Title

Role of pre and post interventions on cervical cancer knowledge levels among women students at the University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia

Short title

Educational interventions and cervical cancer knowledge levels

Authors

First author

Meera Indracanti*

Assistant professor, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia. Email i.d.: drmeerabio@yahoo.com, +251985074609

Second author

Nega Berhane*

Professor, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia. Email i.d.: tesnega@yahoo.com, +251918149759

Third author

Tigist Minyamer

Assistant professor, Institute of Biotechnology, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia. Email i.d.: tminyamer@yahoo.com +251912491367.

Corresponding authors*: drmeerabio@yahoo.com/tesnega@yahoo.com

Abstract

Background

Cervical cancer is the second most common cancer in women aged 44 years and above in Ethiopia. Lack of awareness about the disease, lack of screening programs and inadequacy of vaccination in most regions of Ethiopia alarmingly increasing Human papillomavirus (HPV) infections and incidence of the disease. Educational intervention is a fast and effective primary preventive step to reduce the cervical cancer burden.

Objective

The present study was carried out to understand the impact of knowledge-based intervention and factors influencing the knowledge levels on young women attending college education at University of Gondar (UoG), Gondar.

Method

A cross-sectional comparative study was conducted and data was collected using a standardized self-administered questionnaire in both English and Amharic (Ethiopian main official language) and analysed using the Statistical Package for the Social Sciences software (SPSS ver.23, IBM).

Results

There was an increase in overall awareness about cervical cancer (symptoms, risk factors, screening methods, and vaccination) in all post intervened students compared to baseline knowledge levels (before education intervention) statistically at $p < 0.001$ significance level. The mean age of the study participants was 20.86 years (SD, 1.86). Out of total 283 women student participants, overall baseline awareness about cervical cancer symptoms (81.6%, $p < 0.002$), risk factors (94.8%, $p < 0.001$), HPV (60.6%, $p < 0.001$), screening (84.3%, $p < 0.001$) and HPV vaccines (42.1%, $p < 0.001$) was more in 4th year and above over other respondents. After the intervention, knowledge levels increased in students 3rd and above years over 1st and 2nd-year students irrespective of the branch they belong. Initial awareness on various broad issues was 8.77 and after education intervention, it was 30.39 with mean overall knowledge increase of 21.62. However, baseline awareness was better on risk factors and poor on vaccination. After education intervention, an increase of 246% in overall knowledge about cervical cancer including symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination. Age, year of study, branch of study and family income were the explanatory variables significant on overall baseline knowledge levels and after education intervention, year of study was the only independent variable significant for the overall increase in knowledge levels.

Conclusion

The present study suggests that educational intervention as the primary preventive method is effective and young trained women volunteers belong both rural and urban areas will be important stakeholder to increase positive attitude to reduce the cervical cancer burden in Ethiopia.

Introduction

According to GLOBOCAN 2018 [1], most of the African countries have no official registry to cover the cancer statistics and it reflects unseen burden including cervical cancer. Cervical cancer is a fourth leading cause for cancer death is the most common cancer in Sub-Saharan Africa, second leading health problem in Northern Africa including Ethiopia among women 44 years and above [2-10]. In developing countries, high-risk HPV infections cause cervical cancer and other serious public health problems [11] due to bare minimal resources to cope with the situation [12-14].

Women are at risk of HPV infections in some point in their life [4, 15]. A variety of clinic-epidemiological risk factors such as early age of marriage, multiple sexual partners, multiple pregnancies, poor genital hygiene and smoking and so are often associated with the development of cervical cancer [4, 11, 16, 17]. Most of the women in developing and under-developed countries do not have access to Pap (Papanicolaou) smear screening [12, 18] for early detection of HPV infections. Low or absence of any nationwide cervical screening program [19], very few women receive screening [20] and cancer of cervix remains a major public health problem for Ethiopia [21, 22]. According to Tsegaye et al., 2018 [2], only 0.6% of women in Ethiopia, aged 18-69 years includes, 1.6% from urban and 0.4% from rural screened every three years. In Ethiopia, every year around 7095 women are diagnosed with cervical cancer and 4732 dies from this disease [23].

Several factors like education, economic status, health facilities influence early detection and treatment of cervical precancerous lesions [5, 15, 24-26] and reduce cervical cancer morbidity and mortality [27]. The absence of screening facilities coupled with poor literacy and low level of awareness, less attention to women health further aggravate the cervical cancer burden [5, 28-30]. Ethiopia has a low level of awareness about cervical cancer and HPV infections [27]. Various studies [31-33] have been undertaken to assess women's awareness and knowledge level about cervical cancer. Cervical cancer awareness studies are few in Ethiopia and mostly confined to hospitals [4, 12, 34]. A recent study on women in the Amhara region has a low level of awareness [5] and factors influence the levels of knowledge not well known [4].

The success and benefit of control and prevention of cervical cancer largely depend to a great extent on the level of awareness and knowledge about different aspects of the disease and the vaccine [35, 36] and current focus on risk factors will be beneficial [37] and effective. It is therefore beneficial to understand the baseline knowledge levels of young women, awareness, and attitude towards cervical cancer and factors influencing their knowledge levels before and after education intervention towards effective primary preventive measure for control of cervical cancer burden in Ethiopia. Recent years, few studies were carried out to understand the baseline knowledge levels at the community level and as well at the university level in some parts of Ethiopia [2] and no study carried out to measure the knowledge levels and influence of socio-demographic factors before and after the educational intervention. So, the aim of this study was to explore cervical cancer knowledge levels of the students from two campuses of University of Gondar (UoG) and influence of any socio-demographic parameters on overall knowledge levels of study participants before and after the educational intervention.

