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Abstract

Noninvasive monitoring of gastrointestinal parasites from wild primates demonstrates that parasite-host relationships are altered during habitat or climatic disturbances. Interpreting changes in parasite measures for population health monitoring is problematic, since wild primates are infected with multiple parasites that fluctuate temporally and seasonally. Individual parasite infection data from two wild populations of New World primates, the saddleback (*Leontocebus weddelli*) and emperor (*Saguinus imperator*) tamarin, were collected over three years to: 1) establish baseline levels of parasite species richness (PSR) and variation across demography; 2) test for non-random associations of parasite co-occurrence; and 3) test hypothesized relationships between group size and PSR. Ten distinguishable parasite taxa were identified from 288 fecal samples by light microscopy following centrifugation and ethyl-acetate sedimentation. These samples represented 105 unique individuals (71 saddleback and 34 emperor tamarins), across 13 saddleback and seven emperor groups. Of the parasites identified in this study, none were confirmed as host specific, and only two parasites had statistically different prevalence between the host species. With few exceptions, individual infection status remained relatively unchanged over the study period. Considering yearly pair-wise parasite associations, we detected no marked differences between expected and observed levels of co-infection, nor did we detect statistically significant associations between group size and parasite species richness over 30 group-years. Logistic models of individual infection status did not identify a sex bias; however, age or species predicted the presence of four and three parasite taxa, respectively. Our model found higher PSR for saddleback tamarins. Considering the two most common parasites, one is typically pathogenic and the other is not, reinforcing caution when translating clinical findings of pathology to real-world systems. We now have reliable baseline data for future monitoring of these populations. Next steps should involve the molecular characterization of these parasites, and the exploration of linkages with health parameters.
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Introduction

Parasitism has a fundamental role to play in the persistence of animal populations in nature, and the richness of parasite communities may serve as effective population- and ecosystem-level measures of health (Hudson, 1998; Hudson, Dobson, & Lafferty, 2006). Evidence shows that natural infections with multiple parasites in wildlife and human populations can have positive effects on host health (Petney & Andrews, 1998). For example, helminth infections have been shown to reduce allergic, autoimmune and inflammatory reactions (Maizels & McSorley, 2016), and helminth-modulated macrophages are now being studied as possible therapies for inflammatory diseases, such as diabetes, multiple sclerosis, and bowel disease (Steinfeld, O’Regan, & Hartmann, 2016). Thus, while “parasitism” connotes the acquisition of resources (space, food, etc.) by one organism at the expense of another, we should be cautious about considering parasites as detrimental in complex environments where they may play a role in maintaining an ecological balance that is necessary for species persistence.

Gastrointestinal parasites, which can be detected through noninvasive surveying, may be ideally suited for health monitoring efforts of a primate community (Gillespie, 2006; Howells, Pruetz, & Gillespie, 2011). They are relatively easy to evaluate from fecal samples collected from habituated primate groups, and can also be acquired in the absence of habituation by scat detection dogs or by searching beneath known feeding or resting locations (Arandjelovic et al., 2015; Orkin, Yang, Yang, Yu, & Jiang, 2016). Several long-term research programs have successfully used temporal parasite data to examine ecological perturbations of threatened primate populations (Bakuza & Nkwengulila, 2009; Chapman, Gillespie, & Speirs, 2005; Gillespie & Chapman, 2008; Gillespie, Chapman, & Greiner, 2005). In contrast, in the absence of temporal data, comparative studies between isolated and more urban primate populations are effective at evaluating impacts of increased contact with humans (Salzer, Deutsch, Raño, Kuhlenschmidt, & Gillespie, 2010; Wenz, Heymann, Petney, & Taraschewski, 2009). Despite the utility of such studies, parts of the world with the highest primate diversity, such as the Neotropics, remain inadequately sampled for naturally occurring gastrointestinal parasites (reviewed in Hopkins and Nunn (2007).

