bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Re-Evaluating One-step Generation of Mice Carrying Conditional Alleles by CRISPR-Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing Technology

Authors:

Channabasavaiah Gurumurthy[1,2]*, Rolen Quadros[1], John Adams Jr[3], Pilar Alcaide[4], Shinya Ayabe[5] , Johnathan Ballard[3], Surinder K. Batra[6], Marie-Claude Beauchamp[7], Kathleen A Becker[8], Guillaume Bernas[9], David Brough[10], Francisco Carrillo-Salinas[4], Ruby Dawson[11], Victoria DeMambro[8], Jinke D'Hont[12,13], Katharine Dibb[14], James D. Eudy[15], Lin Gan[16], Jing Gao[44], Amy Gonzales[3], Anyonya Guntur[8], Huiping Guo[3], Donald W. Harms[1], Anne Harrington[8], Kathryn E. Hentges[17], Neil Humphreys[18], Shiho Imai[19], Hideshi Ishii[20], Mizuho Iwama[5], Eric Jonasch[21], Michelle Karolak[9], Bernard Keavney[22], Nay-Chi Khin[44], Masamitsu Konno[23], Yuko Kotani[24], Yayoi Kunihiro[24], Imayavaramban Lakshmanan[6], Catherine Larochelle[25], Catherine B. Lawrence[10], Lin Li[26], Volkhard Lindner[8], Xian-De Liu[21], Gloria Lopez-Castejon[27], Andrew Loudon[28], Jenna Lowe[44], Loydie Jerome-Majeweska[7], Taiji Matsusaka[19], Hiromi Miura[29,30], Yoshiki Miyasaka[24], Benjamin Morpurgo[3], Katherine Motyl[8], Yo-ichi Nabeshima[31], Koji Nakade[5], Toshiaki Nakashiba[5], Kenichi Nakashima[5], Yuichi Obata[5], Sanae Ogiwara[32], Mariette Ouellet[9], Leif Oxburgh[8], Sandra Piltz[11], Ilka Pinz[8], Moorthy P. Ponnusamy[6], David Ray[33], Ronald J. Redder[15], Clifford J Rosen[8], Nikki Ross[44], Mark T. Ruhe[34], Larisa Ryzhova[8], Ane M. Salvador[4], Radislav Sedlacek[35], Karan Sharma[36], Chad Smith[21], Katrien Staes[12,13], Lora Starrs[44], Fumihiro Sugiyama[37], Satoru Takahashi[37], Tomohiro Tanaka[38], Andrew Trafford[14], Yoshihiro Uno[24], Leen Vanhoutte[12,13], Frederique Vanrockeghem[12,13], Brandon J. Willis[34], Christian S. Wright[39], Yuko Yamauchi[24], Xin Yi[39], Kazuto Yoshimi[24], Xuesong Zhang[21], Yu Zhang[26], Masato Ohtsuka[29,30], Satyabrata Das[40], Daniel J. Garry[40,41], Tino Hochepied[12,13],Paul Thomas[11], Jan Parker-Thornburg[21], Antony D Adamson[18], Atsushi Yoshiki[5], Jean-Francois Schmouth[9], Andrei Golovko[3], William R. Thompson[39], KC. Kent Lloyd[34,42], Joshua A. Wood[34], Mitra Cowan[43], Tomoji Mashimo[24], Seiya Mizuno[37], Hao Zhu[26], Petr Kasparek[35], Lucy Liaw[8], Joseph M. Miano[16], and Gaetan Burgio[44]*.

1. Mouse Genome Engineering Core Facility, Vice Chancellor for Research Office, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA

2. Developmental Neuroscience, Munroe Meyer Institute for Genetics and Rehabilitation, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA

3. Texas A&M Institute for Genomic Medicine (TIGM), Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843, USA

4. Department of Immunology, Tufts University School of Medicine, Boston, USA

5. RIKEN BioResource Research Center, Tsukuba, Ibaraki 305-0074, Japan

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

6. Department of Biochemistry and Molecular Biology, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA

7. Departments of Anatomy and Cell Biology, Human Genetics and Pediatrics, Research Institute McGill University Health Center (RI-MUHC), Montreal, Canada

8. Maine Medical Center Research Institute (MMCRI),Scarborough, ME, USA.

9. Transgenesis and Animal Modeling Core Facility, Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montreal (CRCHUM), Montreal, Canada

10. Division of Neuroscience and Experimental Psychology, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, University of Manchester, AV Hill Building, Oxford Road, Manchester, M13 9PT, U.K

11. South Australian Health & Medical Research Institute and Department of Medicine, University of Adelaide, Australia

12. Transgenic mouse core facility, VIB Center for Inflammation Research, Ghent, Belgium

13. Department of Biomedical Molecular Biology, Ghent University, Ghent, Belgium

14. Unit of Cardiac Physiology, School of Medical Sciences, Manchester Academic Health Science Center, University of Manchester, UK

15. High-Throughput DNA Sequencing and Genotyping Core Facility, Vice Chancellor for Research Office, University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, USA

16. University of Rochester Medical Center, Rochester, NY 14642, USA

17. Division of Evolution and Genomic Sciences, School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, University of Manchester, UK

18. Transgenic Unit core facility, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

19. Department of Basic Medicine, Division of Basic Medical Science and Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, Tokai University, 143, Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa 259-1193, Japan

20. Department of Medical Data Science, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Japan

21. The University of Texas, MD Anderson Cancer Center, Houston, TX, USA.

22. Division of Cardiovascular Sciences, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester AND Manchester Heart Centre, Manchester University NHS Foundation Trust, Manchester Academic Health Science Centre, Manchester, UK

23. Department of Frontier Science for Cancer and Chemotherapy, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

24. The Institute of Experimental Animal Sciences, Osaka University Graduate School of Medicine, Osaka, Japan

25. Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Montreal (CRCHUM), Montreal, Canada

26. Children's Research Institute Mouse Genome Engineering Core, University of Texas Southwestern Medical Center, Dallas, TX 75390, USA.

27. Manchester Collaborative Centre for Inflammation Research (MCCIR), School of Biological Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, The University of Manchester, Manchester, UK.

28. Centre for Biological Timing, School of Medical Sciences, Faculty of Biology, Medicine and Health, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

29. Center for Matrix Biology and Medicine, Graduate School of Medicine, Tokai University, Isehara, Kanagawa, 259-1193, Japan

30. Department of Molecular Life Science, Division of Basic Medical Science and Molecular Medicine, School of Medicine, Tokai University, 143, Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa 259-1193, Japan

31. Laboratory of Molecular Life Science, Foundation for Biomedical Research and Innovation, at Kobe, Japan

32. Department of Laboratory Animal Science, Support Center for Medical Research and Education, Tokai University, 143, Shimokasuya, Isehara, Kanagawa 259-1193, Japan

33. Oxford Centre for Diabetes, Endocrinology and Metabolism, University of Oxford, Oxford, OX37LE, UK

34. Mouse Biology Program, University of California, Davis, USA

35. Laboratory of Transgenic Models of Diseases and Czech Centre for Phenogenomics, Institute of Molecular Genetics of the Czech Academy of Sciences, Czech Republic

36. College of Osteopathic Medicine, Marian University, Indianapolis, IN 46222, USA

37. Laboratory Animal Resource Center, University of Tsukuba, Japan

38. Department of Gastroenterology and Metabolism, Nagoya City University Graduate School of Medical Sciences, Nagoya, Japan.

39. School of Health and Human Sciences, Department of Physical Therapy, Indiana University, Indianapolis, IN 46202, USA

40. Lillehei Heart Institute Regenerative Medicine and Sciences Program, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

41. Paul and Sheila Wellstone Muscular Dystrophy Center, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN, USA

42. Dept. of Surgery, School of Medicine, University of California, Davis, Davis, USA

43. McGill Integrated Core for Animal Modeling (MICAM), Montreal, Canada

44. Department of Immunology and Infectious Disease, the John Curtin School of Medical Research, the Australian National University, Canberra, Australia.

* Corresponding authors: cgurumurthy@unmc.edu and gaetan.burgio@anu.edu.au

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Abstract:

CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology has considerably facilitated the generation of mouse knockout alleles, relieving many of the cumbersome and time-consuming steps of traditional mouse embryonic stem cell technology. However, the generation of conditional knockout alleles remains an important challenge. An earlier study reported up to 16% efficiency in generating conditional knockout alleles in mice using 2 single guide RNAs (sgRNA) and 2 single-stranded oligonucleotides (ssODN) (2sgRNA-2ssODN). We re-evaluated this method from a large data set generated from a consortium consisting of 17 transgenic core facilities or laboratories or programs across the world. The dataset constituted 17,887 microinjected or electroporated zygotes and 1,718 live born mice, of which only 15 (0.87%) mice harbored 2 correct *LoxP* insertions in *cis* configuration indicating a very low efficiency of the method. To determine the factors required to successfully generate conditional alleles using the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach, we performed a generalized linear regression model. We show that factors such as the concentration of the sgRNA, Cas9 protein or the distance between the placement of *LoxP* insertions were not predictive for the success of this technique. The major predictor affecting the method's success was the probability of simultaneously inserting intact proximal and distal *LoxP* sequences, without the loss of the DNA segment between the two sgRNA cleavage sites. Our analysis of a large data set indicates that the 2sgRNA–2ssODN method generates a large number of undesired alleles (>99%), and a very small number of desired alleles (<1%) requiring, on average 1,192 zygotes.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Introduction:

Many inherited diseases are caused by defective genes. A better understanding of the mechanisms of these defects is critical to obtaining precise diagnoses and finding new therapeutics. Gene inactivation through knockout alleles in model organisms such as flies, worms, zebrafish and mice provides invaluable insights into mechanisms of gene function and disease [1]. However important challenges remain to successfully analyze the phenotypic impact of knockout genes in adult model organisms as over 30% of the genes in mice are essential for development and cause embryo lethality or neonatal subviability when deleted [2]. To overcome lethal phenotypes in gene-knockout models, conditional knockout (cKO) strategies have emerged [3]. cKO models usually involve insertion of *LoxP* sites in introns flanking critical exon/s or (less commonly) in intergenic regions or flanking regulatory regions such as promoters and enhancers. When crossed with a *Cre* recombinase expressing driver mouse, the *Cre* enzyme recognizes *LoxP* sequences and removes the intervening sequence. This leads to functional inactivation of the targeted gene in only the cells where the *Cre* is expressed and capable of targeting the DNA [3]. Generating a cKO mouse previously required the use of embryonic stem (ES) cell-based homologous recombination in combination with embryo manipulation, microinjection (MI), and assisted reproduction technologies (ART) [4]. These techniques were established in the 1980s and are still being used as gold-standard methods. Based on this technology, large-scale efforts such as the KnockOut Mouse Project (KOMP) [5] and the European Conditional Mouse Mutagenesis (EUCOMM) Program [6] have designed thousands of gene targeted constructs in ES cells for over 90% of coding genes. Using the ES cell clones, about 25% of mouse genes have been converted into cKO mice, all readily available and accessible in public repositories [7].

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

The recent emergence of genome editing technologies such as ZFN, TALENS and CRISPR-Cas9 enables an improvement in efficiency of gene targeting and has considerably facilitated the generation of genetically-engineered animal models based on homology directed repair of donor constructs in mouse zygotes [8]. Endonucleases, particularly Class 2 CRISPR systems, generate a precise double strand break (DSB) in the DNA under a chimeric single guide RNA (sgRNA) [9]. The DSB leads to error-prone, non-homologous end joining (NHEJ) repair or the precise homology-directed repair (HDR) under the guidance of a repair template [8]. In an earlier study, a high success rate (16%) of targeting *LoxP* sites in *cis* was reported by using 2 sgRNAs and 2 single-stranded oligonucleotides (ssODN) containing *LoxP* sites (2sgRNA-2ssODN) flanking a targeted critical exon (Figure 1) [10].

We sought to investigate the efficiency of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method for the generation of cKO alleles. We describe here for the first time a global community effort from a consortium of over a dozen laboratories, transgenic core facilities and programs across the world to evaluate the efficiency of generating cKO alleles using the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach. We surveyed over 50 loci and over 17,000 microinjected or electroporated zygotes using this method, which enabled robust statistical power to evaluate the efficiency of the technique. In contrast to the earlier report [10], we find this method does not efficiently produce cKO alleles. Rather, it generally results in a series of undesired editing events at the cleavage sites which occur nearly 100 fold higher rate than the precise insertion, in *cis*, of the two *LoxP* sites.

Material and methods:

Ethical statement:

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

All experiments were approved from the respective Institutional Animal Care and Use Committees in the USA and Ethics Committees in Australia, Belgium, Czech Republic, Japan, Spain and UK according to guidelines or code of practice from the National Institute of Health in the USA, the National Health and Medical Research Council (NHMRC) in Australia, Animals (Scientific Procedures) Act 1986 in UK or MEXT (Ministry of Education, culture, sports, Science and Technology), MHLW (The Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare) in Japan, the central commission for Animal Welfare (CCAW) in Czech Republic, the Canadian Council on Animal Care (CCAC) in Canada, the National Ethics Code from the Royal Belgian (Flemish) Academy of Medicine in Belgium, and the European code of Conduct for Research Integrity from All European Academies.

