1 **Development of a New Method for the Highly Effective**

2 **Identification of Cold Resistance in Living Avocado Varieties**

3 Runing title : identification of cold resistance in avocado varieties

4 ZHENG Chunlin¹, WU Fan¹ (First Authors), LI Cuiling, PENG Wenli, ZHANG Shaofeng, ZHU
5 Jingjie, JIANG Hao, LI Maofu* (College of Tropical Agriculture and Forestry, Hainan University,
6 Haikou 570228, Hainan)

7
8 ZHENG Chunlin: E-mail address: 1282165499@qq.com

9 WU Fan: E-mail address: 1597901323@qq.com

10 LI Cuiling: E-mail address: 530017168@qq.com

11 PENG Wenli: E-mail address: 1035339309@qq.com

12 ZHANG Shaofeng: E-mail address: 452880843@qq.com

13 ZHU Jingjie: E-mail address: okzjj@163.com

14 JIANG Hao: E-mail address: 841479727@qq.com

15 LI Maofu*(Corresponding author). Tel.: +86 13648672826; fax: +86 0898 66260793;E-mail
16 address: hafu98022@126.com (M.-F. Li)

17

18 Date of submission: 28th November, 2018

19 Number of tables: 8

20 Number of figures: 2

21 Word count: 4208

22

23

24

25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14

1 **Development of a New Method for the Highly Effective Identification** 2 **of Cold Resistance in Living Avocado Varieties**

3 Runing title : identification of cold resistance in avocado varieties

4 **Highlight:**A new method for identification of avocado cold resistance through
5 measuring the capacitance of different parts of leaves was developed. This method are
6 simple, quick and efficient.

7
8 **Abstract:** This paper first identified the cold resistance of 38 varieties of avocado
9 by determining the semi-lethal low temperature (LT_{50}) of the leaves using an
10 electrical conductivity method in combination with a logistic function, and then
11 analyzed the correlation between the LT_{50} of 27 varieties and the capacitance
12 measured 9 different parts of the leaves in vivo, to explore the relationship between
13 the cold resistance of various avocado varieties and the capacitance of different parts
14 of avocado leaves, so as to develop a new method for highly effective identification of
15 cold resistance of living avocado varieties. The results showed that various avocado
16 varieties' LT_{50} was significantly positively correlated with the capacitance of some
17 parts of leaves, showing that the cold resistance of various avocado varieties was
18 negatively correlated with the capacitance of various leaf parts. The results of mango
19 variety trials conducted for comparison is coincident with the theoretical conclusion
20 reached in the identification of the cold resistance of the avocado. So as to the study
21 of the cold resistance of avocado and mango varieties, the capacitance of live mature
22 leaves measured in the field can be used as a new method for the judgment of cold
23 resistance.

24
25 **Keywords:** *Avocado, capacitance, cold resistance, identification in vivo, relative*

1 *electrical conductivity, semi-lethal temperature.*

2

3 **Introduction**

4 The avocado (*Persea americana* Mill) is a fast-growing evergreen arbor fruit tree of
 5 the genus *Persea* in the laurel family. Native to the tropical humid areas in Central
 6 America and Mexico as well as high-attitude mountainous forests or tropical plateaus,
 7 the avocado is a well-known tropical and subtropical fruit tree (*Ge Yu et al., 2017*).
 8 The avocado was introduced into China in 1918, and now is planted in tropical
 9 regions including Hainan, Guangdong, Guangxi, Guizhou, Yunan and Taiwan. Low
 10 temperature is a key limiting factor for fruit farming (*Dong Meichao et al., 2016*), so
 11 the avocado suffers severe chilling damage in winter in subtropical high-elevation
 12 regions such as North Guangxi and Guizhou (*Lv Shihong et al., 1997*). Its leaves
 13 may suffer injury, and in severe cases, the whole plant may die. This seriously affects
 14 the secure development of China's avocado industry. Therefore, it is of great
 15 theoretical and practical significance to identify the cold resistance and tolerable low
 16 temperature range of avocado varieties, in order to guide regional avocado farming,
 17 cold resistant variety breeding and in-depth explorations of the cold resistance
 18 mechanism.

19 The electrical conductivity method is a classical method for the identification of the
 20 cold resistance of plant tissues, and widely used due to its ease and high efficiency
 21 (*Bu Qingyan et al., 2005*). On that basis, the "guide line" of plant tissues at varying
 22 temperature can be determined, and the inflection temperature can be measured using
 23 a logistic function to calculate the LT₅₀ of plant tissues as a quantitative index related
 24 to plant cold resistance (*Zhu Genhai et al., 1986*). In recent years, the electrical
 25 conductivity method has been widely applied to the research and identification of the
 26 cold resistance of fruit trees such as apple (*Yang Fengqiu et al., 2011; Shi Chao et*
 27 *al., 2013*), grape (*Lu Jinxing et al., 2012; Zhang Qian et al., 2013*), pear (*Li Juncai*

1 *et al., 2007*), syzygium samarangense (*Zhang Lypeng et al., 2012*), *Prunus mume*
2 plum (*Gao Hongzhi et al., 2005*), *Prunus salicina* plum (*Liu Weisheng et al.,*
3 *1999*), orange (*Luo Zhengrong et al., 1992*) and carambola (*Ren Hui et al., 2016*),
4 but there is no report on the combined use of the electrical conductivity method with a
5 logistic equation to determine the LT_{50} and cold resistance of the avocado.

6 Due to the accuracy and practicability of the electrical conductivity method or LT_{50}
7 identification method, its use requires a simulation of low temperature stress and
8 cutting of plant tissues. To avoid this destructive identification method, it is necessary
9 to develop a method for identification in vivo to identify the cold resistance of
10 avocado plants accurately and efficiently.

11 Capacitance is a physical quantity used to represent the charge capacity of a capacitor.
12 Its value, related to the dielectric constant for the material, the measuring area and the
13 inter-pole distance (*D. Halliday et al., 2002*), can be directly measured with a
14 capacitance meter. Given a measuring area and inter-pole distance, the value of the
15 capacitance relates only to the dielectric constant of the observed samples. Given
16 samples, the capacitance measured with a given capacitance meter should be a fixed
17 value, and this makes it possible to infer sample difference based on the capacitance
18 measured with the given meter. However, according to our data, there is currently no
19 study on the inference of plant sample difference by capacitance mensuration.

20 In this paper, therefore, we combined the electrical conductivity method with a
21 logistic function to measure the LT_{50} of 38 avocado varieties from Avocado Varieties
22 Nursery, College of Tropical Agriculture and Forestry, Hainan University. Meanwhile,
23 we measured the capacitance in different parts of 27 varieties of in vivo avocado
24 leaves, and made an analysis of the correlation between the LT_{50} and capacitance of
25 each part in order to determine whether the electrical conductivity method could be
26 used to identify the cold resistance of avocado varieties. Then, we measured the
27 capacitance of the leaves of two big mango varieties: Tainong No. 1 and Dongmang
28 in the field in order to further validate the reliability of the electrical conductivity

1 method for the identification of plant cold resistance.