Except for a handful of South American primate taxa, most notably howler monkeys (*Alouatta* spp.), golden lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia*), and golden-headed lion tamarins (*Leontopithecus chrysomelas*) (Milton, 1996; Monteiro, Dietz, Beck, et al., 2007a; Monteiro, Dietz, Raboy, et al., 2007b; Stuart et al., 1998; Valdespino, Rico-Hernández, & Mandujano, 2010), patterns of parasite-host relationships have been tested primarily among primates in Africa and Asia. These works highlight that parasitism varies with host population demographic variables, including age class and sex (Clough, Heistermann, & Kappeler, 2010; Gillespie, Barelli, & Heistermann, 2013; Gillespie et al., 2010; MacIntosh, Hernandez, & Huffman, 2010), and sexual maturity or dominance (MacIntosh et al., 2012; Muehlenbein & Watts, 2010), although not all studies concur (Gillespie et al., 2010; Setchell et al., 2006; Setchell, Charpentier, & Abbott, 2009). Host behavior in combination with parasite mode of dispersal can also structure parasite populations (MacIntosh et al., 2010; Nunn & Heymann, 2005). Influences of concomitant parasite infections are not routinely analyzed, but when they are, their impacts may be comparable to those exerted by host or environmental factors (Erkenswick, Watsa, Gozalo, Dmytryk, & Parker, 2017a; Monteiro, Dietz, Raboy, et al., 2007b; Nunn, Brezine, Jolles, & Ezenwa, 2014; Telfer et al., 2008). Also, meta-analyses find general support for increasing parasite species richness as social group
size increases (Cote & Poulin, 1995; Nunn, Altizer, Jones, & Sechrest, 2003; Rifkin, Nunn, & Garamszegi, 2012; Vitone, Altizer, & Nunn, 2004), but the spatial and taxonomic scales at which this pattern will hold true (e.g., within a single species or population) require further study. Finally, parasite populations may also vary across seasons and years (Clough et al., 2010; Gillespie et al., 2010).

Since the range of factors that can explain parasite-host patterns in nature is large, often dependent on the environment and time, it may be best approached through longitudinal monitoring of individuals in host communities (Clutton-Brock & Sheldon, 2010; Stuart et al., 1998). A primary challenge has been that research on wild primates requires habituation to observers, which often constrains sample sizes, making it difficult to analyze many of the factors (Williamson & Feistner, 2011). Thus far many studies have offered snapshots of parasite prevalences, focused on just one or two parasites of known interest, the sampling of a single primate host, or on data from health inspections, or necropsies, after animal extraction from the wild (Cosgrove, Nelson, & Gengozian, 1968; Porter, 1972; Wolff, 1990). Collectively they have created a broad foundation of primate parasite data (see Nunn & Altizer, 2005 for a detailed compilation). Emerging patterns can now be examined carefully in the wild to look at influences of host demography and development, mode of transmission, and change over time at the level of a population. As an example, for almost a half-century it has been well known that New World monkeys are broadly infected by *Plasmodium brasilianum*, a quartan malarial parasite, that may in fact be the same as the human parasite *Plasmodium malariae* (Collins & Jeffery, 2007; Lalremruata et al., 2015). However, only last year do we have the first evidence that it may persist in a highly aggregated manner among a small number of chronically infected non-human primate hosts (Erkenswick, Watsa, Pacheco, Escalante, & Parker, 2017b). In addition, long-term studies that incorporate more than one primate host individual are essential to examine several longstanding hypotheses of how sociality influences parasite prevalence, intensity, and diversity (Altizer et al., 2003; Freeland, 1976; 1979), as are long-term studies of multiple sympatric species to examine species-specificity of infection dynamics.

The Callitrichidae (comprised of tamarins and marmosets) are small arboreal primates that are widely distributed throughout the forests of South America (Sussman & Kinzey, 1984). They are frequently found in sympathy with other New World monkeys and in some cases have proven relatively resilient and flexible in the face of encroachment by human populations (Gordo, Calleia, Vasconcelos, Leite, & Ferrari, 2013; G. C. Leite, Duarte, & Young, 2011; Soto-Calderón, Acevedo-Garcés, Álvarez-Cardona, Hernández-Castro, & García-Montoya, 2016). Part of their ecological flexibility may be due to their generalist diets that include fruits, insects, tree exudates, and fungi (Sussman & Kinzey, 1984), a characteristic that also could expose them to a wide array of parasites that are dispersed by intermediate arthropod hosts. Studies of the gastrointestinal parasites of callitrichids have documented overlap with other primate families including the Ateledae, Cebidae, and Aotidae (Michaud, Tantalean, Ique, Montoya, & Gozalo, 2003; Phillips, Haas, Grafton, & Yrivarren, 2004; Tantalean, Gozalo, & Montoya, 1990; Wolff, 1990). Considering the approximately 60 species and subspecies of Callitrichidae, there have been only a handful of comprehensive evaluations of gastrointestinal parasites from free-ranging populations (Monteiro, Dietz, Beck, et al., 2007a; Müller, 2007; Wenz et al., 2009), and only two species in which parasites have been monitored routinely over time, golden lion and golden-headed lion.
tamarins (*Leontopithecus rosalia* and *L. chrysomelas*, respectively (Monteiro, Dietz, Raboy, et al., 2007b).