Mecp2 gene targeting using CRISPR-Cas9:

Mecp2 left single chimeric guide RNAs (sgRNA) 5'-CCCAAGGATACAGTATCCTA-3' and *Mecp2* right sgRNA 5'-AGGAGTGAGGTCTAGTACTT-3' target sites were designed as described in Yang et al [10]. Ultramer Oligonucleotides (Integrated DNA Technologies, Coralville, IA) were designed with sequences to T7 promoter for *in vitro* transcription, DNA target region, and chimeric RNA sequence. Complimentary oligos for each target sequence were annealed at 95°C for 5 mins and the temperature was reduced 0.20°C/second to 16°C using a PCR machine (BioRad T100) before use as template for sgRNA synthesis. sgRNAs were synthesized with the HiScribe™ T7 Quick High Yield RNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs). Cas9 mRNA was obtained from Life Technologies or in-vitro transcribed from a Chimeric pX330-U6-Chinmeric-BB-CBh-hSpCas9 expression plasmid obtained from Addgene repository (Plasmid 42230; donation from Zhang laboratory).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

SgRNA design:

SgRNAs were designed using available online tools such as CRISPOR, Chop-Chop or CCTop [11, 12]. SgRNAs were cloned into pX330 and *in vitro* transcribed[13-15], or synthesized and annealed [16]. Cas9 mRNA or protein was purchased, *in vitro* transcribed or purified in house. Cas9 protein was complexed with thesgRNA or crRNA and the tracrRNA [17] and then mixed with the ssODN prior to microinjection. Concentrations and site of injection for Cas9 protein or mRNA, sgRNA, and template repairs for each locus are indicated in Supplementary Table 1.

Mouse husbandry and zygote microinjection and electroporation:

Mice were purchased from various sources and maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions. Mice were maintained under 12/12 hr light cycle and food and water were provided *ad libitum*. Three to five week-old females were superovulated by intraperitoneal injection of Pregnant Mare Serum Gonadotropin (5IU) followed by intraperitoneal injection of Human Chorionic Gonadotropin hormone (5IU) 48 hours later. Superovulated females were mated with 8 to 20 week-old stud males. The mated females were euthanized the following day and the zygotes were collected from their oviducts. Cytoplasmic or pronuclear injections were performed under an inverted microscope, associated micromanipulators, and a microinjection apparatus. Electroporation of the embryos were performed with an electroporation device using a cuvette or 1mm plate electrodes with the following parameters: 30 V square wave pulses with 100 ms interval using a BioRad electroporator device or 4 poring pulses (40 V, 3.5 ms, interval 50 ms, 10% voltage decay + polarity) followed by 5 transfer pulses (5 V, 50 ms, interval 50 ms, 40% voltage decay, alternating + and − polarity) using a NEPA21 electroporator device. Microinjected or electroporated zygotes were either surgically transferred into the ampulla of pseudo-pregnant

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

females or cultured overnight at 37ºC and then surgically transferred at the 2-cell stage of development.

Genotyping:

DNA extraction was performed on ear punch or tail tip from mouse pups over 15 days using a DNA extraction kit according to the manufacturer instructions. Primers were designed to amplify the regions encompassing the integrated *LoxP* sequence. PCR was performed using Taq polymerase under standard PCR conditions. The PCR products were then purified with ExoSAP-IT1 or a PCR Clean-Up System kit according to the manufacturer's instructions. Sanger sequencing was performed in core facilities. To identify *LoxP* insertions, as a general practice at all centers, the two target sites were amplified individually to look for increase in the amplicon size, which occurs if *LoxP* sites are inserted successfully. If the *LoxP* insertion was not observed in this first set of PCR analyses, the samples were declared negative, and in many such cases the samples were not analyzed further (as the end goal of the project, ie., generation of floxed allele was not met). In some cases, such samples were also sequenced to assess *indels* to understand if the guides were successful in cleaving the target site. In some cases, the entire regions encompassing both the guide cleavage sites were amplified to assess for deletions between the cleavage sites.

Statistics:

To determine the statistical differences between proportions or means, we performed a Fisher Exact test or a Kruskal Wallis sum rank test. A Generalized linear model calculation was performed with success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method as a response. Predictive variables were: efficiency of the sgRNA, probability of *LoxP* insertions in 5' and 3 (5'_*LoxP* and

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

3'_*LoxP*), simultaneous insertion of the 2 *LoxP* sites (interaction between 5'_*Loxp* and 3'_*LoxP*) Cas9 mRNA, protein, plasmid and ssODN concentrations and distance between distal and proximal target sites. Variance for each predictor was determined from the diagonal of the variance-covariance matrix. Effect sizes and type II error were determined using Cohen effect size d statistics and power calculation. All statistical analyses were performed using Rstudio v1.1.423. Results were considered statistically significant at $p<0.05$.

Results:

Mecp2 gene targeting in blastocysts:

To assess the efficiency of the technique and compare to previously published results [10], we reproduced an experiment on *Mecp2* gene, essential for DNA methylation during development using the same sgRNAs and ssODNs as previously described in the original report [10]. Three independent centers at the Australian National University in Australia (ANU), University of Nebraska Medical Center in the USA (UNMC) and the Czech Centre for Phenogenomics in Czech Republic (IMG) performed these experiments on C57BL/6N inbred strain of mice. We evaluated the success rate of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method in blastocysts for *Mecp2* (Table 1). Using a concentration mix of 20 ng/µl of Cas9 mRNA, 20 ng/µl of in-vitro transcribed sgRNA, and 10 ng/µl of ssODN, we observed no successful targeting (i.e., correct insertion of 2 *LoxP* sites in *cis* configuration) even though both sgRNAs cleaved target DNA as indicated by the presence of *indels* or integration of a *LoxP* site at the desired location, which varied from 13% to 34% (Table 1).

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

	Zygotes injected	**Blastocysts genotyped**	**Correctly targeted**	**Incorrectly targeted at the 5' site (%)**	**Incorrectly targeted at the 3' site (%)**
Australian National University (ANU) Australia	106	51	0	11 *indels* and 6 *LoxP* correctly inserted (33%)	6 *indels* and 1 *LoxP* correctly inserted (13%)
University of Nebraska Medical Center (UNMC) USA	80	70	0	14 *indels* and 1 *LoxP* correctly inserted (34%)	21 *indels* (30%)
Czech Centre for Phenogenomics, Czech republic (BIOCEV/IMG)	40	28	0	8 *indels* and 1 *LoxP* correctly inserted (32%)	5 *indels* (18%)

Table 1: Summary of the edited blastocysts for *Mecp2* gene from three different centers.

Interestingly we noted the occasional presence of mutations within *LoxP* sites indicating illegitimate repair events at the target site. The frequency of successful targeting of two *LoxP* sites *in cis* was previously reported to be 16% [10], which we failed to achieve. One possible explanation is the mouse genetic background influences the likelihood of ssODN integration. This variance could also be explained by an inherently low probability to successfully replace 2 genomic loci in *cis*, the lack of efficiency of the sgRNA, or the relatively low sample size.

A global survey of the generation of conditional alleles using 2sgRNA-2ssODN method.

To better understand how to successfully generate conditional alleles using the 2sgRNA–2ssODN approach and to assess its efficiency, we evaluated this method on 56 additional genes and two intergenic regions of the mouse genome from a consortium of 17 institutions across Australia, Belgium, Japan, USA, UK, Czech Republic and Canada. A majority of attempts were

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

performed on a C57BL/6J background (39) whereas 18 projects used C57BL/6N background and 3 additional ones used a hybrid mouse background (B6C3HF1, B6SJLF1, FVBCD1F1). We assessed whether the mouse background strain would have an impact over the success of the method using Fisher Exact test statistics. We failed to find such evidence in our data (Fisher exact test, p = 0.74). Out of the 56 targeted loci (49 microinjected and 7 electroporated), 21 were ranked as essential genes based on early embryonic or postnatal lethality of the homozygous knockout mice according to mouse genome database http://www.informatics.jax.org [18]. Different knockout mice from 18 out of 56 targeted loci were described viable to adulthood as homozygous mice and 17 loci were unknown. Together this indicates the repartition between putative essential and non-essential targeted gene was in equal frequency (Fisher exact test, p = 0.76). The distance between sgRNA varied from 250 bp to 1.1 Mb with a median of 2 Kb. Single exons to entire genes or regulatory genomic regions (Supplementary Table 1) were floxed. We investigated whether the distance between sgRNA is critical for the likelihood of success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method. We failed to find such evidence in our data set (Kruskal Wallis rank sum test, chi-squared = 32, p=0.42), although the sample size was too low to form a conclusion (Cohen's effect size d = 0.40 with power 1-beta = 0.27). Among the microinjected zygotes in 53 Loci, significantly higher number of zygotes were microinjected in the pronucleus alone (26/53) than the cytoplasm alone (10/53) or pronucleus and cytoplasm (17/53) (Fischer exact test p = 0.004), which is consistent with the current practice in most mouse transgenic core facilities (Figure 2A). Various forms of CRISPR reagents (sgRNA, Cas9 and ssODN), were microinjected or electroporated to generate the models (Supplementary Table 1). Consistent with the general practice in mouse transgenic facilities from 2013 to 2016 using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology, the majority of the reagents were delivered in 59 Loci (49 unique loci

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

microinjected, 3 different designs for one loci and 7 electroporated Loci) in the form of in-vitro transcribed mRNA (35/59) at various concentrations varying from 10 ng/µl to 100 ng/µl of Cas9 mRNA (Figure 2B) and from 10 ng/µl to 50 ng/µl sgRNA. ssODN were delivered at a concentration varying from 10 ng/µl to 200 ng/µl. In 18 instances, Cas9 was delivered as protein with a concentration varying from 10 ng/µl to 75 ng/µl. Interestingly for 6 loci, Cas9 and sgRNAs were delivered in the form of a chimeric sgRNA-SpCas9 plasmid (pX330) at a concentration of 5 ng/µl. We sought to determine whether the forms of reagent delivery such as plasmid, ribonucleoprotein (RNP) or mRNA would have an effect on the overall efficiency in targeting using the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method. We failed to find such evidence (Fisher exact test $p = 1$). We therefore hypothesized that the success in generating floxed alleles using the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach may depend on factors such as: (i) sgRNA efficiency, (ii) simultaneity in *LoxP* insertion, or; (iii) the concentration of the Cas9, sgRNA and ssODN reagents. To get insight on these possibilities, we further analyzed data from the 56 loci (Supplementary Table 2, 4 and 5). Note that the offspring for 54 loci were analyzed post-natal stage (Supplementary Tables 2 and 4) whereas 2 loci were analyzed at the blastocyst stage (Supplementary Table 4). Out of 17,887 (17,557 microinjected and 330 electroporated; see details below) zygotes, 12,764 (71.4%) zygotes were surgically transferred into recipient females. The recipient females gave birth to 1,718 pups (9.6% of the microinjected/electroporated zygotes). As a general practice, at all centers, the mice were first analyzed by PCR to observe the putative insertion of the *LoxP* sites at both the sites; the animals were declared negative if genotyping did not reveal the presence of the desired allele. In some cases the loci were further analyzed to assess guide cleaving activity. Of the 1,684 founder mice, 659 (39%) showed some type of editing (*indels* and/or substitutions), 235 (14%) and 144 (9%)

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

mice harbored a single *LoxP* insertion or deletions between the two cleavage sites, respectively (Figure 2C). The mice for 25 (of the 56) loci were further assessed for additional events including large deletions (Figure 2C). Of the 487 founder mice analyzed (from those 25 loci), 41%, 11% and 2.7% samples contained *indels,* single *LoxP* insertions or large deletions respectively (Figure 2D). From the 1,684 animals analyzed, only 15 mice (0.87%) were correctly targeted with intact *LoxP* sites in the *cis* configuration (Supplementary Table 5). Out of 56 loci only 11 loci were successfully targeted (19.6%). The average number of zygotes needed to generate 1 correctly targeted animal was 1,192. The essentiality of the genes had no impact on the likelihood of success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN technique (4/23 success in targeting for embryonic or postnatal lethality versus 5/18 for viable homozygous mice and 2/15 for unknown embryonic or postnatal lethality, Fisher exact test $p = 0.27$). We also noted from our data, among the 56 loci analyzed 14% loci showed deletions between two target sites for Cas9 cleavage. We also noted a relatively high occurrence of single *LoxP* insertions for > 20% of the mice genotyped (from all the loci) and few instances of *trans LoxP* insertions (on different alleles, reducing the probability for correct insertion of the *LoxP* sites) (Figure 3). We therefore hypothesized that success of this approach depends on the combined efficiency of the sgRNA and the likelihood of *LoxP* insertion on both sites to enable two *in cis* HDR events to occur simultaneously. To assess this postulate, we performed a generalized linear regression analysis to model the relationship between Cas9, sgRNA concentration, sgRNA cleavage efficiency, distance between *LoxP* insertions, occurrences of *LoxP* insertions, and success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method. The analyses are summarized in Supplementary Table 3. The efficiency of *LoxP* insertions at both 5' and 3' sites appears to be the best predictor for the likelihood of success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method accounting for over 60% of the total variance.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

However, this predictor was not significant in our linear regression model. Additional predictors such as sgRNA efficiency or efficiency in 5'- or 3'- insertion of *LoxP* explained approximately 35% of the total variance but none of these predictors were significant in our model. The concentration of Cas9 mRNA accounted less than 0.1% of the total variance but was statistically significant ($p < 0.01$) in the generalized linear regression model as a predictor for the success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach. However, success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach was not significantly correlated with an increase of Cas9 mRNA concentration (r^2 Pearson = 0.27, $p = 0.08$). From our analysis, the sample size of the successful *LoxP* insertions in *cis* was too small to definitively rule out any others predictors (Cohen's effect size d = 0.4, power 1-beta = 0.41). Together, these results suggest that the presence of two simultaneous HDR events is the best predictor to generating two floxed alleles in *cis*.

