A structure-based model for the electrostatic interaction of the N-terminus of protein tau with the fibril core of Alzheimer’s Disease filaments
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Abstract:

Although portions of tau protein within the microtubule binding region have been shown to form the ordered core of tau filaments, the structural details of how other regions of tau participate in filament formation are so far unknown. In an attempt to understand how the N-terminus of tau may interact with fibril core, we crystallized and determined the structure of the N-terminal segment _RQEFEV_¹₀ of tau. Several lines of evidence have shown the importance of this segment for fibril formation. The crystal structure reveals an out-of-register Class 5 steric zipper with a wet and a dry interface. To examine the possible interaction of _RQEFEV_¹₀ with the tau fibril core, we modeled the binding of the wet interface of the _RQEFEV_¹₀ structure with the _VDLSKVTSKC_³¹³ region of the Alzheimer’s Disease tau filament structures. This model is consistent with, and helps to explain previous findings on the possible interaction of these two segments, distant in sequence. In addition, we discuss the possible conservation of this interaction across multiple polymorphs of tau.

Introduction:
The aggregation of tau into amyloid fibrils is associated with some 25 neurological diseases, collectively termed tauopathies. Although scientists have for decades associated fibrous tau aggregates with disease for decades, the molecular events driving aggregation of tau into amyloid fibrils remain unknown. It is generally thought that tau remains in three pools in the cell: attached to microtubules to promote their stability(1,2), bound to molecular chaperones to protect nucleating sequences of tau from enabling aggregation(3), or in a fibrous state where each fiber contains hundreds to many thousands of tau molecules(4–6). Under what conditions the fibril state begins to dominate is unclear.

Previous studies have shown that soluble, monomeric tau largely lacks a defined 3-dimensional shape(7); however, other studies posit that tau adopts a “paper clip” conformation in solution(8) or a seed-competent conformation where amyloid nucleating sequences are exposed and able to seed fibril formation(9). In addition, the binding of different tau constructs to microtubules has been visualized by cryo-EM(2). Despite these findings, information on the structure of soluble, monomeric form of tau is limited due to its largely disordered nature; therefore, most structural studies have focused on the aggregated state of tau(10–14). Our laboratory first focused on the segments of tau shown to be essential for in vitro aggregation, the primary nucleating sequences VQIINK and VQIVYK, located at the beginning of tau microtubule binding repeats 2 and 3, respectively(15). The crystal structures of these segments revealed classical “steric zipper” structural features(10,11). Mutations to these segments inhibit full-length tau aggregation, and we have shown that inhibitors designed to “cap” the crystal structures...
of VQIINK and VQIVYK segments also inhibit full-length tau aggregation, further
demonstrating the importance of these segments(11,16).

Recently, cryo-EM studies of extracted tau filaments from Alzheimer’s Disease
and Pick’s Disease patients have revealed several tau fibril polymorphs in near-atomic
detail(12–14). In all of these structures, residues 306-378 spanning the length of
Repeats 3 and 4 plus an additional six residues to the C-terminus of Repeat 4, are
ordered in the fibril core, and in Pick’s Disease, Repeat 1 residues 254-274 are also
ordered(14). Although these landmark discoveries help illuminate the fold adopted by
the microtubule binding region of tau, it is still unknown to what degree other parts of tau
participate in the aggregation process.

In the AD fibril structures, there is additional density consistently seen near
residues K317 and K321 that may indicate another region of tau is interacting with the
fibril core(12,13). Fitzpatrick et al. hypothesize that this extra density belongs to the
residues 7EFE9, an N-terminal sequence of tau that is part of the Alz50/MC-1 antibody
binding epitope(12,17). To better understand the potential interaction of the N-terminus
and the AD fibril core, we sought to determine the structure of this N-terminal segment.

Results:

We first searched for segments containing 7EFE9 that are likely to crystallize.
Although no segment containing 7EFE9 scored well on the structure-based ZipperDB
server(18), the ability to form fibrils from segment 5RQEFEV10 was previously predicted
by a sequence-based method and demonstrated biochemically(19). Therefore, we
crystallized and determined the structure of the hexameric segment $5^\text{RQEFEV}_{10}$ (Figure 1 A-C).

The crystal structure of $5^\text{RQEFEV}_{10}$ revealed a Class 5 homozipper where beta-strands assemble in antiparallel sheets and these sheets mate together in distinct face-to-face and back-to-back interfaces. Notably, the sheets are out-of-register and are related to each other by a $2_1$ “fibril axis” (20) (Figure 2 A, B). This combination of symmetry elements produces an $\sim80^\circ$ crossing angle between strands of one sheet and its mated sheet (Figure 2 A)(21). The alternating sequence of charged and hydrophobic/uncharged residues leads to wet and dry interfaces in the crystal structure.