Materials and methods

Study area and subjects

A cross-sectional pre-test/post-test comparative study was conducted to understand the socio-demographic factors (Independent variables (IVs)) influence on knowledge levels of women students of biological and non-biological sciences from Tewodros and Marakhi campuses of UoG. These two campuses have colleges for Computational & Natural Sciences and Management & Economics. The study included written informed consent and data collection tool was approved by the Department Research Committee, Institute of Biotechnology, UoG. Most of the students were from different regions of Amhara, Addis Ababa, Oromia and Southern Nations, mostly from rural areas belong to less educated families with less access to print and visual media.

Sample size and questionnaire

Sample size

In UOG, the number of female students enrolls to different programs is usually a low and average ratio of one female student to five male students. Any women aged 17 to 30 years enrolled in university graduate or postgraduate programs were invited to participate in the study. The study was conducted in a total of 283 undergraduate and postgraduate female students aged between 17–30 years. Based on the pilot study, the sample size was calculated using a formula for finite population [38]. The assumption was 50% of the university students had sufficient knowledge of cervical cancer, a sample of 283 students was selected by stratified random sampling

techniques with 95% confidence and 5% reliability. Respondents were enrolled using a multistage sampling technique. Enrolled female students with eligible age volunteered to participate and signed written consent form were included in the study.

Questionnaire development

The questionnaire was designed and developed based on study objectives, literature review, and pilot study. An initial pilot study was carried out from May-June 2017 at the University of Gondar, Tewodros and Marakhi campuses, to test the data collection tool in English includes seven sections with 78 questions. During September-February' 2018, the study was carried out using modified data collection tool consists of seven sections include 56 items both open- and close-ended questions in English and Amharic languages as most students preferred to use the questionnaire in Amharic.

The six-part questionnaire included socio-demographic characteristics and questions regarding the knowledge about different aspects of cervical cancer like: (1) Demographic characteristics, such as age, sex, religion, biological or non-biological sciences as study background, place of residence, father's and mother's educational qualifications and occupation, family size, family income of the students. (2) Awareness and knowledge of cervical cancer symptoms, (3) Knowledge of risk factors, (4) Knowledge of HPV, (5) Knowledge of cervical cancer screening, (6) Awareness and knowledge about HPV vaccine and awareness and perception towards screening, concern/acceptability of vaccination, health-seeking behaviour and preferences of venue for screening and vaccination.

Categorical data on various socio-demographic factors, continuous data on family income and age were collected. The purpose and importance of the study were explained to the participants prior to obtaining written informed consent and the confidentiality of their identities was ensured. The questionnaire was administered to the female students and the data from the questionnaire was processed anonymously by assigning random codes. Confidentiality of the information was maintained throughout by excluding names or I.D. Nos. in the questionnaire during data collection. Students were categorized into groups based on different factors, in order to examine which socio-demographic factors were strongly associated with the knowledge, awareness, and attitudes towards cervical cancer, HPV and vaccination. According to age, students were divided into two categories: young females aged 17 to 20 years and adult females aged 21 years & above. The education level of the students was classified into four groups: (i) first year, (ii) the second year, (iii) the third year, and (iv) fourth year & above. The household income per month was an open-ended question and based on the response it was classified into three

categories as follows (i) <2000 birr (ii) >=2000-5000 birr (iii) >5000 birr and above. Knowledge levels of respondents regarding symptoms, risk factors, HPV and its relationship with cervical cancer, prevention methods like screening and vaccination was measured using a 42 item instrument. A score of 1 was allocated for a good/correct answer and 0 for a wrong answer or “Do not know”. The maximum possible score was 42. Mean score used to estimate the cumulative mean score of knowledge levels of cervical cancer. The total score was divided into, those scored above 31 or more were categorized as having very good (“sufficient”) knowledge; the others were categorized “good NK” with 23 to 31, fair with score 13-22 and poor NK was 1-12 and zero score categorized as “no” knowledge. Source of information, awareness and perception, concern and acceptability, health-seeking behaviour and choice of venue for screening and vaccination were measured before and after educational intervention and descriptive statistics were used to measure the change in response.

Statistical analysis

All variables of interest in the study population were summarized using descriptive statistics. For continuous variable age, means and standard deviations were generated. Univariate analysis was conducted to generate frequencies and percentages for categorical variables and were used to describe the characteristics of the study population in relation to relevant variables. Proportions were compared by using Chi² tests, or Fisher’s exact tests, as appropriate. McNemar χ^2 test to determine the change between pre and post-intervention knowledge levels were statistically significant. The impact of socio-demographic characteristics on knowledge levels of cervical cancer was investigated using bivariate method. Binary logistic regression used to find out the statistical association between the outcome variable and the explanatory variables. Finally, explanatory variables with p-value less than 0.2 in the bivariate analysis were included and multivariate and multinomial linear regression analyses were conducted to investigate factors predict cervical cancer and Pap smear test awareness and/or utilization of Pap smear test and to examine the correlation of baseline cervical cancer knowledge scores as well as changes in scores after the educational intervention. Odds ratio and 95% confidence interval were also used to identify the presence and strength of association wherever appropriate. All tests of significance were two-tailed at 5% level. For regression analysis, the reference category was the most common category of an independent variable (IV).

