Lack of signal for the impact of venom gene diversity on speciation rates in cone snails
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Abstract

Understanding why some groups of organisms are more diverse than others is a central goal in macroevolution. Evolvability, or lineages’ intrinsic capacity for evolutionary change, is thought to influence disparities in species diversity across taxa. Over macroevolutionary time scales, clades that exhibit high evolvability are expected to have higher speciation rates. Cone snails (family: Conidae, >900 spp.) provide a unique opportunity to test this prediction because their venom genes can be used to characterize differences in evolvability between clades. Cone snails are carnivorous, use prey-specific venom (conotoxins) to capture prey, and the genes that encode venom are known and diversify through gene duplication. Theory predicts that higher gene diversity confers a greater potential to generate novel phenotypes for specialization and adaptation. Therefore, if conotoxin gene diversity gives rise to varying levels of evolvability, conotoxin gene diversity should be coupled with macroevolutionary speciation rates. We applied exon capture techniques to recover phylogenetic markers and conotoxin loci across 314 species, the largest venom discovery effort in a single study. We paired a reconstructed timetree using 12 fossil calibrations with species-specific estimates of conotoxin gene diversity and used trait-dependent diversification methods to test the impact of evolvability on diversification patterns. Surprisingly, did not detect any signal for the relationship between conotoxin gene diversity and speciation rates, suggesting that venom evolution may not be the rate-limiting factor controlling diversification dynamics in Conidae. Comparative analyses showed some signal for the impact of diet and larval dispersal strategy on diversification patterns, though whether or not we detected a signal depended on the dataset and the method. If our results remain true with increased sampling in future studies, they suggest that the rapid evolution of Conidae venom
may cause other factors to become more critical to diversification, such as ecological opportunity or traits that promote isolation among lineages.

Introduction

Why are some taxa more diverse than others? Species richness and phenotypic diversity are not distributed evenly across the tree of life (Rabosky et al. 2013). For example, there exists over 10,000 species of birds, but their closest relatives (crocodiles and alligators) comprise only of 23 species. Differences in evolvability, or lineages’ intrinsic capacity to adapt and diversify, is one reason commonly used to explain these disparities (Wagner & Altenberg 1996; Yang 2001; Jones et al. 2007; Pigliucci 2008; Losos 2010). Evolvability is thought to be determined by the underlying genetic architecture of organisms – some genomes of organisms have a greater propensity to generate variation that may be adaptive in the future (Wagner & Altenberg 1996; Jones et al. 2007; Pigliucci 2008). For example, gene duplication increases evolvability – the copied gene is free from the selective pressures of the original gene (Crow & Wagner 2006). Mutation, selection, and drift can act on the copied gene, facilitating the possibility of new phenotypes to arise; this shapes the extent that taxa can diversify and exploit resources (Crow & Wagner 2006). Over long evolutionary time scales, clades that exhibit higher evolvability are predicted to have increased species richness and diversification rates (Yang 2001).

Despite the ubiquity of this concept in macroevolutionary theory, few studies explicitly test these predictions; this is possibly due to the difficulty of identifying genes responsible for phenotype (Hoekstra & Coyne 2007). Past studies that have attempted to test the impact of evolvability on diversification have produced mixed results (Santini et al. 2009; Soltis et al. 2009; Mayrose et al. 2011; Rabosky et al. 2013; Zhan et al. 2014; Tank et al. 2015; Malmstrøm...
et al. 2016). For example, whole genome duplication events, which are hypothesized to increase
the genomic potential of organisms, have been documented to increase (Santini et al. 2009;
Soltis et al. 2009; Tank et al. 2015), decrease (Mayrose et al. 2011), and have no impact (Zhan et
al. 2014) on the long-term evolutionary success of clades. In another case, a positive correlation
between evolvability and speciation rates exist when measuring evolvability through
morphological proxies (Rabosky et al. 2013). One limitation of past research on this hypothesis
is the inability to tie genomic changes with ecological factors driving diversification patterns
(Robertson et al. 2017). Although gene duplication and whole genome duplication events can
increase the evolutionary capacity of organisms, genes that are ecologically relevant for
adaptation may not be readily available for selection to drive divergence.

Here, we study the relationship between evolvability and diversification in cone snails
(family, Conidae), a diverse group (> 900 spp.) of predatory marine gastropods. These snails
feed on either worms, molluscs, or fish by paralyzing their prey with a cocktail of venomous
neurotoxins (conotoxins, Duda & Palumbi 1999). Cone snail provides a unique opportunity to
test predictions of evolvability and diversification for the following reasons: first, cone snail
species share an ecologically relevant trait, venom. Conidae species are globally distributed in
tropical and subtropical regions, where >30 species can co-occur within the same habitat (Kohn
2001). High numbers of species hypothesized to be able to co-occur because species have
diversified to specialize on different prey using prey-specific conotoxins (Duda & Palumbi
1999). Second, venom genes are known and diversify through gene duplication (Duda &
Palumbi 2000; Kaas et al. 2010, 2012; Chang & Duda 2012). Diet specialization is thought to be
enabled by the rapid evolution of the genes that underlie conotoxins – estimated rates of gene
duplication and nonsynonymous substitutions rates for conotoxin genes are the highest across
metazoans (Duda & Palumbi 2000; Chang & Duda 2012). Therefore, conotoxin genes provide a natural way to characterize differences in evolvability between clades.

We employ a sequence capture technique previously used in cone snails (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) to recover phylogenetic markers and conotoxin genes from 314 described species. We use the phylogenetic markers to reconstruct a time-calibrated phylogeny and perform trait-dependent diversification analyses to test the impact of evolvability on diversification patterns. We predict that clades with a greater number of conotoxin gene copies should have higher speciation rates. In addition, we test other traits that may have an impact on diversification patterns, including diet and larval dispersal strategy.

**Methods**

**Bait design**

We used a targeted sequencing approach to recover markers for phylogenetic inference and obtain an estimate of conotoxin gene diversity from Conidae species. For the phylogenetic markers, we identified loci using a previous Conidae targeted sequencing dataset (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) and the Conidae transcriptome data from (Phuong et al. 2016). In the Conidae targeted sequencing dataset, the authors generated a phylogeny using 5883 loci across 32 species (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). For our sequencing experiment, we only retained loci that were >180bp and were present in at least 26 out of 32 taxa with at least 10X coverage. We chose to only include longer loci to increase confidence in identifying orthologous fragments in other Conidae species. To identify additional phylogenetic markers from the transcriptome data...
(Phuong et al. 2016), which consisted of venom duct transcriptomes from 12 species, we performed the following:

1. identified reciprocal best blast hits between the assembled transcriptome and the *Lottia gigantea* protein reference (Simakov et al. 2013) using BLAST+ v2.2.31 (evalue = 1e-10). We also considered fragments that had their best hit to the protein reference, but to a non-overlapping portion (<20% overlapping).

