Compensatory mechanisms render Tcf7l1a dispensable for eye formation despite its cell-autonomous requirement in eye field specification

Rodrigo M. Young^{1*}, Florencia Cavodeassi^{1,2#}, Thomas A. Hawkins^{1#}, Heather L. Stickney^{1,3}, Quenten Schwarz⁴, Lisa M. Lawrence^{1,5}, Claudia Wierzbicki^{1,6}, Gaia Gestri¹, Elizabeth Ambrosio¹, Allison Klosner¹, Jasmine Rowell¹, Isaac H. Bianco¹, Miguel L. Allende⁷ and Stephen W. Wilson^{1*}

Co-second authors

- 1 Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, UCL, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.
- 2 Present address: Institute of Medical and Biomedical Education, St George's, University of London, London SW17 0RE, UK.
- 3 Present address: Ambry Genetics, 15 Argonaut, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656
- 4 Present address: Centre for Cancer Biology, University of South Australia and SA Pathology, Frome Road, Adelaide, SA, 5000, Australia.
- 5 Present address: Department of Molecular Medicine University of Auckland School of Medicine Private Bag Auckland, 92019, New Zealand
- 6 Present address: Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology and MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London W2 1PG, UK
- 7 Center for Genome Regulation, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Santiago 8370415, Chile.

^{*} Correspondence: rodrigo.young@ucl.ac.uk or s.wilson@ucl.ac.uk

Abstract

The vertebrate eye originates from the eyefield, a domain of cells specified by a small number of transcription factors. In this study, we show that Tcf7la is one such transcription factor that acts cell-autonomously to specify the eye field in zebrafish. Despite the much-reduced eyefield in *tcf7l1a* mutants, these fish develop normal eyes revealing a striking ability of the eye to recover from a severe early phenotype. This robustness is not mediated through compensation by paralogous genes; instead, the smaller optic vesicle of *tcf7l1a* mutants shows delayed neurogenesis and continues to grow until it achieves approximately normal size. Although the developing eye is robust to the lack of Tcf7l1a function, it is sensitised to the effects of additional mutations. In support of this, a forward genetic screen identified mutations in *hesx1*, *cct5* and *gdf6a*, which give synthetically enhanced eye specification or growth phenotypes when in combination with the *tcf7l1a* mutation.

Introduction

The paired optic vesicles originate from the eye field, a single, coherent group of cells located in the anterior neural plate (Cavodeassi 2018). During early neural development, the specification and relative sizes of prospective forebrain territories, including the eye field, depend on the activity of the Wnt/β-Catenin and other signalling pathways (Beccari et al., 2013; Cavodeassi 2014; Wilson and Houart, 2004). Enhanced Wnt/β-Catenin activity leads to embryos with small or no eyes (Cavodeassi et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2000; Heisenberg at al., 2001; Houart et al., 2002). In contrast, decreasing activity of Wnt/β-Catenin signalling generates embryos with bigger forebrain and eyes (Cavodeassi et al., 2005; Glinka et al., 1998; Lekven et al., 2001; Houart et al., 2002). Although much research has focused on the molecular mechanisms involved in the specification of the eye field, little is known about what happens to the eyes if eye field size is disrupted.

A number of genes have been identified as encoding a transcription factor network that specifies the eye field (Beccari et al 2013; Zuber et al. 2003). These genes have been defined based on conserved cross species expression patterns in the anterior neuroectoderm and on phenotypes observed when overexpressed or when function is compromised (Beccari et al., 2013). Perhaps surprisingly, to date there are relatively few mutations that lead to complete loss of eyes suggesting that early stages of eye development are robust to compromised function of genes involved in eye development. Indeed, in humans, eye phenotypes are often highly variable in terms of penetrance and expressivity even between left and right eyes (Reis and Semina, 2015; Williamson and FitzPatrick, 2014). This again raises the possibility that the developing eye is robust and can sometimes cope with mutations in genes involved in eye formation.

Genetic robustness is the capacity of organisms to withstand mutations, such that they show little or no phenotype, or compromised viability (Felix and Barkoulas, 2015; Wagner, 2005). This inherent property of biological systems is wired in the genetic and proteomic interactomes and enhances the chance of viability of individuals in the face of mutations. High throughput reverse mutagenesis projects and the emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing techniques have highlighted the fact that homozygous loss of function mutations in many genes generate viable mutants with no overt phenotype (Varshney et al., 2015; Dickinson et al., 2016; Meehan et al., 2017). Across phyla, mutations in single genes are more likely to give rise to viable organisms than to show overt or lethal phenotypes. For instance, it is estimated that zygotic homozygous null mutations in just ~7% of zebrafish genes compromise viability before 5 days post fertilisation (Kettleborough et al., 2013) and 8-10% between day 5 and 3 months (Shawn Burgess, personal communication); and compromised viability is predicted following loss of function for about 35% of mice genes (Dickinson et al., 2016; Meehan et al., 2017). Furthermore, apparently healthy viable

homozygous or compound heterozygous 'gene knockouts' have been found for 1171

genes in the Icelandic human population (Sulem et al., 2015) and for 1317 genes in the

Pakistani population (Saleheen et al., 2017).

In some cases, the lack of overt phenotype may be due to redundancy in gene function

based on functional compensation by paralogous or related genes (Barshir et al., 2018,

Hurles, 2004, Wagner, 1996). We can assume that genes that do not express a

phenotype when mutated are not lost to genetic drift because in some way they enhance

the fitness of the species. For instance, even though two paralogous Lefty genes

encoding Nodal signalling feedback effectors have been shown to be dispensable for

survival, they do make embryonic development robust to signalling noise and

perturbation (Rogers et al., 2017).

Genetic compensation for deleterious mutations is a cross-species feature (El-Brolosy

and Stanier, 2017), and mRNAs that undergo nonsense-mediated decay due to mutations

that lead to premature termination codons can upregulate the expression of paralogous

and other related genes (El-Brolosy et al., 2018). However, only a fraction of genes have

paralogues and other compensatory mechanisms must contribute to the ability of the

embryo to cope with potentially deleterious mutations. One such mechanism is

distributed robustness, which can emerge in gene regulatory networks (Wagner, 2005).

This kind of robustness relies on the ability of the network to regulate the expression of

genes and/or the activity of proteins within the network, such that homeostasis is

preserved when one of its components is compromised (Davidson, 2010; Peter and

Davidson, 2016).

Maternal-zygotic *tcf7l1a* mutant zebrafish have been previously described as lacking eyes

(Kim et al., 2000). In this study, we show that expression of this phenotype is dependent

on the genetic background. We find that tcf7/1a mutants can develop functional eyes and

are viable, and that this is not due to compensatory upregulation of other *lef/tcf* genes. Despite the presence of functional eyes, the eye field in *tcf7l1a* mutants is only half the size of the eye field of wildtype embryos, indicating an early requirement for *tcf7l1a* during eye field specification. We further show that this requirement is cell autonomous, revealing a striking dissociation between the early role and requirement for Tcf71a in eye field specification and the later absence of an overt eye phenotype. Subsequent to compromised eye field specification, *tcf7l1a* mutant eyes recover their size by delaying neurogenesis and prolonging growth in comparison to wildtype eyes. This compensatory ability of the developing eye was also observed when cells are removed from the wild type optic vesicles. All together, our study suggests that the loss of Tcf7l1a does not trigger any genetic compensation or signalling pathway changes that restore eye field specification; instead, the developing optic vesicle shows a remarkable ability to subsequently modulate its development to compensate for the early, severe loss of eye

The penetrance and expressivity of eye phenotypes appears to be dependent on complex genetic and environmental interactions (Gestri et al. 2009; Kaukonen et al., 2018; Prokudin et al., 2014). Thus, we speculated that *Tcf7l1a* mutant eyes may be sensitised to the effects of additional mutations. Here we show this is indeed the case and describe the isolation of three mutations from a recessive synthetic modifier screen in *tcf7l1a* homozygous mutant zebrafish that lead to enhanced/novel eye phenotypes when in combination with loss of *tcf7la* function.

field progenitors.

In summary, our work shows that zebrafish eye development is robust to the effects of a mutation in *tcf7l1a* due to growth compensatory mechanisms that may link eye size and neurogenesis. Our study adds to a growing body of research revealing a variety of

mechanisms by which the developing embryo copes with the effects of deleterious genetic mutations.

Results

The tcf7l1a^{m881/m881} mutation is fully penetrant but maternal-zygotic mutants show no

overt eye phenotype and are viable

The *headless* (*hdl*)^{m88} mutation in *tcf7l1a* (*tcf7l1a*^{-/-} from here onwards) was identified because embryos lacking maternal and zygotic (MZ) gene function lacked eyes (Kim et al., 2000). However, no overt defects were observed in zygotic (Z) *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutants, due to functional redundancy with the paralogous *tcf7l1b* gene (Dorsky et al., 2003). In our facility, *MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} embryos showed a variable eye phenotype, ranging from eyeless, to small and overtly normal eyes, with proportions that varied in clutches from different pairs of fish (not shown). We hypothesised that genetic background effects could be responsible for either enhancing or suppressing the eyeless phenotype. To test this idea, we outcrossed *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} fish to *ekkwill* (*EKW*) wildtype fish and identified *tcf7l1*^{+/-} carriers by PCR genotyping. After three generations of outcrossing to *EKW* fish, we incrossed *tcf7l1*^{+/-} carriers to grow *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} adults. All *MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} embryos coming from six pairings of *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutant fish developed eyes only slightly reduced in size compared to

The *tcf7l1a*^{m881} mutation creates a splice acceptor site in intron 7, which leads to a 7 nucleotide insertion in *tcf7l1a* mRNA that gives rise to a truncated protein due to a premature termination codon (Kim et al., 2000). Given that the wildtype splice site in intron 7 is still present in *tcf7l1a* mutants, we assessed whether the lack of phenotype in *MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutants could be due to incomplete molecular penetrance as a result of expression of mRNA from both wildtype and mutant splice sites. The chromatogram

6

eyes of wildtype embryos of the same EKW strain (100%, n>100; Fig.1A,B).

sequence of the RT-PCR product amplifying exons 7 and 8 in wildtype, mutant and heterozygous embryos shows that only wildtype *tcf7l1a* mRNA is detected in wildtype embryos and only mutant mRNA containing the 7 nucleotide insertion is observed in mutants, while heterozygous embryos produce both wildtype and mutant mRNAs (Fig.S1; Kim et al., 2000). This suggests that the mutant splice site is the only one used in *tcf7l1a*-/-embryos. In addition, while overexpression of wildtype *tcf7l1a* mRNA rescues eye formation in embryos in which both *tcf7l1a* and *tcf7l1b* are knocked down, *tcf7l1a*^{m881} mutant mRNA does not, confirming that protein arising from the *tcf7l1a*^{m881} allele is not functional (not shown; Kim et at., 2000). These observations suggest that the *m881* allele is indeed a null mutation and that *tcf7l1a* is not essential for eye formation.

Supporting a requirement for *tcf7l1a* to form eyes, antisense morpholino knockdown of *tcf7l1a* (mo1^{tcf7l1a}) leads to eyeless embryos (Dorsky et al., 2003) comparable to the originally described *headless MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutant phenotype (Kim et al. 2000). However, the target site for the morpholino used in that study shows considerable sequence homology to the translation start ATG region of other *tcf* gene family members (56-76%; Fig.S2A). This suggests that the mo1^{tcf7l1a} phenotype may be due to the morpholino knocking down expression of other *tcf* genes, as has been described for other morpholinos targeting paralogous genes (Kamachi et al., 2008). Indeed, injection of a different *tcf7l1a* morpholino (mo2^{tcf7l1a}) with low homology to other *tcf* genes (36-45%, Fig.S2B) does not lead to an eyeless phenotype (0.4pMol/embryo, 100%, n>100; Fig.1C,D). *tcf7l1b* morpholino injection on its own shows no overt phenotype (Dorsky et al., 2003) but co-injection of mo2^{tcf7l1a} and mo^{tcf7l1b} gives rise to eyeless embryos (each at 0.2pMol/embryo, 78.26%, n=92; Fig.1E and see Dorsky et al., 2003). This suggests that even though mo2^{tcf7l1a} injection alone results in no phenotype, the morpholino does knockdown *tcf7l1a*.

Together, these results suggest that even though $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ is a fully penetrant null mutation, lack of maternal and zygotic tcf7/1a function alone does not lead to loss of eyes in all genetic backgrounds.

tcf7/1a loss of function is not compensated by upregulation of other tcf genes

Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} and MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos develop eyes whereas embryos lacking both Ztcf7l1a and Ztcf7l1b do not (Dorsky et al. 2003). Thus, we hypothesised that enhanced expression of the paralogous tcf7l1b, or other lef/tcf genes may compensate for the absence of tcf7l1a function, as shown for other mutations (El-Brolosy et al., 2018; Rossi et al., 2015). To test this idea, we assessed the expression of all lef/tcf genes by RT-qPCR in sibling wildtype and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant embryos at the stage when the eye field has been specified (10 hours post fertilisation; hpf).

