Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass profoundly changes gut microbiota compared to laparoscopic sleeve gastrectomy: a metagenomic comparative analysis
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Abstract

**Background:** Bariatric surgery is an effective therapeutic procedure for morbidly obese patients as it induces sustained weight loss. The two most common interventions are Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) and Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y Gastric Bypass (LRYGB).

**Objective:** Characterizing the gut microbiota changes induced by LSG and LRYGB.

**Design:** 89 and 108 patients who underwent LSG and LRYGB respectively, were recruited from three countries: USA, France and Switzerland. Stools were collected before and 6 months after surgery. Microbial DNA was analysed with shotgun metagenomic sequencing (SOLiD 5500xl Wildfire). MSPminer, a novel innovative tool to characterize new in silico biological entities, was used to identify 715 Metagenomic Species Pan-genome (MSPs). 148 functional modules were analysed using GOmixer and KEGG database.

**Results:** Both interventions resulted in a similar increase of Shannon's diversity index and gene richness of gut microbiota, in parallel with weight loss, but the changes of microbial composition were different. LRYGB led to higher relative abundance of aero-tolerant bacteria, such as *Escherichia coli* and buccal species, such as *Streptococcus* and *Veillonella* spp. In contrast, anaerobes such as *Clostridium* were more abundant after LSG, suggesting better conservation of anaerobic conditions in the gut. Function-level changes included higher potential for bacterial use of supplements such as vitamin B12, B1 and iron upon LRYGB. Moreover, after LRYGB, potential for nitrate and Trimethylamine oxidized (TMAO) respiration was detected.

**Conclusion:** Microbiota changes after bariatric surgery depend on the nature of the intervention. LRYGB induces greater taxonomic and functional changes in gut microbiota than LSG and may lead to a more dysbiotic microbiome. Possible long-term health consequences of these alterations remain to be established.
Significance of this study

What is already known on this subject?

Previous studies have reported changes of microbial composition after bariatric surgery with shotgun metagenomics, but lacked statistical power to document the changes. Important shifts in gut microbiome have been observed after LRYGB and LSG with an increase of aerotolerants from buccal microbiota. However, it is not clear how different the changes are between LRYGB and LSG although both procedures induce quite similar results with respect to weight loss, comorbidities remission and global glycaemic improvement outcomes.

What are the new findings?

LSG and LRYGB have specific but different impacts on gut microbial composition 6 months after bariatric surgery. The changes could be related to specific physiological effects. LRYGB promotes an important invasion of oral colonizers with Veillonella and Streptococcus genera. In contrast, these changes were less important after LSG. Moreover, microbial transportation potential of iron and vitamin B12 were also higher after LRYGB than LSG. We concluded that the type of surgery leads to different gut microbiome and functional profiles.

How might it impact on clinical practice in the foreseeable future?

Microbiome composition and functional profiles are not altered to the same extent by LRYGB and LSG 6 months after surgery. This difference should be considered when advising the patient on the type of bariatric surgery or post op diet.
INTRODUCTION

The last decades have seen a dramatic increase in obesity rates worldwide. Nowadays obesity can be considered as a global epidemic. The prevalence of obesity in the USA keeps increasing and has reached 30% and Europe seems to follow a similar trend [1,2]. Obesity is associated to many other diseases such as type 2 diabetes (T2D), hyperlipidaemia, hypertension and obstructive sleep apnoea [3]. Bariatric surgery is currently the most effective strategy for morbidly obese patients with a sustainable weight loss and a success rate at five years higher than 66% [4]. The three main surgical procedures are laparoscopic Gastric Banding (LGB), Laparoscopic Roux-en-Y gastric bypass (LRYGB) and Laparoscopic Sleeve Gastrectomy (LSG) [5]. LRYGB consists in the division of the stomach into a small upper pouch and a larger remnant pouch by connecting the jejunum to the upper section. In that way, part of the stomach, the duodenum and the upper section of the jejunum are excluded from the passage. Alternatively, LSG is an irreversible procedure which does not imply bowel rearrangement, where a large portion of the stomach is removed along the great curvature. The last method (LGB) is the use of adjustable ring silicon-made around the upper stomach part to reduce food intake.

