#### 1 Full title: Cell-intrinsic genetic regulation of peripheral memory-phenotype T cell

- 2 frequencies
- 3 Short title: Cell-intrinsic genetic regulation of T cell memory
- 4 Amanpreet Singh Chawla<sup>1\*</sup>, Parna Kanodia<sup>1\*</sup>, Ankur Mukherjee<sup>2</sup>, Vaibhav Jain<sup>1</sup>, Gurvinder
- 5 Kaur<sup>3</sup>, Poonam Coshic<sup>4</sup>, Kabita Chatterjee<sup>4</sup>, Nitya Wadhwa<sup>5</sup>, Uma Chandra Mouli Natchu<sup>5</sup>,
- 6 Shailaja Sopory<sup>5</sup>, Shinjini Bhatnagar<sup>5</sup>, Partha P. Majumder<sup>2</sup>, Anna George<sup>1†</sup>, Vineeta Bal<sup>1†</sup>,
- 7 Satyajit Rath<sup>1†</sup>, Savit B. Prabhu<sup>1,5†</sup>
- 8 <sup>1</sup>National Institute of Immunology, New Delhi, India.
- 9 <sup>2</sup>National Institute of Biomedical Genomics, Kalyani, India.
- 10 <sup>3</sup>Laboratory Oncology, Dr. BR Ambedkar Institute Rotary Cancer Hospital, All India Institute of
- 11 Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.
- <sup>4</sup>Department of Transfusion Medicine, All India Institute of Medical Sciences, New Delhi, India.
- <sup>13</sup> <sup>5</sup>Pediatric Biology Center, Translational Health Science and Technology Institute, Faridabad,
- 14 Haryana, India.
- 15 \*These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.
- 16 <sup>†</sup>These authors contributed equally to the manuscript.
- 17 Address for correspondence: Savit B. Prabhu, Translational Health Science and Technology
- 18 Institute, NCR Biotech Science Cluster, 3rd Milestone, Faridabad Gurgaon Expressway, PO
- 19 box #04, Faridabad 121001, Haryana, India (tel: +91129 2876345; email: savit@thsti.res.in).
- 20

## 21 Abstract

22 Memory T and B lymphocyte numbers are thought to be regulated by recent and cumulative 23 microbial exposures. We report here that memory-phenotype lymphocyte frequencies in B, CD4 24 and CD8 T-cells in 3-monthly serial bleeds from healthy young adult humans were relatively 25 stable over a 1-year period, while recently activated -B and -CD4 T cell frequencies were not, 26 suggesting that recent environmental exposures affected steady state levels of recently activated 27 but not of memory lymphocyte subsets. Frequencies of memory B and CD4 T cells were not 28 correlated, suggesting that variation in them was unlikely to be determined by cumulative 29 antigenic exposures. Immunophenotyping of adult siblings showed high concordance in memory, 30 but not of recently activated lymphocyte subsets, suggesting genetic regulation of memory 31 lymphocyte frequencies. To explore this possibility further, we screened effector memory (EM)-32 phenotype T cell frequencies in common independent inbred mice strains. Using two pairs from 33 these strains that differed predominantly in either CD4EM and/or CD8EM frequencies, we 34 constructed bi-parental bone marrow chimeras in F1 recipient mice, and found that memory T 35 cell frequencies in recipient mice were determined by donor genotypes. Together, these data 36 suggest cell-autonomous determination of memory T niche size, and suggest mechanisms 37 maintaining immune variability. 38 39

41

#### 42 Introduction

43

44 Gene-environment interplay in immune phenotypes has been extensively studied using steady-45 state cellular immune profiles (1-3), functional immune responses (2,4-8), post-vaccination 46 responses (9,10) and V,D and J usage biases in naïve and memory T and B cell compartments (11). Some of these studies have identified genomic correlates associated with specific steady-47 48 state immune phenotypes (2) and vaccine responses (12). However, there are conflicting findings 49 regarding the relative importance of genetic versus environmental factors in regulation of 50 immune phenotype (1,2,13,14), warranting further investigations at the population-level in 51 humans and mechanistic studies in mice on regulation of individual immune phenotypes. 52 53 Memory subsets in T and B lymphocytes are immune populations that are generated in response 54 to past immunogen exposure. Immunological memory, providing long-term persistence of 55 antigen-experienced cells contributing to rapid and robust responses following re-exposure (15), 56 is likely to have evolved in an ecosystem where environmental challenges including repeated 57 infections would be the norm (16) and the persistence of long-lasting antigen-specific cells 58 generated during immune responses would confer survival advantage (17). However, it is 59 possible that larger memory lymphocyte pool sizes may carry costs such as restriction of space 60 for the more repertoire-diverse naive T cell compartment (18), attrition of pre-existing memory 61 (19), and other bioenergetic costs (20). Such selection may well result in 'optimum' sizes of 62 memory lymphocyte pools (21), and these pool sizes could show population diversity, depending 63 on the diversity of pathogens in the ecosystem and their exposure rates (22). Diversity in pool 64 sizes of memory lymphocytes in a population could thus be determined by a combination of 65 genetic variability and diversity of environmental exposures.

67 A number of mechanisms can be envisaged regulating the pool size of the memory T cell 68 compartment, including cumulative life-time antigen-exposure and re-exposure, antigenic 69 persistence, degree of expansion, cell survival, attrition and niche-space availability (21,23–26). 70 Immune cells occupy a limited niche space in lymphoid organs (27) or in the periphery (28). This 71 niche size could be a function of size and/or structure of supporting lymphoid tissue architecture 72 (29), along with intrinsic properties of cells occupying the niche. Similar determinants could 73 affect steady state levels of transient cell populations such as immediate-effector T cells (30) and 74 plasmablasts, but their steady state levels would be expected to fluctuate more with short-term 75 environmental changes. 76 77 On this background, we have explored the possibility of gene-environment interplay affecting the 78 steady state pool sizes of lymphocytes post-activation. We have used peripheral blood leucocyte 79 immunophenotyping in serial bleeds from healthy young adult human volunteers to assess inter-80 individual and temporal variability in lymphocyte memory and effector compartments, and report 81 that while both categories showed inter-individual variability, temporal variability was far less in 82 memory than in effector subsets. We have next used peripheral blood leucocyte 83 immunophenotyping of human siblings, and report that memory lymphocyte subset pool sizes 84 were far closer between siblings than between non-siblings. We then further confirmed a role for 85 genetic factors in determining memory lymphocyte pool sizes in independent inbred mouse 86 strains, in which bi-parental mixed bone marrow chimeras demonstrated a parental genotype-87 driven inheritance of CD4 and CD8 T cell memory pool sizes. Together, our data from both 88 humans and mice provide novel insights in the determination of memory lymphocyte pool size. 89