2. mapped reads using bowtie2 v2.2.7 (Langmead & Salzberg 2012)

3. removed duplicates using picard-tools v.2.1.1 (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard)

4. fixed assembly errors by calling single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) using samtools v1.3 and bcftools v1.3 (Li et al. 2009)

5. aligned sequences per locus using mafft v7.222 (Katoh et al. 2005)

6. calculated uncorrected pairwise distances within each locus for all possible pairwise comparisons

7. removed sequences if the uncorrected pairwise distance was greater than the 90\(^{th}\) percentile for those pair of species

8. denoted exon boundaries by comparing the transcriptome sequences to the *Lottia gigantea* genome reference (Simakov et al. 2013), retaining exons >180bp

For all retained phylogenetic markers, we also performed the following: (1) we generated an ancestral sequence using FastML v3.1 (Ashkenazy et al. 2012) between a *Californiconus californicus* sequence and another Conidae sequence that had the highest amount of overlap with the *C. californicus* sequence (we generated these ancestral sequences to decrease the genetic distances between the target sequence and the orthologous sequence from any Conidae species), (2) removed sequences that had a GC content < 30% or > 70% because extreme GC contents can...
reduce capture efficiency (Bi et al. 2012), (3) removed loci that contained repeats identified through the RepeatMasker v4.0.6 web server (Smit et al. 2015), and (4) performed a self-blast with the target sequences via blastn v2.2.31 (evalue = 1e-10) and removed loci that did not blast to itself with sequence identity >90%. The final set of target loci for phylogenetic inference included 1749 loci, with a total length of 470,435 bp.

To recover conotoxin loci, we targeted sequences generated from both the previous targeted sequencing dataset (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) and the transcriptome dataset (Phuong et al. 2016). For conotoxin sequences discovered from the targeted sequencing dataset (Phuong & Mahardika 2017), we performed the following to generate our target sequences: (1) we trimmed each sequence to only retain the coding region and included 100bp flanking the exon, (2) merged sequences using cd-hit v4.6.4 (Li & Godzik 2006) at 95% sequence similarity to reduce redundancy among conotoxin loci (3) masked repeats using the RepeatMasker v4.0.6 web server (Smit et al. 2015), and (4) retained loci >120bp to ensure that the locus was longer than our desired bait sequence length. We concatenated all sequences below 120bp to create a single, chimeric sequence for capture. The final set of target sequences from the previous targeted sequencing dataset consisted of 12,652 unique loci totaling 3,113,904 bp and a single concatenated sequence representing 351 merged loci with a total length of 37,936 bp. We also targeted conotoxin loci from the transcriptomes described in (Phuong et al. 2016) to obtain conotoxin loci from gene superfamilies that were not targeted in (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) or performed poorly. We performed the following to generate a set of conotoxin loci from the transcriptome data: (1) we trimmed sequences from (Phuong et al. 2016) to only include the coding region and 100bp of the untranslated regions (UTRs), (2) merged sequences using cd-hit v4.6.4 (Li & Godzik 2006) at 97% sequence similarity to reduce redundancy among conotoxin
loci, and (3) masked repeats using the RepeatMasker v4.0.6 web server (Smit et al. 2015). This filtered dataset contained 395 conotoxin loci with a total length of 171,317 bp.

We submitted the following datasets to MYcroarray (Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA) for bait synthesis: (1) 1749 loci for phylogenetic inference, (2) 12652 conotoxin loci using data from (Phuong & Mahardika 2017), (3) a single concatenated sequence using data from (Phuong & Mahardika 2017), and (4) 395 additional conotoxin loci using transcriptome data from (Phuong et al. 2016). We chose to synthesize a MYbaits-3 kit, which included 60,000 bait sequences to accommodate all the targeted loci. Because our aim was to recover sequences from species throughout Conidae, each bait sequence was 120bp in length, which increases the efficiency of recovering divergent fragments. We used a 2X tiling density strategy (a new probe every 60bp) across the sequences from datasets (1) and (2) and used a 4X tiling density strategy (a new probe every 30bp) across datasets (3) and (4). We chose to increase the tiling density for datasets (3) and (4) because the boundaries between exons were not denoted and we wanted to ensure effective capture of the conotoxin loci. The set of probe sequences will be made available on DRYAD following publication.

Genetic samples, library preparation, hybridization, and sequencing

We performed the targeted sequencing experiment across 362 samples representing both described Conidae species and unique lineages/potential new species identified during routine species verification using the mitochondrial locus (results not shown), CO1 (Table S1, Folmer et al. 1994). We also sequenced Bathyoma sp. as an outgroup based on a recent molecular phylogeny of the Conoideans, a clade of gastropods that includes Conidae (Table S1, Puillandre et al. 2011). We obtained these genetic samples from two field expeditions in Indonesia and
Australia and from five museum collections (Table S1). We extracted DNA from tissue using the EZNA Mollusc DNA kit (Omega Bio-Tek, Doraville, GA, USA). There was slight variation in tissue preservation strategy among samples, with most tissues preserved directly in 95% ethanol (Table S1). For 10 samples, tissue was not available but DNA was available from a previous extraction. For these samples, we ran the DNA through the EZNA Mollusc DNA kit to purify the DNA prior to library preparation. We extracted a minimum of 2000 ng per sample prior to library preparation, when possible. We sheared DNA using a Biorupter UCD-200 (Diagenode) when necessary and used a 1X bead purification protocol to ensure that the DNA fragments per sample ranged from 250-600bp, centered on ~350bp. We aimed to generate libraries with longer fragment sizes to ensure that we could recover exons containing the mature toxin region, which are often only recoverable because they are flanking conserved regions that are targeted by our bait design (Phuong & Mahardika 2017).

We prepared libraries for following the (Meyer & Kircher 2010) protocol with the following modifications: (1) we started library preparation with at least 2000ng, rather than the 500ng suggested by the protocol to increase downstream capture efficiency, (2) we performed 1X bead clean-up for all enzymatic reactions and (3) generated dual-indexed libraries by incorporating adapters with unique 7bp barcodes. We were able to re-use libraries for the 32 species sequenced in (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) and incorporated new indexes for these samples.

We generated equimolar pools of 8 samples and hybridized probes with 2000ng of the pooled DNA for ~24 hours. We substituted the adapter blocking oligonucleotides provided by MYcroarray with custom xGen blocking oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA technologies). We performed 3 independent post-capture amplifications using 12 PCR cycles and pooled these
products. We sequenced all samples across 5 Illumina HiSeq 4000 lanes with 100bp paired-end reads. We multiplexed 80 samples per lane for the first 4 lanes and multiplexed the remaining 43 samples on the last lane. Sequencing was carried out at the Vincent J. Coates Genomics Sequencing Laboratory at UC Berkeley. We note that our third lane containing 80 samples was contaminated, with 65% of the reads belonging to corn DNA. We were able to resequence this entire lane, resulting in overall increased sequencing effort for samples belonging to our third lane (Table 1).

Data filtration and initial assembly

We filtered the raw read data as follows:

1. we trimmed reads using Trimmomatic v0.36 under the following conditions: (a) we used the ILLUMINACLIP option to trim adapters with a seed mismatch threshold of 2, a palindrome clip threshold of 40, and a simple clip threshold of 15, (b) we performed quality trimming used the SLIDINGWINDOW option with a window size of 4 and a quality threshold of 20, (c) we removed reads below 36bp by setting the MINLEN option to 36, and (d) we removed leading and trailing bases under a quality threshold of 15.