The principle aim of this study was to characterize the gastrointestinal helminth assemblages from two populations of sympatric, individually identifiable, free-ranging callitrichids - the saddleback tamarin (*Leontocebus weddelli*, formerly *Saguinus fuscicollis weddelli*) (Buckner, Lynch Alfaro, Rylands, & Alfaro, 2015; Matauschek, Roos, & Heymann, 2011) and emperor tamarin (*Saguinus imperator*) - from fecal samples collected noninvasively and via an annual mark-recapture program. Both hosts exhibited group sizes (3 – 8 individuals), mating systems, and reproductive behaviors characteristic of most callitrichids (Watsa, Erkenswick, & Robakis, 2017), where a single, reproductively dominant female mates with multiple males and gives birth to twin offspring once a year, cared for by other adult group members (Sussman & Kinzey, 1984; Wislocki, 1939). By sampling these hosts across three years, we estimated the prevalence of gastrointestinal parasites, parasite species richness, and the extent of parasite overlap between the two host species. We also calculated rates of change in infection status from animals that were screened for helminths in two consecutive years. In doing so, we established baseline data for future comparative studies following perturbations such as changing weather patterns due to climate change, habitat loss/modification, or greater human encroachment. Second, we analyzed how parasite prevalence varies by host demography, age class and sex, and co-infection. As a result of greater social burdens placed on females to compete for dominant breeding opportunities, we predicted that an age-sex interaction would influence prevalence and parasite species richness. Specifically, we predicted that adult females of both host species would have higher prevalence and richness. Based on prior research of blood parasites from these populations (Erkenswick et al., 2017a), we predicted that there would be non-random prevalence of several co-infections, considering all pairwise combinations of parasites. Finally, we tested the hypothesis that there would be a relationship between group size and parasite species richness, and predicted that larger groups would harbor greater numbers of parasites, which has not yet been tested within the Callitrichidae. Our findings are discussed in terms of parasite pathogenicity and parasite mode of dispersal.

**Methods**

*Field site and study subjects*

Sample collection took place annually from 2012 – 2014 in the Madre de Dios Department of Southeastern Perú at the Estación Biológica Rio Los Amigos (EBLA) (12°34’07”S, 70°05’57”W), which is managed by the Asociación para la Conservación de La Cuenca Amazonica. All sampling took place within a forest trail system that covers approximately 900 ha of tropical rainforest that is adjacent to the Los Amigos Conservation Concession inside the buffer zone of Manu National Park. There are two distinct seasons each year at this site – the wet season from October to March, (average monthly precipitation > 250 mm), and the dry season from April to September (136 mm ± SD 19 mm) (Watsa, 2013). All sampling took place during the dry season, from May – July each year, precluding the study of the effects of seasonality on the parasite community in these primates. Three callitrichines at this site, the saddleback tamarin, emperor tamarins, and the more cryptic Goeldi’s monkey (*Callimico goeldii*) (Watsa, Erkenswick, Rehg, & Pitman, 2012), share forest
habitat with eight other primate species including three species of Cebidae, and two species each of Atelidae and Pithecidae, as well as owl monkeys (*Aotus nigrifrons*) (Watsa, 2013). At EBLA, both *S. imperator* and *L. weddelli* have average group sizes of 5 (range of 3-8) individuals and group compositions are similar (Watsa et al., 2017; 2015). The primary differences between *S. imperator* and *L. weddelli* are adult weight, 515g ± 66 and 386g ± 86, respectively, and nuances in feeding behavior including greater amounts of fungi consumption in *S. imperator* (pers. obs.).

Each individual sampled was classified into one of three age classes based on dental eruption patterns (Watsa, 2013). Juveniles were defined as individuals whose adult teeth were absent or not fully erupted (<11 months old). Sub-adults were animals with adult teeth, but that were juveniles in the preceding year. All remaining individuals were assigned to the adult age class. Due to small sample sizes from the sub-adult class, the juveniles and sub-adult classes were combined to analyze the effects of age on parasite prevalence.