## 90 Materials and methods

- 91 Ethics approval and consent to participate
- 92 Human:
- 93 Informed written consent was obtained from all human volunteers who participated in the study.
- 94 The study was approved by ethics committees of National Institute of Immunology (approval
- 95 number IHEC/AKS/45/2013) and All India Institute of Medical Sciences (approval number
- 96 IEC/NP-471/2013). The methodologies used in the study were in accordance with approved
- 97 guidelines. All experimental protocols used in this study were approved by Institutional Ethics
- 98 Committee (Human Research) of National Institute of Immunology and All India Institute of
- 99 Medical Sciences.
- 100 Animal:
- 101 Mice were maintained and used according to the relevant rules and regulations of Government of
- 102 India and with the approval of Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, National Institute of
- 103 Immunology (approval number 381/15). All mice experimental protocols were in accordance
- 104 with approved guidelines and were approved by Institutional Animal Ethics Committee of
- 105 National Institute of Immunology.
- 106 <u>Human subjects and blood collection:</u>

107 Forty-five healthy adult volunteers were recruited into the study and were bled 3-monthly for 12

- 108 months (total of 4 bleeds). Individuals with acute or chronic illness, medication, recent
- 109 vaccination or pregnancy in women were excluded. Ten ml heparinized peripheral blood was
- 110 collected from healthy subjects after informed written consent. The study was approved by
- 111 institutional ethics committee of National Institute of Immunology (NII). All protocols were in
- accordance with approved guidelines. For sibling study, 37 families were recruited, in which two
- 113 or more siblings were willing for participation. The family-based study was approved by
- 114 institutional ethics committees of NII and All India Institute of Medical Sciences (AIIMS).

- 115 Exclusion criteria were similar to that mentioned above. For looking at correlations between the
- 116 various memory and effector cell subsets, we utilized the published raw data from a larger (n=71)
- 117 cohort of adult healthy volunteers who participated in a previous study (31).
- 118 <u>Sample processing and flow cytometry of human samples:</u>
- 119 Blood samples were processed without delay (less than three hours). Total leukocyte counts and
- 120 differential counts were obtained from standard hematologic methods. Peripheral blood
- 121 mononuclear cells (PBMCs) were separated by density gradient centrifugation. PBMCs were
- 122 washed, counted and divided into aliquots of about 1 million cells per ml per vial and
- 123 cryopreserved in 10% DMSO in bovine serum until assays were performed. For flow cytometry,
- 124 PBMCs were thawed, washed and incubated with the following antibodies organized into two
- 125 cocktails: The T cell cocktails consisted of CD3 (UCHT1, eBioscience), CD4 (OKT4,
- 126 eBioscience), CD8 (SK1, eBioscience), CD45RO (UCHL1, eBioscience), CCR7 (150503, BD)
- 127 and the B cell cocktail consisted of CD19 (SJ25C1, BD), CD20 (2H7, eBioscience), CD38
- 128 (HIT2, eBioscience), CD43 (eBio84-3C1, eBioscience), CD27 (M-T271, eBioscience). A single
- 129 control sample was run along with all the samples to ensure that gating is comparable across
- 130 experiments. Samples were acquired in BD Verse and analysis was done using flowjo (Treestar).
- 131 CD4 and CD8 memory cells were defined as the CD45RO+ fractions (32) of CD8 and CD4 cell
- 132 compartments. Transient T effector-memory, RA+ve (TEMRA) cells were defined as CD45RO-
- 133 CCR7- subsets (32) of CD8 cells and of CD4 cells. Memory B cells were defined as
- 134 CD27+CD43- fraction of the CD19+CD20+ B cell subset (32). Plasmablasts were defined as the
- 135 CD38+CD20- subset of CD19+ B cells (32).
- 136 <u>Mice:</u>
- 137 The following strains of mice were used for the study: C57Bl/6J, BALB/cJ, SJL/J, CBA/CaJ,
- 138 B6.SJL-Ptprca Pepcb/BoyJ (B6.SJL), CB10-H2b/LilMcdJ (BALB/b), FVB/NJ, C3H/HeOuJ.
- 139 Mice strains used in the study were obtained as breeder stock from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar

140 Harbor, ME) and bred and maintained under specific-pathogen free conditions in the Small 141 Animal Facility of the National Institute of Immunology. All mice were maintained and used 142 according to the relevant rules and regulations of Government of India and with the approval of 143 Institutional Animal Ethics Committee, National Institute of Immunology. All experiments used 144 age and gender matched mice. Littermates were used as controls. Phenotyping of mouse spleen T 145 cells was done using the following antibodies (all from eBioscience): CD4 (RM4-5), CD8 (53-146 6.7), CD44 (IM7), CD62L (MEL-14), CD25 (PC61.5), CD45.1 (A20) and CD45.2 (104). NK 147 cells were phenotyped using the following antibodies (all from eBioscience): CD90 (53-2.1), 148 B220 (RA3-6B2) and Ly49b (DX-5). Surface staining was done by incubating 1x106 cells in 149 staining buffer (PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.05% NaN3) for 30 mins on ice. The cells were 150 washed thrice with cold staining buffer and samples were acquired on BD Verse and analysis was 151 done using flowjo (Treestar). 152 Ex vivo cell preparations Spleen was dissected from mice euthanized by cervical dislocation and teased between a pair of 153 154 frosted slides to obtain single cell suspension. Red blood cells in the suspension were lysed by 155 osmotic shock using water, washed and then re-suspended in complete medium. 156 Mix bone marrow chimeras 157 F1 hybrids were irradiated at 800rads in gamma chamber (BARC, Mumbai) with Co<sup>60</sup> as a source 158 for gamma rays. Bone marrow cells from each parental strain were mixed in 1:2, 1:1 and 2:1 159 ratios and a total of 15 million cells transferred into irradiated F1 generation mice (n=24 for 160 B6.SJL - CBA/CaJ chimera and n=19 for SJL/J - BALB/cJ chimera) and reconstitution allowed

- 161 for two months. Based on the ratio of CD45.1 to CD45.2 in reconstituted chimera, biological
- 162 outliers showing extreme ratios more than 10- fold from the expected were removed from further
- analysis (4 outliers in B6.SJL CBA/CaJ chimera and 2 outliers in SJL/J BALB/cJ chimera
- 164 were removed). Phenotyping was done by using above mentioned CD markers. Doublets were