2. we merged reads using FLASH v1.2.11 (Magoč & Salzberg 2011) with a min overlap parameter of 5, a max overlap parameter of 100, and a mismatch ratio of 0.05.

3. we removed low complexity reads using prinseq v0.20.4 (Schmieder & Edwards 2011) using the entropy method with a conservative threshold of 60.

We assembled the filtered read data using SPAdes v3.8.1 using default parameters and reduced redundancy in the resultant assemblies with cap3 (Huang & Madan 1999) under default parameters and cd-hit v4.6 (Li & Godzik 2006, sequence identity threshold = 99%).


Phylogenetic data processing and filtering

To associate assembled contigs with the target sequences for phylogenetic inference, we used blastn v2.2.31 (word size = 11, evalue = 1e-10). For the set of target sequences that originated from the transcriptome dataset, we redefined exon/intron boundaries using EXONERATE v2.2.0 (Slater & Birney 2005) using the est2genome model because we found that several predicted exons actually consisted of several smaller exons. For each sample, we mapped reads using bowtie2 (very sensitive local and no discordant options enabled) to a reference that contained only sequences associated with the targeted phylogenetic markers. We marked duplicates using picard-tools v2.0.1 and masked all regions below 4X coverage and removed the entire sequence if more than 30% of the sequence was below 4X coverage. We called SNPs using samtools v1.3 and bcftools v1.3 and estimated average heterozygosity across all contigs within a sample. We removed sequences if a contig had a heterozygosity value greater than two standard deviations away from the mean.

Conotoxin assembly, processing, and filtering

Commonly used assembly programs are known to poorly reconstruct all copies of multilocus gene families (Lavergne et al. 2015; Phuong et al. 2016). To address this issue, we followed the conotoxin assembly workflow outlined in (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). Briefly, we first mapped reads back to our assembled contigs using the ‘very sensitive local’ and no discordant’ options. Then, we identified conotoxins within our dataset by using blastn v2.2.31 (word size = 11, evalue = 1e-10) to associate our assembled contigs (from SPAdes) with conotoxins we targeted in the bait design. We generated a set of unique conotoxin ‘seed
sequences’ (a short stretch [~100bp] of conotoxin-blasted sequence) using a combination of of
the pysam module (https://github.com/pysam-developers/pysam), cd-hit v4.6 (percent identity =
98%), cap3 (overlap percent identity cutoff = 99%), blastn v2.2.31 (word size = 11, evalue=1e-
10), and Tandem Repeats Finder v4.09 (Benson 1999, minscore = 12, maxperiod = 2). We
mapped reads to these seed sequences using bowtie2 v2.2.6 (very sensitive local and no
discardant options enabled) and built out the conotoxin sequences using the PRICE v1.2
algorithm, which uses an iterative mapping and extension strategy to build out contigs from
initial seed sequences (Ruby et al. 2013). We ran price on each seed sequence at 5 minimum
percentage identity (MPI) values (90%, 92%, 94%, 96%, 98%) with a minimum overlap length
value of 40 and a threshold value of 20 for scaling overlap for contig-edge assemblies. A
reassembled sequence was retained if it shared 90% identity with the original seed sequence and
we reduced redundancy by only retaining the longest sequence per seed sequence out of the 5
MPI assembly iterations. This approach is described in further detail in (Phuong & Mahardika
2017). We note that the final conotoxin sequences per sample consisted of exon fragments,
where each sequence represents a single conotoxin exon flanked by any adjacent noncoding
region.

We updated our conotoxin reference database because we targeted additional conotoxin
transcripts from (Phuong et al. 2016). We used blastn v2.2.31 (word size = 11, evalue =1e-10)
and EXONERATE v2.2.0 to define exon/intron boundaries for these additional conotoxin
transcripts and added them to our conotoxin reference database. The final conotoxin reference
database consisted of conotoxin sequences with the coding regions denoted and gene superfamily
annotated. We also annotated the conotoxin sequences for functional region (e.g., signal, pre,
mature, post) using blastn v2.2.31 (word size = 11, evalue = 1e-10) with a conotoxin reference
database that was previously categorized by functional region (Phuong & Mahardika 2017).

With the final conotoxin reference database, we performed blastn v2.2.31 (word size =
11, evalue = 1e-10) searches between the conotoxin reference and every sample’s re-assembled
conotoxin sequences. We retained sequences if they could align across the entire coding region
of the reference sequence. We guessed the coding region for each retained sequence by aligning
the query sequence with the reference conotoxin using mafft v7.222 and denoting the coding
region as the region of overlap with the exon in the reference conotoxin. We fixed misassemblies
by mapping reads with bowtie2 (very sensitive local and no discordant options enabled, score
min = L, 70, 1) back to each conotoxin assembly and marked duplicates using picard-tools
v2.0.1. We masked regions below 5X coverage and discarded sequences if coverage was below
5X across the entire predicted coding region. To generate the final set of conotoxin sequences
per sample, we merged sequences using cd-hit v4.6.4 (percent identity = 98%, use local sequence
identity, alignment coverage of longer sequence = 10%, alignment coverage of short sequence =
50%).

**Targeted sequencing experiment evaluation**

We generated the following statistics to evaluate the overall efficiency of the capture
experiment: (1) we calculated the % reads mapped to our targets by mapping reads to a reference
containing all targets (both phylogenetic markers and conotoxin sequences) using bowtie2 v2.2.7
(very sensitive local and no discordant options enabled, score min = L, 70, 1), (2) we calculated
the % duplicates that were identified through the picard-tools, and (3) we calculated average
coverage across the phylogenetic markers and conotoxin sequences. We also evaluated the effect
of tissue quality (measured by the maximum fragment length of the extracted DNA sample via gel electrophoresis) and genus (only on Conus, Profundiconus, and Conasprella, the three genera with more than 1 sample included in this study) on these capture efficiency metrics using an Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). To assess the effectiveness of conotoxin sequence recovery, we compared our capture results with conotoxin diversity estimates from (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) and calculated the average change in those estimates.

**Phylogenetic inference**

In addition to the 362 samples that we sequenced in this study, we obtained sequences for 10 other species (Table 1). For two of these species, we used data from another targeted sequencing study (Abdelkrim et al. unpublished). We used blastn (word size = 11, evalue = 1e-10) to identify loci that were present in our phylogenetic marker reference. These sequences were filtered under conditions similar to the filtering strategy applied to the phylogenetic markers in this study. For the other eight species, we used data from venom duct transcriptomes (Safavi-Hemami et al. unpublished). With these transcriptomes, we trimmed data using trimmomatic v0.36 and merged reads using flash using parameters previously described above. We assembled each transcriptome using Trinity v2.1.1 (Grabherr et al. 2011) reduced redundancy in these transcriptomes with cap3 and cd-hit (percent identity = 99%). We used blastn (word size = 11, evalue=1e-10) to associate contigs with the phylogenetic markers present in our dataset. We used bowtie2 v2.2.7 (very sensitive local and no discordant enabled), samtools v1.3, and bcftools 1.3 to map reads and call SNPs. We removed sequences if they were below 4X coverage for > 30% of the sequence and masked bases if they were below 4X coverage. We also removed sequences if they had a heterozygosity value two standard deviations away from
the mean heterozygosity within a sample. We used to mafft v7.222 to align loci across a total of 373 samples.

