Compensatory mechanisms render Tcf7l1a dispensable for eye formation despite its

cell-autonomous requirement in eye field specification

Rodrigo M. Young^{1*}, Florencia Cavodeassi^{1,2#}, Thomas A. Hawkins^{1#}, Heather L. Stickney^{1,3}, Quenten Schwarz⁴, Lisa M. Lawrence^{1,5}, Claudia Wierzbicki^{1,6}, Gaia Gestri¹, Elizabeth Ambrosio¹, Allison Klosner¹, Jasmine Rowell¹, Isaac H. Bianco¹, Miguel L. Allende⁷ and Stephen W. Wilson^{1*}

* Correspondence: rodrigo.young@ucl.ac.uk or s.wilson@ucl.ac.uk

Co-second authors

1 Department of Cell and Developmental Biology, UCL, Gower Street, London WC1E 6BT, UK.

2 Present address: Institute of Medical and Biomedical Education, St George's, University of London, London SW17 0RE, UK.

3 Present address: Ambry Genetics, 15 Argonaut, Aliso Viejo, CA 92656

4 Present address: Centre for Cancer Biology, University of South Australia and SA Pathology, Frome Road, Adelaide, SA, 5000, Australia.

5 Present address: Department of Molecular Medicine University of Auckland School of Medicine Private Bag Auckland, 92019, New Zealand

6 Present address: Department of Infectious Disease Epidemiology and MRC Centre for Global Infectious Disease Analysis, School of Public Health, Imperial College London, London W2 1PG, UK

7 Center for Genome Regulation, Facultad de Ciencias, Universidad de Chile, Santiago 8370415, Chile.

Abstract

The vertebrate eye originates from the eyefield, a domain of cells specified by a small number of transcription factors. In this study, we show that Tcf7la is one such transcription factor that acts cell-autonomously to specify the eye field in zebrafish. Despite the much-reduced eyefield in *tcf7l1a* mutants, these fish develop normal eyes revealing a striking ability of the eye to recover from a severe early phenotype. This robustness is not mediated through compensation by paralogous genes; instead, the smaller optic vesicle of *tcf7l1a* mutants shows delayed neurogenesis and continues to grow until it achieves approximately normal size. Although the developing eye is robust to the lack of Tcf7l1a function, it is sensitised to the effects of additional mutations. In support of this, a forward genetic screen identified mutations in *hesx1*, *cct5* and *gdf6a*, which give synthetically enhanced eye specification or growth phenotypes when in combination with the *tcf7l1a* mutation.

Introduction

The paired optic vesicles originate from the eye field, a single, coherent group of cells located in the anterior neural plate (Cavodeassi 2018). During early neural development, the specification and relative sizes of prospective forebrain territories, including the eye field, depend on the activity of the Wnt/ β -Catenin and other signalling pathways (Beccari et al., 2013; Cavodeassi 2014; Wilson and Houart, 2004). Enhanced Wnt/ β -Catenin activity leads to embryos with small or no eyes (Cavodeassi et al., 2005; Kim et al., 2000; Heisenberg at al., 2001; Houart et al., 2002). In contrast, decreasing activity of Wnt/ β -Catenin signalling generates embryos with bigger forebrain and eyes (Cavodeassi et al., 2005; Glinka et al., 1998; Lekven et al., 2001; Houart et al., 2002). Although much research has focused on the molecular mechanisms involved in the specification of the eye field, little is known about what happens to the eyes if eye field size is disrupted.

A number of genes have been identified as encoding a transcription factor network that specifies the eye field (Beccari et al 2013; Zuber et al. 2003). These genes have been defined based on conserved cross species expression patterns in the anterior neuroectoderm and on phenotypes observed when overexpressed or when function is compromised (Beccari et al., 2013). Perhaps surprisingly, to date there are relatively few mutations that lead to complete loss of eyes suggesting that early stages of eye development are robust to compromised function of genes involved in eye development. Indeed, in humans, eye phenotypes are often highly variable in terms of penetrance and expressivity even between left and right eyes (Reis and Semina, 2015; Williamson and FitzPatrick, 2014). This again raises the possibility that the developing eye is robust and can sometimes cope with mutations in genes involved in eye formation.

Genetic robustness is the capacity of organisms to withstand mutations, such that they show little or no phenotype, or compromised viability (Felix and Barkoulas, 2015; Wagner, 2005). This inherent property of biological systems is wired in the genetic and proteomic interactomes and enhances the chance of viability of individuals in the face of mutations. High throughput reverse mutagenesis projects and the emergence of CRISPR/Cas9 gene editing techniques have highlighted the fact that homozygous loss of function mutations in many genes generate viable mutants with no overt phenotype (Varshney et al., 2015; Dickinson et al., 2016; Meehan et al., 2017). Across phyla, mutations in single genes are more likely to give rise to viable organisms than to show overt or lethal phenotypes. For instance, it is estimated that zygotic homozygous null mutations in just ~7% of zebrafish genes compromise viability before 5 days post fertilisation (Kettleborough et al., 2013) and 8-10% between day 5 and 3 months (Shawn Burgess, personal communication); and compromised viability is predicted following loss of function for about 35% of mice genes (Dickinson et al., 2016; Meehan et al., 2017). Furthermore, apparently healthy viable

З

homozygous or compound heterozygous 'gene knockouts' have been found for 1171 genes in the Icelandic human population (Sulem et al., 2015) and for 1317 genes in the Pakistani population (Saleheen et al., 2017).

In some cases, the lack of overt phenotype may be due to redundancy in gene function based on functional compensation by paralogous or related genes (Barshir et al., 2018, Hurles, 2004, Wagner, 1996). We can assume that genes that do not express a phenotype when mutated are not lost to genetic drift because in some way they enhance the fitness of the species. For instance, even though two paralogous *Lefty* genes encoding Nodal signalling feedback effectors have been shown to be dispensable for survival, they do make embryonic development robust to signalling noise and perturbation (Rogers et al., 2017).

Genetic compensation for deleterious mutations is a cross-species feature (EI-Brolosy and Stanier, 2017), and mRNAs that undergo nonsense-mediated decay due to mutations that lead to premature termination codons can upregulate the expression of paralogous and other related genes (EI-Brolosy et al., 2018). However, only a fraction of genes have paralogues and other compensatory mechanisms must contribute to the ability of the embryo to cope with potentially deleterious mutations. One such mechanism is distributed robustness, which can emerge in gene regulatory networks (Wagner, 2005). This kind of robustness relies on the ability of the network to regulate the expression of genes and/or the activity of proteins within the network, such that homeostasis is preserved when one of its components is compromised (Davidson, 2010; Peter and Davidson, 2016).

Maternal-zygotic *tcf7/1a* mutant zebrafish have been previously described as lacking eyes (Kim et al., 2000). In this study, we show that expression of this phenotype is dependent on the genetic background. We find that *tcf7/1a* mutants can develop functional eyes and are viable, and that this is not due to compensatory upregulation of other *lef/tcf* genes. Despite the presence of functional eyes, the eye field in *tcf7/1a* mutants is only half the size

of the eye field of wildtype embryos, indicating an early requirement for *tcf7l1a* during eye field specification. We further show that this requirement is cell autonomous, revealing a striking dissociation between the early role and requirement for Tcf71a in eye field specification and the later absence of an overt eye phenotype. Subsequent to compromised eye field specification, *tcf7l1a* mutant eyes recover their size by delaying neurogenesis and prolonging growth in comparison to wildtype eyes. This compensatory ability of the developing eye was also observed when cells are removed from the wild type optic vesicles. All together, our study suggests that the loss of Tcf7l1a does not trigger any genetic compensation or signalling pathway changes that restore eye field specification; instead, the developing optic vesicle shows a remarkable ability to subsequently modulate its development to compensate for the early, severe loss of eye field progenitors.

The penetrance and expressivity of eye phenotypes appears to be dependent on complex genetic and environmental interactions (Gestri et al. 2009; Kaukonen et al., 2018; Prokudin et al., 2014). Thus, we speculated that *Tcf7/1a* mutant eyes may be sensitised to the effects of additional mutations. Here we show this is indeed the case and describe the isolation of three mutations from a recessive synthetic modifier screen in *tcf7/1a* homozygous mutant zebrafish that lead to enhanced/novel eye phenotypes when in combination with loss of *tcf7/a* function.

In summary, our work shows that zebrafish eye development is robust to the effects of a mutation in *tcf7l1a* due to growth compensatory mechanisms that may link eye size and neurogenesis. Our study adds to a growing body of research revealing a variety of mechanisms by which the developing embryo copes with the effects of deleterious genetic mutations.

Results

The *tcf7l1a^{m881/m881}* mutation is fully penetrant but maternal-zygotic mutants show no overt eye phenotype and are viable

The *headless (hdl)*^{mes} mutation in *tcf7l1a* (*tcf7l1a*^{-/-} from here onwards) was identified because embryos lacking maternal and zygotic (MZ) gene function lacked eyes (Kim et al., 2000). However, no overt defects were observed in zygotic (Z) *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutants, due to functional redundancy with the paralogous *tcf7l1b* gene (Dorsky et al., 2003). In our facility, $MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}$ embryos showed a variable eye phenotype, ranging from eyeless, to small and overtly normal eyes, with proportions that varied in clutches from different pairs of fish (not shown). We hypothesised that genetic background effects could be responsible for either enhancing or suppressing the eyeless phenotype. To test this idea, we outcrossed *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} fish to *ekkwill (EKW)* wildtype fish and identified *tcf7l1*^{+/-} carriers by PCR genotyping. After three generations of outcrossing to *EKW* fish, we incrossed *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutant fish developed eyes only slightly reduced in size compared to eyes of wildtype embryos of the same *EKW* strain (100%, n>100; Fig.1A,B).

The *tcf7l1a^{m881}* mutation creates a splice acceptor site in intron 7, which leads to a 7 nucleotide insertion in *tcf7l1a* mRNA that gives rise to a truncated protein due to a premature termination codon (Kim et al., 2000). Given that the wildtype splice site in intron 7 is still present in *tcf7l1a* mutants, we assessed whether the lack of phenotype in *MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants could be due to incomplete molecular penetrance as a result of expression of mRNA from both wildtype and mutant splice sites. The chromatogram sequence of the RT-PCR product amplifying exons 7 and 8 in wildtype, mutant and heterozygous embryos shows that only wildtype *tcf7l1a* mRNA is detected in wildtype embryos and only mutant mRNA containing the 7 nucleotide insertion is observed in

mutants, while heterozygous embryos produce both wildtype and mutant mRNAs (Fig.S1; Kim et al., 2000). This suggests that the mutant splice site is the only one used in $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ embryos. In addition, while overexpression of wildtype tcf7/1a mRNA rescues eye formation in embryos in which both tcf7/1a and tcf7/1b are knocked down, $tcf7/1a^{m881}$ mutant mRNA does not, confirming that protein arising from the $tcf7/1a^{m881}$ allele is not functional (not shown; Kim et at., 2000). These observations suggest that the *m881* allele is indeed a null mutation and that tcf7/1a is not essential for eye formation.

Supporting a requirement for *tcf7l1a* to form eyes, antisense morpholino knockdown of *tcf7l1a* (mo1^{*tcf7l1a*}) leads to eyeless embryos (Dorsky et al., 2003) comparable to the originally described *headless MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutant phenotype (Kim et al. 2000). However, the target site for the morpholino used in that study shows considerable sequence homology to the translation start ATG region of other *tcf* gene family members (56-76%; Fig.S2A). This suggests that the mo1^{*tcf7l1a*} phenotype may be due to the morpholino knocking down expression of other *tcf* genes, as has been described for other morpholinos targeting paralogous genes (Kamachi et al., 2008). Indeed, injection of a different *tcf7l1a* morpholino (mo2^{*tcf7l1a*}) with low homology to other *tcf* genes (36-45%, Fig.S2B) does not lead to an eyeless phenotype (0.4pMol/embryo, 100%, n>100; Fig.1C,D). *tcf7l1b* morpholino injection on its own shows no overt phenotype (Dorsky et al., 2003) but co-injection of mo2^{*tcf7l1a*} and mo^{*tcf7l1b*} gives rise to eyeless embryos (each at 0.2pMol/embryo, 78.26%, n=92; Fig.1E and see Dorsky et al., 2003). This suggests that even though mo2^{*tcf7l1a*} injection alone results in no phenotype, the morpholino does knockdown *tcf7l1a*.

Together, these results suggest that even though *tcf7l1a^{-/-}* is a fully penetrant null mutation, lack of maternal and zygotic *tcf7l1a* function alone does not lead to loss of eyes in all genetic backgrounds.

tcf7l1a loss of function is not compensated by upregulation of other tcf genes

Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} and M*Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos develop eyes whereas embryos lacking both *Ztcf7l1a* and *Ztcf7l1b* do not (Dorsky et al. 2003). Thus, we hypothesised that enhanced expression of the paralogous *tcf7l1b*, or other *lef/tcf* genes may compensate for the absence of *tcf7l1a* function, as shown for other mutations (El-Brolosy et al., 2018; Rossi et al., 2015). To test this idea, we assessed the expression of all *lef/tcf* genes by RT-qPCR in sibling wildtype and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutant embryos at the stage when the eye field has been specified (10 hours post fertilisation; hpf).