165	excluded from the population using height and area parameters of forward and side scatter.
166	CD4 effector memory cells were defined as the CD4+ CD25- CD44hi CD62Llo fractions and
167	CD8 effector memory cells were defined as the CD8+ CD44hi CD62Llo fractions.
168	Statistical analysis
169	For frequency and cell count comparisons, a non-parametric test (Mann Whitney) was used.
170	Correlations were estimated using Spearman's correlation coefficient. The Bonferroni method
171	was used to correct for multiple comparisons in testing the significance of elements of the
172	pairwise correlation coefficient matrix. Bootstrapping (resampling) methods were used for
173	comparison of intra-individual variances with between-individual variances and for comparison
174	of sibling pairs vs unrelated pairs. Comparison of multiple inbred mouse strains was done using
175	Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) with post-hoc testing for pair-wise comparison.
176	Gene expression analysis
176 177	<u>Gene expression analysis</u> Gene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells of
176 177 178	Gene expression analysis Gene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells of mice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) and
176 177 178 179	Gene expression analysis Gene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells of mice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) and analysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing
176 177 178 179 180	Gene expression analysis Gene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells of mice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) and analysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing (Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichment
176 177 178 179 180 181	Gene expression analysisGene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells ofmice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) andanalysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing(Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichmentanalysis was done using web-based Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool
176 177 178 179 180 181 182	Gene expression analysisGene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells ofmice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) andanalysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing(Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichmentanalysis was done using web-based Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool(34) (GORILLA) and Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery
176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183	Gene expression analysisGene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells ofmice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) andanalysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing(Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichmentanalysis was done using web-based Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool(34) (GORILLA) and Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery(DAVID)(35). To reduce false positive results in enrichment, in all enrichment analyses, the
176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184	Gene expression analysisGene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells ofmice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) andanalysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing(Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichmentanalysis was done using web-based Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool(34) (GORILLA) and Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery(DAVID)(35). To reduce false positive results in enrichment, in all enrichment analyses, the
176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185	Gene expression analysisGene expression (microarray) data of sorted splenic 'naïve' CD4+ve CD62L-ve CD4 T cells ofmice published previously (33) was obtained from GEO database (accession: GSE60337) andanalysed using GEO2R tool. Genes with p-value of less than 0.05 after multiple testing(Benjamini) was considered significantly differentially expressed. Gene Ontology enrichmentanalysis was done using web-based Gene Ontology enrichment analysis and visualization tool(34) (GORILLA) and Database for Annotation, Visualization and Integrated Discovery(DAVID)(35). To reduce false positive results in enrichment, in all enrichment analyses, theenrichment of candidate genes that were differentially expressed were analysed against abackground of all the genes that were used in array experiment.

187	Results
107	Itesuits

188

```
189 Human peripheral T and B memory cell levels show temporal stability
```

190

191	Firstly, we examined if variation in the memory T and B cell subsets in the population were
192	relatively stable over time, or were significantly affected by fluctuations possibly contributed by
193	short-term environmental exposures. To test this, we characterized the peripheral blood leucocyte
194	subset phenotype of a group of human volunteers [n=45, male: female ratio = 26:19, mean age =
195	27.16 years (SD = $6.75$ )] every 3 months for a period of 1 year. Gating strategy for the memory
196	subsets is indicated in supplementary figure 1 (Fig S1).
197	Representative data of frequencies of each subset over 4 time points are shown in Fig S2 and are
198	shown quantified in Figure 1. Variations of memory B, memory CD4 and memory CD8
199	frequencies were significantly lower within individuals than between individuals (Fig. 1A, 1B,
200	1C). On the other hand, intra-individual variances in plasmablasts (Fig. 1D) and CD4 TEMRA
201	cells (Fig. 1E) were no different from inter-individual variances. When absolute cell numbers per
202	µl blood were calculated, broadly similar trends were seen (Fig. 1G, 1H, 1I, 1J, 1K). Curiously,
203	CD8 TEMRA frequencies (Fig. 1F) and counts (Fig. 1L) tended to be similar to CD8 memory
204	(Fig. 1C, 1I) in that they showed lower intra-individual than inter-individual variation. These data
205	suggested that intra-individual short-term fluctuations in memory B and T subsets are unlikely to
206	be a major explanation for the variation that is seen in memory lymphocyte subsets in humans.
207	
208	Figure 1: Comparison of intra-individual and inter-individual variance for immune subsets
209	in Humans.

210 Box plots indicate median and interquartile ranges of variances of cell frequencies and counts in

211 Human volunteers. Upper whisker extends till the highest value that is within 1.5 times the

212	interquartile range from 3rd quartile. Lower whisker extends till the lowest value that is within
213	1.5 times the interquartile range from 1st quartile. Outliers are shown as dots. Intra-individual
214	variances indicate variance of subset frequency or count over 4 time points in each individual
215	(n=45). Inter-individual variances indicate variance of subset frequency or count in randomly
216	chosen set of different individuals (n=45). P-values obtained by bootstrapping are as indicated in
217	the panels.
218	
219	
220	Correlations between CD4, CD8 and B cell memory
221	
222	Since memory cells can be long-lived and could have been generated by antigenic exposure in the
223	relatively remote past, it remained possible that cumulative antigen exposures contribute to
224	determining steady-state memory T and B cell levels. Microbial exposure commonly leads to
225	generation of CD4 T-dependent B cell responses, since CD4 T cells are necessary for B cell
226	germinal centre responses and hence vital to memory B cell generation. Thus, it would be
227	expected that there would be coordinated accumulation of memory cells in the CD4 T and B cell
228	compartments leading to a positive correlation between CD4 T memory and B memory cell
229	frequencies, if cumulative antigenic exposures were to contribute substantially to determining
230	memory T and B cell frequencies. However, there was no correlation between CD4 T cell
231	memory frequencies and B cell memory frequencies in a given individual (Fig. 2A). Thus,
232	cumulative antigen exposure may not be a major determinant of memory CD4 and B cell levels.
233	Interestingly, CD4 and CD8 memory T cell subsets showed a strong correlation (correlation
234	coefficient = $0.61$ , p < $0.001$ ) (Fig. 2B), suggesting shared determinants of memory cell subsets
235	within the T cell lineage. On the other hand, frequencies of CD4 TEMRA or CD8 TEMRA cells

236 or plasmablasts did not show any correlations with memory T or B cell frequencies or with each

