1 A combined *in silico*, *in vitro* and clinical approach to characterise novel pathogenic
2 missense variants in PRPF31 in retinitis pigmentosa

3 **Gabrielle Wheway^{1,2*}, Liliya Nazlamova^{1,2}, Nervine Meshad³, Samantha Hunt³, Nicola
4 Jackson⁴, Amanda Churchill^{3*}**

5 ¹ Centre for Research in Biosciences, University of the West of England, Bristol, UK

6 ² Human Development and Health, University of Southampton, Southampton, UK

7 ³ Bristol Eye Hospital, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

8 ⁴ Clinical Genetics Service, University Hospitals Bristol NHS Foundation Trust, Bristol, UK

9

10 *** Correspondence:**

11 Gabrielle Wheway

12 Gabrielle.Wheway@uwe.ac.uk; G.Wheway@soton.ac.uk

13 Amanda J Churchill

14 A.J.Churchill@bristol.ac.uk

15

16 **Keywords: genetic disease, modelling, pathogenicity, missense, pre-mRNA splicing factor,**
17 **retinitis pigmentosa, retinal ciliopathy.**

18 **Abstract**

19 At least six different proteins of the spliceosome, including PRPF3, PRPF4, PRPF6, PRPF8, PRPF31
20 and SNRNP200, are mutated in autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa (adRP). These proteins
21 have recently been shown to localise to the base of the connecting cilium of the retinal photoreceptor
22 cells, elucidating this form of RP as a retinal ciliopathy. In the case of loss-of-function variants in
23 these genes, pathogenicity can easily be ascribed. In the case of missense variants, this is more
24 challenging. Furthermore, the exact molecular mechanism of disease in this form of RP remains
25 poorly understood.

In this paper we take advantage of the recently published cryo EM-resolved structure of the entire human spliceosome, to predict the effect of a novel missense variant in one component of the spliceosome; PRPF31, found in a patient attending the genetics eye clinic at Bristol Eye Hospital. Monoallelic variants in *PRPF31* are a common cause of autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa (adRP) with incomplete penetrance. We use *in vitro* studies to confirm pathogenicity of this novel variant *PRPF31* c.341T>A, p.Ile114Asn.

This work demonstrates how *in silico* modelling of structural effects of missense variants on cryo-EM resolved protein complexes can contribute to predicting pathogenicity of novel variants, in combination with *in vitro* and clinical studies. It is currently a considerable challenge to assign pathogenic status to missense variants in these proteins.

1 Introduction

Retinitis pigmentosa (RP) is a progressive retinal degeneration characterised by night blindness and restriction of peripheral vision. Later in the course of the disease, central and colour vision can be lost. Many patients experience the first signs of RP between 20-40 years but there is much phenotypic variability from age of onset and speed of deterioration to severity of visual impairment (Hartong *et al.*, 2006).

RP, whilst classified as a rare disease, is the most common cause of inherited blindness worldwide. It affects between 1:3500 and 1:2000 people (Golovleva *et al.*, 2010; Sharon and Banin, 2015), and can be inherited in an autosomal dominant (adRP), autosomal recessive (arRP), or X-linked (xLRP) manner. It may occur in isolation (non-syndromic RP) (Verbakel *et al.*, 2018), or with other features (syndromic RP) as in Bardet-Biedl syndrome, Joubert syndrome and Usher syndrome (Mockel *et al.*, 2011).

The condition is extremely heterogeneous, with 64 genes identified as causes of non-syndromic RP, and more than 50 genes associated with syndromic RP (RetNet <https://sph.uth.edu/retnet/sum-dis.htm>). Even with current genetic knowledge, diagnostic detection rate in adRP cohorts remains between 40% (Mockel *et al.*, 2011) and 66% (Zhang *et al.*, 2016), suggesting that many disease genes remain to be identified, and many mutations within known genes require characterization to ascribe pathogenic status. Detection rates are as low as 14% in cohorts of simplex cases (single affected individuals) and multiplex cases (several affected individuals in one family but unclear pattern of inheritance) (Jin *et al.*, 2008). Such cases account for up to 50% of RP cases, so this

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

presents a significant challenge to diagnosis (Greenberg *et al.*, 1993; Haim, 1993; Najera *et al.*, 1995).

The second most common genetic cause of adRP is *PRPF31*, accounting for 6% of US cases (Sullivan *et al.*, 2013) 8% of Spanish cases (Martin-Merida *et al.*, 2018), 8% of French Canadian cases (Coussa *et al.*, 2015), 8% of French cases (Audo *et al.*, 2010), 8.9% of cases in North America (Daiger *et al.*, 2014), 11.1% in small Chinese cohort (Lim *et al.*, 2009), 10% in a larger Chinese cohort (Xu *et al.*, 2012) and 10.5% of Belgian cases (Van Cauwenbergh *et al.*, 2017). However, this is likely to be an underestimate due to variable penetrance of this form of RP, complicating attempts to co-segregate the variant with clinical disease, making genetic diagnosis difficult.

Whilst the majority of reported variants in *PRPF31* are indels, splice site variants and nonsense variants, large-scale deletions or copy number variations (Martin-Merida *et al.*, 2018), which are easily ascribed pathogenic status, at least eleven missense variants in *PRPF31* have been reported in the literature (**Table 1**). Missense variants are more difficult to characterize functionally than nonsense or splicing mutations (Cooper and Shendure, 2011) and it is likely that there are false negative diagnoses in patients carrying missense mutations due to lack of confidence in prediction of pathogenicity of such variants. This is reflected in the enrichment of *PRPF31* missense variants labelled ‘uncertain significance’ in ClinVar, a public repository for clinically-relevant genetic variants (Landrum *et al.*, 2016; Landrum *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, work has shown that some variants annotated as missense *PRPF31* variants may in fact be affecting splicing of *PRPF31*, introducing premature stop codons leading to nonsense mediated decay (NMD), a common disease mechanism in RP11 (Rio Frio *et al.*, 2008). One example is c.319C>G, which, whilst originally annotated as p.Leu107Val, actually affects splicing rather than an amino acid substitution (Rio Frio *et al.*, 2008). The presence of exonic splice enhancers is often overlooked by genetics researchers.

