Molecular basis of human asparagine synthetase inhibitor specificity
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ABSTRACT

Expression of the enzyme human asparagine synthetase (ASNS) promotes metastatic progression in breast cancer, which affects L-asparagine levels and tumor cell invasiveness. Human ASNS has therefore emerged as a *bona fide* drug target for cancer therapy. We have reported a slow-onset, tight binding ASNS inhibitor with nanomolar affinity, but our compound exhibits poor cell permeability. On the other hand, we show here that this inhibitor exhibits remarkable selectivity for the human ASNS in HCT-116 cell lysates. By determining the first high-resolution (1.85 Å) X-ray crystal structure for human ASNS, we have built a computational model of the enzyme complexed to our inhibitor, which provides the first insights into the intermolecular interactions mediating specificity. These findings should facilitate the development of a second generation of ASNS inhibitors, leading to the discovery of drugs to prevent metastasis.
Asparagine synthetase (ASNS) catalyzes the ATP-dependent biosynthesis of L-asparagine in cells from L-aspartic acid using L-glutamine as a nitrogen source. L-asparagine is important for the growth and maintenance of acute lymphoblastic leukemias, and breast, lung and castration-resistant prostate cancers. Moreover, altering exogenous L-asparagine levels affects tumor cell invasiveness, and enforced expression of human ASNS promotes metastatic progression in breast cancer, presumably by increasing the bioavailability of L-asparagine. Consistent with these findings, functional genomic screening of a murine sarcoma model, generated by oncogenic forms of Kras, has demonstrated that silencing the gene encoding ASNS inhibits cell proliferation. All of these observations strongly suggest that human ASNS is a bona fide drug target and that potent, small molecule ASNS inhibitors could have significant clinical utility in the prevention of metastasis, and perhaps more broadly in cancer chemotherapy.

The need to develop ASNS inhibitors was recognized over 30 years ago. With the recent emergence of human ASNS as a drug target for cancer therapy, this need has grown significantly. Identifying compounds with nanomolar affinity for the enzyme, however, has proved to be remarkably difficult. The only reported screening studies failed to identify small molecules with sub-micromolar binding and/or high selectivity for human ASNS, probably because of a lack of mechanistic and structural information about the enzyme. We therefore elucidated the kinetic and catalytic mechanisms of the glutamine-dependent asparagine synthetase (AS-B) encoded by the asnB gene in Escherichia coli. These studies revealed that both the β-aspartyl-AMP intermediate and the transition state for its subsequent reaction with ammonia were tightly bound by the enzyme during catalysis. Although unreactive analogs of the β-aspartyl-AMP intermediate are sub-micromolar ASNS inhibitors, the recognition that methylsulfoximines mimic the key transition state for the attack of ammonia...
on activated esters\textsuperscript{18-20} led to the synthesis of the functionalized methylsulfoximines 1 and 2 (Fig. 1b), which are slow-onset inhibitors that exhibit nanomolar affinity for the enzyme\textsuperscript{21,22}.

\begin{figure}
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\caption{Catalytic mechanism of human ASNS and structures of compounds 1-4. (a) Overview of the chemical transformations catalyzed by ASNS showing the β-aspartyl-AMP intermediate and the transition state for its subsequent reaction with an ammonia molecule, which is released from L-glutamine in a separate glutaminase site. (b) Chemical structures for functionalized methylsulfoximines 1 and 2, activity-based probe 3 and 6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine 4.}
\end{figure}

Unfortunately, both of these compounds can only be prepared as a 1:1 mixture of diastereoisomers (Fig. 1b) that cannot be separated on column chromatography, severely limiting their usefulness in studies employing animal models of cancer and metastasis. In addition, the presence of the charged functional groups in the methylsulfoximines 1 and 2 likely...
contribute to poor cell permeability. Nonetheless, and very importantly, ASNS inhibitor 1 (Fig. 1b) does negatively impact the growth of sarcoma cells in a manner similar to that seen when ASNS expression is reduced using siRNA knockdown methods. Moreover, this compound is cytotoxic against asparaginase-resistant MOLT-4 leukemia cells when used at micromolar concentrations. Thus, ASNS inhibitor 1 does possess anti-cancer properties. We now report that 1 exhibits a remarkable degree of specificity against human ASNS in cell lysates, suggesting that this compound can serve as a starting point for drug discovery. Developing an in-depth understanding of the molecular basis for this unique specificity is absolutely required, however, if efforts to generate a second generation of small molecule ASNS inhibitors, which have improved bioavailability and lower chemical complexity, are to be successful.