Results

A total of 283 study participants, both from biological and non-biological sciences attended the educational training on cervical cancer general awareness and responded to both pre-intervention and post-intervention

questionnaires (Table 1). The dependent variables (DVs) were compared descriptively with respect to socio-demographic characteristics. The categorical variables were expressed as percentages. Pre-post education intervention differences for knowledge scores and the proportion of correct responses for each question summarized (Table 2). Baseline knowledge was low among all groups, with scores better among older participants. The baseline knowledge about awareness, symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination were low among non-biological science students (Table 3). A brief, structured presentation increased cervical cancer awareness knowledge among all groups. On average, knowledge scores significantly improved from 8 to 26 after the presentation (maximum possible score 42; $P < .001$), irrespective of region, year of study, branch of study, and age. The baseline average score of 9 for students age 20 and above and 7 in students below 20 years, and after education intervention score increased to 24 and 28 in age 20 years below and above groups respectively. Fourth-year and above students showed a baseline score of 11 and first-year students had the lowest baseline score 6 irrespective of the branch. After education intervention, the average score of students increased in the order of third year 31, fourth year 29, first year 27 and 22 second year.

Socio-demographic characteristics of the study population

Demographic characteristics of the 283 female students are summarized in Table 1. Students belong to first year (18.4%), second-year (42.4%), third-year (25.8%) and fourth year & above (13.4%). The students belonged to biological sciences (45.2%) and non-biological sciences (54.8%). The mean age was 20.86 years (Sdv. 1.86) (17–30 years) with 45.9% in 17–20-year-old range and 54.1% in 21 and above years range. Students belong to Addis Ababa (19.8%), Amhara (47.7%), Oromia (9.2%), other regions (17%) and missing regions (6.4%) and were belong to either rural (43.5%), or urban (50.9%) and 5.7% of students' information was missing, not included in the analysis. Majority of the participants 244 (86.2%) were Orthodox Christians, while 39 (13.8%) belonged to other religions (Muslims and other Christians). Most of the respondents 262 (92.6%) were never married and 21 (7.4%) students were married. Study participants father's educational levels were, illiterates 83 (29.3%), up to 10th grade 156 (55.1%) and above 10th grade 44 (15.5%) and mother's educational levels were, illiterate 108 (38.2%), up to 10th grade 144 (50.9%) and above 10th grade 31(11.0%). Respondents father's occupation was either employed 96 (33.9%), business, 69 (24.4%) or other occupation 118 (41.7%). Only 58(20.5%) of the participant's mothers were employed, 77 (27.2%) were either business or related occupation and most were 148 (52.3%) homemakers. 179 (63.3) had 5 or less numb of siblings and 104 (36.7%) had >5 siblings. 211 (74.6%) had both brothers and sisters and 72 (25.4%) belonged to other combinations (only brothers/sisters/no sibling). Most of the participants 207 (73.1%) family income were <2000 birr, 54 (19.1%) families had monthly income

>=2000-5000 birr and only 22 (7.8%) had >=5000 birr as monthly income. Responses to questions on selected domains were presented in table 2.

Awareness of women about cervical cancer and its preventable nature

Demographic characteristics	Total population responding (N)	Percentage (%)	
Region			
Addis Ababa	56	19.8	
Amhara	135	47.7	
Oromia	26	9.2	
Other regions	48	17	
Missing regions	18	6.4	
Rural/Urban			
Rural	123	43.5	
Urban	144	50.9	
Missing	16	5.7	
Year of Study			
1 st year UG	52	18.4	

were asked if they have ever heard of cervical cancer. Before education intervention, one hundred sixteen (41.0%) women reported that they had heard about cervical cancer and after education intervention two hundred and fifty-three students (89.4%) aware about cervical cancer (Table 2). 38 (13.4%) participants were well aware of the preventable nature of cervical cancer before education intervention (Table 2) of this 25 (66%) participants belonged to biological sciences and 13 (34%) were belong to non-biological sciences (Table 3). After educational intervention 142 (50%) could learn the preventable nature of cervical cancer and of this 67 (47%) participants belonged to biological sciences and 75 (53%) were belong to non-biological sciences.

Knowledge about the symptoms of cervical cancer

Eight questions were asked about the symptoms, and at baseline knowledge was the least about the causes of cervical cancer, only 23 (8.1%) students correctly answered and but after education intervention 217 (76.7%) students reported that they know about the causes of cervical cancer (Table 2). Similarly, before the intervention, persistent vaginal discharge could be a symptom was most correctly answered by 71 (25.1%) students. However, one hundred and fifty-five (54.77%) of the respondents did not know any symptom and symptoms associated with cervical cancer before educational intervention and this includes 98 (63.22%) respondents from non-biological sciences and 57 (36.77%) biological sciences. After educational intervention, 90.46% of study respondents could respond to any of the cervical cancer symptoms correctly. Non-biological science students showed a higher increase in awareness about symptoms compared to students belong to biological sciences (Table 3). Overall mean level knowledge about the symptoms of cervical cancer before the intervention was 1.74 after education intervention was 6.81 with a mean increase of 5.07 (Table 5).

Table 1: Socio-economic characteristics of study respondents

230	2 nd year UG	120	42.4
231	3 rd year UG	73	25.8
232	4 th year UG and above	38	13.4
233	Branch of Study		
234	Biological Sciences	128	45.2
235	Non-Biological Sciences	155	54.8
236	Marital Status		
237	Never married	262	92.6
238	Ever married	21	7.4
239	Religion		
240	Orthodox Christian	244	86.2
241	Muslim & Others	39	13.8
242	Father's Education Level		
243	Illiterate	83	29.3
244	Up to 10 th grade*	156	55.1
245	Above 10 th grade**	44	15.5
246	Mother's Education Level		
247	Illiterate	108	38.2
248	Up to 10 th grade	144	50.9
249	Above 10 th grade	31	11.0
250	Father's Occupation		
251	Employed	96	33.9
252	Business	69	24.4
253	Others	118	41.7
254	Mother's Occupation		
255	Employed	58	20.5
256	Business & others	77	27.2
257	Homemaker	148	52.3
258	Family Income		
259	<2000 birr	207	73.1
260	>=2000-5000 birr	54	19.1
261	>=5000 birr	22	7.8
262	Family size		
263	1-5	179	63.3
264	>5	104	36.7
265	Sibling combination		
266	Both brothers and sisters	211	74.6
267	Other combinations	72	25.4
268	Total sample size	283	100

