1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Genome-wide association study of piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV) robustness in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*)

Borghild Hillestad¹ and Hooman K. Moghadam^{1*}

¹*SalmoBreed AS, Sandviksboder 3A, N-5035 Bergen, Norway;*

Article type:

Original Article

Running title:

Genomics of CMS robustness in Atlantic salmon

***Corresponding author:**

Hooman K. Moghadam

E-mail: hooman@salmobreed.no

Abstract

Cardiomyopathy syndrome is a severe, viral disease of Atlantic salmon that mostly affects farmed animals during their late production stage at sea. Caused by piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV), over the past few years, the outbreaks due to this disease have resulted in significant losses to the aquaculture industry. However, there are currently no vaccine that has proven effective against this virus. In this study, using a challenge model, we investigate the genetic variation for robustness to PMCV, by screening large number of animals using a 55 K SNP array. In particular, we aimed to identify genetic markers that are tightly linked to higher disease resistance and can potentially be used in breeding programs. Using genomic information, we estimated heritability of 0.41 ± 0.05 , suggesting that robustness against this virus is largely controlled by genetic factors. Through association analysis, we identified a major QTL on chromosome 27, explaining approximately 57% of the total additive genetic variation. The region harbouring this putative QTL contains various immune related candidate genes, many of which have previously been shown to have a differential expression profile between the naïve and infected animals. We also identified a suggestive association on chromosome 12, where the QTL linked markers are located within two putatively immune related genes. These findings are important as they can be readily implemented into the breeding programs but also the results can further help in fine-mapping the causative mutation, in better understanding the biology of the disease and refine the mechanics of resistance against PMCV.

Key words:

Atlantic salmon, cardiomyopathy syndrome, piscine myocarditis virus, genome-wide association, single nucleotide polymorphism;

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Introduction

Cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS) is an emerging, severe inflammatory cardiac disease of Atlantic salmon, with the farmed animals being the primary host, although the causative agent has also been detected in wild populations (Brun *et al.*, 2003; Garseth *et al.*, 2012). The disease has been linked to piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV), a double stranded RNA virus that resembles members of the *Totiviridae* family (Løvoll *et al.*, 2010; Haugland *et al.*, 2011). First detected in farmed Atlantic salmon in Norway in 1985 (Amin and Trasti, 1988), outbreaks have since been reported in other geographical regions and countries including the Faroe Islands, Scotland, Ireland and possibly Canada (Rodger and Turnbull, 2000; Brocklebank and Raverty, 2002; Garseth *et al.*, 2018). This disease is of major concern since it can pose significant financial burden to both farmers and to the industry, as it usually affects the brood fish or grow-out fish at their later life stages in sea (Svendsen and Fritsvold, 2009; Garseth *et al.*, 2018), where considerable amount of recourses have already been allocated for the rearing and husbandry of the animals. It seems however, that the frequency of younger fish also being diagnosed by this virus might be on the rise (Svendsen and Fritsvold, 2018). An initial assessment in 2003, indicated that the cost of CMS associated outbreaks are responsible for direct annual financial loss of € 4.5 to 8.8 million to the Norwegian aquaculture sector (Brun *et al.*, 2003). However, a subsequent study in 2011, published by The Norwegian Seafood Research Fund (FHF), estimated that the overall CMS related losses in 2007 approximated to more than € 25 million (FHF, 2011). These estimates suggest that the financial loss due to this disease has increased by four- to five-fold in mere four years. According to a recent report by the Norwegian Veterinary Institute (Svendsen and Fritsvold, 2018), the frequency of CMS outbreaks has increased throughout Norway over the past few years. Currently, CMS is considered as one of the most significant health related challenges to the aquaculture industry, ranking only after the salmon-lice and gill-diseases (Svendsen and Fritsvold, 2018).

A few studies have so far investigated the virus at its genomic details. PMCV has a small genome of 6,688 nucleotides, consisting of three open reading frames (ORF) (Løvoll *et al.*, 2010; Haugland *et al.*, 2011). While the putative products of the ORF1 and ORF2 are likely to be involved in encoding the protein coat and RNA-dependent RNA polymerase respectively, the exact functional properties of ORF3 product is yet to be determined (Wiik-Nielsen *et al.*, 2013). Analysis of the Norwegian PMCV isolates, collected from 36 farms, has revealed high similarity in the nucleotide sequence information, with the most divergent isolate sharing more than 98% sequence similarity (Wiik-Nielsen *et al.*, 2013). Sequence analysis of a few Irish (Rodger *et al.*, 2014) as well as wild Atlantic salmon isolates from Norway (Garseth *et al.*, 2012) have also shown very high similarity to the Norwegian variants. This suggest that all these subtypes, most likely belong to a single genus. Although our understanding of the dynamics and biology of CMS is still very limited, a few studies have started to shed light on the host transcriptomic response following infection with PMCV. In particular, Timmerhaus *et al.* (2011), through comparative analysis of gene expression data and assessment of histopathological lesions in different timepoints and tissues, have identified alternative regulation of six different gene sets during the course of infection. These gene sets included *i.* genes involved in early antiviral and interferon response; *ii.* complement response; *iii.* B cell response; *iv.* MHC antigen presentation; *v.* T cell response and *vi.* apoptosis. Further, studies have reported large, inter-individual variation in Atlantic salmon's response to PMCV, regarding both the progression and the pathological outcomes of the disease (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2012; Garseth *et al.*, 2018). While some fish seemed to be able to clear or significantly reduce the level of the virus from 6-10 weeks post infection and exhibit little evidence of disease pathology, other fish retained high loads of virus and elevated heart tissue damage (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2012). The authors also noticed that at the final stages of the challenge, a broad range of immune related genes, genes, mainly involved in adaptive immunity and particularly in T cell response, had altered their profile of expression among more susceptible animals (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2012). Therefore, one