Results

Evaluating the binding specificity of ASNS inhibitor 1 in human cell lysates. We undertook functionalized proteomics experiments employing the chemically reactive probe 3 (Fig. 1) which has been used to determine inhibitor selectivity against native kinases or other ATPases by profiling compounds in lysates derived from cells or tissues (KiNativ), to evaluate the affinity of this potent ASNS inhibitor 1 for alternate targets with a focus on non-kinase ATPases. We incubated probe molecule 3 with HCT-116 cell lysates in the presence and absence of the ASNS inhibitor. HCT-116 cells can metastasize in xenograft models and have been used in studies of colon cancer proliferation. MS/MS fragmentation and sequence analysis of the tryptic peptides obtained from the two reaction mixtures showed that ASNS inhibitor 1 suppressed the ability of the probe to acylate the side chain of Lys-466 (located within the ATP-binding site of human ASNS) to an extent of 62% when present in cell lysates at 10 μM total concentration (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1). As importantly, only moderate to low
Table 1 | Selected results from the functional proteomics assay showing the % inhibition of cellular ATPases by the ASNS inhibitor 1 at 100 μM and 10 μM concentration.a,b

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene Name</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>100 μM</th>
<th>10 μM</th>
<th>Legend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ABCD3</td>
<td>UniRef100. P38288</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette sub-family D member 3 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>49.0</td>
<td>43.1</td>
<td>&gt;90% Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCF2 (ATP1)</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q3UG63</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette sub-family F member 2/n=3 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=ABCF2_HUMAN</td>
<td>94.4</td>
<td>41.1</td>
<td>75 - 90% Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NUBP1</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q9YSY2</td>
<td>Nucleotide-binding protein 2 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>63.0</td>
<td>41.2</td>
<td>50 - 75% Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASNS</td>
<td>UniRef100. P56243</td>
<td>Asparagine synthetase [glutamine-hydrolyzing] n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=ASNS_HUMAN</td>
<td>72.4</td>
<td>62.3</td>
<td>35 - 50% Inhibition</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASS1</td>
<td>UniRef100. P0966</td>
<td>Argininosuccinate synthase [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>26.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>No change</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NMNAT3</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q96T66</td>
<td>Nicotinamide mononucleotide adenylyltransferase 3 n=3 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=NMNAT3_HUMAN</td>
<td>81.3</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td>&lt;100% (+2-fold increase)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMPK1</td>
<td>UniRef100. B2R8S5</td>
<td>cDNA, FLJ93691. Homo sapiens UMP-CMP kinase (UMP-CMPK), miRNA (Cytoplasmic, isoform C) n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=B2R8S5_HUMAN</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>36.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAT1</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q13839</td>
<td>Spliceosome RNA helicase BAT1 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>80.8</td>
<td>32.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DDX39</td>
<td>UniRef100. O01418</td>
<td>ATP-dependent RNA helicase DDX39 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>66.3</td>
<td>11.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTHFD1L</td>
<td>UniRef100. B7ZM99</td>
<td>MTHFD1L protein n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=B7ZM99_HUMAN</td>
<td>93.4</td>
<td>17.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POE12</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q6LR07</td>
<td>2',5'-bispophosphodiesterase 12 n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=POE12_HUMAN</td>
<td>96.3</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LIG1</td>
<td>UniRef100. P18658</td>
<td>DNA ligase 1 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>-93.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AARS</td>
<td>UniRef100. P49588</td>
<td>Alanine tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=AARS_HUMAN</td>
<td>12.9</td>
<td>53.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NARS</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q43776</td>
<td>Asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SARS</td>
<td>UniRef100. P49591</td>
<td>Serine-tRNA synthetase, cytoplasmic n=2 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=SARS_HUMAN</td>
<td>37.5</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EPRS</td>
<td>UniRef100. P07814</td>
<td>Bilirubin UDP-glucuronosyltransferase 1A1 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>-13.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GARS</td>
<td>UniRef100. P4250</td>
<td>Glycyl-tRNA synthetase n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=GARS_HUMAN</td>
<td>33.4</td>
<td>10.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KARS</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q15046</td>
<td>Lysyl-tRNA synthetase [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OAT8 (PET112L)</td>
<td>UniRef100. O75879</td>
<td>Probable glutaminyl-tRNA synthetase subunit B, mitochondrial precursor [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>41.5</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCB10</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q35NK6</td>
<td>ATP-binding cassette sub-family B member 10, mitochondrial n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=ABCB10_HUMAN</td>
<td>23.2</td>
<td>19.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCC3 (ATP1)</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q15438</td>
<td>Multidrug resistance-associated protein 3 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABCC4 (ATP1)</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q15439</td>
<td>Multidrug resistance-associated protein 4 n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=ABCC4_HUMAN</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AFG3L2</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q8T9A2</td>
<td>Similar to AFG3 ATPase family gene 3-like 2 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLR8</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q94079-2</td>
<td>Splice isoform 2 of Q94079 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>30.1</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DYN1H1 (ATP1)</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q14204</td>
<td>Dynein heavy chain, cytosolic [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAGK</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q9U70</td>
<td>N-acetyl-d-glucosamine kinase n=1 Tax=Homo sapiens RepID=NAGK_HUMAN</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NME1, NME2</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q09W04</td>
<td>Nucleoside diphosphate kinase alpha isoform (Fragment) n=2 Tax=Eucheniotes superbus RepID=Q09W04_HUMAN</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>-3.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NME3</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q13323</td>
<td>Nucleoside diphosphate kinase 3 [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>15.5</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NRK1</td>
<td>UniRef100. Q9NW6W-2</td>
<td>Splice isoform 2 of Q9NW6W [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PKF82</td>
<td>UniRef100. O60625</td>
<td>6-phosphofructo-2-kinase/fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase 2 (EC 2.7.1.105); Fructose-2,6-bisphosphatase (EC 3.1.3.46) [Homo sapiens (Human)]</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>6.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a complete set of functional proteomic data is provided in supplementary table 1.