Recently, electroporation of zygotes has been developed as an efficient method for generating knockout, point mutations, tagged, or conditional alleles [19-25]. From our consortium, 3 laboratories and programs surveyed the likelihood of success of the method. For 7 loci surveyed, we noted success in inserting a single *LoxP* allele (Supplementary Table 4) from analysis of blastocysts or live mice for 2 out of the 7 loci. In contrast we noted a relatively high frequency of large deletions and *indels* (up to 39% of large deletions) indicating successful editing. However, none of the loci showed two *LoxP* sites inserted in *cis* in the offspring, suggesting that the delivery of CRISPR reagents by electroporation does not make a statistical difference in obtaining a desired outcome from the 2sgRNA-2ssODN floxing approach, although the large numbers of embryos that can be manipulated allows for the recovery of the very small number of those that are correctly targeted.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Discussion

CRISPR-Cas9 technology has greatly facilitated the generation of mouse lines containing knockout or knockin alleles. However, the generation of conditional alleles remains a challenge using traditional ES cells and CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technologies. An earlier paper demonstrated 16% efficiency with 2 chimeric sgRNAs and 2 single-stranded oligonucleotides to produce conditional alleles in mice [10].

To evaluate the efficiency of this 2sgRNA-2ssODN method, three laboratories replicated the experiments described in the initial report on *Mecp2* (10) using the same methods to generate the sgRNA and Cas9 and microinjected the mouse zygotes at similar reagent concentrations. Although we observed single *LoxP* site insertions and *indels* at the cleavage sites, the method was unsuccessful in generating two *LoxP* sites in *cis*. A previous report attempting to replicate the findings of Yang et al [10], found an efficiency of floxing *Mecp2* varying from 2% to 8% with the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach [26]. We surmise the lack of efficiency in targeting *Mecp2* here was due to a low concentration of reagents delivered by microinjection, a notion corroborated by previous work from Horii and colleagues [26]. Of note, it was reported that up to 6% targeting efficiency was achieved using 25 ng/µl of Cas9, 6 ng/µl of sgRNA and 100 ng/µl of ssODN but toxic to embryonic development; these concentrations are 2-fold higher than those described in Yang *et al,* 2013 [10].

What determines the success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method?

To better understand the critical factors predicting the likelihood of success with the 2sgRNA-2ssODN approach, we surveyed 56 unique loci in mice zygote. We noted that the efficiency of simultaneous insertion of the two *LoxP* sites simultaneously was the best predictor of success

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

using this approach. We also noted a low success rate in generating a conditional allele across all centers (< 1%), varying from 0 to 50% (median = 0%) for individual loci. These results are comparable with previous reports demonstrating an important disparity in success rate varying from 0% to 7% of mice harboring two *LoxP* sites insertions in *cis* whether delivered by microinjection [26-29] or by electroporation [26]. We and others also have noted the large number of deletions at the target sites following DNA cleavage [28]. Our results on a larger number of loci suggest the efficiency in generating a successful cKO with the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method is lower than previously described [10]. One hypothesis for this discrepancy in success rate might relate to strain-specific differences. We analyzed this variable and did not find any significant differences among strains, whether the donor strain was a F1 cross, inbred, or outbred mouse line as a donor strain. Another possibility to improve the efficiency of the method is to avoid recombination between the target sites by placing the *LoxP* sites hundreds of kb apart. This was reported previously for a success rate varying from 0% to 18% for 6 loci [30]. We did not find such evidence in our data, although our sample size is too small to formally rule out this hypothesis. A recent report found the successful use of sequential introduction of the *LoxP* sites to improve efficiency and avoid recombination between alleles [26]. Indeed, a 3 to 10 fold improvement in successful targeting was observed, though it should be noted that such an approach requires a more protracted period of time to completion [26]. Additional work has demonstrated over 5 fold improvement in targeting using a long ssODN [22, 29, 31] or double-stranded donor DNA [28].

In conclusion, we find the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method to be inherently biased for *indels* or substitutions at the DSB, deletion between the guide cleavage sites, or *trans* insertion of the *LoxP* sites. Even though the overall success rate is very low — ~1,200 zygotes were needed to

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

generate 1 correctly targeted animal — it is possible to generate floxed alleles using CRISPR-Cas9 gene editing technology with 2sgRNA-2ssODN. The method, however, requires two inefficient simultaneous HDR events leading to correct insertion of both *LoxP* sites in the *cis* configuration, an outcome we find occurs very infrequently (<1%).

Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the National Collaborative Research Infrastructure (NCRIS) via the Australian Phenomics Network (APN) (to Gaetan Burgio and Paul Thomas), by an Institutional Development Award (PI: Shelley Smith) P20GM103471 (to CBG, RMQ, DWH, JDE and RR), by NIGMS 1P30GM110768-01 and P30CA036727 (as part of support to University of Nebraska Mouse Genome Engineering and DNA Sequencing Cores), the British Heart Foundation (FS12-57), FS12/57/29717, CH/13/2/30154 and the program grant RG/15/12/31616 (to Kathryn Hentges and Bernard Keavney), the Wellcome Trust grants 107849/Z/15/Z and 105610/Z/14/Z, the Medical Research Council, MR/N029992/1 (to DB and CBL), the National BioResource Project of Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology/Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (MEXT/AMED), Japan, the Canadian Institutes of Health Research, MOP#142452 (MCB and LJM). LJM is a member of the Research Centre of the McGill University Health Centre which is supported in part by FQRS. Dr William Thompson was supported by the Indiana Clinical and Translational Sciences Institute, funded in part by grant #UL1 TR001108 from the National Institute of Health (NIH), National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences, Clinical and Translational Sciences Award. D Kent Lloyd is supported from the NIH (UM1OD023221), and work contributed by staff from the UC Davis Mouse Biology Program (MBP) is supported by a grant from the American College of Laboratory Animal Medicine. The work contributed from Xiande Liu, Chad Smith, Eric Jonasch,

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Xuesong Zhang and Jan Parker-Thornburg is supported from the NIH under the award number P30CA16672. R Sedlacek was supported by LM2015040 (Czech Centre for Phenogenomics), CZ.1.05/1.1.00/02.0109 (BIOCEV), and CZ.1.05/2.1.00/19.0395 by the Ministry of Education, Youth and Sports (MEYS) and by Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic (RVO 68378050). David Ray was supported from a Wellcome Trust Investigator (107849/Z/15/Z) and the Medical Research Council (MR/P011853/1 and MR/P023576/) grants. Andrew Loudon was supported from a Wellcome Trust Investigator (107849/Z/15/Z), Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council (BB/N015584/1), Medical Research Council (MR/P023576/1). The work contributed from Gloria Lopez-Castejon is supported from the Wellcome Trust (104192/Z/14/Z) and the Royal Society. Pilar Alcaide was supported from the NIH (HL 123658).

Literature Cited:

1. Lee, D. and D.W. Threadgill, *Investigating gene function using mouse models.* Curr Opin Genet Dev, 2004. **14**(3): p. 246-52.
2. Dickinson, M.E., et al., *High-throughput discovery of novel developmental phenotypes.* Nature, 2016. **537**(7621): p. 508-514.
3. Skarnes, W.C., et al., *A conditional knockout resource for the genome-wide study of mouse gene function.* Nature, 2011. **474**(7351): p. 337-42.
4. Thomas, K.R. and M.R. Capecchi, *Site-directed mutagenesis by gene targeting in mouse embryo-derived stem cells.* Cell, 1987. **51**(3): p. 503-12.
5. Austin, C.P., et al., *The knockout mouse project.* Nat Genet, 2004. **36**(9): p. 921-4.
6. Friedel, R.H., et al., *EUCOMM--the European conditional mouse mutagenesis program.* Brief Funct Genomic Proteomic, 2007. **6**(3): p. 180-5.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

7. Ayadi, A., et al., *Mouse large-scale phenotyping initiatives: overview of the European Mouse Disease Clinic (EUMODIC) and of the Wellcome Trust Sanger Institute Mouse Genetics Project.* Mamm Genome, 2012. **23**(9-10): p. 600-10.

8. Wang, H., et al., *One-step generation of mice carrying mutations in multiple genes by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering.* Cell, 2013. **153**(4): p. 910-8.

9. Jinek, M., et al., *A programmable dual-RNA-guided DNA endonuclease in adaptive bacterial immunity.* Science, 2012. **337**(6096): p. 816-21.

10. Yang, H., et al., *One-step generation of mice carrying reporter and conditional alleles by CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering.* Cell, 2013. **154**(6): p. 1370-9.

11. Haeussler, M., et al., *Evaluation of off-target and on-target scoring algorithms and integration into the guide RNA selection tool CRISPOR.* Genome Biol, 2016. **17**(1): p. 148.

12. Stemmer, M., et al., *CCTop: An Intuitive, Flexible and Reliable CRISPR/Cas9 Target Prediction Tool.* PLoS One, 2015. **10**(4): p. e0124633.

13. Cong, L., et al., *Multiplex genome engineering using CRISPR/Cas systems.* Science, 2013. **339**(6121): p. 819-23.

14. Harms, D.W., et al., *Mouse Genome Editing Using the CRISPR/Cas System.* Curr Protoc Hum Genet, 2014. **83**: p. 15.7.1-27.

15. Yang, H., H. Wang, and R. Jaenisch, *Generating genetically modified mice using CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome engineering.* Nat Protoc, 2014. **9**(8): p. 1956-68.

16. Bassett, A.R., et al., *Highly efficient targeted mutagenesis of Drosophila with the CRISPR/Cas9 system.* Cell Rep, 2013. **4**(1): p. 220-8.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

17. Aida, T., et al., *Cloning-free CRISPR/Cas system facilitates functional cassette knock-in in mice.* Genome Biol, 2015. **16**: p. 87.

18. Blake, J.A., et al., *The Mouse Genome Database: integration of and access to knowledge about the laboratory mouse.* Nucleic Acids Res, 2014. **42**(Database issue): p. D810-7.

19. Kaneko, T., et al., *Simple knockout by electroporation of engineered endonucleases into intact rat embryos.* Sci Rep, 2014. **4**: p. 6382.

20. Chen, S., et al., *Highly Efficient Mouse Genome Editing by CRISPR Ribonucleoprotein Electroporation of Zygotes.* J Biol Chem, 2016. **291**(28): p. 14457-67.

21. Hashimoto, M. and T. Takemoto, *Electroporation enables the efficient mRNA delivery into the mouse zygotes and facilitates CRISPR/Cas9-based genome editing.* Sci Rep, 2015. **5**: p. 11315.

22. Miyasaka, Y., et al., *CLICK: one-step generation of conditional knockout mice.* BMC Genomics, 2018. **19**(1): p. 318.

23. Ohtsuka, M., et al., *i-GONAD: a robust method for in situ germline genome engineering using CRISPR nucleases.* Genome Biol, 2018. **19**(1): p. 25.

24. Qin, W., et al., *Efficient CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Genome Editing in Mice by Zygote Electroporation of Nuclease.* Genetics, 2015. **200**(2): p. 423-30.

25. Teixeira, M., et al., *Electroporation of mice zygotes with dual guide RNA/Cas9 complexes for simple and efficient cloning-free genome editing.* Sci Rep, 2018. **8**(1): p. 474.

26. Horii, T., et al., *Efficient generation of conditional knockout mice via sequential introduction of lox sites.* Sci Rep, 2017. **7**(1): p. 7891.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

27. Bishop, K.A., et al., *CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Insertion of loxP Sites in the Mouse Dock7 Gene Provides an Effective Alternative to Use of Targeted Embryonic Stem Cells.* G3 (Bethesda), 2016. **6**(7): p. 2051-61.

28. Kueh, A.J., et al., *An update on using CRISPR/Cas9 in the one-cell stage mouse embryo for generating complex mutant alleles.* Cell Death Differ, 2017. **24**(10): p. 1821-1822.

29. Lanza, D.G., et al., *Comparative analysis of single-stranded DNA donors to generate conditional null mouse alleles.* BMC Biol, 2018. **16**(1): p. 69.

30. Pritchard, C.E.J., L.J. Kroese, and I.J. Huijbers, *Direct Generation of Conditional Alleles Using CRISPR/Cas9 in Mouse Zygotes.* Methods Mol Biol, 2017. **1642**: p. 21-35.

31. Quadros, R.M., et al., *Easi-CRISPR: a robust method for one-step generation of mice carrying conditional and insertion alleles using long ssDNA donors and CRISPR ribonucleoproteins.* Genome Biol, 2017. **18**(1): p. 92.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

Figure Legends:

Figure 1: Schematic of 2sgRNA-2ssODN CRISPR method of creating conditional knockout alleles. (A) Wild type locus showing exons 3, 4 and 5 of a hypothetical gene where exon 4 is chosen as a target exon for inserting *LoxP* sites. The guide 1 and the guide 2 target introns 3 and 4 respectively. (B) CRISPR components showing 2sgRNA-2ssODN donors and a Cas9 source. (C) Delivery of CRISPR components into zygotes via microinjection (n=17,557) or electroporation (n=330). (D) The conditional knockout (cKO) allele showing target exon (#4) with flanking *LoxP* sites.

Figure 2: Quantitative assessment of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN methods (A) Doughnut graph indicating the methods of zygote injections (pronuclear, cytoplasmic or both) of the CRISPR reagents. Numbers indicate the percentage of the total zygotes microinjected or electroporated. (B) Doughnut graph indicating the form of delivery of the CRISPR reagents (mRNA, protein or plasmid) in the zygotes. Numbers indicate percentages. (C) Flow chart indicating the number of successful edited alleles and correct *LoxP* insertions out of the number of live born pups from microinjected and transferred zygotes. Numbers indicate absolute numbers. (D) Doughnut chart indicating the editing types observed amongst the live born pups genotyped on a sub sample from 25 loci. Numbers indicate absolute values.