**Sample collection and storage**
Since 2009, an annual mark-recapture program has been implemented on ~ 70 saddleback and emperor tamarins by Field Projects International (Watsa et al., 2015). During capture, each individual is permanently tagged with a Home Again microchip, and was made visually identifiable by unique patterns of bleached rings around the tail, as well as a tricolor beaded necklace that signified group, sex and individual identity (for the full capture protocol see Watsa et al., 2015). In addition to collecting fecal samples at the time of capture, we used radio telemetry to track tamarins in 14 groups each year via a radio collar placed on the breeding female in each group (Wildlife Materials, Murphysboro IL). We also used both full (sleep-site to sleep-site, spanning ~ 11 hours) and half-day (minimum 5 hours) follows to opportunistically collect fecal samples from all group members as they were produced.

Upon collection during mark-recapture and follows, all fecal samples were transferred using sterile technique into numbered plastic bags and stored in a chilled thermos. Upon return to basecamp, each sample was fixed in 10% neutral buffered formalin (1:2, feces to preservative ratio). For each sample, we recorded species, individual ID, group, date, time of day, and type of collection (follow or trapping event). Only samples produced by identified individuals were included in this study. All samples were exported to the Parker Laboratory at the University of Missouri – St. Louis for analyses.

All sampling protocols adhered to guidelines outlined by the American Society of Mammalogists (Sikes & Gannon, 2011) and were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Missouri-St. Louis and the Directorate of Forest and Wildlife Management (DGFFS) of Perú annually. The DGFFS also granted export permits for the samples, while the CDC and US Fish and Wildlife Services approved the import of these samples into the USA.

**Laboratory analysis**
Isolation of parasite cysts, eggs, and larvae from fecal samples followed a two-step process based on sedimentation procedures as per MacIntosh (2010) and Zajac and Conboy (2012). In Step 1, we used a fecal straining procedure in which fecal samples were 1) diluted in 10% neutral buffered formalin, 2) strained of large debris through cheese cloth into a plastic cup, 3) transferred to a 15ml falcon tube with an empty weight already recorded, 4) centrifuged at 800xg for 5 minutes to form
a fecal pellet, 5) removed of the supernatant and weighed, 6) re-suspended and homogenized in 5ml of 10% formalin. In Step 2, we followed the centrifugal sedimentation test outlined by Zajac and Conboy (2012) with 1ml of the homogenized suspension from Step 1. Sedimentations from Step 2 were re-suspended in exactly 1ml of preservative, and 80ul aliquots were placed onto clean slides with coverslips for full evaluation with an Olympus CX31 light microscope using 200x magnification (micrographs were taken at higher power). Evaluations of parasites were timed and tabulated using a free online data counter, COUNT (http://erktime.github.io/count/), and each unique infection/sample was documented with multiple micrographs taken with a Leica ICC50 HD camera. Three separate aliquots per sample were evaluated with each evaluation taking an average of 10 minutes.

Unless infections were too rare, standard length and width measurements from 10 representative micrographs per parasite per species were recorded with a calibrated ruler in Image J (https://imagej.nih.gov/ij/) to the nearest 1 μm. Measurements of all parasite forms were compared to known references values in the literature and identified to the lowest taxonomic scale possible.

**Statistical analysis**
Average prevalence, as well as the proportion of individuals that acquired infection, lost infection, or showed no change in infection status, was calculated for each helminth identified by microscopy across the three-year study period. Average change in infection status was determined by selecting all instances where an individual was sampled across a two-year period, either 2012-2013 or 2013-2014, and computing the mean number of individuals that acquired, lost, or did not change infection status. Differences in helminth prevalence between host species were tested with Fisher’s Exact Test and adjusted p-values following the Holm-Bonferroni method (Holm, 1979). To test for variation in the presence of parasitic infections across host variables we used mixed-effect logistic regression models with a binary response variable and binomial errors. Fixed effects included ‘sex’, ‘age class’, and ‘species’ and random effects included ‘animal identity’ and ‘year’ to accommodate individual resampling and possible inter-annual variation. We also incorporated the number of samples collected per animal per year as an offset to account for temporal sampling bias (Walther, Cotgreave, Price, & Gregory, 1995). Parasite species richness, which was a discrete numerical response variable, was analyzed with an identical model formula but using Poisson errors. Model selection for all models was carried out with step-wise term deletion by removing non-significant factors and comparing nested models with a likelihood ratio test.

