1 Reduced variation in *Wolbachia* density of larval stages in comparison with
2 adults of *Onchocerca volvulus*: implications for clinical outcome of infection?

3

4 Samuel Armoo¹, Stephen R Doyle², Shannon M Hedtke³, Gilles A Adjami⁴, Daniel A
5 Boakye⁴, Annette C Kuesel⁵, Mike Y Osei-Atweneboana¹, Warwick N Grant³

6

7 ¹Biomedical and Public Health Research Unit, Council for Scientific and Industrial
8 Research - Water Research Institute, GA038, Council Close, Accra, Ghana

9 ²Wellcome Sanger Institute, Hinxton, Cambridgeshire, CB10 1SA, United Kingdom

10 ³Department of Animal, Plant and Soil Sciences, School of Life Sciences, La Trobe
11 University, Bundoora 3083, Victoria, Australia

12 ⁴The Expanded Special Project for Elimination of Neglected Tropical Diseases,
13 Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

14 ⁵UNICEF/ UNDP/ World Bank/ WHO Special Programme for Research and Training
15 in Tropical Disease, WHO, Geneva 1211, Switzerland.

16

17 SKA: samuel.k.armoo@gmail.com

18 SRD: sd21@sanger.ac.uk

19 SMH: shannon.hedtke@gmail.com

20 GAA: adjami78@hotmail.com

21 DAB: DBoakye@noguchi.ug.edu.gh

22 ACK: kuesela@who.int

23 MYO-A: oseiatweneboana@yahoo.co.uk

24 WNG: w.grant@latrobe.edu.au

25

26 **Abstract**

27 **Background:** *Wolbachia* are important endosymbionts of filarial parasites. The
 28 *Wolbachia* of *Onchocerca volvulus*, the filarial pathogen responsible for the human
 29 disease onchocerciasis, is implicated in the immunopathology of the disease and may
 30 be associated with disease severity dependent on the density of *Wolbachia*. However,
 31 little is known in regards to the density and heterogeneity of *Wolbachia* in
 32 microfilariae, the life stage that is thought to be responsible for the pathology.

33 **Results:** We used a real-time qPCR relative copy number assay to estimate the
 34 number of *Wolbachia* genome(s) per nuclear genome of skin microfilariae (Mf),
 35 vector L1 and iL3, and nodulectomy adult male and female *O. volvulus* worms
 36 sampled in Ghana and the Democratic Republic of the Congo. Relatively low median
 37 *Wolbachia* copy numbers and variation was observed in the Mf and vector stages, in
 38 contrast to significantly higher median and more variable *Wolbachia* copy number
 39 from the iL3 stage to the adult worm stages.

40 **Conclusions:** This study provides the first insight into variation in *Wolbachia* density
 41 between the major life stages of the parasite. The relatively invariant ratios observed
 42 for Mf and vector stages is in strong contrast to the high degree of variability of
 43 *Wolbachia* to nuclear ratios in adults and may indicate that the mutualistic
 44 relationship between the nematode and *Wolbachia* in these earlier stages is regulated
 45 differently, and certainly more stringently, than the relationship in adults.

46

Background

The human disease onchocerciasis, caused by the filarial nematode *Onchocerca volvulus*, remains a significant public health problem in Sub-Saharan Africa. The immunopathology associated with the disease, which includes dermatitis and keratitis, has been linked to a *Wolbachia* endosymbiont that is immunologically recognised by the host upon the death of microfilaria [1, 2, 3]. Evidence of this includes the initiation of keratitis by *Wolbachia* antigens in a murine model [1], and a significantly higher proportion of *Wolbachia* DNA in the sera from patients following treatment with either diethyl carbamazine or ivermectin [3]. The association between *Wolbachia* and pathology has been extended to suggest that *Wolbachia* density in adult worms is positively correlated with the incidence of blindness [4]. This hypothesis was based on measurements that compared *Wolbachia* copy number from “forest ecotype” and “savannah ecotype” *O. volvulus* that are associated with low blindness rates and low *Wolbachia* density, or higher blindness and higher *Wolbachia* densities, respectively. However, using larger and geographically diverse cohort of adult *O. volvulus* samples, we recently demonstrated using qPCR that the *Wolbachia* copy number ranges over one thousand fold in adult worms, and differs within and between sampling locations independent of the “forest” and “savannah” ecotype [5]. These results, therefore, did not support the original hypothesis that *Wolbachia* copy number in adult worms is associated with ocular pathology.