Figure 3: Desired and undesired outcomes of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN CRISPR method of creating conditional knockout alleles. (A). Desired outcome showing a floxed allele and its occurrence is <1%. (B) to (F): various undesired outcomes including only one *LoxP* site insertion

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/393231; this version posted August 30, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY 4.0 International license.

(B), only *indels* created at one or both sites (C), combination of *LoxP* insertion and *indels* (D), deletion between the two cleavage sites (E) and no *indel* or no insertion events (F).

Figure 1



Figure 1: Schematic of 2sgRNA-2ssODN CRISPR method of creating conditional knockout alleles. (A) Wild type locus showing exons 3, 4 and 5 of a hypothetical gene where exon 4 is chosen as a target exon for inserting *LoxP* sites. The guide 1 and the guide 2 target introns 3 and 4 respectively. (B) CRISPR components showing 2sgRNA-2ssODN donors and a Cas9 source. (C) Delivery of CRISPR components into one-cell stage zygotes via microinjection (n=17,867) or electroporation (n=330). (D) The conditional knockout (cKO) allele showing target exon (#4) with flanking *LoxP* sites.

Figure 2

Figure 2: Quantitative assessment of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN methods (A) Doughnut graph indicating the methods of zygote injections (pronuclear, cytoplasmic or both) of the CRISPR reagents. Numbers indicate the percentage of the total zygotes microinjected or electroporated. (B) Doughnut graph indicating the form of delivery of the CRISPR reagents (mRNA, protein or plasmid) in the zygotes. Numbers indicate percentages. (C) Flow chart indicating the number of successful edited alleles and correct *LoxP* insertions out of the number of live born pups from microinjected and transferred zygotes. Numbers indicate absolute numbers. (D) Doughnut chart indicating the editing types observed amongst the live born pups genotyped on a sub sample from 24 loci. Numbers indicate absolute values.



Figure 3



Figure 3: Desired and undesired outcomes of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN CRISPR method of creating conditional knockout alleles. (A). Desired outcome showing a floxed allele and its occurrence is <1%. (B) to (F): various undesired outcomes including only one *LoxP* site insertion (B), only *indels* created at one or both sites (C), combination of *LoxP* insertion and *indels* (D), deletion between the two cleavage sites (E) and no *indel* or no insertion events (F).

Supplementary Table 1

Supplementary Table 1: Single Guide RNA and Single Stranded Oligonucleotide DNA sequences thei concentrations and targeting genomic regions (in bp) used in this study.

Laboratory (name)	Gene	5' guide RNA	3' guide RNA	5'' donor sequence	3' donor sequence	Cas9 concentration (in ng/µl)	SgRNA concentration (in ng/µl)	ssODN concentration (in ng/µl)	Exons floxed	distance between targets
Experimental Animal Division, RIKEN BRC, Japan	*Lcmt1*	5'-ACAAAAGTTTACCCTCACGC-3'	5'-AAGAGGTTTTGTATCTATCC-3'	5'-ATCCATCTGGGCTGCACAATAATACCTTGTCTCAAAAGAACCAAAACACCAACCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTGAGGGTAAACTTTTGTGCCGTTTTATAGTGTTTAGTAACAACTGATAGGCTTTTAAGTA-3'	5'-ACCCTGTGTACTGTATGTGCTGTGTTTTGACAACTCTCAACTCCCCCACTATCCCACTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTAGATACAAAACCTCTTAGTTTTTCCTGCATCTATTCTTTTGCTAATACATGTGTTTTGT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 3	8-900 bp
	Trp53	5'-AGGACCCAAGATGGCCGTGA-3'	GCCCCGGATTAGGTCCCCAG	5'-GCCTGAATAAAAGACGGAAGAGCTGCCCCATTCCTGCTTCTCTGGAAATGGTGTCCCTCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGGCAGCTTGTTTTAGC-3'	5'-CAGTTGTGGGTTAGGTGGACTAAAGGCACCGTGAGCCGCTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGGGGACCTAATCCGGGGGCCTGGCACACACTTGGCAAGTATCCTGGAACTGAGTTATACCC-3'	Protein = 100 ng/µl	synthetic 75 ng/µl	25 ng/µl	Exon 2 to 9	4kb
	Trf	5'-GTACTGCTTGTGTCCCCGGG-3'	5'-GTGAGGCACTCGGGGTATGT-3'	5'-AACAGAGTGGGCAAAGTCTAGGGCAAGCCTTGTTTAGCAAGAAGTACTGCTTGTGTCCCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGGAGGGTAACTGAAGAGCAGGGGTTAACTTATTCCTGTCTAGAAACCCTGCTTAGTACATGA	5'-TCTGGCTGGCGTCTCCTCTCAGTGGGCTGGGTAGCATCTGAAGGGACCTACAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTACCCCGAGTGCCTCACAGTAGACCATGCTCAGGATACTAGACGCTGCCCCGCACCACCC-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 5	5-600 bp
	Ppme1	5'-AATCCTTATCTAGGGGATTC-3'	5'-ACTAGCTAATGAATTCATGC-3'	5'-CTCAAGTGTCATGTTGGTGTAATTCCTGAGCCAAAATAGTACTTCCAACTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTTCTGGCTCTAAACTAAAGAAAAGCCATCCAGGAAACATGGATGAAAACCACAGGCCAGC-3'	5'-GGGTATGTATCTTTTATAGTCTTCTGTTTGGAGAAATATTTGAATATAAGTAGCCTTGAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTGAATTCATTAGCTAGTATCACAGGAAAGTGAAGGCAGGCTATGGAAGAA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 4 and 5	1.1 kb
Osaka University, Japan	*Trmt6*	5'-GACTGAGATCTCCGATAGGAAGG-3'	5'-CCAGCGTTATGCTCTCAACATCC-3'	5'-CTGTCGTGTGCTTGTCTTTGAAGTTGCTCTAAGAGACTGAGATCTCCGATGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATAGGAAGGCTAATGCCTGACCCTTGGCAGTACTTCATTAGTTCTACATCCA-3'	5'-AGATGGTTCAGCATTTATGAGCATTCACTGCTCTTTCAAAGGACCAGCGTGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTATGCTCTCAACATCCTGCCCAGTGACTCATAGCTACCTGTAACTCCAGT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=20 ng/µl	(IVT) 25 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2 to 8	4.8 kb
	Slc7a14	5'-CATCAGCAGGACTATACCCCAGG-3'	5'-TAGCCATGGATGTATTGGTCAGG-3'	5'-GGGCCATGGATGGTCCGAGGCACCAGGACCTGTTCATCAGCAGGACTATAGCTAGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCCCCAGGCATCTTCTCTCTGCAACCCCACACTGCAAATGAAAAGTCACCA-3'	5'-ACTAAGCATTCCCGGCCTAATAAAGCAAGAGAAATAGCCATGGATGTATTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGGTCAGGTTAAAGTGACAGGCATTCACATTGATCAGCAGCCTGTCCACTA-3'				Exon 4 to 7	15.6 kb
	Tmem163	5'-AACACTCATCCGATACTGCCAGG-3'	5'-CCCAATACCATGCACCACGCTGG-3'	5'-CCTCTAACTCAGAGAGCTTCTGCTACCCTGGGGAAACACTCATCCGATACATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTGCCAGGGATGCCAGAATTTTCGATAATTTTGTTTTGTTTTTAACGTGCA-3'	5'-GTAGGTCACTAAAGATGGACCATAGTAAGGAACTCACCCATGTCCAGCGTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGGTGCATGGTATTGGGCTTATTTACAGGATGGGTGGAGGGCTATATACAG-3'				Exon 2 to 7	173.5 kb
	Wtap	5'-TGGATTATCACTACAAGCCTGGG-3'	5'-CCAAGGTACTAATAGCATGCAGG-3'	5'-AATCCTCACCACAGGGCACTTTGATGCCCTCCCTCCCTCCTTCCCCAGGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTTGTAGTGATAATCCATTTTCCAGTTTCTTTAACTTCCTGAGTGCCTCAG-3'	5'-GAAACAAAACCATTGAATGTTAAAAGAGTGCTCCCCAAGGTACTAATAGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATATGCAGGGACATTTTTCTAAGTTTGTGTGTGTGATGTTTTGTTTAAGGTG-3'				Exon 3 to 7	15 kb
	Mettl3	5'-AGGTGATCTAGAGCTAACGCTGG-3'	5'-CCCAAGGATCGTCAGCTATTCTG-3'	5'-TTGGCAAAACAGCAAGTGCTGCCATGTGAATGAAAGGTGATCTAGAGCTAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATACGCTGGTCAGAGACCCTGCTTGAAGTGAAAGATGTGTGTGCTAGCGATG-3'	5'-CATTCATTAGAACCCAAAGGGCTGTTCTTAAAGCTCTAACTGCCCAAGGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATATCGTCAGCTATTCTGTGGGCTATATTAAATCTGCCCCCTAGTGGCTACT-3'				Exon2 to 10	4.6 kb
	Mettl14	5'-TTTCATTCACCATTGGCGACAGG-3'	5'-CAGAATAGCTGACGATCCTTGGG-3'	5'-CTTTCTGTGTTACTGCTTCTGATGCCAACTTGTATTTCATTCACCATTGGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCGACAGGGAATCATCCTAATTTCAAATTTAACCAAATGCGTAAAATACTT-3'	5'-TTAGTTAGCTCTGGTCCACCTGACGCTCAGAAGCTTCCCTTCTGCACGCTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTAGGAGGAAGAACTGACAGCGGAACTCAGTAGCCGACCATTAGAGTCATG-3'				Exon2 to 9	11.7 kb
	Klotho	5'-GGCCACAGGATTGTGCGATGTGG-3'	5'-CTTCCCTTTGGTGTTACGGCTGG-3'	5'-GTGGACGCGGGGAGTGGGCGACGCGGGGACATCTCAGGATGGAGGCCACAGGATTGTGCGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATACTATACGAAGTTATATGTGGAATAGTCTGCTCCCTGAGCTGGCTGCAGCAGGTGCTTGTTCTCCGACGTCCCTA-3'	5'-CATGAATATGTAAGCTCTGTTAAGACAATACAAAGATAAGGCACTTCCCTTTGGTGTTACATAACTTCGTATAATGTATACTATACGAAGTTATGGCTGGGGTCCCTGCTCAGGAAGTTAAGTTTTTCATAAATAAGATTCAACACAGAAGTCC-3'				Exon1 to 3	30.6 kb
Tokai University, Japan	*Arhgef16*	5'-CGACATCAGAGGTCGGCCGA-3'	5'-CTCACTTTGCGGTTACTAAC-3'	5'-CATAGCTCAGGATCACCCACTGGCCTCACATACCAGGCGGCCATGACCTAGAGAAACCCTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGTCGACCCGACCTCTGATGTCGTTTGCTCACATTGTTACCACCCTGCCGGACTCATCGGAGTCAGA-3'	5'-TTGGGTAATTAACAACACATATTAAGGCGGTGTGATTAATCTTGCTCACTTTGCGGTTACGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGATGCTGTAGCCTGCAGACACACACTTAGGCATGTACACACACACCCTGGGCCCTGCAGA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=20 ng/µl	(IVT) 100 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 7 and 8	1 kb
	Cd226	5'- AATGTCTTTCTAACTAGATC-3'	5'-GTTTACGACATTATTCGTTG-3'	5'-TAACATTGAAGGAGTTCTGTAAATAAGTATTTGTATATGTGTTTCAATGTCTTTCTAACTACTCGAGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTCGACGTTGAGGAAAAATTAGATCAAAAATACTCTCTATTATGGTCATACATACCTCCTGGT-3'	5'-CACTTGCACTCAGAAATGACAGCAATTAAGAAAAAAATGAGATGGTTTACGACATTATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGTCGACGTTGAGGAAAAATTAGATCAAAAATCTAGCAATGGAATTGGGAAAAGAATACAATAACAT-3'				Exon2 and 3	2.8 kb
	Igf1	5'-CGAAAGGAGCCGAGGATAGG-3'	5'-TCTAAAGAGCCGAAAATGCA-3'	5'-ACTATTGTTCCATTTTATGGGTGAGGAAGCAAGTTCACATGGTCGAAAGGAGCCGAGGATATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTTATACGAAGTTATCTCGAGAGGGGGTTGAGCTCACATCAAACTGTTGTGATTTTTACCTGATTTTTCAATCTTCTGCTC-3'	5'-GAGAAAAAAAATATATATATAACTATATCTCCTAGTCCCTGCCTCTAAAGAGCCGAAAATATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCTGCAGGCATGGATGTTGTAGAGATCCAGTTGCTCTAAGTTTCTCTCTGAATTTTGGCTGCTGAAG-3'				Exon 4	1.4 kb

