimulation consisting of a burst of 3 pulses at 50 Hz. With gradually increasing stimulus intensity, an all-or-none response was evoked in control solution (red), which was blocked with the addition of the NMDAR blocker APV (50 μ M, black). No bicuculline was added in this experiment. d. Voltage response peak and area plotted as a function of stimulus intensity for the cell shown in A, showing a sigmoidal curve in the Control condition (red) and a linear curve with APV (black). e. Summary plot mean ($\pm$ SEM) for spike peak amplitude and area in Control (n=48) and after APV application (n=17) or intracellular MK801 (n=6). The tip of the electrode was filled with 1 μ L of control solution and back-filled with solution containing MK801(1mM). f. Example of a combined NMDA spike and fast spikelet probably representing a local sodium event. g. Example of a spontaneous spike recorded in succession to the synaptically evoked spike denoted by the blue bar is shown with higher time resolution in h. **p<0.01 for comparison with control. Comparison between APV and MK801 did not reach statistical significance.

Figure 3. Local calcium transients evoked by dendritic NMDA spikes. a. Fluorescence reconstruction of a layer IIB pyramidal neuron, showing stimulation electrode (288 μ m from soma) and the sites of calcium imaging (red circle denotes the location of synaptic stimulation). b. Calcium profile along the stimulated apical dendrite. Calcium transients are expressed as $\Delta F/F$ shown for different segments around the stimulated site (as illustrated in A). "0" denotes stimulation location, while distances (in μ m) from stimulation site towards pia is indicated as +ve and towards soma as -ve. c. Example of subthreshold EPSPs and an NMDA spike evoked at this recording site. d. Calcium profile (peak $\Delta F/F$) fitted by a Gaussian curve plotted as a function of distance of spike location (for the experiment shown in a-b). e. Summary plot of mean change in calcium transient ($\Delta F/F \pm$ SEM) evoked by an NMDA spike, as a function of the distance from the center of a stimulated segment (0)

averaged in 10 μm segments, from proximal (-ve values) to distal (+ve locations). All cells contained OGB-6F dye, perfused through the patch pipette (n=5).

Figure 4. NMDA spike initiation with LOT and IC inputs. a. Pyramidal neuron was loaded with the fluorescent dye CF-633 (200 μM) via the somatic patch recording electrode. Glutamate (MNI-glutamate) was uncaged at three sites as indicated by red circles (346 μM , 245 μM and 157 μM from soma). b. Somatic voltage responses evoked by increasing laser intensity at the dendritic locations indicated in A, in Control (left, red) and with the blocker APV (right, black). c. Summary plot of dendritic spike peak amplitudes as recorded at the soma, as a function of distance from the soma (n=11). d. Reconstruction of a pyramidal neuron showing a focal stimulation electrode at a distal LOT receiving zone (244 μm from soma). e. NMDA spike in Control (red), after sequential addition of Baclofen (100 μM ; blue) and APV (50 μM ; black). Bottom, plot of peak voltage response as a function of stimulus intensity for Control (red), in the presence of baclofen (blue) and sequential addition of APV (black). f. Reconstruction of a pyramidal neuron showing focal stimulating electrode at a proximal IC dendritic receiving zone (148 μm from soma). g. NMDA spike in Control (red), after sequential addition of Baclofen (100 μM ; blue) and APV (50 μM ; black). Bottom, plot of peak voltage response as a function of stimulus intensity for Control (red), in the presence of baclofen (blue) and sequential addition of APV (black). h. Summary plot of mean percent reduction in voltage amplitude measured at NMDA spike threshold in control conditions (mean % reduction peak voltage $\pm$ SEM) in the presence of baclofen and APV, for distal (n=7), middle (n=6) and proximal (n=6) spike locations. * $p < 0.05$; ** $p < 0.01$

Figure 5. Summation of LOT inputs on same, sister and different dendritic branches. a. Reconstruction of a layer IIB pyramidal neuron filled with the fluorescent dye CF-633 (200 μM) with stimulating electrodes positioned within an LOT-receiving zone in same dendritic branch (electrodes 1, 2) and sister branch (electrode 3). b. Voltage responses to pairing LOT inputs in same branch (electrodes 1+2) in Control (black) and with APV (grey). Example responses to electrode 1 separately (red), electrode 2 (blue, bias voltage), and electrode 1 with APV (light red) are shown. c. Stimulus response curves (peak voltage) for paired LOT inputs within the same branch (electrodes 1+2; inter-electrode distance 38 μm) and pairing with a sister branch (electrode 1+3). d. Same as in C, for area of the responses. e. Summary plot of percent decrease of spike threshold in the paired condition relative to Control for LOT-LOT inputs in same branch (grey) sister branch (blue) and different branch (red). ** $p < 0.01$ for comparison with same branch. Comparison of sister and different branches did not reach statistical significance ($p = 0.24$). Sister branches were defined as branching from same dendrite; Different branches were defined as branching from two separate branches.