b % inhibition values are color-coded to show the strength of the inhibition based on suppression of lysine modification by the reactive probe 3 in the presence of 1. Inhibition levels lower than 35% are not considered significant because these values fall in the range of sample to sample variability (CVs typically 20%). Inhibition levels of greater than 35% that are not colored fail to reach statistical significance (Student T-test < 0.04).
suppression of lysine acylation by the reactive probe 3 was seen for potential “off-target” enzymes when the ASNS inhibitor was present in the HCT-116 lysates. For example, ASNS inhibitor 1 did not suppress lysine acylation in the ATP-binding sites of GMP synthetase26, argininosuccinate synthetase27, and glutamyl-tRNA synthetase28 at 10 μM concentration even though these three enzymes convert ATP to AMP and PP, during catalytic turnover. Moreover, the ASNS inhibitor 1 exhibited only weak binding to phosphopantetheine adenylyltransferase29 and nicotinate-nucleotide adenylyltransferase30 in the cell lysates at 10 μM concentration (Table 1). We also examined the ability of the ASNS inhibitor 1 to interact with the adenylylation sites of amino-acyl tRNA synthetases because the structurally similar compound 2 (Fig. 1b) was shown to bind tightly to Escherichia coli ammonia-dependent asparagine synthetase (AS-A)31, which is evolutionarily related to this class of enzymes32. Our experiments confirm that ASNS inhibitor 1 does not interact with lysyl, seryl or asparaginyl tRNA synthetases when present in the lysate at 10 μM concentration (Table 1). This observation is remarkable given that the related compound 2 (Fig. 1b) inhibits the Escherichia coli ortholog of asparaginyl-tRNA synthetase31. Increasing the concentration of the inhibitor 1 to 100 μM in the cell lysate suppressed Lys-466 acylation by the reactive probe 3 to an extent of 73%, a value that is unexpectedly low given that in vitro kinetic assays show that the ASNS inhibitor 1 inhibits recombinant, human ASNS with a nanomolar Kᵢ* value21. Several explanations might account for this finding. For example, the reactive probe 3 might successfully compete for the free enzyme in the initial phase of incubation because the ASNS inhibitor 1 exhibits slow-onset, tight-binding kinetics. The ability of 1 to bind to the synthetase site may also be negatively impacted by the ATP concentration in the cell lysates, which is in the range of 1-10 mM. Even when present at a concentration of 100 μM, however, ASNS inhibitor 1 still exhibits remarkable selectivity (Table 1 and Supplementary Table 1) and the apparent absence of any interaction with kinases suggests that the development of highly specific ASNS inhibitors with more “drug-like” chemical structures33 can be achieved.
Molecular structure of human ASNS. In order to determine the molecular basis for the observed specificity of ASNS inhibitor 1, and to provide a firm basis for identifying simplified molecular scaffolds for potent and selective ASNS inhibitors, we obtained the first high resolution X-ray crystal structure of human ASNS. To date, only the structure of the glutamine-dependent ASNS (AS-B) encoded by the *asnB* gene in *Escherichia coli* has been reported, and efforts to obtain crystals of this ASNS homolog bound to small molecules other than AMP have proven unsuccessful. Moreover, differences in the ability of the functionalized methylsulfoximine 2 (as a 1:1 mixture of the diastereoisomers 2a and 2b) (Fig. 1b) to inhibit the human and bacterial forms of the enzyme have been reported. Multi-milligram amounts of highly active, recombinant, C-terminally His\textsubscript{10}-tagged, human ASNS were obtained by expression in Sf9 cells by optimizing its expression using TEQC method as described (see Supplementary Information). The enzyme was initially purified by metal-affinity chromatography followed by removal of the C-terminal His\textsubscript{10}-tag by digestion with the S219P variant of TEV protease. The resulting sample of untagged ASNS was then reacted with DON (6-diazo-5-oxo-L-norleucine) (Fig. 1a) to modify the reactive thiolate of Cys-1 in the glutaminase active site of ASNS. Under our reaction conditions, the DON-modified form of human ASNS was obtained as a homogeneous protein in which other cysteine residues in the protein were not modified on the basis of mass spectrometry analysis of the “as-purified” and DON-modified protein (Supplementary Fig. 1). These mass spectrometric measurements also showed that the N-terminal methionine residue of the recombinant enzyme had been correctly processed to give the “mature” form of the enzyme. Conditions were then identified that gave single crystals of DON-modified human ASNS, one of which diffracted to 1.85 Å resolution (Supplementary Table 2). The structure of the enzyme was solved by molecular replacement using AS-B as a search model. Two molecules of DON-modified human ASNS were present in the asymmetric unit as a head-to-head dimer in which the two monomers were linked by a
disulfide bond that likely formed during crystallization (Fig. 2a and Supplementary Fig. 3).