Supplementary Table 1 … Cont

Laboratory Animal Resource Center, University of Tsukuba	*Pik3cb*	5'-ACTTGATCATCGCAGGAGTT-3'	5'-GGTACTAGCTGCCGGCACTT-3'	5'-CAACCCCGAGTCTGGTTTTGTTTTGTTTTGTTTTAGCCATCAAACTTGATCATCGCAGGAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCGTTGGGAGAAGCATAGGTGGTGCCTATCTGAGGGAGCTAGGGAGCCAGGCGATGTGATTT-3'	5'-TCCACAGTGGAAGGGCATGCTACCGTGCCAGCCCACACACTGGGGTACTAGCTGCCGGCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGATATCCTTTGGTTTCCACTGGTCTGCCTTTGACCTTCAGTCATCAGAGCAGAAAAGCAGCTGAGT-3'	Plasmid (pX330)=5ng/µl		10 ng/µl	Exon22 and 23	3.8 kb
	Gata3	5'-GACAAATCCCAATATAGCTG-3'	5'-GGAAGCCAGAAGTTGCTATC-3'	5'-GTAAAATAATACAATTCATAACAAAAATAATAAAAAGCTAGACTGACAAATCCCAATATAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCGCTGAGGAAAACCTTGACCTTCTGATCCTCCTGCCTTTGCCTCCCAAAGCTGGGATTACA-3'	5'-ATAGCAATAGAAATCTAACTAGGATAGTGTGTGTATGTACATAGGGAAGCCAGAAGTTGCTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCTATCAGGTGTCTTTTTTGATTATTCTCCTAGAGCTGGACCTTGAGGTTAACAATTTGGCT-3'				Ebhancer	7 kb
	Gcn1l1	5'-AGAGCCCTCACCTATCCTAT-3'	5'-TCAAATTCCTGGCACACCGA-3'	5'-GTGGGAGAGGGTGGCAGAGGCAGGAGGCGTGTTTCCCAGGCACAGAGCCCTCACCTATCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCTATTGGAGACTAAAGATTTTTTAGTGGAGGAAACACTAAGTGGAGGTTTAGAGTTCAGAC-3'	5'-CTGATGCTAGTGCTGCAGGTCTGAGCTAAGCCATCATGTGGCATCAAATTCCTGGCACACATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGATATCCGATGGCAGGTACTGGATTGCTGCACCCCTGGCCCTCTAGACCTGCTTCAGGTCCTTAGT-3'				Exon 46 to 53	4.8 kb
	St3gal5	5'-TAAGTACAGTCAGCCAACTT-3'	5'-TATGTGTGGGCTGCCGTCAC-3'	5'-TCCTTGTGTTTTCTATAGATCTGACCACTATGCATACTGCAGGTAAGTACAGTCAGCCAAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCCTTAGGGCCCATCTTCTGGTGACAGTTTGCCTCAGTGGCATAATGAAAGTAATGGCCTTG-3'	5'-GGCCAAGTGTCCAAACTGCATTGCTTACCCTAGATCCAAGGCCTATGTGTGGGCTGCCGTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCTCGAGCACTGGCCAGGCCTCGCAGAGGAAGGAGGTTTGGAAAGGTGGAGGTGGGGGCTTGGTAAC-3'				Exon 4	8-900 bp
TIGM, USA	*Irf3*	5'-CATGAGTTTGTCAGCACCGT-3'	5'-GATGTTTCCTCAGCTGCTT-3'	5'-CAAGTAGATAACTAGAATGATGGCTTAAAAAAAAAAAAGCCTTGAAGGTGGACATGGTGACATGAGTTTGTCAGCAGCTAGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCCCCGACTTGGGCAGGGCAGGGCAGGGTGGAGGGTGAGGTCTCAGCTTGGAGCCCACCTTTGGTTATAA-3'	5'-CGGCAGTGAGGAGGACGGTGGGCTCGCAGCTCAGGAGGCTGTTGGGATGTTTCCTCAGCTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCGCTTGGGGCCCAATGCCGCTCTCTGACTGACACTCGATGCCAGCCCTTGAGGGTGTGGCA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=50 ng/µl	(IVT) 100 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 3 to 6	1.9 kb
	Phactr1	5'-CCACCCCGACTTGGTGTGCCAA-3'	5'-TAACGTGTATATCCGTACTAGG-3'	5'-ATAACCCTGACCCACGCAGTGTAATCGGTCATGAGTGGACCCACCAGCCCAGCAACCATGCTCACCCCCAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCCCCCGACTTGGTGTGCCAAAGTCACATTCCATAACCACTGAGTCGCACAAAAAAAACTGCTCAGTGACAG-3'	5'-GCAGACATGCATGTACACACACAGACACACACAGGCACACACACAAAGGAGTAACGTGTATATCCGTACTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCAGGCCATATTGTTCCTCTAAACAACATTGCCAGTTTGAGAAGGCTGTGCATGTGTGGTTAGTCATCGTGA-3'				Exon 7	1.6 kb
	Actrt2	5'-CCCAAGTTGAGTGCCAGGTCTC-3'	5'-CCACCTGAATGCTACTGTTGAA-3'	5'-TATGAACCCACCTTTCCAAGGTTCCCTTCATCATCAGGGAAAAGGGAAACTGTGTCCAGGCTCAGCCCCCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCAAGTTGAGTGCCAGGTCTCAGAGCTAGCATGTGCCTCTGTGTGTGTGAGGCCATAGTAGGTGGCTCCTCC-3'	5'-CTAGGCAAAGTTGAAGCAAGAAGATCCAGCCAAGGTGTCCCCCATGGGCCATCACACAGCACAGCTACCAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCCCTGAATGCTACTGTTGAATTGCTTGTCTCAAGATGCTCTGGGTTGCTAAGGCTGGGGCCAGTTCTCCCG-3'				Entire Gene	2.6 kb
	ApoA1	5'-CCTTGTAACCAGCACCGACCAC-3'	5'-CTAGCCGAGTTTCCAGGTGGGG-3'	5'-CCCCAGTACTGGTCAGACAGCACCCAAAACAAAACAAAACACAAAACAAAAAACAAAAAACGGGACTGGCCTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCTGTAACCAGCACCGACCACATCTGTGTTCTTGGTGCCTTCCGCCATGTGTACAGAGGAATGTAAAGGGAA-3'	5'-GATGAGAGTGTCTGGCAATAGGACTGGCTTTGAGACCGCTGGTTTCTTGTGCTAGCCGAGTTTCCAGGTGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCGGGTCCAGAGGGTGCAGGACGGGAGCCTCTTCCACTCCCTAGAGCCAGGATTCCTTCAGGAGCCAGGAAG-3'				Exon 4	1.6 kb
University of Adelaide, Australia	*Depdc5*	5'-GATAGGGATACTGGTCTTCT-3'	5'-CTGATCTCAAAAACTACTTC-3'	5'-CCTTGGGGACAAGAGATTTTACCTACTCACAGTCTTCATATTTGATAGGGATACTGGTCTGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTCTAGGTCTATCACCAAGCTATATCTGTCTTATAAACACACACACACACCTCTATATACA-3'	5'-GTACAGGTGAGCAGTTCAAGAGTGAAGGAAAGTAGGCACCCTGCTGATCTCAAAAACTACATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGCTAGCTTCAGGGCTAGTCAGAGCTGTGTAGTAAGACCCAATGTCAAAAAACAAAACAAAACATGCT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl (Scr7 1 mM)	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 4	2.1 kb
University of Rochester, USA	*Akap12*	5'-AGGATTTCAGACACGAA*TCA-3'*	5'-AAGACTAGAGAACGGCGGTC-3'	5'-CAGGCCTCAGGCTCAAGCTAACCCTCCAACTTCAGCCACTGAAGCATCAGGATTTCAGACAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCGAATCATAACACCGGGCTCACCTGACATCATTTCATCTTTTCCCCCTAGCATTTTAATGCTTAGTGAC-3'	5'-CGGATGCCCCACCGGCCATCCCCCAGGCTGCCAGCCCACCGGAAGAGAGAAGAAAGACTAGAGAAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCGGCGGTCAAAGCAGATATGATGGTTGCAGAAGGGCTGGCTAGGTCGCCGCCCTTAGAGACCCTCCACAAA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=50 ng/µl	(IVT) 100 ng/µl	100 ng/µl	Exon 1	1.5 kb
	Cav3 (design 1)	5'-TGCCTACAAAAAGGGTCCTT TGG-3'	5'-CTTGAACCAAAACCCCTGGTGGG-3'	5'-ACAGTTCATTGTGGCTGAAAACACATGTTGGAGGAAGGCATTCACCTCATGGCAGGGAAGTGAAAGAGAAAGAGGAAGGCACCTATGGTCTAACAACCTTCCACTAGATGCCTACAAAAAGGGTCCTTTGGTCTCCCAGTAGTGCCTCCCTGGGGACCAAGGCACTGTTCTATTAGAGGACATTTCAGATCCAATCTCTAGCAGCATCTTACCATTCCTAACCCTGCCATTAAGGGTTTCTGAGTATTTGT-3'	5'-ACCTCAGCAACCCAGCGCTCAGATTGCTTTCCTTACATCCTGTCTTGGGAACCTGGTCCCTTCAAGGTGACACTAAATGTTTCCAAATTTCCAGCTAGACCACAGCACGTGGTGCAGTTGGCAAATCCCACCAGGGGTTTTGGTTCAAGATCATTGTGTCTTTCCACAAACAAATGAGCACACCTAGGACTCCAGAGAATAATATCAGGTCCCCCTCTTGCCCCCTGCCACAGCCCATGAAACTGTCATC-3'	mRNA (IVT)=50 ng/µl	(IVT) 50 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	1.9 kb
	Cav3 (design 2)	5'-ACATGCCAAACCTACCCATC TGG-3'	5'-ACACACTGGGCCTTGCCACG TGG-3'	5'-AGCTCCTTCCTCCCCCCCCCCCCCGAGTCCCTTACTCTATACCTAACCTCTTTGGTTGACCAATTCCATTTTAAAGAGGAGGGAACAAATCTCACTGAAGTTAAAAGACATGCCAAACCTACCCATCTGGTCAGCAGCTGCACTGGCATGCAAGCTCTCCTTTGGACTCTAGCTTTCTAGGTTTTTGTTCCTGTGGGTGGCTTGCTTGTTTGTTCACTTGTTTGTTTGAGACAGGATCTCACACTATAG-3'	5'-TCTCCCATACAAGGAGATTATTTTCAAATGACTTCTGCTGTCCCTGAGGCAGGTGAGGAGTACCGAGGGCAGGTGAGCATCTCTGGGCACTGCTGAAAATAGATCCCCAACTTCAGGCCACTAGGAATCCCCACTCTGGCTCAGACACTGAGACGGGAACACACTGGGCCTTGCCACGTGGCTTATGCGCACCATCAGTCCTAAAAAATGTCACGAGTGCAGGAGGCTCAGGGTATGTACATCTGAGTTA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=50 ng/µl	(IVT) 50 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	2.2 kb
	Cav3 (design 3)	5'-CTTGGGATCCCACCGCAGTT AGG-3'	5'-TCAGGGCCGCCGGGGTTCAT GGG-3'	5'-AGGGGTAGATCTCACTGAGAGTCATCACCTTCGCTGAGGGATGCAGCATAGCCTTGGGATCCCACCGCAGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTAGGGTAGCAGGAAGACCGTGGAGTAGTCATGATGCCTGGATTGAGCCTGGTCCTGGTCCAGGCTAGAGCTCCTACCTG-3'	5'-GTGCGGGAGGAGCCAGAAGGAAAAGACAGCCCAGTGTGGAAACACGGGCACCCCTGCTCCGCTCAGCCTCCCATGAAGCTTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATAACCCCGGCGGCCCTGACTGAGCGGAGGGTTCCTTAAGAGGCAGCTAGCGCAAGGCTTTGCGTTCACATGTACTG-3'	mRNA (IVT)=25 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	6-700 bp

