

Largest genome-wide association study for PTSD identifies genetic risk loci in European and African ancestries and implicates novel biological pathways

Caroline M. Nievergelt^{1,2,3}, Adam X. Maihofer^{1,2,3}, Torsten Klengel^{4,5}, Elizabeth G. Atkinson^{6,7}, Chia-Yen Chen^{6,7,8}, Karmel W. Choi^{6,9,10}, Jonathan R.I. Coleman^{11,12}, Shareefa Dalvie¹³, Laramie E. Duncan¹⁴, Mark W. Logue¹⁵, Allison C. Provost⁴⁸, Andrew Ratanatharathorn¹⁶, Murray B. Stein^{1,17}, Katy Torres^{1,2,3}, Allison E. Aiello¹⁸, Lynn M. Almli¹⁹, Ananda B. Amstadter²⁰, Søren B. Andersen²¹, Ole A. Andreassen²², Paul A. Arbisi²³, Allison E. Ashley-Koch²⁴, S. Bryn Austin^{25,26,4,27}, Esmina Avdibegovic²⁸, Dragan Babić²⁹, Marie Bækvad-Hansen^{30,31}, Dewleen G. Baker^{1,2,17}, Jean C. Beckham^{32,33,34}, Laura J. Bierut³⁵, Jonathan I. Bisson³⁶, Marco P. Boks³⁷, Elizabeth A. Bolger^{4,5}, Anders D. Børghlum^{38,39,31}, Bekh Bradley^{40,41}, Megan Brashear⁴², Gerome Breen^{12,11}, Richard A. Bryant⁴³, Angela C. Bustamante⁴⁴, Jonas Bybjerg-Grauholm^{30,31}, Joseph R. Calabrese⁴⁵, José M. Caldas-de-Almeida⁴⁶, Anders M. Dale⁴⁷, Mark J. Daly⁸, Nikolaos P. Daskalakis^{48,4,49,5}, Jürgen Deckert⁵⁰, Douglas L. Delahanty^{51,52}, Michelle F. Dennis^{53,33}, Seth G. Disner⁵⁴, Katharina Domschke⁵⁵, Alma Dzubur-Kulenovic⁵⁶, Christopher R. Erbes^{57,58}, Alexandra Evans³⁶, Lindsay A. Farrer⁵⁹, Norah C. Feeny⁶⁰, Janine D. Flory⁴⁹, David Forbes⁶¹, Carol E. Franz¹, Sandro Galea⁶², Melanie E. Garrett³², Bizu Gelaye⁹, Joel Gelernter^{63,64}, Elbert Geuze^{65,66}, Charles Gillespie⁴¹, Aferdita Goci Uka⁶⁷, Scott D. Gordon⁶⁸, Guia Guffanti^{4,5}, Rasha Hammamieh⁶⁹, Supriya Harnal⁷⁰, Michael A. Hauser³², Andrew C. Heath⁷¹, Sian M.J. Hemmings⁷², David Michael Hougaard^{30,31}, Miro Jakovljevic⁷³, Marti Jett⁶⁹, Eric Otto Johnson⁷⁴, Ian Jones³⁶, Tanja Jovanovic⁴¹, Xue-Jun Qin⁵³, Angela G. Junglen⁷⁵, Karen-Inge Karstoft^{76,77}, Milissa L. Kaufman^{4,5}, Ronald C. Kessler⁴, Alaptagin Khan^{78,5}, Nathan A. Kimbrel^{53,33,79}, Anthony P. King⁸⁰, Nastassja Koen⁸¹, Henry R. Kranzler⁸², William S. Kremen⁸³, Bruce R. Lawford^{84,85}, Lauren A.M. Lebois^{4,5}, Catrin E. Lewis³⁶, Sarah D. Linnstaedt⁸⁶, Adriana Lori⁸⁷, Bozo Lugonja³⁶, Jurjen J. Luykx^{66,37}, Michael J. Lyons⁸⁸, Jessica Maples-Keller⁴¹, Charles Marmar⁸⁹, Alicia R. Martin^{6,7}, Nicholas G. Martin⁶⁸, Douglas Maurer⁹⁰, Matig R. Mavissakalian⁴⁵, Alexander McFarlane⁹¹, Regina E. McGlinchey⁹², Katie A. McLaughlin⁹³, Samuel A. McLean^{86,94}, Sarah McLeay⁹⁵, Divya Mehta⁹⁶, William P. Milberg⁹², Mark W. Miller¹⁵, Rajendra A. Morey⁵³, Charles Phillip Morris⁹⁶, Ole Mors^{97,31}, Preben B. Mortensen^{98,38,99,31}, Benjamin M. Neale^{6,7}, Elliot C. Nelson³⁵, Merete Nordentoft^{31,100}, Sonya B. Norman^{101,1,102}, Meaghan O'Donnell⁶¹, Holly K. Orcutt¹⁰³, Matthew S. Panizzon¹⁰⁴, Edward S. Peters⁴², Alan L. Peterson¹⁰⁵, Matthew Peverill¹⁰⁶, Robert H. Pietrzak^{107,108}, Melissa A. Polusny^{109,110,111}, John P. Rice³⁵, Stephan Ripke^{70,112,8}, Victoria B. Risbrough^{1,2,3}

Andrea L. Roberts¹¹³, Alex O. Rothbaum⁶⁰, Barbara O. Rothbaum⁴¹, Peter Roy-Byrne¹⁰⁶, Ken Ruggiero¹¹⁴, Ariane Rung¹¹⁵, Bart P. F. Rutten¹¹⁶, Nancy L. Saccone³⁵, Sixto E. Sanchez¹¹⁷, Dick Schijven^{66,37}, Soraya Seedat⁷², Antonia V. Seligowski^{118,5}, Julia S. Seng¹¹⁹, Christina M. Sheerin²⁰, Derrick Silove¹²⁰, Alicia K. Smith^{87,41}, Jordan W. Smoller^{6,7,10}, Nadia Solovieff¹²¹, Scott R. Sponheim^{23,58}, Dan J. Stein¹²², Jennifer A. Sumner¹²³, Martin H. Teicher^{4,5}, Wesley K. Thompson^{124,125,31,1}, Edward Trapido⁴², Monica Uddin^{126,127}, Robert J. Ursano¹²⁸, Leigh Luella van den Heuvel⁷², Miranda van Hooff⁹¹, Eric Vermetten^{129,130,131,132}, Christiaan H. Vinkers^{133,134}, Joanne Voisey⁸⁴, Yunpeng Wang^{124,125,31}, Zhewu Wang^{135,136}, Thomas Werge^{124,31,137}, Michelle A. Williams⁹, Douglas E. Williamson^{32,138}, Sherry Winternitz^{4,5}, Christiane Wolf⁵⁰, Erika J. Wolf¹⁵, Jonathan D. Wolff⁵, Rachel Yehuda^{49,139}, Keith A. Young^{140,141}, Ross McD. Young¹⁴², Hongyu Zhao¹⁴³, Lori A. Zoellner¹⁴⁴, Israel Liberzon⁸⁰, Kerry J. Ressler^{41,4,5}, Magali Haas⁴⁸, Karestan C. Koenen¹⁴⁵

ABSTRACT

Post-traumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a common and debilitating disorder. The risk of PTSD following trauma is heritable, but robust common variants have yet to be identified by genome-wide association studies (GWAS). We have collected a multi-ethnic cohort including over 30,000 PTSD cases and 170,000 controls. We first demonstrate significant genetic correlations across 60 PTSD cohorts to evaluate the comparability of these phenotypically heterogeneous studies. In this largest GWAS meta-analysis of PTSD to date we identify a total of 6 genome-wide significant loci, 4 in European and 2 in African-ancestry analyses. Follow-up analyses incorporated local ancestry and sex-specific effects, and functional studies. Along with other novel genes, a non-coding RNA (ncRNA) and a Parkinson's Disease gene, *PARK2*, were associated with PTSD. Consistent with previous reports, SNP-based heritability estimates for PTSD range between 10-20%. Despite a significant shared liability between PTSD and major depressive disorder, we show evidence that some of our loci may be specific to PTSD. These results demonstrate the role of genetic variation contributing to the biology of differential risk for PTSD and the necessity of expanding GWAS beyond European ancestry.

INTRODUCTION

Posttraumatic stress disorder (PTSD) is a commonly occurring mental health consequence of exposure to extreme, life threatening stress and/or serious injury/harm. PTSD is frequently associated with the occurrence of comorbid mental disorders such as major depression¹ and other adverse health sequelae including type 2 diabetes and cardiovascular disease.^{2,3} Given this high prevalence and impact, PTSD is a serious public health problem. An understanding of the biological mechanisms of risk for PTSD is therefore an important goal of research ultimately aimed at its prevention and mitigation.^{4,5}

Exposure to traumatic stress is, by definition, requisite for the development of PTSD, but individual susceptibility to PTSD (conditioned on trauma exposure) varies widely. Twin studies over the past two decades provide persuasive evidence for at least some genetic influence on PTSD risk,^{6,7} and the last decade has witnessed the beginnings of a concerted effort to detect specific genetic susceptibility variants for PTSD.⁸⁻¹²

The Psychiatric Genomics Consortium – PTSD Group (PGC-PTSD) recently published results from the largest GWAS on PTSD to date, involving a trans-ethnic sample of over 20,000 individuals, approximately 25% of whom were cases.¹³ With this limited sample size, no individual variants exceeded genome-wide significance, however, significant estimates of SNP heritability and correlations between PTSD and other mental disorders such as schizophrenia were demonstrated for the first time.

It is apparent from previous PGC work on other mental disorders that sample size is paramount for GWAS to discern common genome-wide significant variants of small effect that are replicable.¹⁴ Since the publication of data from the first freeze,¹³ the PGC-PTSD has continued to acquire additional PTSD cases and controls through partnerships with an expanding network of investigators, such that we now have accrued a sample size that has enabled us to “turn the corner” on genome-wide risk discovery. Presented here are the results of our latest GWA studies that include over 23,000 European and over 4,000 African ancestry PTSD cases, now involving a total trans-ethnic sample of over 200,000 individuals. In achieving this sample size, both PTSD-associated common variants of small effect in distinct ancestral populations and key genomic pathways associated with risk for PTSD have been identified.

RESULTS

We report meta-analyses of GWAS from the PGC-PTSD Freeze 2 dataset (PGC2), comprised of an ancestrally diverse group of 206,655 participants. First, a significant genetic signal for PTSD (i.e., genetic correlations) between subsets of studies is demonstrated. Meta-analyses of GWAS including 174,659 individuals (23,212 cases and 151,447 controls) of European ancestry (EUA) and meta-analyses of 15,339 individuals (4,363 cases and 10,976 controls) of African ancestry (AFA) identify a total of 6 independent loci that are significantly associated with PTSD in GWAS of both sexes and stratified by sex. We deeply explore the AFA hits through local ancestry analyses and functional follow-up. Next, we compare genetic heritability of PTSD between sex and ancestries, and show significant genetic risk score predictions for PTSD in different target samples. Finally, we show shared genetic liability of PTSD with related disorders and traits, but confirm that our GWAS hits are specific to PTSD. A detailed description of these results is available in the **Supplementary Note**.

Meta-analysis strategy across ancestries and sex

Data from 60 different multi-ethnic PTSD studies were included in PGC2 (for study description and demographics see **Supplementary Table 1 and Methods**). Primary GWAS were performed separately for the 3 largest, genetically defined (**Supplementary Figure 1**) ancestry groups (EUA, AFA, Native American Ancestry (AMA), see **Methods**) using logistic regression analyses under an additive genetic model, and meta-analyzed across studies and ancestry groups. Given the previously observed differences between male and female heritability estimates in PGC-PTSD Freeze 1,¹³ we also performed sex-stratified analyses. Quantile-quantile plots showed low inflation across analyses (**Supplementary Figure 4**), which was mostly accounted for by polygenic SNP effects with little indication of residual population stratification (**Supplementary Note**).

Comparability of PGC2 studies

PGC2 compiled the largest collection of global PTSD GWAS to date, with subjects recruited from both clinically deeply characterized, small patient groups and large cohorts with self-reported PTSD symptoms. We did not restrict the type of trauma subjects were exposed to, and trauma included both civilian and/or military events, often with pre-existing exposure to childhood trauma. To evaluate the comparability of these phenotypically heterogeneous studies we first estimated genetic correlations with LDSC,¹⁵ a method that leverages GWAS summary results, the only data type available to PGC-PTSD for several of the larger military and non-US cohorts. We found significant genetic correlations (r_g)

between studies using a cross-validation approach including all PGC2 EUA subjects (10 runs with studies randomly placed into 2 groups; mean $r_g = 0.56$, mean SE = 0.23, mean $p = 0.029$, **Supplementary Table 8**).

Next, additional analyses on the UK Biobank cohort (UKBB) were performed. This cohort comprises a very large proportion of the data, with almost as many EUA cases as the rest of the EUA PGC2 combined (referred to as PGC1.5). PTSD screening in UKBB was based on self-reported symptoms from a mental health survey.¹⁶ We found a considerable genetic correlation between the UKBB and PGC1.5 EUA subjects ($r_g = 0.73$, SE = 0.21, $p = 0.0005$; **Supplementary Table 9**). Further, sensitivity analyses in the UKBB using 3 alternative inclusion criteria for PTSD cases and controls showed stable correlations with PGC1.5 (P1 – P3; $r_g = 0.72 - 0.79$; **Supplementary Table 10**). Subsequent analyses were based on the UKBB phenotype including the largest number of subjects (P1; N = 126,188). Sex-stratified genetic correlations support the findings of a significant genetic signal across PTSD studies in women and UKBB men, but less so in men from PGC1.5 (discussed in the **Supplementary Note**).

Meta-analysis of GWAS in subjects of European and African ancestry

Our largest PTSD meta-analysis in subjects of EUA (N = 174,659) identified two independent, genome-wide significant loci, both mapping to chromosome 6, and sex-stratified analyses in men identified two additional loci (**Figures 1A** and **1B**, respectively). The smaller meta-analyses in AFA (N = 15,339) identified one genome-wide significant locus, and an additional locus was found in men when stratified by sex (**Figures 1C** and **1D**, respectively). No genome-wide significant associations were found in meta-analyses of EUA or AFA women (**Supplementary Figure 5**).

Regional plots of the 6 genome-wide significant genomic regions can be found in **Supplementary Figures 6-11**. The 6 leading markers show odds ratios of 1.12 – 1.33 and no significant heterogeneity across studies (**Table 1**). This is supported by PM-plots showing a high consistency of effects among the studies predicted to have an effect¹⁷ (**Supplementary Figures 12-17**). A z-test on the effect sizes confirmed similar effects for men and women for the 3 leading variants in the joint-sex analyses, and significant sex-specificity for the 3 male hits identified in the sex-stratified analyses (**Supplementary Table 11**).

Analyses across ancestry groups

In order to study whether the genetic associations with PTSD vary across different ancestries, we first tried to replicate our 6 top hits in the AFA and AMA ancestry groups. No evidence of replication was found by directly comparing the 6 leading markers, nor by investigating the larger genomic regions harboring the

signal (**Supplementary Figures 18-23**). In addition, we did not identify any genome-wide significant hits by performing a trans-ethnic genome-wide meta-analysis across the 3 main ancestry groups under fixed- and random-effect models (**Supplementary Figure 24**).

While lack of replication of the 4 EUA hits may not be conclusive due to limited power in the smaller AFA and AMA data (**Supplementary Tables 4-5**), the 2 hits in AFA provided an opportunity for a more detailed analysis of ancestry effects. GWAS in the AFA subjects included standard PCs to control for average admixture across the genome. However, to precisely infer local ancestry across the genome of admixed subjects we further implemented a local ancestry inference (LAI) pipeline (**Supplementary note**). We confirmed the AFA top hit rs115539978 to be specific to the African ancestral background (8% MAF on the African, and <1% MAF on the European and Native American backgrounds, respectively; **Supplementary Table 12**). Conversely, LAI analyses of the male-specific hit indexed by rs142174523 showed no evidence for ancestry-specific effects that would explain the lack of replication in the EUA meta-analyses; however, the LD-structure in the MHC locus is complex.¹⁸

Integration with functional genomic data

Functional mapping and annotation of the 6 GWAS hits using the FUMA pipeline conservatively predicted 5 genes *ZDHHC14*, *PARK2*, *KAZN*, *TMRM51-AS1*, and *ZNF813* located in EUA risk loci, and 5 distinct genes *LINC02335*, *MIR5007*, *TUC338*, *LINC02571*, and *HLA-B* in AFA risk loci (**Table 2**). Gene-based analyses on 18,222 protein-coding genes identified 2 additional gene-wide significant loci, represented by *SH3RF3* ($p = 4.28 \times 10^{-07}$) and *PODXL* ($p = 2.37 \times 10^{-06}$) in the combined EUA analysis. Many of these new potential target genes are very interesting with respect to our understanding of the biology of PTSD. The biological function and potential psychiatric relevance of these 12 genes is detailed in the **Supplementary Note**.

We next performed gene-set analyses to understand implicated genes in the context of pathways and found 4 significant, Bonferroni corrected gene sets (**Supplementary Table 13**). Of note, the two gene sets identified in the EUA data point towards a role for the immune system in PTSD. This is supported by a number of TNF-related genes summarized in a significant gene set in AFA.

Annotation of variants in risk loci showed limited evidence of functionality (**Table 2** and **Supplementary Note**). Most notably for the AFA top hit on chromosome 13, when testing for chromatin interactions using Hi-C data in neural progenitor cells, significant chromatin conformation interactions were observed between the risk region and a region approximately 1,100kb upstream harboring additional non-coding RNAs including *LINC00458*, *hsa-mir-1297* and

LINC00558 as well as a region approximately 820kb downstream harboring the pseudogene *HNF4GP1* (**Supplementary Figure 26**). eQTL analyses did not show significant associations with gene expression. However, the lack of functional data for this region may be explained by the African ancestry specificity of the GWAS findings since databases available within the FUMA framework, including GTEx and BrainSpan for eQTL analyses, are predominantly based on European populations.

Thus, we expanded our analyses for the AFA top hit through cell culture experiments in lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) from African subjects (see **Methods** and **Supplementary Note**). We show evidence that the African-ancestry specific SNP rs115539978 seems to capture a genomic region that may influence the expression of non-coding RNAs from this PTSD risk locus in response to increased glucocorticoid receptor signaling, thus linking this African-specific genetic variant to stress response and non-coding RNA expression (**Supplementary Figure 27**).

We further characterized the AFA signal (rs115539978) using psychophysiology and imaging datasets available through the Grady Trauma Project (GTPC) and found evidence that this lead SNP captures a genomic region that is also associated with increased amygdala volume and fear psychophysiology in a traumatized population (**Supplementary Note and Supplementary Figure 28**).

Heritability of PTSD

We estimated heritability of PTSD based on information captured by genotyping arrays (h^2_{snp}) from summary statistics using LDSC in the EUA studies (**Table 3A**). Assuming a population prevalence of 30% after trauma exposure, h^2_{snp} was 0.05 on the liability scale ($p = 3.18 \times 10^{-8}$). Female heritability was highly significant ($h^2_{snp} = 0.10$, $p = 8.03 \times 10^{-11}$), while male heritability was not significantly different from zero ($h^2_{snp} = 0.01$, $p = 0.63$).

We further examined these sex-based differences separately in PGC1.5 and UKBB. Again, heritability in PGC1.5 was high in women and not significant in men. In contrast, in the UKBB, male heritability was significant ($h^2_{snp} = 0.15$, $p = 1.38 \times 10^{-3}$) and not significantly different ($p = 0.41$) from heritability in UKBB women ($h^2_{snp} = 0.19$, $p = 2 \times 10^{-10}$). Heritability estimates using different case and control definitions in UKBB further confirm these results (**Supplementary Table 14**).

We also compared heritability across ancestries using the GCTA GREML method, which allows analysis of admixed populations when individual genotypes are available. GCTA estimates in the smaller EUA data remained similar to

LDSC on the full data (**Table 3B**). Heritability in AFA was comparable to estimates for EUA in the overall sample and when stratified by sex.

In summary, with the notable exception of PGC1.5 men, which fail to show significant heritability estimates, overall h^2_{snp} for PTSD is in the range of 10-20%, and estimates remain relatively stable across methods, studies, and ancestries.

Polygenic risk scores (PRS) for PTSD

Genetic risk scores generated from well-powered GWAS have recently become a tool of high relevance for polygenic disorders and traits (e.g. ^{19,20}). We assessed the predictive value of the PRS for PTSD developed from our largest EUA sample, the UKBB, and showed a significant increase in odds to develop PTSD across PRS quintiles in PGC1.5 EUA target samples, with a variance explained on the liability scale of $r^2 = 0.0015$ ($p = 5.44 \times 10^{-7}$) (**Figure 2**). The highest OR was seen in UKBB men with a PRS trained on women from the same study, reaching an OR of 1.39 in the 5th quintile and a variance explained of $r^2 = 0.012$ ($p = 4.19 \times 10^{-10}$).

Genetic correlations of PTSD with other traits and disorders

Analysis of shared heritability across common disorders of the brain²¹ and specific genetic correlations of psychiatric disorders with cognitive, anthropomorphic and behavioral measures^{13,22-24} has been facilitated greatly by the development of a centralized database of GWAS results including a web interface for LD score regression (LD Hub²⁵). We estimated pairwise genetic correlations (r_g) between PTSD and 235 disorders/traits and found 21 significant correlations after conservative Bonferroni correction (**Figure 3, panel A and Supplementary Table 15**). Genetic variation associated with PTSD was positively correlated with PRS from other psychiatric traits including depressive symptoms, schizophrenia and neuroticism, as well as epidemiologically comorbid traits such as insomnia, smoking behavior, asthma, hip-waist ratio and coronary artery disease. In contrast, negative r_g with PTSD include subjective well-being, education, and a strong correlation with parents age at death ($r_g = -0.70$). Significant positive correlations were also found for reproductive traits such as the number of children ever born, and, as previously reported for women,²⁶ PTSD was negatively correlated with age at first birth.

With the notable exception of asthma, our findings on PTSD correspond closely with genetic correlations between these traits and other psychiatric disorders such as MDD²², SCZ¹³, BPD²³, and ADHD²⁴ (**Figure 3, panels B-E**). These findings are not surprising, as pleiotropic effects (i.e. SNPs impacting multiple traits) have been widely reported for psychiatric disorders.