2 **1 Materials and Methods**

3 **1.1 Test Materials**

4 The test materials came from Avocado Varieties Nursery, College of Tropical
5 Agriculture and Forestry, Hainan University, including 38 avocado varieties, each
6 from three healthy sexennially bearing trees. Samples were collected in December
7 2017 and January 2018: 18 healthy, intact mature leaves of basically the same size
8 were collected from the four cardinal sides (i.e. east, south, west and north) of every
9 tree. Capacitance for nine of the 18 was measured in vivo, while the remaining nine
10 were wrapped in wet gauze and taken back to the laboratory for relative electrical
11 conductivity testing.

12 **1.2 Test Methods**

13 **1.2.1 Disposal of Low-temperature Stress**

14 The 38 portions of avocado leaves were cleaned with running water and then washed
15 twice with distilled water. After the surface was wiped dry with filter paper, the
16 leaves were dark-treated for 12 hours at 4°C, 2°C, 0°C, -2°C, -4°C, -6°C and -8°C
17 respectively, with treatment at room temperature (25°C) as control. In this paper, the
18 varieties were numerically numbered. See Appendix 1 for detailed information on the
19 varieties.

20 **1.2.2 Relative electrical conductivity measurement**

21 After treatment, we took out the material and put it in a preservation box, and put the
22 preservation box in an ice water mixture of 0°C (an ice water mixture was not used
23 on the room temperature, 4°C, 2°C or 0°C samples) to unfreeze it for 30min. Then
24 we cut the leaves into pieces of about 1cm², avoiding larger veins. The samples for

1 each variety of leaves treated at each individual temperature were combined into 304
 2 groups (eight temperature treatments for each of 38 varieties). From each group, we
 3 took six 0.5g samples and put them in ten 100ml Erlenmeyer flasks (the flasks were
 4 cleaned beforehand with dishwashing liquid, washed with distilled water and finally
 5 dried). Then we put 25ml of distilled water in each Erlenmeyer flask and placed it in a
 6 vacuum drying oven to exhaust air for 30min, and placed it on a shaking table to
 7 shake for 1h. The flasks were then removed from the oven and left still for 15min,
 8 after which electrical conductivity K1 was measured with a portable electrical
 9 conductivity meter (Manufacturer: Hangzhou Qiwei Instrument Co., Ltd, model:
 10 DDB-11A), then each flask was weighed. The flasks were then immersed in a boiling
 11 bath and removed for 15min of cooling, after which water was added to restore the
 12 flask weight. The flasks were shaken on the shaking table for one hour, removed and
 13 allowed to remain still for 10min, and finally K2 was measured.

14 Relative electrical conductivity (%) = $K1/K2 \times 100\%$

15 1.2.3 Logistic Equation Fitting and Inflection Temperature Calculation

16 By reference to *Zhu Genhai et al.(1986)*'s method, we used the logistic function to
 17 determine the LT_{50} of plant tissues. In other words, we used SPSS19 to make a
 18 regression analysis of the electrolyte leakage curve of each variety treated at various
 19 low temperatures by fitting the logistic function ($y=k/(1+a \cdot \exp(-bx))$). The calculation
 20 method used for inflection temperature was $LT_{50}=(\ln a)/b$.

21 1.2.4 Capacitance Mensuration

22 We used a capacitance meter (Chinese brand Mastech, model MS8910) to test the
 23 capacitance in the main vein at the leaf stalk base, the main vein at the middle leaf
 24 section, the main vein at the leaf tip, the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base, the lateral
 25 vein at the middle leaf section, the lateral vein at the leaf tip, the mesophyll in the leaf
 26 stalk base, the mesophyll in the middle leaf section and the mesophyll in the leaf tip

(see Fig.1). During testing, we inserted the capacitance meter's metal testing plate into the site to be measured to assess the capacitance.

1.3 Confirmatory trials on mango cold resistance

Dongmang (*Mangifera hiemalis* Liang Jian-Ying) and Tainong No. 1 (*Mangifera indica* cv Tainong), two mango varieties with significantly different cold resistance, were selected from Mango Varieties Nursery, Genetic Resources Institute, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences, for confirmatory trials on the cold resistance of capacitance (Wu Zehuan et al., 1984; Huang yun et al., 2013). Dongmang showed higher cold resistance than Tainong No. 1. After selecting ten healthy, intact and mature leaves sprouted in the current year of either variety from three octennially bearing trees, we measured the capacitance in the main vein at the leaf stalk base, the main vein at the middle leaf section, the main vein at the leaf tip, the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base, the lateral vein at the middle leaf section, the lateral vein at the leaf tip, the mesophyll in the leaf stalk base, the mesophyll in the middle leaf section and the mesophyll in the leaf tip.

2 Results and Analyses

2.1 Analysis of the relative electrical conductivity of different avocado leaves under low-temperature stress

As can be seen in Table 1, the relative electrical conductivity of avocado leaves is at the minimum level at ambient temperature, but there was a significant difference among the 38 avocado varieties. Variety No. 30 showed electrical conductivity of only 12.58%, significantly lower than the other varieties, while No. 27 had the highest electrical conductivity, up to 12.56% higher than that of No. 30. After low-temperature treatment, the relative electrical conductivity of the avocado varieties increased to varying degrees, but there was a difference in the amplification of relative electrical conductivity among the avocado varieties at the same low temperature. Specifically, after treatment at 4 °C ~-6 °C, the relative electrical

conductivity of No. 1 and No. 14 avocado varieties increased by 37.35% and 40.05% respectively, while the relative electrical conductivity of No. 28 increased by 68.56% after treatment at 4°C~6°C, and that of No. 43 increased by 68.62% after treatment at 2°C~8°C. This shows a significant difference in relative electrical conductivity among the 38 avocado varieties at room temperature, and also a big difference in the range of relative electrical conductivity under the same stress conditions. In other words, different avocado leaves have different sensitivities to low temperature, but there is no absolute relation between the electrical conductivity of a leaf and its sensitivity to low temperature.

2.2 Analysis of LT_{50} for different avocado varieties under low-temperature stress

For ease of data analysis, we divided the relative electrical conductivity curves of the 38 avocado varieties into two groups according to the low temperature range and performed a diagraph analysis (Fig.2a, 2b). As can be seen in Fig.2, the relative electrical conductivity of the 38 avocado varieties showed a relative rising trend with the temperature falling, and this conforms to the change characteristics of the “guide line”. The inflection temperature of 17 varieties appeared between 2°C and -4°C (Fig.2a), while that of 21 varieties appeared between 0°C and -6°C (Fig.2b).

Table 2 shows the results of a regression analysis on the relative electrical conductivity curve of the 38 avocado varieties conducted by fitting the logistic function. Number 14 had the highest LT_{50} at 3.045°C, and No. 21 had the lowest LT_{50} at -5.056°C, while the others’ ranged from -5.056°C to 0.854°C. The value of LT_{50} can help identify the cold resistance of the various varieties: the lower the LT_{50} value, the higher the cold resistance. This shows that of the 38 avocado varieties, No. 21 had the strongest cold resistance, while No. 14 had the weakest cold resistance.