*Attended or completed Primary or Secondary level,

**Attended or completed Higher Secondary and above.

The mean age of the study respondents is 20.86 years (Sdv. 1.86)

Table 2: Awareness and sources of information about cervical cancer

Domains inquired	Pre-intervention		Post-intervention		The difference in awareness (%)
	Number correctly responded (N)	Percent correctly responded (%)	Number correctly responded (N)	Percent correctly responded (%)	
General awareness					
Ever heard of cervical cancer.	116	41.0	253	89.4	48.4
Cervical cancer is a curable disease?	38	13.4	142	50.2	36.8
Knowledge about symptoms					
Do you know the causes of cervical cancer are?	23	8.1	217	76.7	68.6
Persistent vaginal discharge that smells unpleasant.	71	25.1	210	74.2	49.1
Whether vaginal bleeding between periods could be a sign?	43	15.2	178	62.9	47.7
Do you think menorrhagia is a symptom for cervical cancer?	52	18.4	203	71.7	53.3
Vaginal bleeding after the menopause could be a sign.	52	18.4	183	64.9	46.5
Persistent pelvic pain could be a sign.	39	13.8	182	64.3	50.5
Discomfort or pain during sex could be a sign.	64	22.6	174	61.5	38.9
Vaginal bleeding during or after sex could be a sign.	49	17.3	196	69.3	52.0
Knowledge about risk factors					
Whether poor hygiene is a risk factor?	95	33.6	195	68.9	35.3
Whether coitus at an early age is a risk factor?	81	28.6	196	69.3	40.7
Whether multiple sex partners is a risk factor?	101	35.7	226	79.9	44.2
Do you think unprotected intercourse could be a risk factor for cc?	97	34.3	222	78.4	44.1
Do you think consuming contraceptive pills could be a risk factor?	49	17.3	179	63.3	46.0
No knowledge of cervical cancer is a risk factor?	103	36.4	194	68.6	32.2
Swelling of the cervix is a risk factor?	67	23.7	166	58.7	35.0
Whether high parity is a risk factor?	43	15.2	137	48.4	33.2
Do you think smoking could be a risk factor for cervical cancer?	55	19.4	193	68.2	48.8
Knowledge about Human Papilloma Virus (HPV)					
Causative organism of cervical cancer.	47	16.6	222	78.4	61.8
Are you aware what is HPV and its relation with cervical cancer?	29	10.2	204	72.1	61.9
HPV can infect women and can cause cervical cancer.	59	20.8	224	79.1	58.3
HPV is a sexually transmitted infection.	43	15.2	194	68.6	53.4
HPV infections are usually obvious and most infections resolve by themselves.	36	12.7	134	47.3	34.6
HPV cannot infect men.	36	12.7	103	36.4	23.7
HPV infections can cause genital warts.	39	13.8	153	54.1	40.3
HPV infections can cause oral/pharyngeal cancer.	33	11.7	138	48.8	37.1
HPV infections can cause anal cancer.	27	9.5	59	20.8	11.3
Knowledge about screening					
Ever heard of screening.	64	22.6	215	76.0	53.4
Are you aware of any screening method?	57	20.1	258	91.2	71.1
Have you ever heard of the Pap smear test?	84	29.7	233	82.3	52.6
Pap smear test is used for.	38	13.4	156	55.1	41.7
At what age women should start screening.	50	17.7	161	56.9	39.2
How often a woman should undergo screening.	78	27.6	175	61.8	27.6
Pap smear test can pick up cell changes that may go to become cc.	16	5.7	176	62.2	56.5
Knowledge about vaccination					
HPV vaccine exists that protects against cervical cancer.	40	14.0	216	76.3	62.3
A vaccine for HPV is not available to men.	23	8.1	84	29.7	21.6
Can HPV vaccines be given to boys?	25	8.8	143	50.5	41.7
To which age group HPV vaccine should be given.	14	4.9	51	18.0	13.1
HPV vaccine exists that can protect against genital warts.	45	15.9	160	56.5	40.6
HPV vaccines available to protect against non-cervical cancers?	13	4.6	89	31.4	26.8
Most appropriate stage for HPV vaccination.	39	13.8	119	42.0	28.2
Total sample size	283	100	283	100	

281

Table 4a & 4b: Impact of education intervention on cervical cancer awareness on the year of study. **Pre IV:** Pre-intervention; **Post IV:** Post intervention. **KL:** Knowledge level; **Zero**=No knowledge; **Poor**=1-3 correct responses; **Fair:** 4-6 correct responses; **Good:** 6 and above correct responses. Values are in percentage (%) at P=0.002 significance level.

286

Table 5: Mean level of awareness on various broad issues (categories) of Cervical Cancer (CC)

288

289

290

291

292

293

To assess knowledge about the cervical cancer risk factors, nine questions including multiple sexual partners, poor hygiene, no knowledge on cervical cancer and cigarette smoking could promote cervical cancer were asked to study participants (Table 2). About 35.6 % (n=101) study respondents had no idea about risk factors associated with the disease before educational intervention and only 7.4% (n=21) students could not identify any of the risk factors even after educational intervention. Before the intervention, 43 (15.2%) students felt high parity could be a risk factor and after the educational intervention, 137 (48.4%) could felt high parity could be a risk factor and it was the least correctly responded question among the nine risk factors were asked. One hundred and eighty-two

(64.4%) study participants were able to identify minimum one risk factor before intervention and 101 (35.6%) includes 66 (22.96%) respondents from non-biological sciences and 35 (12.36%) respondents of biological sciences could not identify a single risk factor correctly. After educational intervention, biological science students showed the highest increase in awareness about risk factors compared to students from non-biological sciences (Table 3). More than 95 (33%) students identified, multiple sex partners, poor hygiene, no awareness of cervical cancer, unprotected intercourse could be risk factors (Table 2). Mean baseline awareness about the risk factors was 2.71, which was highest compared to other categories of the questionnaire and after intervention an overall increase of 6.7.