Supplementary Table 1 … Cont

Maine Medical Center Research Institute, USA	*Cav3* (design 4)	5'-CCTGCTACCCTAACTGCGGT GGG-3'	5'-GGCGGCCCTGACTGAGCGGA GGG-3'	5'-TGCCTATCAAGGGGTAGATCTCACTGAGAGTCATCACCTTCGCTGAGGGATGCAGCATAGCCTTGGGATCCCACCGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGCAGTTAGGGTAGCAGGAAGACCGTGGAGTAGTCATGATGCCTGGATTGAGCC	5'-AGACAGCCCAGTGTGGAAACACGGGCACCCCTGCTCCGCTCAGCCTCCCATGAACCCCGGCGGCCCTGACTGAGCAAGCTTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGAGGGGTTCCTTAAGAGGCAGCTAGCGCAAGGCTTTGCGTTCACATGTACTGTAATAGCCAGTCTTCTAGAGGTG-3'	mRNA (IVT)=15 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	7-800 bp
	Cav3 (design 5)	5'-CTTGGGATCCCACCGCAGTT AGG-3'	5'-TCAGGGCCGCCGGGGTTCAT GGG-3'	5'-AGGGGTAGATCTCACTGAGAGTCATCACCTTCGCTGAGGGATGCAGCATAGCCTTGGGATCCCACCGCAGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTAGGGTAGCAGGAAGACCGTGGAGTAGTCATGATGCCTGGATTGAGCCTGGTCCTGGTCCAGGCTAGAGCTCCTACCTG-3'	5'-CAGTACATGTGAACGCAAAGCCTTGCGCTAGCTGCCTCTTAAGGAACCCTCCGCTCAGTCAGGCCGCCGGGGTTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATAAGCTTCATGGGAGGCTGAGCGGAGCAGGGGTGCCCGTGTTTCCACACTGGGCTGTCTTTTCCTTCTGGCTCCTCCCGCAC-3'	mRNA (IVT)=25 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	25 ng/µl	Exon 2	6-700 bp
	Icam1	5'-TACCTCAATTCACTTAGTCTCGG-3'	5'-AGGCAGGAGTCTCATCCAGCAGG-3'	5'-GAGTGGTACAGTCTGTAATTCCAGCCAAAGAAGGAGACTGGCAAGGCTGAGGCTAGCCGAGAATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCTCGAGCTAAGTGAATTGAGGTATGCATAAAACTAAGCTGCACTTGTGTCAAAACTCCAAGACTAATCCATGA-3'	5'-GTTACAGAAGGCTCAGGAGGAGGCCATAAAACTCAAGGGACAAGCCCACCTCCCTGAGCCTGCTCGAGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGATGAGACTCCTGCCTGGACCCCCTGCAGGGCAACAGCTGCTGCTGCTTTTGAACAGAATGGTA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=25 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 3 to 7	3.5 kb
	Dock7 (design 1)#	5'-ACGCTCCACACCCTGACCCATGG-3'	5'-GGGTTTGGTTCCTAGCTACACTGG-3'	5'-TCCATCTTGGGCCTTTTAACCATGTGCAAAGACTCTCCCTCTACTTTATTTCCATTACATTAGCATGTGCAGTGGCCATGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTCAGGGTGTGGAGCGTTTTGGGAGCTTTACCTGCCACAGTCTGGTCACAGTATTTGCTAGAATGATCCCCAGTAACAAC-3'	5'-AGTGATGTGGGGCTGGAGAGGAGGCTCTAGTTTAGAGCACTGGCTATTCTTGCAGAGGACCTGGGTTTGGTTCCTAGCTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCACCTGGCATCTAACAGCCATCTCTACCTCCTGATCCAGGTATCTGACATCCTCTTCTGTGAGCAGTGCATGCACATGGTG-3'	mRNA (IVT)=60 ng/µl for pronuclear; 100ng/µl for cytoplasmic	(IVT) 15 ng/µl each for pronuclear; 50 ng/µl each for cytoplasmic	50 ng/µl	Exon 3 to 4	2.1 kb
	Dock7 (design2)#	5'-ACGCTCCACACCCTGACCCATGG-3'	5'-CCAACCATTGAGCTAGCTTAGGG-3'	5'-TCCATCTTGGGCCTTTTAACCATGTGCAAAGACTCTCCCTCTACTTTATTTCCATTACATTAGCATGTGCAGTGGCCATGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTCAGGGTGTGGAGCGTTTTGGGAGCTTTACCTGCCACAGTCTGGTCACAGTATTTGCTAGAATGATCCCCAGTAACAAC-3'	5'-TTCCAGACACCCCAATGCTCTGGAGTCCCCACATAAAAGGCACAAATGTACAGCCTTATTTTTAATATACCCTAAATAACTTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGATCCAATGGTTGGGCACTTCCAAACCTCCTCACAGCTAGCACACACTCCCCCTCCAGTATACCTGAGTTAACACTTTCTA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl for cytoplasmic	(IVT) 50 ng/µl each for cytoplasmic	50 ng/µl	Exon 3 to 7	5.3 kb
	Gpr180	5'-ATCCCTGGCCGGAGCACCAT AGG-3'	5'-ACTAGTCAGGGGTTGCTTACA TGG-3'	5'-CGCATCTTACTGGCCTGCTCCTCTTGCCTTGCTCAGCCTGCTTTCCTATGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTGCTCCGGCCAGGGATGGAACCGCCCACAATGGGCTGGGCCCTCCCCCA-3'	5'-GAGGAGGAGGTGTAGCCATTGACAAGCTGTCCGTGGTCTCCTCGCCATGTGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATAAGCAACCCTGACTAGTGCTCCCCCTCCCCAACATCAAAGCCATACGGGT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=25 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 3 to 6	11.6 kb
	Noct	5'-GAATCAAAGCGCGGCCGCTC AGG-3'	5'-GATGCACTCACTCGCTCACCAGG-3'	5'-TTTATTCTTTGGCAATTTCATATACAAATACCATGTATGTTAACCTAGATCCACCCCCGTCCACCCTGAGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCGGCCGCGCTTTGATTCTATCCCCTGGGGAATGATCCTGTTCATTCGTAAGGAGAGAAAGCTACTCATTT-3'	5'-AGGTCAAGCTAGTCTCCCCAATGTACTAGAGCACTTGAGATGTTTGTGGGCCTGATGCACTCACTCGCTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGATCCACCAGGGGCCAACAGTACTTTACCACAAGGCCTGACTCAGTTATGGGGGGACACAATCAAGTTACAGCAT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=60 ng/µl	(IVT) 30 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 3	2.8kb
	FoxD1	5'-GCTCACTGGAATATTGAGCG AGG-3'	5'-AGATGGACAAAGCTTGGACT TGG-3'	5'-TTTCATCAGATTCCACAGGCGGAGACTGGCCCAGTCCAGAGAGCTGGGACACCCTACCAGACTCCCTCGCGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTCAATATTCCAGTGAGCACCTCACACACATAGAGCAGTTGTCCCCAGTGGGATGACTCCTACAGGCCACTGG-3'	5'-CATCCGCTGCACAGAACTTGTTTTTAGTGACAGCAAATGAAAGCCCGTTACTTTGCAGATAATTCCAAGTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGATCCAAGCTTTGTCCATCTTTGAGATCAGGGAGATGGTTTAGTCATTCTAAGGTAATTTAACAGGAGTATTC-3'	mRNA (IVT)=50 ng/µl	(IVT) 60 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 1	3kb
MD Anderson, USA	*Atg7*	5'CCATCCAACAGGGTTGAGCCCCC-3'	5'-GCCACTGGGGCTCGAGACAAAGG-3'	5'-AGGCTTAATGATCTTCATAAGGTGCTAGAACATGCAGGTGTCCTATTGGTCAGTGGGTGCCATCCGTCGACATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATAACAGGGTTGAGCCCCCAAGCAGGGCAGCCATGTCTTTTCATTACATTGAAGTTTTTATAGCATT-3'	5'-AGTGTCTACTCACAGACAAATAGCAGTTATTTTAATAACAAACTAAAAGCCACTGGGGCTCGAGAGTCGACATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCCACCTCAGGGTCTGTGGGAAGTCTATCCTCTAGGCTGGTGTTGCCTCCAAACTCAGTGCATCAGA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=10 ng/µl	(IVT) 15 ng/µl	10 ng/µl	Exon 16	1.3 kb
University of Manchester Transgenic Unit, UK	*Nr1d1*	5'-CAGCTAGGGTCTTAGTTACAAGG-3'	5'-AACTTGTAACACCGCTAGCTCGG-3'	5'-TTTTTTGTGTGTGTGTATGAATAACTGTCAGCTGTCCATCTCCTTCCCAAGTCTACCCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCAGACCCTAGCTGCTGTCAGTACTCCCCAGGAAGCAGCTAGCTCAGGGGTTCCCATGTATGAGATG-3'	5'-AGGGCTTCCCAGAGTCTTTGGCCCTGTGTTATCTCTCTGTCTGAGGAGATAGAACTTGTAACACCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCCACCTCAGGGTCTGTGGGAAGTCTATCCTCTAGGCTGGTGTTGCCTCCAAACTCAGTGCATCAGA-3'	Protein = 20 ng/µl	ynthetic (RNP) 20 ng/µ	50 ng/µl	Exon 2 to 6	3 kb
	Genomic region floxing, BP1-BP2	5'-TGTGTAATTTCAGCAAATGAAGG-3'	5'-GGAGATGTGTTGCAGATCAAGGG-3'	5'-ATTTCTACCAACTGGGACCAGCATATGAGCCTATAGGAGCCAATCTCATTCAAATGGCCACCTTTCACTTCCTGCTGCTCTGCTACCTTCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTAGGGTAGCATGTTATCAGCGGCAAGGGAAAGTTCATGCGACTGCAGAGTAT-3'	5'-AGCAGGGACAATCCTGCATTACACAACTGGTCCTCACATCCAATTTCAATTGTCCCTCCATGAGAGAAGCAGAGGGAGATGTGTTGCAGATATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCCGCGGCAAGGGAAAGTTCATGCGACTGCAGAGGCAGAGA-3'			N/A	236 kb
	Lrrc8a	5'-GTCTAGTTAGGGACTCCTGGGGG-3'	5'-ACTACCCCATTACCTCTTGGTGG-3'	5'-GTCCTTGACTTGCTGTTTACCGCTCTCTTCCCCACACCACAGTTATCCACAGGAAGTTACCCATAACCTCCCTCGTGCACCCCTACCCCCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCGGAGTCCCTAACTAGACCTGCTGTCTCTCCATAGCCCTGTCTACACCT-3'	5'-GAGGGCCAAAACTGTGGAAAGCAACACCCTTGAAGTGTAGGTGGCCCCTGTGCACCAGCTCTGTGTGTGACTGCAAAGCCCCCACCAAGAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCGGTAATGGGGTAGTTAGACGGGCTGAGGGCAGAGCACTTGTGTGGCTT-3'			Exon 3	3.8 kb
	Usp7	5'-TTATACTTTTGTATGTACGTGGG-3'	5'-TGATAGGCACTTCCATACTAAGG-3'	5'-ACAGAAGTTTTAAGCTTGAAGGCCTGTCAGCCCTGTGCTCTACTGTGCTCCTAGCACAACGGATGGCCTCTTCCCTCCTCAGTCCCACGTAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTCACCATACAAAAGTATAAGTCCTTCTTTTTCTTCACT-3'	5'-GGAACCCAGGGACTCACTACAGAAGCATTCTACTACTGAGTTACAGCCCCAGCCCTGATTATAAAGAGTGTAATAAAAAAGTAACCTTAGTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGGTACCATGGAAGTGCCTATCAGCCTGGACTGGGTCCATT-3'			Exon 3	7-800 bp
	Bin1	5'-GCACAAACAGGTAACCTTAGCGG-3'	5'-GACACATGTCCCCAAGCAAAGG-3'	5'-GACCTGCCCTCAATCTGCACTGCATGTCCCTGTCTGCCCTGTGTCCCTGTCTGTCTGCAGGAGGCCAGGGTGGGGCACAAACAGGTAACCTGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTAGCGGAGAGTATGATGAAGAACCTGCCCATCCAGC-3'	5'-AGGCTAGACTAATCCTCAAACCACATGCCAGTACCTTCTACCTCCCTAGTATCCCAAGTCCGCCATGCCCTCCTGACACATGTCCCCAAGCGGATCCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATAACAGGACACACGGGCAGGTTAGGGGTTAGTGGGCC-3'			Exon 10	5-600 bp