We inferred phylogenies under both maximum likelihood (Stamatakis 2006) and coalescent-based methods (Mirarab & Warnow 2015). We used RAxML v8.2.9 (Stamatakis 2006) to generate a maximum likelihood phylogeny using a concatenated alignment under a GTRGAMMA model of sequence evolution and estimated nodal support via bootstrapping. We generated the coalescent-based phylogeny using ASTRAL-II v5.5.9 (Mirarab & Warnow 2015) with individual locus trees generated under default parameters in RAxML v8.2.9. We estimated local posterior probabilities as a measure of branch support (Sayyari & Mirarab 2017). Due to the underperformance of the capture experiment, we ran both phylogenetic analyses with loci that had 80% of the taxa, 50% of the taxa, and 20% of the taxa. For each iteration, we removed taxa that had > 90% missing data.

**Time calibration**

We estimated divergence times using a Bayesian approach with MCMCTree implemented in PAML v4.9g (Yang 2007). Given the size of our alignments, we first estimated branch lengths using baseml and then estimated divergence times using Markov chain Monte Carlo (MCMC). We used a HKY85 + Γ substitution model and used an independent rates clock model. We left all other settings on default. We performed two independent runs of the analysis and checked for convergence among the runs. To account for uncertainty in branching order in our phylogeny, we executed dating analyses across all trees generated from RAxML.

For time calibration, we applied a maximum constraint of 55 million years at the root of Conidae, which corresponds with the first confident appearance of Conidae in the fossil record.
(Kohn 1990). We assigned 12 additional fossils (Table S2, Fig. S1 (Duda Jr. et al. 2001; Hendricks 2009, 2015, 2018)) to nodes throughout the phylogeny as minimum age constraints, which MCMCtree treats as soft bounds on the minimum age (Yang 2007). Further information on fossil placement on nodes can be found in the Supplementary. A recent paper showed that the number of species in *Lautoconus* may be overestimated (Abalde et al. 2017). To account for potential artificial inflation in the species richness of this clade, we artificially removed half the unique species in *Lautoconus* from our dataset and ran all dating analyses and downstream diversification analyses on this secondary dataset.

**Characterizing diversification patterns**

To visualize lineage accumulation patterns, we generated a log-lineage through time plot using the R package APE (Paradis et al. 2018). We estimated diversification rates and identified rate shifts using BAMM (Bayesian Analysis of Macroevolutionary Mixtures) (Rabosky 2014), which uses reversible jump Markov chain Mone Carlo to explore potential lineage diversification models. To account for non-randomness in species sampling across Conidae genera, we applied generic-specific sampling fractions. Using the number of valid Conidae names on WoRMS as estimates of total species diversity in each genus (Worms Editorial Board 2017), we applied a sampling fraction of 32.1% to *Profundiconus*, 50% to *Lilliconus*, 100% to *Californiconus*, 16.7% to *Pygmaeconus*, 28% to *Conasprella*, and 33.7% to *Conus*. We ran BAMM for 100 000 000 generations and assessed convergence by calculating ESS values. We analyzed and visualized results using the R package BAMMtools (Rabosky et al. 2014).

**Trait dependent diversification**
We tested for the impact of evolvability (measured as conotoxin gene diversity) on diversification patterns using two trait dependent diversification methods, focusing on the genus *Conus*. We focused our hypothesis testing on *Conus* because conotoxin diversity is well-characterized in this group (Phuong *et al.* 2016) and the sequence capture approach used in this study likely represents uniform sampling in conotoxin gene diversity across the genus. This is in contrast to other genera in Conidae, such as *Conasprella* or *Profundiconus*, where low conotoxin diversity values are likely the result of poor knowledge of the venom repertoire of these genera (Fig. S2).

First, we used BiSSE (binary state speciation and extinction, (Maddison *et al.* 2007)) implemented in the R package diversitree (FitzJohn 2012), which employs a maximum likelihood approach to estimate the impact of a binary trait on speciation, extinction, and transition rates between character states. We coded the conotoxin gene diversity data as ‘low’ or ‘high’ across several thresholds (i.e., 250, 300, 350, 400, 500, 550, or 600 estimated conotoxin genes per species) and compared BiSSE models where speciation rates were allowed to vary or remain equal between traits. We applied a sampling fraction of 33.7%, taking the maximum number of *Conus* species to be the number of valid names on WoRMS (World Register of Marine Species, (Worms Editorial Board 2017)). We determined the best-fitting model using Akaike Information Criterion (AIC). Second, we used FiSSE (Fast, intuitive State-dependent Speciation-Extinction analysis), a non-parametric statistical test that assesses the effects of a binary character on lineage diversification rates (Rabosky & Goldberg 2017). We followed the same coding strategy as in the BiSSE analyses to convert conotoxin gene diversity counts to binary character states. Finally, we used STRAPP (Structured Rate Permutations on Phylogenies, (Rabosky & Huang 2016) implemented in the R package BAMMtools (Rabosky *et al.* 2014).
STRAPP is a semi-parametric approach that tests for trait dependent diversification by comparing a test statistic with a null distribution generated by permutations of speciation rates across the tips of the phylogeny (Rabosky & Huang 2016). We generated the empirical correlation (method = Spearman’s rank correlation) between speciation rates and conotoxin gene diversity and compared this test statistic with the null distribution of correlations generated by permutations of evolutionary rates across the tree. We performed a two-tailed test with the alternative hypothesis that there is a correlation between speciation rates and total conotoxin gene diversity.

We also tested the impact of diet and larval dispersal strategy on diversification patterns. Both piscivory and molluscivory is known to have evolved from the ancestral vermicory condition in cone snails (Duda Jr. et al. 2001) and these diet transitions may be associated with increased diversification rates due to access to new dietary niches. In addition, differing larval dispersal strategies including long-lived larval stages (planktotrophy) and short-lived and/or direct developing larvae (lecithotrophy) are hypothesized to impact long term diversification patterns (Jablonski 1986). We coded diet as either vermicory, molluscivory, and piscivory using natural history information from (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013). We tested the impact of speciation and extinction using MuSSE (multistate speciation and extinction, (FitzJohn 2012)) where speciation rates were allowed to vary or remained equal among traits. We excluded species that were documented to feed on multiple diet types from this analysis. For larval type, we used protoconch morphology from (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013) to infer larval dispersal strategy, where multisprial protoconchs were indicative of planktotrophic larvae. We tested the impact of larval type on diversification patterns using BiSSE and FiSSE.
Results

Targeted sequencing data

We sequenced an average of 9,548,342 reads (range: 1,693,918 – 29,888,444) across the 363 samples (Table S1). After redefining exon/intron boundaries in the phylogenetic marker reference, we ultimately targeted 2210 loci. On average, we recovered 1388 of these loci per sample (range: 30 – 1849, Table S1) at an average coverage of 12.39X (range: 3.08X – 27.87X, Table S1). For the conotoxin dataset, each sequence we re-assembled contained a single conotoxin exon with any associated noncoding regions (referred to here as ‘conotoxin fragments’). We recovered on average 3416 conotoxin fragments per sample (range: 74 – 11535 fragments, Table S1) at an average coverage of 32.3X (range: 5.06X – 65.77X, Table S1). When mapped to a reference containing both the phylogenetic markers and conotoxin genes, the % reads mapped to our targets was on average 14.86% (range: 0.7% - 38.07%, Table S1) and the average level of duplication was 47.47% (range: 22.89% - 89.06%, Table S1).