Expression levels of *lef/tcf* genes did not increase in *Ztcf7/1a* mutant embryos, which suggests that there is no compensatory upregulation (Fig.2A, TableS1). As previously shown, *tcf7/1a* undergoes nonsense-mediated decay in mutants resulting in reduced expression levels (Kim et al., 2000; Fig2A; TableS1). *lef1* and *tcf7* levels did not change significantly in mutants and *tcf7/1b* (*tcf3b*) and *tcf7/2* (*tcf4*) expression was actually reduced to 63±6% and 62±8% respectively of wildtype levels (Fig.2A; TableS1). The *otx1b* and *otx2* genes, which are expressed in the anterior neural plate, also showed slightly reduced expression (*otx1b*, reduced to 81±11% and *otx2*, 79±10%) suggesting the anterior neural plate may be slightly reduced in size in mutants. Indeed the domain of the neural plate encompassed by expression of *emx3* around the anterior margin of the neural plate up to the mesencephalic marker *pax2a* (Fig.2 D, E) was reduced to 76% of wildtype size in mutants (n=11, p=0.0041, Fig.2B; TableS2). This indicates that a reduction in the size of the prospective forebrain of *Ztcf7/11a* embryos may contribute to the reduced levels of expression of *tcf7/11b*, *tcf7/12* and *otx* genes. Overall these results

suggest that *tcf* genes do not show compensatory upregulation in response to loss of *tcf7l1a* function.

Optic vesicles evaginate and form eyes in MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants despite a much-reduced eye field.

More remarkable than the modest changes in *tcf* and *otx* gene expression was the finding that qRT-PCR showed very reduced expression of eye field genes in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutant embryos (Fig.2A; *rx3* reduced to 26±1%, p=0.0002 and *six3b* reduced to 44±5%, p=0.0091 of wildtype levels). Consequently, the presence of overtly normal looking eyes in both *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} and M*Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} embryos is surprising given that *rx3*^{-/-} mutant embryos lack eyes due to impaired specification/evagination of the optic vesicles (Loosli et at., 2003; Stigloher et al., 2006). We confirmed that expression of *six3b* and *rx3* is reduced in the anterior neural plate by *in situ* hybridisation in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} and *tcf7l1a* morphant embryos (100%,n>40; Fig.2F-I; Fig.S3A,B; similar changes seen in M*Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutants, data not shown). The expression of *six3b* is reduced in the eye field but not in the prechordal plate of *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutants, likely explaining why qRT-PCR shows a greater reduction in *rx3* than *six3b* expression (Fig.2F-I; TableS1). Analysis of eye field volume by fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) of *rx3* revealed a reduction to 54.7% of wildtype size (n=10, Fig.2C, J-M; TableS3) and intensity of expression within the reduced eye field also appeared reduced (Fig.2H,I).

Further ISH analysis suggests that it is the caudal region of the eye field that is most affected in *Ztcf7l1a*-/- mutants. *emx3* expression directly rostral to the eye field is slightly broader in *Ztcf7l1a*-/- mutants than wildtypes but expression does not encroach into the reduced eye field (Fig.2D,E; Fig.S4A,B, n=5 each condition). Conversely, expression of the prospective diencephalic marker *barhl2* caudal to the reduced eye field was expanded rostrally at 10hpf (Fig.S4C,D n=5 each condition) and even more evidently at

9hpf (Fig.S4E,F, 13/13 Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}). These observations suggest a caudalisation of the

anterior neural plate in Ztcf7l1a-/- mutants leading to reduced eye field specification

consistent with phenotypes observed in conditions in which Wnt pathway repression is

reduced (Heisenberg et al., 2001; Van de Water et al., 2001).

Despite the small size of eye field in tcf7/11a^{-/-} mutants, optic vesicles appear to evaginate

normally. Time lapse analysis of optic vesicle evagination using the Tg(rx3:GFP)zf460Tg

transgene to visualise eye field cells (Brown et al., 2010) showed that optic vesicle

morphogenesis in MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos proceeds as in wildtype embryos (Fig.S5A,B;

wildtype, n=6 and MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} n=6; MovieS1 and S2).

Tcf7l1a functions cell-autonomously to promote eye field specification

Although Tcfs regulate the balance between activation and repression of the

Wnt/BCatenin pathway during anterior neural plate regionalisation (Kim at el., 2000,

Dorski et al., 2003), it is unclear if Tcf function in the eye field is required for cells to adopt

retinal fate. To address this, we determined whether Tcf7l1a function is required cell-

autonomously during eye formation by transplanting wildtype and MZtcf7l1a-/- GFP

labelled (GFP+) cells into wildtype and mutant hosts and analysing the expression of rx3

when eye specification has occurred (100% epiboly; Fig.3).

Transplants of wildtype cells to MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant embryos led to the recovery of rx3

expression exclusively restricted to the wildtype GFP+ cell clones (13/13 transplants,

Fig.3A-C). However, the border of the GFP+ wildtype clones showed less rx3 expression,

suggesting that cells at the edge of the graft are subject to cell non-autonomous

signalling effects from cells surrounding the clone. Conversely MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant GFP+

cells express much lower levels of rx3 than wild type neighbours when positioned in the

eye field of wildtype embryos (9/9 transplants, Fig.3D-G). The reduction in rx3 expression

was limited to the MZtcf7l1a-/- GFP+ mutant cells. Control transplants of cells from

wildtype donor embryos to wildtype hosts showed no effect on rx3 expression (not

shown). Consistent with a cell autonomous role for Tcf7l1a in eye formation,

overexpression of the Wnt inhibitor Dkk1 (Hashimoto et al. 2000) expanded the anterior

neural plate in both wildtype and tcf7l1a morphants, but rx3 expression and eye field size

remained much smaller in the enlarged anterior plate (Fig.3H-K)).

All together, these results support a cell-autonomous role for Tcf7l1a in promoting eye

field specification.

Eye size in Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos recovers with growth kinetics similar to wildtype

embryos.

Despite a much-reduced eye field, eyes in Ztcf7l1a-/- fry and adults seem

indistinguishable from those in wildtype siblings. Indeed, optokinetic responses of

Ztcf7/11a^{-/-} and wildtype 5dpf larvae showed no significant differences at any of the four

tested spatial frequencies (Fig.S6), suggesting that by this stage, Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} eyes are

functional and have a visual acuity comparable to that of wildtype siblings. Consequently,

although Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} embryos show a robust and severe neural plate patterning phenotype,

this recovers over time. To explore how this recovery happens, we measured the eye size

in Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos from 24 to 96hpf (Fig.4A, C-L; TableS4).

We estimated eye volumes from retinal profiles (see methods) in Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} and wildtype

embryos. At 24hpf, eye volume in mutants was about 57% of the estimated volume of

wildtype eyes at the same stage (Fig.4A.C.H; TableS4). However, by 48hpf mutant eyes

are about 85% of the size of eyes in wildtype/heterozygous siblings (Fig.4A, G, L;

TableS4). Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eye size does not further recover beyond this time point and up to

5dpf (Fig.4B). Eye growth in both wildtypes and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants show similar growth

kinetics (Fig.4A). This suggests that even though Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}eyes are smaller, they follow a

comparable developmental time-course as wildtype eyes in the early growth phase

between 24 and 36hpf but with about 8 hours delay (for instance, a 32hpf Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eye

is about the same size as a wild-type 24hpf eye).

The temporal shift in eye growth in Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants is not explained by an overall

developmental delay as the position of the posterior lateral line primordium (pLLP) was

similar to wildtype at all stages tested (Fig.S7).

Eye size recovers after physical ablation of much of the optic vesicle

To assess if the size recovery is a general feature of eye development, we physically

ablated optic vesicle cells in wildtype embryos and assessed the effect on eye growth.

Cells were aspirated from one of the two nascent optic vesicles at 12hpf (6 somite stage),

leaving approximately the medial half of the vesicle intact (Fig.4M). At 36hpf there was still

a clear size difference between the experimental and control eyes (Fig.4N). However, by

4dpf we observed no obvious size difference between control and experimental eyes

(n=13/13, Fig.4O). Consequently, the forming eye can effectively recover from either

genetic or physical reduction in the size of the eye field/evaginating optic vesicle.

Neurogenesis is delayed in tcf71a mutant eyes.

The observation that wildtype and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant eyes display similar, but temporally

offset, growth kinetics led us to speculate that that retinal neurogenesis might be delayed

in Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} eyes to extend the period of proliferative growth prior to retinal precursors

undergoing neurogenic divisions.

In the zebrafish eye, neurogenesis can be visualised by tracking expression of atoh7

(ath5) in retinal neurons starting in the ventronasal retina at ~28hpf and spreading

clockwise across the central retina until it reaches the ventrotemporal side (Masai et al.,

2000; Hu and Easter, 1999; Fig.5A-E). Although *atoh7* is induced at a similar time in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} as in wildtype eyes, the subsequent progression of expression is delayed (Fig.5F-J, Q; TableS5). Classifying the expression of *atoh7* in 6 categories according to its progression across the neural retina (see legend to Fig.5) revealed that *atoh7* expression in mutant retinas is slow to spread and remains restricted to the ventro-nasal or nasal retina for longer (Fig.5Q). Indeed, between 36 and 40hpf, *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} retinas express *atoh7* exclusively in the nasal half of the retina (Fig.5H, Q), a phenotype we did not see at any stage in sibling embryo eyes. These data indicate that progression of *atoh7* expression and neurogenesis is delayed by about 8-12 hours in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} retinas compared to siblings, a timeframe comparable to the delays seen in optic vesicle growth. In line with our results in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} embryos, eye vesicle ablated wildtype retinas also showed delayed neurogenesis compared to control non-ablated contralateral eyes at 36hpf (Fig.5K, L; 3/3 ablated eyes).

Our results suggest that retinal precursors in *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} eyes remain proliferative at stages when precursors in wildtype eyes are already producing neurons.

Larger eyes undergo premature neurogenesis.

Our results are consistent with the idea that neurogenesis may be triggered when the optic vesicle reaches a critical size. To explore this possibility, we generated embryos with larger optic vesicles by overexpressing the Wnt antagonist Dkk1 (Hashimoto et al., 2000). Heatshocking $tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32}$ transgenic fish at 6hpf led to embryos with eyes ~34% bigger than control heat shocked embryos by 28hpf (Fig.5M, N, R, n=12; TableS6). After 36hpf, wildtype eyes gradually caught up in size as growth slowed in eyes in dkk1-overexpressed embryos (Fig.5R; TableS6).

Neurogenesis was prematurely triggered by 28hpf in the eyes of *dkk1* overexpressing embryos, with many more cells expressing *atoh7* compared to eyes in heat-shocked

control embryos (Fig.5M, N, n=7 out of 9 embryos). This result is unlikely to be due to a

direct effect of dkk1 overexpression on neurogenesis as premature neurogenesis is not

triggered in tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32} retinas heat-shocked at 24hpf (Fig.5O, P, n=10, 100%).

These results further support a link between eye size and the onset of neurogenesis and

the size self-regulating ability of the forming eye.

ENU modifier mutagenesis screen in tcf7/1a mutant background reveals two groups

of genetic modifiers.

Although eye formation can recover in tcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants despite a much smaller eye field,

we speculated that eye development in these embryos might be sensitised to showing

the effects of additional mutations. To test this, we performed an ENU mutagenesis

screen on fish carrying the *tcf7l1a* mutation (Fig.6A).

Homozygous Ztcf7/1a mutant adult male fish (F₀ founders) were exposed to four rounds of

ENU (van Eeden et al., 1999) and then crossed with Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} adult females to generate

F₁ families (Fig.6A). However, possibly because of cellular stress or the synergistic

cumulative effect of many mutations induced by ENU, we observed many eyeless F₁

embryos. To circumvent this problem, we injected 10pg/embryo of zebrafish tcf7l1a

mRNA to rescue any Tcf-dependent eyeless phenotypes in the F₁ embryos (Fig.6A). Adult

 F_1 fish were outcrossed to *EKW* wildtype strain. All F_2 fish were *tcf7l1a*^{+/-} and half carried

unknown mutations (m) in heterozygosity (Fig.6A). To screen, we randomly crossed F₂

pairs from each family aiming for at least 6 clutches of over 100 embryos. The probability

of finding double Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}/m^{-/-} embryos for independently segregating mutations is 1/16,

hence we would expect to find ~6 double mutants in 100 embryos. Here, we describe

examples of synthetic lethal mutations that lead to microphthalmia/anophthalmia (U768;

14

Fig.6C) or eyes that fail to grow (*U762*, *U901*; Fig.7, 8).

A deletion in the *hesx1* locus is a modifier of the *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} phenotype that leads to loss of eyes

tcf7l1a-/- embryos that are homozygous for the U768 mutation are eyeless (Fig.6B, C) whereas homozygous U768 mutants with one or no mutant tcf7/1a alleles show no eye phenotype. U768 was mapped by SSLP segregation analysis (Kelly et al., 2000) to a 1.46Mb interval between 41.36Mb (4 recombinants/274meioses) and 42.82Mb (2 recombinants/274meioses) on chromosome 11 in GRCz10 assembly (Fig.6D; TableS7). Within this interval is *hesx1*, which morpholino knock-down experiments had previously suggested to genetically interact with tcf7/1a (Andoniadou et al., 2011). Primers for hesx1 cDNA failed to amplify in U768/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eyeless embryo cDNA samples. Using a primer set that spans the hesx1 locus, we found that all U768/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eyeless embryos have a ~2700bp deletion that covers hesx1 exons 1 and 2 (hesx1 $^{\Delta ex1/2}$; Fig.S8); this was unexpected as deletions are not normally induced by ENU (see below). Sequencing of the hesx1 locus reveals that there is a polyA stretch of approximately 80 nucleotides followed by a 33 AT microsatellite repeat on the 3' end of intron 2 that may have generated a chromosomal instability that led to the deletion of exons 1 and 2 (Fig.S8). As a consequence of the deletion, hesx1 mRNA was not detected by RT-PCR or in situ hybridisation in U768 homozygous embryos (Fig.6E, H, I). We further confirmed that only U768-F₂ embryos that are homozygous for both the tcf7/1a mutation and $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}$ are eyeless (Fig.6B,C).