**Fig. 2:** X-ray crystal structure of human ASNS and the glutaminase active site. (a) (Left) Cartoon representation of the asymmetric unit of the human ASNS crystal structure. The N- and C-terminal domains are colored blue and tan, respectively, and the atoms in the disulfide bond joining the monomers are rendered as spheres. Carbon atoms in the DON moiety and the HEPES molecule present in the synthetase active site are shown as cyan and purple spheres, respectively, and the bound chloride ion is drawn as a green sphere. (Right) Close-up of the disulfide bond connecting the N-terminal domains of the ASNS monomers and the electron density surrounding this region (grey mesh, contoured at 0.5σ). Color scheme: C, cyan; N, blue; O, red; S, yellow. (b) Cartoon representation of the human ASNS monomer. Domain and atom coloring scheme is identical to that used in (a). (c) Close-up of DON-modified Cys-1 showing the hydrogen bonding interactions between the DON moiety (cyan) and residues in the glutaminase active site. Electron density for DON, contoured at 0.5σ, is rendered as a mesh. (d) Location of the glutaminase site at the domain/domain interface of human ASNS showing the salt bridge involving the Arg-48 and Asp-96 side chains. Residues are identified using standard one-letter codes, and are numbered from the N-terminal residue (Cys-1).
As is seen for the bacterial homolog, human ASNS is composed of two domains (Fig. 2b). Residues in C-terminal (residues 203-560) synthetase domain (41.6% identity) are more conserved than those in the N-terminal (residues 1-202) glutaminase domain (33.9% identity) based on sequence comparisons of human ASNS and its homologs in a number of model organisms (Supplementary Fig. 4).