We found that genus had an impact on % mapped and % duplication, where non-Conus genera had lower % mapping and lower % duplication (Fig. S2). These differences likely occurred because conotoxin fragments were not easily recovered in these genera (ANOVA, p < 0.0001, Fig. S2). Genus did not have an impact on coverage or the number of phylogenetic markers recovered (ANOVA, p > 0.05, Fig. S2). We found that tissue quality, measured by the maximum fragment length visualized via gel electrophoresis, had a significant impact on the capture efficiency metrics (ANOVA, p < 0.0001, Fig. S3). DNA samples with strong genomic bands at the top of the gel tended to have higher % mapping, less % duplication, higher coverage, and a greater number of targets recovered (Fig. S3).
Our final conotoxin sequence dataset consists of exon fragments and we do not have information on exon coherence (which exons pair together on the same gene). We were unable to assemble full conotoxin genes because conotoxin introns are long (>1 kilobases, (Wu et al. 2013)) and exceed the average insert size of our sequencing experiment (~350bp). We recovered fragments from all 58 gene superfamilies we targeted and obtained 159,670 sequences containing some or all of the mature toxin region (Table S3). Total conotoxin gene diversity per species (estimated by summing across all signal region exon fragments and sequences containing the entire coding region) ranged from 5 to 1280 copies in Conus, 31 to 88 copies in Profundiconus, and 7 to 164 in Conasprella (Table S1). Total conotoxin diversity was 311 copies for Californiconus californicus, 12 copies for Pygmaeconus tralli, and 30 copies for the outgroup taxon, Bathyma sp (Table S1). When compared to samples in (Phuong & Mahardika 2017), the average change (increase or decrease) in total conotoxin gene diversity was ~90 gene copies (Table S4). If samples performed poorly in the number of phylogenetic markers recovered, conotoxin gene diversity estimates tended to be lower in this study than in (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) and vice versa (Fig. S4). The average absolute change in the number of fragments recovered per gene superfamily by region was 3.7 for sequences containing the signal region, 12.2 for the prepro region, 9.6 for the mature region, 48.9 for the post region, and 3.4 for sequences containing the entire coding region (Table S5, Fig. S5). We note several key outliers: the average absolute change in the number of fragments was 104.3 for the T gene superfamily containing the prepro region, 210.4 for the O1 gene superfamily prepro region, 57.4 for the O1 gene superfamily mature region, 219.9 for the O2 gene superfamily mature region, and 1417 for the T gene superfamily post region (Table S5, Fig. S5).
Phylogeny

The amount of missing data from the alignments was 15.4% when a minimum of 80% of the taxa were present in each locus, 26.8% when 50% of the taxa were present, and 38.6% when 20% of the taxa were present. The number of loci retained in the alignment was 387 (107,011 bp) when a minimum of 80% of the taxa were present in each locus, 976 (237,027 bp) when 50% of the taxa were present, and 1476 loci (336,557 bp) when 20% of the loci were present. Across all methods and datasets, we recovered phylogenies with a moderate level of resolution (average number of nodes resolved = 71.1%, range = 61.4 - 79.2%, Table S6). In general, as increased amounts of sequence data was given to the phylogenetic programs, more nodes became resolved (Table S6). While we recovered all 6 genera within Conidae with high confidence, relationships among subgenera were less supported (bootstrap and PP = 100%, Fig. 1, Fig. S6, S7, S8).

Divergence time estimation

We found evidence for three major branching events during the Eocene: (1) a branching event leading to Profundiconus (56.5 mya, CI = 46.3 – 65.3 mya, Fig. 1, S9), (2) a branching event leading to Conus (54.7 mya, CI = 42.5 – 63.6 mya, Fig. 1, S9), and (3) a branching event separating Conasprella and Californiconus, Lilliconus, and Pygmaeconus (46.0 mya, CI = 36.5 – 53.2 mya, Fig. 1, S9). The branching event leading to Californiconus occurred during the Oligocene (26.1 mya, CI = 13.8 – 36.5 mya, Fig. 1, S9) and the split between Lilliconus and Pygmaeconus occurred during the Miocene (17.8 mya, CI = 9.25 – 25.1 mya, Fig. 1, S9).

Diversification patterns
We found that most branching events within each genus began to occur in the Miocene and continued until the present (Fig. 1). When analyzing the entire dataset, we found support for diversification rate heterogeneity, where BAMM identified at least one rate shift across Conidae (Fig. 1, S10). Across the 95% credible set of distinct shift configurations, BAMM detected an increase in diversification rates on the branch leading to *Lautoconus*, a clade consisting mainly of species endemic to the Cape Verde islands (Fig. 1, S10). However, when examining an artificially reduced dataset consisting of half the species within *Lautoconus*, we detect no rate shift or a decrease in diversification rates leading to the *Conus* clade (Fig. S11).

**Trait dependent diversification**

Across all thresholds for the BiSSE analysis, we found that diversification rates were not influenced by conotoxin gene diversity. In all cases, the null model was either preferred (delta AIC > 2, Table S7) or was indistinguishable from a model where speciation and extinction were allowed to vary (delta AIC < 2, Table S7). Both the FiSSE and STRAPP analyses revealed that speciation rates were not correlated with conotoxin gene diversity (p > 0.05). These results were consistent across both the full dataset and the reduced dataset.

We found that diversification rates were not dependent on diet when analyzing the full dataset (Table S8). However, in the reduced dataset, we found a signal for diet-dependent speciation rates (delta AIC > 2, Table S8). We found that species with mollusk-feeding diets had the fastest speciation rates (0.33), followed by piscivory (0.24), and vermivory (0.16). For the larval dispersal trait, we found support for trait-dependent speciation rates in the full dataset (delta AIC > 2, Table S9), where species with short-lived larvae had higher speciation rates (0.27
However, this result was not significant when examining the reduced dataset (Table S9).