# other (Table 1).

238

	Correlation coefficient	Adjusted p-value
Pairs of variables	(Spearman)	(Bonferroni)
Plasmablast – B memory	0.17	1
CD4 memory - B memory	0.0009	1
CD4 TEMRA - B memory	-0.006	1
CD8 memory - B memory	-0.09	1
CD8 TEMRA - B memory	0.038	1
CD4 memory - Plasmablast	-0.04	1
CD4 TEMRA - Plasmablast	0.09	1
CD8 memory – Plasmablast	-0.0017	1
CD8 TEMRA - Plasmablast	0.16	1
CD4 TEMRA - CD4 memory	-0.26	0.335
CD8 memory - CD4 memory	0.6	8.90E-08
CD8 TEMRA - CD4 memory	-0.064	1
CD8 memory - CD4 TEMRA	-0.16	1
CD8 TEMRA - CD4 TEMRA	0.32	0.065
CD8 TEMRA - CD8 memory	0.2	1

239

240 <u>Table 1: Correlation coefficient (Spearman) of correlation between cell subsets in adult</u>
 241 <u>volunteers</u>

242

243

245	Figure 2: Correlation between memory B and memory CD4 T cell frequencies (A) and
246	between memory CD4 and memory CD8 T cell frequencies (B). Memory CD4, memory CD8
247	and memory B cell frequencies are expressed as percentage of total CD4, CD8 and B cells
248	respectively. Each dot represents data from one individual donor (n=71). Correlation coefficient
249	(Spearman) and p-value are as indicated.
250	
251	
252	Siblings show concordance in T and B cell memory levels
253	
254	Since these descriptive and correlative data from humans showed associations that suggested that
255	memory phenotypes might be genetically regulated, we explored this further by a family based
256	study where peripheral blood leucocytes from 80 full siblings from 37 families were
257	immunophenotyped [male: female ratio = 38:42, mean age = 31.8 years (SD=12.7)]. We
258	compared the differences in cell frequencies between siblings with the differences between
259	random pairs of non-siblings for memory CD4, memory CD8 and memory B cells, and for
260	plasmablasts, CD4 TEMRA or CD8 TEMRA cell frequencies. The analysis showed that
261	differences in cell frequencies between siblings were significantly less than the differences
262	between random pairs of non-siblings for memory CD4, memory CD8 and memory B cells, but
263	not for plasmablasts, CD4 TEMRA or CD8 TEMRA cell frequencies (Table 2), suggesting that
264	there was indeed likely to be a genetic contribution to the variations in memory cell frequencies,
265	although possible contributions from early life co-habitation could not of course be ruled out.
266	
267	
268	
269	

- 270
- 271
- 272

# 273 Table 2: P-values of difference between within-sibling pairs and between-sibling pairs

274

Compartment	Sibling (Mean ± SD)	Unrelated Individuals (Mean ± SD)	p-value
B cell memory	6.88 ± 4.45	8.84 ± 1.08	0.03
Plasmablasts	$2.05 \pm 3.08$	$2.32 \pm 0.33$	0.207
CD4 memory	$11.17 \pm 8.24$	$15.41 \pm 1.91$	0.01
CD4 TEMRA	1.56 ± 1.39	$0.92 \pm 0.14$	0.99
CD8 memory	$12.66 \pm 10.67$	$17.64 \pm 2.19$	0.008
CD8 TEMRA	$10.04 \pm 8.76$	$10.2 \pm 1.27$	0.46

## 275

276

277

278 Mouse strains differ in their memory T cell frequencies and show cell-autonomous regulation of
279 memory T cell phenotype

280

281 These data from humans indicated the possibility of a genetic contribution to the determination of

282 memory T and B cell pool sizes. To examine this possibility more rigorously, we examined

283 independent inbred strains of mice. Since specific markers for B cell memory are uncertain (36)

and since this compartment in mice may be smaller than in humans (37), we restricted these

studies to T cell memory subsets alone.

286

287	The gating strategy used for defining effector memory (EM) CD4 (Fig 3A to 3D) and CD8 T
288	cells (Fig 3E to 3H) in a group of representative mice available in our laboratory are shown in
289	Figure 3. EM populations in CD4 and CD8 subsets were distinguished by unambiguous contours,
290	whereas in some strains (eg. BALB/cJ) the central memory (CM) populations were difficult to
291	gate out from the CD44 negative naïve pool using the conventional markers of memory (CD44
292	and CD62L). CM phenotype CD4 T cells in the BALB/c strain have been previously shown to
293	contain recent thymic emigrants with a CD44-high phenotype (38). CM phenotype CD8 T cells
294	have also been reported to contain antigen-inexperienced, non-memory ("virtual memory") cell
295	types (39) as well as regulatory CD8 T cells (40), further confounding our analysis of memory
296	subsets. Hence, we have avoided CM phenotype T cells and focused on the unambiguously
297	defined EM populations for interpreting differences in memory between the genetically different
298	strains.
299	
300	Figure 3: Gating strategy for memory subsets in mouse splenic CD4 T cells (A to D) and

301 **CD8 T cells (E to H).** Each plot shows representative gates from a single mouse strain as

302 indicated. CD4 memory subsets are gated on conventional CD4+ CD25- gate. CD8 memory

303 subsets are gated on CD8+ gate.

304

305

306 Our preliminary data showed that CBA/CaJ and C57BL/6J showed differences in both EMCD4

and EMCD8 compartments with C57BL/6J showing higher EMCD4 and EMCD8 frequencies

308 and counts than CBA/CaJ (Fig 4A - 4D). On the other hand, BALB/cJ and SJL/J differed in

309 EMCD4 but not EMCD8 compartment (Fig 4E - 4H), with SJL/J showing significantly higher

310 EMCD4 frequencies and counts than BALB/cJ (Fig 4E, 4F).

312	Figure 4: EMCD4 and EMCD8 frequencies and counts (in millions) in spleen in C57BL/6J
313	versus CBA/CaJ (A to D) and BALB/cJ versus SJL/J (E to H). Each dot represents data from
314	one individual mouse ( $n > 25$ per group). EMCD4 and EMCD8 frequencies indicate frequencies
315	of EM compartment out of total conventional CD4 (CD4+CD25-) and CD8 T cells respectively.
316	Cell counts are expressed in millions. Box plots indicate median and interquartile ranges. Upper
317	whisker extends till the highest value that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range from 3rd
318	quartile. Lower whisker extends till the lowest value that is within 1.5 times the interquartile
319	range from 1st quartile. The p-values are as indicated in the panels.
320	
321	
322	To test the source of the differences observed in EMCD4 frequencies between the strains, we
323	prepared bi-parental mixed bone marrow chimeras in which bone marrow cells from both
324	parents, in various ratios, were transferred into irradiated F1 mice. We used heavy irradiation that
325	is reported to successfully engraft parental donor cells in F1 recipients (41-44) and ensured
326	endogenous NK cell depletion post-irradiation (Fig S3 A and B). We also confirmed that
327	chimerism is successful by comparing injected donor cell ratios with ratios of CD45.1 to CD45.2
328	lymphocytes in reconstituted F1 mice (Fig S3 C and D) and found no statistically significant
329	differences, ruling out the possibility of unequal reconstitution by donor strains. The mean
330	frequency of endogenous cells was 10.1 $\%$ in B6.SJL – CBA/CaJ chimera (SD-6.5) and 25.9 $\%$
331	in BALB/cJ - SJL/J chimera (SD-12.3). After waiting two months for generation of memory cells
332	from the donor genotypes, these mice were phenotyped for CD4 and CD8 EM compartments.
333	Memory CD4 and CD8 frequencies of the donor-derived cell population (Fig 5A to 5D) in these
334	chimeras showed similar trends as that in parental strains (Fig 4). These results suggest that
335	genetic factors contribute in cell intrinsic fashion to determining EM T cell frequencies.