The N-terminal domain of human ASNS possesses the typical sandwich-like α/β/β/α topology seen in other N-terminal nucleophile (Ntn) amidotransferases\textsuperscript{40,42}, such as GMP synthetase,\textsuperscript{43} and glutamine PRPP amidotransferase\textsuperscript{44}. As seen in the structure of the bacterial homolog AS-B, a cis-proline (Pro-60) linkage is present in the human enzyme. Electron density for the DON-modified Cys-1 side chain is clearly evident in each monomer (Fig. 2c). A hydrogen bond network, composed of the conserved residues Arg-48, Val-52, Asn-74, Gly-75, Glu-76, and Asp-96, which mediates substrate recognition and thioester stabilization in the hydrolysis reaction that produces ammonia, is also clearly defined\textsuperscript{45}. This substrate-binding pocket is located at the interface of the two domains, and is within 5 Å of an absolutely conserved glutamate residue (Glu-414) in the C-terminal domain (Fig. 2d). After refinement of the protein and ligands, a single 12 σ peak remained in a pocket at the interface of the N- and C-terminal domains on both chains. The site is surrounded by Tyr-78, Arg-416, Arg-245 and Val-417, and the peak was assigned as a chloride anion (Fig. 2b). The functional importance of this finding remains to be established for human ASNS, but plant asparagine synthetases are known to be activated by chloride\textsuperscript{46}. Importantly for structure-based inhibitor discovery, the synthetase site in the C-terminal domain, which is composed of sixteen α-helices and five β-strands, is well resolved (Fig. 2b). Unexpectedly, we observed a bound HEPES molecule from the crystallization buffer in the active site of this domain, which hydrogen bonds to the Asp-334 side chain and water molecules in a network that also involves conserved residues Asp-400 and Arg-403 (Fig. 3a).
Fig. 3: Structural features of the synthetase active site and putative inhibitor binding pocket. (a) Cartoon rendering of DON-modified human ASNS and the C1A AS-B variant (1CT9) containing a molecule of HEPES (C: purple spheres) and AMP (C: magenta spheres) in the two synthetase active sites, respectively. The N- and C-terminal domains of human ASNS are colored blue and tan, respectively, while the cognate domains in AS-B are colored green and pale yellow, respectively. (b) Close-up view of the network of intermolecular interactions between bound HEPES and residues/water molecules in the synthetase active site of human ASNS. Hydrogen bonds are shown as yellow dashed lines and waters by red spheres. (c) Residue conservation in the synthetase active sites of human ASNS and AS-B with side chain carbons of the two homologs being colored tan and pale yellow, respectively. Carbon atoms in the AMP molecule observed in the AS-B synthetase site are rendered in magenta. Waters are rendered as red spheres. Residues in both structures are identified using one-letter codes, and are numbered from the N-terminal residue (Cys-1). AS-B residue numbers are given in parentheses.
and 3b). Residues in the synthetase active sites of human ASNS and AS-B are highly conserved (Supplementary Fig. 4) except that Val-272 and Met-333 in the bacterial form of the enzyme are replaced by Ile-287 and Ile-347, and superimposing the human and bacterial structures confirms that the active sites are almost identical (Fig. 3c). The Arg-403 side chain, however, adopts different conformations, presumably because AMP is not present in the human ASNS structure.

Asparagine synthetase deficiency. Having the crystal structure of human ASNS in hand offered an opportunity to map the locations of mutations in 15 residues (Ala-5, Arg-48, Leu-144, Leu246, Gly-288, Thr-336, Arg-339, Phe-361, Ala-379, Tyr-397, Arg406, Ser-479, Val-488, Trp-540 and Arg-549) that have been identified in patients with asparagine synthetase deficiency (ASD) (Fig. 4)\textsuperscript{47}. ASD is a rare neurological disorder that severely affects brain development; indeed, children with the disease often exhibit microcephaly, epileptic-like seizures and intellectual disability\textsuperscript{48}. Three of these mutational locations are in the N-terminal domain although, of these, only the Arg-48 side chain is positioned such that it could interact directly with the L-glutamine substrate in the glutaminase active site. Substituting other amino acids in this position might therefore impact the chemical steps leading to ammonia formation. The remaining sites, which are mainly located in the C-terminal, synthetase domain can be clustered into four groups (Supplementary Table 3). Given that none of these residues seem to be positioned adjacent to L-aspartate or ATP in the synthetase active site, we hypothesize that mutations in these locations may perturb the structural stability and/or dynamic properties of the enzyme. Of course, the apparent involvement of ASNS in neurological development implies that clinically useful ASNS inhibitors must not cross the blood-brain barrier or be restricted to use in adults.
Fig. 4: Locations of mutated residues in human ASNS that are associated with asparagine synthetase deficiency. (a) Cartoon representation of the X-ray crystal structure of human ASNS showing the locations of the 15 mutational sites (Ala-5, Arg-48, Leu-144, Leu246, Gly-288, Thr-336, Arg-339, Phe-361, Ala-379, Tyr-397, Arg-406, Ser-479, Val-488, Trp-540 and Arg-549) that have been identified in patients with asparagine synthetase deficiency. The side chains of mutated residues are rendered as spheres. Colored regions indicate sites that can be classified as Group 1 (red), Group 2 (yellow), Group 3 (green) and Group 4 (blue) (Supplementary Table 3).