Much of the evidence supporting a leading role for *Wolbachia* as a driver of onchocerciasis pathology comes from *in vitro* experiments using adult worm extracts. However, both the chronic inflammation of the skin and eyes and the acute Mazzotti reaction pathology are due to release of material from dying microfilaria. Any

correlation between *Wolbachia* and pathology (including a correlation with *Wolbachia* density) must, therefore, be supported by appropriate data on microfilarial *Wolbachia*. We report here a comparison of *Wolbachia* density, measured by qPCR, in skin microfilaria, infective larvae and adult parasites, and show that the density in skin microfilaria is low and relatively constant, in contrast to a higher and more variable *Wolbachia* density in adult worms. This observation further supports a view that variation in pathology is unlikely to be influenced strongly by variation in *Wolbachia* density in adult worms.

Results

The life-stage-specific distribution of *Wolbachia* copy numbers in field isolates of *O. volvulus* is presented in Figure 1. The Mf and larval stages were characterised by relatively low *Wolbachia* copy numbers ratios and low variation between samples. The median *Wolbachia* copy number ratio for Mf was 0.18 (range = 0.07 to 0.54; Table 1), whereas the median for the L1 stage was 0.15 (range = 0.04 to 0.53; Table 1). With regards to the infective larval stage, the median *Wolbachia* copy number ratio was 0.35 (range = 0.04 to 0.89; Table 1). Pairwise Wilcoxon rank sum tests between life cycle stages (Table 2) showed no significant differences in the distribution of *Wolbachia* copy number ratios between the Mf and the iL3 stages ($P = 0.1705$); Mf and L1 stages ($P = 0.7047$); and the L1 and iL3 stages ($P = 0.3286$). There was a significant increase in the median *Wolbachia* copy number from the iL3 stage to the adult stage for both males (approximately 18-fold increase; Figure 1; Table 2; $P = 0.0035$), and females (about 15-fold increase; Figure 1; Table 2; $P = 0.0015$), although the distributions of copy number overlapped across all life stages (i.e. Table 1: the upper limits of the copy number range for the larval stages

overlapping with the lower limits of the copy number range for the adult stages). The median *Wolbachia* copy number ratios were similar between adult male and female *O. volvulus* isolates (Figure 1; Table 2; $P = 0.8315$), and their distributions did not differ stochastically based on a Wilcoxon rank sum test (or Mann-Witney U test; Table 2). However, there was significantly higher variation in *Wolbachia* copy number within adult male and female field isolates of *O. volvulus* compared to earlier life stages (Figure 1; Table 1; Table 2). *Wolbachia* copy numbers in adult male field isolates of *O. volvulus* ranged from 0.03 to 68.35, whereas those in adult female isolates ranged from 0.29 to 76.77 (Table 1).

<Insert Figure 1 here>

<Insert Table 1 here>

<Insert Table 2 here>

Discussion

In this study, we compared the relative copy number and heterogeneity of *Wolbachia* between larval and adult stages of *O. volvulus* from several sampling locations in central Ghana and the north-eastern region of the DRC. The homogeneous distribution of *Wolbachia* copy number in the Mf and larval stages of *O. volvulus* observed here is consistent with the findings recorded in *B. malayi* [6, 7, 8]. Electron microscopy studies have revealed that just a few cells of the Mf and larval stages of *B. malayi* contained sparsely distributed *Wolbachia* [8, 9].