Nowadays, LRYGB and LSG are the two most often-used surgeries, as they appear to have better outcomes than LGB [5]. A recent report indicates no difference regarding the excessive BMI loss, quality of life, improvement of comorbidities and fatal consequences over a period of five years between LRYGB and LSG even if LRYGB was more efficient to treat gastro-oesophageal reflux disease and dyslipidaemia [6].

Several studies support the hypothesis that gut microbiota plays a key role in obesity [7–10]. Energy metabolism, one of the major roles of gut microbiome, occurs through by-products such as short-chain fatty acids (SCFA) that result from microbial fermentation of carbohydrate fibres in the gut [11]. Individuals with lower gene richness are more prone to insulin resistance and dyslipidaemia [10]. Akkermansia muciniphila, has been consistently reported to be negatively associated to obesity and insulin sensitivity and its ability to degrade mucin can improve metabolic health during dietary interventions [12–14]. To investigate the impact of bariatric surgeries on gut microbiota, patients who have undergone either LRYGB or LSG were compared with their pre-operative (baseline) microbiota [15–21]. A. muciniphila and E. coli were both reported to be highly increased after LRYGB [15,16]. Liou et al., transplanted germ-free mice with stool from LRYGB-treated mice and observed a reduction of fat mass, suggesting the gut composition induced by surgery has a direct effect on weight loss and host metabolism [16,22,23].

Metagenomics shotgun sequencing surpasses the limitations and biases of 16S rRNA based sequencing by providing higher resolution taxonomic and functional profiling [24]. To our knowledge, only a few studies used a shotgun sequencing based approach to characterize the
microbiome modulation after LRYGB [15,21,22,25,26] and LSG [21,27,28]. However, previous reports [15,21,22,25–27] were limited by low number of patients, varying from n=6 to n=23, leading to a lack of statistical power. No direct comparison between the two interventions involving large cohort and their effect on the gut microbiome has been published. Here, we present the largest metagenomics study to date investigating the effects of LRYGB and LSG on gut microbiome based on pre-operative and 6 month post-operative stools. This international multicentre study is based on 89 and 108 obese patients who underwent LRYGB and LSG respectively. In contrast to other studies relying on reference genomes, our analysis depends on a recent in silico method that discovers and quantifies microbial species including those hitherto unknown [29]. The goal is to understand taxonomic and functional changes that the two surgery types induce in the gut microbiome and also the differences between both interventions. Ultimately, a better understanding of the surgery effect can help to select the best type of surgery for the patients.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Study population
In total, 275 patients diagnosed with obesity scheduled for LRYGB or LSG have been recruited in four clinical centres in three countries: France, Switzerland and USA. For the present investigation, inclusion criteria were age ≥18 years and Body Mass Index ≥ 35. Exclusion criteria were use of antibiotics and bowel cleansing for colonoscopy during the last two months before faecal sampling. The clinical protocol was approved by the institutional medical ethics committees.

Data collection
Faecal samples were self-collected one month before and 6 months after the surgery and stored essentially as described by the International Human Microbiome Standards (IHMS) consortium standard operating protocol (SOP) 5 [30]. The medical records of participants were reviewed for demographic data, comorbidities and medications. In total, 531 faecal samples were collected before and 6 months after surgery from 275 patients. Patients with only one collected stool sample were excluded. In consequence, 197 patients were analysed with their respective 197 pre-operative and 197 post-operative stools that were collected and sequenced.

DNA extraction and sequencing
Faecal DNA extraction was carried out according to the IHMS consortium SOP 7 [31]. Shotgun metagenomic sequencing was performed using SOLiD 5500xl Wildfire sequencing system
Life Technologies then ThermoFisher). 531 samples were sequenced yielding an average of 81.782 million (+/- 33.261 million) 35-base-long single reads.