**Discussion**

**Capture results**

Our targeted sequencing experiment underperformed initial testing of this sequencing method on cone snails (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). Although tissue quality impacted capture metrics (Fig. S3), the % of reads mapping to our targets for even our best samples was ~30% lower than expected (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). While it is difficult to determine the exact cause of this depression in our capture statistics, we hypothesized that changes made in the bait design between this study and (Phuong & Mahardika 2017) may have led to poorer capture results. For example, we recovered an overabundance of conotoxin sequences containing the post region from the T gene superfamily that has no clear co-variation pattern with phylogenetic relatedness (Fig. S12), which likely indicates a large amount of non-specific binding due to conotoxin misclassification. In the future, we suggest re-designing the baits to only include sequences from only the most critical regions (signal region and mature region) to avoid non-specific binding. Although overall capture efficiency statistics were low, the absolute change in conotoxin diversity estimates per gene superfamily was generally minor (Table S5). Therefore, we do not believe that total conotoxin diversity metrics were severely biased by the sequencing method.
Phylogenetic relationships

Below, we discuss the results of our phylogenetic analyses, how the phylogenetic relationships compare to past work, and their implications for Conidae taxonomy. Unless otherwise noted, the results we highlight below have at least 90% bootstrap support in the RAxML analyses and 90% posterior probabilities from the ASTRAL-II analyses (Figure S7, S8). When present results on subgeneric relationships starting from the top of the tree shown in Figure S6.

We recovered all six major deep lineages representing genera in Conidae that were previously described in recent molecular phylogenetic studies using mtDNA (Puillandre et al. 2014a; Uribe et al. 2017), Fig. 1, S6, S7, S8). Specifically, we find strong support for Profundiconus, Californiconus, Lilliconus, Pygmaeconus, Conasprella, and Conus, as separate and distinct lineages. We also confirm the branching order of these six genera that were recently described using mtDNA genomes (Uribe et al. 2017), with Profundiconus being sister to all other genera, Pygmaeconus + Lilliconus sister to Californiconus, Californiconus + Lilliconus + Pygmaeconus sister to Conasprella, and these four genera sister to Conus.

Based on the molecular phylogeny from three mtDNA genes, monophyletic groupings of species from Conasprella were classified into several subgenera (Puillandre et al. 2014a; b). We note several differences between past results and our study in the relationships among these genera and their monophyly:

(1) Ximeniconus is sister to all other Conasprella in some trees, or we reconstructed a polytomy at the base of Conasprella, which contrasts with Conasprella (Kohniconus arcuata) recovered at the base of Conasprella in previous work (Puillandre et al. 2014a).
(2) *Kohniconus* is polyphyletic. In (Puillandre et al. 2014a), only a single species from *Kohniconus* was included and we find evidence for the non-monophyly of *Kohniconus* when we included the additional species, *C. centurio*. Given these results, we propose that *C. emarginatus*, *C. delssertii*, and *C. centurio* be placed in the subgenus *Kohniconus* and *C. arcuata* placed in a new subgenus.

(3) *Endemoconus* is paraphyletic. When including an additional species (*C. somalica*) not sequenced in (Puillandre et al. 2014a), we find that *Endemoconus* is not monophyletic. Based on these results, *C. somalica* should be transferred to *Conasprella*.

Within *Conus*, our results largely confirm previous findings that *C. distans* is sister to all other *Conus* species and the relationships among subgenera remain tenuous and difficult to resolve (Puillandre et al. 2014a). We note the following differences in subgenera relationships and classification between our results and past work:

(1) We found support the sister relationship between *Turriconus* and *Stephanoconus*, which has not been recovered in a previous study (Puillandre et al. 2014a).

(2) We found support for the monophyly of *Pyruconus* across our RAxML analyses, but not our ASTRAL-II analyses. The monophyly of *Pyruconus* was not supported in (Puillandre et al. 2014a).

(3) *C. trigonus* and *C. lozeti* were classified into the subgenus (*Plicaustraconus*) based on morphological characters (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013; Puillandre et al. 2014b). We found this subgenus to be polyphyletic when sequence data was obtained.

(4) Similar to (Puillandre et al. 2014a), we found that *Textila + Afonsoconus* is sister to *Pionoconus*. However, instead of the unsupported relationship of *Asprella* as sister to these three subgenera, we found support for *Gastridium* as the sister group.
We found support for the sister relationship between *Asprella* and *Phasmoconus*, which conflicts with the unsupported relationship shown (Puillandre *et al.* 2014a), where these subgenera branch in different parts of the phylogeny.

We find support for the following successional branch order: *Tesselliconus*, *Plicaustraconus*, *Eugeniconus*, and *Conus*. We found that *Conus* is sister to *Leptoconus*, *Darioconus*, and *Cylinder*, but the relationships among these three subgenera remained unresolved. This conflicts with (Puillandre *et al.* 2014a) as *Cylinder* was paraphyletic, whereas in our results with increased sampling of *Eugeniconus*, *Cylinder* became monophyletic.

We did not find strong support for the subgenus *Calibanus*, contrasting with previous work (Puillandre *et al.* 2014a). In our results, we found that *C. thalassiarchus* and *C. furvus* were not sister to each other, or their relationship resulted in an unresolved polytomy. Additional investigation into the subgeneric status of these two species.

*C. sanderi* was classified into its own subgenus (*Sandericonus*) based on morphological characters (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013; Puillandre *et al.* 2014b). Here, when sequence data were obtained, we found it nested within *Dauciconus*. Therefore, we synonymize *Sandericonus* with *Dauciconus* because *C. sanderi* is the type species for *Sandericonus*.

*C. granulatus* was classified into its own subgenus (*Atlanticonus*) based on morphological characters (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013; Puillandre *et al.* 2014b). Here, we found that it was nested within *Dauciconus*. No other species within this subgenus have been sequenced up until this point. Therefore, we synonymize *Atlanticonus* with *Dauciconus* because *C. granulatus* is the type species for *Atlanticonus*. 
Two species (C. pergrandis and C. moncuri) sequenced in this study were placed into the subgenus Elisaconus (Puillandre et al. 2014b). Our results do not support the monophyly of Elisaconus, as the sister relationship between C. moncuri and C. pergrandis was not supported in 5/6 trees. Additional data is required to classify C. moncuri and C. pergrandis into the appropriate subgenus.

C. cocceus was placed into Floraconus based on morphological characters in (Puillandre et al. 2014b). With sequence data, we found that it was actually nested within Phasmoconus. Therefore, we transfer C. cocceus to the subgenus, Phasmoconus.

Classification within Conidae is known to be highly unstable (Jiménez-Tenorio & Tucker 2013; Puillandre et al. 2014a; b; Puillandre & Tenorio 2018). Although the phylogeny presented here improved understanding of subgeneric relationships and monophyly of subgenera, resolving relationships within Conidae still remains a significant challenge. Given the underperformance of our capture experiment (Table S1), it is unclear if the reason for the moderate power in resolving relationships is due to insufficient data/incomplete data or due to short internal branches during the origination of Conidae subgenera that are extremely difficult to resolve. Overall, our results suggest that both additional data and increased sampling of Conidae species are reasonable pursuits to continue attempting to resolve the phylogeny and classification of this family of marine snails.