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

might speculate that a significant part of the resistant machinery against this virus should be under genetic control (Garseth *et al.*, 2018), with genes involved in adaptive immunity to most likely play an important role in this process.

In the absence of any effective vaccine or available treatment for CMS (Garseth *et al.*, 2018), alternative strategies such as selective breeding and utilization of the latest genomic technologies and genetic recourses can provide us with innovative ways to help in identifying resistant or more tolerant animals, reduce the frequency of the disease outbreak, help to improve animal welfare and increase the profit to the industry. With such goals in mind, in this study, we aimed to identify chromosomal regions and genomic markers that are associated with resistance or higher tolerance against PMCV. We further investigated the heritability of the trait and suggest a number of candidate genes that might harbour the causative variation(s) and might be responsible for making an animal to cope better with the pathological symptoms of this virus.

Materials and Methods

SalmoBreed population and challenge test

In the Fall of 2017, 1,192 PIT-tagged (passive integrated transponder) smolts were transported from the SalmoBreed breeding station in Lønningdal (<http://salmobreed.no/en/>) to the challenge facility in VESO Viken (<https://www.veso.no/about-us1>; Namsos, Norway). The group consisted of 60 full-sib families, approximately 20 individuals per family, from the SalmoBreed nucleus year-class 2017, with an average weight of 123 gr. After arrival, 1,186 fish were kept at 12 °C brackish water (15-30‰) and 24:00 h light regime. After the initial acclimatization, the remaining 1,179 fish were challenged with PMCV in a full salinity water (>30‰). The fish were first anaesthetized, scanned and then challenged through intraperitoneal injection (0.1 mL per fish), with a virus containing tissue homogenate, cultured *in vivo* at VESO Viken. The challenge was carried out for nine weeks before the trial was terminated. At termination, the fish were weighted, and heart tissue samples were collected for histopathology and quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR)

analyses, and stored in formalin and RNALater, respectively. The adipose fin tissue was also collected for DNA extraction and subsequent genotyping.

RNA extraction and qRT-PCR analysis

Following the termination and collection of the heart tissues in RNALater, samples were shipped to PatoGen AS (<http://www.patogen.com/>; Ålesund Norway) for RNA extraction and viral load quantification using their established protocol. RNA was successfully extracted from 1,161 heart tissue samples. Real-time qRT-PCR of the viral loads and calculations of normalized cycle threshold (C_t) values were performed based on the optimized procedure for PMCV quantification in PatoGen.

Histology assessment

To estimate the correlation between C_t values of the viral loads from qRT-PCR and heart histopathology, 40 fish were selected for histology assessment of both atrium and ventricle at the Fish Vet Group Norway (<http://fishvetgroup.no/en/>; Skøyen, Norway). Formalin-fixed heart tissue samples were embedded in paraffin and processed in accordance to the Fish Vet Group's routine standard histological procedures (Bott, 2014). Histology analysis were performed on 20 fish with the lowest and 20 fish with the highest C_t values. The lesions were scored 0, 1, 2 or 3 in accordance with the scheme described previously (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2011). A score of 0 indicates no lesions at the heart, 1 refers to mild lesions, 2 states moderate lesions and 3 implies severe lesions.