As ENU usually generates point mutations, we speculated that the deletion in $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}$ was not caused by our mutagenesis but was already present in one or more fish used to generate the mutant lines. Indeed, we found the same deletion in wildtype fish not used in the mutagenesis project. To confirm that the eyeless phenotype in $U768/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ double mutants is not caused by another mutation induced by ENU, we crossed $Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ fish to

one such wildtype TL fish carrying $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}$. Incrossing of $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}/tcf7l1a^{+/-}$ adult

fish led to embryos with a very small rudiment of eye pigment with no detectable lens

(Fig.6J, K). Genotyping of eyeless and sibling embryos confirmed that only double

homozygosity for $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ led to the eyeless embryo phenotype (TableS8).

The interaction between hesx1 and tcf71a mutations strikingly illustrates how the

developing eye can fully cope with loss of function of either gene alone but fails to form in

absence of both gene activities. Additional eyeless families that do not carry the hesx1

deletion were identified but they remain to be validated and mutations cloned.

The tcf7/1a mutation can enhance the phenotypic severity of mutants with small

eyes

U762 mutants, wildtype for tcf7l1a or heterozygous for the tcf7l1a mutation, show

reduced eye size by 72hpf and this phenotype is considerably more severe in embryos

homozygous for the tcf7/1a mutation (Fig.7A-F). The U762 mutation was mapped by

SSLP segregation analysis to a 1.69Mb interval between 15.50Mb and 17.19Mb on

chromosome 24 (Fig.S9A). Through sequencing candidate genes in the interval (Fig.S9A;

TableS9), we identified a mutation in the splice donor of cct5 (chaperonin containing

TCP-1 epsilon) intron 4 (GT>GC, Fig.7G). The mutation leads to the usage of an

alternative splice donor in the 3' most end of cct5 exon 4, which induces a two nucleotide

deletion in the mRNA (Fig.S9B). This deletion changes the reading frame of the protein C-

terminal to amino acid 176, encoding a 29aa nonsense stretch followed by a stop codon

(Fig.7H; Fig.S9B). The mutation also induces nonsense-mediated decay of the mRNA (not

shown). U762 and cct5hi2972bTg mutations failed to complement (not shown) supporting the

conclusion that the mutation in *U762* responsible for the *tcf7/1a* modifier phenotype is in

cct5. Cct5 is one of the eight subunits of the chaperonin TRiC/TCP-1 protein chaperone

complex, which assists the folding of actin, tubulin and many proteins involved in cell

cycle regulation (Sternlicht et al., 1993; Dekker et al., 2008; Yam et al., 2008).

As Cct5 is implicated in the folding of cell cycle related proteins, and impairment of the

cell cycle could affect neurogenesis in the eye, we assessed retinal neurogenesis by

tracking GFP expression in the eyes of cct5^{U762/U762}/Tg(atoh7:GFP)^{rw021Tg} fish (Fig.7I-K). By

48hpf, wildtype eyes show strong GFP expression in the retinal ganglion cell layer (Fig.71,

n=4, arrow head; Masai et al., 2003) whereas although cct5^{U762/U762} eye cells do express

GFP, lamination of neurons is lost (Fig.7J, n=5). In cct5^{U762/U762}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eyes, GFP-

expressing neurons are almost completely restricted to the ventro-nasal retina (Fig.7K,

n=6). Subsequently cct5^{U762/U762}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants (Fig.7N, n=4, arrowhead) show

increased TUNEL labelling of apoptotic cells in the eye compared to single cct5^{U762/U762}

mutants (Fig.7M, arrowhead, n=8).

Homozygous U901 mutants show a slightly smaller and misshapen eye; this mutation

was mapped to *gdf6a* (Valdivia et al., 2016). *gdf6a*^{U901/U901}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} double mutants show

a further reduction in eye and lens size at 28hpf (Fig.8A, C, E). Unlike Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants in

which eye size recovers, eyes in gdf6a^{U901/U901}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos remain smaller than in

single mutants or wildtypes (Fig.8B,D,F,G). This suggests that the ability to compensate

eye size is compromised in absence of both *gdf6a* and *tcf7l1a* function.

Altogether, analysis of the interacting mutations reveals that although abrogation of

Tcf7l1a function alone has little effect on formation of eyes, it can lead to complete loss of

eye formation or more severe eye phenotypes in combination with additional mutations.

Consequently, although eye development is sufficiently robust to cope with loss of Tcf71a.

17

mutant embryos are sensitised to the effects of additional mutations.

Discussion

In this study, we show that although Tcf7l1a is required for cells to adopt eye field identity

and express rx3, tcf7/1a mutants form normal, functional eyes. This finding reveals a

remarkable ability of the developing eye to form normally from an eye field that is half the

size of that in the wildtype condition. Tcf function in tcf7/1a mutants is not genetically

compensated by upregulation of other tcf genes nor by other genetic mechanisms that

restore neural plate regionalisation and eye field formation. Instead, we find that tcf7/1a

mutant optic vesicles delay neurogenesis to enable size recovery. We observe a similar

effect when optic vesicle cells are physically ablated. In contrast, neurogenesis is

prematurely induced in larger optic vesicles, likely depleting progenitors and slowing

growth. Our results suggest that size-dependent regulation of the balance between

proliferation and differentiation may buffer the developing eye against initial differences in

cell number. Although the developing eye can cope with loss of Tcf7l1a function, we

speculated that embryos lacking Tcf7l1a would not be robust to the consequences of

additional mutations affecting eye formation. In support of this, we identify mutations in

three other genes that give synthetically enhanced eye phenotypes when combined with

the tcf7/1a mutation. This approach facilitates identification of genes that participate in

genetic networks that make developing eyes robust to mutations that compromise eye

field specification and optic vesicle growth.

The tcf7/1a mutation is fully penetrant with no apparent genetic compensation

during neural plate patterning

Tcf7/1 is a core Wnt pathway transcription factor that can activate or repress genes

dependent upon the status of the Wnt signalling cascade (Cadigan and Waterman, 2012).

Homozygous tcf7/1 mutant mice present severe mesodermal and ectodermal patterning

defects (Merrill et al., 2004), but the duplication of *tcf7l1* into *tcf7l1a* and *tcf7l1b* in zebrafish has led to functional redundancy (Dorsky et al., 2003).

Although MZtcf7l1a embryos have a severe eye field specification phenotype they still develop normal eyes. We confirmed that the tcf7l1a^{m881} mutant allele is null, generates no wildtype transcript and that morpholino knock-down specifically of Tcf7l1a does not give an eyeless phenotype. Hence, the originally described MZtcf7l1a eyeless phenotype (Kim et al., 2000) may have been due to genetic background effects modifying the outcome of the tcf7l1a^{m881} allele. The fact that we were able to recover an eyeless modifier of the tcf7l1a phenotype in our own mutagenesis pilot screen lends support to this idea.

At the stage of eye specification, we did not find genetic compensation in *tcf7l1a* mutants by other *tcf* genes. Even though *tcf7l1a* mutants develop eyes, they do so from an eye field that is ~50% smaller than wild-type. Although we did not find evidence for genetic compensation, and despite *tcf7l1* being duplicated in fish, the fact that neither gene has been lost due to genetic drift suggests that having both genes may confer enhanced fitness and robustness to zebrafish. As an example, paralogous Lefty proteins make Nodal signalling more stable to noise and perturbations during early embryogenesis (Rogers et al., 2017).

We show that Tcf7l1a has a cell-autonomous role in specification of the eye field. Tcf7l1a is required for the expression of *rx3* and consequently is a bona fide eye field gene regulatory network transcription factor that functions upstream to *rx3*. *tcf7l1a* is expressed very early in the anterior neural plate and so may work alongside *otx*, *sox*, *six* and *pax* genes to regionalise the eye-forming region of the neural plate (Beccari et al 2013; Zuber et al. 2003). Considering that it is the repressor activity of Tcf7l1a that promotes eye formation (Kim et al., 2000), the most likely role for Tcf7l1a is to repress transcription of a gene that suppresses eye field formation.

Compensatory tissue growth confers robustness to eye development

We show that despite the small eye field in tcf7l1a mutants, the optic vesicles evaginate

and undergo overtly normal morphogenesis. Although tcf7/1a mutant eye vesicles are still

much smaller than wild-type at 24hpf, we found that their eye growth kinetics are similar.

This suggests that the mechanisms that regulate overall growth of the retina in both

conditions are comparable albeit delayed in the tcf7l1a mutant retina.

Although atoh7 expression is initiated in the ventronasal retina in tcf7/1a mutants at the

same stage as in wild-type eyes, the wave of atoh7 expression that spreads across the

retina is delayed by approximately 8-12hrs in mutants. atoh7 is required for the first wave

of neurogenesis in the retina (Brown et al., 2001; Kay et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2001) and

thus, the delay we see in tcf7l1a mutants suggests that RPCs continue proliferating in

mutants at stages when they are already generating neurons in wild-type eyes. We

presume that the extended period of proliferative growth due to delayed neurogenesis

enables the forming eye to continue growing and recover its size. We observed a similar

phenomenon of delayed neurogenesis and prolonged growth when cells were ablated

from the optic vesicles. Conversely, atoh7 spreads precociously in experimentally

enlarged optic vesicles. The premature neurogenesis of RPCs in these conditions may

contribute to eyes achieving a final size similar to wild-type. All together, our data suggest

that the timing of the spread of neurogenesis across the retina is coupled to size of the

eye, thereby providing a mechanism to buffer eye size. It is intriguing that the

compensatory changes in growth seen in tcf7/1a mutant and optic-vesicle ablated eyes

seem to occur prior to the establishment of the ciliary marginal zone, which accounts for

the vast majority of eye growth (Fischer et al., 2013).

Our results support classical embryology experiments from Ross Harrison, Victor Twitty

and others (Harrison, 1929, Twitty and Schwind, 1931, Twitty and Elliott, 1934). These

investigators showed that when eye primordia from small-eyed salamander species (A.

punctatum) were transplanted to larger-eyed salamanders (A. Tigrinum) or vice-versa, the

eye derived from the grafted tissue formed an eye of a size corresponding to the donor

salamander species. Species-specific size differences are also observed in self-

organising in vitro cultured eye organoids derived from mouse or human embryonic stem

cells (Nakano et al., 2012). Our work, together with the experiments in salamanders and

organoids, suggests that the developing eye has intrinsic size-determining mechanisms.

Size regulatory mechanisms have been previously described in other species and

perhaps most extensively studied in the fly wing imaginal disc (Potter and Xu, 2001).

Indeed, many models have been put forward to explain imaginal disk size control (Eder et

al., 2017; Irvine and Shariman, 2017; Vollmer et al., 2017). It is evident that the final size of

paired structures within individuals is remarkably similar supporting the idea that the

mechanisms that control organ/tissue size are mostly intrinsic to the tissue/organ and

highly robust.

Addressing eye robustness through a forward mutagenesis screen on tcf7l1a

mutant background

Our results indicate that tcf7/1a mutant eyes are sensitised to the effects of additional

mutations. Indeed, a homozygous deletion of the two first exons of hesx1 leads to

eyeless embryos when in combination with *tcf7l1a*. This result also confirms our previous

observations suggesting a genetic interaction between hesx1 and tcf7/1a based upon

morpholino knock-down experiments (Andoniadou et al., 2007). Furthermore, both hesx1

and tcf7/1a are expressed in the anterior neural plate including the eye field, and as

observed in tcf71a zebrafish mutants, hesx1 mutant mice also show a posteriorised

forebrain (Andoniadou et al., 2007; Martinez-Barbera et al., 2000). These and our results

suggest that Tcf7l1a and Hesx1 have similar, overlapping functions in the anterior neural

plate such that the eyeless phenotype is expressed in zebrafish only when both genes are abrogated. Mutations in *hesx1* lead to anophthalmia, microphthalmia, septo-optic dysplasia (SOD) and pituitary defects in humans and mice (Dattani et al., 1998; Gaston-Massuet et al., 2008; Martinez-Barbera et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2001). Interaction of *hesx1* mutations with other genetic lesions may also occur in patients carrying Hesx1 mutations, as the phenotypes in these individuals show variable expressivity (McCabe et al., 2011). In these patients, *tcf7l1a* should be considered as a candidate modifier for *hesx1*-related genetic conditions.