Supplementary Table 1 … Cont

Lillehei Heart Institute Regenerative Medicine and Sciences Program, University of Minnesota, USA.	*Meox1*	5'-TATACCCCAGACCACACTAC-3'	5'-TACATGGGATGGGTTCACAC-3'	5'-AGACAAAGAGAACCTTTTTCTTTTTCTGGAGCATTTCTTTCTTTATACCCCAGACCACACGTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTACTGGGCTGCCAAGATGAGACACAGACAGACAGACAAACAGACACACACACACACACAC-3'	5'-GTGTGAGAAGTGTGACATACAGAGTCAGGAAAGATTTGGAGGATACATGGGATGGGTTCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTGCACTGGTGAGGTCTCTTCCCAAAGCTAGGCTGCCTCAGGCTCCAAATGGTCCCAGAGCCAT-3'	mRNA (IVT)=30 ng/µl	(IVT) 10 ng/µl	10 ng/µl	Exon1	1.6 kb
	Meox2	5'-GGTTCCAGCGTAAACACATT-3'	5'-GGCGGGTCCCTCCACGGGTT-3'	5'-CCCTGGCTCTAGGTTTTCTAACAATCTATTCTCAGATACCTAAAAGAGAATAAACCTAATATTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTGTTTACGCTGGAACCGTGAGTGGCCTCTGAGTTTGAAAAGCTCGACAGACTAGGCTCT-3'	5'-AGAAAGAGCAAGTGACACTATGCAAAATCGGTTAAGGGAGCGTGGCGGGTCCCTCCACGGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATAACGTTGGGAGGGGTGTGCCCATTGGGATGCTGCATCCGCTGGGCATGCATGCCTGCGTGCGT-3'				
	Vezf1	5'-GAGAACAGTTCATAGGCTCC-3'	5'-CTTTATATCCGGTTCTCCAA-3'	5'-GGGGGAGGGGAGGGGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGAGA GAGGAGAGAGAACAGTTCATAGGCATAACTTCGTATAGC ATACATTATACGAAGTTATTCCTGGACTGTCTCTTCTGCTT GTCTGTCTGGAATATGTTGAATAGTTAAGGTCACTGTG-3'	5'-TTTCGTTTGGCACATGGTTTCGTATAGCTCCAAATATCCCACCCAGTGTTTAGTTCCAATTGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATATACGAAGTTATGAGAACCGGATATAAAGATCACTGTGCAAATCAAAGAAACTTTCCTGTTAGTTGGGATGA-3'				Exon 2	1 kb
VIB-UGENT IRC Transgenic mouse Core Facility, Belgium	*Taok3*	5'-GGGTAACTGTGGTGACTTTG TGG-3'	5'-GGAGGCTGAGGCGGAACCAA TGG-3'	5'-CAGGACCTCTCAATAGAAGTTGCCTCATACCCTCTTAGGT CAAGGGTAACTGTGGTGACTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACA TTATACGAAGTTATTTGTGGCAGAGGCGAGCAGCATGGC CTAGAACGCAGTCAGGAGGGACCGATAAACCCGGG-3'	5'-ATGTAGCCCAGGCTGGCCCTGAACTCATAATGTAGCCCG GGTAGATCTTGAGCTCCATTGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACA TTATACGAAGTTATGTTCCGCCTCAGCCTCCGAAGGGCCG GCATTTGTGGCATGTACCACCATGCTTTGCTTCC-3'	Protein = 20 ng/µl and mRNA (IVT) = 20 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	10 ng/µl	Exon 7	700-800 bp
Molecular Biomechanics Lab, Indiana University, USA	*Hspg2*	5'-GACACAAGCATTTAGCTGCG-3'	5'- AAGGTGGCCTTGGGCAACCT-3'	5'-CTTAGCATTAGCCTGAGGTCGTGGGGAGCTGATGTCTGT CTTGCCTCCGTTTAGACACAAGCATTTAGCTGTCGACATA ACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGCGGGGCTTT GCGTGTTCTGAGCAGTGGGATGATTTAGCTGCGGGGCTT TGCGTGTTCTGAGCAGTGGGA-3'	5'-AGGGACAGATAGGCAGGAACAAAGAGGGACAGAGCCAT ACACAGCTTATTGGCAAGGTGGCCTTGGGCAAGTCGACA TAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCCTTGGAA CCACTGGCATGAGTTTCCTTCAGTCAGCAAGCATCTCTG GTGTATCAGTTTGAACAACACAG-3'	Protein = 100 ng/µl	synthetic 50 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2 to 92	65 kb
Mouse Biology Program (MBP), University of California, Davis, USA	*Toe1*	5'-GTGCCCTAAATTTGGAAAAA-3'	5'-GAAACAAGACCTTTGTGGAA-3'	5'-TTATCTAGTCCACTTTTCTCCTGATCATGATGCAAAAAAG AAATGATTTCCCAGTCCCACATAAAGGCACTAAAAGACA CAGATGCCCTTTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAA GTTATTTCCAAATTTAGGGCACTGCCCATTTCAGAGCTTG T-3'	5'-ACGATGCCCAGTCAGCACCCAGTCAGCACACTTAACCAG GTTTCCCACTGGGGAAGCACCGCCTCATTATCTAACCCCG CCCTGCCCCTTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAA GTTATCACAAAGGTCTTGTTTCTCGAGCCTTGTCACACAC A-3'	EZ Protein = 6 µM (1,000 ng/µl)	synthetic = 209 ng/µl	435 ng/µl	Exon 2-5	1.1kb
	Tctn1	5'-CGCGTGCTCAGTACTCTGCG-3'	5'-TGCAACAGGGCACCGGGCAG-3'	5'-GCCACCCTGACAGCCACTGTGACTGAGTCTTCAGGACTC GCATCGATCAGGCAGAGAAACCTCCACGAGAGCAGAAGG GAGAGCTTCCTCGCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACG AAGTTATAGAGTACTGAGCACGCGATAGACTGGCTCAGT TTAC-3'	5'-GGGGTCTCTCACTGAATGTAGAAATCATCCCCCTAGCCTA CCCCCGATCCCCGGTTGACAGATGGCTAGCATCCTCTTGT CTCCTCCCCTGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAG TTATCCCCGGTGCCCTGTTGCAGATACACATCAGAGCCTGG-3'	EZ Protein = 8 µM (1.333 ng/µl)	Synthetic = 279 ng/µl	435 ng/µl	Exon2	1.2 kb
	1700069L16Rik	5'-GCTACAGCCGCTGCCCATGG-3'	5'-GTAAATGGCCAGCGCTCAGG-3'	5'-CTGATGGACCACCAAGGCTCATACTGCATACATTCAACTC GGACTGCATCTGCTCCGACTCCCCACTGGCACGATTCAG TCACCACCTCCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAA GTTATTGGGCAGCGGCTGTAGCCTGTGAGAGAGGGAACG TG-3'	5'-GGTTTCTCTGTGTAGCCCTGGCTGTCCTGGAACTCACTCT GTAGACCAGGCTGGCCTGGAGAACTCAGAAATCCACCTG CCTCTGCCTCCTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAA GTTATGAGCGCTGGCCATTTACATTTCAGTTCACTGAAAT T-3'				Exon 2	1 kb
	Gene A	5'-GGTGTCTGGCTGCTCCAAAG-3'	5'-GTGGTTTTAGGGGACCTCTG-3'	5'-TGCCAGAGTGCCCCTGAACCCAGGCGACCCCCGCCCGG CCAGCACTTTTGGTCTCTCTGTGGGAGACACTTGAGGA GGCGCTAGCCGCTTATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACG AAGTTATTGGAGCAGCCAGACACCGGGAACTTGAACCTG GAGC-3'	5'-GTTCTAGGAGCCAAACTCAACAGCTGTGCTCAACATGTG GGTCTCAATCCCTTTGCTGGGGGGTTGGGGTTGTCAAAT GACCCTTCCACAGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGA AGTTATAGGTCCCCTAAAACCACCAGAAAACACGGACAT TTA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	20 ng/µl	Entire gene	1.1 Mb
	Gene B	5'-CTTAGCCAACCCTCACTCGA-3'	5'-ATTAACAAGAGTCCCTGCAA-3'	5'-AGGGAATATGATGACCCTATGAATTCTATGCCTTTTCTTAA AAGTAAGTTATAAAGTGTCATACTCTGAAGATGTGGCCTC CAAGCCTTCGGCGGCCGCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATT ATACGAAGTTATAGTGAGGGTTGGCTAAGCTCTTCAACTT TCTGTTTA-3'	5'-CACATGTATATATATATATGTATACATATATGTATATATGTATG TGTATGTATATATATATAAAACTAAATGATTAATGAGATTTC CTTTGGCGGCCGCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGA AGTTATAGTGAGGGTTGGCTAAGCTCTTCAACTTTCTGTT TA-3'	mRNA (IVT)=100 ng/µl	(IVT) 20 ng/µl	20 ng/µl	Exon 4	850 bp
Children's Research Institute Mouse Genome Engineering Core, UT Southwestern, USA	*Arid1b*	5'-CCCATTTGCACAGTCTCTAAAGG-3'	5'-AGACAGGTCTGGCTTAGCAGCGG-3'	5'-TGGAGTCTGGTGAGAAGTGGCCACTCTGAGTAGACTCCA CTAGTGTGTAAGAATCTTGGTCTTACCCATTTGCACAGTC TGCTAGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTAT CTAATGGAGTGGCACTGTGGCTTTCAAACCATGCTTCCC GTGCTTGCTGCCTACGGCCATGTGTATGAACACCCGCTTT CTCTTTCTTTC-3'	5'-CAGGTACTGCCATCTCTCCTGGTCCCACCCACCCTCATTA CCCCCAACCCCCAGCCCCATGTGAAGACAGGTCTGGCTT AGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTAT GCAGAGGCTCTCAAACTCTGCCTTCCTAGGCACTCTGTT TTACTCCTTAAAACCATGGGCATGTTTACATATTAGGGATA-3'	Protein = 25 ng/µl	synthetic 20 ng/µl	3-20 ng/µl	Exon 7	3-400 bp
	E2f9	5'-TAGAGTGATTGGTTCTAGTA-3'	5'-CAGATTCAGATAAGACCGTG-3'	5'-CCTGAAAGCCTTTACCTGATGTCTCATTAGTAGGAATTTA GGAATTTAGAAGTGATCAGTTACTTAGAGTGATTGGTTCT GAATTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATA GTATGGAAAGGGAAGGGACTGAGCTCTAAGCTATCTTTA CAGCCCTCCTTTCTCAAAATAGAATTTAAAAATAAAACCT-3'	5'-AATGATTAGAGTGAGTCTGTGGACTTAACTCTTTTCAATC TGAGCTCATATAGTCACACTATCACAGATTCAGATAAGAC CCATGGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATC GTGAGGGAATTCAAAGCCTCACAAGTCCTGTTAATTTGA GCATAACTCGGTCTTGGAAGCAAACAAATGTCGGTGGAG A-3'	Protein = 25-100 ng/µl	synthetic 20-50 ng/µl	2-3 ng/µl	Exon 5	1.3 kb
	Imp3	5'-AAGAAGGCCGCGCGCCCCCATGG-3'	5'-GAGCAGGTTTAGGTCTCAACTGG-3'	5'-GGCACCCTGGCTCTTTAATCCCATCGCCCAGTGCTCCAGG CCAACCTGGATGTGGACCAGAAAGAAGGCCGCGCGCCG ACGTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATCC CATGGCAGGCTGTTTGTTGGGGGTGTGTCTCTTGCAGAC CTTGGGGTGCGGGGTGGCCCTTGGAGGGGGTCAGGAGG CGAA-3'	5'-CTGGGTTATTGTTGCTGTTTTAAAAGCCTGTCAAGGAAG CTCTCACAGCTACATGTTCTTGGCAGAGCAGGTTTAGGT CTGAATCAGTTCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAA GTTATCAACTGGCAGCCCGAGTTTCAAAAGCCAATAGTC TTTGGGAACCTACGGGATTTAGAAGACAGGTCCAGGACA GAT-3'	Protein = 5-25 ng/µl	synthetic 5-25 ng/µl	2-3 ng/µl	Exon 1	5-600 bp

Supplementary Table 1 … Cont

Centre de Recherche duCentre Hospitalie de l'Universite de Montreal (CRCHUM), Canada	*Icam1*	5'-GGCTGCCACTCAGTATGAGTTGG-3'	5'-AACGTAGATTTTACTAGACATGG-3'	5'-ATAAATGAATAAAAAGAAAGAAAAAGGGTGGTGTGTGGGGTGGACCCAGAGGTCCAACTGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAAaGTATcCTATACGAAGTTATCATACTGAGTGGCAGCCTCCAGGATCACAAACAACACTTCTTTTGTTCTGCGGCCCTGGA-3'	5'-CAAAGATGGGCCAACCTGTCTCCTGAATGCTAGGACTAAATGACAAAGCCACTGCCATGTGCTAGCATAACTTCGTATAAaGTATcCTATACGAAGTTATCTAGTAAAATCTACGTTAGATAGACAGGGTTTCCCAGTGTAGATCAGGATGGCCTTGAAC-3'	Protein = 50 ng/µl	synthetic = 12 µM	200 ng/µl	Exon 4 to 7	3 kb
McGill Integrated Core for Animl Modeling (MICAM)	*Eftud2*	5'-ACCTTTCCTACCACGTAGGC-3'	5'-GGAATGTTGTCTGTAACGGG-3'	5'-AGAAAGGTCTGCGTTCTGGGGACACTATTCAGTAGCCTGGTCAGTCACTCAGCCCAGTCACCACCTGCCTACGGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTGGTAGGAAAGGTAAGGGTGTGTGGTGCAGGCTTTCATTACTTGCCAGTCC-3'	5'-AAGAGAGACAAAAGATCTTGATGAGGTAAAACACACACTGTTTTCTTTCTTTATCCCTCCCGATATCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTACAGACAACATTCCCACAATGACCTGCACGCATCTCTAATTTTCCCTGGGTAGTGG-3'	mRNA (IVT) = 50 ng/µl	(IVT) 25 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	2-300 bp
		5'-CCTTACCTTTCCTACCACGT-3'	5'-GAATGTTGTCTGTAACGGGA-3'	5'-AGAAAGGTCTGCGTTCTGGGGACACTATTCAGTAGCCTGGTCAGTCACTCAGCCCAGTCACCACCTGCCTACGGAATTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTACAGACAACATTCCCACAATGACCTGCACGCATCTCTAATTTTCCCTGGGTAGTGG-3'	5'-AAGAGAGACAAAAGATCTTGATGAGGTAAAACACACACTGTTTTCTTTCTTTATCCCTCCCGATATCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGTTACAGACAACATTCCCACAATGACCTGCACGCATCTCTAATTTTCCCTGGGTAGTGG-3'	mRNA (IVT) = 50 ng/µl	(IVT) 25 ng/µl	50 ng/µl	Exon 2	2-300 bp
University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA	*Muc16*	5'-GAAACAGCATGAATATAGTC-3'	5'-GGGCTTCTTATTTATCAACC-3'	5'-GTTTATGTAAACATAGGAATACTCAGTGAGAAATGTTTTATCCTGAAACAGCATGAATATAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTGAGCTCGTCTGGGATAAAATTTCTTCTGCTAGATGAATATTAAAAAAAT-3'	5'-GACTTAGGAAGGGTGACAAGTGCTTTTCAGGTTGTACACATTCAACCAGGTGAGCTCATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATTGATAAATAAGAAGCCCTATTTTTACATTCCCAGTTACAGGCTGTGGAAGT-3'	Protein = 10 ng/µl	synthetic = 10 ng/µl	10 ng/µl	Exon 2	7.1 kb
Czech Center for Phenogenomics, Czech Republic	*Klk15*	5'-TTAGCGTTTCAAGTTGATGC *TGG-3'*	5'-CTATCAGGGGCCCCAAAGAT *GGG-3'*	5'-AAGGCCAAAAGAAAGTGTCAAATCCATTCCCTGGAGTTAGCGTTTCAAGTGCTAGCATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTGATGCTGGGAACTCTAATCAGGCCCACAGCAAAAGCAAGCTAAGCTCTT-3'	5'-CAGAATAGAAAATCCTCACCACCTAGATCCCTCCTCTATCAGGGGCCCCAATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATGAATTCAGATGGGTCATTTGCTGTCTTCTTCTCCTTTAGGAGCCTACAGAGGCAG-3'	Protein = 200 ng/µl	synthetic 80 ng/µl	3 µM	Exon 2	6-700 bp
	Pknox2 (blast only)	5'-CAAAACAACACGTAGTAACC *AGG-3'*	5'-GCCCACCTTCCCACTTGAGA *TGG-3'*	5'-AAAACAGAATTCTCTGTTTTCTAAGTGTGTTTGGCATCCACTCCCTGGTTCTAGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATACTACGTGTTGTTTTGTTTTGTTTTGTTTTGTTTTAAAGAACATACTCA-3'	5'-GTTGGCTGAACTGAGGGTTTCTGACATTCATTAGGGCCCACCTTCCCACTATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCTAGATGAGATGGGAAGGGAGTCTTCATGGCTAATATTGTCCATACCCTCATGTG-3'	Protein = 200 ng/µl	synthetic 80 or 120 ng/µl	3 µM	Exon 5	6-700 bp
	Dcaf12 (blast only)	5'-GAGGCCGAGGATGCAAGTTC TGG-3'	5'-ATAGGGCATGCATACGCTGA CGG-3'	5'-TGTTTGTTCAGCACCAGGGTCTCCATATGTGGCTCATGCTGTCCCAGAACTCTAGATAACTTCGTATAATGTATGCTATACGAAGTTATTTGCATCCTCGGCCTCAGCTTCCTGAATTACAGGATATGCAACCATGCCC-3'	5'-AGGTAGGGGAGTCTGGGAAATGAAACTGAATGGTAAGGGCACACCGTCAGATAACTTCGTATAGCATACATTATACGAAGTTATCTAGACGTATGCATGCCCTATTTCAACCTCGGACCACCTAGCTAATGAGTAAATT-3'	Protein = 200 ng/µl	synthetic 80 ng/µl	3 µM	Exon 4	4-600 bp