In contrast to the homogeneous distribution of *Wolbachia* in Mf and larval stages, there was significantly greater variability in the copy number variation in both adult male and female worms, consistent with the findings of Armoo and colleagues [5], despite using a different set of adult male and female *O. volvulus* field isolates. Armoo and colleagues [5] used a qPCR assay to measure *Wolbachia* copy numbers in individual adult male and female worms sampled from four countries in West Africa (Togo, Ghana, Côte d'Ivoire and Mali), and detected significant within-population variation of *Wolbachia* copy numbers.

There are several possible explanations for variation in *Wolbachia* density between the life stages. Assuming that *Wolbachia* provide essential metabolites to the worm [10], the low and relatively homogeneous density of *Wolbachia* within all the larval stages could be explained by relatively low metabolic demands of early stage larvae, with a much smaller biomass and no reproductive tissues compared to an adult worm. Therefore the larval stages would likely require less from the mutualistic relationship than a large, reproductively active adult.

It is less clear how to account for the 100 – 1000 fold range in apparent *Wolbachia* density between individual adults, and the absence of this variability in microfilaria and larval stages. If the primary driver of the mutualistic relationship between worms and bacteria is metabolic [10, 11, 12], then this range implies either that adult worms can tolerate very variable levels of the metabolites they obtain from the bacteria without deleterious perturbation of their metabolism, or, that the metabolic rates of adult worms is variable. In the latter case (variation in adult worm metabolic rate), and *Wolbachia* density is coupled strongly to the adults' metabolic rate, then variation

in *Wolbachia* density implies that the bacteria are able to respond dynamically to the worms' demand for metabolites. Preliminary genome wide association analysis of the *Wolbachia* and the worm nuclear genome did not reveal any obvious association of particular worm or bacterial genomes with high or low density, suggesting that the ratio of *Wolbachia* to nuclear genomes is not a genetically determined trait (Hedtke & Doyle, unpublished).

Although this study does not include data on the disease status of infected individuals from whom the Mf samples were obtained, the Mf analysed were from the north-east of the DRC, a region that is largely savannah and in which there is onchocerciasis associated blindness. The L1 and infective larvae samples were from central Ghana, which is also largely savannah and where there is also onchocerciasis-associated blindness. The low and homogeneous distribution of *Wolbachia* in the Mf and other larval stages may suggest that disease pathology or ecotype of the parasite may not be positively correlated with *Wolbachia* density in individual Mf.

Conclusions

The similarity of the data presented in this report with data on *Wolbachia* densities in the related filarial pathogen, *B. malayi*, suggests that the regulation of *Wolbachia* copy number across life stages is evolutionary conserved and likely represents similar mutualistic strategies by the two parasites at similar stages of the life cycle. However, the mechanism(s) by which this regulation occurs, and the tolerance for significant variation, especially in the adult stages of the parasite, warrants further investigations. Understanding this regulation may be important in the context of anti-*Wolbachia* therapies that aim to kill adult parasites by depleting their *Wolbachia*. For example, an

172 adult with very low *Wolbachia* density and hence presumably low demand for
 173 *Wolbachia* metabolites, may be less sensitive to depletion of the *Wolbachia*.
 174 Likewise, *Wolbachia* at low density may be in a less metabolically active state that
 175 may decrease their sensitivity to antibiotics that target metabolic processes such as
 176 protein translation (the target of doxycycline antibiotics). Furthermore, understanding
 177 the regulation of what may be an active, dynamic regulation of *Wolbachia* density
 178 may offer new insight into novel drug targets to disrupt the mutualistic relationship
 179 between the bacteria and its worm.
 180
 181

Methods

O. volvulus Samples

We used archival field parasite samples that were collected from central Ghana and north-eastern region of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. These field samples included 30 each of adult female and male *O. volvulus* worms, six first stage (L1) and ten infective third stage (iL3) larvae from three endemic communities in Ghana (Kyingakrom: latitude 8.0988; longitude -2.1090; Jagbengbendo: latitude 8.3342; longitude -0.1256; and Asubende: latitude 8.0171; longitude -0.9596). These samples from Ghana were collected from communities that were mainly found in the largely Savannah, and forest-Savannah transition zones. Therefore these parasites could be classified as “savannah ecotype”. In addition, we used 24 pools (each containing five individuals) of *O. volvulus* microfilariae (Mf) that were sampled from Lufu in the Democratic Republic of the Congo (latitude -5.68446; longitude 13.91585). Lufu is located within the Savannah, therefore could be classified as Savannah ecotype.