Figure 6. Supralinear summation of LOT and IC inputs on a single dendritic branch. a. Reconstruction of a layer III pyramidal neuron filled with the fluorescent dye CF-633 (200 μM). Three stimulating electrodes were positioned in close proximity to same dendritic branch, with electrodes 1 and 2 within the LOT-receiving zone and electrode 3 within the IC-receiving zone. b. Voltage responses for paired LOT inputs on the same branch (electrodes 1 and 2, inter-electrode distance 37 μm). Example responses are shown for electrode 1 (red), electrode 1 + APV (cyan), electrode 2 (blue, bias voltage), pairing activation of electrodes 1+2 (black), and pairing activation of electrodes 1+2 in the presence of APV. c. Stimulus response curves for LOT pairing on the same branch (top voltage; bottom area). d. Same as b, for pairing LOT and IC inputs on the same branch (electrodes 1 and 3, inter-electrode distance 160 μm). e. Stimulus response curves for LOT and IC pairing on same branch (top voltage; bottom area). f. Summary plot of percent decrease of spike threshold (paired condition relative to control) as a function of inter-electrode distance in same branch. Circles show the examples shown in the figure. Slope = $-0.075 \pm 0.03 \text{ mV}/\mu\text{m}$

Figure 7. Summation of LOT and IC inputs on sister and different dendritic branches. a. Reconstruction of a layer IIB pyramidal neuron (same as in Figure 6) filled with the

fluorescent dye CF-633 (200 μ M) with electrodes positioned within LOT regions of the same branch (electrodes 1,2), or at IC-receiving dendritic regions at sister branch (electrode 3). b. Stimulus response curves for a single electrode (1, red), paired LOT-IC responses located on sister branches (1+3, purple), shown for peak amplitude (top) and area (bottom). Solid lines show same responses in the presence of APV. For comparison the paired LOT-LOT curve on the same branch (dotted black) is shown. c. Reconstruction of a layer IIB pyramidal neuron filled with the fluorescent dye CF-633 (200 μ M) with electrodes positioned within the LOT-receiving region of the same branch (electrodes 1,2), or at IC-receiving dendritic region at different branch (electrode 3). d. Same as in B but for LOT and IC inputs activated on different branches. e. Summary plot of percent decrease of spike threshold in paired condition relative to control for LOT-IC inputs to the same branch (grey), sister branch (blue), and different branch (teal). ** $p < 0.01$

Figure 8. NMDA spikes can produce combination-sensitive dendritic receptive fields: modeling results.

a. Example distribution of 20 glutamatergic inputs (grey circles) and 40 GABAergic inputs (blue) on the reconstructed cell (for clarity, some dendrites are not shown). Left, clustered distribution, where excitatory inputs are concentrated on a single postsynaptic branch. Right, dispersed excitatory distribution over all distal dendrites. b. Example postsynaptic responses to stimulation with 10/20 (left) and 20/40 (right) presynaptic excitatory/inhibitory inputs. Top, voltages recorded from the stimulated dendrite. Middle, somatic EPSPs. Dotted traces – stimulation in the Ohmic NMDARs. Bottom, the temporal presynaptic activation pattern, simulated to mimic typical odor responses of mitral cells *in-vivo*. The presynaptic firing trains were identical between clustered and dispersed distributions. c. Peak somatic EPSPs as a function of input clustering for different number of synaptic inputs. d. The simulated peak EPSP amplitude recorded at the soma as a function of the number of presynaptic inputs. Blockage of NMDA spikes with Ohmic NMDA channels abolished the preference for clustered excitatory drive ($n=100$ repetitions for each stimulation intensity). e-f. Dendritic odorant selectivity with NMDA spikes. e. Distribution of excitatory inputs (black) from four glomeruli (A-D, 10 inputs each) and odor-unselective inhibitory background (40 synapses, blue). f. Example somatic voltage profiles following activation of different combinations of LOT inputs. Top, stimulation of glomerular inputs that terminate on the same primary dendrite (black) promoted stronger postsynaptic depolarization compared to a dispersed input combination (magenta). Bottom, combination selectivity disappeared when NMDA spikes were blocked with Ohmic NMDA channels ($n=100$ repeats for each condition). Shaded areas – SEM. See also Supplemental Figure S1 and S2.