Expression levels of *lef/tcf* genes did not increase in *Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}* mutant embryos, which suggests that there is no compensatory upregulation (Fig.2A, TableS1). As previously shown, *tcf7/1a* undergoes nonsense-mediated decay in mutants resulting in reduced expression levels (Kim et al., 2000; Fig2A; TableS1). *lef1* and *tcf7* levels did not change significantly in mutants and *tcf7/1b* (*tcf3b*) and *tcf7/2* (*tcf4*) expression was actually reduced to $63\pm6\%$ and $62\pm8\%$ respectively of wildtype levels (Fig.2A; TableS1). The *otx1b* and *otx2* genes, which are expressed in the anterior neural plate, also showed slightly reduced expression (*otx1b*, reduced to $81\pm11\%$ and *otx2*, $79\pm10\%$) suggesting the anterior neural plate may be slightly reduced in size in mutants. Indeed the domain of the neural plate encompassed by expression of *emx3* around the anterior margin of the neural plate up to the mesencephalic marker *pax2a* (Fig.2 D, E) was reduced to 76% of wildtype size in mutants (n=11, p=0.0041, Fig.2B; TableS2). This indicates that a reduction in the size of the prospective forebrain of *Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}* embryos may contribute to the reduced levels of expression of *tcf7/1b*, *tcf7/2 and otx* genes. Overall these results suggest that *tcf* genes do not show compensatory upregulation in response to loss of *tcf7/1a* function.

Optic vesicles evaginate and form eyes in MZtcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants despite a muchreduced eye field.

More remarkable than the modest changes in *tcf* and *otx* gene expression was the finding that qRT-PCR showed very reduced expression of eye field genes in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutant embryos (Fig.2A; *rx3* reduced to $26\pm1\%$, p=0.0002 and *six3b* reduced to $44\pm5\%$, p=0.0091 of wildtype levels). Consequently, the presence of overtly normal looking eyes in both *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* and MZ*tcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos is surprising given that *rx3^{-/-}* mutant embryos lack eyes due to impaired specification/evagination of the optic vesicles (Loosli et at., 2003; Stigloher et al., 2006). We confirmed that expression of *six3b* and *rx3* is reduced in the anterior neural plate by *in situ* hybridisation in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* and *tcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants, data not shown). The expression of *six3b* is reduced in the eye field but not in the prechordal plate of *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants, likely explaining why qRT-PCR shows a greater reduction in *rx3* than *six3b* expression (Fig.2F-I; TableS1). Analysis of eye field volume by fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation (FISH) of *rx3* revealed a reduction to 54.7% of wildtype size (n=10, Fig.2C, J-M; TableS3) and intensity of expression within the reduced eye field also appeared reduced (Fig.2H,I).

Further ISH analysis suggests that it is the caudal region of the eye field that is most affected in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants. *emx3* expression directly rostral to the eye field is slightly broader in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants than wildtypes but expression does not encroach into the reduced eye field (Fig.2D,E; Fig.S4A,B, n=5 each condition). Conversely, expression of the prospective diencephalic marker *barhl2* caudal to the reduced eye field was expanded rostrally at 10hpf (Fig.S4C,D n=5 each condition) and even more evidently at 9hpf (Fig.S4E,F, 13/13 *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}*). These observations suggest a caudalisation of the anterior neural plate in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants leading to reduced eye field specification consistent with

phenotypes observed in conditions in which Wnt pathway repression is reduced (Heisenberg et al., 2001; Van de Water et al., 2001).

Despite the small size of eye field in $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants, optic vesicles appear to evaginate normally. Time lapse analysis of optic vesicle evagination using the $Tg(rx3:GFP)^{zf460Tg}$ transgene to visualise eye field cells (Brown et al., 2010) showed that optic vesicle morphogenesis in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ embryos proceeds as in heterozygous sibling embryos (Fig.S5A,B; $tcf7/1a^{+/-}$, n=6 and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ n=6; MovieS1 and S2).

Tcf7l1a functions cell-autonomously to promote eye field specification

Although Tcfs regulate the balance between activation and repression of the Wnt/ β Catenin pathway during anterior neural plate regionalisation (Kim at el., 2000, Dorski et al., 2003), it is unclear if Tcf function in the eye field is required for cells to adopt retinal fate. To address this, we determined whether Tcf7I1a function is required cell-autonomously during eye formation by transplanting wildtype and MZ*tcf7I1a*^{-/-} GFP labelled (GFP+) cells into wildtype and mutant hosts and analysing the expression of *rx3* when eye specification has occurred (100% epiboly; Fig.3).

Transplants of wildtype cells to $MZtcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutant embryos led to the recovery of *rx3* expression exclusively restricted to the wildtype GFP+ cell clones (13/13 transplants, Fig.3A-C). However, the border of the GFP+ wildtype clones showed less *rx3* expression, suggesting that cells at the edge of the graft are subject to cell non-autonomous signalling effects from cells surrounding the clone. Conversely $MZtcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutant GFP+ cells express much lower levels of *rx3* than wild type neighbours when positioned in the eye field of wildtype embryos (9/9 transplants, Fig.3D-G). The reduction in *rx3* expression was limited to the $MZtcf7/1a^{-/-}$ GFP+ mutant cells. Control transplants of cells from wildtype donor embryos to wildtype hosts showed no effect on *rx3* expression (not shown).

Consistent with a cell autonomous role for Tcf7I1a in eye formation, overexpression of the Wnt inhibitor Dkk1 (Hashimoto et al. 2000) expanded the anterior neural plate in both wildtype and *tcf7I1a* morphants, but *rx3* expression and eye field size remained much smaller in the enlarged anterior plate (Fig.3H-K)).

All together, these results support a cell-autonomous role for Tcf7I1a in promoting eye field specification.

Eye size in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos recovers with growth kinetics similar to wildtype embryos.

Despite a much-reduced eye field, eyes in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ fry and adults seem indistinguishable from those in wildtype siblings. Indeed, optokinetic responses of $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ and wildtype 5dpf larvae showed no significant differences at any of the four tested spatial frequencies (Fig.S6), suggesting that by this stage, $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eyes are functional and have a visual acuity comparable to that of wildtype siblings. Consequently, although $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ embryos show a robust and severe neural plate patterning phenotype, this recovers over time. To explore how this recovery happens, we measured the eye size in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ embryos from 24 to 96hpf (Fig.4A, C-L; TableS4).

We estimated eye volumes from retinal profiles (see methods) in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ and wildtype embryos. At 24hpf, eye volume in mutants was about 57% of the estimated volume of wildtype eyes at the same stage (Fig.4A,C,H; TableS4). However, by 48hpf mutant eyes are about 85% of the size of eyes in wildtype/heterozygous siblings (Fig.4A, G, L; TableS4). $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eye size does not further recover beyond this time point and up to 5dpf (Fig.4B). Eye growth in both wildtypes and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants show similar growth kinetics (Fig.4A). This suggests that even though $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eyes are smaller, they follow a comparable developmental time-course as wildtype eyes in the early growth phase between 24 and

36hpf but with about 8 hours delay (for instance, a 32hpf $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eye is about the same size as a wild-type 24hpf eye).

The temporal shift in eye growth in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants is not explained by an overall developmental delay as the position of the posterior lateral line primordium (pLLP) was similar to wildtype at all stages tested (Fig.S7).

Eye size recovers after physical ablation of much of the optic vesicle

To assess if the size recovery is a general feature of eye development, we physically ablated optic vesicle cells in wildtype embryos and assessed the effect on eye growth. Cells were aspirated from one of the two nascent optic vesicles at 12hpf (6 somite stage), leaving approximately the medial half of the vesicle intact (Fig.4M). At 36hpf there was still a clear size difference between the experimental and control eyes (Fig.4N). However, by 4dpf we observed no obvious size difference between control and experimental eyes (n=13/13, Fig.4O). Consequently, the forming eye can effectively recover from either genetic or physical reduction in the size of the eye field/evaginating optic vesicle.

Neurogenesis is delayed in *tcf71a* mutant eyes.

The observation that wildtype and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutant eyes display similar, but temporally offset, growth kinetics led us to speculate that that retinal neurogenesis might be delayed in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eyes to extend the period of proliferative growth prior to retinal precursors undergoing neurogenic divisions.

In the zebrafish eye, neurogenesis can be visualised by tracking expression of *atoh7* (*ath5*) in retinal neurons starting in the ventronasal retina at ~28hpf and spreading clockwise across the central retina until it reaches the ventrotemporal side (Masai et al., 2000; Hu and Easter, 1999; Fig.5A-E). Although *atoh7* is induced at a similar time in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* as in wildtype eyes, the subsequent progression of expression is delayed (Fig.5F-J, Q; TableS5).

Classifying the expression of *atoh7* in 6 categories according to its progression across the neural retina (see legend to Fig.5) revealed that *atoh7* expression in mutant retinas is slow to spread and remains restricted to the ventro-nasal or nasal retina for longer (Fig.5Q). Indeed, between 36 and 40hpf, $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ retinas express *atoh7* exclusively in the nasal half of the retina (Fig.5H, Q), a phenotype we did not see at any stage in sibling embryo eyes. These data indicate that progression of *atoh7* expression and neurogenesis is delayed by about 8-12 hours in $Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ retinas compared to siblings, a timeframe comparable to the delays seen in optic vesicle growth. In line with our results in $Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ embryos, eye vesicle ablated wildtype retinas also showed delayed neurogenesis compared to control non-ablated contralateral eyes at 36hpf (Fig.5K, L; 3/3 ablated eyes).

Our results suggest that retinal precursors in $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eyes remain proliferative at stages when precursors in wildtype eyes are already producing neurons.

Larger eyes undergo premature neurogenesis.

Our results are consistent with the idea that neurogenesis may be triggered when the optic vesicle reaches a critical size. To explore this possibility, we generated embryos with larger optic vesicles by overexpressing the Wnt antagonist Dkk1 (Hashimoto et al., 2000). Heatshocking $tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32}$ transgenic fish at 6hpf led to embryos with eyes ~34% bigger than control heat shocked embryos by 28hpf (Fig.5M, N, R, n=12; TableS6). After 36hpf, wildtype eyes gradually caught up in size as growth slowed in eyes in dkk1-overexpressed embryos (Fig.5R; TableS6).

Neurogenesis was prematurely triggered by 28hpf in the eyes of *dkk1* overexpressing embryos, with many more cells expressing *atoh7* compared to eyes in heat-shocked control embryos (Fig.5M, N, n=7 out of 9 embryos). This result is unlikely to be due to a direct effect of *dkk1* overexpression on neurogenesis as premature neurogenesis is not triggered in *tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)*^{w32} retinas heat-shocked at 24hpf (Fig.5O, P, n=10, 100%).

These results further support a link between eye size and the onset of neurogenesis and the size self-regulating ability of the forming eye.

ENU modifier mutagenesis screen in *tcf7l1a* mutant background reveals two groups of genetic modifiers.

Although eye formation can recover in *tcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants despite a much smaller eye field, we speculated that eye development in these embryos might be sensitised to showing the effects of additional mutations. To test this, we performed an ENU mutagenesis screen on fish carrying the *tcf7l1a* mutation (Fig.6A).

Homozygous *Ztcf7/1a* mutant adult male fish (F_0 founders) were exposed to four rounds of ENU (van Eeden et al., 1999) and then crossed with *Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}* adult females to generate F_1 families (Fig.6A). However, possibly because of cellular stress or the synergistic cumulative effect of many mutations induced by ENU, we observed many eyeless F_1 embryos. To circumvent this problem, we injected 10pg/embryo of zebrafish *tcf7/1a* mRNA to rescue any Tcf-dependent eyeless phenotypes in the F_1 embryos (Fig.6A). Adult F_1 fish were outcrossed to *EKW* wildtype strain. All F_2 fish were *tcf7/1a*^{+/-} and half carried unknown mutations (m) in heterozygosity (Fig.6A). To screen, we randomly crossed F_2 pairs from each family aiming for at least 6 clutches of over 100 embryos. The probability of finding double *Ztcf7/1a*^{-/-}/m^{-/-} embryos for independently segregating mutations is 1/16, hence we would expect to find ~6 double mutants in 100 embryos. Here, we describe examples of synthetic lethal mutations that lead to microphthalmia/anophthalmia (*U768*; Fig.6C) or eyes that fail to grow (*U762*, *U901*; Fig.7, 8).

A deletion in the *hesx1* locus is a modifier of the *tcf7l1a^{-/-}* phenotype that leads to loss

of eyes

tcf7/1a^{-/-} embryos that are homozygous for the U768 mutation are eyeless (Fig.6B, C) whereas homozygous U768 mutants with one or no mutant tcf7/1a alleles show no eye phenotype. U768 was mapped by SSLP segregation analysis (Kelly et al., 2000) to a 1.46Mb interval between 41.36Mb (4 recombinants/274meioses) and 42.82Mb (2 recombinants/274meioses) on chromosome 11 in GRCz10 assembly (Fig.6D; TableS7). Within this interval is *hesx1*, which morpholino knock-down experiments had previously suggested to genetically interact with tcf7l1a (Andoniadou et al., 2011). Primers for hesx1 cDNA failed to amplify in U768/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} eyeless embryo cDNA samples. Using a primer set that spans the hesx1 locus, we found that all U768/Ztcf7I1a^{-/-} eveless embryos have a ~2700bp deletion that covers hesx1 exons 1 and 2 (hesx1 $^{\Delta ex1/2}$; Fig.S8); this was unexpected as deletions are not normally induced by ENU (see below). Sequencing of the hesx1 locus reveals that there is a polyA stretch of approximately 80 nucleotides followed by a 33 AT microsatellite repeat on the 3' end of intron 2 that may have generated a chromosomal instability that led to the deletion of exons 1 and 2 (Fig.S8). As a consequence of the deletion, hesx1 mRNA was not detected by RT-PCR or in situ hybridisation in U768 homozygous embryos (Fig.6E, H, I). We further confirmed that only U768-F₂ embryos that are homozygous for both the *tcf7l1a* mutation and *hesx1*^{$\Delta ex1/2}$ are eyeless (Fig.6B,C).</sup>

As ENU usually generates point mutations, we speculated that the deletion in $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}$ was not caused by our mutagenesis but was already present in one or more fish used to generate the mutant lines. Indeed, we found the same deletion in wildtype fish not used in the mutagenesis project. To confirm that the eyeless phenotype in $U768/Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ double mutants is not caused by another mutation induced by ENU, we crossed $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ fish to one such wildtype *TL* fish carrying $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}$. Incrossing of $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}/tcf7/1a^{+/-}$ adult

fish led to embryos with a very small rudiment of eye pigment with no detectable lens (Fig.6J, K). Genotyping of eyeless and sibling embryos confirmed that only double homozygosity for $hesx1^{\Delta ex1/2}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ led to the eyeless embryo phenotype (TableS8).

