Differential responses of antioxidants and dehydrin expression in two switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) cultivars contrasting in drought tolerance

Running title: Switchgrass drought Tolerance and Antioxidant

Yiming Liu\textsuperscript{1,2}, Guofu Hu\textsuperscript{3,2}, Guoqiang Wu\textsuperscript{4,5}, Hengfu Huan\textsuperscript{1}, Xipeng Ding\textsuperscript{1}, Linling Yan\textsuperscript{1}, Xinyong Li\textsuperscript{1}, Pandao Liu\textsuperscript{1}, Changjun Bai\textsuperscript{1}, Guodao Liu\textsuperscript{1}, Bingyu Zhao\textsuperscript{5*}, Xunzhong Zhang\textsuperscript{2*}

\textsuperscript{1} Tropical Crops Genetic Resources Institute, Chinese Academy of Tropical Agricultural Sciences (CATAS) / Key Laboratory of Crop Gene Resources and Germplasm Enhancement in Southern China, Ministry of Agriculture, Hainan Danzhou 571737, P.R. China

\textsuperscript{2} Department of Crop and Soil Environmental Science, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA

\textsuperscript{3} College of Animal Science and Technology, Northeast Agricultural University, Harbin, Heilongjiang Province, China

\textsuperscript{4} School of Life Science and Engineering, Lanzhou University of Technology, Lanzhou 730050, P.R. China

\textsuperscript{5} Department of Horticulture, Virginia Tech, Blacksburg, VA, USA

*Corresponding authors: Xunzhong Zhang (Email: xuzhang@vt.edu, Phone: 540-231-9788, Fax: 540-231-3431), and Bingyu Zhao (Email: bzhao07@vt.edu, Phone: 540-231-1146, Fax: 540-231-3083)

# These authors contributed equally to this work and should be considered co-first authors
Types of papers: Original Research Articles

Abstract

Drought stress is a major limiting factor for plant growth and development in many regions of the world. This study was designed to investigate antioxidant metabolism and dehydrin expression responses to drought stress in two switchgrass cultivars (drought tolerant Alamo, and drought sensitive Dacotah) contrasting in drought tolerance. The plants were subjected to well-watered [100% evapotranspiration (ET)] or drought stress (30%-50% ET) conditions for up to 24 d in growth chambers. Drought stress decreased leaf relative water content (RWC), increased leaf electrolyte leakage (EL), leaf malondialdehyde (MDA) content in two cultivars, but Alamo exhibited higher leaf RWC level, lower leaf EL and MDA when compared to Dacotah at 24 d of drought treatment. Drought stress also increased superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) activities in two cultivars, Alamo had relatively higher SOD, CAT and APX activities and greater abundance of SOD and APX isozymes than Dacotah at 24 d of drought treatment. Alamo had higher abundance of 55 KDa and 18 KDa dehydrin accumulation than Dacotah under drought treatment. Relative genes expression level of *PvCAT1*, *PvAPX2*, *PvERD* and *PvPIP1;5* in Alamo were significantly higher than Dacotah at 24 d of drought treatment. These results suggest that increase in antioxidant enzymes and accumulation of dehydrin were highly related with switchgrass drought tolerance. Antioxidant enzyme activity, isozyme expression and dehydrin abundance could provide a useful screening tool to identify relative drought tolerance in switchgrass cultivars.
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Introduction

Drought occurs in all climates and many parts of the world every year when sufficient water needed to sustain an area is not available, causing significantly impacts on plants growth, development and crop yield [1]. Worldwide losses in crop yields from drought probably exceed the losses from all other abiotic stress combined [2, 3]. Drought stresses cause oxidative stress by contributing to reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide radical (O$_{2}^-$), hydrogen peroxide (H$_2$O$_2$), hydroxyl radical (OH•) and singlet oxygen (1O$_2$) [4]. ROS can seriously disrupt normal metabolism through oxidative damage to nucleic acids, lipids, protein and damage membrane function [5]. However, ROS may also serve as a transduction signal during drought stress and improve stress defense mechanisms of plant [6]. ROS levels that are too low or too high affect plant growth and development, maintaining ROS levels within a moderate range is important for plants [7, 8].