Structurally related human proteins. We sought to exploit our X-ray crystal structure in determining the molecular basis of the high binding selectivity exhibited by ASNS inhibitor 1 in the functional proteomics studies (Table 1). 128 proteins possessing domains with significant structural similarity to the ASNS synthetase domain (222-533) (Supplementary Table 4), of which 80 are not present in human cells were identified using the Dali server (http://ekhidna2.biocenter.helsinki.fi/dali/)\textsuperscript{49}. Almost all of remaining 48 “structural neighbors” (uroporphyrinogen-III synthase\textsuperscript{50} being an interesting exception) employ ATP or structurally
related molecules (SAM and NAD\textsuperscript{+}) as substrates; X-ray crystal structures of the human homologs have been reported, however, for only 10 of these enzymes (Supplementary Table 4). Based on mechanistic considerations, ASNS inhibitor 1 might be expected to bind to glutamine-dependent NAD\textsuperscript{+} synthetase, GMP synthetase, argininosuccinate synthetase and FMN adenylyltransferase, and, indeed, these enzymes have the highest Z-scores for proteins having AMP-forming domains homologous to the C-terminal domain of human ASNS. Moreover, all five of these enzymes possess a conserved sequence motif SGGxD (PP motif), which binds inorganic pyrophosphate produced concomitantly with the adenylylated intermediate during catalytic turnover. Unfortunately, tryptic peptides from the ATP-binding sites of glutamine-dependent NAD\textsuperscript{+} synthetase and FMN adenylyltransferase were not observed in the functional proteomics assay, suggesting that neither of these enzymes were present in HCT-116 cells under our growth conditions.