The interaction between *hesx1* and *tcf71a* mutations strikingly illustrates how the developing eye can fully cope with loss of function of either gene alone but fails to form in absence of both gene activities. Additional eyeless families that do not carry the *hesx1* deletion were identified but they remain to be validated and mutations cloned.

The *tcf7l1a* mutation can enhance the phenotypic severity of mutants with small eyes

U762 mutants, wildtype for tcf7/1a or heterozygous for the tcf7/1a mutation, show reduced eye size by 72hpf and this phenotype is considerably more severe in embryos homozygous for the *tcf7l1a* mutation (Fig.7A-F). The U762 mutation was mapped by SSLP segregation analysis to a 1.69Mb interval between 15.50Mb and 17.19Mb on chromosome 24 (Fig.S9A). Through sequencing candidate genes in the interval (Fig.S9A; TableS9), we identified a mutation in the splice donor of cct5 (chaperonin containing TCP-1 epsilon) intron 4 (GT>GC, Fig.7G). The mutation leads to the usage of an alternative splice donor in the 3' most end of *cct5* exon 4, which induces a two nucleotide deletion in the mRNA (Fig.S9B). This deletion changes the reading frame of the protein C-terminal to amino acid 176, encoding a 29aa nonsense stretch followed by a stop codon (Fig.7H; Fig.S9B). The mutation also induces nonsense-mediated decay of the mRNA (not shown). U762 and cct5^{hi2972bTg} mutations failed to complement (not shown) supporting the conclusion that the mutation in U762 responsible for the *tcf7l1a* modifier phenotype is in *cct5*. Cct5 is one of the eight subunits of the chaperonin TRiC/TCP-1 protein chaperone complex, which assists the folding of actin, tubulin and many proteins involved in cell cycle regulation (Sternlicht et al., 1993; Dekker et al., 2008; Yam et al., 2008).

As Cct5 is implicated in the folding of cell cycle related proteins, and impairment of the cell cycle could affect neurogenesis in the eye, we assessed retinal neurogenesis by tracking GFP expression in the eyes of $cct5^{U762/U762}/Tg(atoh7:GFP)^{w021Tg}$ fish (Fig.7I-K). By 48hpf, wildtype eyes show strong GFP expression in the retinal ganglion cell layer (Fig.7I, n=4, arrow head; Masai et al., 2003) whereas although $cct5^{U762/U762}/Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ eyes, GFP-expressing neurons are almost completely restricted to the ventro-nasal retina (Fig.7K, n=6). Subsequently $cct5^{U762/U762}/Ztcf7/11a^{-/-}$ mutants (Fig.7N, n=4, arrowhead) show increased TUNEL labelling of apoptotic cells in the eye compared to single $cct5^{U762/U762}$ mutants (Fig.7M, arrowhead, n=8).

Homozygous *U901* mutants show a slightly smaller and misshapen eye; this mutation was mapped to *gdf6a* (Valdivia et al., 2016). Unlike *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants in which eye size recovers, eyes in *gdf6a^{U901/U901}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos remain smaller than in single mutants or wildtypes (Fig.8A-E). This suggests that the ability to compensate eye size is compromised in absence of both *gdf6a* and *tcf7l1a* function.

Altogether, analysis of the interacting mutations reveals that although abrogation of Tcf7l1a function alone has little effect on formation of eyes, it can lead to complete loss of eye formation or more severe eye phenotypes in combination with additional mutations. Consequently, although eye development is sufficiently robust to cope with loss of Tcf71a, mutant embryos are sensitised to the effects of additional mutations.

Discussion

In this study, we show that although Tcf7I1a is required for cells to adopt eye field identity and express *rx3*, *tcf7I1a* mutants form normal, functional eyes. This finding reveals a remarkable ability of the developing eye to form normally from an eye field that is half the size of that in the wildtype condition. Tcf function in *tcf7I1a* mutants is not genetically

compensated by upregulation of other *tcf* genes nor by other genetic mechanisms that restore neural plate regionalisation and eye field formation. Instead, we find that *tcf7/1a* mutant optic vesicles delay neurogenesis to enable size recovery. We observe a similar effect when optic vesicle cells are physically ablated. In contrast, neurogenesis is prematurely induced in larger optic vesicles, likely depleting progenitors and slowing growth. Our results suggest that size-dependent regulation of the balance between proliferation and differentiation may buffer the developing eye against initial differences in cell number. Although the developing eye can cope with loss of Tcf7l1a function, we speculated that embryos lacking Tcf7l1a would not be robust to the consequences of additional mutations affecting eye formation. In support of this, we identify mutations in three other genes that give synthetically enhanced eye phenotypes when combined with the *tcf7/1a* mutation. This approach facilitates identification of genes that participate in genetic networks that make developing eyes robust to mutations that compromise eye field specification and optic vesicle growth.

The *tcf7l1a* mutation is fully penetrant with no apparent genetic compensation during neural plate patterning

Tcf7l1 is a core Wnt pathway transcription factor that can activate or repress genes dependent upon the status of the Wnt signalling cascade (Cadigan and Waterman, 2012). Homozygous *tcf7l1* mutant mice present severe mesodermal and ectodermal patterning defects (Merrill et al., 2004), but the duplication of *tcf7l1* into *tcf7l1a* and *tcf7l1b* in zebrafish has led to functional redundancy (Dorsky et al., 2003).

Although MZ*tcf7l1a* embryos have a severe eye field specification phenotype they still develop normal eyes. We confirmed that the *tcf7l1a^{m881}* mutant allele is null, generates no wildtype transcript and that morpholino knock-down specifically of Tcf7l1a does not give an eyeless phenotype. Hence, the originally described MZ*tcf7l1a* eyeless phenotype (Kim

et al., 2000) may have been due to genetic background effects modifying the outcome of the $tcf7/1a^{m881}$ allele. The fact that we were able to recover an eyeless modifier of the tcf7/1a phenotype in our own mutagenesis pilot screen lends support to this idea.

At the stage of eye specification, we did not find genetic compensation in *tcf7/1a* mutants by other *tcf* genes. Even though *tcf7/1a* mutants develop eyes, they do so from an eye field that is ~50% smaller than wild-type. Although we did not find evidence for genetic compensation, and despite *tcf7/1* being duplicated in fish, the fact that neither gene has been lost due to genetic drift suggests that having both genes may confer enhanced fitness and robustness to zebrafish. As an example, paralogous Lefty proteins make Nodal signalling more stable to noise and perturbations during early embryogenesis (Rogers et al., 2017).

We show that Tcf7l1a has a cell-autonomous role in specification of the eye field. Tcf7l1a is required for the expression of *rx3* and consequently is a bona fide eye field gene regulatory network transcription factor that functions upstream to *rx3*. *tcf7l1a* is expressed very early in the anterior neural plate and so may work alongside *otx*, *sox*, *six* and *pax* genes to regionalise the eye-forming region of the neural plate (Beccari et al 2013; Zuber et al. 2003). Considering that it is the repressor activity of Tcf7l1a that promotes eye formation (Kim et al., 2000), the most likely role for Tcf7l1a is to repress transcription of a gene that suppresses eye field formation.

Compensatory tissue growth confers robustness to eye development

We show that despite the small eye field in *tcf7/1a* mutants, the optic vesicles evaginate and undergo overtly normal morphogenesis. Although *tcf7/1a* mutant eye vesicles are still much smaller than wild-type at 24hpf, we found that their eye growth kinetics are similar. This suggests that the mechanisms that regulate overall growth of the retina in both conditions are comparable albeit delayed in the *tcf7/1a* mutant retina.

Although atoh7 expression is initiated in the ventronasal retina in tcf7l1a mutants at the same stage as in wild-type eyes, the wave of *atoh7* expression that spreads across the retina is delayed by approximately 8-12hrs in mutants. atoh7 is required for the first wave of neurogenesis in the retina (Brown et al., 2001; Kay et al., 2001; Wang et al., 2001) and thus, the delay we see in *tcf7l1a* mutants suggests that RPCs continue proliferating in mutants at stages when they are already generating neurons in wild-type eyes. We presume that the extended period of proliferative growth due to delayed neurogenesis enables the forming eye to continue growing and recover its size. We observed a similar phenomenon of delayed neurogenesis and prolonged growth when cells were ablated from the optic vesicles. Conversely, *atoh7* spreads precociously in experimentally enlarged optic vesicles. The premature neurogenesis of RPCs in these conditions may contribute to eyes achieving a final size similar to wild-type. All together, our data suggest that the timing of the spread of neurogenesis across the retina is coupled to size of the eye, thereby providing a mechanism to buffer eye size. It is intriguing that the compensatory changes in growth seen in tcf7l1a mutant and optic-vesicle ablated eyes seem to occur prior to the establishment of the ciliary marginal zone, which accounts for the vast majority of eye growth (Fischer et al., 2013).

Our results support classical embryology experiments from Ross Harrison, Victor Twitty and others (Harrison, 1929, Twitty and Schwind, 1931, Twitty and Elliott, 1934). These investigators showed that when eye primordia from small-eyed salamander species (*A. punctatum*) were transplanted to larger-eyed salamanders (*A. Tigrinum*) or vice-versa, the eye derived from the grafted tissue formed an eye of a size corresponding to the donor salamander species. Species-specific size differences are also observed in self-organising *in vitro* cultured eye organoids derived from mouse or human embryonic stem cells (Nakano

et al., 2012). Our work, together with the experiments in salamanders and organoids, suggests that the developing eye has intrinsic size-determining mechanisms.

Size regulatory mechanisms have been previously described in other species and perhaps most extensively studied in the fly wing imaginal disc (Potter and Xu, 2001). Indeed, many models have been put forward to explain imaginal disk size control (Eder et al., 2017; Irvine and Shariman, 2017; Vollmer et al., 2017). It is evident that the final size of paired structures within individuals is remarkably similar supporting the idea that the mechanisms that control organ/tissue size are mostly intrinsic to the tissue/organ and highly robust.

Addressing eye robustness through a forward mutagenesis screen on *tcf7l1a* mutant background

Our results indicate that *tcf7/1a* mutant eyes are sensitised to the effects of additional mutations. Indeed, a homozygous deletion of the two first exons of *hesx1* leads to eyeless embryos when in combination with *tcf7/1a*. This result also confirms our previous observations suggesting a genetic interaction between *hesx1* and *tcf7/1a* based upon morpholino knock-down experiments (Andoniadou et al., 2007). Furthermore, both *hesx1* and *tcf7/1a* are expressed in the anterior neural plate including the eye field, and as observed in *tcf7/1a* zebrafish mutants, *hesx1* mutant mice also show a posteriorised forebrain (Andoniadou et al., 2007; Martinez-Barbera et al., 2000). These and our results suggest that Tcf7/1a and Hesx1 have similar, overlapping functions in the anterior neural plate such that the eyeless phenotype is expressed in zebrafish only when both genes are abrogated. Mutations in *hesx1* lead to anophthalmia, microphthalmia, septo-optic dysplasia (SOD) and pituitary defects in humans and mice (Dattani et al., 1998; Gaston-Massuet et al., 2008; Martinez-Barbera et al., 2000; Thomas et al., 2001). Interaction of *hesx1* mutations with other genetic lesions may also occur in patients carrying Hesx1 mutations, as the phenotypes in these individuals show variable expressivity (McCabe et

al., 2011). In these patients, *tcf7l1a* should be considered as a candidate modifier for *hesx1*-related genetic conditions.

Gdf6a is a TGFβ pathway member (David and Massagué, 2018) that when mutated in zebrafish results in small mis-patterned eyes, neurogenesis defects and retino-tectal axonal projection errors (Gosse and Baier, 2009; French et al., 2009). In humans, mutations in *GDF6* have been identified in anophthalmic, microphthalmic and colobomatous patients (Asai-Coakwell et al., 2009) as well as in some cases of Leber congenital Amaerurosis (Asai-Coakwell et al., 2013). Double *gdf6a^{U768}/tcf7l1a* mutant eyes are smaller than both single mutants and fail to recover their size at later stages. This suggests that *gdf6a/tcf7l1a* double mutant optic vesicles do not show compensatory growth as *tcf7l1a* mutants. It is intriguing that *gdf6a* mutants show premature expression of *atoh7* and neurogenesis (Valdivia et al. 2016). If this phenotype is epistatic to the tissue size compensatory mechanisms, then this may contribute to the lack of compensatory proliferative growth in double mutant eyes.