In order to test if the genome-wide significant findings from our GWAS meta-analyses were specific to PTSD, we adjusted the top hits from our analyses for the effects of genetically correlated psychiatric traits. Since the strongest correlations were found between PTSD and depressive symptoms ($r_g = 0.80$) and MDD ($r_g = 0.62$), summary statistics from PGC MDD²², as well as MDD plus BPD and SCZ, were included in the analyses. Using a recently implemented method for GWAS summary data (mtCOJO²⁷), we found that effect sizes for the 4 EUA top hits were not markedly reduced when adjusted for the effects of MDD, or all 3 psychiatric traits tested (**Supplementary Table 16a and b**). These findings indicate that the genetic variants identified here are specific to PTSD when tested in the context of the 3 genetic disorders most significantly correlated with PTSD.

DISCUSSION

PTSD is a common and debilitating condition known to be influenced by genetic factors, yet few common genetic risk variants for PTSD have been robustly identified. The PGC-PTSD combined data from 60 multi-ethnic cohorts (PGC1.5) and the UK Biobank to produce the largest effort to date (PGC2), a sample size of 206,655 participants, over ten times that of any previous analysis.^{13,28} As has been demonstrated in GWAS of SCZ,²⁹ BPD,³⁰ and recently in MDD³¹ and ADHD,²⁴ sample size is critical to produce robust genome-wide significant hits that can inform foundational knowledge on the neurobiology of complex psychiatric conditions. These results show this is also true of PTSD. This increased power has led us to draw several major conclusions.

First, our genetic findings squarely place PTSD among the other psychiatric disorders. While this statement may seem obvious to some, there remains debate about whether PTSD is entirely a social construction.³² We found substantial SNP-based heritability (i.e., phenotypic variation explained by genetic differences) at 10-20%, similar to that for major depression²² across methods, studies and ancestries. The heritability results and pattern of genetic correlations are also consistent with our initial findings¹³ and with those from twin studies. PTSD shares common variant risk with other psychiatric disorders, which show substantial sharing of common variant risk with one another.³³ PTSD was most significantly (genetically) correlated with major depression, but also with schizophrenia, both of which have genome-wide significant loci implicated in brain function.

Second, our ancestry specific analyses identified several novel genetic loci associated with PTSD. Two independent genome-wide significant loci, both mapping to chromosome 6, were found in our largest meta-analysis of EUA

subjects and two additional loci were identified in sex-stratified analyses in EUA men only. A smaller meta-analysis in AFA identified one genome-wide significant locus, which we determined to be ancestry-specific though local ancestry analyses, and an additional locus was found in AFA men when stratified by sex. These loci pointed to a number of different target genes that are very interesting with relationship to our understanding of the biology of PTSD. With *PARK2*, there is a posited role of dopaminergic systems in PTSD and epidemiological evidence for association of Parkinson Disease and PTSD.³⁴⁻³⁶ *PODXL* is involved in neural development and synapse formation,^{37,38} *SH3RF3* is associated with neurocognition and dementia,^{39,40} and *ZDHHC14* is associated with regulation of β -adrenergic receptors.^{41,42} Finally, the *HLA-B* complex may be related through the known role of immunity and inflammation in stress-related disorders.^{43,44} Extensive follow-up work is needed to determine the function of identified genes and their relationship to putative pathological processes. For example, in SCZ the MHC locus is now thought to influence risk through pruning of synapses using immune machinery rather than through classical immune pathways.⁴⁵ These ancestry-specific results are preliminary, and even larger PTSD GWAS will facilitate the identification of plausible neurobiological targets for PTSD.

Third, our results also illustrate that there may be genetic contributions to the well-documented association between PTSD and dysregulation in inflammatory and immune processes.⁴⁶ It has been widely recognized that PTSD is associated with a broad range of adverse physical health conditions over the life course ranging from type-2-diabetes and cardiovascular disease to dementia and rheumatoid arthritis.^{47,48} Less is known about the biological mechanisms driving the relationship between PTSD and these outcomes. Our genetic correlation analyses may provide some initial clues for further investigation. For example, we found a high genetic correlation ($r_g = 0.49$, $p = 0.0002$) between PTSD and asthma. Our subsequent gene set and pathway analyses provide some clues further implicating the immune system. Of note, these genetic results converge with evidence from epidemiologic cohort studies documenting the role of stress-related disorders such as PTSD in autoimmune diseases,⁴⁹ case-control studies showing elevations of immune-related biomarkers in women with PTSD,^{50,51} and epigenetic studies pointing to the role of the immune system in PTSD etiology.⁵²⁻⁵⁴ Of note, it has been previously suggested that PTSD may be “a systemic disorder rather than one confined to the mind”.⁵⁵ Further work is needed to determine whether PTSD has genetic overlap with immune disorders broadly and the causal direction between disorders. At minimum, the emerging genetic evidence presented here suggests that association between PTSD and health conditions may, in some cases, have some genetic origin.

Fourth, PGC-PTSD is distinct in relation to current genomics consortia due to its high proportion of data from participants of diverse ancestries. For example, a recent review found that only three percent of all samples in genetic studies were from African ancestry.⁵⁶ This contrasts sharply with the 10% of AFA participants in our consortium. We have the first heritability estimates for PTSD in African ancestry: they are similar to EUA, highly significant in women and lower in men. Our GWAS in subjects of African ancestry indicated at least one ancestry-specific locus using local ancestry methods developed for this analysis. We note the sample size in the AFA analysis has only about 15,000 participants, which is small and under-powered. This finding could be a false positive. However, other work has shown that genetic studies of underrepresented populations afford the opportunity to discover novel loci that are invariant in European populations.⁵⁷ As others have noted, there are major limitations in our knowledge of the genetic and environmental risk architecture of psychiatric disorders in persons of African descent.⁵⁸ Our findings provide further evidence of the need to invest in research that includes diverse ancestral populations, to expand reference data, and to continue to develop methods to analyze data from such populations. Until such an investment is made, we are limited in our ability to understand biological mechanisms, predict genetic risk,⁵⁹ and produce optimal therapy for non-European populations. African genomes are characterized by shorter haplotype blocks and contain many millions more variants per individual than populations outside Africa.⁶⁰ Further, including data from African populations in genetic studies of PTSD and other neuropsychiatric disorders may accelerate genetic discovery and could be useful for fine mapping disease causing alleles.⁶¹

Fifth, although PTSD heritability remained relatively stable across methods, studies, and ancestries, sex differences in heritability were observed in the overall cohort analyses as well as in the AFA analyses.¹³ It is of note that the sex differences in heritability were not evident in the UK Biobank data, which we hypothesize is due to two differences between the PGC1.5 cohorts and the UK Biobank. PTSD is conditional on trauma exposure, which is also highly heterogeneous across individuals and populations.⁶² Unlike PGC1.5, the UK Biobank cohort is comprised of few to no subjects who experienced military-related trauma. In contrast, a substantial proportion of men in the PGC1.5 cohorts were from military cohorts, while virtually all women were civilians. The environmental experiences (e.g., military versus civilian) and index traumatic events leading to PTSD in male subjects versus female subjects could explain observed lower heritability estimates in males in the PGC1.5 cohorts. In future work, we aim to investigate this empirically by pooling detailed trauma and PTSD phenotypic information on both males and females and by modeling the effects of measurement variability on heritability estimates. Future work could also aim to

increase samples of civilian men and military women to allow for analyses stratified by military trauma and sex.

Finally, we now have a significant polygenic risk score for PTSD. Larger sample sizes are needed to increase the variance explained and improve sensitivity and specificity.²⁰ In the future, polygenic risk may eventually be useful in algorithms developed to identify vulnerable persons after exposure to trauma. PTSD is one of the most preventable mental disorders, as many people exposed to trauma come to clinical attention in first response settings such as emergency rooms, intensive care units, and trauma centers. Controlled clinical trials show that PTSD risk can be significantly reduced by early preventive interventions.⁶³⁻⁶⁵ However, these interventions have nontrivial costs, making it infeasible to offer them to all persons exposed to trauma, given that only a small minority goes on to develop PTSD.⁶⁶⁻⁶⁹ They are also unnecessary for many survivors who recover spontaneously.⁶⁴ To be cost-effective, risk prediction rules are needed to identify which exposed persons are at high risk of PTSD. Such risk prediction tools have been developed,^{70,71} but none to date has included polygenic risk as a predictor.

These findings substantively advance our understanding of the genetic basis of PTSD, and they also demonstrate that PGC-PTSD remains under-powered for the detection of most risk loci. PTSD is similar to major depression in both prevalence (among trauma-exposed persons) and in heritability. There are now 44 genome-wide significant hits for major depression; that level of discovery required over 135,000 cases and 344,000 controls.³¹ Other limitations include the treatment of PTSD as a binary disorder in our analysis. Extensive epidemiologic work has shown that subthreshold PTSD is highly prevalent and debilitating.^{72,73} In our analysis, persons with subthreshold PTSD are classified as controls, which would likely reduce our power to find genetic associations. In future work, we will consider PTSD as a continuous phenotype as well as examine clusters of PTSD symptoms, which are more homogeneous; Gelernter et al. (2018) found multiple genome-wide significant loci for re-experiencing symptoms, which is the cluster of symptoms most unique to PTSD, in data from over 100,000 veterans in the Million Veterans Program.⁷⁴ Finally, we used mostly unscreened controls, but controls carefully screened for trauma may increase power since trauma is required for a PTSD diagnosis. In addition to increasing sample size, we aim in the future to also pool item-level phenotypic data from our cohorts in order to address these limitations.

ONLINE METHODS

Participating studies

The PGC-PTSD Freeze 2 dataset (PGC2) included 60 ancestrally diverse studies from Europe, Africa and the Americas. Of these, 12 were already included in Freeze 1.¹³ Study details and demographics can be found in **Supplementary Table 1**. PTSD assessment was based either on lifetime (where possible) or current PTSD (i.e., including participants with a potential lifetime PTSD diagnosis as controls), and PTSD diagnosis was established using various instruments and different versions of the DSM (DSM-III-R, DSM IV, DSM-5). For GWAS analyses, all studies provided PTSD case status as determined using standard criteria and control subjects not meeting the PTSD diagnostic criteria (see **Supplementary Table 1** for additional exclusion criteria).

The following studies were included, listed with the official name of each study followed by a 4-letter abbreviation corresponding to genotyping files and a study number corresponding to **Supplementary Table 1**:

Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (NSS1, NSS2, PPDS; Supplementary Table 1 #14-16)

See reference for details.⁷⁵ Potentially traumatic events in childhood and adult civilian trauma were assessed in all participants, as well as military traumatic experience for participants who had been deployed, using a self-administered questionnaire. The extent of traumatic experiences was summarized, separately, in a non-deployment trauma variable and a deployment trauma variable. Both continuous variables are summaries of frequencies of responses to each of the 11 questions regarding non-deployment or deployment trauma. Responses range from Never (0), Once (1), 2-4 Times (2), 5-9 Times (3) or More than 10 Times (4). A combination of a computer-administered version of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview (CIDI) and the Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist (PCL for DSM-IV)⁷⁶ were used to assign diagnoses of lifetime Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD) according to DSM-IV.⁷⁷ DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Institutional Review Boards of all participating institutions approved this study.

Ash Wednesday (BRYA; Supplementary Table 1 #10)

See reference for details.⁷⁸ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Recent Life Events questionnaire.⁷⁹ The PTSD Checklist (PCL) was used to assess PTSD over the prior 4 weeks by interviews.⁷⁶ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if DSM-IV criteria were met. The PCL calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 68, by clinical interview. For this

cohort (187 Cases, 261 Controls), the mean severity was 3.28 and the standard deviation 11.56. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of Western Sydney Area Health Service approved this study.

Biological Effects of Traumatic Experiences, Treatment and Recovery (BETR; Supplementary Table 1 #48)

See reference for details.⁸⁰ In total, 57 PTSD patients, 29 veteran controls (combat controls) and 32 civilian controls (healthy controls) were included. Patients were recruited from one of four outpatient clinics of the Military Mental Healthcare Organization, The Netherlands. Patients were included after a psychologist or psychiatrist diagnosed PTSD. PTSD diagnosis was confirmed using the Clinician Administered PTSD scale (CAPS ≥ 45 ⁸¹). The Structural Clinical interview for DSM-IV (SCID-I⁸²) was applied to diagnose comorbid disorders. Control participants were recruited via advertisements, and the interviews (SCID and CAPS) were also applied to investigate PTSD symptoms and psychiatric disorders. Inclusion criteria for controls were no current psychiatric or neurological disorder, and no presence of current PTSD symptoms (CAPS ≤ 15). After receiving a complete written and verbal description of the study all participants gave written informed consent. The Medical Ethical Committee of the UMC Utrecht approved the study, and the study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki.

Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Events Checklist for DSM-IV (LEC-IV).⁸³ The CAPS for DSM-IV was used to assess PTSD over the prior month by trained researchers.⁸¹ The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 107, by calculating the total CAPS score. For this cohort (57 Cases, 61 Controls), the mean severity was 50.05 and the standard deviation 32.86. Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if DSM-IV criteria were met. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-IV were met in the previous month. The Institutional Review Board of Utrecht University Medical Center approved this study.

Bounce Back Now (BOBA; Supplementary Table 1 #18)

See reference for details.⁸⁴ Potentially traumatic events were identified using National Survey (NSA) on Adolescents PTSD module which was administered by trained interviewers using computer assisted telephone interview technology. The NSA PTSD Module assessed for exposure to five types of potentially traumatic events, in addition to five specific questions about the impact of the tornado (e.g., did the tornado cause damage to your house or property?).^{84,85} The NSA PTSD Module that was administered was used to assess PTSD since

the tornado, as well as during any two week period in their lifetimes, and lifetime PTSD was used in the present analyses.⁸⁵ Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if DSM-IV PTSD criteria (i.e., at least one re-experiencing symptom, three avoidance symptoms, and two or more arousal symptoms) were met for at least a two week period. For this cohort, 127 individuals with lifetime PTSD were matched by sex and race/ethnicity with 127 controls who did not meet criteria for lifetime PTSD. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva collected via Oragene kits. The Institutional Review Board of the Medical University of South Carolina approved this study.

Childhood Trauma Study (QIMR; Supplementary Table 1 #30)

See references for details.^{86,87} Potentially traumatic events were identified using a semi-structured psychiatric diagnostic telephone assessment that included questions on childhood maltreatment.⁸⁸ Lifetime DSM-IV PTSD (binary measure) was assessed using a modified version of the measure from the National Comorbidity Survey by telephone interviewers trained by an experienced clinical psychologist.⁸⁹ For respondents who had experienced more than one potentially traumatic event, assessment of lifetime PTSD focused on the event identified by each respondent as most disturbing. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Queensland Institute of Medical Research Ethics Committee and the Washington University School of Medicine Human Research Protection Office approved this study.

Child Trauma and Neural Systems Underlying Emotion Regulation (KMCT; Supplementary Table 1 #19)

Potentially traumatic events were identified using The UCLA PTSD Reaction Index,⁹⁰ the Childhood Experiences of Care and Abuse Interview,⁹¹ and the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire.⁹² The Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for Children⁹³ was used to assess both lifetime and current PTSD by trained clinical interviewers.⁹¹ Children and a parent or guardian completed the interview, and an or rule was used to assign diagnoses. Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if DSM-5 criteria were met. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-5 criteria were met. The UCLA PTSD Reaction Index⁹⁰ calculates PTSD symptom severity. Children and a parent or guardian each completed this measure, and we used the highest score from either the child or parent, which ranged from 0 to 67 in our sample. For this cohort (133 Cases, 122 Controls), the mean severity was 17.57 and the standard deviation 17.94. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of the University of Washington approved this study.

CHOICE (FEEN; Supplementary Table 1 #37)

See reference for details.⁹⁴⁻¹⁰³ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the standard trauma interview.¹⁰⁴ The PTSD Symptom Scale – Interview (PSS-I) was used to assess PTSD over the prior two weeks for the trauma of interest by postdoctoral or graduate level assessors trained to reliability⁸². The Structured Clinical Interview (SCID-IV) was used to assess lifetime PTSD (not current) for a trauma not the focus of treatment by postdoctoral or graduate level assessors trained to reliability.¹⁰⁵ Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if on the PSS-I they met symptom-level DSM-IV diagnostic criteria. The PSS-I also provides PTSD symptom severity, with a range from 0 to 51. For this cohort (104 Cases), the mean severity was 32.63 and the standard deviation 4.87. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Institutional Review Board of University Hospitals approved this study.

Cohen Veterans Center Study (COM1; Supplementary Table 1 #50)

See reference for details.¹⁰⁶⁻¹⁰⁸ This is a multi-site study that ran through NYUMC and Stanford University and Palo Alto VAMC. The VA Palo Alto Health Care System has an affiliation with Stanford University School of Medicine. Dr. Charles Marmar is the overall PI for this study.

Potentially traumatic events were identified using clinical interview that was administered by a licensed psychologist.¹⁰⁹ The CAPS was administered by clinicians to assess PTSD for two time periods: the preceding 30 days and a one-month period in the past when symptoms were the worst, by respondent's subjective account.⁸¹ The CAPS *calculates PTSD symptom severity by summing the scores for all items, which ranged from 0 to 80 in the full range and ranged from 0 to 56 in this dataset (in the Cohen Veterans Center study)*. For this cohort (232 Cases, 802 Controls), the mean severity was 12.14 and the standard deviation 11.85. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-5 criteria were met in the preceding 30 days. Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if DSM-5 criteria were met in the month when symptoms were the worst. The Institutional Review Board of NYUMC and Stanford University approved this study.

Cortical Excitability: Biomarker and Endophenotype in Combat Related PTSD (WANG; Supplementary Table 1 #59)

Potentially traumatic events were identified using CAPS Life Event Checklist. The CAPS was used to assess PTSD over the prior 4 weeks, by research coordinators/interviewers.⁸¹ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if CAPS>45. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if CAPS>45. The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0

to 123 out of a possible 136, by interview.⁸¹ For this cohort (208 Cases, 87 Controls), the mean severity of cases was 76.22 and the standard deviation was 16.85. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from whole blood. The Institutional Review Board of Medical University of South Carolina approved this study.

Danish military study (DAMI; Supplementary Table 1 #28)

Potentially traumatic events were identified with 11 single items listing potentially traumatic events occurring during deployment. A scale developed for the Danish military, the PRIM-PTSD, was used to assess PTSD-symptoms over the previous 3 months¹¹⁰. The PRIM-PTSD calculates PTSD symptom severity, with a possible range of 12-48. For this cohort (462 Cases, 2019 Controls after quality control), the mean severity was 17.97 and the standard deviation 5.92. PTSD cases were defined as having a PRIM-PTSD score at or above 25, equaling a score of 44 on the PTSD Checklist. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from neonatal blood spots. The Regional Committee on Health Research Ethics, Region Zealand, approved this study.

Danish iPSYCH PTSD samples (DAIP; Supplementary Table 1 #29)

See reference for details.¹¹¹⁻¹¹³ The Danish iPSYCH PTSD samples were identified for analysis using infrastructure provided by the iPSYCH project.¹¹⁴ The iPSYCH project is a case cohort study, drawing individuals born in Denmark between 1981 and 2005, and obtaining all cases diagnosed with six disorders plus 30,000 random individuals from the same population cohort as controls. PTSD was not one of the original six diagnoses within iPSYCH, but cases were identified in linked records (i.e. PTSD diagnosis comorbid with one of the six ascertained disorders or PTSD diagnosis in one of the 30,000 iPSYCH “controls”). PTSD was assessed via clinician diagnosis according to ICD-10 (F43.1), as obtained from either of two registers in Denmark: the Danish Psychiatric Central Research Register and/or the Danish National Patient Register. Diagnoses in the registers are for current disorders (i.e. not lifetime). PTSD severity was not available. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from bloodspots from the Danish Neonatal Screening Biobank hosted by the Statens Serum Institut, as described previously.^{112,113} The study was approved by the Regional Danish Ethics Committee and the Danish Data Protection Agency.

DCS Rothbaum Study (DCSR; Supplementary Table 1 #38)

See reference for details.^{115,116} The authors examined the effectiveness of virtual reality exposure augmented with D-cycloserine or alprazolam, compared with placebo, in reducing PTSD due to military trauma.

After an introductory session, five sessions of virtual reality exposure were augmented with D-cycloserine (50 mg) or alprazolam (0.25 mg) in a double-blind, placebo-controlled randomized clinical trial for 156 Iraq and Afghanistan war veterans with PTSD.¹¹⁷

PTSD symptoms significantly improved from pre- to posttreatment across all conditions and were maintained at 3, 6, and 12 months. There were no overall differences in symptoms between D-cycloserine and placebo at any time. Alprazolam and placebo differed significantly on the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) score at posttreatment and PTSD diagnosis at 3 months posttreatment; the alprazolam group showed a higher rate of PTSD (82.8%) than the placebo group (47.8%).⁸¹ Between-session extinction learning was a treatment-specific enhancer of outcome for the D-cycloserine group only. At posttreatment, the D-cycloserine group had the lowest cortisol reactivity and smallest startle response during virtual reality scenes.

A six-session virtual reality treatment was associated with reduction in PTSD diagnoses and symptoms in Iraq and Afghanistan veterans, although there was no control condition for the virtual reality exposure. There was no advantage of D-cycloserine for PTSD symptoms in primary analyses. In secondary analyses, alprazolam impaired recovery and D-cycloserine enhanced virtual reality outcome in patients who demonstrated within-session learning. D-cycloserine augmentation reduced cortisol and startle reactivity more than did alprazolam or placebo, findings that are consistent with those in the animal literature.

Defining Essential Features of Neural Damage (DEFE; Supplementary Table 1 #12)

See reference for details.^{118,119} Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-IV (CAPS-IV), the Combat Exposure Scale (CES), and items from the Deployment Risk and Resilience Inventory (DRRI).^{81,109,120,121} The CAPS-IV was also used to assess PTSD currently (over the prior 2 weeks) and over the lifetime by trained interviewers.⁸¹ The CAPS-IV calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 120. For this cohort (88 Cases, 62 Controls), the mean severity was 31.43 and the standard deviation 25.01. Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if they met DSM-IV criteria for PTSD (measured using CAPS-IV) either current or past. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if they met DSM-IV criteria for PTSD (measured using CAPS-IV) at the time of the assessment. The Institutional Review Board of the Minneapolis VA Health Care System approved this study.