Plants have developed an antioxidant defense system in response to the high level of ROS [9]. There are generally two repairing mechanisms that plants have developed to scavenge free ROS: (i) production of antioxidants or antioxidant enzymes that directly react with and scavenge ROS, including superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), ascorbate peroxidase (APX), peroxidase (POD), and a tocopherol; (ii) production of enzymes that regenerate oxidized antioxidants such as glutathione, glutathione reductase, ascorbate, and ascorbate reductase[10]. Previous studies provided correlative evidence that the enhanced drought tolerance of plant was associated with
changes in antioxidant enzymes (SOD, POD, CAT, POX and GR) and maintenance of low H$_2$O$_2$
levels [11-13]. It is known that organelles with a highly oxidizing metabolic activity or an intense
rate of electron flow, such as chloroplasts, mitochondria, and microbodies, are major sources of
ROS, different isoenzymes such as Cu/Zn-SOD, Fe-SOD, Mn-SOD, cytosol APX (cAPX), and
microbody APX (mAPX) have been found in different organelles [14]. The antioxidant isozymes
could be used as a biochemical marker to study the tolerance of plant to stress. Sen and
Alikamanoglu [15] found that two new POX isozyme bands were detected in all drought-tolerant
sugar beet mutants compared to the control, and the intensity of Fe-SOD, Cu/Zn-SOD, CAT and
APX isozymes were detected at different intensities among the drought-tolerant sugar beet mutants.
Recent study found that a new CuZn SOD iszyme OsCSD3 which encoded by LOC_Os03g11960
of rice, was up-regulated in response to drought, oxidative stress and salt [16].

Dehydrin (DHN) is a multi-family of proteins present in plants that are produced in response
to cold and drought stress. DHNs are hydrophilic and reliably thermostable. They are stress
proteins with a high number of charged amino acids that belong to the Group II Late
Embryogenesis Abundant (LEA) family [17, 18]. DHNs have been divided into five subclasses
based on their conserved amino acid sequences: the Y, S and K segments and include YnSKn,
SKn, Kn, YnKn and KnS sub-types[19]. It has been reported that the expression of DHN is
positively correlated with the tolerance to cold, drought, and salt stress [20]. DHNs play an
important protective role during cellular dehydration. The accumulation of DHNs was observed
in roots, leaves, coleoptiles, crowns and seeds under drought stress [21].

Switchgrass (Panicum virgatum) was selected as a model bioenergy crop in the United Sates
[22]. To avoid competition of arable lands with food crops, switchgrass will be mainly grown on
marginal lands, where millions of hectares of these lands are drought-affected [23]. Two distinct
switchgrass ecotypes are generally defined based on morphological characteristics and habitat: lowland and upland. Lowland ecotypes are mostly tetraploid (2n = 4× = 36) while upland ecotypes are mainly octaploid (2n = 8× = 72) or hexaploid (2n = 6× = 54) [24]. Lowland ecotypes are taller and higher biomass yield, whereas upland ecotypes are shorter and less biomass yield [25]. Improving switchgrass yield under drought stress is one of the most important goals of plant breeding. Our previous study have found that switchgrass exhibits a wide range of genetic variability in drought tolerance, Alamo ranked # 4 in drought tolerance and Dacotah ranked # 48 among 49 switchgrass lines [26]. However, limited information is available on the gene expressions in conjunction with antioxidant and dehydrin responses and isozyme alterations under drought tolerance in switchgrass. Identifying and understanding the function of antioxidant defense mechanisms are important for developing drought tolerant switchgrass plants. The objectives of this study were to determine whether drought resistance of switchgrass cultivars could be associated with antioxidant metabolism and dehydrin expression levels.

Materials and methods

Plant materials and culture

Two cultivars Alamo and Dacotah were examined in this study. Each switchgrass line was propagated by splitting tillers on Mar, 10, 2014. Five tillers from each line were transplanted into plastic pots (17 cm diam., 20 cm high, with four holes at the bottom for drainage) filled with 3.5 kg of a soil and sand mixture (soil:sand = 2:1 v/v, sand: 0.1-1.0 mm diam.). The plants were grown in greenhouse with temperatures of 30±1 °C/25±1 °C (day/night), a 14-h photoperiod, 75% relative humidity, and with photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) of approximately 500 μmol m⁻²s⁻¹ (natural daylight supplemented with fluorescent lamps). The plants were irrigated daily, and
fertilizer containing N (Bulldog brand, 28-8-18, 1% ammonia N, 4.8% nitrate N, and 22.2% urea N; SQM North America, Atlanta, GA) and micronutrients was applied at 0.1 lb. 1000 ft\(^{-2}\) every week.