Supplementary Figure S1. NMDA spikes in PCx pyramidal neurons: modeling results.

a. Representative examples of focal synaptic stimulation at the LOT (top) and the IC (bottom) regions. b. AMPAR only stimulation, same locations. Colors code synaptic strength as labeled. c. Peak somatic EPSP as a function of synaptic conductance for the two dendritic locations, LOT (top) IC (bottom). d. Dependence of NMDA spike threshold (red) and NMDA spike amplitude (pink) on the distance of the stimulation site from the soma.

Supplementary Figure S2. Related to Figure 8. Pairing LOT and IC inputs: modeling results. a-f. Example pairing of a glutamatergic synaptic input on a distal apical branch (control) and a bias input located on the same branch (a, b), sister branch (c, d) or ‘different’ dendrite (i.e. that do not share a primary branch, e, f). Left, top – morphology of the reconstructed cell and stimulation locations. Left, bottom, example pairing; red – somatic EPSP following activation of 5 nS control input; blue, EPSP following activation of a 4 nS bias, this bias level was selected to produce ~5 mV depolarization at the soma and ~50% reduction in NMDA spike threshold for pairing of nearby inputs, similar to experimental conditions. Black, combined activation of bias and control synapses. Dotted line, the expected linear summation of the two individual inputs. Right, peak somatic EPSP amplitudes for

progressively increasing stimulation intensities of the control input. Right bold trace – in the
absence of bias, lighter traces – simulations in the presence of a bias input; traces differ by the
magnitude of the bias intensity (left bold trace, bias of 4 nS). Arrow indicated the stimulation
intensity of the control input used to produce the left, bottom plots. g. Reduction in spike
threshold between control activation only and pairing of control with 4 nS bias inputs as a
function of distance between the two stimulation locations. Data from 6 different control
locations in 3 reconstructed cells. Inset, schematic locations of the bias input color coded by
same/sister/different dendrites, location of the control input is as in a-f; also depicted here by
the large circle.

739

740

	741
Materials and Methods	742
Electrophysiology and calcium imaging	743
Coronal brain slices 300 µm thick from a 28-40 day old Wistar rats (male and female)	744
were prepared from the anterior part of the piriform cortex in an ice-cold artificial	745
cerebro-spinal fluid (ACSF) solution saturated with 95% oxygen and 5% CO ₂ . The	746
ACSF solution contained (in mM) 125 NaCl, 25 NaHCO ₃ , 25 Glucose, 3 KCl, 1.25	747
NaH ₂ PO ₄ , 2 CaCl ₂ , 1 MgCl ₂ PH 7.4. The slices were incubated for 30 minutes at 37°C	748
and kept at room temperature afterwards. During experiments, cells were visualized	749
with a confocal scanning microscope equipped with infrared illumination and Dot	750
gradient contrast video microscopy. Whole cell patch clamp recordings were	751
performed using an Axon amplifier (Multi clamp). For patching, glass electrodes (6-8	752
MΩ) were made from thick-walled (0.25mm) borosilicate glass capillaries on a	753
Flaming/Brown micropipette puller (P-97; Sutter Instrument). Intracellular pipette	754
solution contained (in mM) 135 K ⁺ -gluconate, 4 KCl, 4 Mg-ATP, 10 Na ₂ -	755
phosphocreatine, 0.3 Na-GTP, 10 HEPES, 0.2 OGB-6F, 0.2 CF 633, and biocytin	756
(0.2%;pH7.2).	757
Fluorescence confocal microscopy (Olympus FV1000) was performed on an upright	758
BX61WI Olympus microscope equipped with a 60X (Olympus 0.9 NA) water	759
objective. Neurons were filled with the calcium-sensitive dye OGB-6F (200 µM;	760
Invitrogen) and CF 633 (200 µM; Biotium) to visualize the apical dendritic tree.	761
Calcium transients were recorded in line-scan mode at 500 Hz.	762
All experiments were performed at 36° C.	763
All animal procedures were in accordance with guidelines established by the NIH on	764
the care and use of animals in research and were confirmed by the Technion	765
Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee.	766
Focal stimulation	767
Focal synaptic stimulation, at apical dendrites of PCx pyramidal neurons was	768
performed via a theta-glass (borosilicate; Hilgenberg) pipettes located in close	769
proximity to the selected dendritic segment guided by the fluorescent image of the	770
dendrite and the DIC image of the slice. The theta-stimulating electrodes were filled	771
with CF-633 (Biotium; 0.2 mM). Current was delivered through the electrode (short	772

burst of 3 pulses at 50 Hz), via stimulus isolator (ISO-Flex; AMPI). The efficacy and location of the stimulation was verified by simultaneous calcium imaging evoked by small EPSPs and their localization to a small segment of the stimulated dendrite.