PRPF31 is a component of the spliceosome, the huge macromolecular ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex which catalyses the splicing of pre-messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) to remove introns and produce mature mRNAs (Will and Luhrmann, 2011). The spliceosome is composed of 5 small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), U1-U5, and many proteins including pre-mRNA splicing factors *PRPF3*, *PRPF4*, *PRPF6*, *PRPF8*, and *SNRNP200*, all of which are also genetic causes of RP (Ruzickova and Stanek, 2016). It is unclear whether variants in these proteins have an effect on splicing of specific retinal transcripts (Deery *et al.*, 2002; Yuan *et al.*, 2005; Mordes *et al.*, 2007; Wilkie *et al.*, 2008). Some papers have failed to find any evidence for a generalized RNA splicing defects (Rivolta *et al.*,

2006). Pre-mRNA splicing factors may have additional roles beyond splicing in the nucleus, after a study recently found that PRPF6, PRPF8 and PRPF31 are all localized to the base of the retinal photoreceptor connecting cilium and are essential for ciliogenesis, suggesting that this form of RP is a ciliopathy (Wheway *et al.*, 2015). Missense variants in these proteins are, collectively, a common cause of adRP. This presents significant challenges in providing accurate diagnosis for patients with missense variants in these genes. Developing tools to provide accurate genetic diagnoses in these cases is a significant clinical priority.

The most commonly used *in silico* predictors of pathogenicity of missense variants, PolyPhen2 (Adzhubei *et al.*, 2010) and CADD (Kircher *et al.*, 2014), which use combined sequence conservation, structural and machine learning techniques only have around 15 – 20% success rate in predicting truly pathogenic variants (Miosge *et al.*, 2015). Use of simple tools has around the same success rate (Gnad *et al.*, 2013), and use of several tools in combination increases reliability (Gonzalez-Perez and Lopez-Bigas, 2011). Insight from structural biologists and molecular cell biologists is essential to make accurate predictions.

In this study we take advantage of the recently elucidated structure of the in-tact spliceosome to model the effect of a novel variant in *PRPF31*, found in a patient attending the genetics eye clinic at Bristol Eye Hospital. We combine this *in silico* analysis with *in vitro* studies to characterize this novel variant. We show that analysis of protein complexes *in silico* can complement clinical and laboratory studies in predicting pathogenicity of novel genetic variants.

Methods

Genetic testing

The study was conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Informed consent for diagnostic testing was obtained from the proband in clinic. Genomic DNA was extracted from a peripheral blood sample by Bristol Genetics Laboratory and tested against the retinal dystrophy panel of 176 genes in the NHS accredited Genomic Diagnostics Laboratory at Manchester Centre for Genomic Medicine, UK.

Splicing analysis

We used Human Splicing Finder (Desmet *et al.*, 2009) to identify and predict the effect of variants on splicing motifs, including the acceptor and donor splice sites, branch point and auxiliary sequences

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

known to enhance or repress splicing. This programme uses 12 different algorithms to make a comprehensive prediction of the effect of variants on splicing.

3D structural protein analysis

PyMol (Schrodinger Ltd) programme was used to characterize the effect of missense variants in human *PRPF31* protein. Missense variants were modelled on PRPF31 in the pre-catalytic spliceosome primed for activation (PDB file 5O9Z) (Bertram *et al.*, 2017).

Variant construct cloning

Full-length, sequence-validated *PRPF31* ORF clone with C-terminal myc tag was obtained from Origene. c.341T>A variant was introduced using NEB Q5 site-directed mutagenesis kit. The entire wild-type and mutant clone sequence was verified by Sanger sequencing (Source Bioscience).

Cell culture

HEK293 cells were cultured in DMEM high glucose + 10% FCS at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and split at a ratio of 1:8 once per week. hTERT-RPE1 cells (ATCC CRL-4000) were cultured in DMEM/F12 (50:50 mix) + 10% FCS at 37°C, 5% CO₂, and split at a ratio of 1:8 once per week.

Cell transfection

The construct was transfected into HEK293 cells using PEI, and into hTERT-RPE1 cells using the Lonza Nucleofector.

Inhibition of protein translation

Cells were grown for 72 hours, and treated with 30µg/ml cycloheximide in DMSO. Untreated cells were treated with the equivalent volume of DMSO.

Protein extraction

Total protein was extracted from cells using 1% NP40 lysis buffer and scraping. Insoluble material was pelleted by centrifugation at 10,000 x g. Cell fractionation was carried out by scraping cells into fractionation buffer containing 1mM DTT, and passed through a syringe 10 times. Nuclei were pelleted at 720 x g for 5 minutes and separated from the cytoplasmic supernatant. Insoluble cytoplasmic material was pelleted using centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 5 minutes. Nuclei were

washed, and lysed with 0.1% SDS and sonication. Insoluble nuclear material was pelleted using centrifugation at 10,000 x g for 5 minutes.

SDS-PAGE and western blotting

20µg of total protein per sample with 2 x SDS loading buffer was loaded onto pre-cast 4-12% Bis-Tris gels (Life Technologies) alongside Spectra Multicolor Broad range Protein ladder (Thermo Fisher). Samples were separated by electrophoresis. Protein was transferred to PVDF membrane. Membranes were incubated with blocking solution (5% (w/v) non-fat milk/PBS), and incubated with primary antibody overnight at 4°C. After washing, membranes were incubated with secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature and exposed using 680nm and/or 780nm laser, or incubated with SuperSignal West Femto reagent (Pierce) and exposed using Chemiluminescence settings on LiCor Odyssey imaging system (LiCor).

Primary antibodies for WB

Mouse anti β actin clone AC-15. 1:4000. Sigma-Aldrich A1978

Goat anti-PRPF31 primary antibody 1:1000 (AbNova)

Mouse anti-c myc 1:5000 (Sigma)

Mouse anti PCNA-HRP conjugated 1:1000 (BioRad)

Secondary antibodies for WB

Donkey anti mouse 680 1:20,000 (LiCor)

Donkey anti goat 800 1:20,000 (LiCor)

Immunocytochemistry

Cells were seeded at 1×10^5 per mL on sterile glass coverslips in complete media. Media was changed to serum-free media after 48 hours, and cells were grown for a further 72 hours. Cells were fixed in ice-cold methanol at -20°C for 5 minutes, immediately washed with PBS, and incubated with blocking solution (1% w/v non-fat milk powder/PBS). Coverslips were incubated with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight and with secondary antibodies and DAPI for 1 hour at room temperature. Cells were mounted onto slides with Mowiol.