2.3 Correlation between LT_{50} and the electrical conductivity of avocado varieties at different temperatures

1 According to Pearson's correlation analysis of the LT_{50} of the avocado varieties and
 2 their relative electrical conductivities at different temperatures (Table 3), there is a
 3 highly significant positive correlation between LT_{50} and the relative electrical
 4 conductivity at 4°C, 2°C, 0°C, -2°C and -4°C, while there is an insignificant
 5 correlation between LT_{50} and the relative electrical conductivity at -6°C and -8°C
 6 and control temperature. As can be seen in Table 1, at -4°C, the relative electrical
 7 conductivity of 82% of the avocado varieties tested was greater than 50%; at -6°C, the
 8 relative electrical conductivity of all the avocado varieties was greater than 50%.
 9 Therefore, stress treatment at -4°C ~ -6°C can be regarded as the boundary of relative
 10 electrical conductivity at 50%. Under low-temperature stress with relative electrical
 11 conductivity not higher than 50%, the magnitude of relative electrical conductivity
 12 can be used to roughly judge the level of cold resistance: the lower the relative
 13 electrical conductivity, the higher the cold resistance.

14 **2.4 Distribution law of the capacitance of 27 varieties of avocado leaves**

15 As can be seen in Table 4, a large difference in capacitance of the same part was
 16 observed among different varieties of avocado leaves, and there was also a wide
 17 difference among different parts of the same leaf. The capacitance of the main vein at
 18 the leaf stalk base and middle leaf section was observed to be higher than that of the
 19 lateral vein at the leaf stalk base and middle leaf section, while the capacitance of the
 20 lateral vein at the leaf stalk base and middle leaf section was higher than that of the
 21 mesophyll. In about 92% of the 27 avocado varieties, the capacitance of the main vein
 22 at the leaf stalk base was significantly higher than that of the main vein middle leaf
 23 section; in about 96% of avocado varieties, there was no significant difference in
 24 capacitance between the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base and the lateral vein at the
 25 middle leaf section, nor was there a significant difference in the capacitance of the
 26 mesophyll in the leaf stalk base and middle leaf section for any avocado varieties; in
 27 about 63% of avocado varieties, there was no significant difference in capacitance

1 among the main vein at the leaf tip, the lateral vein at the leaf tip and the mesophyll
2 in the leaf tip. The capacitance of the main vein at the leaf stalk base, middle leaf
3 section and leaf tip showed a significant decreasing trend, while there is no significant
4 difference in capacitance between the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base and the lateral
5 vein at the middle leaf section, but capacitance was significantly higher than the
6 lateral vein at the leaf tip. In 58% of avocado varieties, there was no significant
7 difference observed in the capacitance of mesophyll among the leaf stalk base, middle
8 leaf section and leaf tip. This shows that there is a big difference in capacitance
9 among different parts of avocado leaves, so when comparing the capacitance of
10 different varieties, we should select the same part.

11 **2.5 Analysis of the correlation between the capacitance of different parts of** 12 **avocado leaves and the LT₅₀**

13 Table 5 shows the results of a Pearson's correlation analysis of the LT₅₀ of 27
14 avocado varieties and the capacitance of the corresponding leaves. The LT₅₀ of the
15 various avocado varieties was significantly positively correlated with the capacitance
16 of the main vein at the middle leaf section, the main vein at the leaf tip and the lateral
17 vein at the leaf stalk base. Its correlation with the capacitance of the lateral vein at the
18 leaf stalk base was at a very significant level. Although the correlation between the
19 capacitance of other leaf parts and the LT₅₀ was not significant at the level of 0.05, the
20 correlation between the capacitance of the main vein at the leaf stalk base, lateral vein
21 at the middle leaf section, mesophyll in the leaf stalk base and mesophyll in the
22 middle leaf section and the LT₅₀ was greater than 92%; the capacitance of the lateral
23 vein at the leaf tip and the mesophyll in the leaf tip and the LT₅₀ was around 80%.
24 Thus we can conclude that the cold resistance of avocado varieties is significantly
25 negatively correlated with the capacitance of the main vein at the middle leaf section,
26 the main vein at the leaf tip and lateral vein at the middle leaf section of various
27 varieties, and also negatively correlated with the capacitance of other parts of leaves.
28 In other words, the higher the cold resistance, the lower the capacitance of leaf
29 tissues.

2.6 Analysis of the capacitance of mango leaves with different levels of cold resistance

Table 6 shows the capacitance of the various parts of Dongmang and Tainong No. 1 leaves. The capacitance of nine parts of Dongmang leaves is lower than that of Tainong No. 1. According to the variance analysis in Table 7, the capacitance of the main vein in the middle leaf section of a Dongmang leaf is 0.189nF, significantly lower than that of Tainong No. 1, which is 0.3764nF; the capacitance of the main vein in a Dongmang leaf at stalk base and leaf tip is significantly lower than that of Tainong No. 1, while the cold resistance of Dongmang is higher than that of Tainong No. 1. This shows that the capacitance of some parts of mango leaves is negatively correlated with their cold resistance, and the value of capacitance can be used to identify the cold resistance of mango leaves. This is consistent with the results of capacitance research regarding the identification of avocado cold resistance.

3 Conclusions and Discussion

Temperature is an important ecological factor influencing the growth and development of plants (*Wei Jianxue, 2007*). Low temperature is one factor limiting the survival rate and distribution of plants, and when the temperature is lower than what is required for plant growth, plants will suffer with growth slowing or stopping (*Zhao Xijuan, 2013*), and some plants even dying (*Li Wenming, 2017*). Accurate, reliable identification and testing of plant cold resistance is essential for studies of the mechanism of chilling injury and cold resistance in plants, as well as breeding and innovation of excellent cold-resistant varieties (*Xu Chenxiang, 2012*). However, the methods used to research, evaluate and identify plant cold resistance are still limited by the species, organs, histologic types and physiological conditions of plants as well as research objectives and instruments (*Xu Chenxiang, 2014*).

Global scientific researchers have developed a few methods to research plant cold resistance, such as field production identification (*Sandra E. Vega et al., 1996; Zhang Qingfei et al., 2007; Zhao Xuemei et al., 2011*), freezing injury surveys (*Bao Wenjuan et*

1 *al., 2005; Zhou Xihua et al., 2008*), manual frozen weather simulations (*Wei Jianxue,*
2 *2007; Liu Pin et al., 2009*), and mathematical modeling (*Timm is R et al., 1994;*
3 *Zhang G et al., 2003*). However, existing plant cold resistance testing methods
4 require manual freezing treatment, and most methods won't produce results until after
5 treatment at freezing temperature. So far no method is considered to be reliable and
6 effective in testing plant cold resistance.