Glutamate uncaging

MNI-glutamate (Tocris, Bristol, UK) was delivered locally near by a dendritic region of interest using pressure ejection (5-10 mbar) from an electrode (2 μ m in diameter) containing 5-10 mM caged glutamate. Electrodes were positioned 20-30 μ m from the dendrite of interest and caged glutamate was photolyzed by a 1 ms laser pulse (375 nm Excelsior, Spectra Physics) using the point scan mode (Olympus FV1000). Simultaneous calcium imaging was performed from the uncaged dendritic region.

Drug application

In part of the experiments, gamma-aminobutyric acid (GABAA) (1 μ M bicuculline; Sigma) was added to the ACSF perfusion solution. In some experiments as indicated in the text, a cocktail of calcium channels blockers was added to the ACSF solution containing w-agatoxin 0.5 μ M (P/Q type calcium channel blocker), conotoxin-GVIA 5 μ M (N type calcium channel blocker), SNX 482 200nM (R type calcium channel blocker) and nifedipine 10 μ M (L type calcium channel blocker). Sodium channel blocker TTX 1 μ M was applied to the ACSF solution. NMDA-R antagonist APV (50 μ M ,Tocris Bioscience) was added to the ACSF solution. In some experiments the NMDAR channel blocker MK801 (1 mM) was addedd to the intracellular solution, and the tip of the electrode was backfilled with control intracellular pipette solution.

Statistical procedure

The sample size was chosen based on standards used in the field as well as our own vast experience with similar experimental paradigms. Importantly most of our experiments involve examining a variable on the same neuron and thus the sources of variability are smaller in these type of experiments.

Analysis was done with IgorPro (5.01; WaveMetrics), Excel and Clampfit (Molecular Devices) commercial softwares. Data are presented as mean $\pm$ SEM. For testing statistical significance we used two-tailed paired Student's t test. No statistical methods were used to predetermine sample sizes. Our sample sizes are similar to those reported in previous publications. Neurons were excluded in case the viability of the cell was compromised as monitored by resting membrane potential and shape

and amplitude of action potentials evoked by current injection. Average resting
membrane potential was -80.1 ± 1.43 mV. In addition, we excluded neurons in which
the quality of the recordings deteriorated as measured by the access resistance.

Modeling

The simulations were conducted in a compartmental model using the NEURON 7.4
simulation platform. Three pyramidal cells were reconstructed from z-stacks of
fluorescently labeled neurons using Simple Neurite Tracer (ImageJ, ⁶¹). The cells
were subdivided into 493-544 compartments, with a maximum length of 19 μm . The
soma area was 829 μm^2 , the total dendritic length was 2238-2516 μm^2 ⁴⁴. The resting
membrane potential was -70 mV; the membrane resistance was 25,000 $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}^2$; the
axial resistance was 100 $\Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ and the membrane capacitance was set to 1 $\mu\text{F}/\mu\text{m}^2$.
The simulations that included sodium and potassium voltage-gated currents used the
Hodgkin-Huxley kinetics formalism. Specifically, fast sodium channels (reversal
potential = 50 mV, $g_{\text{Na}} = 1000$ mS/ cm^2), and delayed rectifier and slow non-
inactivating potassium channels (reversal potential = -87 mV, $g_{\text{Kdr}} = 500$ mS/ cm^2 ,
 $g_{\text{Ks}} = 20$ mS/ cm^2) were used to allow for spike generation and adaptation,
respectively ²⁷.

To study the synaptic integration in PCx pyramidal neurons, we modeled
physiologically realistic patterns of synaptic bombardment. We distributed the
excitatory synapses from LOT presynaptic cells in the PCx pyramidal neurons
according to known anatomical and physiological properties ^{4,9,35,44}. The firing rates
and the number of spikes for individual LOT presynaptic cells were drawn from
random distributions that matched the known in-vivo firing properties of Mitral / PCx
pyramidal cells ^{9,18,41,42}. The stimulation intensity of a single presynaptic cell was set
to produce an EPSC of 30 ± 19 pA, corresponding to a 1.4 ± 0.82 mV somatic EPSP
(Fig S3 B; ⁶²). Inhibitory inputs were randomly placed either in the LOT recipient
band, even with the LOT excitation, or in the proximal apical dendritic region within
100 μm of the soma ⁴⁷. For a clustered synaptic distribution, all excitatory inputs were
placed on a single distal dendrite. In the dispersed distribution, excitatory inputs were
allowed to target any LOT-recipient branch. To model intermediate clustering levels,
we divided the excitatory input into two pools, one clustered and the second
dispersed, and changed the proportion between the number of inputs in each pool.
Presynaptic neurons were represented by NetStim processes that generated temporal

