1 Exploring the limits of network topology estimation using diffusion-based 2 tractography and tracer studies in the macaque cortex

3 Kelly Shen^a, Alexandros Goulas^b, David Grayson^c, John Eusebio^a, Joseph S. Gati^d, Ravi S.
4 Menon^{d,e}, Anthony R. McIntosh^{a,f}, and Stefan Everling^{d,e}

5 ^aRotman Research Institute, Baycrest, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

6 ^bDepartment of Computational Neuroscience, University Medical Center Hamburg-Eppendorf,
7 Hamburg, Germany

8 ^cUC Davis

9 ^dThe Centre for Functional and Metabolic Mapping, and

10 ^eDepartment of Physiology and Pharmacology, University of Western Ontario, London, Ontario,
11 Canada

12 ^fDepartment of Psychology, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada

13 **Abstract**

14 Reconstructing the anatomical pathways of the brain to study the human connectome has become
 15 an important endeavour for understanding brain function and dynamics. Reconstruction of the
 16 cortico-cortical connectivity matrix *in vivo* often relies on noninvasive diffusion-weighted imaging
 17 (DWI) techniques but the extent to which they can accurately represent the topological
 18 characteristics of structural connectomes remains unknown. We explored this question by
 19 constructing connectomes using DWI data collected from macaque monkeys *in vivo* and with data
 20 from published invasive tracer studies. We found the strength of fiber tracts was well estimated
 21 from DWI and topological properties like degree and modularity were captured by tractography-
 22 based connectomes. Rich-club/core-periphery type architecture could also be detected but the
 23 classification of hubs using betweenness centrality, participation coefficient and core-periphery
 24 identification techniques was inaccurate. Our findings indicate that certain aspects of cortical
 25 topology can be faithfully represented in noninvasively-obtained connectomes while other
 26 network analytic measures warrant cautionary interpretations.

27

28 Introduction

29 Network structure is thought to play a prominent role in supporting healthy brain function (Griffa
30 et al., 2013; Fornito et al., 2015). Indeed, a large body of work has been devoted to the analysis of
31 the brain’s structural topology in order to characterize and infer how functional networks emerge
32 from large-scale structural connectivity, or the “connectome” (Park and Friston, 2013; Sporns,
33 2014; Zuo et al., 2016). In humans, the characterization of network structure relies mainly on
34 noninvasive techniques such as tractography using diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance
35 imaging (DWI). A number of influential observations about brain organization in both health and
36 disease have been made based on DWI data (e.g., van den Heuvel et al., 2010; van den Heuvel and
37 Sporns, 2011; Zalesky et al., 2011; Crossley et al., 2014; Perry et al., 2015; Baum et al., 2017).
38 Recent validation studies in the macaque have demonstrated how a general correspondence exists
39 between DWI-based estimates of structural connectivity, specifically “connection strength”
40 (usually taken as some derivative of the number of streamlines between two regions), and those
41 derived from the gold standard invasive technique of using tract tracers to map axonal
42 projections. DWI-based tractography has been shown to correctly detect the presence of a large
43 proportion of connections across the visual system (Azadbakht et al., 2015) and DWI-based
44 estimates of connection strengths are correlated to those obtained from tracer studies (van den
45 Heuvel et al., 2015; Donahue et al., 2016). However, even with extremely high-resolution DWI,
46 probabilistic tractography suffers from a steep trade-off between sensitivity and specificity
47 whereby obtaining a large proportion of true positive connections is accompanied by a large
48 number of false positives and the optimal parameter settings for tractography (e.g., curvature
49 thresholds) can vary widely depending on the location of the seed (Thomas et al., 2014; also see
50 Maier-Hein et al., 2017). The ability of tractography to properly reconstruct the connectivity of the

51 human brain and, in particular, the interpretation of detected streamlines (Jones et al., 2013),
52 remains a matter of debate.

53 Existing validation studies in the macaque have only examined the accuracy of DWI-based
54 tractography at the level of the individual connection. However, a major use of tractography has
55 been to study the human brain at the level of large-scale whole-brain networks. The extent to
56 which tractography can accurately capture the brain's structural topology remains unknown.
57 While some studies have shown that connectomes generated from tracer studies exhibit similar
58 network organization principles as those reported using DWI data (e.g., Harriger et al., 2012; de
59 Reus and van den Heuvel, 2013a), it is still unclear whether the topologies of networks obtained
60 from the two different modalities actually coincide. Most previous studies have also been limited
61 to tractography within a single hemisphere and usually using only a few *ex vivo* specimens, where
62 DWI scans are of optimal quality and are not affected by artifacts such as motion or physiological
63 noise. In this study, we used DWI data obtained from 10 macaque monkeys in combination with
64 macaque connectivity described by published tracer studies to determine whether probabilistic
65 tractography can accurately represent whole-brain structural topology *in vivo*. Given that
66 tractography's accuracy varies greatly as a function of its parameter settings (Dauguet et al., 2007;
67 Jones et al., 2013; Thomas et al., 2014), we first systematically varied tractography parameters to
68 determine the optimal settings for constructing whole-brain connectomes in the macaque. Using
69 these optimized connectomes in conjunction with network analytic tools, we then determined the
70 extent to which connectomes derived from DWI accurately captured the structural network
71 characteristics of the macaque brain. We replicate previous findings that tractography can detect
72 the presence and/or absence of connections above chance levels and can also provide reasonable
73 estimates of connection strengths. In the macaque, more accurate connectomes were obtained by
74 lowering the curvature threshold and discarding a small percentage of the weakest connections.

However, owing to the high false positive rates in tractography-based connectomes, their ability to accurately capture critical aspects of structural topology was dependent on the robustness of the network analytic measure in question to misidentified connections.

Results

Probabilistic tractography was performed using an FSL-based pipeline on diffusion-weighted magnetic resonance imaging data collected from 10 macaque monkeys at 7T. Two different parcellations, a single-hemisphere one (“Markov-Kennedy” (Markov et al., 2014)) and a whole-cortex parcellation (“RM-CoCo” (Kötter and Wanke, 2005; Bezgin et al., 2012)) were used and tractography parameters (angular threshold and distance correction) were systematically varied (see Materials and Methods). Tractography-derived connectivity matrices for various parameter combinations for an example subject are shown alongside the tracer-derived matrices in Figure 1. For the purposes of this paper, we use the term connection “strength” to refer to the number or proportion of axons running between two regions in the case of tract tracing data and the number or proportion of streamlines running between two regions for DWI-based tractography.

Figure 1. (A) Tracer-derived connectivity matrices from Markov et al (2014) (top) and CoCoMac (Stephan et al., 2001; Shen et al., 2012) (bottom). (B) Tractography-derived matrices (upper triangle) for an example subject for each parcellation (Markov-Kennedy, top; RM-CoCo, bottom) using various tractography parameters. Accuracy of each connection, as compared to tracer-derived matrices, depicted in lower triangles (TP: true positive; TN: true negative; FN: false negative; FP: false positive). For the RM-CoCo parcellation, left hemisphere ROIs are ordered together followed by right hemisphere ROIs, such that interhemispheric quadrants are the upper right and lower left of each matrix.