7 Previous research has shown that the permeability of a plant cell membrane changes
8 under low-temperature stress (*Huang Yun et al., 2014*), and relative electrical
9 conductivity—which can reflect the permeability of the plant cell membrane—can be
10 measured to determine the level of injury from low temperatures, thereby providing a
11 means to test plant cold resistance (*Zhu Genhai et al., 1986*). On that basis,
12 Rajashekar et al. used the logistic function curve to describe how low temperatures
13 injured plant cell membranes, and proposed LT₅₀ as the curve inflection, using it to
14 decide plant cold resistance (*Rajashekar C et al., 1979*). The results of the present
15 study show that the relative electrical conductivity of the 38 varieties of avocado
16 leaves examined increases with lower stress temperatures, that there is a significant
17 difference in relative electrical conductivity among different varieties at the same
18 stress temperature (Table 1), and that there is also a big difference in LT₅₀ among the
19 different varieties (Table 2). This illustrates that relative electrical conductivity and
20 LT₅₀ can be used to effectively distinguish among the avocado varieties in terms of
21 cold resistance. In addition, this study has also found that if the relative electrical
22 conductivity is not greater than 50% under low-temperature stress, there is a
23 significantly positive correlation between relative electrical conductivity and LT₅₀,
24 and if the relative electrical conductivity is greater than 50% under low-temperature
25 stress, there is an insignificant correlation between relative electrical conductivity and
26 LT₅₀. Moreover, there is no absolute relationship between the electrical conductivity
27 of a leaf at normal temperature and its sensitivity under low temperature (Table 1), so
28 relative electrical conductivity at normal temperature is unsuitable for the

1 identification of plant cold resistance.

2 In this study, at normal temperature, a large difference was observed in the
3 capacitance of the same part among different varieties of avocado leaves, and there
4 was also a big difference in the capacitance of different parts of the same leaf (Table
5 4). The capacitance in the main vein at the middle leaf section, the main vein at the
6 leaf tip and the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base was significantly positively correlated
7 with LT_{50} . The capacitance in the lateral vein at the leaf stalk base was found to have
8 a particularly strong positive correlation with LT_{50} (Table 5). Thus we may conclude
9 that the cold resistance of the avocado is significantly negatively correlated with the
10 main vein at the middle leaf section, the main vein at the leaf tip and the lateral vein at
11 the middle leaf section. In other words, the higher the cold resistance, the lower the
12 capacitance of the various parts of leaves. This conclusion has been further verified in
13 trials on two mango varieties with greatly different levels of cold resistance: the
14 capacitance of the various parts of Dongmang leaves, which have high cold resistance,
15 is lower than that of Tainong No. 1. In particular, the capacitance of the main vein at
16 the leaf stalk base, middle leaf section, and leaf tip of Dongmang is significantly
17 lower than in the corresponding regions of Tainong No. 1 (Tables 6 & 7). This might
18 be because capacitance is a physical parameter that measures and represents the
19 capacity of a capacitor (*D. Halliday et al., 2002*), and its magnitude relates to the state,
20 structure and chemical composition of dielectrics. The tissue water in leaves is free
21 water and a medium that contains charges. Within the range of unit volume, the
22 higher the content of free water, the stronger the charge capacity, and the higher the
23 capacitance (*Zhang Baishan, 2005; Wang Da, 2013*). However, the higher the
24 content of free water, the lower cold resistance of leaf tissues (*Li Huimin and Lu Yan,*
25 *2013*).

26 Therefore, we argue that using a capacitance meter in the field it is practicable to
27 identify a relationship in cold resistance among different varieties by determining the
28 suitable parts of mature plant leaves. Moreover, this identification method does not

1 demand plant samples undergo low-temperature stress, because it works at normal
 2 temperature, giving it more convenience, efficiency and non-destructiveness.
 3 However, this method can only be used to identify the relative cold resistance among
 4 different varieties, not to accurately determine the specific range of low temperatures
 5 to which a plant is resistant.

6 **Acknowledgments**

7 The present study was carried out by our team under the guidance of Professor Li
 8 Shaopeng from the College of Tropical Agriculture and Forestry, Hainan University.
 9 We would like to thank all the team members for their hard work. The present study
 10 was accomplished with funding from the Hainan Provincial Ministry of Agriculture
 11 Bureau of Agricultural Reclamation as an experimental agricultural technology
 12 demonstration and service support project on avocado seedling breeding technology
 13 (151721301064071703-3) and avocado resource protection
 14 (151721301354051707-2).

References

- Bao Wenjuan, Qiu Jinqing, Xie Guoyang, Jing Luanyong, Zhang Wenyan.** 2005. Investigation on freezing injury of Eucalyptus in Fujian. *Journal of Fujian Forestry Science and Technology* 33(1), 87-93.
- Bu Qingyan, Zhou Yanqi, Xu Yingwu, Yang Feng.** 2005. Research progress on cold resistance of apple. *Northern Fruits* 02, 1-4.
- D. Halliday , R. Resnick , K. S. Krane.** 2002. PHYSICS(Fifth Edition). *JOHN WILEY & SONS*, INC.
- Dong Meichao, Yue Jianqiang, Ling Peng, Liu Pengfei, Li Jinxue, Gao Junyan.** 2016. Research progress on cultivation of avocado. *Chinese Agricultural Science Bulletin* 32(16), 61-65.
- Gao Hongzhi, Zhang Zhen, Han Zhenhai.** 2005. Identification of cold resistance of fruit germplasm. *Journal of Fruit Science* 06, 113-115.
- Ge Yu, Si Xiongyuan, Lin Xinge, Wang Jiashui, Cang Xiaoping, Ma Weihong.** 2017. Research progress of avocado. *South China Fruits* 46(01), 148-155.
- Huang Yun, Du Bin, Du Bang, Li Guizhen, Li Guili, Luo Zhaoxi, Li Suoqing, Wu Jianhua.** 2014. Investigation report on freezing injury of mango in Panzhihua in 2013. *China Tropical Agriculture* 02, 51-54.
- Li Huimin, Lu Yan.** 2013. Effect of water content on cold resistance of tea leaves. *Journal of Tea* 39(02), 72-74.
- Li Juncui, Liu Cheng, Wang Jiazhen, Cai Zhongming, Sha Shoufeng.** 2007. Semi lethal temperature of low temperature of pear branches. *Journal of Fruit Science* 04, 529-5.
- Liu Pin, Zhang Leijie, Zhang Gang, Sun Yuzhu.** 2009. Evaluation of cold resistance of several new jujube cultivars (lines). *Hebei Journal of Forestry and Orchard Research* 24(4), 423-426.
- Liu Weisheng, Zhang Jiayan, Tang Shiyong, Gao Xiuyun.** 1999. Identification of cold resistance of germplasm resources of Prunus. *NORTHERN FRUITS* 02, 6-8.
- Li Wenming, Xin Jianpan, Wei Chiyu, Tian Runan.** 2017. Research progress on cold resistance of plants. *Jiangsu Agricultural Sciences* 12, 6-11.
- Lu Jinxing, Jiang Hanyu, Li Wei.** 2012. Effects of low temperature stress on cold resistance of

rootstocks and wine grape branches. *Journal of Fruit Science* **29(06)**, 1040-1046.

Luo Zhengrong, Shu Xiaodong, Li Chuncu, Chen Yongzhong. 1992. Technical specification for identification of Citrus freezing resistance. *Journal of Fruit Science* **04**, 203-207.