To test for significant correlations between group size and parasite species richness we calculated rarified parasite community richness estimates per group. The use of species accumulation curve estimates are advocated by Walther et al. (1995), because raw values of parasite community richness are easily biased by uneven sampling. We used Spearman’s rank correlations to test if parasite community richness estimates with similar sampling effort were associated with group size.

To identify any nonrandom parasite co-occurrences, we compared the prevalence of all observed pairwise co-infections with expected estimates of co-infection (calculated as prevalence of A * prevalence of B). We then plotted expected against observed values to identify discordant levels of co-infection, and if applicable, used a two-sample z-test to compare proportions. All statistical analyses were performed in R (R Development Core Team, 2015).
Results

In total, we collected 288 individually identified fecal samples from 105 unique tamarins (71 L. weddelli, 34 S. imperator) distributed across 13 groups of L. weddelli and 7 groups of S. imperator. The number of samples collected per individual per year ranged from 1 to 7, with a mean of 1.6, and median and mode of 1. The average fecal sample weight, following Step 1 in sample processing (see Methods), was 0.41 grams +/- 0.22. Considering all sex and age classes, our sampling included slightly more males than females across years, and sub-adults of both hosts species were the least sampled age group (Table 1).

Table 1. Numbers of individuals sampled by species, sex, age class, and year

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2012</th>
<th>2013</th>
<th>2014</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>L. weddelli</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S. imperator</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age class</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Juvenile</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-adult</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adult</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We were able to differentiate 10 helminth parasites by morphology. We identified 8 to a likely species or genus, and 2 to the level of family. Most of these parasites have been detected in the Callitrichidae in the past (See Supplemental Fig. 3 for representative micrographs, standard measurements, and additional citations). All but one rare parasitic infection, *Spirura guianensis*, were found in both host species, although prevalence profiles varied (Table 2). Prevalence for the Dicrocoeliidae was significantly higher in S. imperator (Fisher’s test mean-adjusted P-values = 0.012), and Cestoda was significantly higher in L. weddelli (Fisher’s test mean adjusted P-value = 0.008).

Table 2. Mean annual prevalence by host species and parasite

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Class</th>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>L. weddelli</th>
<th>S. imperator</th>
<th>Dispersal</th>
<th>Pathogenic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Acanthocephala</td>
<td>Prosthenorchis sp.</td>
<td>0.85</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestoda</td>
<td>Hymenolepis OR Paratriotaenia</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spirura guianensis</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Primasubulura jachii</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nematoda</td>
<td>Embryonated ova †</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gongylonematidae</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Spirurid-like ‡</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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For most helminths, individual infections remained unchanged with small proportions of individuals switching status in both directions (Fig. 1). Among S. imperator, this pattern differed for Strongylid ova and Dicrocoelidae (Fig. 1, Supplemental Table 4). Among L. weddelli, we only detected frequent changes in individual infection status for Cestoda. (Fig. 1, Supp. Table 4). Our rarest parasite infections for both host species were Spirura guianensi and Primasubulura jacchi, but Cestoda for S. imperator in particular.

Considering each year separately, we did not detect any significant deviations between expected and observed prevalence of co-infection (Fig. 2); the largest absolute difference in prevalence across all parasite combinations throughout the study period was 0.07. We also found no evidence of a relationship between group size (ranged 3 – 8) and estimated parasite species richness within
groups after controlling for sampling effort (Spearman’s rank correlation = -0.08, P-value = 0.665, n = 30).

Figure 2. **Average proportion of individual infection status change by host species and parasite.**

Out of the 10 helminths identified, 6 were common enough to evaluate their distributions across host species, sex and age class variables; *Spirura guianensis*, Gongylonematidae, and *Primasubulura jachii* were too rare to analyze prevalence patterns using statistical models. No parasitic infection exhibited a significant sex bias; however, age and species did predict the presence of 4 and 3 parasites, respectively (Table 3). Relative to *L. weddelli*, *S. imperator* was positively associated with Dicrocoeliidae but negatively associated with cestode and strongylid ova. Relative to adults, juveniles and sub-adults were negatively associated with *Prosthenorchis* and filariform larva, but positively associated with cestode and the large embryonated ova. Our models of parasite species richness identified host species as the only significant predictor considered in this study (Table 3), which had a significantly negative estimate for *S. imperator*.