Detroit Neighborhood Health Study (DNHS, ADNH; Supplementary Table 1 #4 and #45)

See reference for details.⁵⁴ Potentially traumatic events (PTEs) were identified using a list of 19 PTEs.¹²² The PTSD Checklist-Civilian (PCL-C) was used to assess PTSD over the lifetime by self-report during structured telephone interviews by referencing two traumatic events; one the respondent regarded as the worst and a second randomly selected event from the list of remaining PTEs (if the respondent experienced more than one traumatic event).¹²³ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if all six DSM-IV criteria were met for either the worst or random event. Additional questions assessed the timing, duration, severity or illness, and disability resulting from symptoms. PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17 to 85, was assessed by summing the respondents' ratings of the 17 post-traumatic symptoms on a scale indicating the degree to which the respondent was bothered by a particular symptom as a result of the worst trauma, ranging from 1 (not at all) to 5 (extremely). All DNHS participants, include N = 2081, of which cases N = 408 and controls N=1532. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from peripheral blood or saliva. The Institutional Review Board at the University of Michigan and University of North Carolina Chapel Hill approved this study.

Drakenstein Child Health Study - South African sample (SAFR; Supplementary Table 1 #3)

See reference for details.^{124 125 126} The modified PTSD Symptom Scale (PSS) was used to assess PTSD.¹²⁷ Specifically, the re-experiencing symptom cluster was considered met if the sum of reported symptoms totaled greater than or equal to 1; the avoidance/emotional numbing reported symptoms were greater than or equal to 3; and increased arousal cluster reported symptoms were greater than or equal to 2. Participants who scored above threshold in each of the clusters and had symptom duration for at least 1 month were classified as PTSD cases. The Faculty of Health Sciences human research ethics committee of the University of Cape Town (UCT) approved this study.

EA CRASH (EACR; Supplementary Table 1 #42)

See reference for details.¹²⁸ European American individuals were enrolled in the Emergency Department within 24 hours following motor vehicle collision (MVC) trauma/stress.¹²⁹ The Impact of Events Scale-Revised (IES-R) was used to assess PTS symptom severity over the past week by research assistants 1 year following MVC.¹²⁹ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if they scored 33 or higher on the IES-R questionnaire. The IES-R inventory calculates PTS symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 88, by scoring a participant's

answers to 22 questions on a scale of 0-4 about symptoms of avoidance, intrusions, and hyperarousal. For this cohort (88 Cases, 276 Controls), the mean PTS symptom severity was 19.2 and the standard deviation was 18.4, measured 1-year after the MVC. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood collected in DNA PAXgene tubes. The Institutional Review Board of The University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill approved this study.

Family Study of Cocaine Dependence and Collaborative Genetic Study of Nicotine Dependence (FSCD, COGA, COGB; Supplementary Table 1 #7-9)

See references for details.^{130,131} A module from the Diagnostic Interview Schedule for DSM-IV (DIS-IV),¹³² a structured assessment that evaluated the presence or absence of psychiatric disorders according to the DSM-IV¹³³ criteria was used to evaluate PTSD in a sample of 471 cases and 3,568 controls. A history of fifteen specific traumatic events were queried including rape or sexual assault, assaultive violence (e.g., shot, stabbed), witnessing trauma to others, and non-violent trauma (e.g., serious accident, sudden death of a loved one). The traumatic events were assessed using closed-ended questions (e.g., Have you ever been raped or sexually assaulted?) with nominal response options (i.e., Yes or No). Participants were asked to select the most distressing event and were subsequently evaluated for symptoms of PTSD. A diagnosis of PTSD was dependent on Criterion A, which required intense fear, helplessness, or horror in association with the most distressing event. Interview data were checked for consistency by a senior editor and entered into a computerized data file. Lifetime psychiatric diagnoses were made by a computer algorithm that analyzed responses to the interview using DSM-IV criteria. The Washington University School of Medicine IRB approved the studies.

Fort Campbell study (FTCB; Supplementary Table 1 #52)

Fort Campbell is a United States Army installation located astride the Kentucky-Tennessee border between Hopkinsville, Kentucky, and Clarksville, Tennessee. Fort Campbell is home to the 101st Airborne Division and the 160th Special Operations Aviation Regiment. One thousand, seven hundred and ninety-three (N=1793) active duty members of the Army's 101st Airborne Division who deployed to Afghanistan participated in the study. Each participant was evaluated one-three times at the Fort Campbell U.S. Army military installation. The first evaluation took place prior to deployment in January-February, 2014, the second evaluation took place 3 days upon return from deployment and the third evaluation occurred 90-180 days upon return from deployment.

Potentially traumatic events were identified using self-report questionnaire that included PCL 5.¹³⁴ The PTSD Checklist for DSM 5 (PCL-5) was used to

assess PTSD during each phase of the study (pre-deployment, 3 days post deployment and 90-180 days post deployment).¹³⁴ The PCL-5 score calculates PTSD symptom severity by summing the scores for all items, which ranged from 0 to 80 in the full range and ranged from 0 to 75 in this dataset. For this cohort (114 Cases, 1624 Controls), the mean severity was 6.81 and the standard deviation 11.24. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if PCL5 score is at least 33. The Institutional Review Board of NYUMC and HARPO (DoD IRB) approved this study.

Genetic and Environmental Predictors of Combat-Related PTSD (STRO; Supplementary Table 1 #35)

See reference for details.¹³⁵ Subjects in this study were included from a larger STRONG STAR pre-/post-deployment study of deploying soldiers from Fort Hood in Killeen, Texas. The data included in this analysis are from the pre-deployment assessment. Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Events Checklist (LEC).⁸³ The PTSD Checklist–Military Version (PCL-M) was used to assess PTSD over the prior month by self-repor.⁷⁶ Subjects were considered to have a current diagnosis if the total score on the PCL was ≥ 50 and classified as a control if their PCL total score was < 50 . In addition, both cases and controls were required to report having directly experienced or witnessing a traumatic event on the LEC. Based on these criteria, N=607 subjects were classified as having current PTSD and N=3,390 were classified as controls. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Institutional Review Board of University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio approved this study.

Genetic Risk for PTSD (YEHU; Supplementary Table 1 #56)

See reference for details.^{136,137} Potentially traumatic events were identified using the CAPS, SCID, MINI, and the Life Events Checklist.^{81,83,138,139} The CAPS, SCID, and MINI were used to assess PTSD during the past month or over the prior lifetime by a PhD level clinical psychologist.^{81,138,139} Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if at least one Criterion B symptom, at least three Criterion C symptoms, at least two Criterion D symptoms, and Criterion A, E, and F (CAPS), J1, J2, and J3 are coded “yes”, at least three or more J4 questions are coded “yes”, at least two J5 answers are coded “yes”, and J6 is coded “yes” (MINI), and/or subject experienced a traumatic event and adverse consequences were experienced, both A criteria were coded 3, at least one B criteria was coded 3, at least three C criteria were coded 3, and at least two D criteria were coded 3 (SCID). Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if criteria for PTSD diagnosis were met within the past month. The CAPS calculates PTSD

symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 136. For this cohort (123 cases, 43 controls), the mean severity was 80.19 and the standard deviation 2.23. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from whole blood. The Institutional Review Board of James J. Peters VA Medical Center and Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai approved this study.

Genetics of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder/Substance Use Disorder Comorbidity (KSUD; Supplementary Table 1 #17)

See reference for details.¹⁴⁰ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Event Checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5).¹⁴¹ The self-report PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5)¹³⁴ was used to assess PTSD symptoms over the prior month. The PCL-5 contains 20 items which summed provide a measure of PTSD symptom severity (scores range from 0 to 80). For this cohort (137 Cases, 106 Controls), the mean severity was 40.57 and the standard deviation 20.96. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if total symptom severity was at or above 38. The Institutional Review Board of Kent State University approved this study.

GMRF-QUT (GMFR; Supplementary Table 1 #55)

See reference for details.¹⁴² Potentially traumatic events were identified using Criterion A event. The Clinician Administered PTSD Scale for DSM 5 (CAPS-5) was used to assess PTSD over the prior 2 weeks and lifetime by clinical psychologists.¹⁴³

Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if CAPS-5 criteria were met. The CAPS-5 calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 56. For this cohort (100 Cases, 124 Controls), the mean severity was 9.63 and the standard deviation 10.05. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from peripheral blood. Ethics approval for the project was obtained from the Department of Veterans' Affairs, Greenslopes Private Hospital, and Queensland University of Technology Human Research Ethics Committees. This study was carried out in accordance with The Code of Ethics of the World Medical Association (Declaration of Helsinki).

Genetics Research and the Childbearing Year (GRAC; Supplementary Table 1 #54)

See reference for details.¹⁴⁴ A total of 29 potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Stressor Checklist¹⁴⁵. PTSD symptoms were assessed using the National Women's Study PTSD Module (NWS-PTSD), a widely used scale designed for use by lay interviewers, that consists of 20 items that assess DSM-III-R PTSD Criteria B, C, and D symptoms. The NWS-PTSD was performed

by trained lay interviewers using computer-aided telephone interviewing and epidemiological methods (forced choice yes or no).^{146,147} Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if lifetime DSM-IV PTSD criteria were met. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if past month DSM-IV criteria were met. The NWS-PTSD assesses the number of PTSD symptoms endorsed, which ranged from 0 to 17. For this cohort (140 Cases, 138 Controls), the mean PTSD symptom count (out of 17 possible symptoms) was 6.4 and the standard deviation 5.5. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva (Oragene tube). The Institutional Review Board of the University of Michigan approved this study.

Grady Trauma Project (EGHS, GTPC; Supplementary Table 1 #44 and #47)

See reference for details.¹⁴⁸ The modified PTSD Symptom Scale (PSS), a psychometrically valid 17-item self-report scale assessing PTSD symptomatology over the prior 2 weeks, was used to assess PTSD.¹⁴⁹ Consistent with prior literature, the PSS frequency items (0 indicates not at all to 3 indicates ≥ 5 times a week) to obtain a continuous measure of PTSD symptom severity ranging from 0 to 51. For this sample, the PSS frequency items had standardized $\alpha=.90$ (mean [SD], 13.81 [11.96]). No clearly established PSS cutoff score for PTSD diagnosis has been established; however, DSM-IV criteria for PTSD can be applied to PSS frequency items to create a proxy variable for PTSD diagnostic status. The Institutional Review Boards of Emory University School of Medicine and Grady Memorial Hospital approved this study.

Growing Up Today Study (GUTS; Supplementary Table 1 #21)

See reference for details.¹⁵⁰ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Brief Trauma Questionnaire,¹⁵¹ plus questions on stalking and intimate partner violence (specific events queried included: witness an attack, get attacked, disaster, serious accident, attack on family member, stalked, family member killed in violence, served in war zone/saw war casualties, serious injury to self, physical intimate partner violence, sexual intimate partner violence). Breslau's Short Screening Scale for DSM-IV PTSD was used to assess PTSD over the lifetime by self-report of symptoms.¹⁵² Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if they reported experiencing 4 or more symptoms. Current diagnosis was not assessed. Breslau's Short Screening Scale for DSM-IV PTSD calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 7, by counting the number of symptoms. For this cohort (312 Cases, 312 Controls), the severity was mean=2.63, SD=2.31 (cases: mean=4.93, SD=0.94; controls: mean=0.34, SD=0.47). DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of Brigham and Women's Hospital approved this study.

Injury and Traumatic Stress Consortium (INTR; Supplementary Table 1 #27)

Subjects were participants in studies of the INTRuST Consortium, some of which are cited.¹⁵³⁻¹⁵⁵ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Events Checklist for DSM-IV (LEC)⁷⁶ and/or the Deployment Risk and Resilience inventory (DRRI).¹⁵⁶ The PTSD Checklist for DSM-IV (PCL)⁷⁶ was used to indicate a likely diagnosis of PTSD (or healthy control status); in some cases, this diagnosis was corroborated by the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS).^{81,157} The PCL was used as an indicator of PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17-85. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from whole blood. The Institutional Review Boards of UCSD (the Coordinating Center) and all the participating institutions approved this research.

IVS (BRYA; Supplementary Table 1 #10)

See reference for details.¹⁵⁸ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Recent Life Events questionnaire.⁷⁹ The Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS) was used to assess PTSD over the prior 4 weeks by psychologist interviewers.⁸¹ Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-IV were met. The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 136, by clinical assessment. For this cohort (90 Cases, 312 Controls), the mean severity was 25.10 and the standard deviation 23.98. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of Western Sydney Area Health Service approved this study.

Marine Resiliency Study (MRSC, BAKE; Supplementary Table 1 #1 and #57)

See reference for details.^{10,159} Participants were recruited from two studies including military personnel: (1) the Marine Resiliency Study, a prospective PTSD study with longitudinal follow-up (pre- and post-exposure to combat stress) of U.S. Marines bound for deployment to Iraq or Afghanistan, and (2) a cross-sectional study involving a cohort of combat-exposed active duty or previously deployed service members (CAVC), including PTSD cases and controls with comparable psychosocial and clinical phenotypes. PTSD was diagnosed up to 3 times, once before deployment and 3 and/or 6 month post deployment. Post-traumatic stress (PTS) symptoms were assessed using a structured diagnostic interview, the Clinician Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS), and PTSD diagnosis followed the DSM-IV criteria.⁸¹ All participants included in this study met the *DSM-IV* criteria A1 event. For participants assessed at multiple timepoints, the timepoint with the highest CAPS score was used. Genomic DNA was prepared from blood leukocytes and genotyping was carried out by Illumina (<http://www.illumina.com/>) using the HumanOm-niExpressExome (HOEE) array

with 951,117 loci and by RUCDR (<http://www.rucdr.org>) using the HOEE array with 967,537 loci. The study was approved by the University of California San Diego Institutional Review Board, and all participants provided written informed consent to participate.

McLean Trauma Sample (TEIC; Supplementary Table 1 #39)

The McLean Trauma Sample consists of three separate studies lead by Drs. Milissa Kaufman and Martin Teicher. See references for details.¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶² The first study was conducted at McLean Hospital's Developmental Biopsychiatry Research Program (PI: Martin Teicher, MD, PhD) entitled "Sensitive Periods, Brain Development and Depression Study". The group aimed to test the hypothesis that there are discrete sensitive periods when exposure to abuse or loss is maximally associated with risk for developing psychiatric disorders, specifically major depression and that risk for developing depression coincided with exposure to abuse during sensitive periods of hippocampal and prefrontal cortex vulnerability. These hypotheses were tested in a sample of 517 individuals (20-25 years of age) recruited from the community. Degree and timing of developmental exposure to abuse and loss across each childhood stage was quantified retrospectively using the Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure (MACE) scale,¹⁶³ as well as Traumatic Antecedent Interview,¹⁶⁴ Childhood Trauma Questionnaire¹⁶⁵ and Adverse Childhood Experiences scale.¹⁶⁶ Lifetime and current psychopathology including PTSD was assessed by trained psychologists, psychiatrists and clinical nurse specialists, using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV-TR.¹⁶⁷ Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-IV-TR criteria were met in the preceding 30 days.

The second study was also conducted at McLean Hospital's Developmental Biopsychiatry Research Program (PI: Martin Teicher). The key aims of the project were to test in a prospective study whether neurobiological correlates such as T2-relaxation time in dorsolateral prefrontal and anterior cingulate cortex and a large cerebellar lingual size can predict degree of drug and alcohol use in individuals with histories of childhood abuse and neglect, with an emphasis on sensitive periods of maximal exposure. These hypotheses were tested in a sample of 157 individuals (18-19 years of age) recruited from the community. Structured Clinical Interviews for DSM-IV (SCID-IV) Axis I and II psychiatric disorders were used for diagnoses.¹⁶⁷ Mental health professionals (psychiatrists, Ph.D. psychologists, clinical nurse specialists) performed all the interviews and psychological assessments. In addition to the Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure (MACE) scale,¹⁶³ the 100-item semi-structured Traumatic Antecedents Interview¹⁶⁴ was also used to assess maltreatment history, as well as the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire¹⁶⁵ and the Adverse

Childhood Experience score.¹⁶⁶ PTSD was diagnosed using the SCID-IV-TR and the CAPS.⁸¹

The third study was conducted at McLean's Dissociative Disorders and Trauma Research Program (PI: Milissa Kaufman, MD, PhD) entitled "Evaluating the Neurobiological Basis of Traumatic Dissociation in a Cross-Diagnostic Sample of Women with Histories of Childhood Abuse and Neglect". Patients were recruited from inpatient and partial/residential treatment programs at McLean Hospital as part of a larger study on trauma-related dissociation comprised of diagnostic interviews, self-reports, neuropsychological testing, and neuroimaging protocols. All individuals endorsed a history of childhood trauma exposure, as assessed by the Traumatic Events Interview and Childhood Trauma Questionnaire. All participants also met criteria for DSM-5 PTSD as assessed by the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5.

The Institutional review Board of McLean Hospital approved all studies. All saliva samples were collected using Oragene DNA collection kits (DNA Genotek) according to the instructions of the manufacturer.

Mid-Atlantic Mental Illness Research Education and Clinical Center the study of Post-Deployment Mental Health Study (MIRE; Supplementary Table 1 #26)

See reference for details of the study of Post-Deployment Mental Health (1,308 cases, 1,914 controls).^{168,169} PTSD was diagnosed using the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Disorders (SCID) administered by trained interviewers.⁸² In accordance with the DSM-IV, PTSD consisted of three symptom clusters. These included re-experiencing symptoms (B symptoms), avoidance and numbing symptoms (C symptoms) and hyperarousal symptoms (D symptoms). Total PTSD symptoms and symptom clusters (B, C, or D) were measured using the Davidson Trauma Scale for all veterans, including individuals with current PTSD diagnosis and controls. The research was reviewed and approved by the Institutional Review Boards at the Salisbury, NC VA, Hampton, VA VA, Richmond, VAVA, Durham, NC VA and Duke University Medical Centers.

National Centre for Mental Health (NCMH; Supplementary Table 1 #41)

See www.ncmh.info for details. Potentially traumatic events were identified by participant self-report. The Trauma Screening Questionnaire (TSQ) was used to assess PTSD over the prior 2 weeks by self-report.¹⁷⁰ Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if a score of 6 or more was obtained. The TSQ calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 10, by self-report. For this cohort (631 Cases, 653 Controls), the mean severity was 5.32

and the standard deviation 3.47. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood or saliva. The study was given a favorable ethical opinion by Wales Research Ethics Committee (REC) 2.

National Health and Resilience in Veterans Study (NHRV; Supplementary Table 1 #13)

See reference for details.¹⁷¹ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Trauma History Screen.¹⁷² The PTSD Checklist-Specific (PCL-S) was used to assess both lifetime and past-month PTSD symptoms related to respondent's 'worst' traumatic event over their lifetimes by survey.⁷⁶ Respondents were considered to have screen positive for PTSD if their PCL-S score was ≥ 50 . The PCL-S calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17 to 85, by self-report. For this cohort (95 Cases, 1490 Controls), the mean PCL-S severity score was 26.9 and the standard deviation 11.3. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The Human Subjects Subcommittee of VA Connecticut Healthcare System approved this study.

NIU Trauma Study (NIUT; Supplementary Table 1 #40)

See reference for details.^{173,174} Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire and 12 questions regarding level of exposure to the campus shooting.¹⁷⁵ The Distressing Events Questionnaire was used to assess PTSD immediately following the mass shooting (average 3.2 weeks) by self-report.¹⁷⁶ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if their DEQ score was ≥ 18 . The DEQ calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 66, by self-report. For this cohort (280 Cases, 411 Controls), the mean severity was 16.49 and the standard deviation 12.35. DNA for GWAS analysis was obtained from 204 of the PTSD cases and was isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of Northern Illinois University approved this study.

Nurses Health Study II (NHS2; Supplementary Table 1 #5)

See reference for details.¹⁷⁷ Participants identified stressful events they had experienced from a list of 25 events used in diagnostic interviews,^{178, 102,179-181} and PTSD was assessed in relation to participants' self-selected worst stressful event. Participants were cued to think of the period following the event during which symptoms were most frequent and intense. They were asked whether they had ever been bothered by each of 17 symptoms and rated each symptom on a Likert-style scale (1: "not at all" to "5: extremely").¹⁸² Additional questions assessed the other three DSM-IV criteria: intense fear, horror, or helplessness in response to the event (Criterion A2), symptom duration of at least one month (Criterion E), and clinically significant impairment in functioning due to symptoms

(Criterion F)¹⁷⁸. Based on the diagnostic interview, we created two lifetime PTSD phenotypes as follows.

To meet criteria for lifetime PTSD diagnosis, respondents must have endorsed experiencing one or more of the 5 re-experiencing symptoms, 3 or more of the 7 avoidance/numbing symptoms, 2 or more of the 5 arousal symptoms, and criteria A2, E and F as defined above. In addition to the diagnostic phenotype, we analyzed lifetime PTSD symptom severity which was defined as the sum of the symptom ratings across the 17 questions.

The reliability of the PTSD diagnosis was assessed using a blind review of audiotapes from 50 interviews and the Cohen's kappa statistic was 1.0 (perfect reliability).¹⁸³ We assessed the validity of our identification of PTSD in a separate cohort, the Detroit Neighborhood Health Study, via clinical interviews among a random subsample of 51 participants and found excellent concordance.⁵⁴ The Partners Human Research Committee approved this study.

Nurses Health Study II (NHSY; Supplementary Table 1 #22)

See reference for details.¹⁸⁴ The Nurses' Health Study II is an ongoing cohort of 116,430 female nurses initially enrolled in 1989 and followed with biennial questionnaires. The present study included follow-up through 2013. This study included women who returned a supplementary 2008 questionnaire on trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms (N=54,763). This questionnaire was sent to a subsample of participants (N=60,804, response rate=90.1%). To retain participation in the ongoing longitudinal cohort, only women who have already returned their biennial questionnaire are sent supplementary questionnaires. Women missing data on trauma or PTSD symptoms (N=3,930) were excluded. This study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Brigham and Women's Hospital. Return of the questionnaire via US mail constitutes implied consent.