Primary antibodies for IF

Goat anti-PRPF31 primary antibody 1:200 (AbNova)

Modelling missense variants in *PRPF31*

170 Mouse anti-c myc 1:1000 (Sigma)

171 Secondary antibodies for IF

172 Donkey anti mouse IgG AlexaFluor 488 1:500

173 Donkey anti goat IgG AlexaFluor 633 1:500

174 Confocal imaging

175 Confocal images were obtained at the Centre for Research in Biosciences Imaging Facility at UWE
176 Bristol, using a HC PL APO 63x/1.40 oil objective CS2 lens on a Leica DMI8 inverted
177 epifluorescence microscope, attached to a Leica SP8 AOBS laser scanning confocal microscope.
178 Images were captured using LASX software, assembled in Adobe Photoshop, and figures prepared
179 using Adobe Illustrator.

180

181 Results

182 Clinical description of c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn patient

183 A 39 year old female presented to the Genetic Eye clinic at Bristol Eye Hospital in 2013 complaining
184 of some difficulty with dark adaptation, driving at night and a reduction in her field of vision (having
185 to turn her head to see her children). She described other family members having similar symptoms
186 and losing their sight at a relatively young age (**Figure 1a**). Her general health was otherwise good.
187 Over a 4 year period her best corrected visual acuity remained good at 6/6-3 right eye and 6/7.5 left
188 eye (Snellen equivalent using a LogMar chart) whilst her peripheral vision deteriorated from an
189 isolated mid-peripheral scotoma to tunnel vision by 2017. Fundoscopy showed widespread bilateral
190 bone spicule pigmentation, attenuated retinal vessels and pale optic nerves typical of RP (**Figure 1b**).
191 There was no evidence of lens opacities or macula oedema in either eye.

192 Variant Analysis of c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn

193 A heterozygous *PRPF31* change, c.341T>A p.(Ile114Asn) was identified which was confirmed by
194 bidirectional Sanger sequencing. This variant is not present in the heterozygous or homozygous state
195 in any individuals within the gnomAD database, nor are any other variants affecting Ile114,
196 suggesting that this is a highly conserved residue. Analysis by PolyPhen2 suggested this change was
197 probably damaging, with a score of 0.963 (**Figure 1c**) and SIFT concurred with this prediction with a

score of 0.0. Comparative genomic alignment shows the residue to be conserved from humans to amphibia, within a highly conserved region, conserved across diverse metazoa including sponges (Figure 1c).

Splicing analysis of genetic single nucleotide variants in PRPF31

We undertook *in silico* splicing analysis of our novel variant of interest c.341T>A p. Ile114Asn and found that it was not predicted to affect splicing. We also studied the nine published variants in PRPF31 annotated as missense, and interestingly, five were predicted to potentially alter splicing, and one (c.1373A>T, p. Gln458Leu (Xiao *et al.*, 2017)) was predicted to be highly likely to affect splicing (Table 2). This suggests that either this splice predictor should be used with caution, or that p.Gln458Leu may be mis-annotated as a missense variant, when it actually affects splicing. We suggest that this variant should be a priority for further functional characterization *in vitro*.

3D structural analysis of missense variants in PRPF31

We mapped all published missense variants onto the PRPF31 protein structure in the pre-catalytic spliceosome. For simplicity, we only show PRPF31 in complex with U4 snRNA and 15.5K (SNU13) protein (Figure 2) and (in complex with PRPF6 in Supplementary Figure 1; in complex with PRPF8 in Supplementary Figure 2). This showed that variants are located throughout the protein, but concentrated in several key domains. Three variants (Arg288Trp, Ala291Pro and Cys299Arg), are located in α -helix 12 of the protein, in the Nop domain which interacts with RNA and the 15.5K (SNU13) protein. Three variants are in α -helix 6 of the coiled-coil domain (Ala194Glu, Leu197Pro, Ala216Pro) and one variant is in α -helix 3 of the protein in the coiled-coil tip (Thr138Lys). Gly261Arg is within the flexible loop between the Nop and coiled-coil domains and Arg408Trp alone is in the C-terminal domain.

Analysis of interactions within 4Å of each amino acid show that in most cases (Thr138Lys, Ala194Glu, Gly261Arg, Arg288Trp, Ala291Pro and Cys299Arg), these substitutions are predicted to affect hydrogen (H) bonding in PRPF3. H bonds with donor-acceptor distances of 2.2-2.5 Å are strong and mostly covalent; 2.5-3.2 Å are moderate mostly electrostatic and 3.2-4 are weak electrostatic interactions and can be predicted to be affecting protein folding and solubility (Jeffrey 1997). In the case of Arg408Trp, the substitution does not affect H bonding within PRPF31, but does introduce a new interaction with neighbouring PRPF6 (Figure 3a; Supplementary Figures 1 and 2). Gly261Arg also introduces a new interaction with neighbouring PRPF8 (Figure 3b;

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

Supplementary Figure 2). Of the three small substitutions which do not affect H bonding, we discovered that in all cases the variant amino acid was proline, which introduces a new kink in the amino acid chain. Each of these substitutions also resulted in the loss of a polar contact (**Figure 3c,d,e**).

We next mapped the variant found in our patient attending the genetics eye clinic at Bristol Eye Hospital; Ile114Asn (**Figure 4a**). Ile114Asn is in the coiled-coil domain of the protein, in close proximity to published pathogenic variants Thr138Lys and Ala194Glu (**Figure 4b**). The substitution introduces new H bonds between this residue and Ala190 of an adjacent α -helix, and predict it to affect protein folding and solubility, and be pathogenic.

To test the accuracy of our predictions, we took on c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn for further *in vitro* characterisation.