**Table 3. GLMM outcomes for each parasite and parasite species richness**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parasite</th>
<th>Fixed effect</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>Wald($x^2$)</th>
<th>DF</th>
<th>P-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><em>Prosthenorchis</em> sp.</td>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>0.7772</td>
<td>0.4963</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: Sub-adult</td>
<td>-1.4417</td>
<td>0.5459</td>
<td>6.9742</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cestode</td>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>-2.4263</td>
<td>0.3764</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Species: <em>Simp</em></td>
<td>-4.1473</td>
<td>0.8134</td>
<td>25.998</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Age: Sub-adult</td>
<td>1.9867</td>
<td>0.6172</td>
<td>10.36</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>intercept</td>
<td>-4.2509</td>
<td>0.5863</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Discussion**

It is customary for wild animals to acquire and maintain multiple parasitic infections during their lives (Cox, 2001; Petney & Andrews, 1998). While clinical and/or experimental studies are effective at demonstrating the pathogenicity of parasites, translating such findings to natural systems is not always straightforward. For example, the thorny-headed worms (Phylum Acanthocephala) are well-known parasites of Central and South American primates (King, 1993; Tantalean et al., 1990). They attach themselves to the intestinal mucosa of their primate hosts and cause inflammatory responses, obstruction of the lumen, and the formation of lesions and ulcers, which may lead to secondary infections or even peritonitis in the worst cases (King, 1993; Strait, Else, & Eberhard, 2012). In spite of the potential to be extremely pathogenic, Acanthocephala infections (e.g. *Prosthenorchis* spp.) are common in this study population, routinely found in other surveys of callitrichids (Müller, 2007; Tantalean et al., 1990; Wenz et al., 2009), and are also present in other New World primate families such as the Cebidae and Atelidae (King, 1993; Phillips et al., 2004; Wenz et al., 2009). It has been shown before that in nature, where the host’s ability to tolerate infection is most important to its fitness, parasite pathogenicity may be dictated by environmental factors (Cardon, Loot, Grenouillet, & Blanchet, 2011) that Walker et al. (2010) discussed as “environmental forcing of pathogenicity”. On top of this, interactions between diverse parasite species modulate pathogenicity (Balmer, Stearns, Schötzau, & Brun, 2009; Lello, Boag, Fenton, Stevenson, & Hudson, 2004; Monteiro, Dietz, Raboy, et al., 2007b; Petney & Andrews, 1998). Of the 9 helminths documented in this study, 5 are of unknown pathogenicity, 2 are probably non-pathogenic, and 2 are known to be pathogenic (Table 2). That one of two most common parasites in this study, across years, is considered highly pathogenic (*Prosthenorchis*), suggests taking caution before transferring clinical findings to real-world systems. In this study population, individuals have been hosting *Prosthenorchis* for upwards of 12 years [Erkenswick, unpublished data], thus observations of particularly pathogenic parasites (e.g. Acanthocephalans)
should be viewed in context with the broader parasitology community and changes in the environment, which requires detailed longitudinal data collection (Haukisalmi, Henttonen, & Tenora, 1988).

Although our analysis did not identify nonrandom associations between co-infecting parasites, it is still possible that within-host parasite interactions are at play. The use of presence-absence infection data is much less sensitive at detecting relationships than accurate measures of parasite intensity or burden (Knowles et al., 2013; Lello et al., 2004), which will be an aim of future studies. Our inability to detect a relationship between group size and parasite species richness is consistent with our previous work on blood parasites (Erkenswick et al., 2017a), and may be a consequence of too little variation in group sizes, which ranged only from 3 to 8. Other genera of callitrichids can occur in slightly larger groups than our hosts species; for example, Callithrix groups can be as large as 15 members (Pontes & da Cruz, 1995; Watsa et al., 2017), but it also may be that generalities about group size and parasite diversity do not apply within the Callitrichidae.

The data we have provided here represent the first description of the intestinal parasite for free-ranging Saguinus imperator. Although the IUCN currently lists S. imperator of least concern (Rylands & Mittermeier, 2008), the core of its distribution is surrounded by one of the fastest growing gold mining industries in the world (Asner, Llactayo, Tupayachi, & Luna, 2013). Moreover, S. imperator is currently one of the most valuable Peruvian monkeys in the illegal wildlife trade (Watsa, 2015). Hence, close monitoring of intact populations of S. imperator is crucial to its conservation. We also provide a new comparative dataset of gastrointestinal parasites from L. weddelli (formerly S. fuscicollis weddelli), which is also a primate of ‘least concern,’ though recent taxonomic revisions may result in revision of its conservation status (Buckner et al., 2015; Matauschek et al., 2011).