SgRNA = Single Guide RNA, ssODN = single sranded Oligonucleotide

Note # Data from Bishop, K.A., et al. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Insertion of loxP Sites in the Mouse Dock7 Gene Provides an Effective Alternative to Use of Targeted Embryonic Stem Cells. G3 (Bethesda), 2016. 6(7): p. 2051-61.

Supplementary Table 2

Supplementary Table 2: Detailed count of the numbers of zygotes microinjected, transferred, live pups born, correctly targerted and non targeted from 49 unique loci

Laboratory	Gene	Lethality	Zygotes injected	Zygotes transferred	Live born pups	Correctly targeted	Incorrectly targeted in 5'	Incorrectly targeted in 3'	Mouse strain	Site of injection
Riken BioResources Center, Japan	*Lcmt1*	Embryonic	131	112	5	0	Indel = 1		C57BL/6N	C
	Trp53	None	245	231	25	0	Indels = 9 *LoxP* = 2 Deletions = 8		C57BL/6N	C
	Trf	Postnatal	361	319	18	0	0	*LoxP* = 2 Deletion = 11	C57BL/6N	C
	Ppme1	Postnatal	109	97	1	0	0		C57BL/6N	C
Osaka University, Japan	*Trmt6*	Unknown	426	173	16	0	Indels = 8	Indels = 8; *LoxP* = 1; Deletions = 5	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Slc7a14	None	282	135	7	0	Indels = 3; *LoxP* = 1	Indels = 2	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Tmem163	Unknown	129	34	3	0	Indels = 3	Indels = 2; *LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Wtap	Embryonic	147	91	17	0	Indels = 1	Indels = 17; *LoxP* =2	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Mettl3	Embryonic	143	84	1	0	Indels = 2	Indels = 2; *LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Mettl14	Unknown	269	145	16	0	Indels = 1	Indels = 13	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Klotho	Postnatal	144	93	6	1	Indels = 4	Indels = 5	C57BL/6J	C and N
Tokai University, Japan	*Arhgef16*	Unknown	221	208	35	0	Indels = 31; *LoxP* = 3	Indels = 4	C57BL/6J	C / C and N
University of Tsukuba, Japan	*Cd226*	None	237	202	48	1	*LoxP* = 4; *LoxP* in Trans = 2; Deletion = 16		C57BL/6J	N
	Igf1	Postnatal	444	383	83	0	*LoxP* = 8; 7/38 genotyped mice = deletion		C57BL/6J	N
	Pik3cb	Embryonic	459	408	159	0	*LoxP* = 9; Knock-in but trans or mosaic manner = 6; 25/77 genotyped mice: deletion		C57BL/6J	N
	Gcn1|1	Unknown	451	395	84	0	25 mice: single *LoxP*; 3 mice=both Knock-in but trans or mosaic manner; 20 mice= deletion		C57BL/6J	N
	St3gal5	None	429	368	89	0	20 mice: single *LoxP*; 1 mouse: cis knock-in but one *LoxP* sequence is mutated and 1 mouse: both Knock-in but trans or mosaic manner; 14 mice : deletion		C57BL/6J	N
	Gata3	Postnatal	441	409	77	0	9 mice: single *LoxP*; 2 mouse: cis knock-in but one *LoxP* sequence is mutated; 3 mouse: both Knock-in but trans or mosaic manner; 22 mice= deletion		C57BL/6J	N
TIGM, USA	*Irf3*	None	1294	651	75	0	*LoxP* = 2	*LoxP* = 2	C57BL/6N	C and N
	Phactr1	Unknown	331	187	42	1	*LoxP* = 3	*LoxP* = 5; Deletions = 6	C57BL/6N	C and N
	Actrt2	Unknown	1013	562	70	3	*LoxP* = 7	*LoxP* = 4	C57BL/6N	C and N
	ApoA1	None	645	456	68	2	*LoxP* = 9	*LoxP* = 4	C57BL/6N	C and N
University of Adelaide, Australia	*Depdc5*	Embryonic	154	128	11	1	8 indels with insertion of single *LoxP*, 2 mice with large deletions	0	C57BL/6J	C
University of Rochester, USA	*Akap12*	None	1344	797	109	1	Indels = 9; *LoxP* = 3	*LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J and (SJL/J xC57BL/6J)F1	C

Supplementary Table 2 … Cont

Maine Medical Center, USA	*Cav3* (design 1)	None	83	79	5	0		*LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J	N
	Cav3 (design 2)	None	272	257	15	0	Indels = 8	0	C57BL/6J	N
	Cav3 (design 3)	None	107	104	5	0	Indels = 3; *LoxP* = 1	0	C57BL/6J	N
	Cav3 (design 4)	None	26	25	4	0	Indels = 3		C57BL/6J	N
	Cav3 (design 5)	None	136	128	17	0	Indels = 10; *LoxP* = 3	0	C57BL/6J	N
	Icam1	None	105	99	17	0		Indels = 1	C57BL/6J	N
	Dock7 (design 1) #	None	305	305	47	1	Indels = 19; *LoxP* = 8	Indels = 19; *LoxP* = 10	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Dock7 (design 2) #	None	191	174	20	1	Indels = 10; *LoxP* = 6	Indels = 10; *LoxP* = 2	C57BL/6J	C
	Gpr180	None	203	194	11	0	*LoxP* = 7	*LoxP* = 5	C57BL/6J	N
	Noct	None	284	267	11	0	*LoxP* = 1; 1 mouse has 5' and 3' *LoxP* in Trans	*LoxP* = 2	C57BL/6J	N
	FoxD1	Postnatal	247	239	23	0	*LoxP* = 3; 1 mouse has 5' and 3' *LoxP* in Trans	*LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J	N
MD Anderson, USA	*Atg7*	Postnatal	59	9	5	0	Indels = 2	0	C57BL/6N	N
University of Manchester, UK	*Nlrd1*	None	52	36	13	0	*LoxP* = 2		C57BL/6J	N
	Bp1-Bp2	Unknown	243	222	44	0	*LoxP* = 9		C57BL/6J	N
	Lrcc8a	Postnatal	251	238	27	1	*LoxP* = 1		C57BL/6J	N
	Usp7	Embryonic	169	140	21	0	*LoxP* = 2		C57BL/6J	N
	Bin1	Postnatal	112	92	11	0	*LoxP* = 5		C57BL/6J	N
University of Minnesota, USA	*Meox1*	Embryonic	495	154	9	0	Indels = 3		C57BL/6J	C
	Meox2	None	465	125	14	0	*LoxP* =1	Indels =1; Deletion = 1	C57BL/6J	C
	Vezf1	Embryonic	422	155	11	0	*LoxP* =1	Indels = 2	C57BL/6J	C
VIB-UGENT IRC Transgenic mouse Core Facility, Belgium	*Taok3*	Unknown	422	360	22	0	5' indels = 3; *LoxP* = 3	Indels = 8; *LoxP* =1; Deletion = 6	C57BL/6J	N
Indiana University, USA	*Hspg2*	Embryonic	899	524	59	0	Indels = 15; *LoxP* = 2		(C57BL/6xC3H/He)F1	N
Mouse Biology Program (MBP), University of California, Davis	*Gene A*	None	180	115	20	0	0		C57BL/6N	C and N
	Gene B	None	100	79	17	0	*LoxP* = 1	*LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6N	C and N
Children's Research Institute Mouse Genome Engineering Core, UT Southwestern, USA	*Arid1d*	Postnatal	167	167	2	1	Indels = 1; *LoxP* = 1	*LoxP* = 1	C57BL/6J	C and N
	E219	Unknown	593	593	37	0	*LoxP* = 1	*LoxP* = 2; Deletion = 2	C57BL/6J	C and N
	Imp3	Unknown	372	372	94	0	Deletion = 1		C57BL/6J	C and N
Centre de Recherche du Centre Hospitalié de l'Université de Montréal (CRCHUM), Canada	*Icam1*	None	150	123	5	0	*LoxP* = 1	0	C57BL/6J	N
McGill Integrated Core for Animal Modeling (MICAM)	*Eftud2*	Postnatal	530	389	23	0	Indels = 11; *LoxP* =1; Deletion = 1		C57BL/6N and (FVBxCD1)F1	N
University of Nebraska Medical Center, Omaha, NE, USA)	*Muc16*	None	68	59	10	1	Indels = 1; *LoxP* =2; Deletion = 2	Indels = 1; *LoxP* =3; Deletion = 1	C57BL/6J	N
Total	**49 unique loci**		**17557**	**12491**	**1684**	**15**	**659**			

Note: # from Bishop, K.A., et al. CRISPR/Cas9-Mediated Insertion of loxP Sites in the Mouse Dock7 Gene Provides an Effective Alternative to Use of Targeted Embryonic Stem Cells. G3 (Bethesda), 2016. 6(7): p. 2051-61.

Supplementary Table 3

Supplementary Table 3: Generalized Regression analysis to identify the factors predicting the success of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN methods

	Estimate	Standard Error	% of variance explained	t.value	P.value
Intercept	0.01	0.17	3	-0.06	0.95
Efficiency of 5' SgRNA	0.27	0.23	5.4	1.18	0.24
Efficiency of 3' SgRNA	-0.24	0.22	5.2	-1.08	0.28
5' *LoxP* insertion	-0.3	0.96	9.4	-0.29	0.77
3' *LoxP* insertion	-0.06	0.38	15.1	0.19	0.85
5' *LoxP* X 3' *LoxP* insertions	5.03	2.45	60.4	2.05	0.051
Cas9 mRNA concentration	0.006	0.002	<0.1	2.8	0.009***
Cas9 protein concentration	0.001	0.003	<0.1	0.32	0.75
SgRNA concentration	-0.0025	0.002	<0.1	-0.98	0.33
ssODN concentration	0.0006	0.001	<0.1	0.34	0.73
Distance between alleles	< -0.0001	0.003	<0.1	-1.5	0.14

SgRNA = Single Guide RNA, ssODN = single sranded Oligonucleotide *** means p.value < 0.01 * means p.value < 0.05

Supplementary Table 4

Supplementary Table 4: Detailed count of the numbers of zygotes electroporated, live pups born or blastocystes genotypesd, correctly targerted and non targeted from 7 unique loci

Laboratory	Gene (Name)	Lethality	Zygotes Electroporated	Zygotes transferred	Live born pups genotyped	Zygotes electroporated and genotyped at blastocyst stage	Correctly targeted	Incorrectly targeted	Mouse strain
McGill, Canada	Eftud2	Postnatal	67	60	0	NA	0	None	C57BL/6N
Mouse Biology Program (MBP), University of California, Davis, USA	Toe1	Unknown	50	40	11	NA	0	*LoxP* = 1 in 5'and 3'; deletions=3	C57BL/6N
	Tctn1	Unknown	60	40	3	NA	0	2 deletions	C57BL/6N
	1700069L16Rik	Unknown	60	40	11	NA	0	*LoxP* = 1 in 5'and 3'; deletions= 8	C57BL/6N
Czech center for phenogenomics, Czech republic	Klk15	Unknown	93	93	9	NA	0	Indels = 6	C57BL/6N
	Pknox2	Unknown	NA	NA	NA	100	0	Indels=36; deletions=39	C57BL/6N
	Dcaf12	Unknown	NA	NA	NA	60	0	Indels = 16; deletions =3	C57BL/6N
Total			330	273	34				

Supplementary Table 5

Supplementary Table 5: Overall efficicny of the 2sgRNA-2ssODN method of generating the cKO alleles					
Delievery method	**Number of loci**	**Zygotes processed**	**Zygotes transferred (% zygotes processed)**	**Live born pups analyzed (% zygotes transferred)**	**Correctly targeted (% live born)**
Microinjection	49	17,557	12,491	1,684	15
Electroporation	5	330	273	34	0
Total	**54**	**17,887**	**12,764 (71.4%)**	**1718 (13.4%)**	**15 (0.87%)**