Genotyping and genotype quality assessment

The adipose fin-clip tissue from all the animals that survived the nine weeks duration of the challenge (i.e., 1,182 individuals) were sent to IdentiGEN (<https://identigen.com/>; Dublin, Ireland) for DNA extraction and genotyping. The genotyping was done on a custom made 55 K Affymetrix Axiom array, called NOFSAL03, developed by Nofima AS in 2016 in collaboration with SalmoBreed AS and Marine Harvest ASA. In total, 1,152 fish passed the initial quality control during DNA extraction and the SNP calling steps of the Affymetrix Axiom analysis suite software.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Additional genotype quality measures were undertaken using SNP & Variation Suite v8.8.1 (SVS; Golden Helix Inc., Bozeman, MT, USA www.goldenhelix.com). Samples and SNPs with call rates < 90%, SNPs with Hardy-Weinberg p -value (Fishers exact test) < 10^{-10} or genetic markers with minor allele frequency < 0.05% were excluded from downstream analysis. Further, we used SVS for linkage-disequilibrium pruning, by setting window size to 40, window increment to 5 and r^2 threshold to 0.5. We then used the pruned data to construct a distance matrix based on the identity by descent (IBD). Using this pre-computed kinship matrix, we performed mixed model association analysis by applying Efficient Mixed-Model Association eXpedited (EMMAX) (Kang *et al.*, 2010) to correct for possible sample structures and relatedness between animals. An association was considered to be genome-wide or chromosome-wide significant, if the Bonferroni threshold p -value was less or equal than $1.004\text{e-}06$ or $3.730\text{e-}05$ respectively.

To estimate the proportion of total genetic variation that is explained by genomic loci harboring QTL, we performed regional heritability analysis (Nagamine *et al.*, 2012) using DISSECT (Canela-Xandri *et al.*, 2015). We first extracted the SNPs covering the QTL regions of interest and computed a genetic relationship matrix between individuals i and j as:

$$r_{ij} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{(s_{ik} - p_k)(s_{jk} - p_k)}{p_k(1 - p_k)}$$

where s_{ik} and s_{jk} are the number of copies of the reference allele for SNP k in individuals i and j , p_k is the frequency of the reference allele for SNP k and N is the number of SNPs. We then fitted a mixed linear model as follows:

$$y = X\beta + Zu + e$$

where y is the vector of Ct values, X and Z are the design matrixes for fixed and random effects respectively, u is the global genomic additive genetic effect, v is the regional genomic additive genetic effect, e is the residuals and β is the fixed effect, adjusted for sex. Matrices G and I are a global

genomic relationship matrix using the entire quality control passed SNPs for estimating global genomic additive effect and a unit matrix for estimating residuals, respectively. Q is the regional genomic relationship matrix obtained from the SNPs covering the QTL region. The global and the regional heritability are estimated as $h^2 = \frac{\sigma^2_A}{\sigma^2_A + \sigma^2_E}$ and $h^2_C = \frac{\sigma^2_{CQ}}{\sigma^2_{CQ} + \sigma^2_E}$ respectively.

Results and Discussion

Following the termination of the trial, no mortality or clinical symptoms associated with the disease was observed. Using qRT-PCR, the load of the virus was successfully obtained from the heart tissues of 1,169 animals. The standardized Ct values of the viral genetic material showed a normal distribution when compared across individuals, with some animals exhibiting very low levels of the pathogen while some others carrying high loads of PMCV (Supplementary Figure 1). This is an indication of possible inter-individual biological differences in the ability of an animal to either prevent the proliferation or subsequent clearance of the virus during later stages of infection (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2011, 2012). To test if these quantitative measures can be used as a proxy for assessing the degree of the damage to the heart and therefore providing an indication of an animal's resistance or tolerance against PMCV, histopathological assessments were performed on the atriums and ventricles of 40 animals, 20 with the highest and 20 with the lowest Ct measurements. The heart histopathological scores ranged from 0-2 (no score of 3 was observed), reflecting the degree of severity of the lesions as described previously (Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2011). The Ct values were highly correlated with the histology scores, for both atrium and ventricle (Pearson $r = 0.72$, p -value < 0.0001 ; Figure 1). Similar, high estimates of correlation (i.e., 0.75-0.76) between the histopathological scores and the loads of virus have also been previously reported for this disease (Haugland *et al.*, 2011; Timmerhaus *et al.*, 2011).

To identify genetic markers that are associated to robustness against PMCV, we performed a genome-wide association study (GWAS). The markers were first filtered for minor allele frequencies < 0.05 and call-rates $< 90\%$, leaving approximately 50 K SNPs for the subsequent analysis. The test was

preformed using EMMAX algorithm, in order to account for both animal relatedness as well as population structure (Kang *et al.*, 2008). We obtained an inflation factor (*I*) of 1.13, suggesting a negligible population structuring effect in our data (Supplementary Figure 2). The heritability estimate, using the genomic relationship matrix, was 0.41 ± 0.05 , indicating potentials for efficient response in increased resistance against this pathogen through selection and breeding strategies.

Through GWAS analysis, we identified a significant association on chromosomes 27 ($-\log_{10} p$ -value > 6) but also a suggestive evidence of genetic markers on chromosome 12 to be linked with higher robustness against PMCV ($-\log_{10} p$ -value > 4.5) (Figure 2). On chromosome 27, total of 44 SNPs passed the genome-wide threshold p -value of $1.004e-06$ (Supplementary Table 1). These markers cover a large fragment on the chromosome, spanning from 3.9 Mbp up-to 25.5 Mbp region. However, the top four SNPs, with a much stronger association compared to the remainder of the genetic markers, cover only 2 Mbp segment of the chromosome, from 8.5 Mbp to 10.5 Mbp. The proportion of phenotypic variance explained by each of these four markers were 5.13%, 5.03%, 4.81% and 4.05% respectively. Collectively, the markers within this 2 Mbp region explained about 57% of the total additive genetic variation, according to the regional heritability estimate.