The wet interface features electrostatic interactions among polar, charged residues and water molecules. In particular, glutamates form an extensive hydrogen bond network with water molecules and arginines originating from the same sheet and from the opposing sheet (Figure 2 B). The dry interface features hydrophobic packing of phenylalanine, glutamine, and valine leading to the exclusion of water (Figure 2 A, B). Also, glutamine side chains clasp each other through a pair of hydrogen bonds, further stabilizing connections between neighboring strands in a sheet (Figure 2 A). This interaction is similar to the polar clasp described by Gallagher-Jones, et al., with the distinction that glutamines in that study originated within the same strand(22). Similar to that polar clasp, neighboring aromatic residues restrict the glutamines to a conformation in which they bond to each other within a hydrophobic pocket (Figure 2 A). As stated by Gallagher-Jones, et al. the shielding of glutamines by neighboring aromatic residues may be essential for the formation of this polar clasp.
The crystal structure of $5\text{RQEFEV}_{10}$ can account for the low resolution density found in the cryo-EM reconstructions of Alzheimer’s Disease (AD) tau filaments near residues K317 and K321, much as suggested by Fitzpatrick, et al (12). The positioning of $5\text{RQEFEV}_{10}$ near these residues in the tau filament conformation is supported by the binding of the MC-1 and Alz50 antibodies to a discontinuous epitope consisting of both $7\text{EFE}_9$ and $313\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$ (17).

In order to examine the potential interaction of the N-terminal $7\text{EFE}_9$ segment with the AD fibril core, we first computationally docked the $6\text{QEFEV}_{10}$ segment seen in the crystal structure into the low-resolution density shown to be adjacent to residues K317 and K321 in the AD Paired Helical Filament (PHF) (Figure 3 A-B)(12). In this model, the wet interface glutamates found in the crystal structure form electrostatic interactions with the exposed lysines in the PHF fibril, while the dry interface faces away from the PHF surface (Figure 3 A, B). Notably, we omitted Arg5 in this model due to steric clashes with Leu315 on the PHF. We speculate that Arg5 would have to adopt a different conformation in the fibril structure than in the crystal structure in order to maintain the interaction of Glu7 and Glu9 with Lys317 and Lys321.

To examine further the relevance of the $7\text{EFE}_9$ and $313\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$ interaction in tau fibrils, we searched the literature for other evidence that implicates the N-terminus of tau in fibril formation. Poorkaj, P. et al. described a missense mutation found in a Progressive Supranuclear Palsy (PSP) patient that changes R5 to a leucine (23). In addition, it has been shown that deletion of residues 2-18 produces less aggregated tau than the wild-type sequence whereas the inclusion of the R5L mutation increases the amount of aggregated tau in the presence of arachidonic acid (24). This is
consistent with our model of \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \) binding to \( 313 \text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322} \) in the AD PHF; in that
the deletion of residues 2-18 would abrogate the interaction of \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \) with
\( 313 \text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322} \). In addition, in our model the R5L mutation would result in a more
stable interaction with Leu315 as discussed below.

To analyze if the R5L mutation might affect the binding of \( 5 \text{RQEFEV}_{10} \) to the
\( 313 \text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322} \) region in the AD filaments, we modeled the putative interaction of
the sequence \( 5 \text{LQEFEV}_{10} \) with the AD PHF. To accomplish this, we mutated the R5 that
was omitted in the wild-type model due a potential steric clash with L315 on the PHF, to
a rotamer of leucine that would maximize its buried surface area and shape
complementarity to L315 on the PHF (Figure 3 B). The model demonstrates that the
mutation R5L would result in a more favorable interaction with the PHF than the native
sequence, providing an explanation for R5L’s ability to increase tau aggregation.

Our attempts to dock the \( 5 \text{RQEFEV}_{10} \) crystal structure into the
\( 313 \text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322} \) region on the cryoEM structure of the straight filaments (SFs) were
hindered due to the tight packing protofilaments that occurs in this region. By truncating
the residues present in the crystal structure to only \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \) it is possible to place these
residues within hydrogen bonding distance of K317 on one protofilament and K321 on
the other protofilament. This results in a binding site comprised of residues from two
different tau monomers, as opposed to a binding site comprised of only one monomer
as in the PHF (Figure 3D). However, this two-tau monomer model of \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \) bound to the
SF would result in steric clashes if any other residues were added to the \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \)
sequence (Figure 3D), particularly with L315, making it harder to assess whether there
is enough space in the SF inter-protofilament interface for the N-terminal \( \gamma \text{EFE}_9 \)
sequence. Likewise, it was difficult to examine the effect of the R5L mutation on this interaction due to the resulting steric clashes.