Mutations in *cct5* in combination with *tcf7l1a* also led to phenotypes in which reduced eye size failed to recover. *cct5* codes for the epsilon subunit of the TCP-1 Ring Complex (TRiC) chaperonin that is composed of eight different subunits that form a ring, the final complex organised as a stacked ring in a barrel conformation (Yebenes et al., 2011). *In vitro* studies indicate TRiC chaperonin mediates actin and tubulin folding (Sternlicht et al., 1993); however, it also assists in the folding of cell cycle-related and other proteins (Dekker et al., 2008; Yam et al., 2008). A mutation in *cct2* has been found in a family with Leber congenital ameurosis retinal phenotype (Minegishi et al., 2016; Minegishi et al., 2018) and mutations in *cct4* and *cct5* have been related to sensory neuropathy (Pereira et al., 2017; Lee et al., 2003; Hsu et al., 2004; Bouhouche et al., 2006). Similar to our *cct5* mutant, *cct1*, *cct2*, *cct3*, *cct4 and cct8* mutant zebrafish show retinal degeneration (Berger et al., 2018; Matsuda and Mishina, 2004; Minegishi et al., 2018), suggesting that the *cct5* mutant phenotype is

due to abrogation of TRiC chaperonin function, and not due to loss of a *cct5* specific role. Double *cct5/tcf7l1a* homozygous mutant eyes degenerate prematurely and to a greater extent than *cct5* single mutants, and neurogenesis is also severely compromised. This shows that the consequence of *cct5* loss of function is exacerbated by the lack of *tcf7l1a* function, although it is currently unclear how such an interaction might occur. However, this genetic interaction does highlight that in some conditions a gene of pleiotropic function, like *cct5*, can lead to a specific phenotype in the eye.

Anophthalmia and microphthalmia are generally associated with eye field specification defects (Reis and Semina, 2015), but given that normal eyes can still develop from a much reduced eye field, further analysis of the genetic and developmental mechanisms that lead to small or absent eyes is warranted. Our isolation and identification of modifiers of *tcf7l1a* highlights the utility of genetic modifier screens to identify candidate genes underlying congenital abnormalities of eye formation. Indeed, given that Tcf7l1a itself can now be classified as a *bona fide* gene in the eye transcription factor regulatory network, it should be considered when screening patients with inherited eye morphological defects.

Author Contributions

RY and SW conceived the project and analysed the data; RY, FC, TH, GG, EA, AK, JR and IB performed the experiments; RY, FC, TH, HS, QS, LL and CW performed the genetic screen. RY and SW wrote the paper with input from all co-authors but primarily from FC, HS, TH, QS and GG. SW secured the funding of this project.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Ajay Chitnis and Richard Dorsky for sharing reagents and fish lines, colleagues for support and discussions and the UCL fish facility for fish care. This work was generously supported by funding from a Marie Curie Incoming International Fellowship

to RY, Wellcome Trust grants to SW and RY, an MRC Programme grant to SW and GG, Royal Society International Joint Project funding to SW and MA and FONDAP (15090007) to MA.

Methods

Animal use, mutant and transgene alleles, genotyping and heat shock

Adult zebrafish were kept under standard husbandry conditions and embryos were obtained by natural spawning. Wildtype and mutant embryos were raised at 28.5°C and staged according to Kimmel et al. (1995). To minimise variations in staging, embryos were collected every 30 minutes and kept separate clutches according to their time of fertilisation. Fish lines used were $tcf7/1a/headless(hdl)^{m881}$ (Kim et al., 2000), $cct5^{hi2972bTg}$ (Amsterdam et al., 2004), $cct5^{U762}$, $gdf6a^{U768}$ (Valdivia et al., 2016), $hesx1^{U910}$, $Tg(atoh7:GFP)^{w021Tg}$ (Masai et al., 2000), $Tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)^{w32}$ (Stoick-Cooper et al., 2007) and $Tg(rx3:GFP)^{r460Tg}$ (Brown et al., 2010). Genomic DNA was isolated by HotSHOT method (Suppl. Materials and methods) and all the alleles except for $cct5^{hi2972bTg}$ were genotyped by KASP assays (K Biosciences, assay barcodes: 1077647141 ($cct5^{U762}$), 1077647146 ($gdf6a^{U769}$), 172195883 (this assay discriminates a SSLP 500bp from the 3' end of the deletion in $hesx1^{U901}$), 1145062619 ($tcf7/11a^{m881}$)) using 1µl of genomic DNA for 8µl of reaction volume PCR as described by K Biosciences.

For heatshock (HS) gene induction, embryos from a heterozygous *Tg(hsp70:dkk1-GFP)*^{w32} to wild type cross were moved from embryo media at 28.5°c to 37°C at 6hpf or 20hpf for 45minutes, and then back to 28.5°C embryo media. Three hours post HS, embryos were separated in controls (GFP-) and HS experimental (GFP+) groups, and fixed at the stages described in results.

ENU mutagenesis and mutant mapping

Homozygous male *tcf7/1a^{m881}* fish were exposed to four rounds of ENU according to Van Eeden et al. (1999). Details of the mutagenesis pipeline are in the results section. Embryos from incrosses of carriers of the *cct5^{U762}* or *gdf6a^{U768}* mutations, which show a phenotype as homozygous embryos independently of mutations in *tcf7/1a*, were identified for the described eye phenotype at 3dpf to avoid ambiguity and false positives. For rough mapping, batches of 30 mutants and 30 siblings were fixed in methanol and genomic DNA was extracted by proteinase K protocol. This gDNA was then used for bulk segregant analysis PCR to test a library of 245 polymorphic SSLP variants spanning the whole zebrafish genome (Stickney et al., 2002). SSLP markers heterozygous in the sibling samples and homozygous in the mutant sample were confirmed on gDNA samples of 12 mutant and 12 sibling individuals. Markers that showed linkage to a locus were tested on additional mutant samples, and more SSLP markers were tested for the mapped region until a genomic interval was defined.

Homozygous *tcf7l1a/hesx1^{U901}* mutant carriers were incrossed, and eyeless embryos and siblings were fixed in methanol. Rough mapping was carried out as above but in this case sibling embryos used for bulk segregant analysis were genotyped for *tcf7l1a^{m881}* and only homozygous mutants with eyes were included in the sibling pool.

mRNA synthesis, embryo microinjection and morpholinos: mRNA for overexpression was synthesised using RNA mMessage mMachine transcription kits (Ambion). One to two cell stage embryos were co-injected with 10nl of 5pg of GFP mRNA and morpholinos or *in vitro* synthesised mRNA at the indicated concentrations. Only embryos with an even distribution of GFP fluorescence were used for experiments. Morpholino sequences: mo2 tc*f7l1a* (5' AGG CAT GTT GGC ACT TTA AAT G 3'), mo^{tcf7l1b} (5'-CAT GTT TAA CGT TAC GGG CTT GTC T-3'; Dorsky et al., 2002) and mo^c (TGT TGA AAT CAG CGT GTT CAA G).

 $tcf7/1a^{m881/m881}$ embryos injected with mo^{tcf7/1b} phenocopy the loss of eye phenotype seen in $tcf7/1a^{m881/m881}/tcf7/1b^{+/zf157tg}$ double mutants (Young and Wilson, unpublished).

RNA extraction, reverse transcription and qPCR

Total RNA and genomic DNA were isolated from individual embryos at 10hpf following Life Technologies Trizol protocol. cDNA was synthesised by reverse transcription using SuperscriptII (Life Technologies) with 200ng of total RNA to a final volume of 40µl and oligo dT for priming. The cDNA reaction was diluted 10 times and 5µl were used in 25µl final volume reactions using GoTaq qPCR Master mix (Biorad). Each experimental condition was processed in technical and biological triplicates. All primers used had PCR efficiencies within the 90-100% range. Sequences of the primers used are in the supplementary technical materials. Wildtype and *tcf7l1a^{m881}* mutant cDNA fragment spanning the *tcf71a* exon 7/8 border for DNA sequencing were amplified with primers P2 and P3 (Kim et al., 2000).

In situ hybridisation and probe synthesis: Whole mount in situ hybridisation was performed using digoxigenin (DIG) and fluorescein (FLU)-labelled RNA probes according to standard protocols (Thisse and Thisse, 2008). Probes were synthesized using T7 or T3 RNA polymerases (Promega) according to manufacturers' instructions and supplied with DIG or FLU labelled UTP (Roche). Probes were detected with anti-DIG-AP (1:5000, Roche) or anti-FLU-AP (1:10000, Roche) antibodies and developed with NBT/BCIP mix (Roche), for regular microscopy or Fast Red (Sigma) or CY-3 tyramide (cite) substrate for confocal analysis.

Quantification of eye profile, eye volume, and posterior lateral line primordium (pLLP) position:

The sizes of eye profiles were quantified from lateral view images of PFA-fixed embryos by delineating the eye using Adobe Photoshop CS5 magic wand tool and measuring the area of pixels included in the delineated region. The surface area was then transformed from px^2 to μm^2 . The eye profile and eye volume were calculated from confocal imaging of *vsx2* in situ hybridisation stained embryos at 24hpf. The eye volume/eye profile ratio average from 10 embryos was 53.24. This ratio was used to estimate eye volume from eye profile area and assumes that the profile area to eye volume ratio is constant after 24hpf.

pLLP migration was measured by analysing the position of the posterior end of the primordium relative to the somite boundary labelled by *in situ* hybridisation with *eya1* and *xirp2a* respectively.

Confocal microscopy and image analysis

Confocal imaging was performed on a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope. For time lapse analyses, the stage was set in an air chamber heated to 28.5°C. Live embryos were immobilized in 1% low melting point agarose (Sigma) and 0.016% Tricaine (Sigma) to anesthetize. Image volume analysis measurement was performed on Imaris 7.7.0 and Fuji.

Cell transplantation

WIIdtype or *MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos used as donors were injected with 50pg of GFP mRNA at 1 cell stage. At 3-4hpf, blastula stage, dechorionated donor and host embryos were mounted in 3% methylcellulose in fish water supplemented with 1% v/v penicillin/streptomycin (5,000 units penicillin and 5mg streptomycin per ml) and viewed with a fixed-stage compound microscope (Nikon Optiphot). Approximately 30-40 cells were taken from the animal pole of donors and transplanted to approximately the same

position in hosts by suction using an oil-filled manual injector (Sutter Instrument Company). Embryos were moved to 1% penicillin/streptomycin supplemented fish media and fixed at 10hpf.

Eye vesicle cell removal

Embryos were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose in Ringer's solution supplemented with 1% v/v penicillin/streptomycin. A slice of set agarose was removed to expose one of the eyes and a drop of mineral oil (sigma) was placed over the target eye to dissolve the epidermis (Picker et al., 2009). After two minutes the oil drop was removed and optic vesicle cells were sucked out with a capillary needle filled with mineral oil. Embryos were left to recover for half an hour before being released from the agarose.

Optokinetic response

Optokinetic responses were examined using a custom-built rig to track horizontal eye movements (optokinetic nystagmus) in response to whole-field motion stimuli. Larvae at 4 dpf were mounted in 1% low melting point agarose in fish water and analysed at 5 dpf. The agarose surrounding the eyes was removed to allow normal eye movements. Sinusoidal gratings with spatial frequencies of 0.05, 0.1, 0.13 and 0.16 cycles/degree were presented on a cylindrical diffusive screen 25 mm from the centre of the fish's head with a MicroVision SHOWWX+ projector. Gratings had a constant velocity of 10 degrees/s and changed direction and/or spatial frequency every 20 s. Eye movements were tracked under infrared illumination (720 nm) at 60 Hz using a Flea3 USB machine vision camera and custom-written software. Custom-designed Matlab code was used to extract the eye velocity (degrees per second).

Bibliography

Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Sajedi, E., Gaston-Massuet, C., Kelberman, D., Burns, A.J., Itasaki, N., Dattani, M., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2007). Lack of the murine homeobox gene Hesx1 leads to a posterior transformation of the anterior forebrain. Development 134, 1499-1508.

Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Young, R.M., Gaston-Massuet, C., Wilson, S.W., Fuchs, E., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2011). HESX1- and TCF3-mediated repression of Wnt/beta-catenin targets is required for normal development of the anterior forebrain. Development 138, 4931-4942.

Asai-Coakwell, M., French, C.R., Ye, M., Garcha, K., Bigot, K., Perera, A.G., Staehling-Hampton, K., Mema, S.C., Chanda, B., Mushegian, A., et al. (2009). Incomplete penetrance and phenotypic variability characterize Gdf6-attributable oculo-skeletal phenotypes. Hum Mol Genet 18, 1110-1121.

Asai-Coakwell, M., March, L., Dai, X.H., Duval, M., Lopez, I., French, C.R., Famulski, J., De Baere, E., Francis, P.J., Sundaresan, P., et al. (2013). Contribution of growth differentiation factor 6-dependent cell survival to early-onset retinal dystrophies. Hum Mol Genet 22, 1432-1442.

Barshir, R., Hekselman, I., Shemesh, N., Sharon, M., Novack, L., and Yeger-Lotem, E. (2018). Role of duplicate genes in determining the tissue-selectivity of hereditary diseases. PLoS Genet *14*, e1007327.

Beccari, L., Marco-Ferreres, R., and Bovolenta, P. (2013). The logic of gene regulatory networks in early vertebrate forebrain patterning. Mech Dev 130, 95-111.

Berger, J., Berger, S., Li, M., Jacoby, A.S., Arner, A., Bavi, N., Stewart, A.G., and Currie, P.D. (2018). In Vivo Function of the Chaperonin TRiC in alpha-Actin Folding during Sarcomere Assembly. Cell Rep 22, 313-322.

Bouhouche, A., Benomar, A., Bouslam, N., Chkili, T., and Yahyaoui, M. (2006). Mutation in the epsilon subunit of the cytosolic chaperonin-containing t-complex peptide-1 (Cct5) gene causes autosomal recessive mutilating sensory neuropathy with spastic paraplegia. J Med Genet 43, 441-443.