Effects of varying tractography parameters on accuracy

On the assumption that the tracer-derived networks serve as a “ground truth” for the large-scale anatomical connectivity of the macaque brain, we computed a number of accuracy measures to determine the ability for diffusion-weighted tractography to reconstruct anatomical connectivity from data collected *in vivo*. These included the percentage of connections correctly represented, the area under the ROC curve (AUC), and corresponding measures of sensitivity, specificity and precision. We first consider intrahemispheric tractography using the Markov-Kennedy parcellation. With the “default” tractography parameter combination (curvature threshold: 0.2; distance correction: off), the percentage of connections correctly represented in the tractography-derived connectivity matrices was on average 79.21% (SD: 0.32) before any thresholding was performed. The mean AUC was 0.68 (SD: 0.02), which corresponded with a very high sensitivity (M: 0.99, SD: 0.01) but very low specificity (M: 0.01, SD: 0.01). These results are in line with previous macaque studies using *ex vivo* specimens that suggested that probabilistic tractography is accurate at correctly detecting connections (Azadbakht et al., 2015) but trades off specificity for sensitivity (Thomas et al., 2014). Precision was, on average, 0.79 (SD: 0.002) for the default parameter settings indicating a high positive predictive value in DWI tractography (i.e., the great majority of positive results are true positives).

Curvature thresholds in tractography algorithms constrain the extent to which estimated streamlines can turn as they propagate. By default, FSL’s algorithm uses a threshold of 0.2, corresponding to $\sim 78^\circ$. We systematically lowered this threshold (0.4, 0.6, 0.8 or $\sim 66^\circ$, $\sim 53^\circ$, $\sim 37^\circ$) to examine its effect on the accuracy of tractography. There was an effect of curvature threshold on the percentage of correctly detected connections of the unthresholded matrices (i.e., where the x-axis = 0, Fig. 2A; repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $F(3, 9)=525.85$, $p<0.001$). Notably, post hoc comparisons indicated that % correct was not significantly different between

120 matrices derived using curvature thresholds of 0.2 and 0.4 (M: 79.14%, SD: 0.12) but was
 121 significantly lower for thresholds of 0.6 (M: 76.21%, SD: 0.63) and 0.8 (M: 51.31, SD: 1.02) (Tukey-
 122 Kramer tests, $p < 0.05$). The effect of curvature threshold on the AUC of unthresholded matrices
 123 was limited to differences between the lowest threshold (0.8) and all other thresholds (repeated
 124 measures one-way ANOVA, $F(3,9)=39.83$, $p<0.001$; post hoc Tukey-Kramer tests) (Fig. 2B).
 125 Lowering the curvature threshold to 0.6 and below resulted in a significant drop in sensitivity
 126 (repeated measures one-way ANOVA, $F(3,9)=676.26$, $p<0.001$; post hoc Tukey-Kramer tests) with
 127 no differences for curvature thresholds of 0.2 and 0.4 (Fig. 2C, top). This was accompanied by a
 128 significant increase specificity across all curvature thresholds (Fig. 2C, bottom; repeated measures
 129 one-way ANOVA, $F(3,9)=530.79$, $p<0.001$; post hoc Tukey-Kramer tests). Precision also
 130 significantly increased when the curvature threshold was lowered to 0.6 and below (repeated
 131 measures one-way ANOVA, $F(3,9)=81.26$, $p<0.001$; post-hoc Tukey-Kramer tests), with no
 132 pairwise differences between 0.2 and 0.4 (Fig 2D). Intrahemispheric tractography using the RM-
 133 CoCo parcellation produced similar results (Fig. S1).

Intrahemispheric: Markov-Kennedy

Figure 2. Accuracy of DWI tractography. (A-D) Accuracy measures for tractography using the Markov-Kennedy parcellation. (E-H) Accuracy measures for interhemispheric tractography using the RM-CoCo parcellation. Curves shown correspond to different tractography curvature thresholds as a function of thresholding the tractography-derived connectivity matrices (i.e., discarding connections having the lowest proportion of streamline counts).

We also explored the accuracy of interhemispheric tractography using the RM-CoCo parcellation. For the ‘default’ tractography parameter settings, interhemispheric tracking resulted in significantly lower % correct (paired t-test, $t(9)=-1873.4$, $p<0.0001$) and precision ($t(9)=-5636.7$, $p<0.0001$) while AUC was not different ($t(9)=1.74$, $p=0.12$) (Fig. 2E-H vs. Fig. S1). However, as available tracer data for interhemispheric connections are limited, many of the “absent” interhemispheric connections in the tracer matrix are due to a lack of anatomical data. We therefore performed the same analysis on just the subset of interhemispheric connections for which the CoCoMac database indicates an explicitly present ($n=479$) or absent ($n=125$) connection. While accuracy of tractography was remarkably better for this subset of interhemispheric connections, it was still slightly lower than that of intrahemispheric tractography (Fig. S2). Of note, precision for the subset analysis was considerably higher (Fig.

150 S2D) than that for all interhemispheric connections (Fig. 2H), indicating that the vast majority of
151 detected connections in the explicit subset were true positives.

152 Just as in intrahemispheric tracking, there were no pairwise differences in % correct, AUC
153 or precision values between curvature thresholds of 0.2 or 0.4 for interhemispheric tracking
154 (repeated measures one-way ANOVAs, all $p < 0.001$; post-hoc Tukey-Kramer tests) (Fig 2E-H).
155 Together with the intrahemispheric tracking results, these findings suggest that using a high
156 curvature threshold for macaque data does not result in a notable effect on the accuracy of DWI-
157 based tractography and instead may lower the specificity when reconstructing anatomical
158 connections across the macaque brain.

159 The ability of probabilistic tractography to reconstruct white matter fiber tracts is thought
160 to be limited by the distance between ROIs. Factors such as noise, artifacts and actual fiber
161 trajectory increase the uncertainty of tracking with increasing distance (Li et al., 2012). To test
162 whether this was the case for our data, we binned connections by distance and found that %
163 correct dropped as a function of distance for intrahemispheric tracking (Fig. S3A), consistent with
164 previous findings for intrahemispheric tractography (Donahue et al., 2016). There was no
165 consistent effect of distance on % correct for interhemispheric tracking (Fig. S3B). Employing
166 distance correction did little, if anything, to change accuracy measures (data not shown), since our
167 accuracy measures are computed using binarized data and distance correction as implemented in
168 FSL is simply a reweighting scheme that biases the number of streamlines detected for long-
169 distance tracts rather than whether streamlines are detectable.

170 Distance is also a determining factor in actual connectivity probabilities as observed in
171 tracer-based networks (Markov et al., 2013; Beul et al., 2017), suggesting that the distance
172 between ROIs could be used to estimate the existence of a connection between them. To test this,
173 we used a simple geodesic distance-based model to generate connectivity matrices in the Markov-

174 Kennedy parcellation. Remarkably, geodesic distance-based estimates of connectivity led to better
175 correspondence with the tracer data (median AUC: 0.75) than DWI-based reconstructions (Fig.
176 S4).

177 ***Effects of discarding “weakest” connections on accuracy***

178 For the purposes of connectome creation, the outputs of probabilistic tractography algorithms are
179 often thresholded by discarding connections whose streamline counts do not meet a minimum
180 requirement (Zalesky et al., 2016; Roberts et al., 2017). To determine whether such a thresholding
181 technique improves the accuracy of probabilistic tractography, we systematically thresholded our
182 tractography-derived connectivity matrices by discarding between 5 and 90% of the “weakest”
183 connections (i.e., those with lowest weights) in increments of 5%. Accuracy, as measured by %
184 connections correctly detected and AUC, dropped as a function of thresholding the
185 intrahemispheric connectivity matrix (Fig. 2A-B and S1A-B), with a significant drop occurring
186 once 20% or more of the weakest connections were discarded, depending on the accuracy metric,
187 parcellation and curvature threshold used (Table S1). For interhemispheric tractography, only
188 AUC dropped as a function of discarding the weakest weights, corresponding to a drop in
189 sensitivity (Fig. 2F-G, but see Fig. S2), with a significant drop occurring once a 35% or more was
190 reached (Table S2).