Lv Shihong, Li Chun, Jiang Xinneng. 1997. Observation on cold resistance of avocado in North Guangxi. *GUIHAIA* **3**, 259-262.

Rajashekar C , Gusta L V ,Burke M J . 1979. Membrane structural transitions:probable relation to frost damage in hardy herbaceous species / /Lysons J M , Graham D , Raison J K . Low temperature stress in crop plants the role of membrane . New York: *Academic Press* , 255 - 274 .

Ren Hui, Wang Xiaomei, Liu Yeqiang, Su Weiqiang. 2016. Determination of cold resistance of Carambola branches by electrical conductivity method and Logistic equation. *Southwest China Journal of Agricultural Sciences* **3**, 662-667.

Sandra E. Vega, John B. Bamberg, Jiwan P. Palta. 1996. Potential for improving freezing stress tolerance of wild potato germplasm by supplemental calcium fertilization. *American Potato Journal* **73**, 9.

Shi Chao, Wang Yazhi, Liu Guojie. 2013. Determination of semi lethal temperature of five apple branches by Logistic equation. *Northern Horticulture* **02**, 36-38.

Timm is R, Flewe ling J, Talbert C. 1994. Frost injury prediction model for douglasfir seedlings in the Pacific Northwest. *Tree Physiology* **14**, 855-869.

Wang Da. 2013. Research on measuring system of water content of crude oil based on capacitance method. PhD thesis, *Taiyuan University of Science and Technology*.

Wei Jianxue. 2007. Effects of exogenous betaine on physiological responses of banana seedlings to cold stress. PhD thesis, *Tropical Agriculture University Of South China*.

Wu Zehuan, Gan Baolin, Shi Xiaojian. 1984. Investigation report on freezing injury of mango trees in southern Guangxi. *China Tropical Agriculture* **03**, 50-54.

Xu Chenxiang. 2012. Research progress on mechanism of improving plant cold resistance. *Acta Ecologica Sinica* **32(24)**, 7966-7980.

Xu Chenxiang. 2014. Research progress on identification and testing methods of cold hardiness

in plants. *Guangdong Agricultural Sciences* **41**(16), 50-54.

Yang Fengqiu, Chen Dongmei, Zhao Yongbo, Zhang Xinsheng, Xu Jingtao. 2011. Comparative study on cold resistance of Apple Dwarf Rootstocks. *Journal of Hebei Agricultural Sciences* **15**(04), 8-9.

Zhang Baishan. 2005. Dielectric permittivity and its application. *Journal of Neijiang Teachers College* **02**, 28-30.

Zhang G, Ryypp A, Vapaavuori I E, et al. 2003. Quantification of additive response and stationarity of frost hardiness by photoperiod and temperature in Scots pine. *Canadian Journal of Forest Research* **33**, 1772-1784.

Zhang Lypin, Cai Yongqiang, Jin Jilin, Chen Shouyi, Zhongjie. 2012. Semi lethal temperature of low temperature and cold tolerance adaptability of different species of wax apple. *Journal of Fruit Science* **29**(02), 291-295.

Zhang Qian, Liu Chonghuai, Guo Dalong, Jing Fujian, Fan Xiucui, Zhang Yin. 2013. Relationship between Semi lethal temperature of low temperature and cold resistance adaptability of 5 grape populations. *Journal of Northwest A & F University(Natural Science Edition)* **41**(05), 149-154.

Zhang Qingfei, Wu Haipin, Xu Dongxin. 2007. Analysis on freezing injury of 7 species of palm plants introduced in Shanghai. *Journal of Zhejiang Forestry College* **24**(1), 110-114.

Zhao Xijuan. 2013. Establishment of direct identification method for cold resistance of potato and screening of cold resistant resources. PhD thesis, *Huazhong Agricultural University*.

Zhao Xuemei, Cheng Fangyun, Tang Lihong, Zhu Yue, Yuan Junhui, Ju Zhixin. 2011. Introduction and cold resistance of *Paeonia suffruticosa* in Chifeng area. *Journal of Beijing Forestry University* **33**(2), 84-90.

Zhou Xihua, Xu Yongjie, Luo Zhijian, Zhou Guizhen, Deng Xianzhen, Cheng Junyong, Chen Chunfang, Fu Yun, Luo Yougang, Gao Benwang. 2008. Investigation on freezing injury of Citrus in the Three Gorges Reservoir area of Hubei. *Scientia Silvae Sinicae* **44**(11), 145-151.

Zhu Genhai, Liu Zuqi, Zhu Peiren. 1986. Application of Logistic equation to determine the semi lethal temperature of plant tissues at low temperature. *Journal of Nanjing Agricultural University* **03**, 11-16.

Table 1 Relative electrical conductivity of 38 avocado varieties leaves under different temperatures stress.

		Relative electric conductivity(%)						
		CK	4℃	2℃	0℃	-2℃	-4℃	-6℃
NO.1	15.48±0.8defg	32.41±1.3a	35.00±1.5b	38.92±0.5bc	53.94±1.9cde	64.74±1.0fghijk	69.76±0.8efghjik	
NO.7	23.69±0.5ab	30.53±1.1a	32.20±0.8bc	35.75±3.0bcd	43.54±1.6defghij	73.39±0.9abcdef	76.57±0.8bcdefghij	
NO.8	13.54±0.3fg	22.26±0.4b	25.89±0.6cd	32.08±1.1cde	69.00±1.0a	72.22±1.1abcdefg	76.26±1.5bcdefghij	
NO.11	15.07±0.3defg	22.16±0.4b	22.78±0.6defgh	32.27±0.7bcde	54.58±2.5bcd	68.77±1.4bcdefghi	69.06±0.6fghijk	
NO.13	21.84±0.5abc	22.57±0.8b	23.50±0.8defg	40.77±1.2b	61.46±1.2abc	66.36±0.5defghijk	66.99±0.6jk	
NO.14	20.09±0.5abcde	31.17±0.7a	44.21±0.8a	55.87±0.9a	67.80±0.8ab	70.74±1.2abcdefgh	71.22±0.8defghijk	
NO.15	13.67±0.3fg	16.16±0.4c	19.12±0.5efghi	21.93±0.9fghi	41.98±1.7defghijk	66.15±1.1efghijk	67.48±1.1ijk	
NO.16	20.27±0.7abcde	20.48±0.4bc	20.88±0.2defghi	23.25±0.3fghi	46.90±1.4defgh	65.95±0.6efghijk	67.23±1.0jk	
NO.18	15.84±0.2cdefg	16.88±0.3bc	17.79±0.5fghi	20.70±0.3fghi	37.67±0.9ghijklm	63.51±0.8fghijk	66.09±1.3jk	
NO.23	16.12±0.2cdefg	20.15±0.4bc	20.22±0.1defghi	21.82±0.3fghi	37.91±1.3fghijklm	82.58±0.6a	83.58±0.6ab	
NO.25	17.23±0.2cdefg	20.31±0.4bc	20.42±0.2defghi	24.58±0.4efghi	49.39±1.6cdefg	72.14±1.2abcdefg	81.25±0.6abcd	