Brown, N.L., Patel, S., Brzezinski, J., and Glaser, T. (2001). Math5 is required for retinal ganglion cell and optic nerve formation. Development 128, 2497-2508.

Brown, K.E., Keller, P.J., Ramialison, M., Rembold, M., Stelzer, E.H., Loosli, F., and Wittbrodt, J. (2010). NIcam modulates midline convergence during anterior neural plate morphogenesis. Dev Biol *339*, 14-25.

Cadigan KM, Waterman ML (2012) TCF/LEFs and Wnt signaling in the nucleus. Cold Spring Harb Perspect Biol 4

Cavodeassi, F., Carreira-Barbosa, F., Young, R.M., Concha, M.L., Allende, M.L., Houart, C., Tada, M., and Wilson, S.W. (2005). Early stages of zebrafish eye formation require the coordinated activity of Wnt11, Fz5, and the Wnt/beta-catenin pathway. Neuron 47, 43-56.

Dattani, M.T., Martinez-Barbera, J.P., Thomas, P.Q., Brickman, J.M., Gupta, R., Martensson, I.L., Toresson, H., Fox, M., Wales, J.K.H., Hindmarsh, P.C., et al. (1998). Mutations in the homeobox gene HESX1/Hesx1 associated with septo-optic dysplasia in human and mouse. Nature Genetics 19, 125-133.

David, C.J., and Massague, J. (2018). Contextual determinants of TGFbeta action in development, immunity and cancer. Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol.

Davidson, E.H. (2010). Emerging properties of animal gene regulatory networks. Nature *468*, 911-920.

Dekker, C., Stirling, P.C., McCormack, E.A., Filmore, H., Paul, A., Brost, R.L., Costanzo, M., Boone, C., Leroux, M.R., and Willison, K.R. (2008). The interaction network of the chaperonin CCT. EMBO J 27, 1827-1839.

Dickinson, M.E., Flenniken, A.M., Ji, X., Teboul, L., Wong, M.D., White, J.K., Meehan, T.F., Weninger, W.J., Westerberg, H., Adissu, H., et al. (2016). High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes. Nature 537, 508-514.

Dorsky, R.I., Itoh, M., Moon, R.T., and Chitnis, A. (2003). Two tcf3 genes cooperate to pattern the zebrafish brain. Development 130, 1937-1947.

Eder, D., Aegerter, C., and Basler, K. (2017). Forces controlling organ growth and size. Mech Develop 144, 53-61.

El-Brolosy, M.A., and Stainier, D.Y.R. (2017). Genetic compensation: A phenomenon in search of mechanisms. PLoS Genet 13, e1006780.

El-Brolosy, M.A., Rossi, A., Kontarakis, K., Kuenne, C., Guenther, S., Fukuda, N., Takacs, C., Lai, S-.L., Fukuda, R., Gerri, C., Kikhi, K., Giraldez, A., Stainier, D.Y.R.

bioRxiv 328153; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/328153

Fischer, A.J., Bosse, J.L., and El-Hodiri, H.M. (2013). The ciliary marginal zone (CMZ) in development and regeneration of the vertebrate eye. Exp Eye Res *116*, 199-204.

Felix, M.A., and Barkoulas, M. (2015). Pervasive robustness in biological systems. Nat Rev Genet 16, 483-496.

French, C.R., Erickson, T., French, D.V., Pilgrim, D.B., and Waskiewicz, A.J. (2009). Gdf6a is required for the initiation of dorsal-ventral retinal patterning and lens development. Dev Biol 333, 37-47.

Gaston-Massuet, C., Andoniadou, C.L., Signore, M., Sajedi, E., Bird, S., Turner, J.M., and Martinez-Barbera, J.P. (2008). Genetic interaction between the homeobox transcription factors HESX1 and SIX3 is required for normal pituitary development. Dev Biol 324, 322-333.

Gestri, G., Osborne, R.J., Wyatt, A.W., Gerrelli, D., Gribble, S., Stewart, H., Fryer, A., Bunyan, D.J., Prescott, K., Collin, J.R., et al. (2009). Reduced TFAP2A function causes variable optic fissure closure and retinal defects and sensitizes eye development to mutations in other morphogenetic regulators. Hum Genet 126, 791-803.

Glinka, A., Wu, W., Delius, H., Monaghan, A.P., Blumenstock, C., and Niehrs, C. (1998). Dickkopf-1 is a member of a new family of secreted proteins and functions in head induction. Nature 391, 357-362.

Gosse, N.J., and Baier, H. (2009). An essential role for Radar (Gdf6a) in inducing dorsal fate in the zebrafish retina. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 106, 2236-2241.

Harrison, R.G. (1929). Correlation in the development and growth of the eye studied by means of heteroplastic transplantation. Wilhelm Roux Arch Entwickl Mech Org 120, 1-55.

Hashimoto, H., Itoh, M., Yamanaka, Y., Yamashita, S., Shimizu, T., Solnica-Krezel, L., Hibi, M., and Hirano, T. (2000). Zebrafish Dkk1 functions in forebrain specification and axial mesendoderm formation. Dev Biol 217, 138-152.

Heisenberg, C.P., Houart, C., Take-Uchi, M., Rauch, G.J., Young, N., Coutinho, P., Masai, I., Caneparo, L., Concha, M.L., Geisler, R., et al. (2001). A mutation in the Gsk3-binding

domain of zebrafish Masterblind/Axin1 leads to a fate transformation of telencephalon and eyes to diencephalon. Genes Dev 15, 1427-1434.

Houart, C., Caneparo, L., Heisenberg, C., Barth, K., Take-Uchi, M., and Wilson, S. (2002). Establishment of the telencephalon during gastrulation by local antagonism of Wnt signaling. Neuron 35, 255-265.

Hsu, S.H., Lee, M.J., Hsieh, S.C., Scaravilli, F., and Hsieh, S.T. (2004). Cutaneous and sympathetic denervation in neonatal rats with a mutation in the delta subunit of the cytosolic chap eronin-containing t-complex peptide-1 gene. Neurobiol Dis 16, 335-345.

Hu, M., and Easter, S.S. (1999). Retinal neurogenesis: the formation of the initial central patch of postmitotic cells. Dev Biol *207*, 309-321.

Hurles, M. (2004). Gene duplication: the genomic trade in spare parts. PLoS Biol 2, E206.

Irvine, K.D., and Shraiman, B.I. (2017). Mechanical control of growth: ideas, facts and challenges. Development 144, 4238-4248.

Kaukonen, M., Woods, S., Ahonen, S., Lemberg, S., Hellman, M., Hytonen, M.K., Permi, P., Glaser, T., and Lohi, H. (2018). Maternal Inheritance of a Recessive RBP4 Defect in Canine Congenital Eye Disease. Cell Rep 23, 2643-2652.

Kay, J.N., Finger-Baier, K.C., Roeser, T., Staub, W., and Baier, H. (2001). Retinal ganglion cell genesis requires lakritz, a Zebrafish atonal Homolog. Neuron 30, 725-736.

Kelly, P.D., Chu, F., Woods, I.G., Ngo-Hazelett, P., Cardozo, T., Huang, H., Kimm, F., Liao,L., Yan, Y.L., Zhou, Y., *et al.* (2000). Genetic linkage mapping of zebrafish genes and ESTs.Genome Res *10*, 558-567.

Kettleborough, R.N., Busch-Nentwich, E.M., Harvey, S.A., Dooley, C.M., de Bruijn, E., van Eeden, F., Sealy, I., White, R.J., Herd, C., Nijman, I.J., et al. (2013). A systematic genome-wide analysis of zebrafish protein-coding gene function. Nature 496, 494-497.

Kim, C.H., Oda, T., Itoh, M., Jiang, D., Artinger, K.B., Chandrasekharappa, S.C., Driever, W., and Chitnis, A.B. (2000). Repressor activity of Headless/Tcf3 is essential for vertebrate head formation. Nature 407, 913-916.

Kimmel CB, Ballard WW, Kimmel SR, Ullmann B, Schilling TF (1995) Stages of embryonic development of the zebrafish. Dev Dyn 203: 253-310

Lee, M.J., Stephenson, D.A., Groves, M.J., Sweeney, M.G., Davis, M.B., An, S.F., Houlden, H., Salih, M.A.M., Timmerman, V., de Jonghe, P., et al. (2003). Hereditary sensory neuropathy is caused by a mutation in the delta subunit of the cytosolic chaperonin-containing t-complex peptide-1 (Cct4) gene. Hum Mol Genet 12, 1917-1925.

Lekven, A.C., Thorpe, C.J., Waxman, J.S., and Moon, R.T. (2001). Zebrafish wnt8 encodes two wnt8 proteins on a bicistronic transcript and is required for mesoderm and neurectoderm patterning. Dev Cell 1, 103-114.

Loosli, F., Staub, W., Finger-Baier, K.C., Ober, E.A., Verkade, H., Wittbrodt, J., and Baier, H. (2003). Loss of eyes in zebrafish caused by mutation of chokh/rx3. EMBO Rep 4, 894-899.

Martinez-Barbera, J.P., Rodriguez, T.A., and Beddlington, R.S.P. (2000). The homeobox gene Hesx1 is required in the anterior neural ectoderm for normal forebrain formation. Developmental Biology 223, 422-430.

Masai, I., Stemple, D.L., Okamoto, H., and Wilson, S.W. (2000). Midline signals regulate retinal neurogenesis in zebrafish. Neuron 27, 251-263.

Matsuda, N., and Mishina, M. (2004). Identification of chaperonin CCT gamma subunit as a determinant of retinotectal development by whole-genome subtraction cloning from zebrafish no tectal neuron mutant. Development 131, 1913-1925.

McCabe, M.J., Alatzoglou, K.S., and Dattani, M.T. (2011). Septo-optic dysplasia and other midline defects: the role of transcription factors: HESX1 and beyond. Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab 25, 115-124.

Meehan, T.F., Conte, N., West, D.B., Jacobsen, J.O., Mason, J., Warren, J., Chen, C.K., Tudose, I., Relac, M., Matthews, P., et al. (2017). Disease model discovery from 3,328 gene knockouts by The International Mouse Phenotyping Consortium. Nat Genet 49, 1231-1238.

Merrill, B.J., Pasolli, H.A., Polak, L., Rendl, M., Garcia-Garcia, M.J., Anderson, K.V., and Fuchs, E. (2004). Tcf3: a transcriptional regulator of axis induction in the early embryo. Development 131, 263-274.

Minegishi, Y., Nakaya, N., Tomarev, S., and Iwata, T. (2018). Mutation in Leber's congenital amaurosis causing gene, cct2, evokes retinal hypoplasia in zebrafish. Hum Genomics 12.

Minegishi, Y., Sheng, X.L., Yoshitake, K., Sergeev, Y., Iejima, D., Shibagaki, Y., Monma, N., Ikeo, K., Furuno, M., Zhuang, W.J., et al. (2016). CCT2 Mutations Evoke Leber Congenital Amaurosis due to Chaperone Complex Instability. Sci Rep-Uk 6.

Nakano, T., Ando, S., Takata, N., Kawada, M., Muguruma, K., Sekiguchi, K., Saito, K., Yonemura, S., Eiraku, M., and Sasai, Y. (2012). Self-formation of optic cups and storable stratified neural retina from human ESCs. Cell Stem Cell *10*, 771-785.

Pereira, J.H., McAndrew, R.P., Sergeeva, O.A., Ralston, C.Y., King, J.A., and Adams, P.D. (2017). Structure of the human TRiC/CCT Subunit 5 associated with hereditary sensory neuropathy. Sci Rep-Uk 7.

Peter, I.S., and Davidson, E.H. (2016). Implications of Developmental Gene Regulatory Networks Inside and Outside Developmental Biology. Curr Top Dev Biol *117*, 237-251.

Picker, A., Roellig, D., Pourquie, O., Oates, A.C., and Brand, M. (2009). Tissue micromanipulation in zebrafish embryos. Methods Mol Biol 546, 153-172.

Potter, C.J., and Xu, T. (2001). Mechanisms of size control. Curr Opin Genet Dev *11*, 279-286.

Prokudin, I., Simons, C., Grigg, J.R., Storen, R., Kumar, V., Phua, Z.Y., Smith, J., Flaherty, M., Davila, S., and Jamieson, R.V. (2014). Exome sequencing in developmental eye disease leads to identification of causal variants in GJA8, CRYGC, PAX6 and CYP1B1. Eur J Hum Genet 22, 907-915.

Reis, L.M., and Semina, E.V. (2015). Conserved genetic pathways associated with microphthalmia, anophthalmia, and coloboma. Birth Defects Res C Embryo Today *105*, 96-113.

Rogers, K.W., Lord, N.D., Gagnon, J.A., Pauli, A., Zimmerman, S., Aksel, D.C., Reyon, D., Tsai, S.Q., Joung, J.K., and Schier, A.F. (2017). Nodal patterning without Lefty inhibitory feedback is functional but fragile. Elife 6.

Rossi, A., Kontarakis, Z., Gerri, C., Nolte, H., Holper, S., Kruger, M., and Stainier, D.Y. (2015). Genetic compensation induced by deleterious mutations but not gene knockdowns. Nature 524, 230-233.

Saleheen, D., Natarajan, P., Armean, I.M., Zhao, W., Rasheed, A., Khetarpal, S.A., Won, H.H., Karczewski, K.J., O'Donnell-Luria, A.H., Samocha, K.E., et al. (2017). Human knockouts and phenotypic analysis in a cohort with a high rate of consanguinity. Nature 544, 235-239.