This region of chromosome 27 in Atlantic salmon harbors about 63 protein coding genes, with the strongest associated SNP located on 10.4 Mbp (ssa27:10393267; Table 1). On the downstream of this SNP, there are two putative immune related candidate genes, immunoglobulin V-set-like domain (LOC106588384; ssa27:10,413,985-10,428,204) and the major histocompatibility complex class I-related gene (LOC106588381; ssa27:10,464,840-10,482,970). These two genes have potential functions in antigen binding and antigen presentation respectively. On the upstream of this SNP, there are further a few genes with direct functional properties relevant to the immune system processes and antigen presentation. Antigen peptide transporter 2 (*tap2b*; ssa27:10,176,035-10,180,872), class I histocompatibility antigen F10 alpha chain-like (LOC106588401; ssa27:10,122,008-10,149,393), major histocompatibility complex class I-related gene (LOC106588402; ssa27:10,037,565-

10,054,429), TAP-binding protein (*tapbp*; ssa27:10,023,358-10,039,557) and proteasome subunit beta type-7-like (LOC106588382; ssa27:10,580,776-10,585,552) are a few examples. All these latter genes cover a region on chromosome 27 from 10 Mbp up-to 10.2 Mbp. Previously, Timmerhaus *et al.* (2011), using a microarray platform, have identified a set of 34 transcripts that are involved in the presentation of viral antigens through MHC class I and II genes and were differentially expressed between the naïve and the CMS infected animals. In fact, we found that the genes associated with a few of these transcripts are located within the CMS QTL genomic segment on chromosome 27 reported in this study. In particular, these genes included LOC106588401, LOC106588402 (as indicated above), and to a lesser degree characterized gene, LOC106588388 (ssa27:10,583,372-10,595,992), with possible functionality as a long non-coding RNA (lncRNA).

Further analysis of the 34 transcript set of the sequence data reported by Timmerhaus *et al.* (2011) also showed that a subset of these transcripts further map to both chromosomes 14 and 27 in the current Atlantic salmon genome assembly (GCA_000233375.4 ICSASG_v2). Examples of these transcripts mapping to the two chromosomes include proteasome subunit beta and MHC class I. In Atlantic salmon, the entire chromosome 27 is homeologous to chromosome 14, suggesting that these chromosomes have originated from the whole genome duplication event that happened at the origin of all salmonid fishes (Lien *et al.*, 2016). On chromosome 27, the duplicates reported by Timmerhaus *et al.* (2011) mainly fall within the QTL associated genomic segment. While the exact functional properties of many duplicated gene copies in Salmonids have yet to be studied in detail, we expect that many genes to have either developed new functions (*neofunctionalization*) (Berthelot *et al.*, 2014) or the ancestral function has been partitioned between the two newly derived daughter copies (*subfunctionalization*) (Wolfe, 2001; Osborn *et al.*, 2003). In addition, it is possible that both copies have remained equally functional within the genome, if the dosage effect provides an advantage and increases the fitness of an individual (Wolfe, 2001). Therefore, it is of interest to find out if in some other Atlantic salmon populations, the homeologous segment on chromosome 14, either by itself or

1 in addition to chromosome 27, to show association with higher PMCV robustness. Further, in the
2
3 future studies, targeting to investigate the expression and the exact genomic location of these
4
5 differentially expressed transcripts, whether it is on chromosome 14 or 27, between animals with
6
7 different levels of resistance to CMS, would be of great importance, particularly when we aim to fine-
8
9 map the causal mutation for this trait.
10
11

12 On chromosome 12, while no marker passed the genome-wide, threshold p -value, eight markers
13
14 exceeded the chromosome-wide significance corrected threshold of $3.730e-05$ (Supplementary Table
15
16 1). Seven of these markers span a region of only 320 Kbp, from position 61.39 Mbp to 61.71 Mbp.
17
18 The proportion of phenotypic variance explained by these markers range from 1.48% to 1.83%
19
20 (Supplementary Table 1). There are 15 annotated, protein-coding genes within 61.00 to 62.00 Mbp
21
22 segment of chromosome 12. However, probably the two most relevant and plausible candidate genes,
23
24 within this region, with a potential effect on an animal's robustness to PMCV are the two putative H-
25
26 2 class II histocompatibility antigen genes. One of these genes, LOC100136577, is homologous to
27
28 H-2 class II histocompatibility antigen, I-E beta chain in mouse, located at ssa12:61,693,946-
29
30 61,699,456. The other gene, LOC106565699 is most similar to H-2 class II histocompatibility
31
32 antigen, A-U alpha chain, again in mouse, located at ssa12:61,701,374-61,703,966. In fact, four of
33
34 the SNPs with the lowest associated p -values detected on this chromosome, are located within this
35
36 latter gene. Two of the SNPs fall within the intronic regions of the gene, while the other two have
37
38 been assigned as 3' untranslated region (UTR) variants (Figure 3). Interestingly, this genes has also
39
40 been reported by Timmerhaus *et al.* (2011) as one of the key genes, within the MHC antigen
41
42 presentation set, that is differentially expressed between the PMCV infected and non-infected
43
44 animals. The 5th strongest SNP on chromosome 12, falls in the other histocompatibility gene (i.e.,
45
46 LOC100136577) and has also been identified as a 3' UTR variant (Figure 3). These five SNPs are in
47
48 strong linkage disequilibrium and form a haplotype block.
49
50