Trauma exposure and PTSD symptoms were assessed on a supplementary 2008 questionnaire. The 16-item Brief Trauma Questionnaire queried lifetime exposure to 15 types of traumatic events (e.g., serious car accident, sexual assault) and an additional item queried any traumatic event not covered in the other questions.¹⁵¹ Respondents were asked to identify which trauma was their worst or most distressing; they were then asked their age at this worst trauma as well as their age at their first trauma. PTSD symptoms were assessed in relation to their worst trauma with the 7-item Short Screening Scale for DSM-IV PTSD.¹⁸⁵ Four or more symptoms on this scale have been associated with PTSD diagnosis (sensitivity=80%, specificity=97%, positive predictive value=71%, negative predictive value=98%).¹⁸⁵ For cases women with

≥4 PTSD symptoms were selected, for controls women with <4 PTSD symptoms (most had 0) were selected.

Ohio National Guard (ONGA; Supplementary Table 1 #2)

See reference for details.⁴² A total of 37 potentially traumatic events were identified using the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale (CAPS-IV)⁸¹ and the 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma.¹²² PTSD symptoms were assessed using a 17-item structured interview scale derived from the PTSD Checklist (PCL) for DSM-IV⁷⁶ performed by trained lay telephone interviewers using epidemiological methods (forced choice symptom severity range, 1-5). Reliability of the telephone interview was validated against the criterion standard (in-person CAPS interview by mental health professional) in a clinical subsample (N = 500), demonstrating high specificity (0.92).¹⁸⁶ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if lifetime DSM-IV PTSD criteria were met. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if past month DSM-IV criteria were met. The PCL calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17 to 85, by sum of scores of items endorsed. For this cohort (125 Cases, 125 Controls), the mean severity was 38.4 and the standard deviation 17.6. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva (Oragene tube). The Institutional Review Board of VA Ann Arbor Health System approved this study.

OPT (FEEN; Supplementary Table 1 #37)

Potentially traumatic events were identified using the standard trauma interview.¹⁸⁷ The PSS-I was used to assess PTSD over the prior two weeks for the trauma of interest by postdoctoral and graduate level assessors trained to reliability.¹⁰⁵ The SCID-IV was used to assess lifetime PTSD (not current) for a single trauma not the focus of treatment.⁸² Respondents were considered to have a current PTSD diagnosis if they met DSM-IV diagnostic criteria and had a PSS-I score of 25 or greater. The PSS-I also provides PTSD symptom severity, with a range from 0 to 51. For this cohort (118 cases), the mean severity was 30.36 and the standard deviation 6.91. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Institutional Review Board of University Hospitals approved this study.

Portugal (PORT; Supplementary Table 1 #20)

See reference for details.¹⁸⁸ Potentially traumatic events were identified using CIDI, which included a module on DSM-IV PTSD that inquired about lifetime exposure to each of 27 different traumatic events (criterion A1). Respondents who reported ever experiencing any of the traumatic events were then asked about the number of exposures (NOE) and age at first exposure (AOE) for each.¹⁷⁸ The CIDI was used to assess PTSD over the prior Lifetime DSM-IV

PTSD and other common DSM-IV disorders.¹⁷⁸ DNA isolated from saliva. The Institutional Review Board of the Nova Medical School, Portugal, approved this study.

PRISMO (PRIS; Supplementary Table 1 #25)

See reference for details.¹⁸⁹ Potentially traumatic events were identified using a 19-item checklist.¹⁸⁹ The Dutch Self-Rating Inventory for PTSD (SRIP) was used to assess PTSD one month prior to deployment and up to 2 years after deployment.¹⁹⁰ Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if a SRIP total score of ≥ 38 at any measurement was met. The SRIP calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 22 to 88, by self-report. For this cohort (144 Cases, 815 Controls), the mean severity was 27.30 and the standard deviation 6.38. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from whole blood. The Institutional Review Board of Utrecht University Medical Center approved this study.

Pregnancy Outcomes, Maternal and Infant Study Cohort (PROM; Supplementary Table 1 #23)

See reference for details.¹⁹¹ Potentially traumatic events were experiences of intimate partner violence [identified using Demographic Health Survey Questionnaires and Modules: Domestic Violence Module¹⁹² and the World Health Organization Multi-Country Study on Violence Against Women]¹⁹³ and history of childhood abuse [identified using the Childhood Physical and Sexual Abuse Questionnaire adopted from the Center for Disease Control and Prevention Adverse Childhood Experiences Study].¹⁹⁴ The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist – Civilian Version (PCL-C) was used to assess PTSD over the prior month.¹⁹⁵ The PCL-C is a self-report measure with 17 items reflecting DSM-IV symptoms of PTSD and closely follows the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria. For each item, participants were asked how bothered they were by a symptom over the past month on a 5-point Likert scale ranging from 1: “not at all” to 5: “extremely” in regards to their most significant life event stressor. The total score on the PCL-C ranges from 17 to 85. Recent data from our team support that a PCL-C score of 26 or higher on the Spanish-language version, is associated with an 86% sensitivity and 63% specificity in diagnosing PTSD in a Peruvian population using the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders (DSM-IV) criteria.¹⁹⁵

All study procedures were approved by the Instituto Nacional Materno Perinatal in Lima, Peru, and the Office of Human Research Administration at the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Boston, MA.

Readiness and Resilience in National Guard Soldiers (RING; Supplementary Table 1 #33)

See reference for details.¹⁹⁶ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-IV (CAPS-IV) and items from the Deployment Risk and Resilience Inventory (DRRI)^{81,121} The CAPS-IV and the PTSD Checklist (PCL) were also used to assess PTSD currently (over the prior 2 weeks) and over the lifetime by trained interviewers and through self-report.^{81,197} The PCL calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17 to 73 currently and 17 to 85 lifetime, by self-report. For this cohort (41 Cases, 162 Controls), the mean current severity was 34.03 and the standard deviation was 13.48. The mean lifetime severity was 33.89 and the standard deviation was 12.98. Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if they had a PCL score ≥ 50 at the one-year post-deployment time point. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if the same criteria were met at the two-year post-deployment time point. The Institutional Review Board of the Minneapolis VA Health Care System approved this study.

Risbrough/Norman randomized controlled psychotherapy trial (VRIS; Supplementary Table 1 #58)

See reference for details.¹⁹⁸ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the life event checklist. The CAPS was used to assess PTSD over the prior over the past month by interviewers.¹⁴³ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if DSM-5 diagnostic criteria using the CAPS-5 (a criterion A trauma and 2 or higher on 1 re-experiencing symptom (criterion b), 1 avoidance symptom (criterion c), 2 negative alterations in cognitions or mood (criterion d), and 2 hyperarousal symptoms (criterion e)) were met. The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 80 by clinician rater of symptoms and severity. For this cohort (73 Cases, 5 sub-clinical), the mean severity was 42 and the standard deviation 9.6. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva using Oragene. The Institutional Review Board of The San Diego VA approved this study.

Shared Roots (SHRS; Supplementary Table 1 #46)

See reference for details.¹⁴¹ Potentially traumatic events were identified using The Life Events Checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5).¹⁴¹ The Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5)¹⁴³ was administered by clinicians to assess PTSD over the prior month. The CAPS-5 and the PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5)¹³⁴ calculates PTSD symptom severity, with a score range of 0 to 80, by adding scores ranging from 0 to 4 for all twenty items. For this cohort (164 Cases, 164 Controls), the mean severity on the PCL-5 was 33.0 and the standard

deviation 23.9. A lifetime diagnosis of PTSD was not assessed for in this study. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if DSM-5¹⁹⁹ criteria based on the CAPS-5 were met. The Institutional Review Board of Stellenbosch University approved this study.

Southeastern Europe PTSD (SEEP; Supplementary Table 1 #49)

See reference for details.²⁰⁰ Potentially traumatic events were identified using Life Stressor List and List of traumatic events including frequency and severity of traumatic events.²⁰¹ The CAPS was used to assess lifetime PTSD by medical personnel (psychiatrists, psychologists or psychiatric residents).⁸¹ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if DSM-IV criteria were met over lifetime. The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 27 to 141. For this cohort (347 Cases, 339 Controls), the mean severity was 74.3 and the standard deviation 20.2. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from EDTA blood. The Institutional Review Board of the universities of Sarajevo, Zagreb, Tuzla, Mostar, Prishtina and Würzburg approved this study.

Study of Aftereffects of Trauma: Understanding Response in National Guard (SATU; Supplementary Table 1 #11)

See reference for details.²⁰² Potentially traumatic events were identified using Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale for DSM-IV (CAPS-IV).⁸¹ The CAPS-IV was also used to assess PTSD currently (over the prior 2 weeks) and over the lifetime by trained interviewers⁸¹. The CAPS-IV calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 105. For this cohort (88 Cases, 62 Controls), the mean severity was 50.33 and the standard deviation 24.55. Respondents were considered to have a lifetime diagnosis if they met DSM-IV criteria for PTSD (measured using CAPS-IV) either current or past. Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis if they met DSM-IV criteria for PTSD (measured using CAPS-IV) at the time of the assessment. The Institutional Review Board of the Minneapolis VA Health Care System approved this study.

Sydney Neuroimaging (BRY2; Supplementary Table 1 #36)

Potentially traumatic events were identified using clinical interview to identify history of traumatic events. The CAPS was used to assess PTSD over the prior 4 weeks by Masters level clinical interviewers.⁸¹ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis if DSM-IV criteria were met. The CAPS calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 136, by clinical interview. For this cohort (82 Cases, 86 Controls), the mean severity was 39.67 and the standard deviation 31.75. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from saliva. The

Institutional Review Board of Western Sydney Area Health Service approved this study.

VA Boston-National Center for PTSD Study (NCPT, TRAC; Supplementary Table 1 #31, Supplementary Table 1 #32)

A total of 437 white non-Hispanic cases and 215 trauma-exposed controls is the composite of two datasets. The first from a cohort of white non-Hispanic subjects as described in a previous GWAS⁸ that passed ancestry filters as performed using SNPweights²⁰³ according to PGC-PTSD protocols (300 cases and 165 controls; 305 males and 160 females). The majority of this sample consisted of US veterans, but also included the intimate partners of a subset of the veterans. The second cohort was made up of subjects from the Translational Research Center for TBI and Stress Disorders, a VA RR&D Traumatic Brain Injury Center of Excellence at VA Boston Healthcare System (TRACTS) study of US veterans. From TRACTS, 137 white non-Hispanic cases and 50 controls passed ancestry filters based on SNPweights and were included in the analysis. The TRACTS sample is largely male (170 men and 17 women). The genotyping, quality control, filtering and imputation for these cohorts has been described in detail elsewhere.^{8,204} Briefly, genotyping was performed using the Illumina HumanOmni2.5-8 microarrays (Illumina, San Diego, CA). Imputation of non-genotyped SNPs was performed using IMPUTE2²⁰⁵⁻²⁰⁸ and 1000 genomes phase 1 reference data.²⁰⁹ Principal components were generated by the program EIGENSTRAT²¹⁰ based on 100,000 SNPs. For both cohorts, participants were administered the Clinician-administered PTSD scale for DSM-IV (CAPS-IV),⁸¹ a 30-item structured diagnostic interview that assesses the frequency and severity of the 17 DSM-IV PTSD symptoms, 5 associated features and functional impairment, and both current and lifetime PTSD symptoms. These studies were performed under the oversight of the appropriate VA health care facilities institutional review boards.

UK Biobank Cohort Description for PGC-PTSD (UKBB; Supplementary Table 1 #60)

The UK Biobank is an epidemiological resource assessing a range of health-related phenotypes in approximately 500,000 British individuals who were recruited between the ages of 40 and 70.²¹¹ Genome-wide genotype data is available on all participants, as well as a broad range of health phenotypes assessed at varying intensity. Data from an online follow-up questionnaire assessing common mental health traits, including questions designed to screen for PTSD, was available on 157,366 individuals.¹⁶

Phenotype: PTSD phenotypes were derived from the mental health online follow-up of the UK Biobank (Resource 22 on <http://biobank.ctsu.ox.ac.uk>). Participants were asked six questions derived from the brief civilian version of the PTSD Checklist Screener (PCL-S;¹⁵⁷) assessing PTSD symptoms in the prior month. Questions comprised three initial questions related to avoidance of activities, disturbing thoughts, and feeling upset, and three further questions related to feeling distant, feeling irritable and having trouble concentrating (UK Biobank fields 20494-20498, 20508). Each item was scored on a five-point scale according to the amount of concern caused by that item in the past month (1="Not at all" to 5="Extremely"). The final item concerning trouble concentrating was drawn from an equivalent item from the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ9) depression questionnaire and was scored on a four-point scale according to frequency of difficulties (1="Not at all" and 4="Nearly every day"). All items were summed for each individual to yield a total score ranging 3-29. Cases were defined as all individuals with a PCL-S score ≥ 13 , controls as all individuals who responded to all of the initial three questions and had PCL-S score ≤ 12 .

Two sensitivity analyses were performed to assess the stability of the UK Biobank PTSD phenotype. Firstly, controls were limited only to those who answered all initial questions with "Not at all" and so had a PCL-S score = 3. Secondly, PTSD cases and controls were limited to those reporting a lifetime trauma exposure. To assess the impact of reported trauma exposure on the PTSD phenotype, a trauma exposure measure was derived from questions in the mental health online follow-up that related to common triggers of post-traumatic stress-disorder.¹⁶ These questions asked if participants had ever: experienced combat; had a life-threatening accident; been diagnosed with a life-threatening illness; been a victim of a physically violent crime; been a victim of sexual assault; or witnessed a sudden violent death. Responses were combined to a single variable capturing any report of traumatic experience versus no report.

Genetic quality control: Genetic data for analyses was obtained from the full release of the UK Biobank data (N=487,410).²¹² Individuals were removed if this was recommended by the UK Biobank for unusual levels of missingness or heterozygosity; if call rate $< 98\%$ on genotyped SNPs; if they were related to another individual in the dataset (KING $r < 0.044$, equivalent to removing up to third-degree relatives inclusive); and if the phenotypic and genotypic gender information was discordant (X-chromosome homozygosity (FX) < 0.9 for phenotypic males, FX > 0.5 for phenotypic females). Removal of relatives was performed using a greedy algorithm, which minimise exclusions (for example, by excluding the child in a mother-father-child trio). All analyses were limited to individuals of White Western European ancestry, as defined by 4-means clustering on the first two genetic principal components provided by the UK

Biobank.²¹³ Principal components analysis was also performed on the European-only subset of the data using the software package flashpca2²¹⁴. After quality control, individuals were excluded from analysis if they did not complete the mental health online questionnaire (N=126,522).

Genetic analyses used imputed variants provided by the UK Biobank.²¹² Autosomal genotype data from two highly-overlapping custom genotyping arrays (covering ~800,000 markers) underwent centralised quality control to remove genotyping errors before being imputed in a two-stage imputation to the Haplotype Reference Consortium (HRC) and UK10K (for rarer variants not present in the HRC) reference panels^(215;216;212). In addition, this central quality control, variants for analysis were limited to common variants (minor allele frequency > 0.01) imputed with higher confidence (IMPUTE INFO metric > 0.4). In addition, only variants that were directly genotyped or that were imputed from the HRC were included.²¹⁵

Genome-wide association analyses: Prior to analysis, PTSD status was residualised on six principal components from the genetic data and factors capturing genotyping batch and recruitment centre, using logistic regression. GWAS were then performed on the resulting deviance residuals using linear regressions on imputed genotype dosages in BGenie v1.2, software written for genetic analyses of UK Biobank.²¹²

Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging (VETS; Supplementary Table 1 #24)

See references for details.^{217,218} Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Combat Exposure Index²¹⁹ and the Diagnostic Interview Schedule Version III-Revised (DIS-III-R).^{DIS-III-R; 220} The Vietnam Era Twin Registry PTSD scale²²¹ (administered at average age 38) was used to assess PTSD symptoms over the past 6 months and the PCL-civilian version for DSM-IV²²² (administered at average age 62) was used to assess PTSD over the prior month. These two instruments correlate 0.90 when administered at the same time.²²³ The 17-item PCL calculated PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 17 to 84. Each response was rated on a 1-5 scale (from “not at all” to “extremely”). For this cohort (60 Cases, 841 Controls), the mean severity was 26.2 and the standard deviation was 10.5. For this analysis, respondents were considered to have a diagnosis of PTSD if they met DSM-III-R criteria based on the DIS-III-R interview. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. Genotyping was performed by deCODE Genetics, Reykjavik, Iceland. The Institutional Review Boards of the University of California, San Diego, Boston University, and the Puget Sound VA Healthcare System approved this study.

The Women and Children's Health Study (WACH; Supplementary Table 1 #43)

See reference for details.²²⁴ Potentially traumatic events were identified using the Life Events Checklist (LEC) for DSM-5.¹⁴¹ The PTSD Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5) was used to assess PTSD over the lifetime by interviewers.²²⁵ Respondents were considered to have a diagnosis of PTSD if the PCL-5 score was ≥ 38 . Respondents were considered to have a current diagnosis based only upon self-report during the interview. The PCL-5 calculates PTSD symptom severity, which ranged from 0 to 79. For this cohort (151 Cases, 150 Controls), the mean severity was 52.4 (SD: 11.2) for cases and 31.0 (SD: 23.5) for controls. DNA for GWAS analysis was isolated from blood. The Institutional Review Board of the Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center-New Orleans approved this study.

Yale-Penn Study (GSDC; Supplementary Table 1 #6)

See reference for details.²²⁶ Sample collection and diagnostic interviews were performed by trained interviewers using the Semi-Structured Assessment for Drug Dependence and Alcoholism (SSADDA; available at https://zork.wustl.edu/nida/study_descriptions/study_1/ssaddav11_2_ns.pdf) to derive diagnoses for lifetime psychiatric and substance use disorders based on DSM-IV criteria. Twelve types of traumatic events were assessed: experienced direct combat in a war; seriously physically attacked or assaulted; physically abused as a child; seriously neglected as a child; raped; sexually molested or assaulted; threatened with a weapon; held captive or kidnapped; witnessed someone being badly injured or killed; involved in a flood, fire, or other natural disaster; involved in a life-threatening accident; suffered a great shock because one of the above events happened to someone close to you; and other. Participants were asked to list up to three traumatic events and describe the trauma in detail. Those reporting traumatic experiences were then interviewed for potential PTSD symptoms. After the data were scored, PTSD diagnoses were generated based on DSM-IV criteria. The institutional review boards at Yale University School of Medicine, the University of Connecticut Health Center, the University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine, the Medical University of South Carolina, and McLean Hospital approved the study.

Data assimilation

Subjects were genotyped on a range of Illumina genotyping arrays (exception: UKBB was genotyped on the Affymetrix Axiom array). At the time of analysis, direct access to individual-level genotypes was permitted for 65,555 subjects. For these, pre-QC'ed genotype data was deposited on the LISA server for central

data processing and analysis, using the standard PGC pipelines (<https://sites.google.com/a/broadinstitute.org/ricopili/> and <https://github.com/orgs/Nealelab/teams/ricopili>). Studies with data sharing restrictions (8 studies, N = 137,114 subjects) performed analyses off site using identical pipelines unless otherwise indicated (**Supplementary Table 1**). Such studies then shared summary results for meta-analyses.

Global Ancestry Determination

To determine consistent global ancestry estimates across studies, each subject was run through a standardized pipeline, based on SNPweights²⁰³ of 10,000 ancestry informative markers genotyped in a reference panel including 2,911 unique subjects from 71 diverse populations and 6 continental groups (K=6)²²⁷ (https://github.com/nievergeltlab/global_ancestry). Pre-QC genotypes were used for these analyses.

For the present GWA studies, subjects were placed into 3 large, homogeneous groupings, using previously established cut-offs (**Supplementary Table 2**): European and European Americans (EUA; subjects with $\geq 90\%$ European ancestry), African and African-Americans (AFA; subjects with $\geq 5\%$ African ancestry, $< 90\%$ European ancestry, $< 5\%$ East Asian, Native American, Oceanian, and Central-South Asian ancestry; and subjects with $\geq 50\%$ African ancestry, $< 5\%$ Native American, Oceanian, and $< 1\%$ Asian ancestry), and Latinos (AMA; subjects with $\geq 5\%$ Native American ancestry, $< 90\%$ European, $< 5\%$ African, East Asian, Oceanian, and Central-South Asian ancestry). Native Americans (subjects with $\geq 60\%$ Native American ancestry, $< 20\%$ East Asian, $< 15\%$ Central-South Asian, and $< 5\%$ African and Oceanian ancestry) were grouped together with AMA. All other subjects were excluded from the current analyses (N=6,740). **Supplementary Figure 1** shows the ancestry grouping used for GWAS of 69,484 subjects for which individual-level genotype data was available to the PGC. The ancestry pipeline was shared with external sites in order to ensure consistency in ancestry calling across cohorts.

Genotype quality control

The standard PGC pipeline RICOPILI was used to perform QC, but modifications were made to allow for ancestrally diverse data. In the modified pipeline, each dataset was processed separately, including subjects of all ancestries. Sample exclusion criteria: using SNPs with call rates $> 95\%$, samples were excluded with call rates $< 98\%$, deviation from expected inbreeding coefficient ($f_{het} < -0.2$ or > 0.2), or a sex discrepancy between reported and estimated sex based on inbreeding coefficients calculated from SNPs on X chromosomes. Marker exclusion criteria: SNPs were excluded for call rates $< 98\%$, a $> 2\%$ difference in

missing genotypes between cases and controls, or being monomorphic. Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (HWE): the modified pipeline identified the largest ancestry group in the data, identified SNPs with a HWE p-value $<1 \times 10^{-6}$ in controls, and excluded these SNPs in all subjects of the specific datasets, irrespective of ancestry.

Relatedness within studies

Within-study relatedness was estimated using the IBS function in PLINK 1.9.²²⁸ From each pair with relatedness $\hat{\pi} > 0.2$, one individual was removed from further analysis, retaining cases where possible.