**Discussion:**

The initial proposal that $\text{EFE}_9$ interacts with $\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$ came from biochemical studies in which Jicha, *et al.* confirmed that two antibodies, MC-1 and Alz50, most likely bind a single epitope of tau comprised of discontinuous segments $\text{EFE}_9$ and $\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$. The idea of a single epitope comprising these two distal sequences was supported by antibody binding assays using a series of tau constructs containing truncations or mutations in these regions (19). Tau constructs missing either $\text{EFE}_9$ or $\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$ did not exhibit antibody binding, demonstrating that both sequences need to be present for antibody reactivity. In addition, a series of mutations to the $\text{EFE}_9$ segment (Glu7,9 -> Ala7,9; Phe8 -> Ser8) abrogated antibody binding to tau. Importantly, Jicha, *et al.* showed that tau constructs missing $\text{EFE}_9$ or $\text{VDLSKVTSKC}_{322}$ could not be mixed in solution to recover the MC-1/Alz50 epitope, indicating that this epitope is formed intramolecularly.

In an attempt to examine which sequences might interact with the primary nucleating sequences of tau $\text{VQIINK}_{280}$ and $\text{VQIVYK}_{311}$, Moore *et al.* tested the ability of different tau sequences to accelerate and increase $\text{VQIINK}_{280}$ and $\text{VQIVYK}_{311}$ aggregation (19). Through these experiments, the authors predicted the heterozipper interaction formed between $\text{VQIVYK}_{311}$ and $\text{KLTFR}_{379}$. This predicted interaction was later confirmed by the AD tau filament structure (12). In addition, Moore, *et al.* showed that $\text{RQEFEV}_{10}$ can form fibrils *in vitro* (19), although it did not affect the
aggregation of either $^{275}VQIINK_{280}$ or $^{306}VQIVYK_{311}$. This supports the idea that $^{5}RQEFEV_{10}$ instead interacts with $^{313}VDLSKVTSKC_{322}$ in a different region of the fibril core. Further experiments similar to those performed by Moore, et al, including aggregation kinetics and circular dichroism of the individual peptides and a mixture of both peptides, could help strengthen evidence for the interaction of $^{5}RQEFEV_{10}$ and $^{313}VDLSKVTSKC_{322}$ in the fibril state.

The results obtained by Jicha, et al and Moore, et al are consistent with the model proposed here where $^{5}RQEFEV_{10}$ occupies the un-modeled density that flanks residues K317 and K321 in the Fitzpatrick, et al. PHF cryo-em reconstruction(12). In particular, the abrogation of antibody binding by Glu7,9 -> Ala7,9 mutations performed by Jicha, et al can be explained by the disruption of the charge-charge interaction of glutamate and lysine residues in the proposed model (Figure 3 B)(17). The loss of this interaction would most likely greatly reduce the affinity of $^{7}EFE_{9}$ for the $^{313}VDLSKVTSKC_{322}$ segment, leading to the loss of the MC-1 and Alz50 epitope. The loss of antibody binding from the Phe8 -> Ser8 can be explained in the proposed model given that Phe8 is facing away from the fibril, allowing it to remain exposed for antibody binding. Therefore, mutation of Phe8 may not prevent the far N-terminal segment from binding to the exposed lysines on the fibril core, but may still eliminate antibody reactivity. This suggests that the $^{7}EFE_{9}$ segment needs to be not only in a stacked conformation bound to K317 and K321 on the fibril core, but also needs F8 to be facing away from the fibril core and presented for antibody binding. A loss of either of these conditions would result in a loss of MC-1 reactivity.
The model of γEFE₉ interacting with K317 and K321 in the SF (Figure 3 C, D) suggests that either the γEFE₉ sequence binds in a different manner to the 3₁₃VDLSKVTSKC₃₂₂ region on the SF or that the un-modeled density present in the Fitzpatrick, et al SF reconstruction does not result from the binding of the γEFE₉ motif, but perhaps some other anion. Tau AD filament structures from 3 additional cases seem to recapitulate the extra density seen at the SF inter-protofilament interface (13). This indicates that this density may be a common feature of the SF fold and necessary to interact with the four lysines resulting from K317 and K321 of each protofilament coming together at the SF inter-protofilament interface.