After the plants were grown for two months and had reached the E5 developmental stage [27], then moved into a growth chamber for the experiment. The chamber was set at 30/25 °C (day/night temperature), 75% of relative humidity, 14 h photoperiod, and PAR at 500 \(\mu\text{mol m}^{-2}\text{s}^{-1}\). Plants were fertilized once a week, and watered every two days until water drainage occurred at the bottom of the pot at each irrigation.

**Drought stress treatment**

In order to determine the soil water content (SWC) of each pot more quickly, an equation of linear regression between the SWC and volumetric soil moisture content (VWC) was made before the drought treatment. Soil of eight pots was oven dried at 105°C for 48 h to obtain their dry weights (DW). Then we added enough water to each pots, after 1 h when no water leaked from the bottom of the pots, fresh weight of each pot and VWC were measured with a soil moisture meter (model HH2, Delta-T Devices, Cambridge, England) and every day thereafter. SWC was determined using the formula: SWC (\%) = (FW−DW)/DW\times100. Then we got an equation of linear regression between the SWC and VWC.

Plants were allowed to acclimate to growth chamber conditions for one week before drought treatments were imposed. Each line were randomly assigned to either the control group (n=4), which was kept well-watered (100% ET), or to the drought treatment group (n=4), in which the soil moisture was allowed to progressively decline from 0 d to 24 d. Each pots of drought treatment were weighted every two days and VWC were also collected, then the water needed to add of each
pot was calculated to compensate for 30%-50% ET during the experiment over the 24 d period (Fig. 1).

**Physiological measurements**

Leaf samples were collected for electrolyte leakage (EL) and relative water content (RWC) measurements at day 0, 6, 12, 18 and 24 of drought stress. Leaf tissues were also sampled, frozen with liquid N, and used for antioxidant enzyme and dehydrin analysis.

Leaf electrolyte leakage (EL) was measured according to the method of Marcum [28] with some modifications. The top 2\textsuperscript{nd} or 3\textsuperscript{rd} mature leaf blades were excised and cut into 2 cm segments. After being rinsed 3 times with deionized H\textsubscript{2}O, 0.2 g leaf segments were placed in a test tube containing 20 mL deionized H\textsubscript{2}O. The test tubes were agitated on a shaker for about 24 h and the solution conductivity (C\textsubscript{1}) was measured with a conductivity meter (SR60IC, VWR, Radnor, PA). Leaf samples were then autoclaved at 120 °C for 30 min, and the conductivity of the solution containing killed tissue was measured once the tubes were cooled down to room temperature (C\textsubscript{2}). The relative EL was calculated using the formula: EL (%) = (C\textsubscript{1}/ C\textsubscript{2}) ×100.

Leaf relative water content (RWC) was determined according to the method of Barrs and Weatherley [29]. The Leaf RWC was calculated based on the following formula: RWC = (FW-DW)/(TW-DW) ×100, where FW is leaf fresh weight, DW is the dry weight of leaves after drying at 85 °C for 3 d, and TW is the turgid weight of leaves after soaking in distilled water for 24 h at 20 °C.

**Antioxidant enzyme activity**

Frozen leaf samples were ground in liquid nitrogen and homogenized in 50 mM sodium
phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 2 mM EDTA, 5 mM β-mercaptoethanol and 4% (w/v) polyvinylpyrrolidine-40 (PVP-40). The homogenate was centrifuged at 12,000×g for 30 min at 4 °C. The supernatant was used for assay of the antioxidant enzymes CAT, APX, and SOD.

Total SOD activity was determined by measuring its ability to inhibit the photochemical reduction of nitro blue tetrazolium (NBT) according to the method of Giannopolitis and Ries [30] with minor modifications. The reaction solution (1 mL) contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.8), 0.1 mM EDTA, 13 mM methionine, 65 μM NBT and 1.3 μM riboflavin, and 30 μL SOD extract. A solution containing no enzyme solution was used as the control. Test tubes were irradiated under fluorescent lights 60 μmol·m⁻²·s⁻¹) at 25 ºC for 10 min. The absorbance of each solution was measured at nm using a spectrophotometer, and one unit of enzyme activity was defined as the amount of enzyme that would inhibit 50% of NBT photoreduction.