**Modeling the interaction of ASNS inhibitor 1 with human ASNS.** Nevertheless, in order to understand why the transition state analog 1 does not bind to GMP synthetase or argininosuccinate synthetase at 10 μM concentration, we decided to obtain a structure for this inhibitor 1 bound to the human enzyme. Kinetic measurements show that 1 is competitive with respect to ATP (the first substrate to bind in the pathway leading to asparagine formation), and can bind to the DON-modified form of human ASNS (data not shown). Both of these observations are consistent with the idea that the functionalized methylsulfoximine 1 binds within the synthetase active site of the enzyme (Fig. 3). Extensive crystallization trials, however, failed to yield crystals of ASNS inhibitor 1 bound to either DON-modified or wild type human ASNS. We therefore built computational models of the enzyme bound to each of the diastereoisomers 1a and 1b (Fig. 5a) in which Cys-1 was present as the unmodified amino acid.
Fig. 5: Computational models of the functionalized methylsulfoximines 1a and 1b bound within the synthetase active site of the human ASNS/MgPP complex. (a) Surface representation of human ASNS showing the location of the putative inhibitor binding pocket within the synthetase active site. The N- and C-terminal domains of the enzyme are colored in blue and tan, respectively. Close-up views show the positions of the functionalized methylsulfoximines 1a (green) and 1b (yellow) relative to the bound inorganic pyrophosphate (orange) and Mg$^{2+}$ (grey) ions in each model complex. (b) Thermodynamic cycle used to estimate the difference in binding free energy ($\Delta G_{\text{bind}} = \Delta G_{\text{bind}}(1a) - \Delta G_{\text{bind}}(1b)$) of the diastereoisomers 1a and 1b computed from $\Delta G_{\text{protein}} - \Delta G_{\text{water}}$ values obtained by free energy perturbation calculations. Both $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}(1a)$ and $\Delta G_{\text{bind}}(1b)$ have positive values since they describe dissociation of each ASNS/ligand complex. (c) Close-up of 1a showing the non-covalent interactions with synthetase active site residues and water molecules in the computational model. (d) Close-up of 1b showing the non-covalent interactions with synthetase active site residues and water molecules in the computational model.
We also included inorganic pyrophosphate (MgPP$_i$) in the synthetase site because MgPP$_i$ is the last product released during turnover$^{16}$ and is therefore present in the enzyme when ammonia reacts with the β–aspartyl-AMP intermediate. MgPP$_i$ was positioned above a conserved pyrophosphate-binding motif in a similar location to that seen in GMP synthetase$^{43}$. All missing loops in the human ASNS structure, together with residues 534-546 located in the C-terminal tail, were built the procedures described in Supplementary Information with only the last fourteen residues (547-560) of the enzyme being omitted. In silico docking$^{54}$ was used to position each of the diastereoisomers 1a and 1b into the MgPP$_i$/ASNS complex, and the resulting models were placed in a box of water molecules. Molecular dynamics (MD) simulations (100 ns) were performed for both of the solvated model complexes (see Supplementary Information), with the free enzyme and the β–aspartyl-AMP/MgPP$_i$/ASNS complex being used as “control” structures. We observe an extensive series of non-covalent interactions between β–aspartyl-AMP and the enzyme (Supplementary Fig. 7a). For example, the phosphate moiety of this reactive intermediate forms an electrostatic interaction with the conserved Lys-466 side chain (Supplementary Fig. 4), which has been shown to be essential for activity in AS-B$^{55}$. In addition, the 2’-OH group on the ribose ring hydrogen bonds to the side chain of Ser-362, providing a molecular explanation for the fact that dATP is not a substrate. At the other end of the intermediate, the α-amino group forms a salt bridge with the conserved Asp-367 side chain and the α-carboxylate interacts with Glu-364 via a water molecule. To our knowledge, neither of these residues has been altered by site-directed mutagenesis even though both are conserved within known asparagine synthetases (Supplementary Fig. 4). A similar set of interactions was observed during the MD-derived trajectories of the model complexes containing ASNS inhibitor 1 (methylsulfoximines 1a and 1b). Importantly for future inhibitor discovery efforts, the positively charged amino group of each functionalized methylsulfoximine is preferentially bound in a pocket defined by the side chains of Glu-364, Asp-367 and Asp-400 (Fig. 5c). Protein/ligand hydrogen bonds are also formed between both 1a and 1b and Ser-362, Gly-363 and Ile-287,
and explicit waters mediate interactions between the functionalized methylsulfoximines and residues Asp-261, Asp-294, Gly-363 and Gly-364 (Supplementary Fig. 7). With these computational models in hand, free energy perturbation (FEP) calculations were performed to obtain a quantitative estimate of the relative affinity of the methylsulfoximines 1a and 1b for human ASNS. Using a standard thermodynamic analysis (Fig. 5b), these calculations provide an estimate of -2.44 kcal/mol for ΔΔGbind, meaning that diastereoisomer 1b has at least 60-fold greater affinity for the enzyme than 1a at 25 °C (see Supplementary Information). This difference is consistent with the expected value based on qualitative arguments that we have presented elsewhere.