**Reads mapping**

Reads were cleaned using an in-house procedure included in METEOR software [32] in order to remove (i) those containing resilient sequencing adapters/barcodes and (ii) those with average quality less than 20. Cleaned reads were subsequently filtered from human and other possible food contaminant DNA (using Human genome RCh37-p10, *Bos taurus* and *Arabidopsis thaliana*) using Bowtie 1 [33] (2 mismatches permitted) included in METEOR software. The gene abundance profiling was based on the integrated catalogue of reference genes in the human gut microbiome [34]. Filtered high-quality reads were mapped to the 9.9M gene catalogue using Bowtie 1 (3 mismatches permitted) included in METEOR software. Using METEOR, the gene abundance profiling table was generated using a two-step procedure. First, the unique mapped reads (reads mapped to a unique gene in the catalogue) were attributed to their corresponding genes. Second, the shared reads (reads that mapped with the same alignment score to multiple genes in the catalogue) were attributed according to the ratio of their unique mapping counts. The counts were then normalized according to RPKM strategy (normalization by the gene size and the number of total mapped reads reported in frequency) to give the final gene relative abundance profile table.

**Taxonomic annotation**

The genes were annotated using BLASTN alignment method against KEGG and RefSeq genomic databases [35,36]. The gene annotation method was adapted from Li et al. Only the hits with a minimum of 80% of query sequence length and 65% nucleotide identity were considered in the annotation process. The similarity thresholds for the phylum, genus and species taxonomic ranges were 65%, 80% and 95%, respectively. Genes with multiple hits deprived of any consensus (a consensus was defined as 10% of hits having the same annotation) for their taxonomic associations were annotated at a higher taxonomic range until a consensus was established.

**Functional annotation**

Translated genes were aligned using BLASP version 2.6.0 against KEGG Release 81.0 (April 2017). Hits with a bitscore inferior to 60 or with an e-value superior to 0.01 were discarded. Each gene was finally assigned to the functional group (KEGG Ortholog or Enzyme Commission) associated with the most significant hit.

**Metagenomic Species Pan-genomes processing**

(bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/425074. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not peer-reviewed) is the author/funder. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.)
The integrated reference catalogue of the human gut microbiome [34] was organized into 1696 Metagenomic Species Pan-genomes (MSPs) with MSPminer [29] by grouping co-abundant genes across 1267 stool samples coming from cohorts distinct of the one used in this study. For each MSP, the median vector of the square-root transformed counts of its core genes was computed by using the 531 samples of this study. MSPs detected in less than 5 samples were discarded. Then, the relationship between this median vector and the core genes was assessed with the Lin's concordance correlation coefficient [37]. Finally, the abundance of the MSP was estimated from its 30 core genes with the highest concordance.

**Relative abundance estimation and feature selection**

Out of 531 samples, 394 from 197 patients with two time-points were used for further analysis. The relative abundances at phylum level, based on the NCBI taxonomy, were computed by summing the relative abundances of all the genes belonging to the same phylum. The relative abundances of functional modules, which were based on the KEGG annotation, were computed by summing the relative abundances of all the genes belonging to the KEGG Orthologous (KO) groups. Abundances of 130 modules from GOMixer [38] were calculated by summing the abundances of each KO that belonged to the same module. This set of metabolic modules was selected because it was manually curated based on rigorous literature specific to gut bacterial functions. To increase important functional units that were lacking, 20 modules from KEGG were added to the GOMixer modules (Supplementary Table 1). The relative abundances of MSP were calculated by estimating the median of the 30 top genes belonging to its core genes. Microbial features that were present in <70% of all the samples were removed. After filtering, statistical analysis was performed with the abundances of 15 phyla, 302 MSP and 5348 KEGG orthology (KO) groups. The values were log10 transformed, to approach normal distributions; a pseudo count equal to the lowest relative abundance value in the cohort was added to all relative abundances, to deal with zeros.

**Gene richness and taxonomic diversity**

Gene richness for each sample was computed from the raw abundance table after downsizing based on 5 million simulated sequencing depth and 30 repeats. The gene richness was then re-calculated using a predictive model (linear regression) to be compared with a threshold of 11 million reads [10]. The Shannon index was computed to assess taxonomic diversity at both the genus and species level [39].