Sternlicht, H., Farr, G.W., Sternlicht, M.L., Driscoll, J.K., Willison, K., and Yaffe, M.B. (1993). The t-complex polypeptide 1 complex is a chaperonin for tubulin and actin in vivo. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 90, 9422-9426.

Stickney, H.L., Schmutz, J., Woods, I.G., Holtzer, C.C., Dickson, M.C., Kelly, P.D., Myers, R.M., and Talbot, W.S. (2002). Rapid mapping of zebrafish mutations with SNPs and oligonucleotide microarrays. Genome Res *12*, 1929-1934.

Stigloher, C., Ninkovic, J., Laplante, M., Geling, A., Tannhauser, B., Topp, S., Kikuta, H., Becker, T.S., Houart, C., and Bally-Cuif, L. (2006). Segregation of telencephalic and eye-field identities inside the zebrafish forebrain territory is controlled by Rx3. Development 133, 2925-2935.

Stoick-Cooper, C.L., Weidinger, G., Riehle, K.J., Hubbert, C., Major, M.B., Fausto, N., and Moon, R.T. (2007). Distinct Wnt signaling pathways have opposing roles in appendage regeneration. Development *134*, 479-489.

Sulem, P., Helgason, H., Oddson, A., Stefansson, H., Gudjonsson, S.A., Zink, F., Hjartarson, E., Sigurdsson, G.T., Jonasdottir, A., Jonasdottir, A., et al. (2015). Identification of a large set of rare complete human knockouts. Nat Genet 47, 448-452.

Thomas, P.Q., Dattani, M.T., Brickman, J.M., McNay, D., Warne, G., Zacharin, M., Cameron, F., Hurst, J., Woods, K., Dunger, D., et al. (2001). Heterozygous HESX1 mutations associated with isolated congenital pituitary hypoplasia and septo-optic dysplasia. Hum Mol Genet 10, 39-45.

Twitty, V.C., and Elliott, H.A. (1934). The relative Growth of the amphibian eye, studied by means of transplantation. J Exp Zool 68, 247-291.

Twitty, V.C., and Schwind, J.L. (1931). The growth of eyes and limbs transplanted heteroplastically between two species of Amblystoma. J Exp Zool 59, 61-86.

Valdivia, L.E., Lamb, D.B., Horner, W., Wierzbicki, C., Tafessu, A., Williams, A.M., Gestri, G., Krasnow, A.M., Vleeshouwer-Neumann, T.S., Givens, M., et al. (2016). Antagonism between Gdf6a and retinoic acid pathways controls timing of retinal neurogenesis and growth of the eye in zebrafish. Development 143, 1087-1098.

van de Water, S., van de Wetering, M., Joore, J., Esseling, J., Bink, R., Clevers, H., and Zivkovic, D. (2001). Ectopic Wnt signal determines the eyeless phenotype of zebrafish masterblind mutant. Development 128, 3877-3888.

van Eeden, F.J., Granato, M., Odenthal, J., and Haffter, P. (1999). Developmental mutant screens in the zebrafish. Methods Cell Biol 60, 21-41.

Varshney, G.K., Pei, W., LaFave, M.C., Idol, J., Xu, L., Gallardo, V., Carrington, B., Bishop, K., Jones, M., Li, M., et al. (2015). High-throughput gene targeting and phenotyping in zebrafish using CRISPR/Cas9. Genome Res 25, 1030-1042.

Vollmer, J., Casares, F., and Iber, D. (2017). Growth and size control during development. Open Biol 7.

Wagner, A. (1996). Genetic redundancy caused by gene duplications and its evolution in networks of transcriptional regulators. Biol Cybern 74, 557-567.

Wagner, A. (2005). Robustness and evolvability in living systems (Princeton, N.J.: Princeton University Press).

Wang, S.W., Kim, B.S., Ding, K., Wang, H., Sun, D., Johnson, R.L., Klein, W.H., and Gan, L. (2001). Requirement for math5 in the development of retinal ganglion cells. Genes Dev 15, 24-29.

Wilson, S.W., and Houart, C. (2004). Early steps in the development of the forebrain. Dev Cell 6, 167-181.

Yam, A.Y., Xia, Y., Lin, H.T., Burlingame, A., Gerstein, M., and Frydman, J. (2008). Defining the TRiC/CCT interactome links chaperonin function to stabilization of newly made proteins with complex topologies. Nat Struct Mol Biol 15, 1255-1262.

Yebenes, H., Mesa, P., Munoz, I.G., Montoya, G., and Valpuesta, J.M. (2011). Chaperonins: two rings for folding. Trends Biochem Sci 36, 424-432.

Zuber, M.E., Gestri, G., Viczian, A.S., Barsacchi, G., and Harris, W.A. (2003). Specification of the vertebrate eye by a network of eye field transcription factors. Development 130, 5155-5167.

Figure Legends

Fig.1 *Tcf7l1a* maternal zygotic (MZ) mutants and morphants have no overt eye phenotype.

Lateral views of wildtype (**A**), *MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**B**), wildtype injected with control morpholino (**C**), *tcf7l1a* morphant (**D**) and *tcf7l1a/tcf7l1b* double morphant (**E**) embryos at 2 days post fertilisation.

Dorsal up, anterior to the left. Scale Bar=250µm.

Fig.2 The prospective forebrain and eye field domains of the neural plate are reduced in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants.

(A) Graph showing qRT-PCR quantification of the mRNA levels of *lef1*, *tcf7*, *tcf7l1a*, *tcf7l1b*, *tcf7l2*, *otx1b*, *otx2*, *six3b* and *rx3* in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants relative to wildype embryos at 10hpf.
(B, C) Quantification of the forebrain domain of the anterior neural plate (B) enclosed by *emx3* up to *pax2a* expression by *in situ* hybridisation (data in Table S2), and eye field volume (C) by *rx3* fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation confocal volume reconstruction (data in Table S3).

(**D-I**) Expression of *emx3* (arrowhead)/*pax2a* (**D**, **E**), *six3b* (arrowhead)/*pax2a* (**F**, **G**) and *rx3* (arrowhead)/*pax2a* (**H**, **I**) in wildtype (**D**, **F**, **H**) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**E**, **G**, **I**) embryos detected by *in situ* hybridisation at 10hpf.

(J-M) Confocal volume reconstruction of rx3 fluorescent in situ hybridisation in wildtype (J,

K) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**L**, **M**) mutants at 10hpf. (**J**, **L**) Dorsal view, anterior to top, and (**K**, **M**) transverse view from posterior dorsal up.

Abbreviations: mb, midbrain; pcp, prechordal plate

Scale Bars=250µm.

Fig.3 Tcf7l1a cell autonomously promotes *rx*3 expression in the eye field.

(**A-F**) Dorsal views of confocal images of *rx3* mRNA expression (red) detected by florescent *in situ* hybridisation at 10hpf in the anterior neural plates of chimeric embryos containing transplants of (**A-C**) wildtype (GFP+) donor cells in *MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* host embryos, and (**D-F**) *MZtcf7l1a^{-/-}* (GFP+) donor cells in wildtype host embryos.

Dotted line outlines eye fields; note in A-C that *rx3* expression extends considerably caudal to the reduced mutant eye field on the side of the neural plate containing wild-type cells. Dashed line marks the embryo midline.

(G-J) In situ hybridisation of rx3 and pax2a in sibling (G, H) and Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} (I, J) embryos,

uninjected (G, I) or injected with 50pg of *dkk1* mRNA (H, J) at 9hpf.

Abbreviations; EF, eyefield; mb, midbrain

Scale Bars=200µm.

Fig.4 Eye size recovers in *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutant and eye vesicle-ablated embryos.

(**A**) Growth kinetics of the eye in wildtype (blue line) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (red line) embryos at stages indicated (data in Table S4).

(B) Plot showing the ratio of $Ztcf7I1a^{-/-}$ to wildtype eye volume from data in (A).

(C-L) Lateral views (dorsal up, anterior to left) of wildtype (C-G) and *Ztcf7l1a*^{-/-} (H-L) eyes at stages indicated above panels.

(**M-O**) Eye development following partial ablation of the optic vesicle in wildtype embryos at 5 somite stage. (**M**) Coronal confocal section of evaginating optic vesicles (red) in a wildtype Tg(rx3:RFP) 5 somite stage embryo. Dashed line indicates the approximate extent of ablations performed. Eye vesicle-ablated embryo at 36hpf (**N**) and 4dpf (**O**). Asterisk indicates the eye that develops from the ablated optic vesicle. ZO1, zona ocludens 1. Scale bars =200µm.

Fig.5 Neurogenesis is delayed in small $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ eyes and accelerated in large eyes following *hsp70:dkk1* overexpression.

(A-P) Lateral views of eyes showing *atoh7* fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation in wild-type (A-E, M, O), *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (F-J), wildtype left-side optic vesicle-ablated (K, L) and *Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}* (N, P) embryos at stages indicated.

(**M-P**) Wildtype (**M**, **N**) and heterozygous sibling $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ embryos (**N**, **P**) heatshocked at 6hpf (**N**, **O**) or 24hpf (**P**, **Q**) for 45' at 37°C and grown to 28hpf.

Anterior is to the left except in (**K**) in which anterior is to the right. Arrows indicate ventronasal retina; arrowheads indicate dorso-temporal retina; dashed line approximate the nasal-temporal division; dashed circle marks lens position. Abbreviations: n, nasal, t, temporal. Scale bar =100 μ m

(**Q**) Histogram showing the spatial distribution of *atoh7* expression in sibling and *Ztcf7I1a^{-/-}* retinas at the indicated hours post fertilisation (data in table S5). VN, ventro nasal expression; VN+, ventro-nasal expression plus a few scattered cells; N+, nasal expression plus scattered cells covering the whole retina; NR, nasal retina expression; WR, whole retina expression; PR, expression localised to the peripheral retina. Numbers in bars represent the number of embryos scored for the particular category of *atoh7* expression.

(**R**) Plot showing the growth kinetics of the eye in wildtype (blue line) and $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ (red line) embryos at times indicated (data in Table S6).

Fig.6 Ztcf7l1a^{-/-} mutants lacking Hesx1 function fail to form eyes

(**A**) Schematic of the genetic strategy to isolate mutations that modify the *tcf7l1a*^{-/-} mutant phenotype.

(**B-C**) *U768* modifier of the $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutant phenotype. Lateral views of homozygous *U768* embryos that are heterozygous (**B**) or homozygous (**C**) for the tcf7/1a mutation.

(D) Representation of SSLP segregation linkage analysis mapping of E3 modifier of *tcf71a*

to a 1.46 megabase (Mb) interval on chromosome 11 (Ch11; rec, recombinants).

(E) RT-PCR for *hesx1* spanning exons 1-2 (top panel), exons 2-3 (middle panel) and β -actin (bottom panel) on wildtype (lanes 1 and 2) and *U768^{-/-}* (lanes 2 and 3) embryo cDNA from 1 cell stage (lanes 1 and 3) and 10hpf (lanes 2 and 4).

(**F-I**) *hesx1* in situ hybridisation on wildtype (**F-H**) and *U768^{-/-}* (**I**) embryos at epiboly (ep) stages indicated. Dorsal views, anterior up.

(**J**, **K**) Lateral views of $hesx1^{-/-}$ ($\Delta ex1/2$) / $tcf7/1a^{+/-}$ (**J**) and $hesx1^{-/-}$ ($\Delta ex1/2$) / $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (**K**) embryos.

Scale bars=200µm.

Fig.7 Loss of *tcf7l1a* modifies the *cct5*^{*u762/u762*} mutant eye phenotype.

(A-F) Laterals view of wildtype (A, B), *U762^{-/-}* mutants (C, D) and double *U762^{-/-}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants (E, F) at stages indicated.

(**G**) DNA sequencing chromatograms of the genomic fragment encompassing the 3' end of *cct5* exon 4 and 5' end of intron 4 from wildtype (top) and *cct5*^{U762/762}(bottom) embryos. Boxes show the splice donor nucleotides in intron 4.

(H) Cartoon of wildtype and Cct5^{U762} protein product. Red boxes show Mg²⁺/ATP binding domains, black box indicates nonsense mutant protein stretch.

(I-N) Anti-GFP immunocytochemistry (green) and TUNEL-labelled apoptotic cells (red) in wildtype (I, L), *cct5^{-/-}* (*cct5^{U762/U762}*) (J, M) and *cct5^{-/-}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (K, N) embryos at 48hpf (I-K) and 60hpf (L-N). Dotted line in (K) outlines the eye profile. Arrowheads and arrows point to apoptotic cells in the eye and tectum respectively.

Scale bar=100µm

Fig.8 Loss of *tcf7l1a* modifies the *gdf6a^{U901/U901}* mutant eye phenotype

(**A-D**) Lateral views of eyes in wildtype (**A**), $Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ (**B**), $gdf6a^{U901/U901}$ (**C**) and double $gdf6a^{U901/U901}/Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ (**D**) embryos at 72hpf. Dorsal up, anterior to left. Arrows indicate the lens. Scale bar=200µm.

(E) Eye volume quantification in wildtype, *tcf7l1a^{-/-}*, *gdf6a^{-/-}* and *gdf6a/tcf7l1a* double mutant siblings (data in table S10). Unpaired t-test.

FigS1. Sequence of the *tcf7l1a* exon7/8 boundary.

RT-PCR chromatogram sequence of tcf7/1a exon7/8 fragment showing the expected intron splice in wildtype embryos (**A**). $tcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants show an unambiguous inclusion of 7 nucleotides from intron 7 (**B**) and a mixed read in mRNA coming from heterozygous siblings (**C**).

FigS2. Alignment of *tcf7l1a*, morpholinos to *lef1* and other *tcf* genes.