The CAT activity was determined using the method of Chance and Maehly[31] with modifications. For CAT, the reaction solution (1 mL) contained 50 mM phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 15 mM H₂O₂, and 30 μL of extract. The reaction was initiated by adding the enzyme extract. Changes in absorbance at 240 nm were read in 1 min using a spectrophotometer (ε = 39.4 M⁻¹ cm⁻¹).

The APX activity was assayed by recording the decrease in absorbance at 290 nm for 1 min. The 1.5-mL reaction mixture contained 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), 0.5 mM ascorbic acid, 0.1 mM EDTA, 0.1 mM H₂O₂, and 0.15 mL of enzyme. The reaction was started with the addition of 0.1 mM H₂O₂ [32].

**Lipid peroxidation**

Lipid peroxidation was measured in term of leaf MDA content [33]. A 1 ml aliquot of
supernatant was mixed with 4 mL of 20% trichloroacetic acid containing 0.5% thiobarbituric acid. The mixture was heated at 100 °C for 30 min, quickly cooled, and then centrifuged at 10000 g for 10 min. The absorbance of the supernatant was read at 532 nm. The unspecific turbidity was corrected by A600 subtracting from A530. The concentration of MDA was calculated using an extinction coefficient of 155 mM$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$.

**Antioxidant isozymes**

The procedure of protein extraction was the same as for antioxidant enzymes. The extracts (15 μL) for SOD, CAT and APX were loaded on each gel. Native polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis (PAGE) was performed using a Mini-Protean system (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA) at 4 °C, 120 V for 90 min, except that SDS was omitted. For SOD and APX, enzyme extracts were subjected to native PAGE with 10% resolving gel and 3% stacking gel and CAT was detected on 7% resolving gel and 4% stacking gel.

The total activity of SOD was revealed using the method of Beauchamp and Fridovich[34] with some modifications. The gels were incubated in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 2.5 mM NBT in dark for 25 min. After being washed twice with the same buffer, the gels were soaked in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.5) containing 30 μM riboflavin and 0.4% N,N,N,N-tetramethylethlenediamine 235 (TEMED) in the dark for 40 min. The gels were then illuminated for 10 min with gentle agitation until appearance of enzyme bands and were transferred to 1% (v/v) acetic acid to stop the reaction.

The CAT isozyme staining was performed according to He and Huang[35] and Woodbury *et al.* [36] with modifications. After finishing each run, the gel was washed in three changes of distilled water for a total of about 6 min to remove the buffer from the gel surface where staining occurred.
The gels were incubated in 5 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 10 min in the dark, and then in the stain mixture containing 1% (w/v) potassium ferricyanide K$_3$[Fe(CN$_6$)] and 1% (w/v) ferric chloride (FeCl$_3$), (equal volume of 2% (w/v), added sequentially) until the light yellow bands (CAT isoenzymes) were visible.

The activity of APX was detected using the method of Lopez-Huertas et al. [37] with some modifications. The gels were pre-incubated in 50 mM sodium phosphate buffer with 4 mM ascorbate and 2 mM H$_2$O$_2$ for 20 min. After briefly being washed with 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0), the gels were stained in 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.8) containing 28 mM TEMED and 1.25 mM NBT until the bands were clearly visible. The gels were then washed with distilled water to stop the reaction.

**SDS-PAGE and western blot**

Frozen leaf samples were ground in liquid nitrogen and re-suspended in 100 μl 3 × Laemmli buffer containing 16% β-mercaptoethanol. The tissue was then boiled for 10 min and pelleted at a high speed for 10 min. Twenty micro liters of protein extract was applied to and separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE gel. The proteins were blotted to a PVDF membrane using a Bio-Rad Trans-Blot R TurboTM Transfer System. The membrane was blocked with 5% nonfat skim milk in 1 × Tris-saline buffer supplemented with 0.5% Tween20 (1 × TBST). After a brief rinse with TBS, the membrane was incubated in TBS with a dehydrin polyclonal antibody raised from rabbit (Assay Designs) at a dilution of 1:250 for 1.5 h. Next, the membrane was rinsed in TBS containing 0.5% Tween 20 (TBS-T) four times and then placed for 1 h in a solution of goat antirabbit IgG (dilution 1:17500) conjugated to alkaline phosphatase (Sigma). The membrane was rinsed in TBS-T four times. The chemiluminescent signals were exposed to autoradiography film (Genesee Scientific,
San Diego, CA) using a Kodak film processor (Kodak, A Walsh Imaging, Inc, Pompton Lakes, NJ).