***In vitro* analysis of c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn variant**

To investigate whether c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn caused mislocalisation of the protein, we transfected RPE1 cells with plasmids expressing either wild-type (WT) PRPF31 or PRPF31 341T>A, both tagged with c-myc epitope tag. We used the Lonza nucleofector to ensure high transfection efficiency (~75%). We assayed the cells after 24, 48 and 72 hours by immunofluorescence confocal microscopy using an anti-cmyc antibody and saw consistent mid- to high-level expression of the WT protein exclusively in the nucleus (**Figure 5a**). We did not observe the same pattern in cells expressing the mutant protein. In these cells, intense c-myc staining was seen in the nuclei of a subset of cells, and no cells showed normal nuclear expression levels (**Figure 5a**). After 72 hours, many cells in the mutant experiment had died, or showed abnormal nuclear morphology (**Figure 5b**). We hypothesised that the mutant protein was aggregating in the nuclei and causing cell death.

In order to investigate whether c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn affected protein stability in a similar way, we transfected HEK293 cells with plasmids expressing either wild-type PRPF31 or PRPF31 341T>A, both tagged with c-myc epitope tag. We treated the transfected cells with cycloheximide protein translation inhibitor over a time course of 6 hours, and assayed protein concentration over this period via western blotting.

Following our usual method for total protein extraction from cells using 1% NP40 detergent, we had difficulty extracting any mutant protein from the transfected cells (**Figure 6a**). This was despite the

fact that we could observe protein expression in both cell types via immunofluorescent staining with anti-PRPF31 and anti-cmyc antibodies. We proceeded to repeat the experiment using cell fractionation, to selectively extract protein from the nuclear fraction using 0.1% SDS. This yielded a small amount of mutant protein (**Figure 6b**). Based on our observations, we hypothesised that the mutant protein was in the insoluble nuclear fraction. Once again, we fractionated the cells and lysed the nuclei with 0.1% SDS, but this time we did not remove the insoluble material by centrifugation, instead loading both soluble and insoluble nuclear protein on the gel. This revealed mutant protein, and confirms that the mutant protein is expressed in cells, but is insoluble (**Figure 6c**). No difference in protein stability was observable in the course of cycloheximide treatment (**Figure 6c**). Once we had optimised protein extraction from these cells, we were able to confirm our finding from immunofluorescent imaging that both the WT and mutant protein localised to the nucleus, not the cytoplasm (**Figure 6d**).

In summary, our findings suggest that c.341T>A p.Ile114Asn variant in *PRPF31* results in protein insolubility, leading to cell death, and is likely the pathogenic cause of RP in this individual. In silico structural analysis of this variant complemented existing techniques for predicting pathogenicity of this variant.

Discussion

PRPF31 is a component of the major and minor spliceosome, the huge macromolecular ribonucleoprotein (RNP) complex which catalyses the splicing of pre-messenger RNAs (pre-mRNAs) to remove introns and produce mature mRNAs. More than 90% of human genes undergo alternative splicing (Wang *et al.*, 2008), and splicing is a core function of cells, remarkably well conserved from yeast to man. The spliceosome is composed of at least 43 different proteins, and 5 small nuclear RNAs (snRNAs), U1-U5 (Will and Luhrmann, 2011).

PRPF31 is essential for the assembly of the U4/U6.U5 tri-snRNP complex (Makarova *et al.*, 2002), which, when combined with U1 and U2, forms the ‘B complex’. After large rearrangements, the activated B complex is able to initiate the first step of splicing. In the absence of PRPF31, U4/U6 di-snRNP accumulates in the splicing-rich Cajal bodies in the nucleus, preventing formation of the tri-snRNP, and subsequently efficient splicing (Schaffert *et al.*, 2004).

PRPF31 performs its function through several important protein domains; the flexible loop, Nop domain, coiled-coil domain and tip. The flexible loop (residues 256 – 265) protects the exposed C4’

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

atoms of residues 37 and 38 from attack by free radicals, to protect the RNA without directly contacting it (Liu *et al.*, 2007).

The Nop domain is a conserved RNP-binding domain, with regions for binding protein and RNA. Although the sequence conservation of the Nop domain is relaxed in PRPF31, its specificity for binding U4 or U4atac and 15.5K protein is high (Liu *et al.*, 2007).

Nonsense, missense and indel mutations in PRPF31 are associated with autosomal dominant RP with incomplete penetrance. Whilst the pathogenicity nonsense and indels is easy to ascribe, the pathogenicity of missense mutations in this protein are difficult to predict. Alongside use of pathogenicity predictors based on 2D structure and conservation, such as PolyPhen, SIFT and CADD, *in silico* analysis of the 3D crystal structure of PRPF31 can provide more accurate estimates of the pathogenic potential of missense mutations. However, this still only provides information about the effect of mutations on the protein in isolation. The elucidation of the structure of the entire intact spliceosomal complex provides new exciting opportunities for more accurate *in silico* modelling of the effect of missense mutations on PRPF31 and its protein-protein interactions (Bertram *et al.*, 2017). This structural analysis can predict effects on interactions with other proteins, as well as intramolecular disturbances caused by missense mutations. For example, one published variant in PRPF31 is in the C-terminal domain (Arg408Trp), outside of the functionally important Nop and coiled-coil domains and *in silico* analysis predicts that this missense mutation does not affect H bonding within PRPF31. Based on this analysis, it may be predicted that this change is unlikely to be pathogenic, but 3D analysis of the intact spliceosome predicts that this change affects binding of PRPF31 to PRPF6 (**Figure 3a**). We would predict that this change is pathogenic, and that missense variants outside the Nop and coiled-coil domains should not be dismissed as benign.

Using this 3D protein complex analysis, we predict a novel variant, Ile114Asn, found in a patient attending the genetics eye clinic at Bristol Eye Hospital, to be affecting H bonding within PRPF31 and predict that this will affect protein folding and solubility (**Figure 4a-c**). Our *in vitro* studies confirm this (**Figures 5, 6**). Protein with this variant is found in the insoluble nuclear fraction, and this leads to cell death (**Figures 5, 6**).

In summary, we show that *in silico* modelling of the effect of missense variants on the 3D structure of the spliceosome contributes useful additional data to predictions of pathogenicity of novel variants, which are likely to affect protein folding and solubility. In the novel variant studied here,

the predictions from this *in silico* structural analysis were confirmed using *in vitro* testing. It is important to note that the spliceosome is a highly dynamic structure, and our 3D structural complex analysis only studies PRPF31 in one specific conformation, in the spliceosome primed for splicing (Bertram *et al.*, 2017). For truly accurate predictions of pathogenicity, the 3D structure of the spliceosome at different stages of activity will need to be studied, preferably using Molecular dynamic simulation (MDS) with a package such as GROMACS (Berendsen *et al.*, 1995) to provide deepest insights into effects of missense mutations. The publication of more cryo-EM resolved complexes relevant to development of ciliopathies, such as the intraflagellar transport (IFT) complexes (Jordan *et al.*, 2018) will further enhance our understanding of such conditions, and allow more accurate computational prediction of pathogenicity of variants.