Calculation of principal components (PC's) for GWAS

For each dataset, unrelated subjects were subset into the 3 ancestry groups (EUA, AFA, AMA; **Supplementary Tables 3-5**) for analysis. SNPs were excluded that had a MAF $< 5\%$, HWE $p > 1 \times 10^{-3}$, call rate $< 98\%$, were ambiguous (A/T, G/C), or due to being located in the MHC region (chr. 6, 25-35 MB) or chromosome 8 inversion (chr. 8, 7-13 MB). SNPs were pairwise LD-pruned ($r^2 > 0.2$) and a random 100K markers were used to calculate 3 sets of PC's based on the smartPCA algorithm in EIGENSTRAT.²¹⁰

Imputation

Imputation was based on the 1000 Genomes phase 3 data (1KGP phase 3²²⁹). Any dataset using a human genome assembly version prior to GRCh37 (hg19) was lifted over to GRCh37 (hg19). SNP alignment proceeded as follows: for each dataset, SNPs were aligned to the same strand as the 1KGP phase 3 data. For ambiguous markers, the largest ancestry group was used to calculate allele frequencies and only SNPs with MAF $< 40\%$ and $\leq 15\%$ difference between matching 1KGP phase 3 ancestry data were retained. Pre-phasing was performed using default settings in SHAPEIT2 v2.r837²³⁰ without reference subjects, and phasing was done in 3 megabase (MB) blocks, where an additional 1 MB of buffer was added to either end of the block. Haplotypes were then imputed using default settings in IMPUTE2 v2.2.2,²⁰⁵ with 1KGP phase 3 reference data and genetic map, a 1 MB buffer, and effective population size set to 20,000. RICOPILI default filters for MAF and Info were removed since analyses were run across ancestry groups at this step. Imputed datasets were deposited with the PGC DAC and are available for approved requests.

Main GWAS

The analysis strategy for the main association analyses is shown in **Supplementary Tables 3-5**. Analyses were performed separately for each study

and ancestry group, unless otherwise indicated. The minimum number of subjects per analysis unit was set at 50 cases and 50 controls, or a total of at least 200 subjects, and subsets of smaller size were excluded. Smaller studies of similar composition were genotyped jointly in preparation for joint analyses. For studies with unrelated subjects, imputed SNP dosages were tested for association with PTSD under an additive model using logistic regression in PLINK 1.9, including the first five PC's as covariates. For family and twin studies (VETSA, QIMR), analyses were performed using linear mixed models in GEMMA v0.96²³¹, including a genetic relatedness matrix (GRM) as a random effect to account for population structure and relatedness, and the first five PC's as covariates. The UKBB data (UKBB) were analyzed with BGenie v1.2 (<https://www.biorxiv.org/content/early/2017/07/20/166298>) using a linear regression with 6 PCs, and batch and center indicator variables as covariates (see cohort description for details). In addition, all GWAS analyses were also performed stratified by sex.

Meta analyses

Summary statistics on the linear scale (from GEMMA and BGenie) were converted to a logistic scale prior to meta-analysis (for formula see²³²). Within each dataset and ancestry group, summary statistics were filtered to MAF $\geq 1\%$ and PLINK INFO score ≥ 0.6 . Meta-analyses across studies were performed within each of the 3 ancestry groups and across all ancestry groups. Inverse variance weighted fixed effects meta-analysis was performed with METAL (v. March25 2011).²³³ Heterogeneity between datasets was tested with a Cochran test and for nominally significant Q-values, a Han-Eskin random effects model (RE-HE) meta-analysis was performed with METASOFT v.2.0.1.²³⁴ Markers with summary statistics in less than 25% of the total effective sample size or present in less than 3 studies were removed from meta-analyses. Quantile-quantile (QQ) plot of expected versus observed $-\log_{10}$ p-values included imputed and genotyped SNPs at MAF $\geq 1\%$.

For genome-wide significant hits, Forest plots and PM-Plots ($-\log_{10}$ (P-values vs. M-values)) were generated using the programs METASOFT with default settings and M-values were generated using the MCMC option.^{17,235} Regional association plots were generated using LocusZoom²³⁶ with 400KB windows around the index variant and compared to the corresponding windows in the other ancestry groups, including the 1000 Genomes Nov. 2014 reference populations EUR, AFR, and AMR, respectively. To test for sex-specific effects, a z-test was performed on the difference of the effect estimates from male and female sex-stratified analyses.

Comparability of PGC2 studies

To evaluate the comparability of the 60 different studies, each EUA GWAS was assigned at random to one of two groups using a biased coin design (**Supplementary Figure 2**). A Bayesian rule was used to set the level of bias²³⁷, where the sample size parameters were set to the effective sample size ($4/(1/N \text{ cases} + 1/N \text{ controls})$) of each group and gamma was set to 5×10^{-5} . After all datasets were assigned to a group, summary statistics of GWAS were inverse variance weighted meta-analyzed in METAL²³³. Genetic correlations (r_g) between groups were calculated in LDSC¹⁵ using meta-analysis summary statistics. Ten repetitions of random assignment and subsequent r_g calculation were performed.

Similarly, LDSC was used to calculate genetic correlation between UKBB and a GWAS meta-analysis including all Freeze 1.5 EUA subjects, between male and female subsets, as well as between different phenotype selections in UKBB.

Local ancestry deconvolution

A pipeline was developed to determine local ancestry in subjects with African and/or Native American admixture (AFA, AMA; **Supplementary Figure 3**). Additional QC to consistently prepare cohort data for downstream analysis was performed with a custom script (<https://github.com/eatkinson/Post-QC>). Post-QC steps involved extracting autosomal data, removing duplicate loci, updating SNP IDs to dbSNP 144,²³⁸ orienting data to the 1KGP reference (with removal of indels and loci that either were not found in 1KGP or that had different coding alleles), flipping alleles that were on the wrong strand, and removing ambiguous SNPs.

Data harmonization and phasing: We then intersected and jointly phased the post-QC'ed cohort data with autosomal data from 247 1KGP reference panel individuals, removing conflicting sites and flipping any remaining strand flips. The merged dataset was then filtered to include only informative SNPs present in both the cohort and reference panel using a filter of $MAF \geq 0.05$ and a genotype missingness cutoff of 90%. The program SHAPEIT2²³⁹ was used to phase chromosomes, informed by the HapMap combined b37 recombination map.²⁴⁰ Individuals from the cohort and reference panel were then separated and exported as harmonized sample and reference panel VCFs to be fed into Rfmix.²⁴¹

Reference panel: Three ancestral populations of European, African, and Native American ancestry were chosen for the admixed AFA cohorts based on ancestry proportion estimates from SNPweights runs. All reference populations were taken from 1KGP phase 3 data.²⁴² Specifically, 108 West African Bantu-speaking YRI were used as the African reference population, 99 CEU comprised the European reference, and 40 PEL of >85% Native American ancestry were

used as the Native American reference panel. Individuals used as the reference panel can be found on (<https://github.com/eatkinson>).

Local ancestry inference (LAI) parameters: LAI was run on each cohort separately using RFMix version 2²⁴¹ (<https://github.com/slowkoni/rfmix>) with 1 EM iteration and a window size of 0.2 cM. We used the HapMap b37 recombination map²⁴⁰ to inform switches. The -n 5 flag (terminal node size for random forest trees) was included to account for an unequal number of reference individuals per reference population. We additionally used the --reanalyze-reference flag, which recalculates admixture in the reference samples for improved ability to distinguish ancestries.

Local ancestry of genome-wide significant variants: Haplotypes of the genomic regions around genome-wide significant associations were aligned to the local ancestry calls according to genomic position. To compare MAF of top hits on different ancestral backgrounds within a specific admixed population (AFA or AMA), subjects were grouped according to the number of copies (1 or 2) of a specific ancestry (European, African, and Native American, respectively) at that position. For a given SNP, MAF was calculated within each of the 6 groups. To ensure successful elimination of population stratification by standard global PC's in regression analyses of admixed populations, two (out of 3, to reduce redundancy) local ancestry dosage covariates were included, coded as the number of copies (0, 1, or 2) from a given ancestral background. Finally, to compare if effects of the minor allele depend on a specific ancestral background (European, African, and Native American), for each SNP, we coded variables that counted the number of copies of the minor allele per ancestral background. Association between these three variables and PTSD were jointly evaluated using a logistic regression, including study indicators and five global ancestry PC's as additional covariates.

Functional mapping and annotation

We used FUnctional Mapping and Annotation of genetic associations (FUMA) v1.3.0 (<http://fuma.ctglab.nl/>) to annotate GWAS data and obtain functional characterization of risk loci²⁴³. Annotations are based on human genome assembly GRCh37 (hg19). FUMA was used with default settings unless stated otherwise. The SNP2Gene module was used to define independent genomic risk loci and variants in LD with lead SNPs ($r^2 > 0.6$, calculated using ancestry appropriate 1KGP reference genotypes). SNPs in risk loci were mapped to protein coding genes with a 10kb window. Functional consequences for SNPs were obtained by mapping the SNPs on their chromosomal position and reference alleles to databases containing known functional annotations, including ANNOVAR, Combined Annotation Dependent Depletion (CADD), RegulomeDB

(RDB), and chromatin states (only brain tissues/cell types were selected). Next eQTL mapping was performed on significant (FDR $q < 0.05$) SNP-gene pairs, mapping to GTEx v7 brain tissue, RNAseq data from the CommonMind Consortium and the BRAINEAC database. Chromatin interaction mapping was performed using built-in chromatin interaction data from the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex, hippocampus and neuronal progenitor cell line. We used a FDR $q < 1 \times 10^{-5}$ to define significant interactions, based on previous recommendations, modified to account for the differences in cell lines used here. SNPs were also checked for previously reported phenotypic associations in published GWAS listed in the NHGRI-EBI catalog.

Gene-based and gene set analysis with MAGMA

Gene-based analysis was performed with the FUMA implementation of MAGMA. SNPs were mapped to 18,222 protein coding genes. For each gene, its association with PTSD was determined as the weighted mean χ^2 test statistic of SNPs mapped to the gene, where LD patterns were calculated using ancestry appropriate 1KGP reference genotypes. Significance of genes was set at a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $p = 0.05/18,222 = 2.7 \times 10^{-6}$.

To see if specific biological pathways were implicated in PTSD, gene-based test statistics were used to perform a competitive set-based analysis of 10,894 pre-defined curated gene sets and GO terms obtained from MsigDB using MAGMA. Significance of pathways was set at a Bonferroni-corrected threshold of $p = 0.05/10,894 = 4.6 \times 10^{-6}$. To test if tissue-specific gene expression was associated with PTSD, gene set-based analysis was also used with expression data from GTEx v7 RNA-seq and BrainSpan RNA-seq, where the expression of genes within specific tissues were used to define the gene properties used in the gene-set analysis model.

Functional follow-up of the African ancestry top hit rs115539978

Cell Culture Experiments, RNA extraction and qPCR: Lymphoblastoid cell lines (LCLs) from the AFR superpopulation were obtained from the Coriell Institute, NJ (**Supplementary Table 6**, N = 6 lines each for the homozygous major and homozygous minor allele). Cells were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium with GlutaMAX (Thermo Scientific, 61870-036) supplemented with 15% FBS (Thermo Scientific, 26140079) and 1X Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Thermo Scientific, 15240-062) at 37C and 5% CO₂ in a humidified incubator. For Dexamethasone (Dex) treatment, a final concentration of 100nM Dex (Sigma Aldrich) in 100% Ethanol was added to the medium for a total of 4 hrs. All experiments were run in duplicates.

RNA was extracted using the Quick-RNA MiniPrep Kit (Zymo, R2060) according to the instructions of the manufacturer including an additional DNase digestion. RNA concentrations were quantified via Qubit and cDNA was generated using the SuperScript IV First Strand Kit (Life Technologies, 18091200) according to the manufacturers instructions. SYBR green qPCR reactions were carried out in duplicates using POWERUP SYBR Green Master Mix (Life Technologies, A25743) and published²⁴⁴ or custom primer pairs (**Supplementary Table 7**) according to the manufacturers recommendations. Data were analyzed using the $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method²⁴⁵ and GAPDH as reference. Between group differences were calculated using one-way ANCOVA with sex as covariate. Significance threshold was set at $p = 0.05$.

Deep phenotyping exploration of the African ancestry top hit rs115539978

Neuroimaging: Scanning of 87 GTPC subjects took place on a 3.0 T Siemens Trio with echo-planar imaging (Siemens, Malvern, PA). High-resolution T1-weighted anatomical scans were collected using a 3D MP-RAGE sequence, with 176 contiguous 1 mm sagittal slices (TR/TE/TI = 2000/3.02/900 ms, 1 mm³ voxel size). T1 images were processed in Freesurfer version 5.3 (<https://surfer.nmr.mgh.harvard.edu>). Gray matter volume from subcortical structures was extracted through automated segmentation, and data quality checks were performed following the ENIGMA 2 protocol (<http://enigma.ini.usc.edu/protocols/imaging-protocols/>), a method designed to standardize quality control procedures across laboratories to facilitate replication. Briefly, segmented T1 images were visually examined for errors, and summary statistics and a summary of outliers $\pm 3 SD$ from the mean were generated from the segmentation of the left and right amygdala and hippocampus. Regional volumes that were visually confirmed to contain a segmentation error were discarded.

Startle Physiology: The physiological data of 299 GTPC subjects were acquired using Biopac MP150 for Windows (Biopac Systems, Inc., Aero Camino, CA). The acquired data were filtered, rectified, and smoothed using MindWare software (MindWare Technologies, Ltd., Gahanna, OH) and exported for statistical analyses. Startle data were collected by recording the eyeblink muscle contraction using the electromyography (EMG) module of the Biopac system. The startle response was recorded with two Ag/AgCl electrodes; one was placed on the orbicularis oculi muscle below the pupil and the other 1cm lateral to the first electrode. A common ground electrode was placed on the palm. Impedance levels were less than 6 kilo-ohms for each participant. The startle probe was a 108-dB(A)SPL, 40 ms burst of broadband noise delivered through headphones (Maico, TDH-39-P). The maximum amplitude of the eyeblink muscle contraction

20–200 ms after presentation of the startle probe was used as a measure of startle magnitude.

Estimating PTSD heritability (h^2_{SNP})

SNP-based heritability estimates (h^2_{SNP}) in EUA subjects were calculated using meta-analysis data including summary-level data based on LD-score regression (LDSC). Estimates are calculated for the combined PGC freeze 2 samples and separately for PGC1.5 (without UKBB), the UK biobank (including alternative subject/phenotype selections), and for men and women. Unconstrained regression intercepts were used to allow for potential inclusion of related subjects and residual population stratification, and precomputed LD scores from 1KGP EUR populations were used. For population prevalence, conservative low (10%), moderate (30%) and very high (50%) population prevalences were assumed, as reported for subjects after trauma exposure (²⁴⁶), and sample prevalence was set to the actual proportion of cases in the data. The proportion of inflation of test statistics that was due to polygenic signal rather than other causes was estimated as $1 - (\text{LDSC intercept} - 1) / (\text{mean observed chi-square} - 1)$.

To compare h^2_{SNP} across different ancestries, an unweighted linear mixed ²⁴⁷ was used, as implemented in the LDAK software.²⁴⁸ For each ancestry group (EUA and AFA, respectively), imputed individual-level genotype data was filtered to bi-allelic SNPs with MAF $\geq 1\%$ in the corresponding 1KGP phase 3 super-population. Imputed genotype probabilities ≥ 0.8 were converted to best-guess genotype calls, and for each ancestry group, studies were merged and SNPs with $<95\%$ genotyping rate or MAF $<10\%$ removed. Second, to estimate relatedness between subjects, a genetic relatedness matrix (GRM) was constructed based on autosomal SNPs that were LD pruned at $r^2 > 0.2$ over a 1MB window, and an unweighted model with $\alpha = -1$, where α is the power parameter controlling the relationship between heritability and MAF. To prevent bias of h^2_{SNP} due to cryptic relatedness, strict relatedness filters were applied. For pairs with relatedness values $>$ the negative of the smallest observed kinship (-0.014 for EUA and -0.045 for AFA, respectively), one subject was randomly removed. PC's were then calculated in the remaining set of unrelated subjects. Finally, to estimate h^2_{SNP} , an unweighted GRM was estimated without LD-pruning, and h^2_{SNP} was calculated on the liability scale using REML in LDAK, including 5 PCs and dummy indicator variables for study (number of studies - 1) as covariates.

Polygenic scoring

Polygenic risk scores (PRS) were calculated in hold out samples based on SNP effect sizes from the UKBB PTSD GWAS and publicly released major depressive

disorder (MDD) GWAS (excluding 23andme; <https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads>). The larger female subset of the UKBB was used as a discovery set to calculate PRS in UKBB male subset. The overall UKBB was used as a discovery set to calculate PRS in PGC1.5 and PGC1.5 female subset. The overall MDD was used as a discovery set to calculate PRS in the female subset of PGC 1.5. Summary statistics were filtered to common (MAF > 5%), well imputed variants (INFO > 0.9). We also removed indels, ambiguous SNPs, and variants in the extended MHC region (chr6:25-34 Mb). LD pruning was performed using the --clump procedure in PLINK1.9, where variants were pruned if they were nearby (within 500 kb) and in LD ($r^2 > 0.3$) with the leading variant (lowest p-value) in a given region. PRS were calculated in PRSice v2.1.2 using the best guess genotype data of target samples, where for each SNP the risk score was estimated as the natural log of the odds ratio multiplied by number of copies of the risk allele. PRS was estimated as the sum of risk scores over all SNPs. PRS were generated at multiple p-value thresholds (pT) (0.001, 0.01, 0.05, 0.1, 0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 1). PRS were used to predict PTSD status under logistic regression, adjusting for 5 PCs and dummy study indicator variables, using the glm function in R 3.2.1. Plots of PRS were based on quintiles of PRS, where odds ratios were calculated in reference to the lowest quintile. For these plots, we used PRS calculated at pT=0.3 (pT=0.2 for MDD), as that was the approximate threshold that explained the most variance (most significant threshold) across analyses. The proportion of variance explained by PRS was estimated as the difference in Nagelkerke's R^2 between a model including PRS plus covariates and a model with only covariates. R^2 was converted to the liability scale assuming a 30% prevalence, using the equation found in Lee et al.²⁴⁹ P-values for PRS were derived from a likelihood ratio test comparing the two models.

Genetic correlations of PTSD with other traits and disorders

Bivariate LD score regression (LDSC) was used to calculate pairwise genetic correlation (r_g) between PTSD and 235 traits with publically available GWAS summary statistics on LD Hub.¹⁵ Summary statistics for PTSD studies were restricted to the EUA meta-analysis, including UKBB subjects (23,212 cases, 151,447 controls) and significance was evaluated based on a conservative Bonferroni correction for 235 phenotypes (i.e. correlated traits and traits measured twice in independent studies were counted independently).

In addition, these phenotypes were compared with genetic correlations reported for PTSD and several psychiatric disorders, including 221 phenotypes and MDD²², 172 phenotypes and Schizophrenia (SCZ)¹³, 196 phenotypes and bipolar disorder (BPD),²³ and 219 phenotypes and attention-deficit/hyperactivity disorder (ADHD).²⁴

Conditional analyses of PTSD top hits to test for disease specific effects

To evaluate if effects of top variants were specific to PTSD, we conditioned PTSD on MDD, and MDD plus BPD plus SCZ using the multi-trait conditional and joint analysis (mtCOJO)²⁷ feature in GCTA. MDD was selected here as the main psychiatric trait because of the high co-morbidity and genetic correlation of depressive symptoms and PTSD ($r_g = 0.80$ for depressive symptoms and $r_g = 0.62$ for MDD; see **Supplementary Table 15**). Publicly available summary statistics were supplied as program inputs: "Bipolar cases vs. controls"²⁵⁰ for BPD, and "MDD2 excluding 23andMe"²⁵¹ for MDD (both from <https://www.med.unc.edu/pgc/results-and-downloads>); "Schizophrenia: CLOZUK+PGC2 meta-analysis"²⁵² for SCZ (<http://walters.psychm.cf.ac.uk/>). The effect of each psychiatric disorder on PTSD was estimated using a generalized summary-data based Mendelian randomization analysis of significant LD independent psychiatric trait SNPs ($r^2 < 0.05$, based on 1000G Phase 3 CEU samples), where the threshold for significance was set to $p < 5 \times 10^{-7}$ due to having less than the required 10 significant independent SNPs at the program default $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$ for MDD. Estimates of heritability, genetic correlation, and sample overlap of psychiatric trait and PTSD GWAS were estimated using pre-computed LD scores based on 1000G Europeans that were supplied with LDSC (https://data.broadinstitute.org/alkesgroup/LDSCORE/eur_w_ld_chr.tar.bz2).