51 In addition to the two histocompatibility genes however, there are also other putative candidate genes
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

with direct or indirect functional properties relevant to the immune system, within this approximately 320 Kbp segment of the chromosome. One example is the T cell transcription factor EB-like gene (TFEB) (LOC106565694), which can be regarded as one of the key transcriptional regulators of autophagy and lysosome biogenesis (Sardiello *et al.*, 2009; Settembre *et al.*, 2011). This gene is located in close proximity to the two histocompatibility genes discussed above (ssa12:61,588,376-61,651,838). The product of this gene is involved in a variety of functions which are related to the host's defense mechanism, including elimination of intracellular pathogens, reducing inflammation, antigen presentation and secretion of cytokines (Nabar and Kehrl, 2017).

The final, chromosome-wide significant SNP detected on chromosome 12, is located further apart from the other associated markers, at approximately 33 Mbp location (Supplementary Table 1). Interestingly, this marker is within a putative long-noncoding RNA (lncRNA, LOC106565045, ssa12:33,241,465-33,247,235). Long-noncoding RNAs are known to be involved in gene transcription, translation and regulation and their key roles in many disease progressions have previously been suggested (Carrieri *et al.*, 2012).

In conclusion, this work provides an important first step towards unraveling the genetic architecture of resistance against PMCV. Identification of a major QTL on chromosome 27 that explains a large proportion of genetic variation and suggestive evidence of one or more genomic regions on chromosome 12 that are associated with CMS robustness indicates that breeding can be a powerful tool for reducing and managing outbreaks due to this virus. It is important however, to confirm the same association of the QTL in different year-classes and in different populations. Further, understanding the genes and the genetic networks that are differentially regulated between the resistant and susceptible animals, particularly the expression profile of those genes that fall within the QTL region will help in fine mapping and identifying the causative mutation. As obtaining the whole-genome sequence information from an increasing number of animals is becoming more affordable, this will be a key and a routine step in our future attempts in dissecting the genetic basis

1
2 of any complex trait.

3 4 **Acknowledgments**

5
6
7 The authors would like to thank Dr. Makoto Inami and other personnel at the VESO Viken who
8
9 helped in the development and optimization of the CMS challenge test. We would also like to extend
10
11 our gratitude to the Fish Vet Group, Norway and specially Dr. Kai-Inge Lie for performing histology
12
13 on the heart tissue samples.
14

15 16 **References**

17
18
19 Amin A, Trasti J (1988). Endomyocarditis in Atlantic salmon in Norwegian seafarms; A case report.
20
21 *Bull Eur Assoc Fish Pathol* **8**: 70–71.
22
23 Berthelot C, Brunet F, Chalopin D, Juanchich A, Bernard M, Noël B, *et al.* (2014). The rainbow trout
24
25 genome provides novel insights into evolution after whole-genome duplication in vertebrates.
26
27 *Nat Commun* **5**.
28
29 Bott R (2014). *Bancroft's Theory and Practice of Histological Techinques*.
30
31 Brocklebank J, Raverty S (2002). Sudden mortality caused by cardiac deformities following seining
32
33 of preharvest farmed Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) and by cardiomyopathy of
34
35 postintraperitoneally vaccinated Atlantic salmon parr in British Columbia. *Can Vet J* **43**: 129–
36
37 130.
38
39 Brun E, Poppe T, Skrudland A (2003). Cardiomyopathy syndrome in farmed Atlantic salmon *Salmo*
40
41 *salar*: occurrence and direct financial losses for Norwegian aquaculture . *Dis Aquat Organ* **56**:
42
43 241–247.
44
45 Canela-Xandri O, Law A, Gray A, Woolliams JA, Tenesa A (2015). A new tool called DISSECT for
46
47 analysing large genomic data sets using a Big Data approach. *Nat Commun*.
48
49 Carrieri C, Cimatti L, Biagioli M, Beugnet A, Zucchelli S, Fedele S, *et al.* (2012). Long non-coding
50
51 antisense RNA controls Uchl1 translation through an embedded SINEB2 repeat. *Nature*.
52
53 FHF (2011). *Cardiomyopathy Syndrome: A Multi-task Approach to Reduce Losses and Improve*
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Knowledge.