191 ***Do DWI-based connectomes accurately depict network characteristics?***

192 To determine whether network characteristics were accurately captured in DWI-based
193 connectomes, we first constructed an average DWI-based connectome for each parcellation using
194 the set of “optimal” tractography parameters and thresholds that maximized AUC for each animal
195 (see Methods, Fig. S5 and Table S2). We also included for analysis a DWI-based structural network
196 in the RM-CoCo parcellation derived from control animals recently described by Grayson and

colleagues (2017). This independent average network was constructed using different imaging sequences, image preprocessing and probabilistic tractography procedures from those described in the present paper. For replication purposes and to examine the dependence of our results on our specific sample and DWI sequences, we present analyses on this additional DWI-based network in the supplementary materials (see Figures S6-S8).

The edge weights of the average DWI-based network were correlated with those from the tract-tracing one for the Markov-Kennedy parcellation (Fig 3A; Spearman rank correlation coefficient (r_s) = 0.51, $p < 0.0001$) and also for the RM-CoCo parcellation (Fig 3B; r_s = 0.45, $p < 0.0001$; also see Fig. S6B). This correlation is in line with (Donahue et al., 2016) or better than (van den Heuvel et al., 2015) previous studies, and suggests that the strength of a white matter fiber tract is well captured by tractography-based estimates. As distance correction biases the number of streamlines detected for long tracks, and therefore biases the weights of our DWI-based connectomes, we additionally constructed distance-corrected versions of the average DWI-based network for comparison with the tracer networks. The correlation between the tractography-based and the tract-tracing-based edge weights was worse than the correlation obtained without distance correction for both the Markov-Kennedy (r_s = 0.46, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S7A) and RM parcellation (r_s = 0.40, $p < 0.0001$; Fig. S7B). Finally, because connection strength varies as a function of distance in both tracer and tractography data (e.g., Donahue et al., 2016) we also computed the partial correlation between tracer and tractography weights while controlling for distance between ROIs. The correlation between the weights was reduced by half for the Markov-Kennedy parcellation (r_s = 0.21, $p < 0.0001$) but only marginally for the RM-CoCo parcellation (r_s = 0.43, $p < 0.0001$).

Markov-Kennedy parcellation

Figure 3. Correspondence of network topology metrics of *in vivo* tractography and tract-tracing connectomes. (A-B) Connectome weight estimates from DWI tractography are well correlated with those from tracer studies. (C-F) Centrality estimates from DWI-based networks are correlated with those from tracer studies for degree but not betweenness centrality estimates. Only some hubs, identified as those with centrality >80th percentile, in the DWI-based networks correspond with hubs in tracer-based networks (red data points). These included cortical areas 7a, 8m, 9/46d, V4 in the Markov-Kennedy parcellation (C,E), and anterior and posterior cingulate cortex (CCa and CCp) and centrolateral and ventrolateral prefrontal cortex (PFCcl and PFCvl) in the RM-CoCo parcellation (D, F). Hubs in tracer-based networks not identified as hubs in DWI-based networks denoted in black; misidentified hubs in DWI-based networks that are not hubs in tracer-based networks denoted in grey. Correctly identified hubs denoted in red.

We next computed a number of graph metrics that capture different levels of description of topology for both tracer- and DWI-based networks to determine the extent to which tractography-derived networks can accurately estimate network topology.

Centrality

Centrality measures are commonly used to provide estimates of the extent to which each node is embedded within a network, describing its potential contribution to network communication (van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2013a). Figure 3 shows how the nodal degree for DWI- and tract-tracing-

based networks was positively correlated for the Markov-Kennedy intrahemispheric parcellation (Fig 3C; $r_s = 0.45$, $p < 0.01$) as well as the RM-CoCo whole brain parcellation (Fig 3D; $r_s = 0.24$, $p = 0.01$). Betweenness centrality, however, was not correlated for either parcellation (Markov-Kennedy: $r_s = 0.14$, $p=0.23$; RM-CoCo: $r_s = 0.14$, $p=0.11$) (also see Fig. S6C). Network “hubs” are often singled out for investigation because of the special topological role they are thought to play in network communication and are identified as those nodes with high centrality. To determine whether hubs in the tractography-based networks coincide with those in the tracer-based networks, we identified hub nodes as those having centrality values greater than the 80th percentile for each centrality measure. Although some overlap exists in the identified hubs from tractography- and tracer-based networks (Fig. 3C-F; red data points), a number of hubs in the tracer-based networks were not considered hubs in the tractography-based networks (Fig. 3C-F; black data points) and vice versa (grey data points; also see Fig. S6C-D). These findings suggest that tractography-based estimates of node centrality may not accurately reflect actual topologically central cortical regions.

Network architecture

Modularity

One common way to describe brain network architecture has been to decompose brain networks into smaller communities or modules that are responsible for more specialized functions, and the connections between communities as serving the potential to integrate across these functions (Meunier et al., 2010; Sporns and Betzel, 2016). We examined whether the modular organization of tractography-based networks accurately reflected those obtained from tract tracing. Tractography-based networks showed a remarkably similar organization of subnetworks as compared to the tracer-based networks (Fig. 4A). For the Markov-Kennedy parcellation, the

259 modular organization differed only in its assignment of three nodes (F2, F5, ProM) to the
 260 prefrontal module in the tractography-based network (Fig. 4A top right, dark blue) rather than a
 261 more fronto-parietal one in the tracer-based network (Fig. 4A top left, light green). However, even
 262 in the tracer-based network, these three nodes exhibit extensive and strong connectivity with the
 263 prefrontal module (Fig. 4A top left, grey edges). For the RM-CoCo parcellation, the decomposition
 264 of the tractography-based network resulted in a fourth module (Fig. 4A, bottom right, dark blue)
 265 and the assignment of some prefrontal areas (PFCdl, FEF, PMCM, PFCvl, PMCvl) to a more
 266 prefrontal module (light blue) rather than a more fronto-parietal one as in the tracer-based
 267 network (Fig. 4A, bottom left, light green). We determined the distance between the two sets of
 268 modules by computing the variation of information (VI) between them (Meilă, 2007). For both
 269 parcellations, the VI was significantly lower between the tractography-based partitions and the
 270 tracer-based ones as compared to the tracer-based null networks (Markov-Kennedy: 0.13 vs 0.70
 271 $\pm 4.2 \times 10^{-15}$; RM-CoCo: 0.27 vs $0.35 \pm 3.0 \times 10^{-15}$), suggesting that the tractography- and tracer-based
 272 partitions were more similar to each other than expected by chance.

Figure 4. Modularity partitions of tractography-based networks are well matched with those from tracer-based networks. (A) Connectogram depictions of modular structure of each network type for the Markov-Kennedy (top) and the RM-CoCo (bottom) parcellations. Community assignments are denoted by node color. Within-module edges are denoted with the same color as the module while between-module edges are denoted in gray. Edges were thresholded for ease of visualization. For the Markov-Kennedy parcellation, the visualization threshold was set to 33% for both network types. For RM-CoCo, the visualization threshold was set to keep connections in the strongest weight category (i.e., 3) in the tracer-based network and the tractography-based network was matched for the number of edges. (B) Correlation between tractography- and tracer-based network participation coefficients for the Markov-Kennedy (top) and RM-CoCo (bottom) parcellations. The community assignment of tracer-based networks were imposed on the tractography-based networks to determine participation coefficients.

We also assessed the accuracy of the tractography-based networks community assignments by first imposing the community structure of the tracer-based networks on the tractography-based ones and then computing the participation coefficients for each of the nodes in the tractography-based networks. The participation coefficient describes the extent to which each node is connected to nodes in other modules. If the “true” community assignments and, in particular, the between-module distribution of connections from the tracer-based networks were well estimated by the topology of the tractography-based networks, then the participation

coefficients of the tractography-based network nodes computed in this manner should match those from the tracer-based networks. There was a moderate match for the Markov-Kennedy parcellation (Fig. 4B; $r_s = 0.39$, $p=0.02$, Spearman rank correlation) but not for the RM-CoCo parcellation (Fig. 4B; $r_s = 0.03$, $p=0.42$; also see Fig. S8). Together with the observed low VI between partition lists, these results suggest that nodal community assignments are well represented by DWI-based connectomes and the distribution of connections between and within modules can, to some degree, be estimated as well.