Knowledge about the HPV and its relationship with cervical cancer

Nine different questions like the causative organism, mode of transmission of HPV and different diseases in male and females were asked about HPV and its relationship with cervical cancer to understand the knowledge levels before and after the educational intervention. Before the educational intervention, 43 (15.2%) of study respondents were not aware of STD nature of HPV infections and different diseases caused by it and 194 (68.6%) women responded correctly after post-intervention (Table 2). Before the educational intervention, 16.6% and after intervention 78.4% female students aware HPV as cervical cancer causative organism. HPV can cause anal cancers was the least correctly answered before (9.5%) and even after (20.8%) education intervention. Twenty-nine (10.2%) respondents before intervention were aware of HPV and its relationship with cervical cancer and 204 (72.1%) students identified correctly the HPV relationship with mean 61.9% increase after post-intervention (Table 2). 102 (36.04%) respondents from non-biological sciences and 62 (21.9%) biological sciences had no baseline awareness about HPV and its relationship with different diseases. After educational intervention, non-biological science students showed the highest increase in awareness about HPV compared to students belong to biological sciences (Table 3). Overall mean knowledge level before the intervention was 1.37, and after the intervention was 5.61 with an increase of 4.24 (Table 5).

Knowledge about the screening of cervical cancer

There were seven different questions like heard of cervical cancer screening, any screening method, Pap smear test, and its importance, when should women start screening and how often should be screened. Before the educational intervention, only 19.7% of total respondents were aware of screening and 69.32% women could respond correctly after intervention (Table 2). How often women should undergo screening was correctly responded by 27.6% before intervention and 61.8% of respondents answered correctly after the intervention. Only

5.7% of respondents' identified Pap smear test can pick cell changes before intervention and it increased to 62.2% after educational intervention. 95 (33.56%) study respondents had no baseline knowledge about screening and its importance with 37 (13.07%) respondents belong to biological and 58 (20.49%) non-biological sciences. After intervention, 43.1% (n=122) biological and 51.23% (n=145) non-biological sciences showed awareness about screening (Table 3). However, before the intervention, 11.3% each from biological and non-biological sciences were heard of cervical cancer screening and after the intervention, it was increased to 32.1% and 43.8% in biological and non-biological sciences. 8% and 5.3% before and after 39.2% and 43.1% of biological and non-biological sciences from total respondents reported that they were heard of Pap smear test. After educational intervention, increase in awareness about cervical cancer screening was good in respondents from non-biological sciences over biological sciences (Table 3). Overall mean level of knowledge before the intervention was 1.95 after the intervention was 6.93 with a mean increase of 4.98 (Table 4).

Awareness regarding the target population for HPV vaccination.

There were eight different questions like availability of HPV vaccine, the age of vaccination, availability of HPV vaccine both for girls and boys, a vaccine for non-cervical cancers were asked before and after the educational intervention. 48.5% of total respondents before and 91.5% after education intervention were aware of HPV vaccination (Table 2). HPV vaccination category was least understood even after education intervention. 65% of study participants showed no baseline knowledge about vaccine category. Baseline knowledge about two important knowledge indicators, availability of a vaccine to protect non-cervical cancer, was 4.6% and age group for vaccination was 4.8%. After the intervention, only 18% of study participants correctly understand the right age for vaccination in girls. HPV vaccines could be given to boys, 8.8% before and after intervention 50.5% (P=0.05) could respond correctly. 18% of study respondents before and 42.4% after intervention responded correctly to the best time for HPV vaccination would be before becoming sexually active. 107 (37.8%) respondents from non-biological sciences and 78 (27.56%) respondents of biological sciences showed no baseline knowledge about the vaccine and its importance. After educational intervention, non-biological science students showed the highest increase of awareness that students belong to biological sciences (Table 3). Overall mean knowledge level before the intervention was 1.0, and after the intervention was 4.34 with a mean increase of 3.34 (Table 5).

Table 6: Source of information about cervical cancer and Pap smear test knowledge before and after education intervention and influence of the branch of study during pre-intervention (* Chi²= 9.54 & Cramer's V=.184) (**Chi²=9.61 & Cramer's V=.184) at the P=0.05 significance level

Pre- intervention

Post- intervention

Sources of information	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	Change in response after intervention (%)
Respondents source of information about cervical cancer knowledge*	N=116	%=41.0	N=253	%=90	
Parents/ Family Members/Relatives	13	4.6	21	7.4	2.8
Medical or nursing staff/Health Educator/Teacher	32	11.3	134	47.4	36.1
Friends/Classmates	7	2.5	17	6.0	3.5
Other sources	64	22.6	82	29.0	6.4
Respondents source of information about Pap smear test**	N=84	%=29.7	N=235	%=82.6	
Parents/ Family Members/Relatives	15	5.3	15	5.3	0.0
Medical or nursing staff/Health Educator/Teacher	37	13.0	175	61.4	48.4
Friends/Classmates	8	2.8	21	7.4	4.6
Other sources	24	8.5	24	8.5	0.0

360

361 Source of information about cervical cancer and Pap smear test

362 41% respondents said they heard about cervical cancer through some source before intervention and after
363 educational intervention increased to 90%. Most common source of information at baseline was other sources
364 (22.6%) including media. After educational intervention, health educator score was increased from 11.3 to 47.4%
365 (Table 6). 29.7% of respondents who had heard about Pap smear test got their information from the medical staff,
366 followed by other sources (mass media), relatives and friends (Table 6). After educational intervention, 82.6% of
367 respondents were reported awareness of Pap smear and sources of Pap smear test. Health educator as the source
368 of information before and after the educational intervention increased from 13% to 61.4%. Utilization of the Pap
369 smear test only once among this population and only 3.5% of participants' family members', being screened (Table
370 7).