The archived L1 and iL3 larvae were previously isolated from the midgut and head region of blackfly vectors, respectively. The Biomedical and Public Health Research Unit of the Council for Scientific and Industrial Research (Accra, Ghana) carried out the field blackfly sampling. The same team isolated both adult male and female stages of *O. volvulus* after surgical removal of nodules from infected individuals in three endemic communities in central Ghana. The Mf were isolated from the skin snips (average weight of 1 mg) that had been taken from the iliac crest of infected individuals using the Holth-type corneoscleral punch. The Expanded Special Project for Elimination of Neglected Tropical Diseases, Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, did sampling and archiving of Mf as a part of routine surveillance activities.

207

208 DNA was isolated from individual adult worms using the Bioline Isolate II genomic
209 DNA extraction kit (Bioline, Sydney, Australia) following the manufacturer's
210 protocol. Individual L1 and iL3 worms and pools of MF were lysed in a 20 µl solution
211 from a master mix of 98.5 µl of DirectPCRTM lysis reagent (MouseTail; Viagen
212 Biotech, Los Angeles, USA) and 1.5 µl of 20 mg/ml Proteinase K stock (Roche
213 Diagnostics GmbH, Mannheim, Germany) as previously described [13].

214

215 **Real-time qPCR *Wolbachia* Copy Number Assay**

216 The relative *Wolbachia* copy number for each worm DNA extract was determined
217 using a real-time qPCR assay designed and used previously [5]. In summary, the
218 assay was designed based on two single copy genes in the *Wolbachia* and nematode
219 genomes: the *Wolbachia* surface (*wsp*) gene (GenBank: HG810405.1) and the
220 glutathione reductase (*gr*) gene (GenBank: Y11830.1) of the nematode. The sequence
221 of the *wsp* targeting primers were forward: AACCGGGACAAAAAGAAGAG;
222 reverse: CAGCAACCTACCAAAGATGGA, and that for the *gr* targeting primers
223 were forward: GTGCGACGAAGAAGGATTTC; reverse:
224 GCTTATGCTGTTTCGGGTTT.

225

226 Each qPCR reaction mixture (a total volume of 10 µl) consisted of 0.2 pmoles/µl of
227 each primer, 2 µl of DNA and 5 µl of SsoAdvancedTM Universal SYBR[®] Green
228 Supermix (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., California, USA). All runs were performed in
229 duplicate on the CFX 96 Real-Time PCR Detection System (Bio-Rad Laboratories
230 Inc., California, USA), using the following thermal protocol: 95 °C for 2 min,
231 followed by 40 cycles of 95°C for 5 sec, 53.8°C for 15 sec and 72°C for 15 sec. As a

quality control measure, melt curves were generated at the end of each qPCR run to ensure specificity of primers.

The quantification cycles (Cq) of all qPCR runs were automatically generated by the CFX Manager Software v 3.1 (Bio-Rad Laboratories Inc., California, USA), and used to determine relative *Wolbachia* copy number of each sample as done elsewhere [5].

Statistical Analyses

Data entry and re-formatting were performed using Microsoft Excel (2011). Wilcoxon rank sum tests (W) were performed using the R programming language, version 3.2.2 [14].

Figure 1: The density of *Wolbachia* (presented as *Wolbachia* / nematode genome copy number ratio) compared among different life stages of *Onchocerca volvulus*. The scatter plots show the distribution of *Wolbachia* copy numbers with each life stage. The black lines indicate the median values for each life stage. The plots are colour-coded to correspond with different life stages. Mf = microfilariae, L1 = first stage larvae, iL3 = infective stage three larvae, AM = adult males, AF = adult females.