- Garseth ÅH, Biering E, Tengs T (2012). Piscine myocarditis virus (PMCV) in wild Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *Dis Aquat Organ* **102**: 157–161.
- Garseth H, Fritsvold C, Svendsen JC, Bang Jensen B, Mikalsen AB (2018). Cardiomyopathy syndrome in Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar* L.: A review of the current state of knowledge. *J Fish Dis* **41**: 11–26.
- Haugland O, Mikalsen AB, Nilsen P, Lindmo K, Thu BJ, Eliassen TM, *et al.* (2011). Cardiomyopathy Syndrome of Atlantic Salmon (*Salmo salar* L.) Is Caused by a Double-Stranded RNA Virus of the Totiviridae Family. *J Virol* **85**: 5275–5286.
- Kang HM, Sul JH, Service SK, Zaitlen NA, Kong SY, Freimer NB, *et al.* (2010). Variance component model to account for sample structure in genome-wide association studies. *Nat Genet* **42**: 348–354.
- Kang HM, Zaitlen NA, Wade CM, Kirby A, Heckerman D, Daly MJ, *et al.* (2008). Efficient Control of Population Stucture in Model Organism Association Mapping. *Genetics*.
- Lien S, Koop BF, Sandve SR, Miller JR, Kent MP, Nome T, *et al.* (2016). The Atlantic salmon genome provides insights into rediploidization. *Nature*.
- Løvoll M, Wiik-Nielsen J, Grove S, Wiik-Nielsen CR, Kristoffersen AB, Faller R, *et al.* (2010). A novel totivirus and piscine reovirus (PRV) in Atlantic salmon (*Salmo salar*) with cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS). *Virol J* **7**.
- Nabar NR, Kehrl JH (2017). The transcription factor EB links cellular stress to the immune response. *Yale J Biol Med* **90**: 301–315.
- Nagamine Y, Pong-Wong R, Navarro P, Vitart V, Hayward C, Rudan I, *et al.* (2012). Localising Loci underlying Complex Trait Variation Using Regional Genomic Relationship Mapping. *PLoS One*.
- Osborn TC, Chris Pires J, Birchler JA, Auger DL, Chen ZJ, Lee HS, *et al.* (2003). Understanding

1 mechanisms of novel gene expression in polyploids. *Trends Genet* **19**: 141–147.

2

3

4 Rodger HD, Mccleary SJ, Ruane NM (2014). Clinical cardiomyopathy syndrome in Atlantic salmon,

5

6 *Salmo salar* L. *J Fish Dis* **37**: 935–939.

7

8

9 Rodger H, Turnbull T (2000). Cardiomyopathy syndrome in farmed Scottish salmon. *Vet Rec* **146**:

10

11 500–501.

12

13 Sardiello M, Palmieri M, Ronza A Di, Medina DL, Valenza M, Gennarino VA, *et al.* (2009). A gene

14

15 network regulating lysosomal biogenesis and function. *Science (80-)* **325**: 473–477.

16

17

18 Settembre C, Di Malta C, Polito VA, Arencibia MG, Vetrini F, Erdin S, *et al.* (2011). TFEB links

19

20 autophagy to lysosomal biogenesis. *Science (80-)* **332**: 1429–1433.

21

22

23 Svendsen JC, Fritsvold C (2009). Experimental transmission of cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS) in

24

25 Atlantic salmon *Salmo salar*. *Dis Aquat Organ* **87**: 225–234.

26

27 Svendsen JC, Fritsvold C (2018). *The health situation in Norwegian aquaculture.*

28

29

30 Timmerhaus G (2012). *Cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS) in Atlantic salmon, Salmo salar L.:*

31

32 *functional genomics studies of host-pathogen responses and disease markers.*

33

34 Timmerhaus G, Krasnov A, Nilsen P, Alarcon M, Afanasyev S, Rode M, *et al.* (2011). Transcriptome

35

36 profiling of immune responses to cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS) in Atlantic salmon. *BMC*

37

38 *Genomics* **12**: 459.

39

40

41 Timmerhaus G, Krasnov A, Takle H, Afanasyev S, Nilsen P, Rode M, *et al.* (2012). Comparison of

42

43 Atlantic salmon individuals with different outcomes of cardiomyopathy syndrome (CMS). *BMC*

44

45 *Genomics* **13**: 205.

46

47

48 Wiik-Nielsen J, Alarcón M, Fineid B, Rode M, Haugland (2013). Genetic variation in Norwegian

49

50 piscine myocarditis virus in Atlantic salmon, *Salmo salar* L. *J Fish Dis* **36**: 129–139.

51

52

53 Wolfe KH (2001). Yesterday’s polyploids and the mystery of diploidization. *Nat Rev Genet* **2**: 333–

54

55 341.