371 Perceptions of cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination

372 Perceptions of cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination of the respondents are presented in Table 7. Before
373 the educational intervention, 25.8% and after 46.3% of the respondents would like to receive or recommend
374 cervical cancer screening. Similarly, 15.9% of respondents before the educational intervention, 47% of the
375 respondents after educational intervention would like to receive or recommended for HPV vaccination. Before
376 the educational intervention, 9.5% biological and 6.3% non-biological sciences expressed acceptance for HPV
377 vaccination and after the intervention, acceptance was increased to 18.3% and 28.2% respectively. From total
378 respondents, 1% of first year, 9.8% of second year, 1.4% of third year and 3.5% of fourth-year students expressed
379 likeliness to receive HPV vaccination before intervention and after educational intervention, 10.6% (first year),
380 16.6% (second year), 14.8% (third year) and 4.9% (fourth year & above) students agreed (Table 4).

381 Table 7: Impact of education intervention on awareness about Pap smear test was done and perceptions to receive cervical cancer screening
382 and vaccination

		Pre-intervention		Post- intervention		
Awareness & Perception						
		Pre- intervention*		Post- intervention		
Concern/acceptability		The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	Change in response after intervention (%)
Important obstacle preventing yourself to receive or recommend screening and HPV vaccination?		N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0	
Do not know		73	25.8	22	7.8	-18.0
No concern		60	21.2	98	34.6	13.4
Cost		23	8.1	59	20.8	12.7
Concern about side effects		66	23.3	69	24.4	1.1
Concern about efficacy		12	4.2	24	8.5	4.3
Inadequate information		49	17.3	11	3.9	-13.4
		The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	Change in response after intervention (%)
You/any of your family member ever had done Pap smear test?		N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0	
Do not know		189	66.8	114	40.3	-26.5
No		84	29.7	159	56.2	26.5
Yes		10	3.5	10	3.5	0.0
Would you like to receive cervical cancer screening?		N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0	
Do not know		158	55.8	63	22.3	-33.5
No		52	18.4	89	31.4	13.0
Yes		73	25.8	131	46.3	20.5
Would you like to be recommended for HPV vaccination?		N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0	
Do not know		203	71.7	81	28.6	-43.1
No		35	12.4	69	24.4	12.0
Yes		45	15.9	133	47.0	31.1

383

384 Table 8: Concerns/acceptability to take up cervical cancer screening before and after education intervention and influence of *Age category
385 at pre-intervention with Chi²= 15.90 at the P=0.05 significance level

386

387 Concerns of receiving or recommending HPV vaccination

388 Overall acceptance of HPV vaccine among the study population before 21.2% and 34.6% after educational
389 intervention. Before and after educational intervention concern about side effects (23.3%, 24.4%), efficacy (4.2%,
390 8.5%), inadequate information (17.3%, 3.9%), and cost (8%, 20.8%) respectively. Interesting inadequate
391 information as a complaint reduced from 17.3% to 3.9% (Table 8).

392 Table 9: Health seeking behavior of respondents before and after education intervention and influence of the branch of study at post-
393 intervention with Chi²= 31.81 and Cramer's V= 0.335 at the P=0.05 significance level

394

		Pre-intervention		Post-intervention	
		The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)
Health seeking behavior					Change in response after intervention (%)
If you have a symptom, how soon you visit a doctor?		N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0
Do not know		164	58.0	73	25.8
Within 7 days		67	23.7	111	39.2
A couple of weeks		16	5.7	28	9.9
A couple of months		20	7.1	28	9.9
Maybe 6 months		8	2.8	8	2.8
Maybe one year		4	1.4	7	2.5
Never visit		4	1.4	28	9.9

395

Health seeking behaviour of respondents before and after education intervention

To understand the health-seeking behaviour, respondents were been asked if they have a symptom of cervical cancer, how soon they visit a doctor and in response to this, 58% of respondents could not decide before intervention and 25.8% could not understand the importance of health check even after education intervention. 1.4% of respondents before and 9.9% after intervention said, they never visit any medical help. Before intervention, 23.7% and after intervention, 39.2% respondents reported, they will visit medical hospital within a few days. 18.3% before and 34.9% after education intervention felt they will visit hospital from a couple of weeks to a couple of months (Table 9).

Table 10: Preference of venue for cervical cancer screening and vaccination before and after education intervention and influence of age category at post-intervention with $\chi^2= 10.25$ and Cramer's $V= 0.190$ at the $P=0.05$ significance level

Venue	Pre- intervention		Post- intervention		Change in response after intervention (%)
	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	The number responded (N)	Percent responded (%)	
The appropriate venue for screening and vaccination	N=283	%=100.0	N=283	%=100.0	
Do not know	150	53.0	85	30.0	-23.0
Local Community health center/clinic	39	13.8	80	28.3	14.5
Women and children's hospital	41	14.5	56	19.8	5.3
General hospital	26	9.2	26	9.2	0.0