triggers for synaptic activation. Each presynaptic cell gave rise to a single synapse on the modeled cell. Synaptic inputs were driven by a unique spike train for each presynaptic cell, which was generated by setting the ‘noise’ parameter of the NetStim process to 0.5. Excitatory spike trains began at simulation time of 100 ± 6 ms, and inhibitory inputs followed 10 ms later (110 ± 6 ms)^{18,44}. The ISI and the number of presynaptic action potentials in the excitatory / inhibitory presynaptic populations were described by normal distributions (mean $\pm$ SD) of 8 ± 5 ms and 5 ± 3 / 10 ± 3 respectively^{9,18,45}.

Excitatory postsynaptic synapses contained AMPA-Rs and NMDA-Rs. Inhibition was mediated by GABA-A synaptic currents. GABA-A currents had an instantaneous rise time, a decay time of 7 ms, unitary conductance of 2 nS and reversal potential of -70 mV. All excitatory inputs reversed at 0 mV. AMPA-R currents had an instantaneous rise time and a decay time of 1.5 ms. The average unitary AMPA-R conductance was 1 nS²⁶. NMDA-R currents had a rise time of 2 ms and a decay time of 80 ms, and the average NMDA-R conductance was 2 nS. The NMDA-R conductance voltage dependence was modeled as follows: $g_{\text{NMDA}} = 1 / (1 + 0.25 \cdot \exp(-0.08 \cdot V_m))$ where V_m is the local membrane potential. In some simulations we canceled out the voltage dependence of the NMDA-R current by setting the V_m to -70 mV for the whole duration of the simulation²⁷. Presynaptic vesicular release was explicitly modeled; each synapse was assumed to contain 5 vesicles, each with an independent release probability (Pr) of 0.1. The presynaptic pool was replenished with a rate of 100 sec^{-1} ⁶³).

		861
References		862
1	Gottfried, J. A. Central mechanisms of odour object perception. <i>Nat Rev Neurosci</i> 11 , 628-641, doi:10.1038/nrn2883 (2010).	863
2	Mombaerts, P. <i>et al.</i> Visualizing an olfactory sensory map. <i>Cell</i> 87 , 675-686 (1996).	864
3	Isaacson, J. S. Odor representations in mammalian cortical circuits. <i>Curr Opin Neurobiol</i> 20 , 328-331, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2010.02.004 (2010).	865
4	Bekkers, J. M. & Suzuki, N. Neurons and circuits for odor processing in the piriform cortex. <i>Trends Neurosci</i> 36 , 429-438, doi:10.1016/j.tins.2013.04.005 (2013).	866
5	Buonviso, N., Chaput, M. A. & Scott, J. W. Mitral cell-to-glomerulus connectivity: an HRP study of the orientation of mitral cell apical dendrites. <i>J Comp Neurol</i> 307 , 57-64, doi:10.1002/cne.903070106 (1991).	867
6	Buonviso, N., Revial, M. F. & Jourdan, F. The Projections of Mitral Cells from Small Local Regions of the Olfactory Bulb: An Anterograde Tracing Study Using PHA-L (Phaseolus vulgaris Leucoagglutinin). <i>Eur J Neurosci</i> 3 , 493-500 (1991).	868
7	Ojima, H., Mori, K. & Kishi, K. The trajectory of mitral cell axons in the rabbit olfactory cortex revealed by intracellular HRP injection. <i>J Comp Neurol</i> 230 , 77-87, doi:10.1002/cne.902300107 (1984).	869
8	Miyamichi, K. <i>et al.</i> Cortical representations of olfactory input by trans-synaptic tracing. <i>Nature</i> 472 , 191-196, doi:10.1038/nature09714 (2011).	870
9	Davison, I. G. & Ehlers, M. D. Neural circuit mechanisms for pattern detection and feature combination in olfactory cortex. <i>Neuron</i> 70 , 82-94, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.02.047 (2011).	871
10	Nagayama, S. <i>et al.</i> Differential axonal projection of mitral and tufted cells in the mouse main olfactory system. <i>Front Neural Circuits</i> 4 , doi:10.3389/fncir.2010.00120 (2010).	872
11	Sosulski, D. L., Bloom, M. L., Cutforth, T., Axel, R. & Datta, S. R. Distinct representations of olfactory information in different cortical centres. <i>Nature</i> 472 , 213-216, doi:10.1038/nature09868 (2011).	873
12	Ghosh, S. <i>et al.</i> Sensory maps in the olfactory cortex defined by long-range viral tracing of single neurons. <i>Nature</i> 472 , 217-220, doi:10.1038/nature09945 (2011).	874
13	Stettler, D. D. & Axel, R. Representations of odor in the piriform cortex. <i>Neuron</i> 63 , 854-864, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.09.005 (2009).	875
14	Soucy, E. R., Albeanu, D. F., Fantana, A. L., Murthy, V. N. & Meister, M. Precision and diversity in an odor map on the olfactory bulb. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 12 , 210-220, doi:10.1038/nn.2262 (2009).	876
15	Apicella, A., Yuan, Q., Scanziani, M. & Isaacson, J. S. Pyramidal cells in piriform cortex receive convergent input from distinct olfactory bulb glomeruli. <i>J Neurosci</i> 30 , 14255-14260, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2747-10.2010 (2010).	877
16	Rennaker, R. L., Chen, C. F., Ruyle, A. M., Sloan, A. M. & Wilson, D. A. Spatial and temporal distribution of odorant-evoked activity in the piriform cortex. <i>J Neurosci</i> 27 , 1534-1542, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4072-06.2007 (2007).	878
17	Kadohisa, M. & Wilson, D. A. Separate encoding of identity and similarity of complex familiar odors in piriform cortex. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 103 , 15206-15211, doi:10.1073/pnas.0604313103 (2006).	879
18	Poo, C. & Isaacson, J. S. Odor representations in olfactory cortex: "sparse" coding, global inhibition, and oscillations. <i>Neuron</i> 62 , 850-861, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.05.022 (2009).	880