Rich Club Architecture

Brain networks have also been described as having a so-called “rich club” architecture, whereby a subset of high degree nodes exhibit dense connectivity with each other, often poised to mediate intermodular communication and forming a strong anatomical core (van den Heuvel and Sporns, 2013b). We examined whether a rich club architecture could be detected in the tracer-based networks, and the extent to which the tractography-based networks were able to replicate such findings. As our networks were weighted, we computed the normalized rich club coefficient by considering network weights in addition to topology when generating the null models (Alstott et al., 2014) for both of the tractography-based networks as well as the Markov-Kennedy tracer network. Similar to previous findings (Knoblauch et al., 2016), the Markov-Kennedy tracer network approached a rich club architecture at a degree of 24 ($p = 0.08$ for weighted networks, $p = 0.06$ for mixed networks) but rich club architecture was not consistently detected across a range of degrees and by and large not significant for any of the types of network considered (Fig. 6A, left). Although the DWI-based Markov-Kennedy network showed an increase in the normalized rich club coefficient at high degree levels, none were significantly greater than 1 following FDR correction (Fig. 5A, right). As we have previously reported (Shen et al., 2015), the RM-CoCo tracer network exhibits a rich club architecture at multiple degree levels (Fig. 5B, left). The DWI-based

RM-CoCo network also exhibits a rich club architecture at multiple degree levels for all three types of models considered (Fig. 5B, right). A hypergeometric test of significant rich club levels detected in this network when a mixed model was considered showed significant overlap with the tracer network in 3 of 14 levels (at $k=55$, $p<0.01$; at $k=54$, $p=0.01$; and at $k=53$, $p=0.03$; also see Fig. S9). For level $k=55$, 10 rich club hubs that included regions of the prefrontal and cingulate cortex were identified in both the tracer- and DWI-based networks (Fig. 5C; red). Some additional regions of the temporal cortex along with dorso-medial prefrontal cortex were RC hubs in the DWI-based network but not the tracer-based one (Fig. 5C; grey), while a number of parietal and prefrontal RC hubs of the tracer-based network were notably missing from the DWI-based network (Fig. 5C; black).

Figure 5. Rich club (RC) architecture in tracer- and DWI-based networks. Normalized rich club coefficient for tracer- (left) and DWI-based (right) networks for the Markov-Kennedy (A) and RM-CoCo (B) parcellations. RC levels (i.e., normalized rich club coefficients significantly >1) denoted by circles. (C) RCs at degree level 55 for RM-CoCo parcellation. Red nodes and edges depict those that are common to both tracer- and DWI-based networks. RC nodes and edges incorrectly detected by DWI are depicted in grey, and those in tracer-based networks but missed by DWI are depicted in black.

Core-Periphery Architecture

For denser networks, such as the Markov-Kennedy tracer one, a core-periphery architecture has been described (Ercsey-Ravasz et al., 2013). Here, we determined whether the core-periphery

architecture previously reported in the Markov-Kennedy tracer network can be reconstructed by tractography-based connectomes. For the symmetrized tracer-based network, we detected a set of 18 nodes that contributed to the high-density core, with the 11 remaining nodes considered to be in the periphery (Fig. 6A). For the tractography-based network, a core-periphery architecture was also detected, with the core consisting of 21 nodes, of which 16 were correctly identified (Fig. 6B). A hypergeometric test showed significant overlap in the core memberships of the tracer and tractography networks ($p < 0.001$).

Figure 6. Core-Periphery architecture in tracer- and DWI-based networks. (A) Core-periphery architecture detected in Markov-Kennedy tract tracing network. Core nodes and edges depicted in red, peripheral nodes depicted in coral. (B) Core-periphery architecture detected in the tractography-based network. Correctly identified core nodes and edges in red, peripheral nodes in coral. Incorrectly identified core nodes and edges depicted in grey, while core nodes that were missed depicted in black.

Discussion

We have tested the performance of *in vivo* diffusion and tractography-based connectomes by comparing them to the gold standard connectomes from tracer data in macaque monkeys. We found that the reconstruction of individual connections to be moderately accurate, with a steep tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity that replicates previous *ex vivo* reports. We also found the proportion of streamlines detected between any two given regions can serve as a robust

estimate of the number of axons that run between them, and that this relationship was dependent on the distance between regions. Importantly, we performed a series of validation studies on network topology metrics and demonstrated how the assignment of nodes into communities in tractography-based connectomes is fairly accurate and that a high-density rich club or core-periphery organization can be detected, just as they can be in the corresponding tracer-based networks. However, the proper identification of hubs within modules, and membership in rich club or core-periphery type architectures was less accurate, likely owing to the great number of false positive connections generated by tractography. As network analysis has quickly become a popular approach for analyzing cortical connectomes, leading to the influential and expanding fields of connectomics and network neuroscience (Sporns, 2013; Bassett and Sporns, 2017), our findings are instrumental for the interpretation of network topology results based on *in vivo* measurements of structural connectivity.

Reconstruction of interareal cortico-cortical connections *in vivo*

Mapping the cortical connectome and uncovering its topological layout is a major ongoing research endeavour, involving many large-scale efforts like the Human Connectome Project (Van Essen et al., 2013). Structural connectomes *in vivo* can only be constructed with diffusion weighted imaging and tractography at present. The majority of such approaches aim to reconstruct the large-scale cortico-cortical connectivity matrix and subsequently analyze it using network metrics (Bassett et al., 2008; Hagmann et al., 2008; Gong et al., 2009). Here, we found the reconstruction of connections between the cortical areas to be above chance, but not highly accurate. Our obtained quantitative measures, such as AUC, are comparable to recent reports investigating intrahemispheric connections (Thomas et al., 2014; Azadbakht et al., 2015; van den Heuvel et al., 2015; Donahue et al., 2016). Our results provide additional quantitative evidence on the feasibility to correctly uncover the correct pairs of interconnected areas with diffusion imaging and

tractography, by using two different benchmark datasets obtained by tract tracing. Despite large differences in how these two benchmark datasets were derived, how their connectivity was expressed and how they have differing network topologies, intrahemispheric tractography results for these two parcellations were remarkably similar. Importantly, we also examined interhemispheric tractography and show that it exhibits worse reconstruction quality than intrahemispheric tractography. This is consistent with our observation that tractography accuracy decreases with increasing distance between regions (also see Li et al., 2012; Donahue et al., 2016), which is only compounded when tracking across hemispheres. However, when we limited our analysis to only those interhemispheric connections that were explicitly defined in CoCoMac, the accuracy of DWI tractography approached that of intrahemispheric tracking. Precision, in particular, was considerably higher for this subset analysis, suggesting that the false positive rate decreased dramatically when we only considered explicitly defined connections. This suggests that, to some degree, missing information in CoCoMac about interhemispheric connections may be lowering our estimates of DWI tractography accuracy. Moreover, although considered the “gold standard”, tracer data themselves are not perfect and can be affected by variability across individual injections, the uptake of tracers by passing axonal fibers, as well as the distance travelled by particular tracers (for discussion, see Köbbert et al., 2000; Lanciego and Wouterlood, 2011; Markov et al., 2014).