Factors	Cervical cancer screening				Cervical cancer vaccination			
	Pre-intervention		Post-intervention		Pre-intervention		Post-intervention	
	χ^2	Cramer's V	χ^2	Cramer's V	χ^2	Cramer's V	χ^2	Cramer's V
Age category	5.984	.145	9.456	.183	10.19	.190	6.66	.154
Year of study	16.45	.171	36.94	.255			32.21	.239
Branch of study			11.05	.198	10.02	.188		
Religion			7.64	.164			7.02	.158
Father's education level			14.88	.162			11.01	.140
Mother's education level	7.29	.113	12.92	.151			19.24	.184
Father's occupation	19.18	.184	13.86	.157	11.93	.145		
Family Income							16.78	.172
SIB combination					8.80	.176		
School			27	9.5	36	12.7		3.2

Preference of venue for cervical cancer screening and vaccination before and after education intervention

Before the educational intervention, 53% and after the intervention, 30% of the respondents could not decide the preference of venue for the screening and vaccination. Before the intervention, women and children's hospital was the most preferred venue (14.5%) and after the educational intervention, local community health centre/local clinic was the preferred venue (28.3%). General hospital as venue preferred by 9.2% respondents before and after intervention (Table 10).

Table 11: Factors influencing perceptions to receive cervical cancer screening and vaccination before and after educational intervention at $P=0.05$

Overall knowledge about cervical cancer and associated factors

Chi² test of independence and McNemar's test

Variables checked for association	Age		BOS		YOS	F. Ed.	M. Ed.	FS
	Pre	Post	Pre	Post	Post	Pre	Pre	Post
General awareness and symptoms								
Ever heard of cervical cancer		7.9	7.845	9.3	14.3			7.48
Cervical cancer is a curable disease?	8.75		7.49		10.3			
Do you know the causes of cervical cancer are?			11.02		34.9			6.34
Persistent vaginal discharge that smells unpleasant.	5.61		5.99		29.12			6.54
Whether vaginal bleeding between periods could be a sign?			4.75		16.7			
Do you think menorrhagia is a symptom for cervical cancer?			3.99		17.0		13.55	
Vaginal bleeding after the menopause could be a sign.	4.49	4.0		5.37			15.02	17.37
Persistent pelvic pain could be a sign.			4.85		14.74		6.85	10.87
Discomfort or pain during sex could be a sign.			4.05			7.18	7.41	9.0
Vaginal bleeding during or after sex could be a sign.	5.6		4.65		10.8			7.0
Knowledge about risk factors								
Whether poor hygiene is a risk factor?	5.92	4.87				8.97		
Whether coitus at an early age is a risk factor?				5.93				5.73
Whether multiple sex partners is a risk factor?			12.74	4.16	12.0		7.87	4.58
Do you think unprotected intercourse could be a risk factor?					19.5	8.11	8.11	3.8
Do you think consuming contraceptive pills could be a risk factor?					9.48		6.64	3.9
No knowledge of cervical cancer is a risk factor?		4.34	6.68		15.28	9.69	16.74	7.35
Swelling of the cervix is a risk factor?		3.99	5.97			9.84		7.55
Whether high parity is a risk factor?		9.59			28.2			7.9
Do you think smoking could be a risk factor for c. cancer?					30.5			4.34
Knowledge about Human Papilloma Virus								
Causative organism of cervical cancer.			16.7	11.8	34.45			6.45
Human papillomavirus (HPV) and its relation to cc?	4.38				28.62	6.9	6.1	
HPV can infect women and can cause cervical cancer.		6.0	7.4		31.5			
HPV is a sexually transmitted infection.			4.7	5.74		6.0		
HPV infections are usually obvious and most infections resolve by themselves.	9.34	4.1	5.0					
HPV cannot infect men.				9.5	13.4			
HPV infections can cause genital warts.	5.94	8.67	6.5		20.3	9.43		
HPV infections can cause oral/pharyngeal cancer.	5.46				15.26			
HPV infections can cause anal cancer.		4.42		13.1	16.16			5.7
Knowledge about screening								
Ever heard of screening.		4.69			22.8		11.9	

To understand the influence of various socio-demographic factors on perception of cervical cancer screening and HPV vaccination, a Chi² test of independence was carried out. Age and father's occupation had a significant impact on both screening and vaccination before educational intervention. The post-intervention perception was under the influence of age, year of study, religion, parents' education level, and family income at P=0.05 (Table 11). Bivariate analysis showed six socio-demographic characteristics were found to be significantly associated with knowledge levels about cervical cancer: age, educational level, branch of study, fathers and mother's education levels, and family size (Table 12).

Table 12: Chi-square analysis of independence of various socio-demographic factors and dependable variables about cervical cancer symptoms, Risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination of respondents during pre and post educational intervention at P=0.05 significance. BOS= Branch of study; YOS=Year of study; FE=Father's education level; ME= Mother's education level; FS=Family size.

Have you ever heard of the Pap smear test?	16.8	6.8	20.76
Pap smear test is used for.		4.1	8.84
How often a woman should undergo screening.		5.4	19.33
Do you think all women should undergo screening for cc?		5.43	27.16
Knowledge about vaccination			
HPV vaccine exists that protects against cervical cancer.	7.1	10.8	29.4
A vaccine for HPV is not available to men.			7.35
Can HPV vaccines be given to boys?			10.36
HPV vaccine exists that can protect against genital warts.			14.1
HPV vaccines available against non-cervical cancers.			10.38
Most appropriate stage for HPV vaccination.			18.11

Table 13: McNemar test of cervical cancer awareness about symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination of respondents at P=0.000 significance level.