Our data from this novel variant supports previous suggestions that haploinsufficiency is the common disease mechanism in RP11 rather than any dominant negative effects of missense variants (Wilkie *et al.*, 2008; Abu-Safieh *et al.*, 2006; Sullivan *et al.*, 2006). We find that this missense variant affects protein solubility, effectively removing one copy of the protein from cells.

Considerable further work is required to elucidate why haploinsufficiency of PRPF31 causes retinal cells to degenerate, whether specific or global pre-mRNA splicing is affected, and why other tissues outside the retina are not affected by loss of protein.

Figure and Table Legends

Figure 1. Clinical characteristics of patient with *PRPF31* c.341T>A Ile114Asn variant, and PolyPhen2 and conservation analysis of the variant

(a) Family pedigree. Affected individuals in generation II had visual symptoms suggestive of retinitis pigmentosa and appear on both sides of the paternal grandparents of the proband. Arrow = proband
(b) Images of clinical investigations conducted at visit in 2017. Upper panel: Red free fundus photographs show extensive bilateral retinal pigment disruption, especially nasally. Lower panel: Goldmann visual field images show bilateral tunnel vision with a small island of peripheral vision in the right eye. (c) PolyPhen2 score predicts this variant is probably damaging with a score of 0.963 (top), alignment of PRPF31 sequence showing conservation of Ile114 and surrounding amino acids (bottom). Ile114 identity is conserved across tetrapods, from human to *Xenopus tropicalis*, and non-

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

polar hydrophobic similarity is conserved from yeast to human, with variations in highly derived insects (*Drosophila melanogaster*) and fish (*Fugu*).

Figure 2. 3D cartoon representation of PRPF31, including published missense mutations

Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of PRPF31 (grey) and 15.5K/SNU13 (pink) with U4 snRNA (orange backbone), with published missense mutations mapped onto the physical structure, with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in red.

Figure 3. 3D cartoon representation of regions of PRPF31 with published missense mutations and their interactions with other molecules within 4Å, and their polar contacts

Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of regions of PRPF31 (grey), with published missense mutations (a) Arg408Trp showing how this affects interaction with PRPF6 (blue) and (b) Gly261Arg showing how this affects interaction with PRPF8 (orange). Red asterisks are used to label where missense mutations introduce new H bonding. Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of regions of PRPF31 (grey), with published missense mutations (c) Leu197, (d) Ala216Pro and (e) Ala291Pro showing effect of these missense mutation on loss of polar contacts within PRPF31. Wild-type amino acid structure is shown in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in red.

Figure 4. 3D cartoon representation of PRPF31 and variant Ile114Asn

(a) Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of PRPF31 (grey) and 15.5K/SNU13 (pink) with U4 snRNA (orange backbone), with published missense mutations mapped onto the physical structure, with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in red. Ile114Asn (black arrow) is mapped onto the structure with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in blue. (b) Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of subregion of PRPF31 (grey), with Ile114Asn, showing proximity to Thr138 and Ala194, both of which are published sites of mutation in RP patients (c) Ile114Asn mapped onto the physical structure of PRPF31 with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in blue, and interactions within 4Å, predicted to affect H bonding within PRPF31. Green

374 regions of the alpha helix denote normal H bonding by Ile114, blue regions of the alpha helix denote
375 novel H bonds of Asn114. Blue asterisks are used to label where missense mutation introduces new
376 H bonding.

377 **Figure 5. *In vitro* characterisation of PRPF31 c.341T>A Ile114Asn variant**

378 (a) Immunofluorescence confocal images of RPE1 cells transfected with c-myc-tagged wild-type or
379 mutant PRPF31, showing expression and localisation of PRPF31-cmyc over 24, 48 and 72 hours. c-
380 myc PRPF31 is evenly distributed throughout the nuclei of cells transfected with WT plasmid at each
381 time point, but is concentrated in the nuclei of a few cells in RPE cells transfected with the mutant
382 plasmid. The number of c-myc positive nuclei is stable in WT cells, but decreases over time in
383 mutant cells. (b) At 72 hours, nuclei staining shows many apoptotic nuclei (blue arrows) in the cells
384 transfected with mutant PRPF31

385 **Figure 6. Western blots of protein extracted from HEK293 cells transfected with wild-type or**
386 **c.341T>A PRPF31 tagged with c-myc**

387 (a) Cells treated with 30µM cycloheximide (CHX) over 6 hours, and soluble protein extracted from
388 the whole cell showed stable levels of wild-type protein expression across the time course, and
389 complete absence of mutant protein in the soluble whole cell fraction. β-actin is cytoplasmic loading
390 control. (b) Cells treated with 30µM cycloheximide (CHX) over 6 hours, and soluble protein
391 extracted from the nucleus showed stable levels of wild-type protein expression across the time
392 course, and extremely low levels of mutant protein in the soluble nuclear fraction, except where some
393 insoluble protein was accidentally loaded (4 hour). β-actin is cytoplasmic loading control. PCNA is
394 nuclear loading control. (c) Cells treated with 30µM cycloheximide (CHX) over 6 hours, and both
395 soluble and insoluble protein extracted nucleus showed similar levels of wild-type and mutant protein
396 expression and stability. PCNA is nuclear loading control marker. (d) Fractionation shows that both
397 mutant and wild-type PRPF31 are localised to the nucleus. β-actin is cytoplasmic loading control,
398 PCNA is nuclear loading control.