Gdf6a is a TGFβ pathway member (David and Massagué, 2018) that when mutated in zebrafish results in small mis-patterned eyes, neurogenesis defects and retino-tectal axonal projection errors (Gosse and Baier, 2009; French et al., 2009). In humans, mutations in GDF6 have been identified in anophthalmic, microphthalmic and colobomatous patients (Asai-Coakwell et al., 2009) as well as in some cases of Leber congenital Amaerurosis (Asai-Coakwell et al., 2013). Double gdf6a^{U768}/tcf7l1a mutant eyes are smaller than both single mutants and fail to recover their size at later stages. This suggests that gdf6a/tcf7l1a double mutant optic vesicles do not show compensatory growth as tcf7l1a mutants. It is intriguing that gdf6a mutants show premature expression of atoh7 and neurogenesis (Valdivia et al. 2016). If this phenotype is epistatic to the tissue size compensatory mechanisms, then this may contribute to the lack of compensatory proliferative growth in double mutant eyes.

Mutations in *cct5* in combination with *tcf7l1a* also led to phenotypes in which reduced eye size failed to recover. *cct5* codes for the epsilon subunit of the TCP-1 Ring Complex (TRiC) chaperonin that is composed of eight different subunits that form a ring, the final complex organised as a stacked ring in a barrel conformation (Yebenes et al., 2011). *In vitro* studies indicate TRiC chaperonin mediates actin and tubulin folding (Sternlicht et al.,

1993); however, it also assists in the folding of cell cycle-related and other proteins (Dekker et al., 2008; Yam et al., 2008). A mutation in *cct2* has been found in a family with Leber congenital ameurosis retinal phenotype (Minegishi et al., 2016; Minegishi et al., 2018) and mutations in *cct4* and *cct5* have been related to sensory neuropathy (Pereira et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2003; Hsu et al., 2004; Bouhouche et al., 2006). Similar to our *cct5* mutant, *cct1*, *cct2*, *cct3*, *cct4* and *cct8* mutant zebrafish show retinal degeneration (Berger et al., 2018; Matsuda and Mishina, 2004; Minegishi et al., 2018), suggesting that the *cct5* mutant phenotype is due to abrogation of TRiC chaperonin function, and not due to loss of a *cct5* specific role. Double *cct5/tcf7l1a* homozygous mutant eyes degenerate prematurely and to a greater extent than *cct5* single mutants, and neurogenesis is also severely compromised. This shows that the consequence of *cct5* loss of function is exacerbated by the lack of *tcf7l1a* function, although it is currently unclear how such an interaction might occur. However, this genetic interaction does highlight that in some conditions a gene of pleiotropic function, like *cct5*, can lead to a specific phenotype in the eye.

Anophthalmia and microphthalmia are generally associated with eye field specification defects (Reis and Semina, 2015), but given that normal eyes can still develop from a much reduced eye field, further analysis of the genetic and developmental mechanisms that lead to small or absent eyes is warranted. Our isolation and identification of modifiers of *tcf7l1a* highlights the utility of genetic modifier screens to identify candidate genes underlying congenital abnormalities of eye formation. Indeed, given that Tcf7l1a itself can now be classified as a *bona fide* gene in the eye transcription factor regulatory network, it should be considered when screening patients with inherited eye morphological defects.

Author Contributions

RY and SW conceived the project and analysed the data; RY, FC, TH, GG, EA, AK, JR

and IB performed the experiments; RY, FC, TH, HS, QS, LL and CW performed the

genetic screen. RY and SW wrote the paper with input from all co-authors but primarily

from FC, HS, TH, QS and GG. SW secured the funding of this project.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ajay Chitnis and Richard Dorsky for sharing reagents and fish lines,

colleagues for support and discussions and the UCL fish facility for fish care. This work

was generously supported by funding from a Marie Curie Incoming International

Fellowship to RY, Wellcome Trust grants to SW and RY, an MRC Programme grant to SW

and GG, Royal Society International Joint Project funding to SW and MA and FONDAP

(15090007) to MA.

Methods

Animal use, mutant and transgene alleles, genotyping and heat shock

Adult zebrafish were kept under standard husbandry conditions and embryos were

obtained by natural spawning. Wildtype and mutant embryos were raised at 28.5°C and

staged according to Kimmel et al. (1995). To minimise variations in staging, embryos were

collected every 30 minutes and kept separate clutches according to their time of

fertilisation. Fish lines used were tcf7l1a/headless(hdl)^{m881} (Kim et al., 2000), cct5^{hi2972bTg}

(Amsterdam et al., 2004), $cct5^{U762}$, $gdf6a^{U768}$ (Valdivia et al., 2016), $hesx1^{U910}$,

 $Tg(atoh7:GFP)^{w021Tg}$ (Masai et al., 2000), $Tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32}$ (Stoick-Cooper et al.,

2007) and Tg(rx3:GFP)zf460Tg (Brown et al., 2010). Genomic DNA was isolated by HotSHOT

method (Suppl. Materials and methods) and all the alleles except for cct5^{hi2972bTg} were

genotyped by KASP assays (K Biosciences, assay barcodes: 1077647141 (cct5^{U762}),

1077647146 ($gdf6a^{U768}$), 172195883 (this assay discriminates a SSLP 500bp from the 3'

end of the deletion in hesx1^{U901}), 1145062619 (tcf7/1a^{m881})) using 1µl of genomic DNA for

8µl of reaction volume PCR as described by K Biosciences.

For heatshock (HS) gene induction, embryos from a heterozygous Tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32}

to wild type cross were moved from embryo media at 28.5°c to 37°C at 6hpf or 20hpf for

45minutes, and then back to 28.5°C embryo media. Three hours post HS, embryos were

separated in controls (GFP-) and HS experimental (GFP+) groups, and fixed at the stages

described in results.

ENU mutagenesis and mutant mapping

Homozygous male tcf7l1a^{m881} fish were exposed to four rounds of ENU according to Van

Eeden et al. (1999). Details of the mutagenesis pipeline are in the results section.

Embryos from incrosses of carriers of the cct5^{U762} or gdf6a^{U768} mutations, which show a

phenotype as homozygous embryos independently of mutations in tcf7/1a, were

identified for the described eye phenotype at 3dpf to avoid ambiguity and false positives.

For rough mapping, batches of 30 mutants and 30 siblings were fixed in methanol and

genomic DNA was extracted by proteinase K protocol. This gDNA was then used for bulk

segregant analysis PCR to test a library of 245 polymorphic SSLP variants spanning the

whole zebrafish genome (Stickney et al., 2002). SSLP markers heterozygous in the sibling

samples and homozygous in the mutant sample were confirmed on gDNA samples of 12

mutant and 12 sibling individuals. Markers that showed linkage to a locus were tested on

additional mutant samples, and more SSLP markers were tested for the mapped region

until a genomic interval was defined.

Homozygous tcf7l1a/hesx1^{U901} mutant carriers were incrossed, and eyeless embryos and

siblings were fixed in methanol. Rough mapping was carried out as above but in this case

sibling embryos used for bulk segregant analysis were genotyped for *tcf7l1a*^{m881} and only homozygous mutants with eyes were included in the sibling pool.

mRNA synthesis, embryo microinjection and morpholinos: mRNA for overexpression was synthesised using RNA mMessage mMachine transcription kits (Ambion). One to two cell stage embryos were co-injected with 10nl of 5pg of GFP mRNA and morpholinos or *in vitro* synthesised mRNA at the indicated concentrations. Only embryos with an even distribution of GFP fluorescence were used for experiments. Morpholino sequences: mo2 tcf7l1a (5' AGG CAT GTT GGC ACT TTA AAT G 3'), mo^{tcf7l1b} (5'-CAT GTT TAA CGT TAC GGG CTT GTC T-3'; Dorsky et al., 2002) and mo^c (TGT TGA AAT CAG CGT GTT CAA G). tcf7l1a^{m881/m881} embryos injected with mo^{tcf7l1b} phenocopy the loss of eye phenotype seen in tcf7l1a^{m881/m881}/tcf7l1b^{+/zf157tg} double mutants (Young and Wilson, unpublished).

RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR

Total RNA and genomic DNA were isolated from individual embryos at 10hpf following Life Technologies Trizol protocol. cDNA was synthesised by reverse transcription using SuperscriptII (Life Technologies) with 200ng of total RNA to a final volume of 40µl and oligo dT for priming. The cDNA reaction was diluted 10 times and 5µl were used in 25µl final volume reactions using GoTaq qPCR Master mix (Biorad). Each experimental condition was processed in technical and biological triplicates. All primers used had PCR efficiencies within the 90-100% range. Sequences of the primers used are in the supplementary technical materials. Wildtype and *tcf7l1a*^{m881} mutant cDNA fragment spanning the *tcf71a* exon 7/8 border for DNA sequencing were amplified with primers P2 and P3 (Kim et al., 2000).

In situ hybridisation and probe synthesis: Whole mount in situ hybridisation was performed using digoxigenin (DIG) and fluorescein (FLU)-labelled RNA probes according

to standard protocols (Thisse and Thisse, 2008). Probes were synthesized using T7 or T3

RNA polymerases (Promega) according to manufacturers' instructions and supplied with

DIG or FLU labelled UTP (Roche). Probes were detected with anti-DIG-AP (1:5000,

Roche) or anti-FLU-AP (1:10000, Roche) antibodies and developed with NBT/BCIP mix

(Roche), for regular microscopy or Fast Red (Sigma) or CY-3 tyramide (cite) substrate for

confocal analysis.

Quantification of eye profile, eye volume, and posterior lateral line primordium

(pLLP) position:

The sizes of eye profiles were quantified from lateral view images of PFA-fixed embryos

by delineating the eye using Adobe Photoshop CS5 magic wand tool and measuring the

area of pixels included in the delineated region. The surface area was then transformed

from px² to µm². The eye profile and eye volume were calculated from confocal imaging

of vsx2 in situ hybridisation stained embryos at 24hpf. The eye volume/eye profile ratio

average from 10 embryos was 53.24. This ratio was used to estimate eye volume from

eve profile area and assumes that the profile area to eve volume ratio is constant after

24hpf.

pLLP migration was measured by analysing the position of the posterior end of the

primordium relative to the somite boundary labelled by in situ hybridisation with eya1 and

xirp2a respectively.

Confocal microscopy and image analysis

Confocal imaging was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. For time

lapse analyses, the stage was set in an air chamber heated to 28.5°C. Live embryos were

immobilized in 1% low melting point agarose (Sigma) and 0.016% Tricaine (Sigma) to

anesthetize. Image volume analysis measurement was performed on Imaris 7.7.0 and Fuji.

Cell transplantation

Wlldtype or MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} embryos used as donors were injected with 50pg of GFP mRNA

at 1 cell stage. At 3-4hpf, blastula stage, dechorionated donor and host embryos were

mounted in 3% methylcellulose in fish water supplemented with 1% v/v

penicillin/streptomycin (5,000 units penicillin and 5mg streptomycin per ml) and viewed

with a fixed-stage compound microscope (Nikon Optiphot). Approximately 30-40 cells

were taken from the animal pole of donors and transplanted to approximately the same

position in hosts by suction using an oil-filled manual injector (Sutter Instrument

Company). Embryos were moved to 1% penicillin/streptomycin supplemented fish media

and fixed at 10hpf.

Eye vesicle cell removal

Embryos were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose in Ringer's solution

supplemented with 1% v/v penicillin/streptomycin. A slice of set agarose was removed to

expose one of the eyes and a drop of mineral oil (sigma) was placed over the target eye

to dissolve the epidermis (Picker et al., 2009). After two minutes the oil drop was removed

and optic vesicle cells were sucked out with a capillary needle filled with mineral oil.

Embryos were left to recover for half an hour before being released from the agarose.

Optokinetic response

Optokinetic responses were examined using a custom-built rig to track horizontal eye

movements (optokinetic nystagmus) in response to whole-field motion stimuli. Larvae at 4

dpf were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose in fish water and analysed at 5 dpf.

The agarose surrounding the eyes was removed to allow normal eye movements.

Sinusoidal gratings with spatial frequencies of 0.05, 0.1, 0.13 and 0.16 cycles/degree

were presented on a cylindrical diffusive screen 25 mm from the centre of the fish's head

with a MicroVision SHOWWX+ projector. Gratings had a constant velocity of 10

degrees/s and changed direction and/or spatial frequency every 20 s. Eye movements were tracked under infrared illumination (720 nm) at 60 Hz using a Flea3 USB machine vision camera and custom-written software. Custom-designed Matlab code was used to extract the eye velocity (degrees per second).

Bibliography

Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Sajedi, E., Gaston-Massuet, C., Kelberman, D., Burns, A.J., Itasaki, N., Dattani, M., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2007). Lack of the murine homeobox gene Hesx1 leads to a posterior transformation of the anterior forebrain. Development 134, 1499-1508.

Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Young, R.M., Gaston-Massuet, C., Wilson, S.W., Fuchs, E., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2011). HESX1- and TCF3-mediated repression of Wnt/beta-catenin targets is required for normal development of the anterior forebrain. Development 138, 4931-4942.

Asai-Coakwell, M., French, C.R., Ye, M., Garcha, K., Bigot, K., Perera, A.G., Staehling-Hampton, K., Mema, S.C., Chanda, B., Mushegian, A., et al. (2009). Incomplete penetrance and phenotypic variability characterize Gdf6-attributable oculo-skeletal phenotypes. Hum Mol Genet 18, 1110-1121.