2	2	6
Э	Э	υ

337	Figure 5: Frequencies of EMCD4 and EMCD8 in the spleen from donor partner in mixed
338	bone marrow chimera of B6.SJL-CBA/CaJ pair (A, B) and BALB/cJ-SJL/J pair (C, D).
339	Each dot represents data from one individual mouse ( $n > 9$ per group) and individual mice data
340	are connected by lines. The p-values are as indicated in the panels.
341	
342	
343	CD4 gene expression in strains that differ in CD4 effector memory phenotype
344	
345	Since bone marrow chimera data suggested cell-autonomous regulation of memory phenotype,
346	we hypothesized that intrinsic gene expression differences in naive CD4 T cells between the
347	mouse strains could contribute to differences in EMCD4 frequencies that are observed across the
348	strains. We tested this using gene expression data (microarray) of splenic CD4 T cells from
349	multiple mouse strains available from the immunological genome project (33). Immgen gene
350	expression data comes from sorted CD4+ CD62L+ spleen cells ('non-EM' CD4 T cells), which
351	could potentially include CD44-high CMCD4 population in addition to genuine naïve CD4 T
352	cells. Hence, we selected 2 mouse strains (CBA/CaJ and SJL/J) that showed maximum
353	differences in EMCD4 frequencies and counts, without showing differences in CMCD4 levels
354	(Fig S4 A to E, p-values shown in supplementary table S5). CMCD4 frequencies were very low
355	in these 2 strains (Figure 3B and 3D), making comparison of CD4+ CD62L+ gene expression
356	between these strains interpretable. A comparison of gene expression profile of CD4+ CD62L+ve
357	splenocytes (obtained from GEO accession: GSE60337) of CBA/CaJ and SJL/J identified 290
358	genes that were significantly different (p values < 0.05 after multiple correction) (supplementary
359	table S6). Gene ontology enrichment analysis identified significantly enriched GO processes
360	associated with the 290-gene list (supplementary table S6). As expected, MHC molecule related

- 361 transcripts were differentially expressed and came up as significantly enriched, reassuring that the
- analysis we performed could actually pick up biologically relevant differences (table S6). In
- addition, there was significant enrichment for lipid biosynthesis (11 genes, p value: 1.78E-05,
- adjusted p value: 0.004) and lipid metabolism (16 genes, p value: 1.13E-04, adjusted p value:
- 365 0.02) (supplementary file S6), implying that these pathways could be of biological relevance to
- 366 regulation of CD4 T cell memory phenotype. When data from multiple strains were pooled
- 367 together, there was a positive correlation between EMCD4 and EMCD8 frequencies (Fig S4F),
- 368 similar to what is observed for humans (Fig 2B), suggesting similar mechanisms in regulation of
- 369 CD4 and CD8 effector memory or dependence of one subset on the other.
- 370
- 371
- 372

#### 373 Discussion

374

375 There are a number of possible explanations for the observation of a substantial extent of 376 variation in the relative frequencies of memory-phenotype B cells, CD4 T cells and CD8 T cells. 377 Such variations in biological parameters are commonly interpreted as errors in technical or 378 experimental factors, although in real populations, biological variance may well have meaningful 379 interpretative value (31). At the population level, we have used descriptive characterization of the 380 human peripheral blood leucocyte phenotype to examine the possible significance of population 381 level variation in these leucocyte subsets. 382 383 By comparison of intra-individual variance of memory and effector subsets of T and B cells, we 384 find that immune subsets that respond to day-to-day fluctuations, such as the plasmablasts and 385 CD4 TEMRA cells, show similar intra-individual and inter-individual variance in the population, 386 while B cell memory, CD4 memory and CD8 memory cell frequencies are very much stable over 387 a one-year period within individuals. This suggests that memory subsets are not determined by short-term environmental fluctuations, unlike CD4 TEMRA and plasmablasts. Within-individual 388 389 variation can thus possibly be an explanatory factor in the population-level variation for effector

390 T cells and plasmablast subsets, but not for memory T and B cell subsets. A recent study (45) that

391 quantified technical and biological variation in human immune phenotype also found high intra-

392 individual variation in CD4 TEMRA cells in comparison to other immune lineages. Our data

393 show that CD8 TEMRA cells did not behave similar to CD4 TEMRA cells in that they do not

394 show considerable intra-individual fluctuation. This could be related to indications that CD8

395 TEMRA cells may be functionally different from CD4 TEMRA cells, despite being

396 phenotypically similar (46).

397

Our findings of a lack of correlation between B cell memory and CD4 memory also argue against the possibility of cumulative exposures determining both the memory subsets, although it remains possible that cumulative exposures regulate one but not the other subset. The positive correlation we find between CD4 memory and CD8 memory suggest that T cell memory levels could be regulated by similar mechanisms, distinct from how memory B cell levels are regulated.