AFFILIATIONS

¹University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, CA, US. ²Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, Center of Excellence for Stress and Mental Health, San Diego, CA, US. ³Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, Research Service, San Diego, CA, US. ⁴Harvard Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Boston, MA, US. ⁵McLean Hospital, Belmont, MA, US. ⁶Broad Institute, Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Cambridge, MA, US. ⁷Massachusetts General Hospital, Analytic and Translational Genetics Unit, Boston, MA, US. ⁸Massachusetts General Hospital, Psychiatric and Neurodevelopmental Genetics Unit (PNGU), Boston, MA, US. ⁹Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Boston, MA, US. ¹⁰Massachusetts General Hospital, Department of Psychiatry, Boston, MA, US. ¹¹King's College London, Social, Genetic and Developmental Psychiatry Centre, Institute of Psychiatry, Psychology and Neuroscience, London, GB. ¹²King's College London, NIHR BRC at the Maudsley, London, GB. ¹³University of Cape Town, SA MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Cape Town, Western Cape, ZA. ¹⁴Stanford University, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Stanford, CA, US. ¹⁵VA Boston Healthcare System, National Center for PTSD, Boston, MA, US. ¹⁶TH Chan School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Boston, MA, US. ¹⁷Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, Psychiatry Service, San Diego, CA, US. ¹⁸University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Department of Epidemiology, Chapel Hill, NC, US. ¹⁹Carter Consulting, Atlanta, GA, US. ²⁰Virginia Institute for Psychiatric and Behavioral Genetics, Department of Psychiatry, Richmond, VA, US. ²¹The Danish Veteran Centre, Research and Knowledge Centre, Ringsted, Sjælland, DK. ²²University of Oslo, Institute of Clinical Medicine, Oslo, NO. ²³Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Mental Health Service Line, Minneapolis, MN, US. ²⁴Duke University, Department of Psychiatry, Durham, NC, US. ²⁵Boston Children's Hospital, Division of Adolescent and Young Adult Medicine, Boston, MA, US. ²⁶Brigham and Women's Hospital, Channing Division of Network Medicine, Boston, MA, US. ²⁷Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Social and Behavioral Sciences, Boston, MA, US. ²⁸University Clinical Center of Tuzla, Department of Psychiatry, Tuzla, BA. ²⁹University Clinical Center of Mostar, Department of Psychiatry, Mostar, BA. ³⁰Statens Serum Institut, Department for Congenital Disorders, Copenhagen, DK. ³¹The Lundbeck Foundation Initiative for Integrative Psychiatric Research, iPSYCH, , DK. ³²Duke University, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Durham, NC, US. ³³Durham VA Medical Center, Research, Durham, NC, US. ³⁴VA Mid-Atlantic Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC), Genetics

Research Laboratory, Durham, NC, US. ³⁵Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Saint Louis, MO, US. ³⁶Cardiff University, National Centre for Mental Health, MRC Centre for Psychiatric Genetics and Genomics, Cardiff, South Glamorgan, GB. ³⁷UMC Utrecht Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, Department of Translational Neuroscience, Utrecht, Utrecht, NL. ³⁸Aarhus University, Centre for Integrative Sequencing, iSEQ, Aarhus, DK. ³⁹Aarhus University, Department of Biomedicine - Human Genetics, Aarhus, DK. ⁴⁰Atlanta VA Health Care System, Mental Health Service Line, Decatur, GA, US. ⁴¹Emory University, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Atlanta, GA, US. ⁴²Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, School of Public Health and Department of Epidemiology, New Orleans, LA, US. ⁴³University of New South Wales, Department of Psychology, Sydney, NSW, AU. ⁴⁴University of Michigan Medical School, Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Department of Internal Medicine, Ann Arbor, MI, US. ⁴⁵University Hospitals, Department of Psychiatry, Cleveland, OH, US. ⁴⁶CEDOC -Chronic Diseases Research Centre, Lisbon Institute of Global Mental Health, Lisbon, PT. ⁴⁷University of California, San Diego, Department of Radiology, Department of Neurosciences, La Jolla, CA, US. ⁴⁸Cohen Veterans Bioscience, Cambridge, MA, US. ⁴⁹Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, Department of Psychiatry, New York, NY, US. ⁵⁰University Hospital of Würzburg, Center of Mental Health, Psychiatry, Psychosomatics and Psychotherapy, Würzburg, DE. ⁵¹Kent State University, Department of Psychological Sciences, Kent, OH, US. ⁵²Kent State University, Research and Sponsored Programs, Kent, OH, US. ⁵³Duke University, Duke Molecular Physiology Institute, Durham, NC, US. ⁵⁴Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Research Service Line, Minneapolis, MN, US. ⁵⁵Medical Center-University of Freiburg, Faculty of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Freiburg, DE. ⁵⁶University Clinical Center of Sarajevo, Department of Psychiatry, Sarajevo, BA. ⁵⁷Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Center for Care Delivery and Outcomes Research (CCDOR), Minneapolis, MN, US. ⁵⁸University of Minnesota, Department of Psychiatry, Minneapolis, MN, US. ⁵⁹Boston University School of Medicine, Department of Medicine, Boston, MA, US. ⁶⁰Case Western Reserve University, Department of Psychological Sciences, Cleveland, OH, US. ⁶¹University of Melbourne, Department of Psychiatry, Melbourne, VIC, AU. ⁶²Boston University, Department of Psychological and Brain Sciences, Boston, MA, US. ⁶³US Department of Veterans Affairs, Department of Psychiatry, West Haven, CT, US. ⁶⁴Yale University School of Medicine, Department of Genetics and Neuroscience, New Haven, CT, US. ⁶⁵Netherlands Ministry of Defence, Research Center Military Mental Healthcare, Utrecht, Utrecht, NL. ⁶⁶UMC Utrecht Brain Center Rudolf Magnus, Department of Psychiatry, Utrecht, Utrecht, NL. ⁶⁷University Clinical Centre of Kosovo,

Department of Psychiatry, Prishtina, Kosovo, XK. ⁶⁸QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Department of Genetics and Computational Biology, Brisbane, Queensland, AU. ⁶⁹US Army Medical Research and Materiel Command, USACEHR, Fort Detrick, MD, US. ⁷⁰Broad Institute, Stanley Center for Psychiatric Research, Cambridge, MA, US. ⁷¹Washington University in Saint Louis School of Medicine, Department of Genetics, Saint Louis, MO, US. ⁷²Stellenbosch University Faculty of Medicine and Health Sciences, Department of Psychiatry, Cape Town, Western Cape, ZA. ⁷³University Hospital Center of Zagreb, Department of Psychiatry, Zagreb, HR. ⁷⁴RTI International, Behavioral Health and Criminal Justice Division, Research Triangle Park, NC, US. ⁷⁵Kent State University, Department of Psychological Sciences, Kent, OH, US. ⁷⁶The Danish Veteran Center, Research and Knowledge Centre, Ringsted, Zealand, DK. ⁷⁷University of Copenhagen, Department of Psychology, Copenhagen, DK. ⁷⁸Harvard Medical School, Department of Health Care Policy, Boston, MA, US. ⁷⁹VA Mid-Atlantic Mental Illness Research, Education, and Clinical Center (MIRECC), Genetics Research Laboratory, Durham, North Carolina, US. ⁸⁰University of Michigan Medical School, Department of Psychiatry, Ann Arbor, MI, US. ⁸¹University of Cape Town, SA MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Cape Town, Observatory, Western Cape, ZA. ⁸²University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, Philadelphia, PA, US. ⁸³University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry and Department of Family Medicine and Public Health, La Jolla, CA, US. ⁸⁴Queensland University of Technology, IHBI, Brisbane, QLD, AU. ⁸⁵Queensland University of Technology, School of Biomedical Sciences, Brisbane, QLD, AU. ⁸⁶UNC Institute for Trauma Recovery, Department of Anesthesiology, Chapel Hill, NC, US. ⁸⁷Emory University, Department of Gynecology and Obstetrics, Atlanta, GA, US. ⁸⁸Boston University, Dean's Office, Boston, MA, US. ⁸⁹New York University, Department of Psychiatry, New York, NY, US. ⁹⁰United States Army, Command, Fort Sill, OK, US. ⁹¹University of Adelaide, Department of Psychiatry, Adelaide, South Australia, AU. ⁹²VA Boston Health Care System, GRECC/TRACTS, Boston, MA, US. ⁹³Harvard University, Department of Psychology, Boston, MA, US. ⁹⁴UNC Institute for Trauma Recovery, Department of Emergency Medicine, Chapel Hill, NC, US. ⁹⁵Gallipoli Medical Research Institute, PTSD Initiative, Greenslopes, Queensland, AU. ⁹⁶Queensland University of Technology, Faculty of Health, Brisbane, QLD, AU. ⁹⁷Aarhus University Hospital, Psychosis Research Unit, Risskov, DK. ⁹⁸Aarhus University, Centre for Integrated Register-based Research, Aarhus, DK. ⁹⁹Aarhus University, National Centre for Register-Based Research, Aarhus, DK. ¹⁰⁰University of Copenhagen, Mental Health Services in the Capital Region of Denmark, Mental Health Center Copenhagen, Copenhagen, DK. ¹⁰¹National

Center for Post Traumatic Stress Disorder, Executive Division, White River Junction, VT, US. ¹⁰²Veterans Affairs San Diego Healthcare System, Department of Research and Psychiatry, San Diego, CA, US. ¹⁰³Northern Illinois University, Department of Psychology, DeKalb, IL, US. ¹⁰⁴University of California San Diego, Department of Psychiatry, La Jolla, California, US. ¹⁰⁵University of Texas San Antonio, Department of Psychology, San Antonio, TX, US. ¹⁰⁶University of Washington, Department of Psychology, Seattle, WA, US. ¹⁰⁷U.S, Department of Veterans Affairs National Center for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder, West Haven, CT, US. ¹⁰⁸Yale University School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, New Haven, CT, US. ¹⁰⁹Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Department of Mental Health, Minneapolis, MN, US. ¹¹⁰Minneapolis VA Health Care System, Department of Psychology, Minneapolis, MN, US. ¹¹¹University of Minnesota System, Department of Psychiatry, Minneapolis, MN, US. ¹¹²Charité - Universitätsmedizin, Department of Psychiatry and Psychotherapy, Berlin, GE. ¹¹³Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health, Department of Environmental Health, Boston, MA, US. ¹¹⁴Medical University of South Carolina, Department of Nursing and Department of Psychiatry, Charleston, SC, US. ¹¹⁵Louisiana State University Health Sciences Center, School of Medicine and Department of Physiology, New Orleans, LA, US. ¹¹⁶Maastricht Universitair Medisch Centrum, School for Mental Health and Neuroscience, Department of Psychiatry and Neuropsychology, Maastricht, Limburg, NL. ¹¹⁷Universidad Peruana de Ciencias Aplicadas Facultad de Ciencias de la Salud, Department of Medicine, Lima, Lima, PE. ¹¹⁸Harvard Medical School, Department of Pediatrics, Boston, MA, US. ¹¹⁹University of Michigan, School of Nursing, Ann Arbor, Michigan, US. ¹²⁰University of New South Wales, Department of Psychiatry, Sydney, NSW, AU. ¹²¹Massachusetts General Hospital, Boston, MA, US. ¹²²University of Cape Town, SA MRC Unit on Risk & Resilience in Mental Disorders, Department of Psychiatry, Cape Town, ZA. ¹²³Columbia University Medical Center, Department of Medicine, New York, NY, US. ¹²⁴Mental Health Centre Sct, Hans, Institute of Biological Psychiatry, Roskilde, DK. ¹²⁵Oslo University Hospital, KG Jebsen Centre for Psychosis Research, Norway Division of Mental Health and Addiction, Oslo, NO. ¹²⁶University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Carl R. Woese Institute for Genomic Biology, Urbana, IL, US. ¹²⁷University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, Department of Psychology, Urbana, IL, US. ¹²⁸Uniformed Services University, Department of Psychiatry, Bethesda, Maryland, US. ¹²⁹Arg, Psychotrauma Research Expert Group, Diemen, NH, NL. ¹³⁰Leiden University Medical Center, Department of Psychiatry, Leiden, ZH, NL. ¹³¹Netherlands Defense Department, Research Center, Utrecht, UT, NL. ¹³²New York University School of Medicine, Department of Psychiatry, New York, NY, US. ¹³³Amsterdam UMC (location VUmc), Department of Anatomy and Neurosciences, Amsterdam,

Holland, NL. ¹³⁴Amsterdam UMC (location VUmc), Department of Psychiatry, Amsterdam, Holland, NL. ¹³⁵Medical University of South Carolina, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Charleston, SC, US. ¹³⁶Ralph H Johnson VA Medical Center, Department of Mental Health, Charleston, SC, US. ¹³⁷University of Copenhagen, Department of Clinical Medicine, Copenhagen, DK. ¹³⁸Durham VA Medical Center, Mental Health Service Line, Durham, NC, US. ¹³⁹James J Peters VA Medical Center, Department of Mental Health, Bronx, NY, US. ¹⁴⁰Baylor Scott and White Central Texas, Department of Psychiatry, Temple, TX, US. ¹⁴¹CTVHCS, Psychiatry Research, Temple, TX, US. ¹⁴²Queensland University of Technology, School of Psychology and Counseling, Brisbane, QLD, AU. ¹⁴³Yale University, Department of Biostatistics, New Haven, CT, US. ¹⁴⁴University of Washington, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Seattle, WA, US. ¹⁴⁵Harvard School of Public Health, Department of Epidemiology, Boston, MA, US.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was funded by NIMH/U.S. Army Medical Research and Materiel Command Grant R01MH106595 to CMN, IL, KJR, and KCK, and supported by 5U01MH109539. Statistical Analysis were carried out on the NL Genetic Cluster computer (URL) hosted by SURFsara. Genotyping of samples was provided in part through the Stanley Center for Psychiatric Genetics at the Broad Institute and the Cohen Veterans Bioscience. This research has been conducted using the UK biobank resource under application number 16577.

This work would have not been possible without the financial support provided by Stanley Center for Psychiatric Genetics at the Broad Institute, One Mind, and Cohen Veterans Bioscience. We are very grateful to the investigators who comprise the PGC-PTSD working group, and especially the more than 206,000 research participants worldwide who shared their life experiences and biological samples with PGC-PTSD investigators. Full acknowledgements are in the **Supplementary Note**.

AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS

PGC-PTSD management group:

M.H., K.C.K., I.L., C.M.N., A.C.P., K.J.R.

Writing group:

E.G.A., C.-Y.C., K.W.C., J.R.I.C., S.D., L.E.D., T.K., K.C.K., M.W.L., A.X.M., C.M.N., A. Ratanatharathorn, K.J.R., M.B.S., K.T.

Study PI or co-PI:

A.E.A., A.B.A., S.B. Andersen, P.A.A., S.B. Austin, E.A., D.B., D.G.B., J.C.B., L.J.B., J.I.B., A.D.B., B.B., G.B., J.R.C., M.J.D., J.D., D.L.D., K.D., A.D.-K., C.R.E., L.A.F., N.C.F., B.G., J.G., E.G., C.G., A.G.U., M.A.H., A.C.H., D.M.H., M. Jakovljevic, I.J., T.J., K.-I.K., M.L.K., R.C.K., N.A.K., K.C.K., H.R.K., W.S.K., B.R.L., I.L., M.J.L., C.M., N.G.M., M.R.M., R.E.M., K.A.M., S.A.M., D. Mehta, W.P.M., M.W.M., C.P.M., O.M., P.B.M, B.M.N., E.C.N., C.M.N., M.N., S.B.N., A.L.P., R.H.P., M.A.P., K.J.R., V.B.R., P.R.B., K.R., S.E.S., S.S., J.S.S., A.K.S., J.W.S., S.R.S., D.J.S., M.B.S., R.J.U., E.V., J.V., Z.W., T.W., D.E.W., C.W., R.Y., R.M.Y, H.Z., L.A.Z.

Obtained funding for studies:

A.B.A., P.A.A., S.B. Austin, J.C.B., L.J.B., A.D.B., B.B., G.B., J.D., C.R.E., N.C.F., J.D.F., C.E.F., E.G., C.G., M.H., R.H., M.A.H., A.C.H., D.M.H., M. Jett, E.O.J., T.J., K.-I.K., N.A.K., K.C.K., W.S.K., B.R.L., I.L., M.J.L., C.M., N.G.M., R.E.M., K.A.M., S.A.M., W.P.M., M.W.M., C.P.M., O.M., P.B.M, E.C.N., C.M.N., M.N., M.A.P., K.J.R., B.O.R., A.K.S., S.R.S., M.H.T., R.J.U., E.V., J.V., Z.W., T.W., D.E.W., R.M.Y, L.A.Z.

Clinical:

P.A.A., E.A., D.B., D.G.B., J.C.B., L.J.B., E.A.B., A.D.B., M.B., A.C.B., J.R.C., M.F.D., S.G.D., A.D.-K., C.R.E., N.C.F., J.D.F., C.E.F., S.G., E.G., A.G.U., G.G., R.H., D.M.H., M. Jakovljevic, E.O.J., A.G.J., K.-I.K., M.L.K., A.K., N.A.K., N.K., W.S.K., B.R.L., L.A.M.L., C.E.L., M.J.L., J.M.-K., D. Maurer, S.A.M., S.M., P.B.M, H.K.O., M.S.P., E.S.P., A.L.P., M.P., M.A.P., A.O.R., B.O.R., A. Rung, A.V.S., J.S.S., C.M.S., J.A.S., M.H.T., W.K.T., E.T., M.U., L.L.V.D.H., E.V., Y.W., Z.W., T.W., M.A.W., D.E.W., S.W., E.J.W., J.D.W., K.A.Y., L.A.Z.

Contributed data:

O.A.A., P.A.A., D.G.B., L.J.B., A.D.B., M.B., R.A.B., J.R.C., J.M.C.-D.-A., A.M.D., D.L.D., C.R.E., A.E., N.C.F., D.F., C.E.F., S.G., B.G., S.M.J.H., D.M.H., I.J., A.G.J., M.L.K., R.C.K., A.P.K., W.S.K., L.A.M.L., C.E.L., I.L., B.L., M.J.L., M.R.M., A.M., K.A.M., O.M., P.B.M, C.M.N., M.N., S.B.N., M.O., M.S.P., E.S.P., A.L.P., M.P., M.A.P., K.J.R., V.B.R., P.R.B., A. Rung, S.E.S., S.S., J.S.S., D. Silove, S.R.S., M.B.S., E.T., L.L.V.D.H., M.V.H., T.W., D.E.W., S.W., J.D.W., R.Y., K.A.Y., L.A.Z.

Statistical analysis:

L.M.A., A.E.A.-K., E.G.A., C.-Y.C., J.R.I.C., S.G.D., L.E.D., M.E.G., B.G., S.D.G., G.G., X-J.Q., M.W.L., A.L, A.X.M., C.M., A.R.M., S.M., D. Mehta, R.A.M., C.M.N., M.P., J.P.R., S.R., A.L.R., N.L.S., D. Schijven, C.M.S., N.S.

Bioinformatics:

L.M.A., A.E.A.-K., E.G.A., M.P.B., C.-Y.C., J.R.I.C., N.P.D, S.G.D., M.E.G., G.G., S.D.L., A.L, A.X.M., A.R.M., D. Mehta, D. Schijven, N.S., C.W.

Genomics:

M.B.-H., M.P.B., J.B.-G., K.D., M.H., S.H., M.A.H., T.K., S.D.L., J.J.L., A.C.P., K.J.R., B.P.F.R., D. Schijven, C.H.V., D.E.W.

COMPETING FINANCIAL INTERESTS

This section will be filled in the review process.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. Manhattan plots from meta-analyses of GWAS for PTSD, showing the top variants in 6 independent genome-wide significant loci. Results are shown for subjects of European (EUA; panel A) and African ancestry (AFA; panel C), and for sex-stratified analyses in EUA men (panel B) and AFA men (panel D), respectively. Sex-stratified analyses for women were not significant (Supplementary Fig. 9). The red line represents genome-wide significance at $p < 5 \times 10^{-8}$.

Figure 2. Genetic risk score (PRS) predictions PTSD. Using PTSD subjects from the UK Biobank as discovery sample, odds ratios (OR) for PTSD per PRS quintile relative to the first quintile show a significant increase in different PGC PTSD target samples. For example, UK Biobank men in the 5th quintile have 40% higher odds to develop PTSD than UK Biobank men in the lowest quintile, when using women from the same population as a training set. Sample sizes in different training and target sets: UKBB: 10,389 PTSD, 115,799 controls; UKBB women: 6,845 PTSD, 64,099 controls; UKBB men: 3,544 PTSD, 51,700 controls; PGC1.5: 10,213 PTSD, 27,445 controls; PGC 1.5 women: 5,232 PTSD, 6,551 controls

Figure 3. Genetic correlations between several groups of traits (from psychiatric, anthropomorphic, smoking behavior, reproductive, aging, education, autoimmune, and cardiometabolic categories) and A) PTSD, B) MDD, C) SCZ, D) BPD, and E) ADHD. Only traits with at least one significant correlation with the 5 psychiatric disorders are shown. Error bars indicate 95% confidence limits. Solid points indicate significant correlation after Bonferroni correction. The total number of correlations tested were 235 for PTSD, 221 for MDD, 172 for SCZ, 196 for BPD, and 219 for ADHD. Due to substantial overlap with other phenotype traits, 2 education, 4 anthropometric, and 2 cancer phenotypes were omitted.

References

1. Ben Barnes, J., Hayes, A.M., Contractor, A.A., Nash, W.P. & Litz, B.T. The structure of co-occurring PTSD and depression symptoms in a cohort of Marines pre- and post-deployment. *Psychiatry Res* **259**, 442-449 (2018).
2. Koenen, K.C. *et al.* Post-traumatic stress disorder and cardiometabolic disease: improving causal inference to inform practice. *Psychol Med*, 1-17 (2016).
3. Pollard, H.B. *et al.* "Soldier's Heart": A Genetic Basis for Elevated Cardiovascular Disease Risk Associated with Post-traumatic Stress Disorder. *Front Mol Neurosci* **9**, 87 (2016).
4. Howlett, J.R. & Stein, M.B. Prevention of Trauma and Stressor-Related Disorders: A Review. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 357-69 (2016).
5. Shalev, A., Liberzon, I. & Marmar, C. Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder. *N Engl J Med* **376**, 2459-2469 (2017).
6. Kremen, W.S., Koenen, K.C., Afari, N. & Lyons, M.J. Twin studies of posttraumatic stress disorder: differentiating vulnerability factors from sequelae. *Neuropharmacology* **62**, 647-53 (2012).
7. Wolf, E.J. *et al.* A classical twin study of PTSD symptoms and resilience: Evidence for a single spectrum of vulnerability to traumatic stress. *Depress Anxiety* (2017).
8. Logue, M.W. *et al.* A genome-wide association study of post-traumatic stress disorder identifies the retinoid-related orphan receptor alpha (RORA) gene as a significant risk locus. *Mol Psychiatry* **18**, 937-42 (2013).
9. Ashley-Koch, A.E. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of posttraumatic stress disorder in a cohort of Iraq-Afghanistan era veterans. *J Affect Disord* **184**, 225-234 (2015).
10. Nievergelt, C.M. *et al.* Genomic predictors of combat stress vulnerability and resilience in U.S. Marines: A genome-wide association study across multiple ancestries implicates PRTFDC1 as a potential PTSD gene. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **51**, 459-71 (2015).
11. Stein, M.B. *et al.* Genome-wide Association Studies of Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in 2 Cohorts of US Army Soldiers. *JAMA Psychiatry* **73**, 695-704 (2016).
12. Banerjee, S.B., Morrison, F.G. & Ressler, K.J. Genetic approaches for the study of PTSD: Advances and challenges. *Neurosci Lett* **649**, 139-146 (2017).