19	Poirazi, P., Brannon, T. & Mel, B. W. Pyramidal neuron as two-layer neural network. <i>Neuron</i> 37 , 989-999 (2003).	909 910
20	Polsky, A., Mel, B. W. & Schiller, J. Computational subunits in thin dendrites of pyramidal cells. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 7 , 621-627, doi:10.1038/nn1253 (2004).	911 912
21	Larkum, M. E., Nevian, T., Sandler, M., Polsky, A. & Schiller, J. Synaptic integration in tuft dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons: a new unifying principle. <i>Science</i> 325 , 756-760, doi:10.1126/science.1171958 (2009).	913 914 915
22	Sheffield, M. E. & Dombeck, D. A. Calcium transient prevalence across the dendritic arbour predicts place field properties. <i>Nature</i> 517 , 200-204, doi:10.1038/nature13871 (2015).	916 917 918
23	Losonczy, A. & Magee, J. C. Integrative properties of radial oblique dendrites in hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. <i>Neuron</i> 50 , 291-307, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2006.03.016 (2006).	919 920 921
24	Nevian, T., Larkum, M. E., Polsky, A. & Schiller, J. Properties of basal dendrites of layer 5 pyramidal neurons: a direct patch-clamp recording study. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 10 , 206-214, doi:10.1038/nn1826 (2007).	922 923 924
25	Milojkovic, B. A., Radojicic, M. S., Goldman-Rakic, P. S. & Antic, S. D. Burst generation in rat pyramidal neurones by regenerative potentials elicited in a restricted part of the basilar dendritic tree. <i>J Physiol</i> 558 , 193-211, doi:10.1113/jphysiol.2004.061416 (2004).	925 926 927 928
26	Bathellier, B., Margrie, T. W. & Larkum, M. E. Properties of piriform cortex pyramidal cell dendrites: implications for olfactory circuit design. <i>J Neurosci</i> 29 , 12641-12652, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.1124-09.2009 (2009).	929 930 931
27	Lavzin, M., Rapoport, S., Polsky, A., Garion, L. & Schiller, J. Nonlinear dendritic processing determines angular tuning of barrel cortex neurons in vivo. <i>Nature</i> 490 , 397-401, doi:10.1038/nature11451 (2012).	932 933 934
28	Major, G., Polsky, A., Denk, W., Schiller, J. & Tank, D. W. Spatiotemporally graded NMDA spike/plateau potentials in basal dendrites of neocortical pyramidal neurons. <i>J Neurophysiol</i> 99 , 2584-2601, doi:10.1152/jn.00011.2008 (2008).	935 936 937
29	Antic, S. D., Zhou, W. L., Moore, A. R., Short, S. M. & Ikonomu, K. D. The decade of the dendritic NMDA spike. <i>J Neurosci Res</i> 88 , 2991-3001, doi:10.1002/jnr.22444 (2010).	938 939 940
30	Larkum, M. E. & Nevian, T. Synaptic clustering by dendritic signalling mechanisms. <i>Curr Opin Neurobiol</i> 18 , 321-331, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2008.08.013 (2008).	941 942
31	Stuart, G. J. & Spruston, N. Dendritic integration: 60 years of progress. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 18 , 1713-1721, doi:10.1038/nn.4157 (2015).	943 944
32	Schiller, J., Major, G., Koester, H. J. & Schiller, Y. NMDA spikes in basal dendrites of cortical pyramidal neurons. <i>Nature</i> 404 , 285-289, doi:10.1038/35005094 (2000).	945 946
33	Ariav, G., Polsky, A. & Schiller, J. Submillisecond precision of the input-output transformation function mediated by fast sodium dendritic spikes in basal dendrites of CA1 pyramidal neurons. <i>J Neurosci</i> 23 , 7750-7758 (2003).	947 948 949
34	Major, G., Larkum, M. E. & Schiller, J. Active properties of neocortical pyramidal neuron dendrites. <i>Annu Rev Neurosci</i> 36 , 1-24, doi:10.1146/annurev-neuro-062111-150343 (2013).	950 951 952
35	Franks, K. M. & Isaacson, J. S. Strong single-fiber sensory inputs to olfactory cortex: implications for olfactory coding. <i>Neuron</i> 49 , 357-363, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2005.12.026 (2006).	953 954 955
36	Haberly, L. B. Parallel-distributed processing in olfactory cortex: new insights from morphological and physiological analysis of neuronal circuitry. <i>Chemical senses</i> 26 , 551-576 (2001).	956 957 958