**Timing of diversification**

The timing of splits between major are largely congruent with past estimates from a study using mtDNA genomes (Uribe et al. 2017), Fig. 1, S9). However, our age estimates for the
branching events between *Californiconus, Lilliconus*, and *Pygmaeconus* are much younger (occurring across the Oligocene into the Miocene) than previous estimates (occurring across the Eocene into the Oligocene, (Uribe *et al.* 2017), Fig. 1, S9). This discrepancy may have been caused by differences in fossil calibration, as we included many more fossils in this study compared to previous studies. The Conidae fossil record and analyses of several molecular phylogenetic studies suggest a major radiation of *Conus* during the Miocene (Kohn 1990; Duda Jr. *et al.* 2001; Uribe *et al.* 2017). While we noted that many branching events within *Conus* occurred during the Miocene into the present, we did not detect an increase in diversification on the branch leading to the origin of *Conus* (Fig. 1, S10, S11). This is congruent with diversification rates estimated from the fossil record (Kohn 1990), suggesting that the accumulation of species during the Miocene may have been a function of an increased number of lineages present rather than an increase in diversification rates. The number of species we included in the subgenus *Lautoconus* had an impact on the BAMM diversification analyses. On the full dataset, BAMM detected an increase in diversification rates leading to *Lautoconus* (Fig. 1, S10), a known and documented radiation of cone snails (Duda & Rolán 2005; Cunha *et al.* 2005). However, when we remove half the species in response to recent work suggesting taxonomic inflation in this subgenus (Abalde *et al.* 2017), we do not detect the same shift. Rather, there is partial support for no shift across Conidae, or a slight decrease in diversification rates leading to *Conus* (Fig. S11). These results suggest that the original diversification analyses and identified radiation of *Lauotoconus* may have been due to taxonomic inaccuracies biasing the diversification analyses results, rather than a true radiation. What is even more striking about these results is that we found minimal diversification rate heterogeneity across Conidae, despite the expansive species richness across this group. It is unclear whether this signal is real, or due to
other technical artifacts. For example, although we included over 300 species in this study, this only represents ~30% of the total diversity in this group and may have hindered our ability to effectively estimate diversification rates. Similarly, new Conidae species are continually described, with over 100 species described over the last few years (Worms Editorial Board 2017). Therefore, our inability to estimate the number of living taxa may have weakened our ability to test the impact of diversification on this group.

Speciation rates and conotoxin gene diversity

Contrary to macroevolutionary expectations, we were unable to detect any relationship between speciation rates and conotoxin gene diversity across all trait dependent diversification analyses (Fig. 1, S11, Table S7). Even when performing the analyses with BiSSE, a method in recent years that has become the subject of criticism due to high false positive rates (Abosky 2017; Rabosky & Goldberg 2017), our analyses did not detect an impact of conotoxin gene diversity on diversification rates (Table S7). These results may have been expected, given that we found minimal levels of diversification rate heterogeneity in Conidae (at minimum, one shift, Fig. 1, S10, S11). As discussed previously, taxonomic instability in this group may have hindered our efforts to estimate past historical diversification patterns. However, we did find some signal for the impact of diet and larval dispersal strategy on diversification rates when using the BiSSe and MuSSE methods (Table S8, S9). Further work is needed to be fully confident in this signal given high false positive rates in these methods (Abosky 2017; Rabosky & Goldberg 2017) and given that our results depended on which dataset was used.

What is remarkable about these results is the lack of any signal on the impact of venom gene diversity on diversification rates in cone snails, even as we found some signal for trait-
dependent diversification in other Conidae characters. If this lack of signal is real, several biological factors may explain this decoupling between conotoxin gene diversity and speciation rates. A critical assumption in *Conus* biology is that ecological diversification driven by diet specialization is a major factor governing diversification dynamics in cone snails (Duda & Palumbi 1999; Duda Jr. *et al.* 2001). Past studies have shown that cone snail venom repertoires track their dietary breadth, providing a link between diet and venom evolution (Phuong *et al.* 2016; Phuong & Mahardika 2017). However, it is unclear whether or not the relationship between diet and venom evolution leads to ecological speciation due to divergence in prey preference. Ecological speciation is often difficult to detect in marine ecosystems and long-term diversification patterns may be better explained by traits that limit dispersal and promote isolation (Bowen *et al.*). Another possibility is that conotoxin phenotypic divergence may not be the rate-limiting factor in prey specialization and divergence (Duda Jr. *et al.* 2001). Conotoxin genes are under continuous positive selection and gene duplication that allow venom components to change rapidly in response to the environment (Duda & Palumbi 1999; Duda Jr. *et al.* 2001; Chang & Duda 2012; Phuong & Mahardika 2017). This persistent evolutionary change in the venom cocktail suggests that perhaps venom evolution is not necessarily the factor limiting dietary shifts among species and ultimately, speciation among taxa. Ecological opportunity is hypothesized as a necessary component for diversification (Losos 2010) and may be a more critical factor limiting Conidae diversification. Indeed, evidence from the fossil record and past Conidae molecular phylogenetic studies indicate a concentration of lineage formation during the Miocene (Kohn 1990; Duda Jr. *et al.* 2001; Uribe *et al.* 2017), a period that is coincident with the formation of coral reefs in the Indo-Australian Archipelago (Cowman & Bellwood 2011). Our results also show a concentration of branching events during this period as well, though we do
not detect a shift in diversification rates (Fig. 1, S10, S11). Overall, our results point to increased
taxonomic sampling and a holistic approach to investigating factors shaping diversification in
Conidae for future work.

Venom evolution is assumed to be a key innovation that led to the evolutionary success
of venomous animal lineages (Pyron & Burbrink 2011; Sunagar et al. 2016) and a large body of
work is devoted towards understanding how venom evolves and responds to the environment
over time (Kordis & Gubensek 2000; Wong & Belov 2012; Casewell et al. 2013). However, the
impact of venom evolution on higher-level diversification patterns is rarely tested. Here, we
examined the effect of variation in the adaptive capacity of venom across Conidae species and
found it had no influence on macroevolutionary diversification patterns. Although we do not
detect a strong signal of conotoxin gene diversity shaping speciation rates in Conidae, it does not
refute the importance of venom evolution in adaptation and prey specialization as venom may be
necessary, but not sufficient, to promote speciation (Duda et al. 2009; Safavi-Hemami et al.
2015; Chang & Duda 2016; Phuong et al. 2016; Phuong & Mahardika 2018). Future work in
other venomous animal systems may shed light on whether or not the ability to adapt to different
prey through venom evolution translates to the long-term evolutionary success of taxa.

Data availability

Raw read data will be made available at the National Center for Biotechnology Information
Sequence Read Archive. Bait sequences, conotoxin sequences, scripts, and final datasets used for
analyses will be uploaded onto Dryad following publication.
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Figure 1. Time calibrated maximum likelihood phylogeny of the cone snails. Phylogeny was estimated in RAxML using a concatenated alignment of loci and was calibrated using 13 fossils placed at nodes throughout the tree. Only loci with at least 20% of the taxa present were included in the alignment. Colors across the phylogeny show instantaneous diversification rates and are averaged across all rate models sampled from a BAMM analysis. Warmer colors indicate higher speciation rates. Log-lineage through time plot is shown below the phylogeny. First four columns shown next to tip represent the following from left to right: presence of vermivory (blue), presence of molluscivory (blue), presence of piscivory (blue), larval type (planktotrophy: light gray, lecithotrophy, blue), and missing data is represented as dark gray. Bars are shown at tips depicting variation conotoxin gene diversity across the phylogeny. If bar is not shown, data is not available or were excluded from downstream diversification analyses. Histogram on the bottom right shows variation in conotoxin gene diversity. Abbreviations: Plio. = Pliocene; Pleis. = Pleistocene.