13. Duncan, L.E. *et al.* Largest GWAS of PTSD (N=20 070) yields genetic overlap with schizophrenia and sex differences in heritability. *Mol Psychiatry* (2017).
14. Sullivan, P.F. *et al.* Psychiatric Genomics: An Update and an Agenda. *Am J Psychiatry* **175**, 15-27 (2018).
15. Bulik-Sullivan, B.K. *et al.* LD Score regression distinguishes confounding from polygenicity in genome-wide association studies. *Nature genetics* **47**, 291 (2015).
16. Davis, K. *et al.* Mental Health in UK Biobank—implementation and results of an online questionnaire in 157,366 participants. (2018).
17. Han, B. & Eskin, E. Interpreting meta-analyses of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet* **8**, e1002555 (2012).
18. Matzaraki, V., Kumar, V., Wijmenga, C. & Zhernakova, A. The MHC locus and genetic susceptibility to autoimmune and infectious diseases. *Genome Biol* **18**, 76 (2017).
19. Lee, J.J. *et al.* Gene discovery and polygenic prediction from a genome-wide association study of educational attainment in 1.1 million individuals. *Nat Genet* **50**, 1112-1121 (2018).
20. Park, J.H. *et al.* Estimation of effect size distribution from genome-wide association studies and implications for future discoveries. *Nat Genet* **42**, 570-5 (2010).
21. Brainstorm, C. *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* **360**(2018).
22. Wray, N.R. & Sullivan, P.F. Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *bioRxiv*, 167577 (2017).
23. Stahl, E. *et al.* Genomewide association study identifies 30 loci associated with bipolar disorder. *bioRxiv*, 173062 (2017).
24. Demontis, D. *et al.* Discovery of the first genome-wide significant risk loci for ADHD. *BioRxiv*, 145581 (2017).
25. Zheng, J. *et al.* LD Hub: a centralized database and web interface to perform LD score regression that maximizes the potential of summary level GWAS data for SNP heritability and genetic correlation analysis. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 272-279 (2017).
26. Polimanti, R. *et al.* A putative causal relationship between genetically determined female body shape and posttraumatic stress disorder. *Genome Med* **9**, 99 (2017).
27. Zhu, Z. *et al.* Causal associations between risk factors and common diseases inferred from GWAS summary data. *Nat Commun* **9**, 224 (2018).

28. Stein, M.B. *et al.* Genome-wide association studies of posttraumatic stress disorder in 2 cohorts of US Army soldiers. *JAMA psychiatry* **73**, 695-704 (2016).
29. Ripke, S. *et al.* Biological insights from 108 schizophrenia-associated genetic loci. *Nature* **511**, 421 (2014).
30. Sklar, P. *et al.* Large-scale genome-wide association analysis of bipolar disorder identifies a new susceptibility locus near ODZ4. *Nature genetics* **43**, 977 (2011).
31. Wray, N.R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature genetics* **50**, 668 (2018).
32. Summerfield, D. The invention of post-traumatic stress disorder and the social usefulness of a psychiatric category. *Bmj* **322**, 95-98 (2001).
33. Anttila, V. *et al.* Analysis of shared heritability in common disorders of the brain. *Science* **360**, eaap8757 (2018).
34. Chan, Y.E. *et al.* Post-traumatic Stress Disorder and Risk of Parkinson Disease: A Nationwide Longitudinal Study. *Am J Geriatr Psychiatry* **25**, 917-923 (2017).
35. Kaasinen, V. & Vahlberg, T. Striatal dopamine in Parkinson disease: A meta-analysis of imaging studies. *Ann Neurol* **82**, 873-882 (2017).
36. Lee, J.H., Lee, S. & Kim, J.H. Amygdala Circuits for Fear Memory: A Key Role for Dopamine Regulation. *Neuroscientist* (2016).
37. Vitureira, N. *et al.* Podocalyxin is a novel polysialylated neural adhesion protein with multiple roles in neural development and synapse formation. *PLoS One* **5**, e12003 (2010).
38. Vermilyea, S.C. *et al.* Induced Pluripotent Stem Cell-Derived Dopaminergic Neurons from Adult Common Marmoset Fibroblasts. *Stem Cells Dev* **26**, 1225-1235 (2017).
39. Jia, P. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of HIV-associated neurocognitive disorder (HAND): A CHARTER group study. *Am J Med Genet B Neuropsychiatr Genet* **174**, 413-426 (2017).
40. Lee, J.H. *et al.* Genetic Modifiers of Age at Onset in Carriers of the G206A Mutation in PSEN1 With Familial Alzheimer Disease Among Caribbean Hispanics. *JAMA Neurol* **72**, 1043-51 (2015).
41. Adachi, N., Hess, D.T., McLaughlin, P. & Stamler, J.S. S-Palmitoylation of a Novel Site in the beta2-Adrenergic Receptor Associated with a Novel Intracellular Itinerary. *J Biol Chem* **291**, 20232-46 (2016).
42. Liberzon, I. *et al.* Interaction of the ADRB2 gene polymorphism with childhood trauma in predicting adult symptoms of posttraumatic stress disorder. *JAMA Psychiatry* **71**, 1174-82 (2014).

43. Wang, Z., Caughron, B. & Young, M.R.I. Posttraumatic Stress Disorder: An Immunological Disorder? *Front Psychiatry* **8**, 222 (2017).
44. Mellon, S.H., Gautam, A., Hammamieh, R., Jett, M. & Wolkowitz, O.M. Metabolism, Metabolomics, and Inflammation in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* **83**, 866-875 (2018).
45. Sekar, A. *et al.* Schizophrenia risk from complex variation of complement component 4. *Nature* **530**, 177 (2016).
46. Passos, I.C. *et al.* Inflammatory markers in post-traumatic stress disorder: a systematic review, meta-analysis, and meta-regression. *The Lancet Psychiatry* **2**, 1002-1012 (2015).
47. Lee, Y.C. *et al.* Post - traumatic stress disorder and risk for incident rheumatoid arthritis. *Arthritis care & research* **68**, 292-298 (2016).
48. Yaffe, K. *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder and risk of dementia among US veterans. *Archives of general psychiatry* **67**, 608-613 (2010).
49. Song, H. *et al.* Association of Stress-Related Disorders With Subsequent Autoimmune Disease. *JAMA* **319**, 2388-2400 (2018).
50. Sumner, J.A. *et al.* Cross-Sectional and Longitudinal Associations of Chronic Posttraumatic Stress Disorder With Inflammatory and Endothelial Function Markers in Women. *Biol Psychiatry* **82**, 875-884 (2017).
51. Sumner, J.A. *et al.* Posttraumatic stress disorder onset and inflammatory and endothelial function biomarkers in women. *Brain Behav Immun* **69**, 203-209 (2018).
52. Bam, M. *et al.* Dysregulated immune system networks in war veterans with PTSD is an outcome of altered miRNA expression and DNA methylation. *Sci Rep* **6**, 31209 (2016).
53. Zhou, J. *et al.* Dysregulation in microRNA expression is associated with alterations in immune functions in combat veterans with post-traumatic stress disorder. *PLoS One* **9**, e94075 (2014).
54. Uddin, M. *et al.* Epigenetic and immune function profiles associated with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* **107**, 9470-5 (2010).
55. McFarlane, A.C. Post-traumatic stress disorder is a systemic illness, not a mental disorder: is Cartesian dualism dead. *Medical Journal of Australia* **206**, 248-249 (2017).
56. Popejoy, A.B. & Fullerton, S.M. Genomics is failing on diversity. *Nature News* **538**, 161 (2016).
57. Wojcik, G. *et al.* Genetic diversity turns a new PAGE in our understanding of complex traits. *BioRxiv*, 188094 (2017).

58. Dalvie, S. *et al.* Large scale genetic research on neuropsychiatric disorders in african populations is needed. *EBioMedicine* **2**, 1259-1261 (2015).
59. Martin, A.R. *et al.* Human demographic history impacts genetic risk prediction across diverse populations. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **100**, 635-649 (2017).
60. Consortium, G.P. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68 (2015).
61. Campbell, M.C. & Tishkoff, S.A. African genetic diversity: implications for human demographic history, modern human origins, and complex disease mapping. *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.* **9**, 403-433 (2008).
62. Benjet, C. *et al.* The epidemiology of traumatic event exposure worldwide: results from the World Mental Health Survey Consortium. *Psychological medicine* **46**, 327-343 (2016).
63. Foa, E.B., Hearst- Ikeda, D. & Perry, K.J. Evaluation of a brief cognitive-behavioral program for the prevention of chronic PTSD in recent assault victims. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **63**, 948-955 (1995).
64. Shalev, A.Y. *et al.* Prevention of posttraumatic stress disorder by early treatment: Results from the Jerusalem Trauma Outreach And Prevention study. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **69**, 166-76 (2012).
65. Kearns, M.C., Ressler, K.J., Zatzick, D. & Rothbaum, B.O. Early interventions for PTSD: A review. *Depress Anxiety* (2012 (e-publication ahead of print)).
66. Kessler, R.C. Posttraumatic stress disorder: the burden to the individual and to society. *J Clin Psychiatry* **61**, 4-12 (2000).
67. Kessler, R.C., Sonnega, A., Bromet, E.J., Hughes, M. & Nelson, C.B. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **52**, 1048-60 (1995).
68. Roberts, A.L., Austin, S.B., Corliss, H.L., Vander Morris, A.K. & Koenen, K.C. Pervasive trauma exposure among US sexual orientation minority adults and risk of posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Public Health* **100**, 2433-41 (2010).
69. Roberts, A.L., Gilman, S.E., Breslau, J., Breslau, N. & Koenen, K.C. Race/ethnic differences in exposure to traumatic events, development of post-traumatic stress disorder, and treatment-seeking for post-traumatic stress disorder in the United States. *Psychol Med* **41**, 71-83 (2011).
70. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* How well can post - traumatic stress disorder be predicted from pre - trauma risk factors? An exploratory study in the WHO World Mental Health Surveys. *World Psychiatry* **13**, 265-274 (2014).

71. Shalev, A.Y. *et al.* Estimating the risk of PTSD in recent trauma survivors: results of the International Consortium to Predict PTSD (ICPP). *World Psychiatry (Forthcoming)* (2018).
72. McLaughlin, K.A. *et al.* Subthreshold posttraumatic stress disorder in the world health organization world mental health surveys. *Biological psychiatry* **77**, 375-384 (2015).
73. Stein, M.B., Walker, J.R., Hazen, A.L. & Forde, D.R. Full and partial posttraumatic stress disorder: findings from a community survey. *The American Journal of Psychiatry* **154**, 1114 (1997).
74. Stein, M. *et al.* 159. GWAS of PTSD Re-experiencing Symptoms in the VA Million Veteran Program. *Biological Psychiatry* **83**, S64-S65 (2018).
75. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* Design of the army study to assess risk and resilience in servicemembers (Army STARRS). *International Journal of Methods in Psychiatric Research* **22**, 267-275 (2013).
76. Weathers, F.W., Litz, B.T., Herman, D.S., Huska, J.A. & Keane, T.M. The PTSD Checklist (PCL): Reliability, validity, and diagnostic utility. in *annual convention of the international society for traumatic stress studies, San Antonio, TX* Vol. 462 (San Antonio, TX., 1993).
77. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* Clinical reappraisal of the Composite International Diagnostic Interview Screening Scales (CIDI - SC) in the Army Study to Assess Risk and Resilience in Servicemembers (Army STARRS). *International journal of methods in psychiatric research* **22**, 303-321 (2013).
78. McFarlane, A.C. & Van Hooff, M. Impact of childhood exposure to a natural disaster on adult mental health: 20-year longitudinal follow-up study. *Br J Psychiatry* **195**, 142-8 (2009).
79. Brugha, T., Bebbington, P., Tennant, C. & Hurry, J. The List of Threatening Experiences: a subset of 12 life event categories with considerable long-term contextual threat. *Psychol Med* **15**, 189-94 (1985).
80. van Rooij, S.J., Kennis, M., Vink, M. & Geuze, E. Predicting Treatment Outcome in PTSD: A Longitudinal Functional MRI Study on Trauma-Unrelated Emotional Processing. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 1156-65 (2016).
81. Blake, D.D. *et al.* The development of a Clinician-Administered PTSD Scale. *J Trauma Stress* **8**, 75-90 (1995).
82. First, M.B. & Gibbon, M. The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I) and the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II). (2004).
83. Gray, M.J., Litz, B.T., Hsu, J.L. & Lombardo, T.W. Psychometric properties of the life events checklist. *Assessment* **11**, 330-41 (2004).

84. Ruggiero, K.J. *et al.* Bounce Back Now! Protocol of a population-based randomized controlled trial to examine the efficacy of a Web-based intervention with disaster-affected families. *Contemp Clin Trials* **40**, 138-49 (2015).
85. Kilpatrick, D.G. *et al.* Risk factors for adolescent substance abuse and dependence: data from a national sample. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **68**, 19-30 (2000).
86. Sartor, C.E. *et al.* Common heritable contributions to low-risk trauma, high-risk trauma, posttraumatic stress disorder, and major depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **69**, 293-9 (2012).
87. Kristjansson, S. *et al.* The variance shared across forms of childhood trauma is strongly associated with liability for psychiatric and substance use disorders. *Brain Behav* **6**, e00432 (2016).
88. Nelson, E.C., Lynskey, M.T., Heath, A.C., Madden, P.A. & Martin, N.G. A family study of adult twins with and without a history of childhood abuse: stability of retrospective reports of maltreatment and associated family measures. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **13**, 121-30 (2010).
89. Kessler, R.C., Sonnega, A., Bromet, E., Hughes, M. & Nelson, C.B. Posttraumatic stress disorder in the National Comorbidity Survey. *Archives of general psychiatry* **52**, 1048-1060 (1995).
90. Steinberg, A.M., Brymer, M.J., Decker, K.B. & Pynoos, R.S. The University of California at Los Angeles post-traumatic stress disorder reaction index. *Current psychiatry reports* **6**, 96-100 (2004).
91. Bifulco, A., Brown, G.W. & Harris, T.O. Childhood Experience of Care and Abuse (CECA): a retrospective interview measure. *Journal of Child Psychology and Psychiatry* **35**, 1419-1435 (1994).
92. Bernstein, D.P., Ahluvalia, T., Pogge, D. & Handelsman, L. Validity of the Childhood Trauma Questionnaire in an adolescent psychiatric population. *J Am Acad Child Adolesc Psychiatry* **36**, 340-8 (1997).
93. Nader, K.O. *et al.* Clinician Administered PTSD scale for children and adolescents. *National Center for PTSD* (1996).
94. Bedard-Gilligan, M., Zoellner, L.A. & Feeny, N.C. Is Trauma Memory Special? Trauma Narrative Fragmentation in PTSD: Effects of Treatment and Response. *Clinical Psychological Science* **5**, 212-225 (2017).
95. Cooper, A.A. *et al.* Homework "Dose," Type, and Helpfulness as Predictors of Clinical Outcomes in Prolonged Exposure for PTSD. *Behavior Therapy* **48**, 182-194 (2017).
96. Clifton, E.G., Feeny, N.C. & Zoellner, L.A. Anger and guilt in treatment for chronic posttraumatic stress disorder. *Journal of behavior therapy and experimental psychiatry* **54**, 9-16 (2017).

97. Jerud, A.B. *et al.* Repeated trauma exposure does not impair distress reduction during imaginal exposure for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Depression and anxiety* **34**, 671-678 (2017).
98. Echiverri-Cohen, A. *et al.* Changes in temporal attention inhibition following prolonged exposure and sertraline in the treatment of PTSD. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology* **84**, 415 (2016).
99. Jerud, A.B., Pruitt, L.D., Zoellner, L.A. & Feeny, N.C. The effects of prolonged exposure and sertraline on emotion regulation in individuals with posttraumatic stress disorder. *Behaviour research and therapy* **77**, 62-67 (2016).
100. Post, L.M., Feeny, N.C., Zoellner, L.A. & Connell, A.M. Post - traumatic stress disorder and depression co - occurrence: Structural relations among disorder constructs and trait and symptom dimensions. *Psychology and Psychotherapy: Theory, Research and Practice* **89**, 418-434 (2016).
101. Bedard-Gilligan, M. *et al.* An Investigation of Depression, Trauma History, and Symptom Severity in Individuals Enrolled in a Treatment Trial for Chronic PTSD. *J Clin Psychol* **71**, 725-40 (2015).
102. Jerud, A.B., Zoellner, L.A., Pruitt, L.D. & Feeny, N.C. Changes in Emotion Regulation in Adults With and Without a History of Childhood Abuse Following Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Treatment (vol 82, pg 721, 2014). *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **82**, 827-827 (2014).
103. Keller, S.M., Feeny, N.C. & Zoellner, L.A. Depression Sudden Gains and Transient Depression Spikes During Treatment for PTSD. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **82**, 102-111 (2014).
104. Resnick, H.S., Kilpatrick, D.G., Dansky, B.S., Saunders, B.E. & Best, C.L. Prevalence of civilian trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in a representative national sample of women. *Journal of consulting and clinical psychology* **61**, 984 (1993).
105. Foa, E.B., Riggs, D.S., Dancu, C.V. & Rothbaum, B.O. Reliability and validity of a brief instrument for assessing post-traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of Traumatic Stress* **6**, 459-473 (1993).
106. Almlı, L.M. *et al.* Problematic alcohol use associates with sodium channel and clathrin linker 1 (SCLT1) in trauma-exposed populations. *Addict Biol* (2017).
107. Lindqvist, D. *et al.* Increased circulating blood cell counts in combat-related PTSD: Associations with inflammation and PTSD severity. *Psychiatry Res* **258**, 330-336 (2017).
108. Hammamieh, R. *et al.* Whole-genome DNA methylation status associated with clinical PTSD measures of OIF/OEF veterans. *Transl Psychiatry* **7**, e1169 (2017).

109. Keane, T.M. *et al.* Clinical evaluation of a measure to assess combat exposure. *Psychological Assessment: A Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology* **1**, 53 (1989).
110. Karstoft, K.I., Andersen, S.B. & Nielsen, A.B.S. Assessing PTSD in the military: Validation of a scale distributed to Danish soldiers after deployment since 1998. *Scand J Psychol* **58**, 260-268 (2017).
111. Pedersen, C.B. *et al.* The iPSYCH2012 case-cohort sample: new directions for unravelling genetic and environmental architectures of severe mental disorders. *Mol Psychiatry* **23**, 6-14 (2018).
112. Hollegaard, M.V. *et al.* Robustness of genome-wide scanning using archived dried blood spot samples as a DNA source. *BMC Genet* **12**, 58 (2011).
113. Borglum, A.D. *et al.* Genome-wide study of association and interaction with maternal cytomegalovirus infection suggests new schizophrenia loci. *Mol Psychiatry* **19**, 325-33 (2014).
114. Gandal, M.J. *et al.* Shared molecular neuropathology across major psychiatric disorders parallels polygenic overlap. *Science* **359**, 693-697 (2018).
115. Norrholm, S.D. *et al.* Baseline psychophysiological and cortisol reactivity as a predictor of PTSD treatment outcome in virtual reality exposure therapy. *Behav Res Ther* **82**, 28-37 (2016).
116. Rothbaum, B.O. *et al.* A randomized, double-blind evaluation of D-cycloserine or alprazolam combined with virtual reality exposure therapy for posttraumatic stress disorder in Iraq and Afghanistan War veterans. *Am J Psychiatry* **171**, 640-8 (2014).
117. Gillespie, C.F. *et al.* Trauma exposure and stress-related disorders in inner city primary care patients. *Gen Hosp Psychiatry* **31**, 505-14 (2009).
118. Disner, S.G. *et al.* Predictors of Postdeployment Functioning in Combat-Exposed U.S. Military Veterans. *Clinical Psychological Science* **5**, 650-663 (2017).
119. Davenport, N.D., Lim, K.O. & Sponheim, S.R. Personality and neuroimaging measures differentiate PTSD from mTBI in veterans. *Brain Imaging Behav* **9**, 472-83 (2015).
120. Keane, T.M. *et al.* Clinical evaluation of a measure to assess combat exposure. *Psychological assessment* **1**, 53-55 (1989).
121. Vogt, D. *et al.* Deployment risk and resilience inventory-2 (DRRI-2): an updated tool for assessing psychosocial risk and resilience factors among service members and veterans. *J Trauma Stress* **26**, 710-7 (2013).

122. Breslau, N. *et al.* Trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in the community: the 1996 Detroit Area Survey of Trauma. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **55**, 626-32 (1998).
123. Blanchard, E.B., Jones-Alexander, J., Buckley, T.C. & Forneris, C.A. Psychometric properties of the PTSD Checklist (PCL). *Behav Res Ther* **34**, 669-73 (1996).
124. Stein, D.J. *et al.* Investigating the psychosocial determinants of child health in Africa: The Drakenstein Child Health Study. *J Neurosci Methods* **252**, 27-35 (2015).
125. Zar, H.J., Barnett, W., Myer, L., Stein, D.J. & Nicol, M.P. Investigating the early-life determinants of illness in Africa: the Drakenstein Child Health Study. *Thorax* **70**, 592-4 (2015).
126. Koen, N. *et al.* Intimate partner violence: associations with low infant birthweight in a South African birth cohort. *Metab Brain Dis* **29**, 281-99 (2014).
127. Foa, E.B., Riggs, D.S., Dancu, C.V. & Rothbaum, B.O. Reliability and validity of a brief instrument for assessing post - traumatic stress disorder. *Journal of traumatic stress* **6**, 459-473 (1993).
128. Platts-Mills, T.F. *et al.* Using emergency department-based inception cohorts to determine genetic characteristics associated with long term patient outcomes after motor vehicle collision: methodology of the CRASH study. *BMC emergency medicine* **11**, 14 (2011).
129. Weiss, D.S. The impact of event scale: revised. in *Cross-cultural assessment of psychological trauma and PTSD* 219-238 (Springer, 2007).
130. Afful, S.E., Strickland, J.R., Cottler, L. & Bierut, L.J. Exposure to trauma: a comparison of cocaine-dependent cases and a community-matched sample. *Drug Alcohol Depend* **112**, 46-53 (2010).
131. Bierut, L.J. *et al.* Novel genes identified in a high-density genome wide association study for nicotine dependence. *Hum Mol Genet* **16**, 24-35 (2007).
132. Robins, L., Cottler, L., Bucholz, K. & Compton, W. *Diagnostic interview schedule for dsm-iv (dis-iv)*, (1995).
133. Association, A.P. Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders-IV-TR. *Washington, DC: American Psychiatric Association* (2000).
134. Weathers, F.W. *et al.* The PTSD checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5). 2013. *Scale available at from the National Center for PTSD at <http://www.ptsd.va.gov>* (2014).
135. Taylor, D.J. *et al.* Prevalence, Correlates, and Predictors of Insomnia in the US Army prior to Deployment. *Sleep* **39**, 1795-1806 (2016).