**RNA extraction and quantitative reverse transcription PCR (qRT-PCR)**

Total RNA was extracted from 150 mg of leaf tissue using RNeasy plant mini RNA kit (50) (Qiagen, Valencia, CA), and RNA samples were further treated with DNase (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) to eliminate DNA contamination. Integrity of RNA was confirmed with miniaturized gel electrophoresis with the Agilent Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies, Inc., Santa Clara, CA).

cDNA was synthesized using the DyNAmo cDNA Synthesis Kit (New England Biolabs, Ipswich, MA, USA). The qRT-PCR analysis primer pairs of the corresponding genes were designed according to sequences obtained from the Phytozyme website (table 1). Each 20-μl reaction, which contained 15 ng of random hexamers/μl, 10 IU of Moloney murine leukemia virus RNase H<sup>+</sup> reverse transcriptase solution (Thermo Fisher Scientific), and appropriate buffer containing deoxynucleoside triphosphates (dNTPs) and MgCl<sub>2</sub> in a final concentration of 5 mM (1×; Thermo Fisher Scientific), was incubated at 25°C for 10 min and at 37°C for 30 min, inactivated at 85°C for 5 min, and finally chilled to 4°C. Two replicate RT reactions were made for each RNA sample.

**Experimental design and statistical analysis**

The experiment was a 4×2 factorial combination (two switchgrass cultivars, and two drought levels: well-watered and drought treatment) in a complete block design (one treatment of one species served as the block) with four replications. All data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA, SAS 8.1, SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). The treatment means were separated using Fisher's protected least significant difference (LSD) test at 5% probability level.
Results

Effects of drought stress on physiological parameters

Drought stress reduced leaf RWC, increased leaf EL and MDA regardless of cultivars (Fig. 2, 3 & 4). There were significant differences in leaf RWC, leaf EL and MDA in the two cultivars under drought stress conditions when compared to the controls at 18 d and 24 d. Leaf RWC, leaf EL and MDA of Dacotah decreased or increased sharply at both 18 d and 24 d of drought treatments. Alamo had a relatively higher leaf RWC and lower leaf EL and MDA than Dacotah at 12 d, 18 d and 24 d of drought stress.

Effects of drought stress on antioxidant enzymes activity

The SOD, CAT and APX activities were progressively enhanced in the two cultivars with duration of drought stress when compared to their control (Figs. 5, 6, and 7), Alamo had greater SOD, APX and CAT activities than Dacotah at 24 d of drought treatment.

Effects of drought stress on antioxidant isozyme

Our activity staining visualized four SOD isoymes (SOD1-SOD4) in two cultivars (Fig. 8). Alamo and Dacotah increased SOD1 abundance under drought stress compared to control, both cultivars increased SOD2-SOD3 abundance under drought stress compared to control, however, only Dacotah decreased SOD4 abundance under drought stress compared to control. Alamo had relative higher SOD3- SOD4 abundance in response to drought stress compared to Dacotah. Only one isoform of APX was identified in two cultivars (Fig. 9), both cultivars increased APX1 abundance under drought stress compared to control, Alamo had relative higher APX1 abundance in response to drought stress when compared to Dacotah.

Effects of drought stress on dehydrin
Drought stress increased dehydrin accumulation in both cultivars, but Alamo had higher abundance of 53 KDa and 18 KDa dehydrin than Dacotah (Fig. 10), however, no dehydrin accumulation of 18 KDa was found in Dacotah.

**Antioxidant, dehydrin, heat shock protein (HSP) and aquaporin genes expression**

The level of *PvAPX2*, *PvCAT1*, *PvERD1* and *PvPIP1;5* mRNA significantly increased in Alamo compared to Dacotah at 24 d of drought stress (Fig. 11), however, the level of *PvSOD1* mRNA significantly increased in Dacotah at 24 d of drought stress, no significant difference in level of *PvHSP90* mRNA between Alamo and Dacotah at 24 d of drought stress.

**Discussion**

Our previous study found that, Alamo ranked #4 in drought tolerance and Dacotah ranked #48 among 49 switchgrass lines, Alamo is a representative of good drought tolerant cultivars and Dacotah is a representative of drought sensitive ones [26]. The results of this study agreed with our previous study, showed that drought stress caused cellular and leaf damage to switchgrass as indicated by decreased leaf RWC, increased EL and MDA. Alamo had higher RWC, *PvPIP1;5* relative gene expression level, lower EL and MDA content than Dacotah at 24 d of drought treatment, indicating that drought stress resulted in more severe damage to cell membranes in Dacotah relative to Alamo at 24 d of drought treatment.