403

404 We attempted to quantify the degree of similarity in immune phenotype between siblings to see if 405 there was any indication that these memory phenotypes are heritable. Although our sibling study 406 was not as powerful as previously published twin studies (1,2,4,14) for understanding heritability, 407 our sibling data strongly support and extend the interpretations from our serial bleed data. Thus, 408 siblings did not show concordance in effector T and B subsets, suggesting that variation in those 409 subsets is environmentally driven. On the other hand, siblings were far similar to each other in 410 memory subset frequencies than non-siblings were, consistent with a genetic component regulating memory lymphocyte frequencies. However, it must be acknowledged that any 411 412 similarity between siblings could be attributed to early life influences, since it is well recognized 413 that both intra-uterine (47,48) and neonatal (49) stress impacts immune system development, and 414 a recent study (13) has shown a prominent role for co-habitation in determining immune 415 phenotypes. Our findings are consistent with two previous studies (1,14) which report high 416 degree of heritability for majority of immune subsets. Another study (2), too, reported high 417 degree of heritability for CD4 memory subsets, although it found non-heritable factors to be 418 important for most other immune phenotypes. Since our interpretations are predominantly based 419 on associations, we complemented the study with experimental data from mice. 420

421 Although laboratory animals show restricted genetic diversity compared to human populations,
422 we exploited the fact that independent inbred strains are genetically distinct and are grown in a

423 homogeneous environment in a controlled animal facility. Hence, it is worth examining if 424 differences in leucocyte subset levels between strains are genetically driven. We 425 immunophenotyped some common strains of mice and chose two pairs showing substantial 426 differences, SJL/J and BALB/cJ for further experiments on CD4 memory and C57BL/6J and 427 CBA/CaJ for CD8 and CD4 T cell memory phenotype. It is notable that not only did splenic CD4 428 and CD8 memory frequencies show differences, but that total numbers of these cells per organ 429 also showed the same differences, indicating that subset frequencies are reasonable surrogates for 430 the pool size of these subsets. We also have noted that animals maintained in our facility had 431 relatively high frequencies of EM phenotype T cells even at steady state. Even though mice were 432 harbored in a specific-pathogen-free facility, it remains possible that there might be variations in 433 microbial antigenic burden or gut microbiome composition between laboratories, although these 434 factors are difficult to quantify. Long years of reproductive isolation because of inbreeding could 435 also have contributed to these differences.

436

437 Our mixed bone marrow chimera experiments allowed us to examine whether the CD4 and/or

438 CD8 memory levels were genetically determined and whether these genetic influences were T

439 cell-intrinsic in nature. Our data suggest that donor genotype-specific cell-intrinsic factors

440 strongly influence both CD4 and CD8 memory T cell pool size.

441

Using gene expression data of mouse splenic CD4+ve CD62L+ve T cells available in the public domain (33) we attempted to characterize genetic differences that could explain differences in CD4 memory phenotype. There are a number of limitations in our approach. Firstly, we do not evaluate gene expression differences in the same mice (or even mice from the same small animal facility) that we experimentally find phenotypic differences for, and differences in phenotype that we observe could be influenced by additional facility-specific environmental factors as well.

448 Secondly, the array based gene expression data available in the public domain that we used (33) 449 contain only 2 replicates for each strain (except for C57BL/6J), limiting statistical power and 450 increasing the chances of picking up false positive differences. Thirdly, the "naive" population as 451 defined by Immgen is based on CD4+ve CD62L+ve gate, and does not exclude CD44+ cells, 452 potentially including central memory cells into the population. Our analysis attempts to overcome 453 this limitation by comparing those strains which differ only in EMCD4, and not CMCD4 subsets. 454 In spite of these caveats, our analysis is still useful as a preliminary exploration that can be 455 extended in further experiments using multiple replicates and strains. Remarkably, lipid/fatty acid 456 metabolism pathways that came up as significant in as limited an analysis as this, was recently 457 suggested to play crucial roles in T cell activation (50). This exploratory analysis is thus likely to 458 provide further clues to direct future mechanistic studies.

459

460 Thus, our data suggest genetic and cell-intrinsic factors as major determinants of the memory 461 pool size of T cells as well as, probably, B cells. However, in a natural ecosystem where animals 462 are exposed to substantial and continual antigenic exposures, the balance between cell-intrinsic genetic effects on memory and the effects of environmental factors is likely to be nuanced and 463 464 quantitative. Further studies using varying antigenic burdens and experimental immunizations 465 will be necessary to address these issues. Mechanistically, it will be interesting to explore 466 whether genetic cell-intrinsic factors affect memory generation during immune response or 467 memory attrition after the peak of immune response. Our data provide interesting insights and 468 directions for future work in understanding the genesis and consequences of the regulation of 469 niche size of lymphocyte memory.

### 470 Acknowledgements:

- 471 We acknowledge Department of Biotechnology, Government of India and Department of Science
- and Technology, Government of India for funding the study.
- 473
- 474 <u>Funding:</u>
- 475 The study was supported in part by grants from the Department of Biotechnology (to A.G. #
- 476 BT/PR12849/MED/15/35/2009; to V.B. # BT/PR14420/Med/29/213/2010; to S.R. # BT/PR-
- 477 14592/BRB/10/858/2010; to S.B. BT/MB/01/THSTI-ChBC/2009; and to U.C.M.N. #
- 478 BT/PR14723/MED/15/44/2010), and from the Department of Science and Technology,
- 479 Government of India (to V.B. # SR/SO/HS-0005/2011 and #EMR/2015/001074; to S.R. #
- 480 SB/SO/HS/210/2013). The National Institute of Immunology and the Translational Health
- 481 Science and Technology Institute are supported by the Department of Biotechnology,
- 482 Government of India.
- 483
- 484 **Conflict of interest**: The authors declare no conflict of interest.
- 485 Key words: memory, T-cell, B-cell
- 486 List of abbreviations:
- 487 EM: Effector memory
- 488 TEMRA: T, effector memory, RA positive
- 489 CD: Cluster of differentiation