136. Yehuda, R. *et al.* Glucocorticoid-related predictors and correlates of post-traumatic stress disorder treatment response in combat veterans. *Interface Focus* **4**, 20140048 (2014).
137. Yehuda, R. *et al.* Epigenetic biomarkers as predictors and correlates of symptom improvement following psychotherapy in combat veterans with PTSD. *Frontiers in psychiatry* **4**(2013).
138. Allen, J.G. User's guide for the structured clinical interview for DSM-IV Axis II personality disorders: SCID-II. *Bulletin of the Menninger Clinic* **62**, 547 (1998).
139. Sheehan, D.V. *et al.* The Mini-International Neuropsychiatric Interview (M.I.N.I.): the development and validation of a structured diagnostic psychiatric interview for DSM-IV and ICD-10. *J Clin Psychiatry* **59 Suppl 20**, 22-33;quiz 34-57 (1998).
140. Junglen, A.G., Hruska, B., Jensen, T., Boros, A., & Delahanty, D. L. . The Serial Mediating Effects of Negative Urgency and PTSD Symptom Severity on the Relationship Between Emotional Abuse and Substance Use. *Manuscript in preparation* (2017).
141. Weathers, F. *et al.* The life events checklist for DSM-5 (LEC-5). *Instrument available from the National Center for PTSD at www.ptsd.va.gov* (2013).
142. Mehta, D. *et al.* Genomewide DNA methylation analysis in combat veterans reveals a novel locus for PTSD. *Acta Psychiatrica Scandinavica* **136**, 493-505 (2017).
143. Weathers, F. *et al.* The clinician-administered PTSD scale for DSM-5 (CAPS-5). *Interview available from the National Center for PTSD at www.ptsd.va.gov* (2013).
144. Seng, J.S., Low, L.K., Sperlich, M., Ronis, D.L. & Liberzon, I. Post-traumatic stress disorder, child abuse history, birthweight and gestational age: a prospective cohort study. *BJOG* **118**, 1329-39 (2011).
145. Wolfe, J. & Kimerling, R. Gender issues in the assessment of posttraumatic stress disorder. (1997).
146. Resnick, H.S., Kilpatrick, D.G., Dansky, B.S., Saunders, B.E. & Best, C.L. Prevalence of civilian trauma and posttraumatic stress disorder in a representative national sample of women. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **61**, 984-91 (1993).
147. Kilpatrick, D.G., Resnick, H., Saunders, B. & Best, C. The national women's study PTSD module. *Unpublished instrument. Charleston, SC: Crime Victims Research and Treatment Center, Department of Psychiatry and Behavioral Sciences, Medical University of South Carolina* (1989).

148. Binder, E.B. *et al.* Association of FKBP5 polymorphisms and childhood abuse with risk of posttraumatic stress disorder symptoms in adults. *JAMA* **299**, 1291-305 (2008).
149. Falsetti, S.A., Resnick, H.S., Resick, P.A. & Kilpatrick, D.G. The modified PTSD symptom scale: a brief self-report measure of posttraumatic stress disorder. *The Behavior Therapist* (1993).
150. Roberts, A.L., Rosario, M., Corliss, H.L., Koenen, K.C. & Austin, S.B. Childhood gender nonconformity: a risk indicator for childhood abuse and posttraumatic stress in youth. *Pediatrics* **129**, 410-7 (2012).
151. Schnurr, P., Vielhauer, M., Weathers, F. & Findler, M. The brief trauma questionnaire. *White River Junction, VT: National Center for PTSD* (1999).
152. Breslau, N., Peterson, E.L., Kessler, R.C. & Schultz, L.R. Short screening scale for DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder. *Am J Psychiatry* **156**, 908-11 (1999).
153. McAllister, T.W. *et al.* Randomized placebo-controlled trial of methylphenidate or galantamine for persistent emotional and cognitive symptoms associated with PTSD and/or traumatic brain injury. *Neuropsychopharmacology* **41**, 1191 (2016).
154. Rasmusson, A.M. *et al.* A randomized controlled trial of ganaxolone in posttraumatic stress disorder. *Psychopharmacology* **234**, 2245-2257 (2017).
155. Lepage, C. *et al.* White matter abnormalities in mild traumatic brain injury with and without post-traumatic stress disorder: a subject-specific diffusion tensor imaging study. *Brain imaging and behavior*, 1-12 (2017).
156. Vogt, D.S., Proctor, S.P., King, D.W., King, L.A. & Vasterling, J.J. Validation of scales from the Deployment Risk and Resilience Inventory in a sample of Operation Iraqi Freedom veterans. *Assessment* **15**, 391-403 (2008).
157. Wilkins, K.C., Lang, A.J. & Norman, S.B. Synthesis of the psychometric properties of the PTSD checklist (PCL) military, civilian, and specific versions. *Depression and anxiety* **28**, 596-606 (2011).
158. Bryant, R.A. *et al.* The psychiatric sequelae of traumatic injury. *Am J Psychiatry* **167**, 312-20 (2010).
159. Baker, D.G. *et al.* Predictors of risk and resilience for posttraumatic stress disorder among ground combat Marines: methods of the Marine Resiliency Study. *Prev Chronic Dis* **9**, E97 (2012).
160. Khan, A. *et al.* Childhood maltreatment, depression, and suicidal ideation: critical importance of parental and peer emotional abuse during developmental sensitive periods in males and females. *Frontiers in psychiatry* **6**, 42 (2015).

161. Teicher, M.H. *et al.* Differential effects of childhood neglect and abuse during sensitive exposure periods on male and female hippocampus. *NeuroImage* (2017).
162. Ohashi, K. *et al.* Childhood maltreatment is associated with alteration in global network fiber-tract architecture independent of history of depression and anxiety. *NeuroImage* **150**, 50-59 (2017).
163. Teicher, M.H. & Parigger, A. The 'Maltreatment and Abuse Chronology of Exposure'(MACE) scale for the retrospective assessment of abuse and neglect during development. *PLoS one* **10**, e0117423 (2015).
164. Herman, J., Perry, J. & van der Kolk, B. Traumatic antecedents interview. *Boston: The Trauma Center* (1989).
165. Bernstein, D.P. *et al.* Initial reliability and validity of a new retrospective measure of child abuse and neglect. *The American journal of psychiatry* **151**, 1132 (1994).
166. Anda, R.F. *et al.* The enduring effects of abuse and related adverse experiences in childhood. *European archives of psychiatry and clinical neuroscience* **256**, 174-186 (2006).
167. DATA, D. *Structured clinical interview for DSM-IV axis I disorders*, (American Psychiatric Press, Washington, 1997).
168. Ashley-Koch, A.E. *et al.* Genome-wide association study of posttraumatic stress disorder in a cohort of Iraq–Afghanistan era veterans. *Journal of affective disorders* **184**, 225-234 (2015).
169. Liu, Y. *et al.* Association of Variant rs4790904 in Protein Kinase C Alpha with Posttraumatic Stress Disorder in a U.S. Caucasian and African-American Veteran Sample. *J Depress Anxiety* **2**, S4001 (2013).
170. Brewin, C.R. *et al.* Brief screening instrument for post-traumatic stress disorder. *Br J Psychiatry* **181**, 158-62 (2002).
171. Watkins, L.E. *et al.* FKBP5 polymorphisms, childhood abuse, and PTSD symptoms: Results from the National Health and Resilience in Veterans Study. *Psychoneuroendocrinology* **69**, 98-105 (2016).
172. Carlson, E.B. *et al.* Development and validation of a brief self-report measure of trauma exposure: the Trauma History Screen. *Psychol Assess* **23**, 463-77 (2011).
173. Stephenson, K.L., Valentiner, D.P., Kumpula, M.J. & Orcutt, H.K. Anxiety sensitivity and posttrauma stress symptoms in female undergraduates following a campus shooting. *J Trauma Stress* **22**, 489-96 (2009).
174. Mercer, K.B. *et al.* Acute and posttraumatic stress symptoms in a prospective gene x environment study of a university campus shooting. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* **69**, 89-97 (2012).

175. Kubany, E. Traumatic Life Events Questionnaire and PTSD Screening and Diagnostic Scale. *Los Angeles, CA: Western Psychological Services* (2004).
176. Kubany, E.S., Leisen, M.B., Kaplan, A.S. & Kelly, M.P. Validation of a brief measure of posttraumatic stress disorder: the Distressing Event Questionnaire (DEQ). *Psychological assessment* **12**, 197 (2000).
177. Koenen, K.C. *et al.* Protocol for investigating genetic determinants of posttraumatic stress disorder in women from the Nurses' Health Study II. *BMC Psychiatry* **9**, 29 (2009).
178. Kessler, R.C. *et al.* Clinical calibration of DSM-IV diagnoses in the World Mental Health (WMH) version of the World Health Organization (WHO) Composite International Diagnostic Interview (WMHCIDI). *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* **13**, 122-39 (2004).
179. Helzer, J.E. *et al.* A comparison of clinical and diagnostic interview schedule diagnoses. Physician reexamination of lay-interviewed cases in the general population. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **42**, 657-66 (1985).
180. Robins, L.N. Epidemiology: reflections on testing the validity of psychiatric interviews. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **42**, 918-24 (1985).
181. Robins, L.N., Helzer, J.E., Cottler, L. & Golding, E. *National Institute of Mental Health diagnostic interview schedule version III - revised*, (Department of Psychiatry, Washington University, St. Louis, MO, 1988).
182. Weathers, F. & Ford, J. Psychometric review of PTSD Checklist (PCL-C, PCL-S, PCL-M, PCL-PR). *Measurement of stress, trauma, and adaptation*, 250-251 (1996).
183. Roberts, A.L. *et al.* The stressor criterion for posttraumatic stress disorder: does it matter? *J Clin Psychiatry* **73**, e264-70 (2012).
184. Roberts, A.L. *et al.* Association of Trauma and Posttraumatic Stress Disorder With Incident Systemic Lupus Erythematosus in a Longitudinal Cohort of Women. *Arthritis Rheumatol* **69**, 2162-2169 (2017).
185. Breslau, N., Peterson, E.L., Kessler, R.C. & Schultz, L.R. Short screening scale for DSM-IV posttraumatic stress disorder. *American Journal of Psychiatry* **156**, 908-911 (1999).
186. Prescott, M.R. *et al.* Validation of lay-administered mental health assessments in a large Army National Guard cohort. *Int J Methods Psychiatr Res* **23**, 109-19 (2014).
187. Resnick, H., Best, C., Kilpatrick, D., Freedy, J. & Falsetti, S. Trauma assessment for adults. *Charleston, SC: Medical University of South Carolina* (1993).
188. Xavier, M., Baptista, H., Mendes, J.M., Magalhaes, P. & Caldas-de-Almeida, J.M. Implementing the World Mental Health Survey Initiative in

- Portugal - rationale, design and fieldwork procedures. *Int J Ment Health Syst* **7**, 19 (2013).
189. Reijnen, A., Rademaker, A.R., Vermetten, E. & Geuze, E. Prevalence of mental health symptoms in Dutch military personnel returning from deployment to Afghanistan: a 2-year longitudinal analysis. *Eur Psychiatry* **30**, 341-6 (2015).
 190. Hovens, J.E. *et al.* The development of the Self-Rating Inventory for Posttraumatic Stress Disorder. *Acta Psychiatr Scand* **90**, 172-83 (1994).
 191. Barrios, Y.V. *et al.* Association of childhood physical and sexual abuse with intimate partner violence, poor general health and depressive symptoms among pregnant women. *PLoS One* **10**, e0116609 (2015).
 192. Measure, D. Demographic health survey questionnaires and modules: domestic violence module. (2005).
 193. Garcia-Moreno, C. *et al.* Prevalence of intimate partner violence: findings from the WHO multi-country study on women's health and domestic violence. *Lancet* **368**, 1260-9 (2006).
 194. Felitti, V.J. *et al.* Relationship of childhood abuse and household dysfunction to many of the leading causes of death in adults. The Adverse Childhood Experiences (ACE) Study. *Am J Prev Med* **14**, 245-58 (1998).
 195. Gelaye, B. *et al.* Validity of the posttraumatic stress disorders (PTSD) checklist in pregnant women. *BMC Psychiatry* **17**, 179 (2017).
 196. Polusny, M.A. *et al.* Prospective risk factors for new-onset post-traumatic stress disorder in National Guard soldiers deployed to Iraq. *Psychological medicine* **41**, 687-698 (2011).
 197. Bliese, P.D. *et al.* Validating the primary care posttraumatic stress disorder screen and the posttraumatic stress disorder checklist with soldiers returning from combat. *J Consult Clin Psychol* **76**, 272-81 (2008).
 198. Norman, S.B. *et al.* Maximizing the utility of a single site randomized controlled psychotherapy trial. *Contemp Clin Trials* **42**, 244-51 (2015).
 199. Association, A.P. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders (DSM-5®)*, (American Psychiatric Pub, 2013).
 200. Dzibur-Kulenovic, A. *et al.* MOLECULAR MECHANISMS OF POSTTRAUMATIC STRESS DISORDER (PTSD) AS A BASIS FOR INDIVIDUALIZED AND PERSONALIZED THERAPY: RATIONALE, DESIGN AND METHODS OF THE SOUTH EASTERN EUROPE (SEE)-PTSD STUDY. *Psychiatria Danubina* **28**, 154-163 (2016).
 201. Priebe, S. *et al.* Mental disorders following war in the Balkans: a study in 5 countries. *Archives of general psychiatry* **67**, 518-528 (2010).

202. Davenport, N.D., Lim, K.O. & Sponheim, S.R. White matter abnormalities associated with military PTSD in the context of blast TBI. *Hum Brain Mapp* **36**, 1053-64 (2015).
203. Chen, C.Y. *et al.* Improved ancestry inference using weights from external reference panels. *Bioinformatics* **29**, 1399-406 (2013).
204. Sadeh, N. *et al.* SKA2 methylation is associated with decreased prefrontal cortical thickness and greater PTSD severity among trauma-exposed veterans. *Mol Psychiatry* **21**, 357-63 (2016).
205. Howie, B.N., Donnelly, P. & Marchini, J. A flexible and accurate genotype imputation method for the next generation of genome-wide association studies. *PLoS Genet* **5**, e1000529 (2009).
206. Howie, B., Marchini, J. & Stephens, M. Genotype imputation with thousands of genomes. *G3 (Bethesda)* **1**, 457-70 (2011).
207. Howie, B., Fuchsberger, C., Stephens, M., Marchini, J. & Abecasis, G.R. Fast and accurate genotype imputation in genome-wide association studies through pre-phasing. *Nat Genet* **44**, 955-9 (2012).
208. Marchini, J. & Howie, B. Genotype imputation for genome-wide association studies. *Nat Rev Genet* **11**, 499-511 (2010).
209. Genomes Project, C. *et al.* An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human genomes. *Nature* **491**, 56-65 (2012).
210. Price, A.L. *et al.* Principal components analysis corrects for stratification in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **38**, 904-9 (2006).
211. Allen, N.E., Sudlow, C., Peakman, T. & Collins, R. UK biobank data: come and get it. (American Association for the Advancement of Science, 2014).
212. Bycroft, C. *et al.* Genome-wide genetic data on~ 500,000 UK Biobank participants. *bioRxiv*, 166298 (2017).
213. Warren, H.R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analysis identifies novel blood pressure loci and offers biological insights into cardiovascular risk. *Nature genetics* **49**, 403 (2017).
214. Abraham, G., Qiu, Y. & Inouye, M. FlashPCA2: principal component analysis of Biobank-scale genotype datasets. *Bioinformatics* **33**, 2776-2778 (2017).
215. McCarthy, S. *et al.* A reference panel of 64,976 haplotypes for genotype imputation. *Nature genetics* **48**, 1279 (2016).
216. Consortium, U.K. The UK10K project identifies rare variants in health and disease. *Nature* **526**, 82 (2015).
217. Kremen, W.S. *et al.* Genes, environment, and time: the Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging (VETSA). *Twin Res Hum Genet* **9**, 1009-22 (2006).
218. Kremen, W.S., Franz, C.E. & Lyons, M.J. VETSA: the Vietnam Era Twin Study of Aging. *Twin Res Hum Genet* **16**, 399-402 (2013).

219. Janes, G.R., Goldberg, J., Eisen, S.A. & True, W.R. Reliability and validity of a combat exposure index for Vietnam era veterans. *Journal of Clinical Psychology* **47**, 80-86 (1991).
220. Robins, L.N., Helzer, J.E., Croughan, J. & Ratcliff, K.S. National Institute of Mental Health Diagnostic Interview Schedule. Its history, characteristics, and validity. *Archives of General Psychiatry* **38**, 381-389 (1981).
221. Goldberg, J., Eisen, S.A., Trub, W.R. & Henderson, W.G. A twin study of the effects of the Vietnam conflict on alcohol drinking patterns. *American Journal of Public Health* **80**, 570-574 (1990).
222. Weathers, F.W., Litz, B.T., Huska, J.A. & Keane, T.M. PCL-C for DSM-IV. (National Center for PTSD - Behavioral Science Division, Boston, 1994).
223. Magruder, K. *et al.* Diagnostic performance of the PTSD checklist and the Vietnam Era Twin Registry PTSD scale. *Epidemiol Psychiatr Sci* **24**, 415-22 (2015).
224. Peters, E.S. *et al.* The Women and Their Children's Health (WaTCH) study: methods and design of a prospective cohort study in Louisiana to examine the health effects from the BP oil spill. *BMJ open* **7**, e014887 (2017).
225. Blevins, C.A., Weathers, F.W., Davis, M.T., Witte, T.K. & Domino, J.L. The Posttraumatic Stress Disorder Checklist for DSM-5 (PCL-5): Development and Initial Psychometric Evaluation. *J Trauma Stress* **28**, 489-98 (2015).
226. Xie, P. *et al.* Genome-wide association study identifies new susceptibility loci for posttraumatic stress disorder. *Biol Psychiatry* **74**, 656-63 (2013).
227. Nievergelt, C.M. *et al.* Inference of human continental origin and admixture proportions using a highly discriminative ancestry informative 41-SNP panel. *Investig Genet* **4**, 13 (2013).
228. Chang, C.C. *et al.* Second-generation PLINK: rising to the challenge of larger and richer datasets. *Gigascience* **4**, 7 (2015).
229. Genomes Project, C. *et al.* A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68-74 (2015).
230. Delaneau, O., Zagury, J.F. & Marchini, J. Improved whole-chromosome phasing for disease and population genetic studies. *Nat Methods* **10**, 5-6 (2013).
231. Zhou, X. & Stephens, M. Genome-wide efficient mixed-model analysis for association studies. *Nat Genet* **44**, 821-4 (2012).
232. Cook, J.P., Mahajan, A. & Morris, A.P. Guidance for the utility of linear models in meta-analysis of genetic association studies of binary phenotypes. *Eur J Hum Genet* **25**, 240-245 (2017).

233. Willer, C.J., Li, Y. & Abecasis, G.R. METAL: fast and efficient meta-analysis of genomewide association scans. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2190-1 (2010).
234. Han, B. & Eskin, E. Random-effects model aimed at discovering associations in meta-analysis of genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet* **88**, 586-98 (2011).
235. Kang, E.Y. *et al.* ForestPMPlot: A Flexible Tool for Visualizing Heterogeneity Between Studies in Meta-analysis. *G3 (Bethesda)* **6**, 1793-8 (2016).
236. Pruim, R.J. *et al.* LocusZoom: regional visualization of genome-wide association scan results. *Bioinformatics* **26**, 2336-7 (2010).
237. Atkinson, A.C. Selecting a biased-coin design. *Statistical Science*, 144-163 (2014).
238. Sherry, S.T. *et al.* dbSNP: the NCBI database of genetic variation. *Nucleic acids research* **29**, 308-311 (2001).
239. O'Connell, J. *et al.* A General Approach for Haplotype Phasing across the Full Spectrum of Relatedness. *PLOS Genetics* **10**, e1004234 (2014).
240. The International HapMap, C. A haplotype map of the human genome. *Nature* **437**, 1299 (2005).
241. Maples, B.K., Gravel, S., Kenny, E.E. & Bustamante, C.D. RFMix: a discriminative modeling approach for rapid and robust local-ancestry inference. *The American Journal of Human Genetics* **93**, 278-288 (2013).
242. The Genomes Project, C. A global reference for human genetic variation. *Nature* **526**, 68 (2015).
243. Watanabe, K., Taskesen, E., van Bochoven, A. & Posthuma, D. Functional mapping and annotation of genetic associations with FUMA. *Nature Communications* **8**, 1826 (2017).
244. Ng, S.Y., Johnson, R. & Stanton, L.W. Human long non-coding RNAs promote pluripotency and neuronal differentiation by association with chromatin modifiers and transcription factors. *Embo j* **31**, 522-33 (2012).
245. Livak, K.J. & Schmittgen, T.D. Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2⁻(Delta Delta C(T)) Method. *Methods* **25**, 402-8 (2001).
246. Yehuda, R. *et al.* Post-traumatic stress disorder. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* **1**, 15057 (2015).
247. Yang, J. *et al.* Common SNPs explain a large proportion of the heritability for human height. *Nat Genet* **42**, 565-9 (2010).
248. Speed, D. *et al.* Reevaluation of SNP heritability in complex human traits. *Nat Genet* **49**, 986-992 (2017).

249. Lee, S.H., Wray, N.R., Goddard, M.E. & Visscher, P.M. Estimating missing heritability for disease from genome-wide association studies. *Am J Hum Genet* **88**, 294-305 (2011).
250. Bipolar, D., Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics Consortium. Electronic address, d.r.v.e., Bipolar, D. & Schizophrenia Working Group of the Psychiatric Genomics, C. Genomic Dissection of Bipolar Disorder and Schizophrenia, Including 28 Subphenotypes. *Cell* **173**, 1705-1715 e16 (2018).
251. Wray, N.R. *et al.* Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nat Genet* **50**, 668-681 (2018).
252. Pardinas, A.F. *et al.* Common schizophrenia alleles are enriched in mutation-intolerant genes and in regions under strong background selection. *Nat Genet* **50**, 381-389 (2018).