When plants are subjected to water deficit, drought tolerant cultivars have better Tr and gs and gas exchange and less oxidative stress, changes in antioxidants genes expression and activities may improve antioxidant defense system and ROS scavenging [38]. SOD is regarded as the key enzyme in the ROS scavenger system because it catalyzes superoxide free radical dismutation into H$_2$O$_2$ and O$_2$, which is the first step of scavenging ROS [39]. APX and POD scavenge H$_2$O$_2$ and...
reduce ROS toxicity. In this study, we choose relative drought-tolerant cultivar Alamo, and relative drought-sensitive cultivar Dacotah to study the drought tolerance mechanisms of drought associated with antioxidant defense. Our results showed that, drought stress increase SOD, CAT and APX in two cultivars especially at 18 d and 24 d treatment. Alamo had significant higher SOD, CAT, APX activities and \(PvAPX2\), \(PvCAT1\) relative gene expression level than Dacotah. R Khanna-Chopra et al. [40] found that drought-resistant wheat maintained favorable water relations and lower \(H_2O_2\) accumulation during severe water stress conditions due to systematic increase of antioxidants such as SOD, APX, CAT [41]. Pallavi Sharma et al. [11] reported that drought stress caused oxidative damage in rice species as exhibited by an increase in \(O^-\) and \(H_2O_2\), but drought tolerant rice maintained higher antioxidant enzyme activities (SOD, APX) compared with drought-sensitive rice. These results indicated that antioxidant defense plays an important role in improvement of drought tolerance in plants, drought tolerant cultivars may have greater ROS-scavenging capacity to suppress ROS-induced injury during abiotic stress.

Antioxidant isozymes are known to play a vital role in plant drought tolerance. In this study, antioxidant isozyme diversity of two switchgrass cultivars were investigated to identify band profiles as biochemical marker for the drought tolerance. Drought stress increased abundance of SOD1-SOD3 and APX1 isozyme in two cultivars at 24 d of drought stress, however, Dacotah had relatively lower SOD4 and APX1 abundance when compared to Alamo at 24 d of drought stress. The results of this study indicate that the drought tolerant cultivar Alamo had greater ability to scavenge ROS than the drought sensitive Dacotah. Our results agreed with the previous studies, which showed that various drought-tolerant plants present different isozyme patterns during drought stress conditions [42, 43].

Drought induced-accumulation of dehydrin proteins has been associated with drought tolerance.
in many plant species [44-46]. In this study, two dehydrin polypeptides (53 KDa and 18 KDa) were detected in Alamo under drought stress conditions at 24 d of drought treatment. Interestingly, only one dehydrin polypeptides (53 KDa) was detected in Dacotah, that could be caused by the different drought tolerant ability between the two cultivar under drought stress. Consistently, Alamo had significant higher \(PvERD1\) relative gene expression level than Dacotah.

In summary, drought stress caused damage to switchgrass as evidenced by decreased RWC, increased leaf EL and MDA content due to drought stress treatment. Alamo had relatively higher RWC, lower EL and less MDA content when compared to Dacotah at 24 d of drought stress. SOD, APX and CAT activities increased during drought stress at 18 d and 24 d. Alamo had higher SOD, APX and CAT activities, greater abundance of SOD1-SOD3 and APX1 isozyme than Dacotah under drought stress. Alamo had greater abundance of two dehydrin polypeptides (53 KDa and 18 KDa) under drought stress conditions at 24 d than Dacotah. qRT-PCR showed that antioxidant gene \(PvAPX2\), \(PvCAT1\), dehydrin gene \(PvERD1\), and aquaporin gene \(PvPIP1;5\) instead of heat shock protein gene \(PvHSP90\) and \(PvSOD1\), are the key genes contribute to the drought tolerance of Alamo, although Alamo had higher SOD activity and SOD isozyme abundance. Our results suggest antioxidant and dehydrin expression are associated with drought tolerance in switchgrass. Our results also suggest that selection and use of cultivars with greater antioxidant enzyme activities and more abundant isozymes under drought stress may be a practical approach to improve switchgrass drought tolerance.
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Table 1 Real-time polymerase chain reaction primers used for analysis of antioxidant, dehydrin and aquaporin gene expression in two switchgrass cultivars contrasting in drought tolerance