Table S1. Sample information and capture efficiency metrics. We first list the species name listed in all phylogenetic analyses (“species”) and the accepted taxonomic classification in the WoRMS database at the genus, subgenus, and species level (“WoRMS genus”, “WoRMS subgenus”, “WoRMS species”). We then list the specimen ID (“ID”), the collection source (“Collection”), the year the sample was collected (“Year Collected”), how the sample was preserved (“Preservation type”), and the country the sample originated from (“Country”). We then list “Gelsize”, or the largest fragment size visualized via gel electrophoresis as a way to measure tissue quality. Values can be either “g” for genomic band, or 1500, 1000, or 500 for bands beginning at 1500bp, 1000bp, or 500bp. We list the data collection method (“Data
collection method”), the number of reads sequenced (“# of reads sequenced”) and several capture
efficiency metrics. Finally, we list the total estimated number of conotoxin genes per species
(“Conotoxin gene diversity”).

Table S2. Information on fossils used for calibration. We list the fossil taxon (“Fossil Species”),
the genus (“Clade assignment”), extant species related to the fossil (“Compared with”), the
formation (“Formation”), the age of the fossil (“Age”), and its citation (“Reference”).

Table S3. Table showing the number of conotoxin sequences recovered per species for each
gene superfamily. Within each gene superfamily, conotoxin sequences were categorized based
on whether they contained the entire coding region or mostly the signal, prepro, mature, or post
regions.

Table S4. Comparison of conotoxin gene diversity estimates between this study and (Phuong &
Mahardika 2017). These represent comparisons between technical replicates (capture experiment
was performed on the same libraries in both studies).

Table S5. Comparison of conotoxin gene diversity estimates between this and (Phuong &
Mahardika 2017), broken down by gene superfamily. Within each gene superfamily, conotoxin
sequences were categorized based on whether they contained the entire coding region or mostly
the signal, prepro, mature, or post regions. These represent comparisons between technical
replicates (capture experiment was performed on the same libraries in both studies).
Table S6. Number of nodes resolved depending on the amount of missing data and the tree inference method. Phylogenetic trees were inferred using either RAxML or ASTRAL-II. The “% taxa per locus was” the percent of samples needed per locus in order to retain the locus for phylogenetic inference.

Table S7. Venom gene diversity BiSSE AIC results. “Dataset” represents whether the full dataset was used or the reduced dataset. “Threshold” represents the conotoxin gene diversity value used to decide between “high” and “low” conotoxin diversity. Values above the threshold value were categorized as “high” and values below were categorized as “low”. “AIC – variable rates” shows AIC values for a model where speciation and extinction rates were allowed to vary depending on a trait. “AIC – equal rates” represents AIC values for the null model, where rates were not allowed to vary by trait.

Table S8. Diet BiSSE AIC results. Model values were generated under a variable rates model (where speciation was allowed to vary) or under an equal rates model (speciation rates across trait states were equal).

Table S9. Larval dispersal type BiSSE AIC results. Model values were generated under a variable rates model (where speciation was allowed to vary) or under an equal rates model (speciation rates across trait states were equal).

Figure S1. Node placement of fossils. Numbers correspond to node placement justification in the supplementary information on node assignment. Tree was generated from a RAxML analysis.
of a concatenated alignment where loci were kept if at least 20% of species was present in the locus. Best tree is shown and erroneous and intraspecific tips were pruned.

Figure S2. Boxplots showing impact of phylogeny (categorized by Conidae genus) on capture efficiency metrics. Graph title shows resultant P value from ANOVA analyses.

Figure S3. Boxplots showing impact of tissue quality (estimated by maximum DNA fragment lengths assessed via gel electrophoresis) on capture efficiency metrics. Categories are either “g” for genomic band, or 1500, 1000, or 500 for bands beginning at 1500bp, 1000bp, or 500bp. Graph title shows resultant P value from ANOVA analyses.

Figure S4. Scatterplot showing relationship between the number of phylogenetic markers recovered and the change in total conotoxin gene diversity between this study and (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). Results showed a positive relationship between the two parameters, suggesting that if a sample performed poorly in the capture experiment, it performed poorly in recovering data across all loci (phylogenetic loci or conotoxin loci).

Figure S5. Histograms showing absolute change in conotoxin sequence diversity per gene superfamily between this study and (Phuong & Mahardika 2017). Graphs are partitioned by conotoxin functional region, where sequences were categorized based on whether they contained the entire coding region or mostly the signal, prepro, mature, or post regions. On average, estimates of conotoxin diversity per gene superfamily varied slightly.
Figure S6. Maximum likelihood phylogeny inferred using RAxML, where 20% of the taxa needed to be present within a locus to be included in the final concatenated alignment. The six major genera are colored and subgenera noted for *Conasprella* and *Conus*.

Figure S7. Phylogenies inferred through the coalescent-based method, ASTRAL-II. Individual loci were inferred under default parameters in RAxML. Nodes are collapsed when posterior probabilities are <90%. Trees are colored and labeled by genus. We varied the level of missing data for each ASTRAL run, where we only retained loci if (a) 80% of taxa had sequences, (b) 50% had sequences, and (c) 20% of taxa had sequences.

Figure S8. Maximum likelihood phylogenies generated using a concatenated alignment. Nodes are collapsed when bootstrap support values are <90%. Trees are colored and labeled by genus. We varied the level of missing data for each RAxML run, where we only retained loci for the final concatenated alignment if (a) 80% of taxa had sequences, (b) 50% had sequences, and (c) 20% of taxa had sequences.

Figure S9. Maximum likelihood phylogeny dated with 13 fossil node calibrations in MCMCtree. 95% confidence intervals shown at nodes. The final concatenated alignment consisted of loci where 20% of the taxa needed to be present within the locus to be included.

Figure S10. 95% credible set of distinct shift configurations from BAMM for the full dataset. Each graph is labeled by the posterior probability of each shift configuration. Warmer, red colors
represent faster speciation rates than cooler, blue colors. We note that in all shift configurations, there is a shift in diversification rates in the clade leading to *Lautoconus*.

**Figure S11.** 95% credible set of distinct shift configurations from BAMM for the reduced dataset. Each graph is labeled by the posterior probability of each shift configuration. Warmer, red colors represent faster speciation rates than cooler, blue colors. We note that in all shift configurations, there is a shift in diversification rates in the clade leading to *Lautoconus*.

**Figure S12.** Diversity estimates for the A gene superfamily signal region and the T gene superfamily post region. Estimates are plotted next to the RAxML phylogeny where 20% of taxa had sequences in each locus.
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