Asai-Coakwell, M., March, L., Dai, X.H., Duval, M., Lopez, I., French, C.R., Famulski, J., De Baere, E., Francis, P.J., Sundaresan, P., et al. (2013). Contribution of growth differentiation factor 6-dependent cell survival to early-onset retinal dystrophies. Hum Mol Genet 22, 1432-1442.

Barshir, R., Hekselman, I., Shemesh, N., Sharon, M., Novack, L., and Yeger-Lotem, E. (2018). Role of duplicate genes in determining the tissue-selectivity of hereditary diseases. PLoS Genet *14*, e1007327.

Beccari, L., Marco-Ferreres, R., and Bovolenta, P. (2013). The logic of gene regulatory networks in early vertebrate forebrain patterning. Mech Dev 130, 95-111.

Berger, J., Berger, S., Li, M., Jacoby, A.S., Arner, A., Bavi, N., Stewart, A.G., and Currie, P.D. (2018). In Vivo Function of the Chaperonin TRiC in alpha-Actin Folding during Sarcomere Assembly. Cell Rep 22, 313-322.

Bouhouche, A., Benomar, A., Bouslam, N., Chkili, T., and Yahyaoui, M. (2006). Mutation in the epsilon subunit of the cytosolic chaperonin-containing t-complex peptide-1 (Cct5) gene causes autosomal recessive mutilating sensory neuropathy with spastic paraplegia. J Med Genet 43, 441-443.

Brown, N.L., Patel, S., Brzezinski, J., and Glaser, T. (2001). Math5 is required for retinal ganglion cell and optic nerve formation. Development 128, 2497-2508.

Brown, K.E., Keller, P.J., Ramialison, M., Rembold, M., Stelzer, E.H., Loosli, F., and Wittbrodt, J. (2010). Nlcam modulates midline convergence during anterior neural plate morphogenesis. Dev Biol *339*, 14-25.

Cadigan KM, Waterman ML (2012) TCF/LEFs and Wnt signaling in the nucleus. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4

Cavodeassi, F., Carreira-Barbosa, F., Young, R.M., Concha, M.L., Allende, M.L., Houart, C., Tada, M., and Wilson, S.W. (2005). Early stages of zebrafish eye formation require the coordinated activity of Wnt11, Fz5, and the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Neuron 47, 43-56.

Dattani, M.T., Martinez-Barbera, J.P., Thomas, P.Q., Brickman, J.M., Gupta, R., Martensson, I.L., Toresson, H., Fox, M., Wales, J.K.H., Hindmarsh, P.C., et al. (1998). Mutations in the homeobox gene HESX1/Hesx1 associated with septo-optic dysplasia in human and mouse. Nature Genetics 19, 125-133.

David, C.J., and Massague, J. (2018). Contextual determinants of TGFbeta action in development, immunity and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.

Davidson, E.H. (2010). Emerging properties of animal gene regulatory networks. Nature 468, 911-920.

Dekker, C., Stirling, P.C., McCormack, E.A., Filmore, H., Paul, A., Brost, R.L., Costanzo, M., Boone, C., Leroux, M.R., and Willison, K.R. (2008). The interaction network of the chaperonin CCT. EMBO J 27, 1827-1839.

Dickinson, M.E., Flenniken, A.M., Ji, X., Teboul, L., Wong, M.D., White, J.K., Meehan, T.F., Weninger, W.J., Westerberg, H., Adissu, H., et al. (2016). High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes. Nature 537, 508-514.

Dorsky, R.I., Itoh, M., Moon, R.T., and Chitnis, A. (2003). Two tcf3 genes cooperate to pattern the zebrafish brain. Development 130, 1937-1947.

Eder, D., Aegerter, C., and Basler, K. (2017). Forces controlling organ growth and size. Mech Develop 144, 53-61.

El-Brolosy, M.A., and Stainier, D.Y.R. (2017). Genetic compensation: A phenomenon in search of mechanisms. PLoS Genet 13, e1006780.

El-Brolosy, M.A., Rossi, A., Kontarakis, K., Kuenne, C., Guenther, S., Fukuda, N., Takacs, C., Lai, S-.L., Fukuda, R., Gerri, C., Kikhi, K., Giraldez, A., Stainier, D.Y.R.

bioRxiv 328153; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/328153

Fischer, A.J., Bosse, J.L., and El-Hodiri, H.M. (2013). The ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) in development and regeneration of the vertebrate eye. Exp Eye Res *116*, 199-204.

Felix, M.A., and Barkoulas, M. (2015). Pervasive robustness in biological systems. Nat Rev Genet 16, 483-496.

French, C.R., Erickson, T., French, D.V., Pilgrim, D.B., and Waskiewicz, A.J. (2009). Gdf6a is required for the initiation of dorsal-ventral retinal patterning and lens development. Dev Biol 333, 37-47.

Gaston-Massuet, C., Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Sajedi, E., Bird, S., Turner, J.M., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2008). Genetic interaction between the homeobox transcription factors HESX1 and SIX3 is required for normal pituitary development. Dev Biol 324, 322-333.

Gestri, G., Osborne, R.J., Wyatt, A.W., Gerrelli, D., Gribble, S., Stewart, H., Fryer, A., Bunyan, D.J., Prescott, K., Collin, J.R., et al. (2009). Reduced TFAP2A function causes variable optic fissure closure and retinal defects and sensitizes eye development to mutations in other morphogenetic regulators. Hum Genet 126, 791-803.

Glinka, A., Wu, W., Delius, H., Monaghan, A.P., Blumenstock, C., and Niehrs, C. (1998). Dickkopf-1 is a member of a new family of secreted proteins and functions in head induction. Nature 391, 357-362.

Gosse, N.J., and Baier, H. (2009). An essential role for Radar (Gdf6a) in inducing dorsal fate in the zebrafish retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 2236-2241.

Harrison, R.G. (1929). Correlation in the development and growth of the eye studied by means of heteroplastic transplantation. Wilhelm Roux Arch Entwickl Mech Org 120, 1-55.

Hashimoto, H., Itoh, M., Yamanaka, Y., Yamashita, S., Shimizu, T., Solnica-Krezel, L., Hibi, M., and Hirano, T. (2000). Zebrafish Dkk1 functions in forebrain specification and axial mesendoderm formation. Dev Biol 217, 138-152.

Heisenberg, C.P., Houart, C., Take-Uchi, M., Rauch, G.J., Young, N., Coutinho, P., Masai, I., Caneparo, L., Concha, M.L., Geisler, R., et al. (2001). A mutation in the Gsk3-binding

domain of zebrafish Masterblind/Axin1 leads to a fate transformation of telencephalon and eyes to diencephalon. Genes Dev 15, 1427-1434.

Houart, C., Caneparo, L., Heisenberg, C., Barth, K., Take-Uchi, M., and Wilson, S. (2002). Establishment of the telencephalon during gastrulation by local antagonism of Wnt signaling. Neuron 35, 255-265.

Hsu, S.H., Lee, M.J., Hsieh, S.C., Scaravilli, F., and Hsieh, S.T. (2004). Cutaneous and sympathetic denervation in neonatal rats with a mutation in the delta subunit of the cytosolic chap eronin-containing t-complex peptide-1 gene. Neurobiol Dis 16, 335-345.

Hu, M., and Easter, S.S. (1999). Retinal neurogenesis: the formation of the initial central patch of postmitotic cells. Dev Biol *207*, 309-321.

Hurles, M. (2004). Gene duplication: the genomic trade in spare parts. PLoS Biol 2, E206.

Irvine, K.D., and Shraiman, B.I. (2017). Mechanical control of growth: ideas, facts and

challenges. Development 144, 4238-4248.

Kaukonen, M., Woods, S., Ahonen, S., Lemberg, S., Hellman, M., Hytonen, M.K., Permi, P., Glaser, T., and Lohi, H. (2018). Maternal Inheritance of a Recessive RBP4 Defect in Canine Congenital Eye Disease. Cell Rep 23, 2643-2652.

Kay, J.N., Finger-Baier, K.C., Roeser, T., Staub, W., and Baier, H. (2001). Retinal ganglion cell genesis requires lakritz, a Zebrafish atonal Homolog. Neuron 30, 725-736.

Kelly, P.D., Chu, F., Woods, I.G., Ngo-Hazelett, P., Cardozo, T., Huang, H., Kimm, F., Liao, L., Yan, Y.L., Zhou, Y., et al. (2000). Genetic linkage mapping of zebrafish genes and ESTs. Genome Res 10, 558-567.

Kettleborough, R.N., Busch-Nentwich, E.M., Harvey, S.A., Dooley, C.M., de Bruijn, E., van Eeden, F., Sealy, I., White, R.J., Herd, C., Nijman, I.J., et al. (2013). A systematic genomewide analysis of zebrafish protein-coding gene function. Nature 496, 494-497.

Kim, C.H., Oda, T., Itoh, M., Jiang, D., Artinger, K.B., Chandrasekharappa, S.C., Driever, W., and Chitnis, A.B. (2000). Repressor activity of Headless/Tcf3 is essential for vertebrate head formation. Nature 407, 913-916.

Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF (1995) Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. Dev Dyn 203: 253-310

Lee, M.J., Stephenson, D.A., Groves, M.J., Sweeney, M.G., Davis, M.B., An, S.F., Houlden, H., Salih, M.A.M., Timmerman, V., de Jonghe, P., et al. (2003). Hereditary sensory neuropathy is caused by a mutation in the delta subunit of the cytosolic chaperonin-containing t-complex peptide-1 (Cct4) gene. Hum Mol Genet 12, 1917-1925.

Lekven, A.C., Thorpe, C.J., Waxman, J.S., and Moon, R.T. (2001). Zebrafish wnt8 encodes two wnt8 proteins on a bicistronic transcript and is required for mesoderm and neurectoderm patterning. Dev Cell 1, 103-114.

Loosli, F., Staub, W., Finger-Baier, K.C., Ober, E.A., Verkade, H., Wittbrodt, J., and Baier, H. (2003). Loss of eyes in zebrafish caused by mutation of chokh/rx3. EMBO Rep 4, 894-899.

Martinez-Barbera, J.P., Rodriguez, T.A., and Beddlington, R.S.P. (2000). The homeobox gene Hesx1 is required in the anterior neural ectoderm for normal forebrain formation. Developmental Biology 223, 422-430.

Masai, I., Stemple, D.L., Okamoto, H., and Wilson, S.W. (2000). Midline signals regulate retinal neurogenesis in zebrafish. Neuron 27, 251-263.

Matsuda, N., and Mishina, M. (2004). Identification of chaperonin CCT gamma subunit as a determinant of retinotectal development by whole-genome subtraction cloning from zebrafish no tectal neuron mutant. Development 131, 1913-1925.

McCabe, M.J., Alatzoglou, K.S., and Dattani, M.T. (2011). Septo-optic dysplasia and other midline defects: the role of transcription factors: HESX1 and beyond. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 25, 115-124.

Meehan, T.F., Conte, N., West, D.B., Jacobsen, J.O., Mason, J., Warren, J., Chen, C.K., Tudose, I., Relac, M., Matthews, P., et al. (2017). Disease model discovery from 3,328 gene knockouts by The International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium. Nat Genet 49, 1231-1238.

Merrill, B.J., Pasolli, H.A., Polak, L., Rendl, M., Garcia-Garcia, M.J., Anderson, K.V., and Fuchs, E. (2004). Tcf3: a transcriptional regulator of axis induction in the early embryo. Development 131, 263-274.

Minegishi, Y., Nakaya, N., Tomarev, S., and Iwata, T. (2018). Mutation in Leber's congenital amaurosis causing gene, cct2, evokes retinal hypoplasia in zebrafish. Hum Genomics 12.

Minegishi, Y., Sheng, X.L., Yoshitake, K., Sergeev, Y., Iejima, D., Shibagaki, Y., Monma, N., Ikeo, K., Furuno, M., Zhuang, W.J., et al. (2016). CCT2 Mutations Evoke Leber Congenital Amaurosis due to Chaperone Complex Instability. Sci Rep-Uk 6.

Nakano, T., Ando, S., Takata, N., Kawada, M., Muguruma, K., Sekiguchi, K., Saito, K., Yonemura, S., Eiraku, M., and Sasai, Y. (2012). Self-formation of optic cups and storable stratified neural retina from human ESCs. Cell Stem Cell *10*, 771-785.

Pereira, J.H., McAndrew, R.P., Sergeeva, O.A., Ralston, C.Y., King, J.A., and Adams, P.D. (2017). Structure of the human TRiC/CCT Subunit 5 associated with hereditary sensory neuropathy. Sci Rep-Uk 7.

Peter, I.S., and Davidson, E.H. (2016). Implications of Developmental Gene Regulatory Networks Inside and Outside Developmental Biology. Curr Top Dev Biol *117*, 237-251.

Picker, A., Roellig, D., Pourquie, O., Oates, A.C., and Brand, M. (2009). Tissue micromanipulation in zebrafish embryos. Methods Mol Biol 546, 153-172.

Potter, C.J., and Xu, T. (2001). Mechanisms of size control. Curr Opin Genet Dev 11, 279-286.

Prokudin, I., Simons, C., Grigg, J.R., Storen, R., Kumar, V., Phua, Z.Y., Smith, J., Flaherty, M., Davila, S., and Jamieson, R.V. (2014). Exome sequencing in developmental eye disease leads to identification of causal variants in GJA8, CRYGC, PAX6 and CYP1B1. Eur J Hum Genet 22, 907-915.