Earlier validation studies have highlighted the inability of tractography to resolve long-range connections. Both probabilistic (Li et al., 2012) and deterministic (Dauguet et al., 2007; Zalesky and Fornito, 2009) tractography algorithms suffer from false negatives associated with long-range fibers due to the increasing uncertainty of tractography with distance (Jbabdi et al., 2015). Simply biasing connection weights towards long-distance connections using the distance correction option did little to resolve this problem as accuracy measures relied on the binary

401 classification of the existence or non-existence of connections (but see Azadbakht et al., 2015).
 402 Instead, implementing the distance correction option affected the ability of tractography to
 403 estimate the strength of connections, decreasing it substantially when distance was estimated
 404 with the more realistic measure of geodesic distance rather than Euclidean distance. Connectomes
 405 constructed using deterministic tractography are generally more sparse than those constructed
 406 using probabilistic tractography (Zalesky et al., 2016), and can suffer from a large number of false
 407 negative connections (Gong et al., 2009; Bastiani et al., 2012). Recent reports of probabilistic
 408 tractography, including the results presented here, have additionally indicated that while the
 409 majority of 'true connections' are successfully reconstructed, they instead come at the price of a
 410 large number of false positive connections (e.g., Thomas et al., 2014). The choice between
 411 deterministic and probabilistic tractography then, can be considered as a choice between
 412 constructing low-sensitivity/high-specificity connectomes versus high-sensitivity/low-specificity
 413 ones. Relevant for the probabilistic tractography results presented here, excessive false positive
 414 connections have been reported as a major drawback of diffusion imaging and tractography in a
 415 validation study with simulated human brain data (Maier-Hein et al., 2017). These findings, along
 416 with the observation that false positives have a much larger impact on estimates of network
 417 topology as compared to false negatives (Bastiani et al., 2012; Zalesky et al., 2016), should be
 418 explicitly taken into account as important limitations when interpreting results from diffusion
 419 imaging tractography. Our results indicate that thresholding the weakest weights in the
 420 tractography-based networks on the order of 20-30% did not affect the percentage of correctly
 421 detected connections. Moreover, thresholding on the order of 55-85% did not affect AUC as it
 422 decreased sensitivity while dramatically increasing specificity. This is consistent with previous
 423 estimates for optimizing the tradeoff between sensitivity and specificity (de Reus and van den
 424 Heuvel, 2013b; Donahue et al., 2016). Choosing to threshold by discarding the weakest weights,

however, may result in also discarding weak true positives. Weak connections are known to be important in determining the brain's functional organization (Gallos et al., 2012; Goulas et al., 2015) and may be better represented in networks that have been constructed using methods that take the frequency of edge reconstruction across subjects into account (Roberts et al., 2017). We also found that lower curvature thresholds, at least for macaque data, result in fewer false positives and greater specificity without greatly affecting other accuracy measures (also see Dauguet et al., 2007; Azadbakht et al., 2015). Whether this is a result of less cortical folding and, therefore, less convoluted white matter pathways in the macaque brain (Herculano-Houzel et al., 2010; Zilles et al., 2013) or whether it constitutes an indicative guide for human tractography remains to be seen.

Using the streamline count as a proxy of fiber density has been previously criticized because it is susceptible to differences in tract lengths, curvature and branching (Jones, 2010; Jones et al., 2013). However, we showed how the probability values obtained with tractography were significantly correlated with an explained variance in line with Donahue et al. (2016), and nearly twice that of van den Heuvel et al. (2015). Since it is clear from tracer studies that physical distance plays a large role in the existence and strength of connections (Markov et al., 2013; Beul et al., 2017), a cautionary note is needed when interpreting such validation results. We have shown that a model based on physical distance alone was able to achieve comparable and even higher AUC than the diffusion and tractography-based reconstructions. Moreover, the correlation between the strength of connections and the tractography probabilities was diminished when physical distance was taken into account. Physical distance-based models (e.g., Ercsey-Ravasz et al., 2013; Bezgin et al., 2017) may therefore offer a more stringent baseline than using tractography alone while advancements in both imaging and tractography methods are still needed for the accurate reconstruction of cortical connectomes *in vivo*.

449 **Investigating network topology of the cortex *in vivo***

450 The success of some network metrics but not others in the tractography-based connectomes was
 451 dependent on their resilience to rewirings. We found the partitioning of the cortico-cortical
 452 network into modules to be highly similar between the invasive and non-invasive connectivity
 453 datasets. These results bestow some confidence in module partitioning results obtained *in vivo*.
 454 This is in line with recent work that showed how false negatives, and even false positives, in
 455 connectomes affect modularity partitioning minimally (Zalesky et al., 2016). Partitioning brain
 456 networks into modules can result in variable communities across iterations (Sporns and Betzel,
 457 2016). To minimize the effects of unstable partitionings, we chose to use the most consistent
 458 community structure detected from multiple iterations of partitioning. Additional work is still
 459 needed to fully assess how the accuracy of partitioning affects comparisons of modularity between
 460 different networks.

461 The participation coefficient, a higher-order network metric commonly used to identify
 462 intra-modular “provincial” and inter-modular “connector” hubs based on their cross-modular
 463 edges, was not consistent across the invasive and non-invasive datasets. Our results suggested
 464 that for the Markov-Kennedy parcellation, inaccuracies mostly arose from the reconstruction of
 465 inter- and intra-modular connections as the participation coefficients were correlated even when
 466 we controlled for differences in community structure by keeping the partitioning scheme fixed
 467 when computing participation coefficients. For the RM-CoCo parcellation, however, there was an
 468 additional cost from the slightly inaccurate classification of nodes into their respective
 469 communities. Indeed, two networks can have extremely similar modularity partitions but their
 470 underlying connections could be statistically independent.

471 The susceptibility of centrality measures to rewirings resulted in discrepancies between
 472 the results obtained from the invasive and non-invasive measurements. While for degree

473 centrality a significant positive correlation was observed, no significant correlations were
 474 observed for betweenness centrality in our dataset and only moderate correlations in a second
 475 dataset (see Supplemental Material). The top most connected nodes or “hubs” between the two
 476 modalities also did not fully overlap when using either centrality metric. Our results indicate that
 477 more confidence can be assigned to degree as compared to betweenness centrality when non-
 478 invasive measurements are used. Along similar lines, global descriptions of structural organization
 479 like rich-club or core-periphery architectures, if they existed in the tract tracing networks, could
 480 be obtained from the tractography-based networks. However, the particular identification of
 481 nodes as hubs within these architectures was less accurate, owing again to the susceptibility of the
 482 identification to rewirings. Taken together, these results suggest that caution must be taken when
 483 using DWI-based tractography for identifying hubs, as identification is extremely susceptible to
 484 false connections.

Materials and Methods

Data were collected from 10 male adult macaque monkeys (9 *Macaca mulatta*, 1 *Macaca fascicularis*, age 5.8 ± 1.9 years). A subset of these animals (N=3) had MRI-compatible dental acrylic implants anchored to the skull with ceramic bone screws. All surgical and experimental procedures were approved by the Animal Use Subcommittee of the University of Western Ontario Council on Animal Care and were in accordance with the Canadian Council of Animal Care guidelines.