256

257 **Table 1:** The median and range of *Wolbachia* copy number ratios of five *O. volvulus*

258 life stages. Mf = microfilariae, L1 = first stage larvae, iL3 = infective stage three

259 larvae, AM = adult males, AF = adult females

<i>O. volvulus</i> life cycle stage	<i>Wolbachia</i> / nematode genome copy number ratio	
	Median	Range
Mf	0.18	0.07 to 0.54
L1	0.15	0.04 to 0.53
iL3	0.35	0.04 to 0.89
AM	6.40	0.03 to 68.35
AF	5.18	0.29 to 76.77

260

261

262 **Table 2:** P-values based on Wilcoxon rank sum tests for differences in *Wolbachia*

263 density distributions between life stages. Mf = microfilariae, L1 = first stage larvae,

264 iL3 = infective stage three larvae, AM = adult males, AF = adult females.

		<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i> life cycle stage			
		Mf	L1	iL3	AM
<i>Onchocerca volvulus</i> life cycle stage	L1	0.7047	-	-	-
	iL3	0.1705	0.3286	-	-
	AM	2.9e ⁻⁶ *	0.0075*	0.0035*	-
	AF	1.0e ⁻¹¹ *	0.0016*	0.0015*	0.8315

265 * P < 0.05

266

267

268

269 **Declarations**

270 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

271 The DNA samples were obtained from archived parasite materials that were obtained
 272 from field studies by the Biomedical and Public Health Research Unit of the CSIR-
 273 Water Research Institute; and The Expanded Special Project for Elimination of
 274 Neglected Tropical Diseases. The Institutional Review Board of CSIR granted ethical
 275 clearance for CSIR team, and the WHO African Programme for Onchocerciasis
 276 Control covered the Expanded Special Project for Elimination of Neglected Tropical
 277 Diseases

278

279 **Consent for publication**

280 All authors read, approved the final version of the manuscript and have consented to
 281 its submission to Parasites & Vectors for publication.

282

283 **Availability of data and material**

284 All relevant data are included in the manuscript. Experimental materials are available
 285 from the corresponding author on request.

286

287 **Competing interests**

288 The authors declare that they have no competing interests

289

290 **Funding**

291 This study received financial support from TDR - the Special Programme for
 292 Research and Training in Tropical Diseases, co-sponsored by UNICEF, UNDP, The
 293 World Bank and WHO.

294

295 **Authors' contributions**

296 **Conceptualization:** Warwick N Grant, Stephen R Doyle, Samuel Armoo

297 **Investigation:** Samuel Armoo, Shannon M. Hedtke

298 **Methodology:** Samuel Armoo, Stephen R Doyle, Warwick N Grant

299 **Resources:** Mike Y Osei-Atweneboana, Daniel A Boakye, Gilles A Adjami, Stephen

300 R Doyle, Warwick N Grant

301 **Data analysis:** Samuel Armoo

302 **Supervision:** Warwick N Grant, Stephen R Doyle, Annette C Kuesel, Mike Y Osei-

303 Atwenebonana

304 **Writing** – original draft: Samuel Armoo.

305 **Writing – review & editing:** Samuel Armoo, Stephen R Doyle, Shannon M Hedtke,