It is worth noting that the cryo-EM structures of AD tau fibrils display parallel, in-register beta-strands, whereas the RQEFEV crystal structure forms out-of-register, antiparallel beta-sheets. Because residues N-terminal to Val306 are not resolved in the cryo-EM structure, we cannot determine whether ₅RQEFEV₈ stacks into parallel or antiparallel sheets in the fibril. Our model used two strands of ₅RQEFEV₈ stacked in an anti-parallel beta-sheet as seen in the crystal structure. Although different from the crystal structure, parallel, in-register beta-sheets of ₅RQEFEV₈ would still form a wet and dry interface due to the alternating sequence of hydrophilic, charged residues and uncharged, mostly hydrophobic residues. Therefore, a parallel, in-register conformation of ₅RQEFEV₈ would still allow Glu7 and Glu9 to form electrostatic interactions with Lys317 and Lys321 in a manner similar to the model proposed in Figure 3 A-B.

Recently, a new polymorph of tau from the brain of a Pick’s Disease case has been visualized by cryo-EM (14). This structure adopts a drastically different fold from the AD filaments; however, the Pick’s Disease filaments are still MC-1 reactive,
indicating the preservation of the $\gamma$EFE$_9$ and $3_{13}$VLDSKVTSKCC$_{322}$ epitope(14). In this structure, K317 and K321 are exposed to the solvent in a beta-sheet conformation, which would allow the N-terminal $\gamma$EFE$_9$ segment to bind K317 and K321 through electrostatic interactions between the glutamates and lysines similar to the AD PHF model (Figure 3 A, B). This electrostatic interaction would preserve the MC-1 epitope and provide an explanation for why MC-1 recognizes both tau fibril polymorphs.

In addition, the potential strengthening of the N-terminal interaction with the fibril core through the R5L mutation and its discovery in a PSP patient, suggests that this interaction may also occur in the PSP tau fibril. Although there is evidence that so-called 4R tauopathies, where the dominant species found in aggregated tau are the 4R isoforms, PSP and Corticobasal Degeneration (CBD) form different tau polymorphs, their structures have not yet been determined(25). However, as long as the $3_{13}$VLDSKVTSKCC$_{322}$ region adopts a beta-sheet like fold, and K317 and K321 remain solvent-exposed, the long-range charge-charge interaction with $\gamma$EFE$_9$ could be preserved. In short, there may be a common interaction among the disparate folds of tau polymorphs.

In the past, our lab has developed inhibitors of tau aggregation by structure-based drug design(11,16). This requires detailed structural knowledge of a site of the tau protein in the aggregated state obtained by X-ray crystallography or MicroED. These inhibitors target segments of the tau protein in the microtubule binding region that is thought to participate in the fibril core of all tau filaments. However, given the structural evidence thus far that the microtubule binding region can adopt different folds in different diseases, it is likely that a spectrum of inhibitors will be necessary to most
effectively block aggregation or spreading of specific tau polymorphs. Immuno-labeling with MC-1 seems to indicate that the N-terminal interaction with the fibril core modeled here is preserved in both AD and Pick’s Disease tau filaments. Therefore, an inhibitor targeted towards this interaction may be general to all tau filaments, providing another target for treating tauopathies.

**Methods:**

**Crystallization and Data Collection:** Synthetic peptide RQEFEV was ordered from GenScript. RQEFEV was crystallized using the hanging drop method with a 2:1 mixture of 60 mg/mL RQEFEV and 0.2 M Ammonium Citrate Dibasic, 30% PEG 3350. Diffraction data was collected at APS Beamline 24-ID-E using an Eiger detector.

**Data Processing and Structure Determination:** Diffraction data were indexed and integrated using XDS and scaled using XSCALE(26). Molecular replacement was performed using Phaser and an idealized beta-strand as a molecular replacement probe(27). Model-building and manual real-space refinement was performed in COOT(28). Automated reciprocal-space and real-space refinement was performed using Refmac and Phenix(29,30).

**Modeling:** Modeling was performed in COOT using the RQEFEV crystal structure and the cryo-em structures for the AD PHF (5o3l.pdb) and SF (5o3t.pdb) downloaded from the PDB. Cryo-em maps for the PHF (EMD-3741) and SF (EMD-3743) were also used for modeling and generating figures. All figures were made in Pymol (Schrodinger).
Acknowledgments:
We thank Michael Sawaya for discussion and Michael Collazo at UCLA-DOE Macromolecular Crystallization Core Technology Center for crystallization support. We thank NIH AG 054022. This work is based upon research conducted at the Northeastern Collaborative Access Team beamlines, which is funded by the National Institute of General Medical Sciences from the National Institutes of Health (P41 GM103403). The Eiger 16M detector on 24-ID-E beam line is funded by a NIHORIP HEI grant (S10OD021527). This research used resources of the Advanced Photon Source, a U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science User Facility operated for the DOE Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. DRB is funded by the National Science Foundation Graduate Research Fellowship Program.