56

57

58

59

60

Figure 1. qRT-PCR C_t values and the associated standard errors of the PMCV viral-loads in animals with ventricle histology scores of 0, 1 and 2.

Figure 2. Manhattan plot of association between genetic markers and cardiac viral-loads of PMCV in Atlantic salmon. The black and the orange lines indicate the genome-wide and the chromosome-wide significance threshold cut-off levels respectively.

Figure 3. Chromosome-wide significant genetic markers on chromosome 12, located on two putative H-2 class II histocompatibility antigen genes, LOC100136577 and LOC106565699. The darker green blocks represent the UTR regions of the gene while the light green blocks represent the protein coding sequences. The arrowed lines show the intronic segments of the gene.

Supplementary Figure 1. Bar-graph showing the distribution of the normalized viral-loads C_t values from qRT-PCR across all challenged animals.

Supplementary Figure 2. Quantile-quantile plot for the genome-wide association analysis for robustness to PMCV.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

Supplementary Table 1. List of genome-wide and chromosome-wide genetic markers associated with robustness against PMCV in Atlantic salmon.

Marker	Chromosome	Position	<i>p</i> -value	$-\log_{10} p$ -value	Proportion of variance explained	Significance
AX-87431771	ssa27	2703017	2.32E-05	4.63	1.56%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88206216	ssa27	3921804	9.27E-10	9.03	3.23%	Genome-wide
AX-98319434	ssa27	3992934	3.62E-05	4.44	1.48%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88070502	ssa27	4706791	3.98E-09	8.40	2.99%	Genome-wide
AX-88113080	ssa27	5028120	8.89E-08	7.05	2.48%	Genome-wide
AX-87777813	ssa27	5747851	1.61E-05	4.79	1.62%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88060928	ssa27	6292856	3.09E-08	7.51	2.65%	Genome-wide
AX-96121015	ssa27	6912223	1.05E-07	6.98	2.45%	Genome-wide
AX-87957864	ssa27	7349971	1.29E-06	5.89	2.03%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87770393	ssa27	7558502	3.57E-09	8.45	3.01%	Genome-wide
AX-87810183	ssa27	7595841	2.37E-10	9.63	3.46%	Genome-wide
AX-88146773	ssa27	7778033	2.27E-05	4.64	1.56%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87543614	ssa27	7891594	6.09E-07	6.22	2.16%	Genome-wide
AX-88290504	ssa27	8039250	3.03E-05	4.52	1.51%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88223822	ssa27	8282588	9.85E-07	6.01	2.08%	Genome-wide
AX-87347259	ssa27	8356623	2.30E-09	8.64	3.08%	Genome-wide
AX-87353154	ssa27	8372617	1.68E-06	5.77	1.99%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98308488	ssa27	8374637	1.30E-07	6.89	2.41%	Genome-wide
AX-88285513	ssa27	8617575	2.97E-08	7.53	2.66%	Genome-wide
AX-88190840	ssa27	8642177	6.30E-14	13.20	4.81%	Genome-wide
AX-87513090	ssa27	8972988	6.61E-08	7.18	2.53%	Genome-wide
AX-88286284	ssa27	8979164	1.69E-08	7.77	2.75%	Genome-wide

AX-105314687	ssa27	9008115	3.73E-08	7.43	2.62%	Genome-wide
AX-87791679	ssa27	9056093	6.26E-07	6.20	2.15%	Genome-wide
AX-98308480	ssa27	9056598	7.52E-07	6.12	2.12%	Genome-wide
AX-87067374	ssa27	9056780	6.63E-06	5.18	1.76%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87003873	ssa27	9233208	5.74E-08	7.24	2.55%	Genome-wide
AX-87517328	ssa27	9287317	1.74E-07	6.76	2.37%	Genome-wide
AX-88202021	ssa27	9297577	2.43E-06	5.61	1.93%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98321803	ssa27	9308180	7.74E-10	9.11	3.26%	Genome-wide
AX-87879144	ssa27	9354950	7.10E-07	6.15	2.13%	Genome-wide
AX-87990259	ssa27	9602973	1.79E-05	4.75	1.60%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87107874	ssa27	9875472	6.52E-12	11.19	4.05%	Genome-wide
AX-87716791	ssa27	9885089	1.44E-10	9.84	3.54%	Genome-wide
AX-86960936	ssa27	9972373	3.64E-08	7.44	2.62%	Genome-wide
AX-98308473	ssa27	9974462	3.61E-05	4.44	1.49%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87784578	ssa27	10016847	4.63E-06	5.33	1.82%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87784944	ssa27	10025960	5.36E-07	6.27	2.18%	Genome-wide
AX-97869528	ssa27	10049322	7.69E-06	5.11	1.74%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87388881	ssa27	10176535	3.06E-07	6.51	2.27%	Genome-wide
AX-105314484	ssa27	10177750	1.38E-06	5.86	2.02%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87889461	ssa27	10192440	2.73E-06	5.56	1.91%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96309328	ssa27	10348407	1.69E-14	13.77	5.03%	Genome-wide
AX-97902757	ssa27	10393267	9.46E-15	14.02	5.13%	Genome-wide
AX-96395661	ssa27	10512101	1.88E-06	5.73	1.97%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87311210	ssa27	10654172	3.98E-06	5.40	1.85%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96197665	ssa27	10749982	6.97E-06	5.16	1.76%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96156161	ssa27	10750087	3.68E-06	5.43	1.86%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96395645	ssa27	10781997	6.14E-06	5.21	1.78%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98308452	ssa27	11167928	3.32E-07	6.48	2.26%	Genome-wide