Surgical preparation and anaesthesia protocols have been previously described (Hutchison et al 2011). Briefly, animals were anaesthetized before their scanning session and anaesthesia was maintained using 1.5-2.0% isoflurane during image acquisition. Images were acquired using a 7-T Siemens MAGNETOM head scanner with a high performance gradient (Siemens AC84 II; $G_{max} = 80$ mT/m; SlewRate = 400 T/m/s and an in-house designed and manufactured 8-channel transmit, 24-channel receive coil optimized for the primate head. For each monkey, two diffusion weighted scans were acquired with opposite phase encoding in the superior-inferior direction at 1 mm isotropic resolution. For seven animals data was acquired 2D EPI diffusion (Siemens Advanced Diffusion WIP 511) with TE/TR = 48.8 ms / 7500 ms, $b = 1000$ s/mm², 64 directions, 104 x 104 matrix, 24 slices, iPat = 3 and bandwidth of 1923 Hz/px. For the remaining 3 animals, a multiband EPI diffusion sequence (Feinberg et al., 2010; Moeller et al., 2010) was used; TE/TR = 47 ms / 6000 ms, multiband = 2, $b = 1000$ s/mm², 64 directions, 128x 128 matrix, 24 slices, iPat = 2, Partial fourier = 5/8 and bandwidth of 1502 Hz/px. A 3D T1w structural reference was collected for all animals using an MP2RAGE (Marques et al., 2010) acquisition at 500 um isotropic resolution; TE/TR = 3.15 ms / 6500 ms, TI1/TI2 = 800 ms / 2700 ms, flip1/flip2 = 4 / 5, 256 x 256 matrix, 128 slices, iPat=2 and 240 Hz/px bandwidth.

Diffusion-weighted image preprocessing was implemented using the FMRIB Software Library toolbox (FSL v5). This consisted of susceptibility-induced distortion correction using FSL's 'topup' (similar to Andersson et al., 2003) and 'eddy' (Andersson and Sotiropoulos, 2016) functions, and modeling of multiple fiber directions using FSL's 'bedpostx' function (Behrens et al., 2007). ROI parcellations specified in F99 macaque template space were registered using the Advanced Normalization Tools (ANTS) software package (Avants et al., 2011) to each animal's T1w anatomical image using a nonlinear registration and then linearly registered to diffusion space. Seed and target ROI masks were defined as the white matter (WM) voxels adjacent to each gray matter (GM) ROI, referred to as the GM-WM interface. An exclusion mask for each seed mask was also created using the GM voxels adjacent to the seed mask. For intrahemispheric tracking, exclusion masks of the opposite hemisphere were also used.

Two distinct parcellation schemes were chosen to match available tract-tracing data. The first ('Markov-Kennedy') was an intrahemispheric parcellation of 29 ROIs that matched those contributing to the edge-complete connectivity matrix described in Markov et al (2014) (Fig 1A, top). The second ("CoCo-RM") was a whole-cortex parcellation of 82 ROIs matching the connectivity matrix described in Shen et al. (2012) (also see Kötter and Wanke, 2005) (Fig 1A, bottom).

Tractography was performed between all ROIs using both parcellation schemes with FSL's 'probtrackx2' function. Parameters used for tracking were: 5000 seeds, 2000 steps, 0.5 mm step length, termination of paths that loop back on themselves and rejection of paths that pass through exclusion mask. Curvature threshold was varied (0.2, 0.4, 0.6, 0.8) and distance correction was toggled on and off. The distance correction option produces a connectivity distribution that is the expected length of the streamlines that cross the voxel multiplied by the number of samples that cross it. In effect, the distance correction option serves to increase the weights of long-distance

connections. The “default” parameter combination was considered as that commonly used in human studies (curvature threshold: 0.2; distance correction: off).

A structural connectivity matrix for each parameter set in each parcellation in each animal was generated by taking the number of streamlines detected between each ROI pair and dividing it by the total number of streamlines that were successfully sent from the seed mask (i.e., those that were not rejected or excluded). Each connectivity matrix was subsequently symmetrized.

For distance-based analyses, the distance between ROIs in the Markov-Kennedy parcellation was determined as the geodesic distance of their barycenters, that is, the shortest distance passing through the white matter that connects the barycenters of a pair of areas (as available from the Core-Nets database: core-nets.org). The distance between ROIs in the RM-CoCO parcellation was computed as the Euclidean distance between the centers of all ROIs. For both parcellations, connections were binned according to eight distance quantiles for analysis.

For determining accuracy of the DWI-based structural connectivity matrices (i.e., analyses illustrated in Fig. 2), the corresponding tracer matrices were symmetrized (Fig 1A) and all matrices were binarized. % correct was computed as: $\# \text{ true positives} + \# \text{ true negatives} / \text{total} \#$ connections (after Azadbakht et al., 2015). We additionally computed the area under the ROC curve (AUC), sensitivity, specificity, and precision of the DWI-derived matrices. Comparisons were only performed on the upper triangles of matrices. Repeated measures one-way ANOVAs were performed to assess the effect of curvature threshold on accuracy, treating the curvature thresholds as four manipulations on the same group of subjects.

To quantify the extent to which physical distance can predict the existence of connections between cortical areas, logistic regression was used, with the existence of connections serving as the binary dependent variable and the physical geodesic distance between the barycenters of cortical areas serving as the independent variable. The model parameters were estimated in a

training set consisting of 70% of the total number of area pairs and the rest of the pairs were used to estimate the ROC curve. The procedure was repeated 1000 times and each time the training set was assembled by sampling with replacement. The reported ROC curves and AUC values correspond to these 1000 iterations.

For network analyses, average DWI-based structural connectivity matrices for both the Markov-Kennedy and RM-CoCo parcellations were generated by selecting, for each subject, the matrix having maximum AUC when compared to the tract-tracing data. The combination of tractography parameters and thresholding that yielded the maximum AUC for each subject in each parcellation is provided in Table S2. To enable direct comparison of tracer- and tractography-based networks, tracer matrices were symmetrized. Consequently, network analytic results presented here on the tracer matrices differ slightly from previous studies (e.g., Ercsey-Ravasz et al 2013; Knoblauch et al chapter on RC; Shen et al 2012; Shen et al 2015 RC). Tractography-based networks were then thresholded to match the density of the corresponding tracer-derived networks. In the case of the RM-CoCo parcellation, intra- and interhemispheric quadrants of the tractography-based network were treated with different thresholds due to their vast differences in density in the tract-tracing network (intra: 0.84 vs inter: 0.36). For the Markov-Kennedy parcellation, edges in both tracer- and tractography-based networks were treated as weighted. For the RM-CoCo parcellation, because of the categorical nature of the weighted information in the CoCoMac database, edges were binarized for the tracer-based network as well as the tractography-based network for computing centrality measures (degree and betweenness).

Measures of centrality, modularity partitioning and participation coefficients were obtained using functions from the Brain Connectivity Toolbox (BCT; <https://sites.google.com/site/bctnet/>). For weighted graphs, the degree of each node was computed as the sum of its edge weights while for binarized graphs, node degree was taken as the total number of its edges.

580 For the calculation of betweenness centrality, the edge weights were inverted so that larger
 581 weights corresponded with longer paths. Community detection was performed using the Louvain
 582 algorithm (Blondel et al., 2008). As we did not know how the small network of 29 nodes from the
 583 Markov-Kennedy parcellation should be partitioned, we first varied the resolution parameter
 584 (γ) between 0 and 2 in increments of 0.05 and determined the most commonly detected
 585 number of partitions >1 in that range. The minimum value of γ that produced that number
 586 of partitions was selected. For the RM-CoCo parcellation, we similarly varied the resolution
 587 parameter but selected a γ value that gave a reasonable number of partitions based on
 588 previous studies of whole-brain modularity in the macaque (Harriger et al., 2012; Goulas et al.,
 589 2015). Partitioning for both parcellations was then repeated 100 times using the selected γ
 590 value, and the most consistent partitioning was chosen for analysis. This was done independently
 591 for both tracer- and tractography-based networks. For the Markov-Kennedy parcellation
 592 partitions, both tracer ($\gamma = 0.65$) and tractography ($\gamma = 0.65$) networks were
 593 consistently partitioned 100/100 times. For the RM-CoCo parcellation, the most common partition
 594 of the tracer network ($\gamma = 1$) occurred 17/100 times while that of the tractography network
 595 ($\gamma = 0.95$) occurred 44/100 times. Spearman rank correlation coefficients were computed to
 596 assess the correspondence of network measures between the two modalities. Statistical
 597 significance of the correlations was assessed using permutation tests by resampling data pairs
 598 without replacement 10,000 times.