**Statistical test analysis**

All statistical analyses were performed with R (version 3.3.2, http://www.R-project.org/). Clinical variables were summarized as medians with interquartile ranges (IQRs) or as
frequencies with percentages. The Fisher’s exact test was used to compare categorical variables between patients who underwent LSG and LRYGB surgery.

**Statistical analysis to assess the effect of surgery on microbiota**

First, we performed two independent analyses for LRYGB and for LSG. Regarding metagenomic variables, we used a non-parametric statistical test on log10 transformed relative abundances to show the microbial features that were significantly modulated by surgery. Since this is a prospective study and the samples are not independent, two-sided Wilcoxon signed-rank test was used. Multiple testing was controlled by Benjamini-Hochberg (BH) false discovery rate (FDR). To ensure the MSP were significant, an additional filter based on the median fold change of relative abundance was applied. The median log2 fold change (FC) is the ratio of the median of this feature in all patients before surgery divided by the median of this feature in all patient after the gastric surgery. To summarize, a p-value lower than 0.05 and a (two-fold) FC >=1 or FC<=-1 were considered statistically significant.

**Statistical analysis to compare the two types of surgery**

To evaluate the change of microbiome composition induced by the gastric surgery, the relative abundances from the post-operative stool were normalized by dividing them with relative abundances from pre-operative stools for each patient and then log2 transformed. The abundance ratios were then used to compare the surgery types by performing Wilcoxon rank test on the microbial features that were significant at least in one surgery group. Multiple testing was controlled by BH.

**Correlation between functional modules and MSPs**

We performed two independent analyses for LRYGB and for LSG groups. In this context, Spearman correlation coefficients were calculated for every MSP that was found significantly impacted by gastric surgery and 150 functional modules. Relative abundances before and after surgery were used for the correlation and only correlation factors superior to 0.7 were retained for subsequent analysis.

**RESULTS**

**Demographic description**

197 patients have been included in three different countries (France, USA and Switzerland) before they underwent bariatric surgery (89 LRYGB and 108 LSG). Of these, 86 patients were included by two French clinical centres (Montpellier and Nice), 73 patients by Geisinger Health Center (USA) and 38 patients by St. Claraspital Basel (Switzerland). We checked if the pre-surgery characteristics of the cohort were homogeneous depending on the type of surgery
performed (Supplementary Table 2). Significant differences were found only for the country of origin (Fisher exact test, p<0.001) and diabetes prevalence (Fisher exact test, p<0.05). Important decrease of BMI was important after both surgical procedures (Supplementary Table 3 and Table 4).

Analysis of gut microbiota before surgery
Since the surgery type was cofounded with the country of origin, we first analysed the differences in microbial gut composition at MSP level between the patients before surgery, using a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) of log-transformed relative abundances of MSPs (Supplementary Figures 1.A and 1.B). Patients were clearly separated by their country of origin and by the type of surgery performed. However, in the Swiss cohort, no difference was observed between the patients who underwent LRYGB (n=20) and LSG (n=18), (Supplementary Figure 1.C). To overcome this limitation, paired Wilcoxon rank test and ratio analysis were used to normalize the microbiome profile at baseline to reduce variability induced by country of origin.

Surgery effects on gut microbial diversity and phylum-level composition
We estimated Shannon microbial diversity and gene richness in each sample. Compared to baseline, the Shannon index (Supplementary Figure 2) was significantly increased by surgery after 6 months (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, LRYGB: p=7.5*10^{-6} and LSG: p=7.3*10^{-11}). Similarly, gene richness was increased very significantly (about 15%) by both procedures (LRYGB, p=3.8*10^{-5}; LSG, p=5.9*10^{-10}). As expected, 5 phyla were the most abundant in human intestinal gut: Bacteroidetes, Firmicutes, Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Verrucomicrobia (Supplementary Figure 3). Before surgery, phylum composition was comparable between the two groups. Proteobacteria was significantly increased by LRYGB (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p=1.9*10^{-12}) and LSG (Wilcoxon signed-rank test, p=5.4*10^{-6}). Firmicutes showed a slight decrease after both surgeries.