399

400 **Supplementary Figure 1. 3D cartoon representation of PRPF31, including published missense**
401 **mutations, in complex with U4 snRNA, 15.5K and PRPF6**

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

(a) Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of PRPF31 (grey) and 15.5K/SNU13 (pink) with U4 snRNA (dark orange backbone), and PRPF6 (blue) with published missense mutations mapped onto the physical structure, with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in red. This shows that only Arg408Trp is in interacting proximity with PRPF6. (b) An alternative view of the same complex, highlighting that variants in the NOP domain (black arrow) and coiled-coil domain do not appear to interact with PRPF6 in this conformation

Supplementary Figure 2. 3D cartoon representation of PRPF31, including published missense mutations, in complex with U4 snRNA, 15.5K, PRPF6 and PRPF8

(a) Cartoon representation of alpha helical structure of PRPF31 (grey) and 15.5K/SNU13 (pink) with U4 snRNA (dark orange backbone), PRPF6 (blue), and PRPF8 (light orange) with published missense mutations mapped onto the physical structure, with wild-type amino acid structure in green, and mutant amino acid structure overlaid in red. This shows that only Gly261Arg is in interacting proximity with PRPF8. (b) An alternative view of the same complex, highlighting that only this Gly261Arg variant (black arrow) appears to interact with PRPF8 in this conformation

Author Contributions

GW and AC conceived of and designed the study.

NM, SH, NJ and AC examined the patient, coordinated genetic testing and analysed patient genetic data.

427 GW and LN carried out in silico and in vitro experiments.

428 GW, LN, NM and AC prepared figures.

429 GW and AC wrote the paper.

430 SH reviewed the paper.

431 **Funding**

432 Dr Liliya Nazlamova and Dr Gabrielle Wheway are supported by National Eye Research Centre
433 Small Award SAC019, Wellcome Trust Seed Award in Science 204378/Z/16/Z and UWE Bristol
434 Quality Research funds.

435

cDNA variant	protein variant	Found in family or singleton?	Original reference(s)
c.413C>A	Thr138Lys	Large family	Waseem et al, 2007
c.581C>A	Ala194Glu	Single affected individual (SP42)	Vithana et al, 2001
c.590T>C	Leu197Pro	Large generation	Bryant et al, 2018
c.646G>C	Ala216Pro	Huge family (AD29)	Vithana et al, 2001
c.781G>C	Gly261Arg	Single affected individual	Xiao et al, 2017
c.862C>T	Arg288Trp	Single affected individual	Coussa et al, 2015
c.871G>C	Ala291Pro	Single affected individual	Sullivan et al, 2006
c.895T>C	Cys299Arg	3 independent families	Sullivan et al 2006; Xu et al., 2012; Martin-Merida et al., 2018
c.896G>A	Cys299Tyr	Large family	Bhatia et al, 2018
c.1222C>T	Arg408Trp	Single affected individual	Xiao et al, 2017
c.1373A>T	Gln458Leu	Single affected	Xiao et al, 2017

Modelling missense variants in *PRPF31*

		individual	
--	--	------------	--

Table 1. Summary of published missense mutations in *PRPF31*

cDNA variant	protein variant	Predicted effect on splicing (Human Splicing Finder)	Notes	Summary - effect on splicing?	Estimate of pathogenicity
c.413C>A	Thr138Lys	Potential alteration of splicing		Maybe	Pathogenic
c.581C>A	Ala194Glu	Potential alteration of splicing	Functional characterisation shows functional effect of missense change	No	Pathogenic
c.590T>C	Leu197Pro	Potential alteration of splicing	Functional characterisation shows functional effect of missense change	No	Pathogenic
c.646G>C	Ala216Pro	Potential alteration of splicing	Functional characterisation shows functional effect of missense change	No	Pathogenic
c.781G>C	Gly261Arg	No impact on splicing		No	Pathogenic
c.862C>T	Arg288Trp	Potential alteration of splicing		Maybe	Pathogenic
c.871G>C	Ala291Pro	No impact on splicing		No	Pathogenic
c.895T>C	Cys299Arg	No impact on splicing		No	Pathogenic
c.896G>A	Cys299Tyr	Potential alteration of splicing		Maybe	Pathogenic
c.1222C>T	Arg408Trp	Potential alteration of splicing		No	Pathogenic
c.1373A>T	Gln458Leu	Most probably affecting splicing		Yes	Pathogenic

Table 2. Mutations in *PRPF31* annotated as missense, and their predicted impact on splicing

All published missense mutations in *PRPF31*, and their predicted impact on splicing, according to Human Splicing Finder.

Reference List

- Abu-Safieh, L., Vithana, E. N., Mantel, I., Holder, G. E., Pelosini, L., Bird, A. C., *et al.* (2006). A large deletion in the adRP gene PRPF31: evidence that haploinsufficiency is the cause of disease *Mol. Vis.* **12**. doi: v12/a44 [pii]
- Adzhubei, I. A., Schmidt, S., Peshkin, L., Ramensky, V. E., Gerasimova, A., Bork, P., *et al.* (2010). A method and server for predicting damaging missense mutations *Nat. Methods* **7**. doi: 10.1038/nmeth0410-248 [doi]
- Audo, I., Bujakowska, K., Mohand-Said, S., Lancelot, M. E., Moskova-Doumanova, V., Waseem, N. H., *et al.* (2010). Prevalence and novelty of PRPF31 mutations in French autosomal dominant rod-cone dystrophy patients and a review of published reports *BMC Med. Genet.* **11**. doi: 10.1186/1471-2350-11-145 [doi]
- Berendsen, H. J. C., van der Spoel, D., and van Drunen, R. (1995). GROMACS: A message-passing parallel molecular dynamics implementation *Computer Physics Communications* **91**, 43-56. doi: [https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655\(95\)00042-E](https://doi.org/10.1016/0010-4655(95)00042-E)
- Bertram, K., Agafonov, D. E., Dybkov, O., Haselbach, D., Leelaram, M. N., Will, C. L., *et al.* (2017). Cryo-EM Structure of a Pre-catalytic Human Spliceosome Primed for Activation *Cell* **170**. doi: S0092-8674(17)30818-8 [pii]
- Cooper, G. M. and Shendure, J. (2011). Needles in stacks of needles: finding disease-causal variants in a wealth of genomic data *Nat. Rev. Genet.* **12**. doi: 10.1038/nrg3046 [doi]
- Coussa, R. G., Chakarova, C., Ajlan, R., Taha, M., Kavalec, C., Gomolin, J., *et al.* (2015). Genotype and Phenotype Studies in Autosomal Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa (adRP) of the French Canadian Founder Population *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **56**. doi: 10.1167/iovs.15-17104 [doi]
- Daiger, S. P., Bowne, S. J., and Sullivan, L. S. (2014). Genes and Mutations Causing Autosomal Dominant Retinitis Pigmentosa *Cold Spring Harb Perspect. Med.* **5**. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a017129 [doi]
- Deery, E. C., Vithana, E. N., Newbold, R. J., Gallon, V. A., Bhattacharya, S. S., Warren, M. J., *et al.* (2002). Disease mechanism for retinitis pigmentosa (RP11) caused by mutations in the splicing factor gene PRPF31 *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **11**. doi: 10.1093/hmg/dd001 [doi]
- Desmet, F. O., Hamroun, D., Lalande, M., Collod-Beroud, G., Claustres, M., and Beroud, C. (2009). Human Splicing Finder: an online bioinformatics tool to predict splicing signals *Nucleic Acids Res.* **37**. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkp215 [doi]
- Gnad, F., Baucom, A., Mukhyala, K., Manning, G., and Zhang, Z. (2013). Assessment of computational methods for predicting the effects of missense mutations in human cancers *BMC Genomics* **14** Suppl 3. doi: 10.1186/1471-2164-14-S3-S7 [doi]