AX-96309317	ssa27	11168090	1.13E-07	6.95	2.44%	Genome-wide
AX-96197662	ssa27	11185301	1.60E-10	9.79	3.52%	Genome-wide
AX-87474590	ssa27	11191489	2.94E-06	5.53	1.90%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88058440	ssa27	11681467	3.33E-10	9.48	3.40%	Genome-wide
AX-97886034	ssa27	11723737	2.56E-05	4.59	1.54%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87205708	ssa27	11973268	3.16E-07	6.50	2.27%	Genome-wide
AX-96420650	ssa27	12208504	2.51E-05	4.60	1.54%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87259442	ssa27	12499061	1.21E-05	4.92	1.67%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88275449	ssa27	13298889	8.33E-06	5.08	1.73%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87941659	ssa27	13563945	1.16E-06	5.94	2.05%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96156074	ssa27	13570032	1.80E-06	5.75	1.98%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88293442	ssa27	13627788	1.64E-07	6.78	2.37%	Genome-wide
AX-87649300	ssa27	13896277	1.09E-07	6.96	2.44%	Genome-wide
AX-87232521	ssa27	15079221	4.98E-06	5.30	1.81%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98317907	ssa27	15160212	1.50E-06	5.82	2.01%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88045505	ssa27	15161000	1.60E-05	4.80	1.62%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88266917	ssa27	15161002	3.34E-05	4.48	1.50%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98317904	ssa27	15161356	7.57E-06	5.12	1.74%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88093100	ssa27	15622000	3.29E-09	8.48	3.02%	Genome-wide
AX-87670213	ssa27	15923275	1.42E-05	4.85	1.64%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88138755	ssa27	15986838	2.29E-05	4.64	1.56%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96310740	ssa27	16179768	2.41E-05	4.62	1.55%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96231796	ssa27	16507964	2.83E-09	8.55	3.05%	Genome-wide
AX-97879918	ssa27	16528506	1.85E-05	4.73	1.59%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87140921	ssa27	17265465	8.14E-07	6.09	2.11%	Genome-wide
AX-87877297	ssa27	19804784	1.78E-05	4.75	1.60%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87336680	ssa27	20250601	1.81E-06	5.74	1.98%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96121176	ssa27	20445207	1.35E-05	4.87	1.65%	Chromosome-wide

AX-97902305	ssa27	21423070	8.44E-07	6.07	2.10%	Genome-wide
AX-87990446	ssa27	21428858	4.78E-06	5.32	1.82%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88140363	ssa27	21497459	6.86E-07	6.16	2.14%	Genome-wide
AX-87633714	ssa27	21528048	1.30E-05	4.89	1.65%	Chromosome-wide
AX-86925703	ssa27	21645190	8.19E-06	5.09	1.73%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96451141	ssa27	21646066	3.54E-06	5.45	1.87%	Chromosome-wide
AX-96438409	ssa27	21649877	1.92E-08	7.72	2.73%	Genome-wide
AX-87708412	ssa27	21914246	1.67E-05	4.78	1.61%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88309032	ssa27	22175059	1.55E-06	5.81	2.00%	Chromosome-wide
AX-97880155	ssa27	23148033	9.26E-06	5.03	1.71%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88244980	ssa27	25362000	3.77E-07	6.42	2.24%	Genome-wide
AX-86978244	ssa27	25448728	1.88E-07	6.73	2.35%	Genome-wide
AX-97877641	ssa27	29299825	3.12E-05	4.51	1.51%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88003719	ssa27	35744854	7.34E-06	5.13	1.75%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88277434	ssa12	33472000	3.25E-05	4.49	1.50%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87027775	ssa12	61391936	1.26E-05	4.90	1.66%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87253175	ssa12	61443087	3.55E-05	4.45	1.49%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87704824	ssa12	61694169	2.86E-05	4.54	1.52%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87796820	ssa12	61701806	4.46E-06	5.35	1.83%	Chromosome-wide
AX-98299923	ssa12	61702164	4.38E-06	5.36	1.83%	Chromosome-wide
AX-88191278	ssa12	61702955	6.19E-06	5.21	1.78%	Chromosome-wide
AX-87018640	ssa12	61703574	9.52E-06	5.02	1.70%	Chromosome-wide