Surgery effects on microbiome species composition
51 MSP were significantly impacted by LRYGB (p<0.05 and FC_{log2} >=1 or FC_{log2} <=-1, Wilcoxon signed-rank tests) and 48 after LSG (Figure 1A and Figure 2A; detailed view in Supplementary Figure 4 and 5, numerical values in supplementary information Table 5 and 6). Of these, 20 were common to both procedures and were overwhelmingly (18 of 20) enriched upon surgery. Notably, the beneficial Verrucomicrobia A. muciniphila was enriched (LRYGB: FC_{log2}=1.61, LSG: 1.82), but also the potentially proinflammatory Proteobacteria like E. coli (LRYGB: FC_{log2}=5.22, LSG: FC_{log2}=1.04), Klebsiella pneumoniae (LRYGB: FC_{log2}=4.03, LSG: FC_{log2}=2.09) and Haemophilus parainfluenzae (LRYGB: FC_{log2}=1.61, LSG: FC_{log2}=1.4).
**Faecalibacterium prausnitzii** was less abundant only after LRYGB ($\text{FC}_{\log 2} = -1.43$). Five oral species were enriched by both procedures, of which most strongly *Veillonella parvula* (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 2.65$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.92$) and *Streptococcus salivarius* (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 4.07$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.98$) but also *Streptococcus gordonii*, *Streptococcus mutans* and *Streptococcus parasanguinis*. Additional six oral species (*Fusobacteria nucleatum*, *Streptococcus anginosus*, *Streptococcus oralis*, *Streptococcus vestibularis*, *Veillonela atypica* and *Veillonela sp oral*) were enriched by LRYGB but not LSG. Interestingly, two oral *Bifidobacteria* were depleted by interventions, one by LRYGB (*Bifidobacteria bifidum*) and one by LSG (*Bifidobacteria dentium*). Four MSPs with no species-level annotation were enriched by both procedures (msp_0868, msp_0344, msp_0582 and msp_0355).

Of the 78 MSPs impacted by LRYGB or/and LSG 24 had abundance ratios significantly different between LRYGB and LSG groups ($p<0.05$, Wilcoxon rank-sum tests) (**Figure 3A; Supplementary Table 7**). Two *Proteobacteria* (*E. coli* and *K. pneumonia*) and seven oral MSPs (two *S. oralis*, *S. parasanguinis*, *S. salivarius*, *V. atypica*, *V. parvula* and *V. sp oral*) were enriched more by LRYGB than LSG surgery, one oral species, *B. bilidum* was more depleted. Two *Roseburia* (*R. faecis* and *R. hominis*) were also more enriched by LRYGB, as was *E. faecalis*. The five MSPs enriched more by LSG and annotated at the species level (*A. hadrus*, *C. sp KLE*, *F. plautii*, *O. sp KLE* and *R. gnavus*) all belonged to *Firmicutes* order *Clostridiales*.

**Effect of LRYGB and LSG on microbiome functions**

13 functional modules were significantly more abundant after LRYGB and 6 after LSG; five were common to both interventions (**Figure 1B and Figure 2B, Supplementary information Table 8 and 9, Supplementary Figure 6 and 7**). Five common modules were ABC transporters implied in vitamin B12 (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 5.23$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.49$), histidine (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 5.51$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.18$), lysine/arginine (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 5.16$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.16$), putrescin (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 4.95$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.6$) and manganese/zinc (LRYGB: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 3.30$, LSG: $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 2.04$) transport. Another manganese/zinc transport system was increased only after LRYGB (M00319; $\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 3.39$), as were Thiamine and Urea transport systems. Glutamate degradation module (FC$_{\log 2}$ = 1.39) was more abundant after LSG while significant increase of nitrate reduction ($\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 1.00$) and propionate production ($\text{FC}_{\log 2} = 2.53$) modules were enriched after LRYGB.