Reis, L.M., and Semina, E.V. (2015). Conserved genetic pathways associated with microphthalmia, anophthalmia, and coloboma. Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today *105*, 96-113.

Rogers, K.W., Lord, N.D., Gagnon, J.A., Pauli, A., Zimmerman, S., Aksel, D.C., Reyon, D., Tsai, S.Q., Joung, J.K., and Schier, A.F. (2017). Nodal patterning without Lefty inhibitory feedback is functional but fragile. Elife 6.

Rossi, A., Kontarakis, Z., Gerri, C., Nolte, H., Holper, S., Kruger, M., and Stainier, D.Y. (2015). Genetic compensation induced by deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. Nature 524, 230-233.

Saleheen, D., Natarajan, P., Armean, I.M., Zhao, W., Rasheed, A., Khetarpal, S.A., Won, H.H., Karczewski, K.J., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Samocha, K.E., et al. (2017). Human knockouts and phenotypic analysis in a cohort with a high rate of consanguinity. Nature 544, 235-239.

Sternlicht, H., Farr, G.W., Sternlicht, M.L., Driscoll, J.K., Willison, K., and Yaffe, M.B. (1993). The t-complex polypeptide 1 complex is a chaperonin for tubulin and actin in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90, 9422-9426.

Stickney, H.L., Schmutz, J., Woods, I.G., Holtzer, C.C., Dickson, M.C., Kelly, P.D., Myers, R.M., and Talbot, W.S. (2002). Rapid mapping of zebrafish mutations with SNPs and oligonucleotide microarrays. Genome Res *12*, 1929-1934.

Stigloher, C., Ninkovic, J., Laplante, M., Geling, A., Tannhauser, B., Topp, S., Kikuta, H., Becker, T.S., Houart, C., and Bally-Cuif, L. (2006). Segregation of telencephalic and eye-field identities inside the zebrafish forebrain territory is controlled by Rx3. Development 133, 2925-2935.

Stoick-Cooper, C.L., Weidinger, G., Riehle, K.J., Hubbert, C., Major, M.B., Fausto, N., and Moon, R.T. (2007). Distinct Wnt signaling pathways have opposing roles in appendage regeneration. Development *134*, 479-489.

Sulem, P., Helgason, H., Oddson, A., Stefansson, H., Gudjonsson, S.A., Zink, F., Hjartarson, E., Sigurdsson, G.T., Jonasdottir, A., Jonasdottir, A., et al. (2015). Identification of a large set of rare complete human knockouts. Nat Genet 47, 448-452.

Thomas, P.Q., Dattani, M.T., Brickman, J.M., McNay, D., Warne, G., Zacharin, M., Cameron, F., Hurst, J., Woods, K., Dunger, D., et al. (2001). Heterozygous HESX1 mutations associated with isolated congenital pituitary hypoplasia and septo-optic dysplasia. Hum Mol Genet 10, 39-45.

Twitty, V.C., and Elliott, H.A. (1934). The relative Growth of the amphibian eye, studied by means of transplantation. J Exp Zool 68, 247-291.

Twitty, V.C., and Schwind, J.L. (1931). The growth of eyes and limbs transplanted heteroplastically between two species of Amblystoma. J Exp Zool 59, 61-86.

Valdivia, L.E., Lamb, D.B., Horner, W., Wierzbicki, C., Tafessu, A., Williams, A.M., Gestri, G., Krasnow, A.M., Vleeshouwer-Neumann, T.S., Givens, M., et al. (2016). Antagonism between Gdf6a and retinoic acid pathways controls timing of retinal neurogenesis and growth of the eye in zebrafish. Development 143, 1087-1098.

van de Water, S., van de Wetering, M., Joore, J., Esseling, J., Bink, R., Clevers, H., and Zivkovic, D. (2001). Ectopic Wnt signal determines the eyeless phenotype of zebrafish masterblind mutant. Development 128, 3877-3888.

van Eeden, F.J., Granato, M., Odenthal, J., and Haffter, P. (1999). Developmental mutant screens in the zebrafish. Methods Cell Biol 60, 21-41.

Varshney, G.K., Pei, W., LaFave, M.C., Idol, J., Xu, L., Gallardo, V., Carrington, B., Bishop, K., Jones, M., Li, M., et al. (2015). High-throughput gene targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. Genome Res 25, 1030-1042.

Vollmer, J., Casares, F., and Iber, D. (2017). Growth and size control during development. Open Biol 7.

Wagner, A. (1996). Genetic redundancy caused by gene duplications and its evolution in networks of transcriptional regulators. Biol Cybern 74, 557-567.

Wagner, A. (2005). Robustness and evolvability in living systems (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press).

Wang, S.W., Kim, B.S., Ding, K., Wang, H., Sun, D., Johnson, R.L., Klein, W.H., and Gan, L. (2001). Requirement for math5 in the development of retinal ganglion cells. Genes Dev 15, 24-29.

Wilson, S.W., and Houart, C. (2004). Early steps in the development of the forebrain. Dev Cell 6, 167-181.

Yam, A.Y., Xia, Y., Lin, H.T., Burlingame, A., Gerstein, M., and Frydman, J. (2008). Defining the TRiC/CCT interactome links chaperonin function to stabilization of newly made proteins with complex topologies. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15, 1255-1262.

Yebenes, H., Mesa, P., Munoz, I.G., Montoya, G., and Valpuesta, J.M. (2011). Chaperonins: two rings for folding. Trends Biochem Sci 36, 424-432.

Zuber, M.E., Gestri, G., Viczian, A.S., Barsacchi, G., and Harris, W.A. (2003). Specification of the vertebrate eye by a network of eye field transcription factors. Development 130, 5155-5167.

Figure Legends

Fig.1 *Tcf7l1a* maternal zygotic (MZ) mutants and morphants have no overt eye phenotype.

Lateral views of wildtype (**A**), *MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} (**B**), wildtype injected with control morpholino (**C**), *tcf7l1a* morphant (**D**) and *tcf7l1a/tcf7l1b* double morphant (**E**) embryos at 2 days post fertilisation.

Dorsal up, anterior to the left. Scale Bar=250µm.

Fig.2 The prospective forebrain and eye field domains of the neural plate are reduced in **Ztcf7l1a**-/- mutants.

(A) Graph showing gRT-PCR quantification of the mRNA levels of lef1, tcf7, tcf7l1a,

tcf7l1b, tcf7l2, otx1b, otx2, six3b and rx3 in Ztcf7l1a-1- mutants relative to wildype

embryos at 10hpf.

(B, C) Quantification of the forebrain domain of the anterior neural plate (B) enclosed by

emx3 up to pax2a expression by in situ hybridisation (data in Table S2), and eye field

volume (C) by rx3 fluorescent in situ hybridisation confocal volume reconstruction (data in

Table S3).

(**D-I**) Expression of *emx3* (arrowhead)/*pax2a* (**D, E**), *six3b* (arrowhead)/*pax2a* (**F, G**) and *rx3*

(arrowhead)/pax2a (H, I) in wildtype (D, F, H) and Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} (E, G, I) embryos detected by

in situ hybridisation at 10hpf.

(**J-M**) Confocal volume reconstruction of rx3 fluorescent in situ hybridisation in wildtype (**J**,

K) and Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} (**L**, **M**) mutants at 10hpf. (**J**, **L**) Dorsal view, anterior to top, and (**K**, **M**)

transverse view from posterior dorsal up.

Abbreviations: mb, midbrain; pcp, prechordal plate

Scale Bars=250µm.

Fig.3 Tcf7l1a cell autonomously promotes rx3 expression in the eye field.

(A-F) Dorsal views of confocal images of rx3 mRNA expression (red) detected by

florescent in situ hybridisation at 10hpf in the anterior neural plates of chimeric embryos

containing transplants of (A-C) wildtype (GFP+) donor cells in MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} host embryos,

and (**D-F**) *MZtcf7l1a*^{-/-} (GFP+) donor cells in wildtype host embryos.

Dotted line outlines eye fields; note in A-C that rx3 expression extends considerably

caudal to the reduced mutant eye field on the side of the neural plate containing wild-type

cells. Dashed line marks the embryo midline.

(G-J) In situ hybridisation of rx3 and pax2a in sibling (G, H) and $Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ (I, J) embryos,

40

uninjected (**G**, **I**) or injected with 50pg of *dkk1* mRNA (**H**, **J**) at 9hpf.

Abbreviations; EF, eyefield; mb, midbrain

Scale Bars=200µm.

Fig.4 Eye size recovers in Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant and eye vesicle-ablated embryos.

(A) Growth kinetics of the eye in wildtype (blue line) and Ztcf7l1a-/- (red line) embryos at

stages indicated (data in Table S4).

(**B**) Plot showing the ratio of $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ to wildtype eye volume from data in (**A**).

(C-L) Lateral views (dorsal up, anterior to left) of wildtype (C-G) and Ztcf7/11a^{-/-} (H-L) eyes

at stages indicated above panels.

(M-O) Eye development following partial ablation of the optic vesicle in wildtype embryos

at 5 somite stage. (M) Coronal confocal section of evaginating optic vesicles (red) in a

wildtype Tg(rx3:RFP) 5 somite stage embryo. Dashed line indicates the approximate

extent of ablations performed. Eye vesicle-ablated embryo at 36hpf (N) and 4dpf (O).

Asterisk indicates the eye that develops from the ablated optic vesicle. ZO1, zona

ocludens 1. Scale bars =200µm.

Fig.5 Neurogenesis is delayed in small tcf7l1a^{-/-} eyes and accelerated in large eyes

following hsp70:dkk1 overexpression.

(A-P) Lateral views of eyes showing atoh7 fluorescent in situ hybridisation in wild-type (A-

E, M, O), Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} (**F-J**), wildtype left-side optic vesicle-ablated (**K, L**) and Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}

(N, P) embryos at stages indicated.

(M-P) Wildtype (M, N) and heterozygous sibling $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ embryos (N, P) heat-

shocked at 6hpf (N, O) or 24hpf (P, Q) for 45' at 37°C and grown to 28hpf.

Anterior is to the left except in (K) in which anterior is to the right. Arrows indicate ventro-

nasal retina; arrowheads indicate dorso-temporal retina; dashed line approximate the

nasal-temporal division; dashed circle marks lens position. Abbreviations: n, nasal, t,

temporal. Scale bar =100µm

(Q) Histogram showing the spatial distribution of atoh7 expression in sibling and Ztcf7l1a⁻

¹⁻ retinas at the indicated hours post fertilisation (data in table S5). VN, ventro nasal

expression; VN+, ventro-nasal expression plus a few scattered cells; N+, nasal

expression plus scattered cells covering the whole retina; NR, nasal retina expression;

WR, whole retina expression; PR, expression localised to the peripheral retina. Numbers

in bars represent the number of embryos scored for the particular category of atoh7

expression.

(**R**) Plot showing the growth kinetics of the eye in wildtype (blue line) and $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$

(red line) embryos at times indicated (data in Table S6).

Fig.6 Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants lacking Hesx1 function fail to form eyes

(A) Schematic of the genetic strategy to isolate mutations that modify the *tcf7l1a*-/- mutant

phenotype.

(B-C) U768 modifier of the tcf7l1a^{-/-} mutant phenotype. Lateral views of homozygous

U768 embryos that are heterozygous (**B**) or homozygous (**C**) for the *tcf7l1a* mutation.

(**D**) Representation of SSLP segregation linkage analysis mapping of E3 modifier of *tcf71a*

to a 1.46 megabase (Mb) interval on chromosome 11 (Ch11; rec, recombinants).

(E) RT-PCR for hesx1 spanning exons 1-2 (top panel), exons 2-3 (middle panel) and β -

actin (bottom panel) on wildtype (lanes 1 and 2) and U768-/- (lanes 2 and 3) embryo cDNA

from 1 cell stage (lanes 1 and 3) and 10hpf (lanes 2 and 4).

(F-I) hesx1 in situ hybridisation on wildtype (F-H) and U768-/- (I) embryos at epiboly (ep)

stages indicated. Dorsal views, anterior up.

(**J**, **K**) Lateral views of $hesx1^{-/-}$ ($\Delta ex1/2$) / $tcf7/1a^{+/-}$ (**J**) and $hesx1^{-/-}$ ($\Delta ex1/2$) / $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (**K**)

embryos.

Scale bars=200µm.

Fig.7 Loss of *tcf7l1a* modifies the *cct5*^{u762/u762} mutant eye phenotype.

(A-F) Laterals view of wildtype (A, B), U762^{-/-} mutants (C, D) and double U762^{-/-}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}

mutants (**E**, **F**) at stages indicated.

(G) DNA sequencing chromatograms of the genomic fragment encompassing the 3' end

of cct5 exon 4 and 5' end of intron 4 from wildtype (top) and cct5^{U762/762}(bottom) embryos.

Boxes show the splice donor nucleotides in intron 4.

(H) Cartoon of wildtype and Cct5^{U762} protein product. Red boxes show Mg²⁺/ATP binding

domains, black box indicates nonsense mutant protein stretch.