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

- 478 Golovleva, I., Kohn, L., Burstedt, M., Daiger, S., and Sandgren, O. (2010). Mutation spectra in
479 autosomal dominant and recessive retinitis pigmentosa in northern Sweden*Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* **664**.
480 doi: 10.1007/978-1-4419-1399-9_29 [doi]
- 481 Gonzalez-Perez, A. and Lopez-Bigas, N. (2011). Improving the assessment of the outcome of
482 nonsynonymous SNVs with a consensus deleteriousness score, Condel*Am. J. Hum. Genet.* **88**4. doi:
483 10.1016/j.ajhg.2011.03.004 [doi]
- 484 Greenberg, J., Bartmann, L., Ramesar, R., and Beighton, P. (1993). Retinitis pigmentosa in southern
485 Africa*Clin. Genet.* **44**5
- 486 Haim, M. (1993). Retinitis pigmentosa: problems associated with genetic classification*Clin. Genet.*
487 **44**2
- 488 Hartong, D. T., Berson, E. L., and Dryja, T. P. (2006). Retinitis pigmentosa*The Lancet* **368**9549.
489 doi: [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736\(06\)69740-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0140-6736(06)69740-7)
- 490 Jeffrey, G. A. (1997). *An introduction to hydrogen bonding*: Oxford University Press.
- 491 Jin, Z. B., Mandai, M., Yokota, T., Higuchi, K., Ohmori, K., Ohtsuki, F., *et al.* (2008). Identifying
492 pathogenic genetic background of simplex or multiplex retinitis pigmentosa patients: a large scale
493 mutation screening study*J. Med. Genet.* **45**7. doi: 10.1136/jmg.2007.056416 [doi]
- 494 Jordan, M. A., Diener, D. R., Stepanek, L., and Pigino, G. (2018). The cryo-EM structure of
495 intraflagellar transport trains reveals how dynein is inactivated to ensure unidirectional anterograde
496 movement in cilia*Nat. Cell Biol.* doi: 10.1038/s41556-018-0213-1 [doi]
- 497 Kircher, M., Witten, D. M., Jain, P., O'Roak, B. J., Cooper, G. M., and Shendure, J. (2014). A
498 general framework for estimating the relative pathogenicity of human genetic variants*Nat. Genet.*
499 **46**3. doi: 10.1038/ng.2892 [doi]
- 500 Landrum, M. J., Lee, J. M., Benson, M., Brown, G., Chao, C., Chitipiralla, S., *et al.* (2016). ClinVar:
501 public archive of interpretations of clinically relevant variants*Nucleic Acids Res.* **44**D1. doi:
502 10.1093/nar/gkv1222 [doi]
- 503 Landrum, M. J., Lee, J. M., Riley, G. R., Jang, W., Rubinstein, W. S., Church, D. M., *et al.* (2014).
504 ClinVar: public archive of relationships among sequence variation and human phenotype*Nucleic*
505 *Acids Res.* **42**Database issue. doi: 10.1093/nar/gkt1113 [doi]
- 506 Lim, K. P., Yip, S. P., Cheung, S. C., Leung, K. W., Lam, S. T., and To, C. H. (2009). Novel
507 PRPF31 and PRPH2 mutations and co-occurrence of PRPF31 and RHO mutations in Chinese
508 patients with retinitis pigmentosa*Arch. Ophthalmol.* **127**6. doi: 10.1001/archophthalmol.2009.112
509 [doi]
- 510 Liu, S., Li, P., Dybkov, O., Nottrott, S., Hartmuth, K., Luhrmann, R., *et al.* (2007). Binding of the
511 human Prp31 Nop domain to a composite RNA-protein platform in U4 snRNP*Science* **316**5821. doi:
512 10.1126/science.1141115 [pii]
- 513 Makarova, O. V., Makarov, E. M., Liu, S., Vornlocher, H. P., and Luhrmann, R. (2002). Protein
514 61K, encoded by a gene (PRPF31) linked to autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa, is required for