Alignment of the previously published *tcf7l1a* morpholino (**A**, mo1^{*tcf7l1a*}, Dorsky et al. 2003), and mo2^{*tcf7l1a*} (**B**) with less homology to other *lef/tcf* genes. Target genes in bottom sequence, 5'UTR in lowercase, gene open reading frame in bold uppercase.

FigS3. *tcf7l1a* morpholino (mo2^{tcf7l1a}) phenocopies the *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutant.

(**A**, **B**) Dorsal views of *rx3/pax2a in situ* hybridisation at 100%epibly and (**C**, **D**) lateral views of 30hpf wildtype embryos injected with 400pmols of (**A**, **C**) control morpholino or (**B**, **D**) mo2^{tcf7/1a}.

(E) Plot showing the quantification of the eye profile area in wildtype embryos injected with control morpholino (first bar) or $mo2^{tcf7/1a}$ (second bar) at 30hpf.

FigS4. The eye field domain of the anterior neural plate is caudalised in *Ztcf7l1a* mutants.

Dorsal views of anterior neural plates, anterior up.

(**A-D**) Double fluorescent *in situ* hybridisation of prospective telencephalic marker *emx3* (blue) and prospective eye field marker *rx3* (red) (**A**, **B**), and prospective diencephalic marker *barhl2* (blue) and *rx3* (red) (**C**, **D**) in wildtype (**A**, **C**) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**B**, **D**) embryos at 10hpf. (**E**, **F**) *In situ* hybridisation of *barhl2* in wildtype (**E**) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* (**F**) embryos at 9hpf.

FigS5. Eye vesicle evagination in heterozygous and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants.

Confocal time lapse movie snapshots (1 frame every 25 minutes) of heterozygous sibling (**A**) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants (**B**) expressing the $Tg(rx3:GFP)^{zf460Tg}$ transgene. First frame taken at 11hpf. Minutes after movie has started indicated in each frame.

FigS6. Optokinetic response analysis of wildtype and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants.

(A) Average of left and right eye movement speed in degrees(deg)/second(sec), when wildtype (filled circles) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants (empty circles) embryos were presented with vertical moving stripes at increasing angular speeds, 0.05, 0.1, 0.13 and 0.16 cycles(cyc)/deg. The data used to generate this plot is presented in TableS6.

(**B**) Tabulation of the data used on the plot. No significant difference observed when performing an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD).

FigS7. Quantification of the posterior lateral line primordium position in wildtype and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants.

(A) Plot showing the position of the posterior lateral line primordium relative to somite number in wildtype (white bars) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ mutants (black bar) at 24, 30 and 36hpf.

(**B**) Tabulation of the data used on the plot. No significant difference observed when performing an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD).

FigS8. Genomic DNA sequence of *hesx1* locus spanning exons 1 and 2.

Genomic DNA sequence deleted in U768 fish in bold. *hesx1* exons 1 and 2 highlighted in yellow. Open reading frame first codon in exon 1 is highlighted in red.

FigS9. Genetic map of *U762* modifier of the *tcf7l1a* mutant phenotype and protein product of $cct5^{U762}$.

(**A**) Representation of the SSLP segregation linkage analysis mapping of **U762** modifier of *tcf71a* to a 1.69Mb interval on chromosome 12, between 15.50 Megabases (Mb) with 1 recombinant (rec) and 17.19Mb with 1 rec. Green ticks highlight sequenced genes in the interval that show no mutations.

(**B**) Nucleotide and protein sequence of wildtype (top alignment) and $cct5^{U762}$ (bottom alignment). cct5 exon 4 nucleotides and amino acids in blue. The last two 3' nucleotides in exon 4 that are used in $cct5^{U762}$ as a splice donor in red. Nonsense amino acid sequence in $cct5^{U762}$ in red.

TableS1. qRT-PCR data on mRNA levels from *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* versus wildtype embryos.

Figures represent the fold change of *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* over wildtype qRT-PCR experiments performed as technical and biological triplicates using GAPDH as a reference control. Standard deviation (SD). P value calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

TableS2. Measurement of the volume of the prospective forebrain in wildtype and *Ztcf7l1a* mutants.

Prospective forebrain size data generated by measuring the volume enclosed by *emx3* expression to the rostral limit of *pax2a* (mesencephalic marker) expression after whole mount in situ hybridisation in wildtype (+/+), $tcf7/1a^{+/-}$ (+/-) and $Ztcf7/1a^{-/-}$ (-/-) embryos at

10hpf. Data in μ m². Average (avg), standard deviation (SD). None significant, ns. P value calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

TableS3. Measurement of the volume of rx3 expression in the eye field by fluorescent

in situ hybridisation in wildtype and tcf7l1a mutants.

Confocal volume analysis of *rx3* fluorescent in situ hybridisation (FISH) on wildtype (+/+), $tcf7l1a^{+/-}$ (+/-) and $Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}$ (-/-) at 10hpf. Data in μ m³. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD). none significant (ns). P value calculated by an unpaired t-test with Welch's correction.

TableS4. Eye volume measurement data in wildtype and *tcf7l1a* mutants.

Data of eye volume measurement figures in μ m³ in wildtype and *tcf7l1a^{-/-}* embryos at 24, 28, 32, 36, 48, 60, 72 and 96hpf. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD), percentage of *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* eye volume size relative to wildtype eyes (%).

TableS5. Classification and quantification of *atoh7* expression patterns in wildtype and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* eyes.

Quantification of *atoh7* expression categories in the eye at 28, 32, 36, 40, 44, 48 and 52hpf in wildtype (top table) and *Ztcf7I1a*-/- (bottom table) embryos. *atoh7* expression was classified in the following categories: VN, ventro nasal; VN+, ventro nasal plus a few scattered cells; N+, nasal plus scattered cells covering the whole retina; NR, nasal retina; WR, whole retina; PR, peripheral retina.

TableS6. Eye volume measurement data in heat-shocked control wildtype and $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ embryos.

Tabulation of eye volume measurements in μ m³ in heat shock control wild-type and $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ embryos at 28, 32, 36, 48, 60 and 72, used for plot in Fig.5R. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD), percentage of $Tg(HS:dkk1)^{w32}$ eye volume size relative to heat shock control wildtype eyes (%).

TableS7. List of genes in the U768 genetic interval.

Position of the genes in the *U768* interval in megabases (Mb) in the GRCz10 assembly. Mapped gene is highlighted in yellow.

TableS8. Frequency of eyeless embryos and their respective genotypes in four

incrosses of *hesx1^{-/-}/tcf7l1a*^{+/-} fish.

TableS9. List of the genes in the U762 genetic interval.

Position of genes in the U762 interval in megabases (Mb) in the GRCz10 assembly. Mapped gene is highlighted in yellow.

TableS10. Eye volume measurement data in wildtype, *tcf7l1a*, *gdf6a* and *gdf6a/tcf7l1a* double mutant sibblings.

Data of eye volume measurement figures in μ m³ in embryos at 80hpf. Average (avg), standard deviation (SD), percentage of mutant eye volume relative to wildtype eyes (%).

Movies S1 and S2. Time lapse movies of eye vesicle evagination in heterozygous sibling and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants.

Confocal time lapse movies (1 frame every 5 minutes) of heterozygous sibling (**S1**) and *Ztcf7l1a^{-/-}* mutants (**S2**) expressing the $Tg(rx3:GFP)^{zf460Tg}$ transgene. First frame taken at 11hpf; membrane RFP in red counterstain.

Fig1. Young et al.,



Fig2. Young et al.,



Fig3. Young et al.,



Fig4. Young et al.,



Fig5. Young et al.,



Fig6. Young et al.,



Fig7. Young et al.,



Fig8. Young et al.,



FigS1. Young et al.,



FigS2. Young et al.,

Α	В
tcf7/1a GCCAACATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG ttttcatttaaagtgccaacATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG	tcf7/1a CATTTAAAGTGCCAACATGCCT ttttcatttaaagtgccaacATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG
t <u>cf7/1b</u> (19/25 - 76 % homology) GCCAACATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG acaagcccgtaacgttaaac ATGCCGCAGCTTAACGGAG	tcf7/1b (10/22 - 45% homology) CATTTAAAGTGCCAACATGCCT acaagcccgtaacgttaaacATGCCGCAGCTTAACGGAG
tcf7 (17/25 - 68% homology) GCCAACATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG gtcttgagaaagtttggatcATGCCGCAGCTGAACGGCG	tcf7 (10/22 - 45% homology) CATTTAAAGTGCCAACATGCCT gtcttgagaaagtttggatcATGCCGCAGCTGAACGCG
tcf7/2 (18/25 - 72% homology) GCCAACATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG <	tcf7/2 (9/22 - 40% homology) CATTTAAAGTGCCAACATGCCT attagcgctcctcggggaaaaATGCCGCAGCTGAACGGTG
lef1 (14/25 - 56% homology) GCCAACATGCCTCAGTTAAACGGAG gactttcattcacccgagaaATGCCGCAGTTGTCAGGTG	lef1 (8/22 - 36% homology) CATTTAAAGTGCCAACATGCCT gactttcattcacccgagaaATGCCGCAGTTGTCAGGTG

FigS3. Young et al.,



FigS4. Young et al.,



FigS5. Young et al.,



FigS6. Young et al.,



В

	0.05 c	yc/deg	0.1 cyc/deg 0.13 cyc/		yc/deg 0.16		yc/deg	
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}
1	3.60	3.33	2.08	2.44	1.05	1.33	0.39	0.95
2	4.21	4.53	4.06	2.52	2.18	1.25	1.10	0.60
3	4.63	3.24	3.72	2.75	2.29	1.05	1.60	1.01
4	3.80	3.26	2.59	2.51	1.44	1.68	0.75	0.86
5	4.15	3.28	3.24	2.53	2.35	1.34	1.18	0.94
6	3.22	2.67	2.08	1.88	1.63	1.10	0.70	0.51
7	3.16		2.08		1.59		0.92	
8	2.51		1.16		0.71		0.21	
9	3.08		2.47		1.74		0.94	
avg	4.08	3.39	2.61	2.44	1.66	1.29	0.87	0.81
SD	0.67	0.61	0.91	0.29	0.56	0.22	0.42	0.21

FigS7. Young et al.,

Α



В

	24hpf		30)hpf	36hpf		
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	
	6.5	7	10	10	17	15	
	6.5	6	9.5	10	15	15	
	6	2	9	9	11	17	
	4	5.5	7	9.5	16	16.5	
	2	5.5	12	9	15.5	20	
	4	3.5	10.5	10	17.5	14	
	7.5	3	10.5	10.5		14	
	5	4	9	8.5		14.5	
	7	3	10	12		13	
	3	2.5	13	8		10	
	3	5	11	11			
	5		10	11			
			5				
			11.5				
avg	5.0	4.3	9.9	9.9	15.3	14.9	
SD	1.78	1.62	1.52	1.15	2.32	2.63	

FigS8. Young et al.,

TCTAATTGGTAATTTCTCAGCAAAACAATGGCTCCGCAGGGGGCCGCGCTTGTGTCCTCGGCGC TCTCCGAGAAGCCAAGACAAATAAACAAGCAAGTCATTTATTAAAAGCAGCCAAGCAGCCAAC AGAGATCAATTCAGAGCTGAATGAGACAATTATTACATGCTGCTTCCAGCAAATTGCCAAGCG **ATTCAGCAGGATTAGTTTTAAACAGCATTATAGGCTTTATTATTTTAAACTCGTGTGCTAGAA** AAATGAAGTAAATAAGATTAGCCACTAGTTGACTGCTTCCTCATAATGGCGGAGATATGGAAG AAGGAAATAAGGAGAGGATAAATCCTGGGAACGGGGCCTAATTTTCTACCTGGTGAGTAAAGA GAATGGCACATCAGCCGTGTTTTGTCCTTTTCAATAGCAGGTTTAAGGGATTCAGTGTCTCCA GAAGATAACAGGTTGCTTTTTCAGGTTGAAACGCCTTACTTGGACCCCGAATTACCTGCAGTC CAAGGGCCCCATGCGGAGGACCAGGCGATTCACATGTAAAAACACTGGCTTTAATTAGTGACGT TTTGGGGGGGAGTGTGAAGATATAAATAAGACTCAACAAGCTTTCAGATCACA TCAGTTGGA GTTAAATTAAAGGACTTGAGTTTAGCAATGGCTTCTCTTGCAAACAGCCCGTCTGTGTTTACC **ATCGACAGCATCCTGGGACTGGATCGACCGGAGCAGAGAACATGTCCTTACAGGCCCTGGACA CTTGATAAGATGCTTAATTTAACTTATCTTTAATGTAAAAACGACCGAGGCAATTTCTTGTAT** GTGAGCCACTTTCGGTTTCAGGCTTTTGTTTATTTACTTCATGTGTCTGTTGTGCTGCTCGAT GACTTACTCTGTCAAACGTTTGTCTCTTATTTACCTCAGAACGACATCGGAAATAGCTTTGAC **ATTGTTAAAAGATCAACAAATATTTAATCTCAGGCTTTAAAATATGCTGCTAATAGTCAAAAG ATGCGACTTCATGTTATTTTTTAAAATAAATGCTATCTTTTGACAATAATATTATAAAAAGTA TTAATAATAAATGCAACTTTTGACAATTTGAATAATTCTGAGCGAAGACTCTGTTTACTGAAC CTATTTTGACAATTTTTCTGCATTAAAAAAAATCGACCACAATAACACATTATCTATTATTG TAATATTACAAATAATTTAATATTATTTTTTTAACATAAATCTTGTATTTTAACATTGTTAAT ATTGTGTAAAATATTAATAACAAACAATTTTTGACAGTTTTGATGACTCTGAATAATTTTGAG TGATGACTCGGTTTACTGAACTGATTCTCTATAGCGACTTGTTCGAATAAATTGATACGCTAC CTCGTACTAATATAATTTTTTATTGCTATTTAACCATGAAGCCAGTCTGTTAATATACTGTTAA** TAGTAAAAAAAGCAGCGTAATTATATGTTATTTAGCCTATTTTGACTATTTTTGTGCATTAAA AAAAAAACGACCACAATAATTCCATAGTATCTATCTTTGCAATATTACAAATAATTTAATATT **ATTATCTTTTAAATAAATCTATTTCTAACATAATATTGTGTAAAAATATTAACAATAAAACACA TCTGGAGCGATTTGTTCAAACAAATTGATCCCTTCGTACTAGCATCATTTTTAATAGTTTATT TAAGACTTGATGTCAGCCTGTTAATATACTTAAAGTATTCTTTTAATAGTAAAATAGAAACGT** AATATATTGTTAAAAGTAAATGTAATGAACGTAATTATATGTTATTTAGCCTATTTTGACTAT **TTTTGGTGCATTTTTAATAAAAAATCGACCACAATAACACCATATTATCTATTATTGCAATAT TACAAATAATTTAATATTACTAGTAAAGATTATGTTAAGATTTAGTTAAGATTATGTAAAATA** GACTCTGTGAAGCGACTCGTTCAAATAAATCGAGACCCTCGAGATGATTTACCATCATCATAA TATCTACCATTGTTTGTTTGTTTCAGACGTGAAGCCAGCATGTCAGAATCGTCGAGTGGTGA **CAGAAAATGATGCTCCAGTGGATGTGAGAGGAAATGAAGATGGTAAATCTTTCAGTAAATCAC CAACTGACTCGTACAGGAGAACACTAAACTGGTACATCGGGCGCAGGCCGAGAACAGCCTTCT CCAGTGTTCAG**GTAAAATACCCAGTCTATGAAAATATCCTGTCAAGGTTTGTCAAGTATGCCA ACATTGAAATGGGCTAAAAAGCCTATGGATATAACATTAAATCTAAACCCATATGGTATT (AAA **ΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑΑ) "ΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑ**ΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑΤΑ ATATATATATATATGAACAATTTTTGTTTATTTAACTATATTTTGCAACGTATTTAAATGTAT