37	Haberly, L. B. & Price, J. L. Association and commissural fiber systems of the olfactory cortex of the rat. II. Systems originating in the olfactory peduncle. <i>J Comp Neurol</i> 181 , 781-807, doi:10.1002/cne.901810407 (1978).	959 960 961
38	Johnson, D. M., Illig, K. R., Behan, M. & Haberly, L. B. New features of connectivity in piriform cortex visualized by intracellular injection of pyramidal cells suggest that "primary" olfactory cortex functions like "association" cortex in other sensory systems. <i>J Neurosci</i> 20 , 6974-6982 (2000).	962 963 964 965
39	Giessel, A. J. & Datta, S. R. Olfactory maps, circuits and computations. <i>Curr Opin Neurobiol</i> 24 , 120-132, doi:10.1016/j.conb.2013.09.010 (2014).	966 967
40	Franks, K. M. <i>et al.</i> Recurrent circuitry dynamically shapes the activation of piriform cortex. <i>Neuron</i> 72 , 49-56, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2011.08.020 (2011).	968 969
41	Bolding, K. A. & Franks, K. M. Complementary codes for odor identity and intensity in olfactory cortex. <i>eLife</i> 6 , doi:10.7554/eLife.22630 (2017).	970 971
42	Tantirigama, M. L., Huang, H. H. & Bekkers, J. M. Spontaneous activity in the piriform cortex extends the dynamic range of cortical odor coding. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 114 , 2407-2412, doi:10.1073/pnas.1620939114 (2017).	972 973 974
43	Sheridan, D. C. <i>et al.</i> Matching of feedback inhibition with excitation ensures fidelity of information flow in the anterior piriform cortex. <i>Neuroscience</i> 275 , 519-530, doi:10.1016/j.neuroscience.2014.06.033 (2014).	975 976 977
44	Suzuki, N. & Bekkers, J. M. Microcircuits mediating feedforward and feedback synaptic inhibition in the piriform cortex. <i>J Neurosci</i> 32 , 919-931, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.4112-11.2012 (2012).	978 979 980
45	Sturgill, J. F. & Isaacson, J. S. Somatostatin cells regulate sensory response fidelity via subtractive inhibition in olfactory cortex. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 18 , 531-535, doi:10.1038/nn.3971 (2015).	981 982 983
46	Large, A. M., Kunz, N. A., Mielo, S. L. & Oswald, A. M. Inhibition by Somatostatin Interneurons in Olfactory Cortex. <i>Front Neural Circuits</i> 10 , 62, doi:10.3389/fncir.2016.00062 (2016).	984 985 986
47	Large, A. M., Vogler, N. W., Mielo, S. & Oswald, A. M. Balanced feedforward inhibition and dominant recurrent inhibition in olfactory cortex. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 113 , 2276-2281, doi:10.1073/pnas.1519295113 (2016).	987 988 989
48	Behabadi, B. F., Polsky, A., Jadi, M., Schiller, J. & Mel, B. W. Location-dependent excitatory synaptic interactions in pyramidal neuron dendrites. <i>PLoS Comput Biol</i> 8 , e1002599, doi:10.1371/journal.pcbi.1002599 (2012).	990 991 992
49	Archie, K. A. & Mel, B. W. A model for intradendritic computation of binocular disparity. <i>Nat Neurosci</i> 3 , 54-63, doi:10.1038/71125 (2000).	993 994
50	Behabadi, B. F. & Mel, B. W. Mechanisms underlying subunit independence in pyramidal neuron dendrites. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 111 , 498-503, doi:10.1073/pnas.1217645111 (2014).	995 996 997
51	Mel, B. W., Ruderman, D. L. & Archie, K. A. Translation-invariant orientation tuning in visual "complex" cells could derive from intradendritic computations. <i>J Neurosci</i> 18 , 4325-4334 (1998).	998 999 1000
52	Wu, X. E. & Mel, B. W. Capacity-enhancing synaptic learning rules in a medial temporal lobe online learning model. <i>Neuron</i> 62 , 31-41, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2009.02.021 (2009).	1001 1002 1003
53	Legenstein, R. & Maass, W. Branch-specific plasticity enables self-organization of nonlinear computation in single neurons. <i>J Neurosci</i> 31 , 10787-10802, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5684-10.2011 (2011).	1004 1005 1006
54	Morita, K. Possible role of dendritic compartmentalization in the spatial working memory circuit. <i>J Neurosci</i> 28 , 7699-7724, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.0059-08.2008 (2008).	1007 1008 1009