(I-N) Anti-GFP immunocytochemistry (green) and TUNEL-labelled apoptotic cells (red) in

wildtype (I, L), $cct5^{-/-}$ ($cct5^{U762/U762}$) (J, M) and $cct5^{-/-}$ / $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (K, N) embryos at 48hpf (I-

K) and 60hpf (L-N). Dotted line in (K) outlines the eye profile. Arrowheads and arrows

point to apoptotic cells in the eye and tectum respectively.

Scale bar=100µm

Fig.8 Loss of tcf7l1a modifies the gdf6a^{U901/U901} mutant eye phenotype

(A-F) Lateral views of eyes in wildtype (A, B), gdf6a^{U901/U901} (C, D) and double

 $gdf6a^{U901/U901}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ (**F, E**) embryos at 48hpf (**A, C, E**) and 72hpf (**B, D, F**). Dorsal up,

anterior to left. Arrows indicate the lens. Scale bar=100µm.

(G) Eye volume quantification in wildtype, tcf7l1a^{-/-}, gdf6a^{-/-} and gdf6a/tcf7l1a double

mutant siblings (data in table S10).

FigS1. Sequence of the tcf7l1a exon7/8 boundary.

RT-PCR chromatogram sequence of tcf7/1a exon7/8 fragment showing the expected

intron splice in wildtype embryos (A). tcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants show an unambiguous inclusion of

7 nucleotides from intron 7 (B) and a mixed read in mRNA coming from heterozygous

siblings (**C**).

FigS2. Alignment of tcf7l1a, morpholinos to lef1 and other tcf genes.

Alignment of the previously published *tcf7l1a* morpholino (**A**, mo1^{tcf7l1a}, Dorsky et al. 2003),

and mo2^{tcf7/1a} (B) with less homology to other lef/tcf genes. Target genes in bottom

sequence, 5'UTR in lowercase, gene open reading frame in bold uppercase.

FigS3. tcf7/1a morpholino (mo2^{tcf7/1a}) phenocopies the Ztcf7/1a^{-/-} mutant.

(A, B) Dorsal views of rx3/pax2a in situ hybridisation at 100%epibly and (C, D) lateral

views of 30hpf wildtype embryos injected with 400pmols of (A, C) control morpholino or

(**B**, **D**) mo2^{tcf7/1a}.

(E) Plot showing the quantification of the eye profile area in wildtype embryos injected

with control morpholino (first bar) or mo2^{tcf7/1a} (second bar) at 30hpf.

FigS4. The eye field domain of the anterior neural plate is caudalised in Ztcf7l1a

mutants.

Dorsal views of anterior neural plates, anterior up.

(A-D) Double fluorescent in situ hybridisation of prospective telencephalic marker emx3

(blue) and prospective eye field marker rx3 (red) (A, B), and prospective diencephalic

marker barh/2 (blue) and rx3 (red) (C, D) in wildtype (A, C) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (B, D) embryos at

10hpf.

(**E, F**) *In situ* hybridisation of *barhl2* in wildtype (**E**) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**F**) embryos at 9hpf.

FigS5. Eye vesicle evagination in wildtype and MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants.

Confocal time lapse movie snapshots (1 frame every 25 minutes) of wildtype (A) and

 $MZtcf7/11a^{-1}$ mutants (**B**) expressing the $Tg(rx3:GFP)^{zf460Tg}$ transgene. First frame taken at

11hpf. Minutes after movie has started indicated in each frame.

FigS6. Optokinetic response analysis of wildtype and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants.

(A) Average of left and right eye movement speed in degrees(deg)/second(sec), when

wildtype (filled circles) and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants (empty circles) embryos were presented

with vertical moving stripes at increasing angular speeds, 0.05, 0.1, 0.13 and 0.16

cycles(cyc)/deg. The data used to generate this plot is presented in TableS6.

(B) Tabulation of the data used on the plot. No significant difference observed when

performing an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Average (avg), standard

deviation (SD).

FigS7. Quantification of the posterior lateral line primordium position in wildtype

and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants.

(A) Plot showing the position of the posterior lateral line primordium relative to somite

number in wildtype (white bars) and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants (black bar) at 24, 30 and 36hpf.

(B) Tabulation of the data used on the plot. No significant difference observed when

performing an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Average (avg), standard

deviation (SD).

FigS8. Genomic DNA sequence of hesx1 locus spanning exons 1 and 2.

Genomic DNA sequence deleted in U768 fish in bold. hesx1 exons 1 and 2 highlighted in

yellow. Open reading frame first codon in exon 1 is highlighted in red.

FigS9. Genetic map of U762 modifier of the tcf7/1a mutant phenotype and protein

product of $cct5^{U762}$.

(A) Representation of the SSLP segregation linkage analysis mapping of **U762** modifier of

tcf71a to a 1.69Mb interval on chromosome 12, between 15.50 Megabases (Mb) with 1

recombinant (rec) and 17.19Mb with 1 rec. Green ticks highlight sequenced genes in the

interval that show no mutations.

(B) Nucleotide and protein sequence of wildtype (top alignment) and cct5^{U762} (bottom

alignment). cct5 exon 4 nucleotides and amino acids in blue. The last two 3' nucleotides

in exon 4 that are used in cct5^{U762} as a splice donor in red. Nonsense amino acid

sequence in $cct5^{U762}$ in red.

TableS1. qRT-PCR data on mRNA levels from Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} versus wildtype embryos.

Figures represent the fold change of Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} over wildtype qRT-PCR experiments

performed as technical and biological triplicates using GAPDH as a reference control.

Standard deviation (SD). P value calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction.

TableS2. Measurement of the volume of the prospective forebrain in wildtype and

Ztcf7l1a mutants.

Prospective forebrain size data generated by measuring the volume enclosed by emx3

expression to the rostral limit of pax2a (mesencephalic marker) expression after whole

mount in situ hybridisation in wildtype (+/+), $tcf7/11a^{+/-}$ (+/-) and $Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ (-/-) embryos at

10hpf. Data in µm². Average (avg), standard deviation (SD). None significant, ns. P value

calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

TableS3. Measurement of the volume of rx3 expression in the eye field by

fluorescent in situ hybridisation in wildtype and tcf7/1a mutants.

Confocal volume analysis of rx3 fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) on wildtype (+/+),

 $tcf7/1a^{+/-}$ (+/-) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (-/-) at 10hpf. Data in µm³. Average (avg), standard deviation

(SD). none significant (ns). P value calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's

correction.

TableS4. Eye volume measurement data in wildtype and tcf7/1a mutants.

Data of eve volume measurement figures in um³ in wildtype and tcf7/1a^{-/-} embryos at 24.

28, 32, 36, 48, 60, 72 and 96hpf. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD), percentage of

Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eye volume size relative to wildtype eyes (%).

TableS5. Classification and quantification of atoh7 expression patterns in

46

wildtype and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eyes.

Quantification of atoh7 expression categories in the eye at 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48

and 52hpf in wildtype (top table) and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} (bottom table) embryos. atoh7

expression was classified in the following categories: VN, ventro nasal; VN+, ventro

nasal plus a few scattered cells; N+, nasal plus scattered cells covering the whole retina;

NR, nasal retina; WR, whole retina; PR, peripheral retina.

TableS6. Eye volume measurement data in heat-shocked control wildtype and

Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32} embryos.

Tabulation of eye volume measurements in µm3 in heat shock control wild-type and

Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32} embryos at 28, 32, 36, 48, 60 and 72, used for plot in Fig.5R. Average

(avg), standard deviation (SD), percentage of $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ eye volume size relative

to heat shock control wildtype eyes (%).

TableS7. List of genes in the U768 genetic interval.

Position of the genes in the *U768* interval in megabases (Mb) in the GRCz10 assembly.

Mapped gene is highlighted in yellow.

TableS8. Frequency of eyeless embryos and their respective genotypes in four

incrosses of hesx1-/-/tcf7l1a+/- fish.

TableS9. List of the genes in the *U762* genetic interval.

Position of genes in the U762 interval in megabases (Mb) in the GRCz10 assembly.

Mapped gene is highlighted in yellow.

TableS10. Eye volume measurement data in wildtype, tcf7l1a, gdf6a and

gdf6a/tcf7l1a double mutant sibblings.

Data of eye volume measurement figures in µm³ in embryos at 80hpf. Average (avg),

standard deviation (SD), percentage of mutant eye volume relative to wildtype eyes (%).

47

Fig1. Young et al.,




Fig2. Young et al.,





Fig3. Young et al.,






Fig4. Young et al.,




Fig5. Young et al.,




Fig6. Young et al.,




Fig7. Young et al.,







Fig8. Young et al.,




FigS1. Young et al.,




FigS2. Young et al.,




FigS3. Young et al.,




FigS4. Young et al.,



FigS5. Young et al.,



FigS6. Young et al.,




В

	0.05 c	yc/deg	0.1 cy	/c/deg	0.13 c	yc/deg	0.16 cyc/deg	
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}
1	3.60	3.33	2.08	2.44	1.05	1.33	0.39	0.95
2	4.21	4.53	4.06	2.52	2.18	1.25	1.10	0.60
3	4.63	3.24	3.72	2.75	2.29	1.05	1.60	1.01
4	3.80	3.26	2.59	2.51	1.44	1.68	0.75	0.86
5	4.15	3.28	3.24	2.53	2.35	1.34	1.18	0.94
6	3.22	2.67	2.08	1.88	1.63	1.10	0.70	0.51
7	3.16		2.08		1.59		0.92	
8	2.51		1.16		0.71		0.21	
9	3.08		2.47		1.74		0.94	
avg	4.08	3.39	2.61	2.44	1.66	1.29	0.87	0.81
SD	0.67	0.61	0.91	0.29	0.56	0.22	0.42	0.21

FigS7. Young et al.,

A




В

	24hpf		30	hpf	36	Shpf
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}
	6.5	7	10	10	17	15
	6.5	6	9.5	10	15	15
	6	2	9	9	11	17
	4	5.5	7	9.5	16	16.5
	2	5.5	12	9	15.5	20
	4	3.5	10.5	10	17.5	14
	7.5	3	10.5	10.5		14
	5	4	9	8.5		14.5
	7	3	10	12		13
	3	2.5	13	8		10
	3	5	11	11		
	5		10	11		
			5			
			11.5			
avg	5.0	4.3	9.9	9.9	15.3	14.9
SD	1.78	1.62	1.52	1.15	2.32	2.63

FigS8. Young et al.,

TCTAATTGGTAATTTCTCAGCAAAACAATGGCTCCGCAGGGGCCGCGCTTGTGTCCTCGGCGC TCTCCGAGAAGCCAAGACAAATAAACAAGCAAGTCATTTATTAAAAGCAGCCAAGCCAAC AGAGATCAATTCAGAGCTGAATGAGACAATTATTACATGCTGCTTCCAGCAAATTGCCAAGCG **ATTCAGCAGGATTAGTTTTAAACAGCATTATAGGCTTTATTATTATTTAAACTCGTGTGCTAGAA** AAATGAAGTAAATAAGATTAGCCACTAGTTGACTGCTTCCTCATAATGGCGGAGATATGGAAG AAGGAAATAAGGAGAGGATAAATCCTGGGAACGGGCCTAATTTTCTACCTGGTGAGTAAAGA GAATGCCACATCAGCCGTGTTTTGTCCTTTTCAATAGCAGGTTTAAGGGATTCAGTGTCTCCA GAAGATAACAGGTTGCTTTTTCAGGTTGAAACGCCTTACTTGGACCCCGAATTACCTGCAGTC CAAGGGCCCCATGCGGAGGACCAGGCGATTCACATGTAAAACACTGGCTTTAATTAGTGACGT TTTGGGGGGGAGTGTGAAGATATAAATAAGACTCAACAAGCTTTCAGATCACA<mark>TCAGTTGGA</mark> GTTAAATTAAAGGACTTGAGTTTAGCAATGGCTTCTCTTGCAAACAGCCCGTCTGTGTTTACC **ATCGACAGCATCCTGGGACTGGATCGACCGGAGCAGAGAACATGTCCTTACAGGCCCTGGACA** CTTGATAAGATGCTTAATTTAACTTATCTTTAATGTAAAAACGACCGAGGCAATTTCTTGTAT GTGAGCCACTTTCGGTTTCAGGCTTTTGTTTATTTACTTCATGTGTCTGTTGTGCTGCTCGAT GACTTACTCTGTCAAACGTTTGTCTCTTATTTACCTCAGAACGACATCGGAAATAGCTTTGAC ATTGTTAAAAGATCAACAAATATTTAATCTCAGGCTTTAAAATATGCTGCTAATAGTCAAAAG ATGCGACTTCATGTTATTTTTAAAATAAATGCTATCTTTTGACAATAATATTATAAAAAGTA TTAATAATAAATGCAACTTTTGACAATTTGAATAATTCTGAGCGAAGACTCTGTTTACTGAAC CAATTCTCTGTAGTGACTCGTTTGAACAAATTGTACTAACATTATTTTTTTATTATCTATTTAA CTATTTTGACAATTTTTCTGCATTAAAAAAAAATCGACCACAATAACACATTATCTATTATTG TAATATTACAAATAATTTAATATTTTTTTTAACATAAATCTTGTATTTTAACATTGTTAAT ATTGTGTAAAATATTAATAACAAACAATTTTTGACAGTTTTGATGACTCTGAATAATTTTGAG TGATGACTCGGTTTACTGAACTGATTCTCTATAGCGACTTGTTCGAATAAATTGATACGCTAC CTCGTACTAATATATTTTTTTTTTTTTAACCATGAAGCCAGTCTGTTAATATACTGTTAA TAGTAAAAAAAGCAGCGTAATTATATGTTATTTTAGCCTATTTTTGACTATTTTTGTGCATTAAA AAAAAAACGACCACAATAATTCCATAGTATCTATCTTTGCAATATTACAAATAATTTAATATT ATTATCTTTTAAATAAATCTATTTCTAACATAAATATTGTGTAAAATATTAACAATAAACACA TCTGGAGCGATTTGTTCAAACAAATTGATCCCTTCGTACTAGCATCATTTTTAATAGTTTATT TAAGACTTGATGTCAGCCTGTTAATATACTTAAAGTATTCTTTTAATAGTAAAATAGAAACGT AATATATTGTTAAAAGTAAATGTAATGAACGTAATTATATGTTATTTAGCCTATTTTGACTAT TTTTGGTGCATTTTTAATAAAAAATCGACCACAATAACACCATATTATCTATTATTGCAATAT TACAAATAATTTAATATTACTAGTAAAGATTATGTTAAGATTTAGTTAAGATTATGTAAAATA GACTCTGTGAAGCGACTCGTTCAAATAAATCGAGACCCTCGAGATGATTTACCATCATCATAA TATCTACCATTGTTTGTTTTCAGACGTGAAGCCAGCATGTCAGAATCGTCGAGTGGTGA CAGAAAATGATGCTCCAGTGGATGTGAGAGGGAAATGAAGATGGTAAATCTTTCAGTAAATCAC CAACTGACTCGTACAGGAGAACACTAAACTGGTACATCGGGCGCAGGCCGAGAACAGCCTTCT **CCAGTGTTCAG**GTAAAATACCCAGTCTATGAAAATATCCTGTCAAGGTTTGTCAAGTATGCCA ACATTGAAATGGGCTAAAAGCCTATGGATATAACATTAAATCTAAACCCATATGGTATT (AAA ATATATATATATATGAACAATTTTTGTTTATTTAACTATATTTTGCAACGTATTTAAATGTAT