**Expression and kinetic characterization of human ASNS variants.** We next sought to validate these computational models by examining the effect of site-specific mutations on the ability of ASNS inhibitor 1 to bind to ASNS. Two sets of site-specific mutations were selected on the basis of the intermolecular interactions observed in the computational models of the 1a/MgPP/ASNS and 1b/MgPP/ASNS complexes (Fig. 5c). Thus, modifying the Glu-364 side chain was anticipated to weaken the binding of diastereoisomer 1a to the enzyme with little, or no, effect on diastereoisomer 1b. Similarly, altering the Asp-367 side chain was anticipated to reduce the affinity of 1b rather than 1a for the ASNS variant. Using our optimized baculovirus-based protocol, we expressed and purified ASNS variants in which Glu-364 was replaced by alanine (E364A) or glutamine (E364Q), and Asp-367 was replaced by alanine (D367A) or asparagine (D367N). Standard kinetic assays showed that the E364A, E364Q and D367A ASNS variants do not produce pyrophosphate when incubated with L-aspartate and ATP in the presence of ammonium chloride at pH 8.0. The D367N ASNS variant does, however, exhibit ammonia-dependent activity that is substantially reduced relative to that of WT enzyme (Supplementary Fig. 8). In agreement with our computational modeling, the time needed for
the ASNS inhibitor 1 (at 1 μM concentration) to inhibit ammonia-dependent activity of the D367N ASNS variant is considerably slower than that seen for WT ASNS (Supplementary Fig. 8). Interestingly, the presence of 1 also seems to stimulate pyrophosphate production at short times, perhaps because the absence of the Asp-367 side chain leads to an alternate binding mode for 1. Efforts to characterize inhibitor binding to the three inactive ASNS variants using isothermal calorimetry, however, have proven unsuccessful to date, presumably because complex formation is not completely reversible given slow-onset kinetics and the slow off-rate of the inhibitor in the E*I complex.21

**The molecular basis of ASNS inhibitor specificity.** With evidence to support the validity of the 1b/MgPPi/ASNS model complex, we next sought to elucidate the molecular basis of the binding selectivity observed for ASNS inhibitor 1. Superimposing the conserved PP-loop motifs in the three enzymes allowed us to overlay the 1b/MgPPi/ASNS model with the X-ray crystal structures of human argininosuccinate synthetase and GMP synthetase (Fig. 6a). Although side chain repositioning was ignored, the superimposed structures provide a qualitative picture of active site similarities and differences that might underlie the binding selectivity of ASNS inhibitor 1 in HCT-116 cell lysates. All three enzymes share a common loop motif for binding MgPPi, released during formation of the adenylated intermediate (Fig. 6a) and make very similar intermolecular interactions with the AMP moiety of the ASNS inhibitor 1b (Fig. 6b). Differences in inhibitor binding affinity seem to be associated with a “cluster” of negatively charged side chains (Glu-364, Asp-367 and Glu-368) that bind the protonated amino group present in ASNS inhibitor 1 (Fig. 6c). This cluster is absent in the active sites of argininosuccinate synthetase (Fig. 6d) and GMP synthetase (Fig. 6e). This result suggests that second generation ASNS inhibitors must maintain this important set of electrostatic interactions if binding specificity is to be realized. Moreover, given that the conserved residue Glu-364 is required for enzyme activity,
Fig. 6: Structural basis for the binding selectivity of ASNS inhibitor 1b. (a) Alignment of the conserved SGGxD loops (PP-motifs) in argininosuccinate synthetase (2NZ2)\textsuperscript{51} (green), GMP synthetase (2VXO)\textsuperscript{27} (cyan) and the 1b/MgPP/ASNS computational model (tan). Circles show the location of the PP-motif in the AMP-forming domain of the three enzymes. Carbon atoms in methylsulfoximine 1b are colored yellow. Color scheme: N, blue; O, red; P, orange; Mg, grey. (b) Superimposition of the homologous AMP-binding sites in argininosuccinate synthetase (C: green), GMP synthetase (C: cyan) and the 1b/MgPP/ASNS computational model (C: tan) showing the similarity of residues in this region. ASNS residues are labeled using standard one-letter codes, and are numbered from the N-terminal residue (Cys-1). (c) Close-up of putative intermolecular interactions between the protonated amino group of 1b and human ASNS synthetase active site residues (C: tan). ASNS residues are labeled using standard one-letter codes, and are numbered from the N-terminal residue (Cys-1). The protein orientations in (c)-(e) are aligned to aid structural comparisons.
it seems unlikely that resistance mutations can occur at this position in the ASNS synthetase active site.

**Discussion**

Taken overall, our findings establish the feasibility of obtaining ASNS inhibitors that exhibit considerable selectivity when present at low, or sub-, micromolar concentrations in cells despite the existence of other ATP-utilizing enzymes possessing homologous catalytic domains to ASNS. We therefore look forward to the discovery of new small molecule ASNS inhibitors, which can be used (i) to probe the role of L-asparagine production in metastatic progression, and (ii) as agents to control either metastasis and/or tumor growth in animal-based experiments.

**Methods**

Methods, including statements of data availability and any associated accession codes and references, are available in the online version of the paper.
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