NO.28	17.34±0.6cdefg	19.62±0.4bc	19.90±0.4defghi	24.46±1.0efghi	51.24±1.9cde	80.67±0.4ab	88.18±0.6a	
NO.29	16.45±0.5cdefg	20.63±0.4bc	20.84±0.2defghi	26.07±0.7efgh	41.43±1.1defghijkl	78.21±0.8abcde	83.36±0.7ab	
NO.32	16.67±0.3cdefg	17.84±0.6bc	17.89±0.0fghi	22.74±0.3frgi	41.85±1.3defghijk	79.24±1.6abcd	82.89±1.1abc	
NO.33	18.05±0.4bcdefg	18.55±0.4bc	18.74±0.6fghi	26.57±0.9efg	42.09±0.7defghij	68.71±0.7bcdefghi	68.89±0.6ghijk	
NO.34	18.17±0.5bcdefg	18.38±0.0bc	18.47±0.5fghi	19.89±0.3fghi	23.03±0.2nopq	62.65±1.3fghijk	78.44±1.2abcdefgh	
NO.38	17.87±0.7bcdefg	18.00±0.5bc	18.17±0.1fghi	20.49±0.4fghi	41.25±1.8efghijkl	78.11±1.6abcde	81.26±1.3abcd	
NO.6	18.05±0.6bcdefg		18.24±0.2fghi	18.86±1.0fghi	34.89±1.2hijklmn	68.58±1.1bcdefghi	69.52±1.3fghijk	69.63±0.9defg
NO.9	20.82±0.3abcd		21.17±0.6defghi	24.38±0.8efghi	42.25±1.0defghij	67.88±0.9bcdefghij	70.46±0.5efghijk	70.96±0.2cdefg
NO.10	15.03±2.0defg		19.90±0.7defghi	20.82±1.5fghi	47.37±0.4defgh	48.09±2.0lmno	68.19±0.9ghijk	69.12±0.3efg
NO.12	14.14±0.2efg		19.93±0.4defghi	27.18±0.4def	27.44±1.9mnopq	34.86±1.3op	69.76±0.5efghjik	72.72±0.9bcdefg
NO.17	16.47±0.4cdefg		19.76±0.3defghi	22.62±0.4fghi	22.78±0.2nopq	58.22±1.2hijkl	62.22±0.7k	65.19±0.8g
NO.19	14.95±0.2defg		20.38±0.2defghi	20.96±0.8fghi	30.60±1.4jklmnopq	33.71±0.8p	67.87±1.2hijk	68.20±0.8fg
NO.21	18.38±0.4bcdefg		24.04±0.4def	26.24±0.5efg	26.96±0.4mnopq	34.20±0.5p	62.52±0.9k	68.46±1.2fg
NO.22	16.00±0.2cdefg		19.77±0.7defghi	20.25±0.4fghi	44.38±2.4defghi	66.92±0.9defghij	76.11±0.6bcdefghij	76.35±0.2abcdef
NO.24	15.17±0.3defg		19.80±0.6defghi	21.01±0.3fghi	22.77±0.3nopq	67.53±1.2cdefghij	68.07±0.0ghijk	68.47±0.8fg

NO.26	16.51±0.3cdefg	17.69±0.3fghi	19.08±0.3fghi	27.17±0.9mnopq	62.27±1.9fghijk	68.41±1.8ghijk	69.07±0.7efg
NO.27	25.14±0.5a	25.32±0.5de	26.60±0.5efg	49.83±0.6cdefg	53.71±1.2klmn	73.38±0.5bcdefghij	73.69±1.3bcdefg
NO.30	12.58±0.2g	19.99±0.3defghi	22.20±0.7fghi	51.10±0.7cdef	57.68±1.2ijkl	73.20±0.4bcdefghij	73.57±0.3bcdefg
NO.31	14.74±0.2defg	17.27±0.3ghi	17.39±0.2i	18.98±0.3q	65.35±1.3efghijk	78.59±0.3abcdefg	80.90±1.3ab
NO.35	18.93±0.5bdef	21.41±0.2defghi	22.14±0.2fghi	23.43±0.4nopq	59.61±1.2ghijkl	76.60±0.8bcdefghij	76.64±0.7abcdef
NO.37	15.66±0.5defg	17.55±0.1ghi	18.30±0.3ghi	34.09±1.1hijklmno	55.34±1.1jklm	72.39±1.0cdefghijk	75.50±1.8bcdef
NO.39	15.87±0.4cdefg	20.29±0.4defghi	20.77±0.6fghi	28.70±1.0klmnopq	44.45±1.1mnop	74.72±1.3bcdefghij	77.79±0.9abcd
NO.40	17.54±0.5bdefg	20.48±0.1defghi	20.68±0.3fghi	28.25±0.6lmnopq	80.24±0.9abc	80.41±0.6abcde	80.90±0.6ab
NO.41	18.93±0.5bdef	19.05±0.4efghi	19.28±0.4fghi	21.40±0.4opq	67.64±1.7cdefghij	68.86±1.4ghijk	77.32±0.9abcde
NO.42	15.67±0.2defg	17.17±0.5ghi	19.03±0.4fghi	33.22±1.7ijklmnop	69.78±0.9abcdefghi	78.18±1.2abcdefghi	79.02±0.6abc
NO.43	15.31±0.3defg	16.13±0.3i	16.60±0.2i	20.41±0.7pq	41.69±2.0nop	79.64±1.1abcdef	84.75±1.2a
NO.44	16.79±0.0cdefg	17.00±0.3hi	17.60±0.1hi	17.84±0.2q	49.23±2.7lmn	74.31±1.2bcdefghij	74.87±0.6bcdef

Each value is given as the mean ± standard error(n=6). Within columns, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at P < 0.05(Games-Howell test).

Table 2: Logistic equation and semi-lethal low temperature of 38 varieties of avocado.

	Equation	R ²	LT ₅₀ (°C)
No. 1	$y=100/(1+1.247\exp(0.177x))$	0.955	-1.247
No. 6	$y=75.644/(1+2.408\exp(0.545x))$	0.927	-1.612
No. 7	$y=100/(1+1.375\exp(0.229x))$	0.868	-1.391
No. 8	$y=88.019/(1+1.045\exp(0.376x))$	0.913	-0.117
No. 9	$y=77.302/(1+1.671\exp(0.458x))$	0.951	-1.121
No. 10	$y=78.991/(1+1.884\exp(0.347x))$	0.936	-1.825
No. 11	$y=86.893/(1+1.269\exp(0.312x))$	0.946	-0.764
No. 12	$y=100/(1+3.514\exp(0.276x))$	0.881	-4.553
No. 13	$y=74.496/(1+0.713\exp(0.396x))$	0.950	0.854
No. 14	$y=74.665/(1+0.304\exp(0.391x))$	0.993	3.045
No. 15	$y=94.526/(1+2.238\exp(0.32x))$	0.941	-2.517
No. 16	$y=98.157/(1+2.031\exp(0.278x))$	0.921	-2.549
No. 17	$y=78.868/(1+2.474\exp(0.348x))$	0.877	-2.603