Of the functional 14 modules affected by LRYGB or/and LSG 13 were found to be significantly different between LRYGB and LSG groups (**Figure 3B, Supplementary Table 10**). Notably, 8 functional modules involved in ABC transporters were more enriched in patients after LRYGB compared to the LSG surgery (histidine and lysine, putrescin, vitamin B12, manganese/zinc,
urea and thiamine transport system). Modules involved in nitrate respiration and propionate production via kinase were more increased by LRYGB.

**Correlations of modules and MSPs**

Spearman correlations between relative abundances of 78 MSP and 150 modules were computed independently for two groups of patients: LRYGB and LSG. Regarding the former, 8 modules were correlated to a set of five MSP annotated to *V. parvula*, *S. vestibularis*, *S. salivarius* and *S. parasanguinis* and *E. coli* (Spearman’s rho ≥ 0.7, **Supplementary Table 11**).

**DISCUSSION**

**Microbiome composition is differentially altered by LRYGB and LSG**

Physiological and anatomic changes of the gastrointestinal tract after bariatric surgery modify gut motility, gastric acid secretion, bile acid processing and gut hormone secretion [40]. Using whole metagenome shotgun sequencing with a large cohort of patients, we confirmed that gut microbiota was strongly modulated after LSG and LRYGB with notable similarities and differences. Gene richness was increased for most of the patients after both interventions. However, the most important divergence was the extent of the increase of *Proteobacteria* species. *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* were both increased by surgery but the increase was significantly stronger after LRYGB confirming results from other studies [15,25]. Increase of *E. coli* may reflect host and gut adaptation to maximize energy harvest in starvation-like conditions following bariatric surgery [18]. *A. muciniphila*, known to be negatively correlated to inflammation, was increased in patients after LSG or LRYGB in similar proportion in our study confirming results from other studies [15,25]. This species has been found to reverse obesity and increase mucus layer thickness in mice fed in HF-fed Diet [13]. These beneficial effects were summarized by the action of a membrane protein Amuc_1100 expressed by this bacterium [14]. Moreover, this increase was concomitant with a general improvement regarding the glycemic parameters after surgery.

In contrast, the number of MSP being negatively affected by bariatric surgery was lower. *F. prausnitzii*, which is a butyrate-producer, decreased 6 months after surgery in LRYGB while LSG had no effect on its presence in faeces. The decrease of *F. prausnitzii* in LYRGB patients was reported in two previous studies as well [15,25]. Similarly, *R. gnavus* and *R. torques* were also reportedly decreased in LRYGB. This species is well known to produce trans-sialidase to degrade mucin [41] and to be associated with inflammatory bowel diseases and metabolic disorders [10]. Some MSPs not annotated at species-level were also detected to be affected by both interventions. These observations were possible because MSPminer does not rely on reference genomes and can reveal new biological entities of interest.
LRYGB promotes aerotolerant colonisation more than LSG
We observed that LRYGB led to a higher increase of oral colonizers (*Veillonella* and *Streptococcus* genus) than LSG. Possibly, less exposure to the acidic stomach compartment favours access of oral bacteria to the gut. However, facilitated access appears to be insufficient for implementation in the gut and other factors may be needed, as oral *Bifidobacteria* were depleted after surgery. Moreover, bypassing the duodenum might introduce some oxygen to the gastrointestinal tract [42], which is usually anaerobic, inhibiting growth of obligate anaerobes like *Clostridium* genus and promote domination of aerobes [43]. According to our results, *Clostridium* species were negatively impacted by LRYGB while they were enriched after LSG suggesting that the gut is still largely anaerobic after LSG. Along the same lines, a higher relative abundance of ferredoxin oxidoreductase, which is usually associated with aerobic respiration, was observed after LRYGB relative to LSG. Evidence of oxidative stress was also reported with enrichment of functional modules involved in glutathione metabolism in LRYGB patients. For instance, biosynthesis glutathione was more increased in LRYGB (FC=0.76) than in LSG (FC=0.23), even if the FC 

\[ \text{log}_2 \] 
did not reach one in either case.