- 515 U4/U6*U5 tri-snRNP formation and pre-mRNA splicing *EMBO J.* **215**. doi:
516 10.1093/emboj/21.5.1148 [doi]
- 517 Martin-Merida, I., Aguilera-Garcia, D., Fernandez-San Jose, P., Blanco-Kelly, F., Zurita, O.,
518 Almoguera, B., *et al.* (2018). Toward the Mutational Landscape of Autosomal Dominant Retinitis
519 Pigmentosa: A Comprehensive Analysis of 258 Spanish Families *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **596**.
520 doi: 10.1167/iovs.18-23854 [doi]
- 521 Miosge, L. A., Field, M. A., Sontani, Y., Cho, V., Johnson, S., Palkova, A., *et al.* (2015).
522 Comparison of predicted and actual consequences of missense mutations *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S.*
523 *A.* **11237**. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1511585112 [doi]
- 524 Mockel, A., Perdomo, Y., Stutzmann, F., Letsch, J., Marion, V., and Dollfus, H. (2011). Retinal
525 dystrophy in Bardet-Biedl syndrome and related syndromic ciliopathies *Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* **304**.
526 doi: 10.1016/j.preteyeres.2011.03.001 [doi]
- 527 Mordes, D., Yuan, L., Xu, L., Kawada, M., Molday, R. S., and Wu, J. Y. (2007). Identification of
528 photoreceptor genes affected by PRPF31 mutations associated with autosomal dominant retinitis
529 pigmentosa *Neurobiol. Dis.* **262**. doi: S0969-9961(06)00197-5 [pii]
- 530 Najera, C., Millan, J. M., Beneyto, M., and Prieto, F. (1995). Epidemiology of retinitis pigmentosa
531 in the Valencian community (Spain) *Genet. Epidemiol.* **121**. doi: 10.1002/gepi.1370120105 [doi]
- 532 Rio Frio, T., Wade, N. M., Ransijn, A., Berson, E. L., Beckmann, J. S., and Rivolta, C. (2008).
533 Premature termination codons in PRPF31 cause retinitis pigmentosa via haploinsufficiency due to
534 nonsense-mediated mRNA decay *J. Clin. Invest.* **1184**. doi: 10.1172/JCI34211 [doi]
- 535 Rivolta, C., McGee, T. L., Rio Frio, T., Jensen, R. V., Berson, E. L., and Dryja, T. P. (2006).
536 Variation in retinitis pigmentosa-11 (PRPF31 or RP11) gene expression between symptomatic and
537 asymptomatic patients with dominant RP11 mutations *Hum. Mutat.* **277**. doi: 10.1002/humu.20325
538 [doi]
- 539 Ruzickova, S. and Stanek, D. (2016). Mutations in spliceosomal proteins and retina
540 degeneration *RNA Biol.* doi: 10.1080/15476286.2016.1191735 [doi]
- 541 Schaffert, N., Hossbach, M., Heintzmann, R., Achsel, T., and Luhrmann, R. (2004). RNAi
542 knockdown of hPrp31 leads to an accumulation of U4/U6 di-snRNPs in Cajal bodies *EMBO J.* **2315**.
543 doi: 10.1038/sj.emboj.7600296 [doi]
- 544 Sharon, D. and Banin, E. (2015). Nonsyndromic retinitis pigmentosa is highly prevalent in the
545 Jerusalem region with a high frequency of founder mutations *Mol. Vis.* **21**
- 546 Sullivan, L. S., Bowne, S. J., Birch, D. G., Highbanks-Wheaton, D., Heckenlively, J. R., Lewis, R.
547 A., *et al.* (2006). Prevalence of disease-causing mutations in families with autosomal dominant
548 retinitis pigmentosa: a screen of known genes in 200 families *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **477**. doi:
549 47/7/3052 [pii]
- 550 Sullivan, L. S., Bowne, S. J., Reeves, M. J., Blain, D., Goetz, K., Ndifor, V., *et al.* (2013).
551 Prevalence of mutations in eyeGENE probands with a diagnosis of autosomal dominant retinitis
552 pigmentosa *Invest. Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **549**. doi: 10.1167/iovs.13-12605 [doi]

Modelling missense variants in PRPF31

- 553 Van Cauwenbergh, C., Coppieters, F., Roels, D., De Jaegere, S., Flipts, H., De Zaeytijd, J., *et al.*
554 (2017). Mutations in Splicing Factor Genes Are a Major Cause of Autosomal Dominant Retinitis
555 Pigmentosa in Belgian Families*PLoS One* **12**. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0170038 [doi]
- 556 Verbakel, S. K., van Huet, R. A. C., Boon, C. J. F., den Hollander, A. I., Collin, R. W. J., Klaver, C.
557 C. W., *et al.* (2018). Non-syndromic retinitis pigmentosa*Prog. Retin. Eye Res.* doi: S1350-
558 9462(17)30072-1 [pii]
- 559 Wang, E. T., Sandberg, R., Luo, S., Khrebtkova, I., Zhang, L., Mayr, C., *et al.* (2008). Alternative
560 isoform regulation in human tissue transcriptomes*Nature* **456**7221. doi: 10.1038/nature07509 [doi]
- 561 Wheway, G., Schmidts, M., Mans, D. A., Szymanska, K., Nguyen, T. M., Racher, H., *et al.* (2015).
562 An siRNA-based functional genomics screen for the identification of regulators of ciliogenesis and
563 ciliopathy genes*Nat. Cell Biol.* **17**. doi: 10.1038/ncb3201 [doi]
- 564 Wilkie, S. E., Vaclavik, V., Wu, H., Bujakowska, K., Chakarova, C. F., Bhattacharya, S. S., *et al.*
565 (2008). Disease mechanism for retinitis pigmentosa (RP11) caused by missense mutations in the
566 splicing factor gene PRPF31*Mol. Vis.* **14**
- 567 Will, C. L. and Luhrmann, R. (2011). Spliceosome structure and function*Cold Spring Harb*
568 *Perspect. Biol.* **37**. doi: 10.1101/cshperspect.a003707 [doi]
- 569 Xiao, X., Cao, Y., Zhang, Z., Xu, Y., Zheng, Y., Chen, L. J., *et al.* (2017). Novel Mutations in
570 PRPF31 Causing Retinitis Pigmentosa Identified Using Whole-Exome Sequencing*Invest.*
571 *Ophthalmol. Vis. Sci.* **58**14. doi: 10.1167/iovs.17-22952 [doi]
- 572 Xu, F., Sui, R., Liang, X., Li, H., Jiang, R., and Dong, F. (2012). Novel PRPF31 mutations
573 associated with Chinese autosomal dominant retinitis pigmentosa patients*Mol. Vis.* **18**
- 574 Yuan, L., Kawada, M., Havlioglu, N., Tang, H., and Wu, J. Y. (2005). Mutations in PRPF31 inhibit
575 pre-mRNA splicing of rhodopsin gene and cause apoptosis of retinal cells*J. Neurosci.* **25**3. doi:
576 25/3/748 [pii]
- 577 Zhang, Q., Xu, M., Verriotto, J. D., Li, Y., Wang, H., Gan, L., *et al.* (2016). Next-generation
578 sequencing-based molecular diagnosis of 35 Hispanic retinitis pigmentosa probands*Sci. Rep.* **6**. doi:
579 10.1038/srep32792 [doi]

580

--- polar contacts

--- polar contacts

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/480343>; this version posted December 2, 2018. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

a

b