490

## 492 **References**

- Orrù V, Steri M, Sole G, Sidore C, Virdis F, Dei M, et al. Genetic variants regulating immune cell levels in health and disease. Cell. 2013 Sep 26;155(1):242–56.
- Brodin P, Jojic V, Gao T, Bhattacharya S, Angel CJL, Furman D, et al. Variation in the human immune system is largely driven by non-heritable influences. Cell. 2015 Jan 15;160(1–2):37–47.
- 498 3. Lu Y, Biancotto A, Cheung F, Remmers E, Shah N, McCoy JP, et al. Systematic Analysis
  499 of Cell-to-Cell Expression Variation of T Lymphocytes in a Human Cohort Identifies Aging
  500 and Genetic Associations. Immunity. 2016 Nov 15;45(5):1162–75.
- de Craen AJM, Posthuma D, Remarque EJ, van den Biggelaar AHJ, Westendorp RGJ,
   Boomsma DI. Heritability estimates of innate immunity: an extended twin study. Genes
   Immun. 2005 Mar;6(2):167–70.
- 5. Li Y, Oosting M, Deelen P, Ricaño-Ponce I, Smeekens S, Jaeger M, et al. Inter-individual
   variability and genetic influences on cytokine responses to bacteria and fungi. Nat Med.
   2016 Aug;22(8):952–60.
- 507 6. Ye CJ, Feng T, Kwon H-K, Raj T, Wilson MT, Asinovski N, et al. Intersection of
  508 population variation and autoimmunity genetics in human T cell activation. Science. 2014
  509 Sep 12;345(6202):1254665.
- 510 7. Li Y, Oosting M, Smeekens SP, Jaeger M, Aguirre-Gamboa R, Le KTT, et al. A Functional
  511 Genomics Approach to Understand Variation in Cytokine Production in Humans. Cell. 2016
  512 Nov 3;167(4):1099-1110.e14.
- 8. Horst R ter, Jaeger M, Smeekens SP, Oosting M, Swertz MA, Li Y, et al. Host and
  Environmental Factors Influencing Individual Human Cytokine Responses. Cell. 2016 Nov
  3;167(4):1111-1124.e13.
- 516 9. Lee YC, Newport MJ, Goetghebuer T, Siegrist CA, Weiss HA, Pollard AJ, et al. Influence
  517 of genetic and environmental factors on the immunogenicity of Hib vaccine in Gambian
  518 twins. Vaccine. 2006 Jun 19;24(25):5335–40.
- Yan K, Cai W, Cao F, Sun H, Chen S, Xu R, et al. Genetic effects have a dominant role on poor responses to infant vaccination to hepatitis B virus. J Hum Genet. 2013
  May;58(5):293–7.
- Rubelt F, Bolen CR, McGuire HM, Vander Heiden JA, Gadala-Maria D, Levin M, et al.
   Individual heritable differences result in unique cell lymphocyte receptor repertoires of
   naïve and antigen-experienced cells. Nat Commun. 2016;7:11112.
- Majumder PP, Sarkar-Roy N, Staats H, Ramamurthy T, Maiti S, Chowdhury G, et al.
   Genomic correlates of variability in immune response to an oral cholera vaccine. Eur J Hum
   Genet. 2013 Sep;21(9):1000–6.

528 13. Carr EJ, Dooley J, Garcia-Perez JE, Lagou V, Lee JC, Wouters C, et al. The cellular 529 composition of the human immune system is shaped by age and cohabitation. Nat Immunol. 530 2016 Apr;17(4):461-8. 531 14. Kaczorowski KJ, Shekhar K, Nkulikivimfura D, Dekker CL, Maecker H, Davis MM, et al. 532 Continuous immunotypes describe human immune variation and predict diverse responses. 533 PNAS. 2017 Jul 10;201705065. 534 15. Farber DL, Netea MG, Radbruch A, Rajewsky K, Zinkernagel RM. Immunological 535 memory: lessons from the past and a look to the future. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016 536 Feb;16(2):124-8. 537 16. Karlsson EK, Kwiatkowski DP, Sabeti PC. Natural selection and infectious disease in 538 human populations. Nat Rev Genet. 2014 Jun;15(6):379–93. 539 17. Boehm T. Evolution of vertebrate immunity. Curr Biol. 2012 Sep 11;22(17):R722-732. 540 18. Cicin-Sain L, Smyk-Pearson S, Smyk-Paerson S, Currier N, Byrd L, Koudelka C, et al. Loss 541 of naive T cells and repertoire constriction predict poor response to vaccination in old primates. J Immunol. 2010 Jun 15;184(12):6739-45. 542 543 19. Huster KM, Stemberger C, Gasteiger G, Kastenmüller W, Drexler I, Busch DH. Cutting 544 edge: memory CD8 T cell compartment grows in size with immunological experience but 545 nevertheless can lose function. J Immunol. 2009 Dec 1;183(11):6898-902. 546 20. Boots M, Bowers RG. The evolution of resistance through costly acquired immunity. Proc Biol Sci. 2004 Apr 7;271(1540):715-23. 547 548 21. Graw F, Magnus C, Regoes RR. Theoretical analysis of the evolution of immune memory. BMC Evol Biol. 2010;10:380. 549 550 22. Wodarz D. Evolution of immunological memory and the regulation of competition between 551 pathogens. Curr Biol. 2003 Sep 16;13(18):1648-52. 552 23. Antia R, Pilyugin SS, Ahmed R. Models of immune memory: on the role of cross-reactive 553 stimulation, competition, and homeostasis in maintaining immune memory. Proc Natl Acad Sci USA. 1998 Dec 8;95(25):14926-31. 554 555 Jiang J, Lau LL, Shen H. Selective depletion of nonspecific T cells during the early stage of 24. immune responses to infection. J Immunol. 2003 Oct 15;171(8):4352-8. 556 557 25. Gray D. A role for antigen in the maintenance of immunological memory. Nat Rev 558 Immunol. 2002 Jan;2(1):60-5. 559 26. Vezys V, Yates A, Casey KA, Lanier G, Ahmed R, Antia R, et al. Memory CD8 T-cell 560 compartment grows in size with immunological experience. Nature. 2009 Jan 561 8;457(7226):196-9. 562 27. Capece T, Kim M. The Role of Lymphatic Niches in T Cell Differentiation. Mol Cells. 2016 Jul;39(7):515–23. 563

- Mueller SN, Mackay LK. Tissue-resident memory T cells: local specialists in immune
   defence. Nat Rev Immunol. 2016 Feb;16(2):79–89.
- Junt T, Scandella E, Ludewig B. Form follows function: lymphoid tissue microarchitecture
  in antimicrobial immune defence. Nat Rev Immunol. 2008 Oct;8(10):764–75.
- 30. Mahnke YD, Brodie TM, Sallusto F, Roederer M, Lugli E. The who's who of T-cell
  differentiation: Human memory T-cell subsets. Eur J Immunol. 2013 Nov 1;43(11):2797–
  809.
- 571 31. Prabhu SB, Rathore DK, Nair D, Chaudhary A, Raza S, Kanodia P, et al. Comparison of
   572 Human Neonatal and Adult Blood Leukocyte Subset Composition Phenotypes. PLoS ONE.
   573 2016;11(9):e0162242.
- Maecker HT, McCoy JP, Nussenblatt R. Standardizing immunophenotyping for the Human
  Immunology Project. Nat Rev Immunol. 2012 Feb 17;12(3):191–200.

Mostafavi S, Ortiz-Lopez A, Bogue MA, Hattori K, Pop C, Koller D, et al. Variation and
genetic control of gene expression in primary immunocytes across inbred mouse strains. J
Immunol. 2014 Nov 1;193(9):4485–96.