FigS9. Young et al.,



Cct5-WT

Cct5-U762

E G C G L *

TableS1 Young et al.,

	lef1	tcf7	tcf7l1a	tcf7l1b	tcf7l2	otx1b	otx2	six3b	rx3
sample1	0.95	0.9	0.18	0.65	0.7	0.89	0.93	0.35	0.24
sample2	1.17	1.4	0.19	0.74	0.74	0.98	0.89	0.53	0.27
sample3	0.67	0.75	0.12	0.5	0.43	0.55	0.57	0.45	0.28
average	0.93	1.02	0.16	0.63	0.62	0.81	0.80	0.44	0.26
SD	0.25	0.34	0.04	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.20	0.09	0.02
p value	ns	ns	<0.0006	<0.0341	<0.058	ns	ns	<0.091	<0.0002

TableS2 Young et al.,

	emx3/pa	ax2 enclosed	l area (μm²)
tcf7l1a	+/+	+/-	-/-
1	57356	58827	41345
2	68208	50347	41664
3	46505	77337	45372
4	57387	50698	40291
5	55112	59242	44298
6	58241	59966	42625
7	65606	59620	39436
8	53148	57212	40810
9		57569	47467
10		68588	40511
11		53195	61870
12		66222	
13		50361	
14		60196	
15		51393	
avg	57695	58718	44154
SD	6826	7546	6359
p value		ns	>0.0004

TableS3 Young et al.,

	FISH	1 rx3 volume	μm ³
tcf7l1a	+/+	+/-	-/-
1	3468509	1810323	1317753
2	3331273	2946858	2088092
3	3459986	3570590	601886
4	2844270	2910427	1129636
5	2812498	4191624	3046388
6	2243012	2814065	2720645
7	3842671	1521935	1135385
8	3167430	2444687	1530173
9	2318616	1924252	1544908
10	3965102	2036910	1481356
11	3071456	2499994	
12	2849282	2138121	
13	2062847	2942258	
14		3107022	
15		4509205	
16		2983755	
17		2427448	
18		3223429	
19		3778770	
20		3688986	
21		2927797	
22		2194710	
23		3011901	
24		2878935	
avg	3033611	2853500	1659622
SD	591502	742559	751706
p value		ns	<0.0001

TableS4 Young et al.,

SD %

	241	hpf	281	npf	321	hpf	36	hpf
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}						
	2173725	1017791	2342908	1576503	2451428	1828463	3658209	2460898
	2303076	1051526	2570537	1917720	2489175	2039707	3274603	2439886
	1705511	1066121	2337095	1070995	2845175	1978270	3207975	2232993
	1955287	1308467	2348931	1790742	3006924	1914276	2897337	2221198
	2805372	1326337	2351628	1725672	2842500	1930381	3303325	2015056
	2253508	1301159	2735274	1637355	2785130	1741815	2697041	3066122
	1774861	1328035	2467551	1604776	2551566	1841438	3137085	1800979
	2487443	1301917	2672275	1617131	2999859	1947938	3384282	2323963
	1718721	1403183	2673491	1404137	2851039	2218943	3367798	2622681
	2255991	1641569	2510968	1856147	3378945	1862151	3615953	2352265
	2043767	1135015	2495736	1966110	2917531	2044091	3122494	2814747
	2630277	917394	2476897	1459703	3067765	2049230	2921844	2511973
		1094766	2572070		3020867	2289502	3046882	2860238
		1538292	2287218			1665499	3359380	2701119
			2632288			1894067	2860411	
							3447190	
avg	2175628	1245112	2498324	1635583	2862146	1949718	3206363	2458866
SD	354914	206675	142882	248218	256341	164423	272999	341047
%	57	'.2	65	5.5	68	8.1	76	5.7

481	hpf	60	hpf	72	hpf	96	hpf
wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}						
3999209	3307657	4100360	3883270	5009654	4145880	5455460	5167760
4497099	3004106	4984899	4002063	4880009	4385725	6215474	5465572
4266652	3720744	4516578	4147457	4793584	3970719	6661246	4610702
4353610	2987478	4531155	3651250	5259776	3960662	6229111	5724542
4411489	4023028	3879568	3763464	4788932	4331574	5666545	5198084
4251961	4151421	4491768	3736227	5238466	4602247	6315093	5364821
4041664	3767914	4631971	4491069	4934159	4039358	5973419	5764363
4278292	3633181	4396588	3982956	5007355	4400128	6358613	4601883
3280615	3766971	4608893	3390604	5117971	4641026	6503686	5465686
4567178	3082474	4837262	3372391	5046112		6611962	5134945
4309538	3648767	4631242	3638188	5140014		6058679	5523381
	3437048		3811614	5155990		5635639	4815731
	4451579		4351661	5057506		6161109	5278184
	3826961		4136280				5251031
	3641260						5092468
	3136116						
	3467977						
	3627969						
	3923272						
4205210	3610838	4510026	3882750	5033041	4275258	6142003	5230610
350291	393089	308370	328796	152611	258790	376463	351597
85	5.9	80	6.1	84	.9	8	5.2

TableS5 Young et al.,

tcf7l1a ^{+/+}	VN	VN+	N+	WR	PR	total
28hpf	0	17(61%)	11(39%)	0	0	28
32hpf	0	0	7(26%)	20(74%)	0	27
36hpf	0	0	0	12(34%)	23(66%)	35
40hpf	0	0	0	4(13%)	26(87%)	30
44hpf	0	0	0	0	25(100%)	25
48hpf	0	0	0	0	30(100%)	30
52hpf	0	0	0	0	32(100%)	32

tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	VN	VN+	NR	WR	PR	total
28hpf	10(91%)	1(9%)	0	0	0	11
32hpf	5(42%)	7(58%)	0	0	0	12
36hpf	0	4(44%)	5(56%)	0	0	9
40hpf	0	0	4(36%)	7(64%)	0	11
44hpf	0	0	0	12(100%)	0	12
48hpf	0	0	0	7(64%)	4(36%)	11
52hpf	0	0	0	0	8(100%)	8

TableS6 Young et al.,

	28	Sh	32	!h	36	ih
	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1
	2880708	3586604	2924810	3899004	2621115	4203479
	2335692	3141299	3171944	3787758	3706550	4247658
	2530889	3747160	2916297	3712662	3308758	4477313
	2227537	3312869	2921867	4075156	3494378	4772458
	2518781	3483894	2940009	4042865	3374152	3620543
	2237052	2942355	3173425	4252462	2921712	4497088
	2682092	3402808	3089701	3884847	3176612	4120514
	2408545	3496025	2676824	3738231	2621568	4507760
	2366171	3135479	2984936	4197349	3281049	4647388
	2797481	3183696	2580473	3821546	3194695	4706236
	2562875	3451061	3034976	4386690	3428635	4095251
	2625856	3480118	2836164	3631181	3423168	4059611
avg	2514473	3363614	2937619	3952479	3212699	4329608
SD	209290	226728	184625	225266	335726	332688
%	133	3.8	134	1.5	134	1.8

	48h		60h		72h	
	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1
	3981505	4439006	5096343	5219959	5421617	5321011
	3793638	5125272	4770837	5511928	5029041	6132984
	4467087	5679740	4615612	5358357	5345795	6150666
	4259877	5376223	4782028	5221531	5237803	5079509
	4098603	5318863	4635136	4363412	5438979	5794780
	4023160	4645483	4714417	5034015	5449802	6034834
	4324980	4534146	4810382	4509100	5139948	5191601
	4429815	4730703	4450481	5014564	5253503	5383542
	3928429	4675130	4552075	5357178	4757565	5630658
	4231000	4750079	4698728	5136529	5246831	5302474
	3977397	4917608	4788792	5447982	5214540	5576887
	4244148	4794881		5101467	5333786	5325969
avg	4146637	4915595	4719530	5106335	5239101	5577076
SD	211019	379266	167448	368379	196495	373618
%	118	.5	108	.2	106	.5

TableS7 Young et al.,

genomic position in

		genomic position in			
	gene	chromosome 11			
1	her15	41.36-41.36 Mb			
2	abhd6a	41.46-41.48 Mb			
3	flnbl	41.51-41.52 Mb			
4	svbp	41.73-41.84 Mb			
5	phf13	41.75-41.77 Mb			
6	camta1	41.77-41.82 Mb			
7	dnajc11b	41.77-41.79 Mb			
8	fnlb	41.90-41.98 Mb			
9	simapa	42.03-42.14 Mb			
10	dennd6aa	42.15-42.17 Mb			
11	arf4b	42.17-42.18 Mb			
12	arf4a	42.19-42.20 Mb			
13	pde12	42.21-42.23 Mb			
14	atp6ap1la	42.24-42.26 Mb			
15	rps23	42.26-42.27 Mb			
16	asb14a	42.29-42.30 Mb			
17	appl1	42.31-42.33 Mb			
18	hesx1	42.34-42.34 Mb			
19	sef	42.35-42.49 Mb			
20	glyatl3	42.43-42.43 Mb			
21	zgc:194981	42.43-42.45 Mb			
22	tdrd3	42.47-42.52 Mb			
23	pcdh20	42.62-42.62 Mb			
24	eml6	42.64-42.68 Mb			
25	асур2	42.81-42.81 Mb			
26	foxg1b	42.82-42.82 Mb			
	709-2	12.02 12.02 1110			

TableS8 Young et al.,

	no eye		small eye		wildtype eye	
	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1 ^{+/-, +/+}	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1 ^{+/-,} +/+	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1+/-, +/+
Pair1	13 (30%)	0	0	0	0	30
Pair2	16 (32%)	0	1	3	0	39
Pair3	11 (33%)	0	0	5	0	33
Pair4	13 (22%)	0	0	0	0	45

TableS9 Young et al.,

		genomic position in		
	gene	chromosome 24		
1	cbln2b	15.50 Mb		
2	fbxo15	15.51-15.52 Mb		
3	neto1l	15.75-15.99 Mb		
4	timm21	15.99-16.00 Mb		
5	sema5a	16.24-16.56 Mb		
6	mtrr	16.70-16.76 Mb		
7	cct5	16.76 Mb		
8	cmbl	16.76-16.77 Mb		
9	klhl15	16.82-16.83 Mb		
10	eif2s3	16.83-16.85 Mb		
11	zfx	16.86-16.87 Mb		
12	ptgdsb	16.87-16.88 Mb		
13	c8g	16.88-16.89 Mb		
14	msrb2	16.89-16.90 Mb		
15	armc3	16.90-16.92 Mb		
16	pip4k2aa	16.92-16.98 Mb		
17	mllt10	16.99-17.07 Mb		
18	comm3	17.11 Mb		
19	bmi1a	17.11-17.12 Mb		
20	spag6	17.12-17.13 Mb		
21 pdia4		17.19 Mb		

TableS10 Young et al.,

	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	gdf6a ^{-/-}	gdf6a/tcf7l1a ^{-/-}
	2903277	2787301	2008253	1264302
	2819887	2299871	2128333	1119602
	3008809	2718549	2274431	1159715
	2961193	2807418	2505976	1564565
	2890943		2547329	1496518
	3147872			1364723
	2701579			
	3100625			
avg	2941773	2653285	2292864	1328238
SD	145993	238661	233764	179731
%		90	78	45