55	Yang, G. R., Murray, J. D. & Wang, X. J. A dendritic disinhibitory circuit mechanism for pathway-specific gating. <i>Nat Commun</i> 7 , 12815, doi:10.1038/ncomms12815 (2016).	1010 1011 1012
56	Wilson, D. A. Rapid, experience-induced enhancement in odorant discrimination by anterior piriform cortex neurons. <i>J Neurophysiol</i> 90 , 65-72, doi:10.1152/jn.00133.2003 (2003).	1013 1014 1015
57	Holt, G. R. & Koch, C. Shunting inhibition does not have a divisive effect on firing rates. <i>Neural Comput</i> 9 , 1001-1013 (1997).	1016 1017
58	Johanning, F. W. <i>et al.</i> Dendritic compartment and neuronal output mode determine pathway-specific long-term potentiation in the piriform cortex. <i>J Neurosci</i> 29 , 13649-13661, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.2672-09.2009 (2009).	1018 1019 1020
59	Brandalise, F. & Gerber, U. Mossy fiber-evoked subthreshold responses induce timing-dependent plasticity at hippocampal CA3 recurrent synapses. <i>Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A</i> 111 , 4303-4308, doi:10.1073/pnas.1317667111 (2014).	1021 1022 1023
60	Weber, J. P. <i>et al.</i> Location-dependent synaptic plasticity rules by dendritic spine cooperativity. <i>Nat Commun</i> 7 , 11380, doi:10.1038/ncomms11380 (2016).	1024 1025
61	Longair, M. H., Baker, D. A. & Armstrong, J. D. Simple Neurite Tracer: open source software for reconstruction, visualization and analysis of neuronal processes. <i>Bioinformatics</i> 27 , 2453-2454, doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr390 (2011).	1026 1027 1028
62	Suzuki, N. & Bekkers, J. M. Two layers of synaptic processing by principal neurons in piriform cortex. <i>J Neurosci</i> 31 , 2156-2166, doi:10.1523/JNEUROSCI.5430-10.2011 (2011).	1029 1030 1031
63	Poleg-Polsky, A. & Diamond, J. S. NMDA Receptors Multiplicatively Scale Visual Signals and Enhance Directional Motion Discrimination in Retinal Ganglion Cells. <i>Neuron</i> 89 , 1277-1290, doi:10.1016/j.neuron.2016.02.013 (2016).	1032 1033 1034
		1035
		1036

A

B Control

TTX

TTX + Calcium blockers

TTX + Calcium blockers + APV

C

D

h Spontaneous spike

a **b** **d** **f** **e** **g** **c** **h**

b Pairing LOT-LOT, same branch

c

e

d

a Pairing LOT-IC, sister branch

c Pairing LOT-IC, different branch

b

- Control, LOT (electrode 1)
- LOT-IC, Sister branch (electrodes 1+3)
- Pairing LOT-LOT, Same branch (electrodes 1+2)

d

- Control, LOT (electrode 1)
- Pairing LOT-IC, different branch (electrodes 1+3)
- Pairing LOT-LOT, same branch (electrodes 1+2)

e