599 Rich club detection was performed following the procedures described by Alstott et al.
 600 (2014) for computing null networks that are topological, weighted, and of mixed topo-weighted
 601 form. Core-periphery detection was performed as described in Ercsey-Ravasz et al (2013).
 602 Briefly, the cortico-cortical network was subject to a modified Bron-Kerbosch algorithm with both
 603 pivoting and degeneracy ordering (Eppstein et al., 2010). The algorithm detects all cliques up to

604 the maximum size. A clique is a subset of the nodes of the network among which the maximum
 605 possible amount of connections exists. The core was defined as the union of all the nodes
 606 participating in the cliques of maximum size.

607 **Acknowledgements**

608 This work was supported by a grant from the Canadian Institutes of Health Research (CIHR) (S.E.)
 609 and the J. S. McDonnell Foundation (A.R.M.).

References

- Alstott J, Panzarasa P, Rubinov M, Bullmore ET, Vértés PE (2014) A unifying framework for measuring weighted rich clubs. *Sci Rep* 4:7258.
- Andersson JLR, Skare S, Ashburner J (2003) How to correct susceptibility distortions in spin-echo echo-planar images: application to diffusion tensor imaging. *Neuroimage* 20:870–888.
- Andersson JLR, Sotiropoulos SN (2016) An integrated approach to correction for off-resonance effects and subject movement in diffusion MR imaging. *Neuroimage* 125:1063–1078.
- Avants BB, Tustison NJ, Song G, Cook PA, Klein A, Gee JC (2011) A reproducible evaluation of ANTs similarity metric performance in brain image registration. *Neuroimage* 54:2033–2044.
- Azadbakht H, Parkes LM, Haroon HA, Augath M, Logothetis NK, De Crespigny A, D’Arceuil HE, Parker GJM (2015) Validation of high-resolution tractography against in Vivo tracing in the macaque visual cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 25:4299–4309.
- Bassett DS, Bullmore E, Verchinski BA, Mattay VS, Weinberger DR, Meyer-Lindenberg A (2008) Hierarchical Organization of Human Cortical Networks in Health and Schizophrenia. *J Neurosci* 28.
- Bassett DS, Sporns O (2017) Network neuroscience. *Nat Neurosci* 20:353–364.
- Bastiani M, Shah NJ, Goebel R, Roebroek A (2012) Human cortical connectome reconstruction from diffusion weighted MRI: The effect of tractography algorithm. *Neuroimage* 62:1732–1749.
- Baum GL, Ciric R, Roalf DR, Betzel RF, Moore TM, Shinohara RT, Kahn AE, Vandekar SN, Rupert PE, Quarmley M, Cook PA, Elliott MA, Ruparel K, Gur RE, Gur RC, Bassett DS, Satterthwaite TD (2017) Modular Segregation of Structural Brain Networks Supports the Development of Executive Function in Youth. *Curr Biol* 27:1561–1572.e8.
- Behrens TEJ, Berg HJ, Jbabdi S, Rushworth MFS, Woolrich MW (2007) Probabilistic diffusion

634 tractography with multiple fibre orientations: What can we gain? *Neuroimage* 34:144–155.

635 Beul SF, Barbas H, Hilgetag CC (2017) A Predictive Structural Model of the Primate Connectome.

636 *Sci Rep* 7:43176.

637 Bezgin G, Solodkin A, Bakker R, Ritter P, McIntosh AR (2017) Mapping complementary features of

638 cross-species structural connectivity to construct realistic “Virtual Brains.” *Hum Brain Mapp*

639 38:2080–2093.

640 Bezgin G, Vakorin VA, van Opstal AJ, McIntosh AR, Bakker R (2012) Hundreds of brain maps in one

641 atlas: registering coordinate-independent primate neuro-anatomical data to a standard brain.

642 *Neuroimage* 62:67–76.

643 Blondel VD, Guillaume J-L, Lambiotte R, Lefebvre E (2008) Fast unfolding of communities in large

644 networks. *J Stat Mech Theory Exp* 2008:P10008.

645 Crossley NA, Mechelli A, Scott J, Carletti F, Fox PT, McGuire P, Bullmore ET (2014) The hubs of the

646 human connectome are generally implicated in the anatomy of brain disorders. *Brain*

647 137:2382–2395.

648 Dauguet J, Peled S, Berezovskii V, Delzescaux T, Warfield SK, Born R, Westin CF (2007)

649 Comparison of fiber tracts derived from in-vivo DTI tractography with 3D histological neural

650 tract tracer reconstruction on a macaque brain. *Neuroimage* 37:530–538.

651 de Reus MA, van den Heuvel MP (2013a) Rich Club Organization and Intermodule Communication

652 in the Cat Connectome. *J Neurosci* 33:12929–12939.

653 de Reus MA, van den Heuvel MP (2013b) Estimating false positives and negatives in brain

654 networks. *Neuroimage* 70:402–409.

655 Donahue CJ, Sotiropoulos SN, Jbabdi S, Hernandez-Fernandez M, Behrens TE, Dyrby TB, Coalson T,

656 Kennedy H, Knoblauch K, Van Essen DC, Glasser MF (2016) Using Diffusion Tractography to

657 Predict Cortical Connection Strength and Distance: A Quantitative Comparison with Tracers

658 in the Monkey. *J Neurosci* 36:6758–6770.

659 Eppstein D, Löffler M, Strash D (2010) Listing All Maximal Cliques in Sparse Graphs in Near-
660 optimal Time.

661 Ercsey-Ravasz M, Markov NT, Lamy C, VanEssen DC, Knoblauch K, Toroczkai Z, Kennedy H (2013)
662 A Predictive Network Model of Cerebral Cortical Connectivity Based on a Distance Rule.
663 *Neuron* 80:184–197.

664 Feinberg DA, Moeller S, Smith SM, Auerbach E, Ramanna S, Glasser MF, Miller KL, Ugurbil K,
665 Yacoub E (2010) Multiplexed echo planar imaging for sub-second whole brain fmri and fast
666 diffusion imaging. *PLoS One* 5.

667 Fornito A, Zalesky A, Breakspear M (2015) The connectomics of brain disorders. *Nat Rev Neurosci*
668 16:159–172.

669 Gallos LK, Makse HA, Sigman M (2012) A small world of weak ties provides optimal global
670 integration of self-similar modules in functional brain networks. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A*
671 109:2825–2830.

672 Gong G, He Y, Concha L, Lebel C, Gross DW, Evans AC, Beaulieu C (2009) Mapping Anatomical
673 Connectivity Patterns of Human Cerebral Cortex Using In Vivo Diffusion Tensor Imaging
674 Tractography. *Cereb Cortex* 19:524–536.

675 Goulas A, Schaefer A, Margulies DS (2015) The strength of weak connections in the macaque
676 cortico-cortical network. *Brain Struct Funct* 220:2939–2951.

677 Grayson DS, Bliss-Moreau E, Bennett J, Lavenex P, Amaral DG (2017) Neural Reorganization Due to
678 Neonatal Amygdala Lesions in the Rhesus Monkey: Changes in Morphology and Network
679 Structure. *Cereb Cortex* 53:1–14.

680 Griffa A, Baumann PS, Thiran JP, Hagmann P (2013) Structural connectomics in brain diseases.
681 *Neuroimage* 80:515–526.

682 Hagmann P, Cammoun L, Gigandet X, Meuli R, Honey CJ, Wedeen VJ, Sporns O (2008) Mapping the
683 structural core of human cerebral cortex. *PLoS Biol* 6:e159.