**Nitrates respiration may favour *E. coli* expansion after LRYGB**
Following LRYGB, signs of nitrate reduction as an alternative form of respiration were observed. Interestingly, it has been recently observed that nitrate can boost growth of *E. coli* to outcompete species that rely on fermentation only [44,45]. LRYGB led also to enrichment of a functional module involved in glutathione metabolism in LRYGB patients. For instance, biosynthesis glutathione was more increased in LRYGB (FC=0.76) than in LSG (FC=0.23), even if the FC 

\[ \text{log}_2 \] 
did not reach one in either case.

**Microbial transportation of supplements is stimulated by bariatric surgery**
We observed a strong increase of ABC transporters, especially Vitamin B12, B1 and manganese/iron transport systems after bariatric surgery. This was more pronounced in LRYGB, confirming previous findings [15]. After surgery, multivitamin, iron and calcium supplements are provided to compensate for deficiencies caused by food intake reduction and
malabsorption [26]. Of note, in supplement tablets, vitamin B12, not bound to protein, is subsequently available to bacteria in the intestine. High levels of transport potential of vitamin B12, B1 and iron, particularly in LRYGB, suggest opportunistic use of these nutrients by microbes. Transport of vitamin B12 was highly correlated with E. coli while iron transporter was associated with S. salivarius and V. parvula (Supplementary Table 11); these functions may have facilitated enrichment of the cognate species, acting synergistically with the decrease of exposure to acidic stomach compartment. High level of putrescin transport system was observed in post-operative stools after LRYGB and correlated with E. coli (Supplementary Table 11, Spearman's rho = 0.85).

**Short chain fatty acids are altered by LRYGB and may impact weight loss via a GLP-1-dependant mechanism.**

SCFAs, metabolites formed by gut microbiota from carbohydrate substrates, can have several beneficial effects on the host. Butyrate and propionate were reported to be associated with weight loss and to have protective properties against diet-induced obesity in mice [49]. More precisely, the study indicated butyrate and propionate impact on gut hormones (by stimulating GLP-1 i.e glucagon-like peptide-1) and food intake reduction. In parallel, postprandial GLP-1 was observed to be significantly increased after LRYGB [50]. Abundance of propionate production module was higher after LRYGB and was also correlated with E. coli (Spearman's rho = 0.76). In concordance to this observation, Ilhan has reported an increase of propionate after LRYGB [26]. Visceral and liver fat were also reduced after propionate delivery in humans [51]. General glycaemic improvement was more pronounced after LRYGB and could be related to higher gut propionate production. Breton et al. identified ClpB, a bacterial protein produced by E. coli, as an antigen-mimetic of a neuropeptide involved in the satietogenic system by stimulating GLP-1 [52]. The gene coding ClpB was found to be increased by 100 after LRYGB (p<0.05, Wilcoxon rank test; not shown), in parallel with the increase of E. coli that encodes it. Taken together, the data from literature and our results support that E. coli may potentially influence both host appetite and metabolism via a complex activation cascade.

**Conclusion**

A direct comparison of microbiome changes after LSG and LRYGB procedures, assessed via large cohorts and shotgun metagenomic indicated a profound modification of bacterial gut composition 6 months after bariatric surgery in parallel with weight loss. Dramatic increase of aero-tolerant bacteria from phylum Proteobacteria suggests physiological changes that are specific to LRYGB; the presence of potentially pro-inflammatory bacteria in the microbiome may sustain low-level inflammation and thus impact evolution of the disease. Long-terms observations from surgery, possibly 3-5 years, are necessary to highlight the clinical relevance
of these findings. We infer from these data that LRYGB had greater impact on microbiome composition than LSG, leading to a potential gut dysbiosis. This could be considered when advising the patient on the type of bariatric surgery or post op diet.
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**Figure 1:** Microbial composition (A) and functional changes (B) following LRYGB.
Figure 2: Microbial composition (A) and functional changes (B) following LSG.
Figure 3: Differences of changes induced by LRYGB and LSG at species (A) and functional level (B).