No. 18	$y=100/(1+2.654\exp(0.298x))$	0.933	-3.275
No. 19	$y=100/(1+3.646\exp(0.266x))$	0.891	-4.863
No. 21	$y=100/(1+3.215\exp(0.231x))$	0.872	-5.056
No. 22	$y=82.64/(1+2.155\exp(0.491x))$	0.966	-1.564
No. 23	$y=138.358/(1+3.631\exp(0.313x))$	0.894	-4.120
No. 24	$y=77.887/(1+2.72\exp(0.465x))$	0.847	-2.152
No. 25	$y=130.189/(1+2.948\exp(0.28x))$	0.955	-3.861
No. 26	$y=77.592/(1+2.841\exp(0.471x))$	0.922	-2.217
No. 27	$y=88.095/(1+1.698\exp(0.296x))$	0.948	-1.789
No. 28	$y=125.323/(1+2.764\exp(0.337x))$	0.953	-3.017
No. 29	$y=99.783/(1+2.017\exp(0.353x))$	0.926	-1.988
No. 30	$y=79.152/(1+1.719\exp(0.435x))$	0.959	-1.245
No. 31	$y=89.855/(1+5\exp(0.545x))$	0.913	-2.953
No. 32	$y=119.922/(1+3.083\exp(0.352x))$	0.935	-3.199
No. 33	$y=99.438/(1+2.173\exp(0.297x))$	0.939	-2.613

No. 34	$y=100/(1+3.609\exp(0.391x))$	0.860	-3.282
No. 35	$y=100/(1+2.794\exp(0.299x))$	0.869	-3.436
No. 37	$y=88.196/(1+3.059\exp(0.396x))$	0.976	-2.823
No. 38	$y=117.95/(1+3.13\exp(0.354x))$	0.926	-3.223
No. 39	$y=100/(1+3.804\exp(0.334x))$	0.940	-4.000
No. 40	$y=96.207/(1+2.585\exp(0.404x))$	0.852	-2.351
No. 41	$y=86.414/(1+3.561\exp(0.46x))$	0.882	-2.761
No. 42	$y=97.965/(1+2.663\exp(0.358x))$	0.921	-2.736
No. 43	$y=105.714/(1+5\exp(0.376x))$	0.930	-4.280
No. 44	$y=92.549/(1+5\exp(0.423x))$	0.921	-3.805

Table 3: Pearson correlation analysis between LT_{50} and relative electrical conductivity of 38 varieties of avocado leaves at different temperatures.

	Temperature							
	CK	4°C	2°C	0°C	-2°C	-4°C	-6°C	-8°C
Correlation coefficient	0.269	0.420**	0.649**	0.727**	0.708**	0.415**	-0.151	-0.124
Sig	0.102	0.009	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.010	0.365	0.593

* Significant correlation at the 0.05 level (bilateral)

** Significant correlation at the 0.01 level (bilateral)

Table 4: Capacitance of 26 varieties of avocado leaves at ambient temperature.

	Capacitance (nF)								
	The main vein at the leaf stalk base	The main vein middle leaf station	The main vein at the leaf tip	The lateral vein at the leaf stalk base	The lateral vein at the middle leaf section	The lateral vein at leaf tip	The mesophyll in the leaf stalk base	The mesophyll in the middle leaf section	The mesophyll in the leaf tip
No. 1	0.3064±0.0413a	0.0373±0.0045b	0.0094±0.0007de	0.0176±0.0014bc	0.0169±0.0008c	0.0110±0.0007d	0.0106±0.0006d	0.0106±0.0008de	0.0076±0.0004e
No. 6	0.4414±0.0870a	0.0523±0.0071b	0.0077±0.0007cd	0.0159±0.0015c	0.0190±0.0027c	0.0083±0.0008cd	0.0063±0.0006d	0.0070±0.0005d	0.0053±0.0006d
No. 7	0.3400±0.0338a	0.0537±0.0073b	0.0081±0.0006f	0.0144±0.0007d	0.0204±0.0010c	0.0109±0.0003e	0.0091±0.0004ef	0.0101±0.0005ef	0.0070±0.0004f
No. 9	0.3429±0.0644a	0.0323±0.0045b	0.0071±0.0004e	0.0147±0.0010bc	0.0163±0.0008b	0.0093±0.0004cd	0.0109±0.0004cd	0.0084±0.0005de	0.0060±0.0000e
No. 10	0.3047±0.0591a	0.0477±0.0047a	0.0070±0.0007c	0.0147±0.0015b	0.0143±0.0011b	0.0084±0.0008bc	0.0070±0.0003c	0.0074±0.0005c	0.0050±0.0004c
No. 11	0.1993±0.0284a	0.0343±0.0052b	0.0051±0.0005de	0.0099±0.0011cd	0.0114±0.0013bc	0.0046±0.0004ef	0.0051±0.0005de	0.0053±0.0004de	0.0027±0.0004f
No. 12	0.3753±0.0966a	0.0889±0.0276b	0.0067±0.0006d	0.0150±0.0027c	0.0187±0.0032c	0.0079±0.0008d	0.0063±0.0007d	0.0057±0.0007de	0.0039±0.0006e
No. 13	0.3234±0.0484a	0.0481±0.0049b	0.0089±0.0007de	0.0177±0.0014c	0.0199±0.0015c	0.0081±0.0001de	0.0087±0.0004d	0.0094±0.0004d	0.0061±0.0005e
No. 14	0.9847±0.1716a	0.1657±0.0500b	0.0096±0.0007d	0.0223±0.0029c	0.0253±0.0037c	0.0103±0.0008d	0.0096±0.0004d	0.0104±0.0010d	0.0064±0.0003d
No. 15	0.3167±0.0487a	0.0440±0.0050b	0.0094±0.0007de	0.0189±0.0015c	0.0200±0.0013c	0.0120±0.0005d	0.0091±0.0006de	0.0097±0.0007de	0.0080±0.0006e