- 579 34. Eden E, Navon R, Steinfeld I, Lipson D, Yakhini Z. GOrilla: a tool for discovery and
  580 visualization of enriched GO terms in ranked gene lists. BMC Bioinformatics. 2009;10:48.
- 581 35. Huang DW, Sherman BT, Lempicki RA. Systematic and integrative analysis of large gene
   582 lists using DAVID bioinformatics resources. Nat Protoc. 2009;4(1):44–57.
- Bergmann B, Grimsholm O, Thorarinsdottir K, Ren W, Jirholt P, Gjertsson I, et al. Memory
  B cells in mouse models. Scand J Immunol. 2013 Aug;78(2):149–56.
- 37. Zuccarino-Catania GV, Sadanand S, Weisel FJ, Tomayko MM, Meng H, Kleinstein SH, et
  al. CD80 and PD-L2 define functionally distinct memory B cell subsets that are independent
  of antibody isotype. Nat Immunol. 2014 Jul;15(7):631–7.
- 588 38. Lai D, Zhu J, Wang T, Hu-Li J, Terabe M, Berzofsky JA, et al. KLF13 sustains thymic
  589 memory-like CD8+ T cells in BALB/c mice by regulating IL-4–generating invariant natural
  590 killer T cells. J Exp Med. 2011 May 9;208(5):1093–103.
- Solution 39. Chiu B-C, Martin BE, Stolberg VR, Chensue SW. Cutting edge: Central memory CD8 T cells in aged mice are virtual memory cells. J Immunol. 2013 Dec 15;191(12):5793–6.
- 40. Li S, Xie Q, Zeng Y, Zou C, Liu X, Wu S, et al. A naturally occurring CD8<sup>+</sup>CD122<sup>+</sup> T-cell
  subset as a memory-like Treg family. Cellular & Molecular Immunology. 2014
  Jul;11(4):326.
- Sprent J, Boehmer HV, Nabholz M. Association of immunity and tolerance to host H-2
   determinants in irradiated F1 hybrid mice reconstituted with bone marrow cells from one
   parental strain. J Exp Med. 1975 Aug 1;142(2):321–31.

- 42. Gao EK, Lo D, Sprent J. Strong T cell tolerance in parent----F1 bone marrow chimeras
  prepared with supralethal irradiation. Evidence for clonal deletion and anergy. J Exp Med.
  1990 Apr 1;171(4):1101–21.
- 43. Sim B-C, Aftahi N, Reilly C, Bogen B, Schwartz RH, Gascoigne NRJ, et al. Thymic
  skewing of the CD4/CD8 ratio maps with the T-cell receptor α-chain locus. Current
  Biology. 1998 Jun 4;8(12):701-S3.
- 44. Zhao Y, Ohdan H, Manilay JO, Sykes M. NK cell tolerance in mixed allogeneic chimeras. J
  Immunol. 2003 Jun 1;170(11):5398–405.
- 45. Burel JG, Qian Y, Arlehamn CL, Weiskopf D, Zapardiel-Gonzalo J, Taplitz R, et al. An
  Integrated Workflow To Assess Technical and Biological Variability of Cell Population
  Frequencies in Human Peripheral Blood by Flow Cytometry. The Journal of Immunology.
  2017 Jan 9;1601750.
- 611 46. Sathaliyawala T, Kubota M, Yudanin N, Turner D, Camp P, Thome JJC, et al. Distribution
  612 and compartmentalization of human circulating and tissue-resident memory T cell subsets.
  613 Immunity. 2013 Jan 24;38(1):187–97.
- 614 47. Rathore DK, Nair D, Raza S, Saini S, Singh R, Kumar A, et al. Underweight full-term
  615 Indian neonates show differences in umbilical cord blood leukocyte phenotype: a cross616 sectional study. PLoS ONE. 2015;10(4):e0123589.
- 617 48. Schaub B, Liu J, Höppler S, Schleich I, Huehn J, Olek S, et al. Maternal farm exposure
  618 modulates neonatal immune mechanisms through regulatory T cells. J Allergy Clin
  619 Immunol. 2009 Apr;123(4):774-782.e5.
- 49. Paparo L, di Costanzo M, di Scala C, Cosenza L, Leone L, Nocerino R, et al. The influence
  of early life nutrition on epigenetic regulatory mechanisms of the immune system.
  Nutrients. 2014 Nov;6(11):4706–19.
- 623 50. Angela M, Endo Y, Asou HK, Yamamoto T, Tumes DJ, Tokuyama H, et al. Fatty acid
   624 metabolic reprogramming via mTOR-mediated inductions of PPARγ directs early activation
   625 of T cells. Nature Communications. 2016 Nov 30;7:ncomms13683.
- 626

#### 628 Supporting information:

- 629 Figure S1: Gating strategy of human B and T memory subsets
- 630 **Figure S2**: Intra-individual variance in immune subsets across one year (4 time points).
- 631 Each dot indicates frequency of immune subset at a time point in an individual donor. Individual
- 632 donors are connected by lines. (n=45; only 10 donors shown for the sake of clarity).
- 633 Quantification and p-values shown in figure 1.
- 634 **Figure S3**: A and B: NK cell depletion in chimera recipients post-irradiation. Dots represent data
- 635 from individual mice. C and D: Reconstitution efficiency in B6.SJL-CBA/CaJ chimera (C) and
- 636 BALB/cJ-SJL/J chimera (D). Y-axis indicates ratio of injected CD45.1/CD452 cells to
- 637 reconstituted CD45.1/CD452 cells for the cell subset indicated. Dots indicate mean and error bars
- 638 indicate 95% confidence interval. P-values are as indicated.
- 639 Figure S4: Quantification of EMCD4 and CMCD4 frequencies and counts in multiple
- 640 strains of mice examined (A to D) (Statistical quantification for these comparisons are tabulated
- 641 in supplementary table S5). Boxplots show median and interquartile range. Upper whisker
- 642 extends till the highest value that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range from 3rd quartile.
- 643 Lower whisker extends till the lowest value that is within 1.5 times the interquartile range from
- 1st quartile. Outliers are shown as dots. Each group consisted of > 10 mice. Cell counts are
- 645 shown as number in millions.
- E: mean EMCD4 and CMCD4 of each strain plotted together. CBA/CaJ and SJL/J show
- 647 differences in EMCD4 frequency (x-axis), but not in CMCD4 frequency (y-axis).
- 648 F- Correlations between EMCD4 and EMCD8 frequencies with mice from all strains pooled
- 649 together. Each dot represents a mouse (n=92). Correlation coefficient (spearman) and p-values
- 650 are indicated.

## 651 <u>Table S5</u>: Statistical analysis of data shown in Figure S4

# 652 <u>Table S6</u>: List of differentially expressed genes in naïve CD4 T cells between SJL/J and

# 653 CBA/CaJ and gene enrichment analysis results