We find some noteworthy similarities and differences between this study and previous studies of gastrointestinal parasites from congeneric callitrichids. Phillips et al. (2004) screened one group of S. fuscicollis in the nearby Tambopata National Reserve and identified four parasites (Trichuris, Iodamoeba, Entamoeba, and an unidentified strongyle), none of which were confirmed in our hosts. Although they had a small sample size of 4 individuals, it is surprising that they did not detect Prosthenorchis sp. infection, which they did find in 1 of 18 squirrel monkey (Saimiri sciureus) fecal samples at the same site. In northern Peru, both Wenz et al. (2009) and Muller (2007) conducted gastrointestinal parasite surveys over a single season from sympatric callitrichine hosts, S. fuscicollis and S. mystax, and reported a parasite assemblage that mostly overlaps with our findings (Prosthenorchis, Hymenolepis, large and small Spirurids, Primasubulura, Strongylid larvae). Both recorded higher prevalence than our study for every helminth except Prosthenorchis, which was considerably less common. Comparing both of their tamarin hosts, they detected higher prevalence of Hymenolepis and PSR for S. fuscicollis, as we did for L. weddelli (until recently, S. fuscicollis and L. weddelli were consider the same species (Buckner et al., 2015; Matauschek et al., 2011)). The variation in prevalence between the studies is likely related to ecological differences between the locations. We suspect that greater diversity of helminths observed in this study is associated with a higher diversity of primates (11 compared to 4), but also may be a consequence of prior human activities at the site which altered the densities of primate species at EBLA (Rosin & Swamy, 2013).
Interpreting these findings in light of parasite mode of transmission, direct or trophic, is challenged by the lack of information on the exact intermediate hosts of many parasites, and many could have more than one. The majority of the parasites we detected were trophically transmitted – encountered via an intermediate host (usually an arthropod) – and prevalence significantly differed between host species in two instances. While a comprehensive study of feeding and foraging behavior has not been conducted on our host populations, it has been conducted on sympatric S. fuscicollis and S. mystax in Northern Perú. The findings from two studies on tamarin feeding ecology agree that S. fuscicollis spends significantly more time foraging in the lower strata and on the ground, while the opposite was true of S. mystax (Heymann, Knogge, & Tirado Herrera, 2000; Smith, 2000). Smith (2000) documented that sympatric, congeneric host species exercised distinct feeding preferences such as color and size of prey items, and this might account for host differences observed here for Dicrocoeliidae and Hymenolepis infection if prey items are unevenly distributed across forest habitat. Niche specialization might also contribute to the variation we observed in parasite species richness among these hosts via differences in intermediate host encounter rates or the persistence of parasite free-living phases on the ground or in certain forest strata. Consistent with the prevalence of blood parasites from this population (Erkenswick et al., 2017a), age class predicted the presence of two trophically transmitted parasites, though we obtained both positive and negative relationship estimates from our models. We attribute this to differences in diet and foraging efficiency between younger and older individuals, which would alter parasite encounter rates.

By tracking prevalence of parasitic infections over time in wild populations it is possible to infer whether natural parasite communities are stable; however, longitudinal data at the level of the individual provides insights into the source, or lack, of population stability (Knowles et al., 2013). In some cases, it could even aid in identification of parasites that have negative health consequences. For example, if parasite prevalence is consistently low relative to the incidence of new infections across years, and there is little evidence that individuals clear infections, then previously infected hosts must be disappearing regularly. In this study, we encountered few disparities in the rate of acquisition or loss of parasites, and considered in concert with observed prevalence, we see no obvious signs of negative health consequences.

The results provided here, in combination with recent works on hemoparasites (Erkenswick et al., 2017a), represent a benchmark against which future parasitological surveys can be compared. Represented in these callitrichine host parasite assemblages are parasites that are transmitted directly, trophically, or by arthropod vectors. Given changes in the environment that alter food availability or vector populations, we would expect corresponding deviations from what has been documented here. The near ubiquity across South America rainforests, propensity to be found in sympatry with other New World primates, and exist in and around human-altered landscapes, make the Callitrichidae a potential flagship family for the regional detection of ecological changes, or even environmental threats.
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