FigS9. Young et al.,



	lef1	tcf7	tcf7l1a	tcf7l1b	tcf7l2	otx1b	otx2	six3b	rx3
sample1	0.95	0.9	0.18	0.65	0.7	0.89	0.93	0.35	0.24
sample2	1.17	1.4	0.19	0.74	0.74	0.98	0.89	0.53	0.27
sample3	0.67	0.75	0.12	0.5	0.43	0.55	0.57	0.45	0.28
average	0.93	1.02	0.16	0.63	0.62	0.81	0.80	0.44	0.26
SD	0.25	0.34	0.04	0.12	0.17	0.23	0.20	0.09	0.02
p value	ns	ns	<0.0006	<0.0341	<0.058	ns	ns	<0.091	<0.0002

TableS1 Young et al.,

TableS2 Young et al.,

	emx3/p	ax2 enclosed	l area (µm²)
tcf7l1a	+/+	+/-	-/-
1	57356	58827	41345
2	68208	50347	41664
3	46505	77337	45372
4	57387	50698	40291
5	55112	59242	44298
6	58241	59966	42625
7	65606	59620	39436
8	53148	57212	40810
9		57569	47467
10		68588	40511
11		53195	61870
12		66222	
13		50361	
14		60196	
15		51393	
avg	57695	58718	44154
SD	6826	7546	6359
p value		ns	>0.0004

TableS3 Young et al.,

	FISI	H <i>rx3</i> volume	μm³			
tcf7l1a	+/+	+/-	-/-			
1	3468509	1810323	1317753			
2	3331273	2946858	2088092			
3	3459986	3570590	601886			
4	2844270	2910427	1129636			
5	2812498	4191624	3046388			
6	2243012	2814065	2720645			
7	3842671	1521935	1135385			
8	3167430	2444687	1530173			
9	2318616	1924252	1544908			
10	3965102	2036910	1481356			
11	3071456	2499994				
12	2849282	2138121				
13	2062847	2942258				
14		3107022				
15		4509205				
16		2983755				
17		2427448				
18		3223429				
19		3778770				
20		3688986				
21		2927797				
22		2194710				
23		3011901				
24		2878935				
avg	3033611	2853500	1659622			
SD	591502	742559	751706			
p value		ns	<0.0001			

TableS4	Young	et al.,
---------	-------	---------

	24	hpf	28	npf	32	npf	36	npf
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}						
	2173725	1017791	2342908	1576503	2451428	1828463	3658209	2460898
	2303076	1051526	2570537	1917720	2489175	2039707	3274603	2439886
	1705511	1066121	2337095	1070995	2845175	1978270	3207975	2232993
	1955287	1308467	2348931	1790742	3006924	1914276	2897337	2221198
	2805372	1326337	2351628	1725672	2842500	1930381	3303325	2015056
	2253508	1301159	2735274	1637355	2785130	1741815	2697041	3066122
	1774861	1328035	2467551	1604776	2551566	1841438	3137085	1800979
	2487443	1301917	2672275	1617131	2999859	1947938	3384282	2323963
	1718721	1403183	2673491	1404137	2851039	2218943	3367798	2622681
	2255991	1641569	2510968	1856147	3378945	1862151	3615953	2352265
	2043767	1135015	2495736	1966110	2917531	2044091	3122494	2814747
	2630277	917394	2476897	1459703	3067765	2049230	2921844	2511973
		1094766	2572070		3020867	2289502	3046882	2860238
		1538292	2287218			1665499	3359380	2701119
			2632288			1894067	2860411	
							3447190	
avg	2175628	1245112	2498324	1635583	2862146	1949718	3206363	2458866
SD	354914	206675	142882	248218	256341	164423	272999	341047
%	57	/.2	65	5.5	68	.1	76	.7

	48	hpf	60	hpf	72	npf	96hpf	
	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}						
	3999209	3307657	4100360	3883270	5009654	4145880	5455460	5167760
	4497099	3004106	4984899	4002063	4880009	4385725	6215474	5465572
	4266652	3720744	4516578	4147457	4793584	3970719	6661246	4610702
	4353610	2987478	4531155	3651250	5259776	3960662	6229111	5724542
	4411489	4023028	3879568	3763464	4788932	4331574	5666545	5198084
	4251961	4151421	4491768	3736227	5238466	4602247	6315093	5364821
	4041664	3767914	4631971	4491069	4934159	4039358	5973419	5764363
	4278292	3633181	4396588	3982956	5007355	4400128	6358613	4601883
	3280615	3766971	4608893	3390604	5117971	4641026	6503686	5465686
	4567178	3082474	4837262	3372391	5046112		6611962	5134945
	4309538	3648767	4631242	3638188	5140014		6058679	5523381
		3437048		3811614	5155990		5635639	4815731
		4451579		4351661	5057506		6161109	5278184
		3826961		4136280				5251031
		3641260						5092468
		3136116						
		3467977						
		3627969						
		3923272						
avg	4205210	3610838	4510026	3882750	5033041	4275258	6142003	5230610
SD	350291	393089	308370	328796	152611	258790	376463	351597
%	85	5.9	8	5.1	84	.9	85.2	

tcf7l1a ^{+/+}	VN	VN+	N+	WR	PR	total
28hpf	0	17(61%)	11(39%)	0	0	28
32hpf	0	0	7(26%)	20(74%)	0	27
36hpf	0	0	0	12(34%)	23(66%)	35
40hpf	0	0	0	4(13%)	26(87%)	30
44hpf	0	0	0	0	25(100%)	25
48hpf	0	0	0	0	30(100%)	30
52hpf	0	0	0	0	32(100%)	32
	_					
tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	VN	VN+	NR	WR	PR	total
28hpf	10(91%)	1(9%)	0	0	0	11
32hpf	5(42%)	7(58%)	0	0	0	12
36hpf	0	4(44%)	5(56%)	0	0	9
40hpf	0	0	4(36%)	7(64%)	0	11
44hpf	0	0	0	12(100%)	0	12
48hpf	0	0	0	7(64%)	4(36%)	11

TableS5 Young et al.,

TableS6 Young et al.,

	28h		32	h	36h	
	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1
	2880708	3586604	2924810	3899004	2621115	4203479
	2335692	3141299	3171944	3787758	3706550	4247658
	2530889	3747160	2916297	3712662	3308758	4477313
	2227537	3312869	2921867	4075156	3494378	4772458
	2518781	3483894	2940009	4042865	3374152	3620543
	2237052	2942355	3173425	4252462	2921712	4497088
	2682092	3402808	3089701	3884847	3176612	4120514
	2408545	3496025	2676824	3738231	2621568	4507760
	2366171	3135479	2984936	4197349	3281049	4647388
	2797481	3183696	2580473	3821546	3194695	4706236
	2562875	3451061	3034976	4386690	3428635	4095251
	2625856	3480118	2836164	3631181	3423168	4059611
avg	2514473	3363614	2937619	3952479	3212699	4329608
SD	209290	226728	184625	225266	335726	332688
%	133	8.8	134	1.5	134	1.8

	48	h	60	h	72	h
	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1	HS control	HS:dkk1
	3981505	4439006	5096343	5219959	5421617	5321011
	3793638	5125272	4770837	5511928	5029041	6132984
	4467087	5679740	4615612	5358357	5345795	6150666
	4259877	5376223	4782028	5221531	5237803	5079509
	4098603	5318863	4635136	4363412	5438979	5794780
	4023160	4645483	4714417	5034015	5449802	6034834
	4324980	4534146	4810382	4509100	5139948	5191601
	4429815	4730703	4450481	5014564	5253503	5383542
	3928429	4675130	4552075	5357178	4757565	5630658
	4231000	4750079	4698728	5136529	5246831	5302474
	3977397	4917608	4788792	5447982	5214540	5576887
	4244148	4794881		5101467	5333786	5325969
avg	4146637	4915595	4719530	5106335	5239101	5577076
SD	211019	379266	167448	368379	196495	373618
%	118	.5	108.2		106	.5

TableS7 Young et al.,

		genomie posicion m		
	gene	chromosome 11		
1	her15	41.36-41.36 Mb		
2	abhd6a	41.46-41.48 Mb		
3	flnbl	41.51-41.52 Mb		
4	svbp	41.73-41.84 Mb		
5	phf13	41.75-41.77 Mb		
6	camta1	41.77-41.82 Mb		
7	dnajc11b	41.77-41.79 Mb		
8	fnlb	41.90-41.98 Mb		
9	simapa	42.03-42.14 Mb		
10	dennd6aa	42.15-42.17 Mb		
11	arf4b	42.17-42.18 Mb		
12	arf4a	42.19-42.20 Mb		
13	pde12	42.21-42.23 Mb		
14	atp6ap1la	42.24-42.26 Mb		
15	rps23	42.26-42.27 Mb		
16	asb14a	42.29-42.30 Mb		
17	appl1	42.31-42.33 Mb		
18	hesx1	42.34-42.34 Mb		
19	sef	42.35-42.49 Mb		
20	glyatl3	42.43-42.43 Mb		
21	zgc:194981	42.43-42.45 Mb		
22	tdrd3	42.47-42.52 Mb		
23	pcdh20	42.62-42.62 Mb		
24	eml6	42.64-42.68 Mb		
25	acyp2	42.81-42.81 Mb		
26	foxg1b	42.82-42.82 Mb		

genomic position in

TableS8 Young et al.,

	no eye		small eye		wildtype eye	
	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1 ^{+/-, +/+}	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1+/-, +/+	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	tcf7l1+/-, +/+
Pair1	13 (30%)	0	0	0	0	30
Pair2	16 (32%)	0	1	3	0	39
Pair3	11 (33%)	0	0	5	0	33
Pair4	13 (22%)	0	0	0	0	45

TableS9 Young et al.,

		genomic position in		
	gene	chromosome 24		
1	cbln2b	15.50 Mb		
2	fbxo15	15.51-15.52 Mb		
3	neto1l	15.75-15.99 Mb		
4	timm21	15.99-16.00 Mb		
5	sema5a	16.24-16.56 Mb		
6	mtrr	16.70-16.76 Mb		
7	cct5	16.76 Mb		
8	cmbl	16.76-16.77 Mb		
9	klhl15	16.82-16.83 Mb		
10	eif2s3	16.83-16.85 Mb		
11	zfx	16.86-16.87 Mb		
12	ptgdsb	16.87-16.88 Mb		
13	c8g	16.88-16.89 Mb		
14	msrb2	16.89-16.90 Mb		
15	armc3	16.90-16.92 Mb		
16	pip4k2aa	16.92-16.98 Mb		
17	mllt10	16.99-17.07 Mb		
18	comm3	17.11 Mb		
19	bmi1a	17.11-17.12 Mb		
20	spag6	17.12-17.13 Mb		
21	pdia4	17.19 Mb		

TableS10 Young et al.,

	wt	tcf7l1a ^{-/-}	gdf6a⁻/⁻	gdf6a/tcf7l1a ^{-/-}
	2903277	2787301	2008253	1264302
	2819887	2299871	2128333	1119602
	3008809	2718549	2274431	1159715
	2961193	2807418	2505976	1564565
	2890943		2547329	1496518
	3147872			1364723
	2701579			
_	3100625			
avg	2941773	2653285	2292864	1328238
SD	145993	238661	233764	179731
%		90	78	45