References:


13;547(7662):185–90.

Tau filaments from multiple cases of sporadic and inherited Alzheimer’s disease 
adopt a common fold. Acta Neuropathol (Berl) [Internet]. 2018 Oct 1 [cited 2018 
Oct 25]; Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-018-1914-z

2018 Sep;561(7721):137.

Assembly of τ protein into Alzheimer paired helical filaments depends on a local 
sequence motif (306VQIVYK311) forming β structure. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2000 
May 9;97(10):5129–34.

based design of non-natural amino-acid inhibitors of amyloid fibril formation. 

antibody raised to paired helical filaments, recognize conformational epitopes on 

18. Thompson MJ, Sievers SA, Karanicolas J, Ivanova MI, Baker D, Eisenberg D. The 
3D profile method for identifying fibril-forming segments of proteins. Proc Natl Acad 


**Figures:**

**Figure 1: Crystal Structure of tau N-terminal segment $5$RQEFEV$_{10}$**

A) Schematic of tau primary structure. B) Crystals of $5$RQEFEV$_{10}$ grown using the hanging drop method. C) Atomic model and electron density of $5$RQEFEV$_{10}$ demonstrating the quality of fit. The view is down the fibril axis, showing two anti-parallel strands.
Figure 2: Crystal structure of $\text{5RQEFEV}_{10}$ reveals a wet and a dry interface

A) $\text{5RQEFEV}_{10}$ forms amyloid-like out-of-register protofilaments with wet and dry interfaces. Inset shows formation of a polar clasp with neighboring glutamines in the hydrophobic pocket of the dry interface. B) View down the fibril axis of $\text{5RQEFEV}_{10}$ highlighting the interactions between residues within the wet and dry interfaces. Water molecules are shown by aqua spheres.
Figure 3: Speculative model for \textit{\textbf{sRQEFEV}}_{10} interaction with Alzheimer’s Disease paired helical and straight filaments fibril cores

A) Atomic model of Alzheimer’s disease paired helical filaments (PHF) (5o3l.pdb) shown with electron density of modeled (grey) and un-modeled (orange) regions(12). 

\textit{\textbf{sRQEFEV}}_{10} is docked into un-modeled density flanking the solvent-exposed K317 and K321 residues of the PHF. B) Detail (top) highlighting the interaction of the glutamates in the wet interface with K317 and K321 of the PHF. Detail (bottom) demonstrating the possible interaction of the R5L mutation with L315 of the PHF. C) Overview of potential
interaction of $7\text{EFE}_9$ with straight filaments (SF) (5o3t.pdb) at the inter-protofilament interface. D) Detail of the potential hydrogen bonding of wet interface glutamates with K317 and K321 and potential steric clash with L315 of the SF.
### Table 1. Data collection and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>RQEFEV (PDB ID: 6N4P)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wavelength</strong></td>
<td>0.9792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resolution range</strong></td>
<td>16.08 - 1.851 (1.918 - 1.851)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Space group</strong></td>
<td>P2_1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit cell</strong></td>
<td>16.59 11.45 25.42 90 104.236 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total reflections</strong></td>
<td>2416 (226)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unique reflections</strong></td>
<td>842 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Multiplicity</strong></td>
<td>2.9 (2.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Completeness (%)</strong></td>
<td>97.2 (96.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean I/sigma(I)</strong></td>
<td>4.2 (1.6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Wilson B-factor</strong></td>
<td>14.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-merge</strong></td>
<td>0.16 (0.60)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-meas</strong></td>
<td>0.20 (0.72)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-pim</strong></td>
<td>0.11 (0.40)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC1/2</strong></td>
<td>0.97 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC</strong></td>
<td>0.99 (0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections used in refinement</strong></td>
<td>838 (80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Reflections used for R-free</strong></td>
<td>85 (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-work</strong></td>
<td>0.19 (0.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>R-free</strong></td>
<td>0.27 (0.47)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC(work)</strong></td>
<td>0.96 (0.81)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CC(free)</strong></td>
<td>0.91 (0.83)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of non-hydrogen atoms</strong></td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Protein residues</strong></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMS(bonds)</strong></td>
<td>0.013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMS(angles)</strong></td>
<td>1.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ramachandran favored (%)</strong></td>
<td>100.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ramachandran allowed (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ramachandran outliers (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Rotamer outliers (%)</strong></td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clashscore</strong></td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Average B-factor</strong></td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>macromolecules</td>
<td>21.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>solvent</td>
<td>32.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.