306 Gilles A Adjami, Daniel A Boakye, Annette C Kuesel, Mike Y Osei-Atweneboana,

307 Warwick N Grant

308

309 **Acknowledgements**

310 The authors would like to thank the field team of the Biomedical and Public Health

311 Research Unit of the CSIR – Water Research Institute, and the Expanded Special

312 Project for Elimination of Neglected Tropical Diseases.

313

314 **References**

- 315 1. Saint Andre A, Blackwell NM, Hall LR, Hoerauf A, Brattig NW, Volkmann
316 L, et al. The role of endosymbiotic *Wolbachia* bacteria in the pathogenesis of
317 river blindness. Science. 2002;295 5561:1892-5; doi:
318 10.1126/science.1068732. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11884755>.
- 319 2. Brattig NW. Pathogenesis and host responses in human onchocerciasis: impact
320 of *Onchocerca* filariae and *Wolbachia* endobacteria. Microbes and infection /
321 Institut Pasteur. 2004;6 1:113-28.
322 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/14738900>.
- 323 3. Keiser PB, Reynolds SM, Awadzi K, Ottesen EA, Taylor MJ, Nutman TB.
324 Bacterial endosymbionts of *Onchocerca volvulus* in the pathogenesis of post-
325 treatment reactions. J Infect Dis. 2002;185 6:805-11; doi: 10.1086/339344.
326 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11920298>.
- 327 4. Higazi TB, Filiano A, Katholi CR, Dadzie Y, Remme JH, Unnasch TR.
328 *Wolbachia* endosymbiont levels in severe and mild strains of *Onchocerca*
329 *volvulus*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2005;141 1:109-12; doi:
330 10.1016/j.molbiopara.2005.02.006.
331 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15811532>.
- 332 5. Armoo S, Doyle SR, Osei-Atweneboana MY, Grant WN. Significant
333 heterogeneity in *Wolbachia* copy number within and between populations of
334 *Onchocerca volvulus*. Parasit Vectors. 2017;10 1:188; doi: 10.1186/s13071-
335 017-2126-4. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/28420428>.
- 336 6. Fenn K, Blaxter M. Quantification of *Wolbachia* bacteria in *Brugia malayi*
337 through the nematode lifecycle. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2004;137 2:361-4.
338 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15383308>.

- 339 7. McGarry HF, Egerton GL, Taylor MJ. Population dynamics of *Wolbachia*
340 bacterial endosymbionts in *Brugia malayi*. Mol Biochem Parasitol. 2004;135
341 1:57-67. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15287587>.
- 342 8. Fischer K, Beatty WL, Jiang D, Weil GJ, Fischer PU. Tissue and stage-
343 specific distribution of *Wolbachia* in *Brugia malayi*. PLoS Negl Trop Dis.
344 2011;5 5:e1174. <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/21629728>.
- 345 9. Landmann F, Slatko B, Foster JM, Sullivan W. Asymmetric *Wolbachia*
346 segregation during early *Brugia malayi* embryogenesis determines Its
347 distribution in adult host tissues. PloS Negl Trop Dis. 2010;4 7; doi: ARTN
348 e758
349 10.1371/journal.pntd.0000758. <Go to ISI>://WOS:000280412300026.
- 350 10. Ghedin E, Wang S, Spiro D, Caler E, Zhao Q, Crabtree J, et al. Draft genome
351 of the filarial nematode parasite *Brugia malayi*. Science. 2007;317 5845:1756-
352 60; doi: 10.1126/science.1145406.
353 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/17885136>.
- 354 11. Foster J, Ganatra M, Kamal I, Ware J, Makarova K, Ivanova N, et al. The
355 *Wolbachia* genome of *Brugia malayi*: endosymbiont evolution within a human
356 pathogenic nematode. PLoS biology. 2005;3 4:e121; doi:
357 10.1371/journal.pbio.0030121.
358 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/15780005>.
- 359 12. Cotton JA, Bennuru S, Grote A, Harsha B, Tracey A, Beech R, et al. The
360 genome of *Onchocerca volvulus*, agent of river blindness. Nat Microbiol.
361 2016;2:16216; doi: 10.1038/nmicrobiol.2016.216.
362 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27869790>.

- 363 13. Doyle SR, Armoo S, Renz A, Taylor MJ, Osei-Atweneboana MY, Grant WN.
364 Discrimination between *Onchocerca volvulus* and *O. ochengi* filarial larvae in
365 *Simulium damnosum* (s.l.) and their distribution throughout central Ghana
366 using a versatile high-resolution speciation assay. Parasit Vectors. 2016;9
367 1:536; doi: 10.1186/s13071-016-1832-7.
368 <http://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/27724959>.
- 369 14. R Development Core Team. R: a language and environment for statistical
370 computing. 2015.
371