684 Harriger L, van den Heuvel MP, Sporns O (2012) Rich club organization of macaque cerebral
685 cortex and its role in network communication. *PLoS One* 7:e46497.

686 Herculano-Houzel S, Mota B, Wong P, Kaas JH (2010) Connectivity-driven white matter scaling and
687 folding in primate cerebral cortex. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107:19008–19013.

688 Jbabdi S, Sotiropoulos SN, Haber SN, Van Essen DC, Behrens TE (2015) Measuring macroscopic
689 brain connections in vivo. *Nat Neurosci* 18:1546–1555.

690 Jones D (2010) Challenges and limitations of quantifying brain connectivity in vivo with diffusion
691 MRI. *Imaging Med* 2:341–355.

692 Jones DK, Knösche TR, Turner R (2013) White matter integrity, fiber count, and other fallacies:
693 The do's and don'ts of diffusion MRI. *Neuroimage* 73.

694 Knoblauch K, Ercsey-ravasz M, Kennedy H, Toroczkai Z (2016) The Brain in Space. In: Micro-,
695 meso- and macro- connectomics of the brain (Kennedy H, Van Essen DC, Christen Y, eds), pp
696 45–74.

697 Köbbert C, Apps R, Bechmann I, Lanciego JL, Mey J, Thanos S (2000) Current concepts in
698 neuroanatomical tracing. *Prog Neurobiol* 62:327–351.

699 Kötter R, Wanke E (2005) Mapping brains without coordinates. *Philos Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci*
700 360:751–766.

701 Lanciego JL, Wouterlood FG (2011) A half century of experimental neuroanatomical tracing. *J*
702 *Chem Neuroanat* 42:157–183.

703 Li L, Rilling JK, Preuss TM, Glasser MF, Hu X (2012) The effects of connection reconstruction
704 method on the interregional connectivity of brain networks via diffusion tractography. *Hum*
705 *Brain Mapp* 33:1894–1913.

706 Maier-Hein KH et al. (2017) The challenge of mapping the human connectome based on diffusion
707 tractography. *Nat Commun* 8:1349.

708 Markov NT et al. (2014) A weighted and directed interareal connectivity matrix for macaque
709 cerebral cortex. *Cereb Cortex* 24:17–36.

710 Markov NT, Ercsey-Ravasz M, Lamy C, Ribeiro Gomes AR, Magrou L, Misery P, Giroud P, Barone P,
711 Dehay C, Toroczkai Z, Knoblauch K, Van Essen DC, Kennedy H (2013) The role of long-range
712 connections on the specificity of the macaque interareal cortical network. *Proc Natl Acad Sci*
713 U S A 110:5187–5192.

714 Marques JP, Kober T, Krueger G, van der Zwaag W, Van de Moortele PF, Gruetter R (2010)
715 MP2RAGE, a self bias-field corrected sequence for improved segmentation and T1-mapping at
716 high field. *Neuroimage* 49:1271–1281.

717 Meilă M (2007) Comparing clusterings-an information based distance. *J Multivar Anal* 98:873–
718 895.

719 Meunier D, Lambiotte R, Bullmore ET (2010) Modular and hierarchically modular organization of
720 brain networks. *Front Neurosci* 4:1–11.

721 Moeller S, Yacoub E, Oelman CA, Auerbach E, Strupp J, Harel N, Uğurbil K (2010) Multiband
722 multislice GE-EPI at 7 tesla, with 16-fold acceleration using partial parallel imaging with
723 application to high spatial and temporal whole-brain fMRI. *Magn Reson Med* 63:1144–1153.

724 Park H-J, Friston K (2013) Structural and functional brain networks: from connections to
725 cognition. *Science* 342:1238411.

726 Perry A, Wen W, Lord A, Thalamuthu A, Roberts G, Mitchell PB, Sachdev PS, Breakspear M (2015)
727 The organisation of the elderly connectome. *Neuroimage* 114:414–426.

728 Roberts JA, Perry A, Roberts G, Mitchell PB, Breakspear M (2017) Consistency-based thresholding
729 of the human connectome. *Neuroimage* 145:118–129.

730 Shen K, Bezgin G, Hutchison RM, Gati JS, Menon RS, Everling S, McIntosh AR (2012) Information
731 processing architecture of functionally defined clusters in the macaque cortex. *J Neurosci*
732 32:17465–17476.

733 Shen K, Hutchison RM, Bezgin G, Everling S, McIntosh AR (2015) Network structure shapes
734 spontaneous functional connectivity dynamics. *J Neurosci* 35:5579–5588.

735 Sporns O (2013) The human connectome: Origins and challenges. *Neuroimage* 80:53–61.

736 Sporns O (2014) Contributions and challenges for network models in cognitive neuroscience. *Nat*
737 *Neurosci* 17:652–660.

738 Sporns O, Betzel RF (2016) Modular Brain Networks. *Annu Rev Psychol* 67:613–640.

739 Stephan KE, Kamper L, Bozkurt A, Burns G, Young M, Kötter R (2001) Advanced database
740 methodology for the Collation of Connectivity data on the Macaque brain (CoCoMac). *Philos*
741 *Trans R Soc Lond B Biol Sci* 356:1159–1186.

742 Thomas C, Ye FQ, Irfanoglu MO, Modi P, Saleem KS, Leopold DA, Pierpaoli C (2014) Anatomical
743 accuracy of brain connections derived from diffusion MRI tractography is inherently limited.
744 *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 111:16574–16579.

745 van den Heuvel MP, de Reus MA, Feldman Barrett L, Scholtens LH, Coopmans FMT, Schmidt R,
746 Preuss TM, Rilling JK, Li L (2015) Comparison of diffusion tractography and tract-tracing
747 measures of connectivity strength in rhesus macaque connectome. *Hum Brain Mapp*
748 36:3064–3075.

749 van den Heuvel MP, Mandl RCW, Stam CJ, Kahn RS, Hulshoff Pol HE (2010) Aberrant Frontal and
750 Temporal Complex Network Structure in Schizophrenia: A Graph Theoretical Analysis. *J*
751 *Neurosci* 30.

752 van den Heuvel MP, Sporns O (2011) Rich-Club Organization of the Human Connectome. *J*
753 *Neurosci* 31:15775–15786.

754 van den Heuvel MP, Sporns O (2013a) Network hubs in the human brain. *Trends Cogn Sci* 17:683–
755 696.

756 van den Heuvel MP, Sporns O (2013b) An anatomical substrate for integration among functional
757 networks in human cortex. *J Neurosci* 33:14489–14500.

758 Van Essen DC, Smith SM, Barch DM, Behrens TEJ, Yacoub E, Ugurbil K, WU-Minn HCP Consortium
759 (2013) The WU-Minn Human Connectome Project: An overview. *Neuroimage* 80:62–79.

760 Zalesky A, Fornito A (2009) A DTI-Derived Measure of Cortico-Cortical Connectivity. 28:1023–
761 1036.

762 Zalesky A, Fornito A, Cocchi L, Gollo LL, van den Heuvel MP, Breakspear M (2016) Connectome
763 sensitivity or specificity: which is more important? *Neuroimage* 142:407–420.

764 Zalesky A, Fornito A, Seal ML, Cocchi L, Westin C-F, Bullmore ET, Egan GF, Pantelis C (2011)
765 Disrupted Axonal Fiber Connectivity in Schizophrenia. *Biol Psychiatry* 69:80–89.

766 Zilles K, Palomero-Gallagher N, Amunts K (2013) Development of cortical folding during evolution
767 and ontogeny. *Trends Neurosci* 36:275–284.

768 Zuo X-N, He Y, Betzel RF, Colcombe S, Sporns O, Milham MP (2016) Human Connectomics across
769 the Life Span. *Trends Cogn Sci* xx:1–14.

770