No. 17	0.4263±0.0359a	0.0434±0.0056b	0.0096±0.0006d	0.0151±0.0015cd	0.0194±0.0013bc	0.0104±0.0008d	0.0100±0.0004d	0.0097±0.0005d	0.0063±0.0003e
No. 18	0.3804±0.0376a	0.0310±0.0026b	0.0057±0.0005d	0.0123±0.0010c	0.0159±0.0010c	0.0064±0.0005d	0.0063±0.0003d	0.0064±0.0004d	0.0047±0.0005d
No. 19	0.2466±0.0317a	0.0393±0.0042b	0.0051±0.0004d	0.0096±0.0014cd	0.0149±0.0010c	0.0051±0.0006d	0.0047±0.0004d	0.0060±0.0004d	0.0039±0.0003d
No. 21	0.1253±0.0177a	0.0239±0.0022b	0.0049±0.0004e	0.0114±0.0008c	0.0121±0.0009c	0.0067±0.0005de	0.0089±0.0006cd	0.0084±0.0003cd	0.0057±0.0006de
No. 22	0.2783±0.0355a	0.0271±0.0019b	0.0057±0.0005c	0.0173±0.0035bc	0.0133±0.0018c	0.0077±0.0009c	0.0077±0.0006c	0.0063±0.0005c	0.0047±0.0004c
No. 23	0.2406±0.0251a	0.0319±0.0049b	0.0053±0.0004e	0.0096±0.0007cd	0.0123±0.0008bc	0.0069±0.0004de	0.0057±0.0004e	0.0061±0.0006e	0.0049±0.0004e
No. 24	0.3299±0.0398a	0.0263±0.0026b	0.0051±0.0003d	0.0121±0.0007c	0.0127±0.0010c	0.0064±0.0005d	0.0059±0.0003d	0.0060±0.0000d	0.0040±0.0000d
No. 26	0.3624±0.0201a	0.0813±0.0128b	0.0074±0.0004de	0.0163±0.0016c	0.0194±0.0008c	0.0086±0.0005de	0.0091±0.0006d	0.0089±0.0006de	0.0063±0.0003e
No. 27	0.5343±0.0740a	0.0386±0.0057b	0.0070±0.0006c	0.0179±0.0030bc	0.0163±0.0023bc	0.0089±0.0011c	0.0090±0.0004c	0.0079±0.0010c	0.0056±0.0006c
No. 30	0.1741±0.0202a	0.0221±0.0020b	0.0064±0.0003de	0.0124±0.0014cd	0.0127±0.0005c	0.0066±0.0006de	0.0064±0.0003de	0.0060±0.0002e	0.0051±0.0004e
No. 31	0.2180±0.0240a	0.0239±0.0011b	0.0049±0.0003f	0.0109±0.0009cd	0.0119±0.0009c	0.0066±0.0004ef	0.0071±0.0004de	0.0066±0.0004e	0.0047±0.0004f
No. 34	0.3877±0.0589a	0.0716±0.0080b	0.0106±0.0010ef	0.0169±0.0013cd	0.0231±0.0018c	0.0113±0.0012def	0.0090±0.0007ef	0.0117±0.0008de	0.0080±0.0002f
No. 35	0.3526±0.0758a	0.0371±0.0060ab	0.0064±0.0009cd	0.0173±0.0025abc	0.0150±0.0015abc	0.0086±0.0012cd	0.0107±0.0017bcd	0.0090±0.0009cd	0.0054±0.0006d
No. 37	0.3256±0.0537a	0.0346±0.0034b	0.0073±0.0005d	0.0140±0.0009c	0.0141±0.0010c	0.0074±0.0004d	0.0079±0.0006d	0.0079±0.0003d	0.0057±0.0003d
No. 38	0.8389±0.2573a	0.0603±0.0161b	0.0054±0.0007c	0.0171±0.0031b	0.0169±0.0029b	0.0064±0.0006c	0.0056±0.0006c	0.0049±0.0006c	0.0039±0.0003c

No. 39	0.3390±0.0653a	0.0390±0.0046b	0.0063±0.0003ef	0.0144±0.0016cd	0.0151±0.0011c	0.0069±0.0003e	0.0077±0.0003de	0.0064±0.0003ef	0.0051±0.0003f
No. 41	0.5916±0.0814a	0.0546±0.0018b	0.0073±0.0006ef	0.0173±0.0018cd	0.0199±0.0007c	0.0099±0.0008de	0.0089±0.0006e	0.0086±0.0005ef	0.0060±0.0005f

Each value is given as the mean ± standard error (n=7). Within rows, means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at $P < 0.05$ (Games-Howell test).

Table 5: Pearson correlation analysis between the capacitance of different parts of avocado leaves and the LT50.

	Different parts of leaf								
	The main vein at the leaf stalk base	The main vein middle leaf station	The main vein at the leaf tip	The lateral vein at the leaf stalk base	The lateral vein at the middle leaf section	The lateral vein at leaf tip	The mesophyll in the leaf stalk base	The mesophyll in the middle leaf section	The mesophyll in the leaf tip
Correlation coefficient	0.356	0.434*	0.412*	0.494**	0.353	0.276	0.351	0.383	0.256
Sig.	0.074	0.027	0.036	0.010	0.077	0.172	0.079	0.054	0.206

* Significant correlation at the 0.05 level (bilateral)

** Significant correlation at the 0.01 level (bilateral)

Table 6: Capacitance of different parts of leaves in two mango plants.

	Capacitance (nF)								
	The main vein at the leaf stalk base	The main vein middle leaf station	The main vein at the leaf tip	The lateral vein at the leaf stalk base	The lateral vein at the middle leaf section	The lateral vein at leaf tip	The mesophyll in the leaf stalk base	The mesophyll in the middle leaf section	The mesophyll in the leaf tip
Dongmang	1.1394±0.1243	0.1890±0.0241	0.0065±0.0006	0.0037±0.0006	0.0043±0.0005	0.0033±0.0004	0.0023±0.0003	0.0020±0.0000	0.0020±0.0000
Tainong No. 1	2.0448±0.3304	0.3764±0.0624	0.0117±0.0013	0.0039±0.0007	0.0047±0.0009	0.0037±0.0006	0.0025±0.0005	0.0023±0.0003	0.0023±0.0003

Each value is given as the mean ± standard error (n=10).

Table 7: Variance analysis of counterpart of leaves in two mango plants.

	The main vein at the leaf stalk base	The main vein middle leaf station	The main vein at the leaf tip	The lateral vein at the leaf stalk base	The lateral vein at the middle leaf section	The lateral vein at leaf tip	The mesophyll in the leaf stalk base	The mesophyll in the middle leaf section	The mesophyll in the leaf tip
F	7.207*	16.913**	5.321*	0.046	0.165	0.275	0.200	1.000	1.000
Sig.	0.018	0.001	0.037	0.835	0.692	0.610	0.670	0.374	0.374

* Significant differences at the 0.05 level.

** Significant differences at the 0.01 level

Appendix 1 Corresponding number of avocado varieties.

Number	Varieties
No. 1	Guikengda3#
No. 6	YN-01
No. 7	Liangyuan1#
No. 8	Liangyuan2#
No. 9	Liangyuan3#
No. 10	Liangyuan4#
No. 11	Fuerte
No. 12	Hass
No. 13	Bacon
No. 14	Zaohua1#
No. 15	Daling17#
No. 16	Daling4#
No. 17	Reed
No. 18	Daling6#
No. 19	Daling7#
No. 21	Daling9#
No. 22	Daling10#
No. 23	Daling11#
No. 24	HD-1
No. 25	Daling12#
No. 26	Daling13#

No. 27	Daling14#
No. 28	Daling16#
No. 29	Zaohua2#
No. 30	Reyuan12#
No. 31	Daling20#
No. 32	Daling2#
No. 33	Pollock
No. 34	YN017
No. 35	YN001
No. 37	Zutanno
No. 38	1781
No. 39	ST3
No. 40	lulla
No. 41	Rincon
No. 42	Herman
No. 43	ST0
No. 44	ST9

Fig. 1 Measuring sections of capacitanc in avocado leaf .

Fig. 2 Varying curves of relative electrical conductivity of avocado varieties at different temperatures.