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Gene name</th>
<th>Transcript_id</th>
<th>Primer_fw_sequence</th>
<th>Primer_fw_Tm</th>
<th>Primer_rev_sequence</th>
<th>Primer_rev_Tm</th>
<th>Amplicon_length</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>PvAPX2</td>
<td>Pvr.568</td>
<td>TTCCTGATGCCACCC</td>
<td>63.169</td>
<td>AATGTCCTGGTGCTC</td>
<td>63.455</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>PvCAT1</td>
<td>Pvr.13277</td>
<td>AGGCAAGAGCGGTCT</td>
<td>63.398</td>
<td>ATGCTGATCTCGTG</td>
<td>62.211</td>
<td>73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>PvERD1</td>
<td>Pvr.94</td>
<td>AGCTTTGCTGATCTGT</td>
<td>62.025</td>
<td>CATGATCGGGAGA</td>
<td>62.292</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>PvHSP9-50</td>
<td>Pvr.11953</td>
<td>TCGATTGACGGACGAGCC</td>
<td>63.239</td>
<td>ATCGAGGCTGCT</td>
<td>63.227</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>PvPIP1;5</td>
<td>Pvr.149</td>
<td>AATGGGTTCCGGG</td>
<td>64.255</td>
<td>TGTAGATGAGCAGC</td>
<td>63.613</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>PvSOD1</td>
<td>Pvr.1363</td>
<td>ATGGCCGGAGCTGT</td>
<td>63.245</td>
<td>TAAGCTGATGAGC</td>
<td>62.958</td>
<td>64</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure legends

Fig. 1. Effects of drought stress on soil water content (SWC). Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 2. Effects of drought stress on relative water content (RWC) of two switchgrass cultivars TEM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 3. Effects of drought stress on leaf electrolyte leakage (EL) of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 4. Effects of drought stress on malondialdehyde (MDA) of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 5. Effects of drought stress on superoxide dismutase (SOD) of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 6. Effects of drought stress on ascorbate peroxidase (APX) of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 7. Effects of drought stress on ascorbate catalase (CAT) of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah. Vertical bars indicate LSD value (P=0.05).

Fig. 8. Changes in superoxide dismutase (SOD) isoforms of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah under control (well-watered) and drought stress conditions (24 d). Equal amounts (15 μL) were loaded in each lane.

Fig. 9. Changes in ascorbate peroxidase (APX) isoforms of two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah under control (well-watered) and drought stress conditions (24 d). Equal amounts (15 μL) were loaded in each lane.

Fig. 10. Immunoblots of dehydrin expression in two switchgrass cultivars EM-SEC, Alamo, Caddo and Dacotah under drought stress (24 d).
Fig. 11. Relative transcript levels of \( \text{PvAPX2}, \text{PvCAT1}, \text{PvERD1}, \text{PvHSP90}, \text{PvSOD1} \) and \( \text{PvPIP1;5} \) genes of two switchgrass cultivars (drought-tolerant Alamo and drought-sensitive Dacotah) under well-watered and drought stress (24 d). Each bar represents the mean of three independent replicates with standard error. Different letters of a-c indicates the statistic difference at 0.05 level.
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[Graph showing MDA content (nmol g⁻¹ FW) over time (d) for different treatments: Alamo control, Dacotah control, Alamo drought, Dacotah drought.]
Fig. 5

The graph illustrates the changes in SOD (μmol min⁻¹ mg⁻¹ protein) over time of drought stress treatment (d) for Alamo and Dacotah control and drought conditions. The SOD activity increases significantly in the drought treatment compared to the control for both Alamo and Dacotah varieties. The line graphs show a steady increase in SOD activity with time in the drought conditions, whereas the control groups maintain relatively stable levels.
Fig. 7

The graph shows the activity of APX (μmol min$^{-1}$ mg$^{-1}$ protein) over time of drought stress treatment (d) for Alamo and Dacotah control and drought conditions. The activity increases with time for both control and drought conditions, but the drought conditions show a more pronounced increase compared to the controls.
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The gel analysis shows bands at 53.0 KDa and 18.0 KDa for the different treatments (Alamo Control, Alamo Drought, Docatah Control, Docatah Drought).
Fig. 11