Domains compared	N= 283 Chi ² value
General awareness	
Ever heard of cervical cancer	131.177
Cervical cancer is a curable disease?	84.198
Do you know the causes of cervical cancer are?	190.046
Persistent vaginal discharge that smells unpleasant.	116.834
Whether vaginal bleeding between periods could be a sign?	114.369
Do you think menorrhagia is a symptom for cervical cancer?	139.752
Vaginal bleeding after the menopause could be a sign.	119.858
Persistent pelvic pain could be a sign.	133.536
Discomfort or pain during sex could be a sign.	86.094
Vaginal bleeding during or after sex could be a sign.	126.130
Knowledge about risk factors	
Whether poor hygiene is a risk factor?	71.022
Whether coitus at an early age is a risk factor?	88.408
Whether multiple sex partners is a risk factor?	101.828
Do you think unprotected intercourse could be a risk factor for cervical cancer?	104.599
Do you think consuming contraceptive pills could be a risk factor for cervical cancer?	115.563
No knowledge of cervical cancer is a risk factor?	63.780
Swelling of the cervix is a risk factor?	75.622
Whether high parity is a risk factor?	64.545
Do you think smoking could be a risk factor for cervical cancer?	118.791
Knowledge about Human Papilloma Virus	
Causative organism of cervical cancer	165.443
Are you aware what is Human papillomavirus (HPV) and its relation with cervical cancer?	165.443
HPV can infect women and can cause cervical cancer	127.592
HPV is a sexually transmitted infection	131.579
HPV infections are usually obvious and most infections resolve by themselves	71.280
HPV cannot infect men	44.000
HPV infections can cause genital warts	95.291
HPV infections can cause oral/pharyngeal cancer	82.565
HPV infections can cause anal cancer	12.645
Knowledge about screening	
Ever heard of screening	133.136
When should women start screening?	84.615
Have you ever heard of Pap smear test	141.316
Pap smear test is used for.	103.705
Pap smear test can pick up cell changes that may go on to become cervical cancer.	154.152
How often should women have cervical cancer screening?	111.455
Knowledge about vaccination	
HPV vaccine exists that protects against cervical cancer.	154.230
A vaccine for HPV is not available to men	40.449
Can HPV vaccines be given to boys?	96.401
To which age group HPV vaccine should be given	21.966

HPV vaccine exists that can protect against genital warts	88.408
HPV vaccines available that protect against non-cervical cancers	62.500
Most appropriate stage for HPV vaccination	63.366

Age, branch of study, father's and mother's education level had strong association on awareness before intervention (Table 12) and post-intervention knowledge gain was under the strong influence of year of study and other influencing factors were age, branch of study, family size. Age, educational level and branch of the study were found to have a significant association with level of knowledge about cervical cancer before and after intervention (Table 12). McNemar test of cervical cancer awareness was carried out and change of overall score of symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination of respondents was at $P=0.000$ significance level (Table13). Using the sum of all knowledge items, we determined that a total of 33.9% ($P=0.001$) of the participants had sufficient (very good) knowledge about cervical cancer after the educational intervention.

Multi-variate statistical analysis

A multivariate analysis was done using multiple logistic regression models to investigate the predictors of awareness of symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening, and vaccination in the study population. The result of the analysis showed that before the educational intervention, the branch of study, and after educational intervention year of study significantly predict levels of awareness of cervical cancer.

Discussion

The main objective of this study was to assess knowledge levels at baseline and after education intervention about cervical cancer symptoms, risk factors, HPV and its relation to cervical cancer, screening, and vaccination, and factors influence the knowledge levels, this is the first kind of study carried out using questionnaire validated through pilot study to understand the impact of knowledge intervention on young 17 to 30 years aged college attending women of University of Gondar, Northwest Ethiopia region. To prevent and control any disease, knowledge is prerequisite and attitude plays a crucial role and our study showed very poor knowledge levels, similar observations from various regions of Ethiopia [4, 5, 28] and different African countries [39-45]. The baseline awareness about knowledge, symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination was low before intervention (18.27%) and is very lower compared to different studies from Nigeria (23.4%), Addis Ababa (34.2 %), Ghana (37.0%) and, South Ethiopia (46.3%) and 51%, Dessie town [44-48]. Developing countries have poor knowledge [49-52] compared to developed countries [53, 54]. 41.0% of our study participants heard about cervical cancer before intervention was similar with 40.8% in Nigeria [55]. However lower than reports from different regions of Ethiopia, 53.11% in Mizan Tepi, 76.8% in Hawassa, 78.7% in Gondar town [2, 29, 56] and in some

African countries like Republic of Congo (81.9%), in Botswana (77%) and 68.4% in Southern Ghana [57-59]. Students of fourth year and above showed baseline score of 11 compared to first-year students (6) irrespective of the branch and can be compared with earlier study on Hawassa university students [2]. Studies show that, levels of education was significantly associated with knowledge about cervical cancer [48, 57, 60].

The baseline knowledge of biological sciences was 10 and non-biological sciences participants showed 7 and background of biological sciences might influence baseline knowledge and similar observation was reported that knowledge of medical students was better over public health students [2]. 49% of our participants' baseline level on various symptoms associated with cervical cancer such as vaginal bleeding between periods (15.2%), painful coitus (22.6%) and bleeding after intercourse (17.3%) were reported and these findings are lower than studies carried out [61-64]. Before the educational intervention, study participants showed poor knowledge about cervical cancer risk factors. About 35.6 % of student respondents had no idea about risk factors associated with the disease before educational intervention which was very lower than 67.9% reported for Hawassa University College students [2]. 30.1% study respondents identified one or more correct risk factors before education intervention which was very much matched with study carried out at Gondar, 31% [5] and was much lower than the similar study done in South Africa, 64.0% [65]. 33% of our students identified, multiple sex partners, and similar response observed in the previous reports [66-69], however, response is higher than the study conducted in South Africa (26%), however, is lower than 49.7% awareness showed by Hawassa university medical students [2] and 53% awareness by university students of Bhutan [70] and other studies [63, 71, 72]. The difference could be due to the inaccessibility of the cervical cancer screening service, as well as less attention was given to reproductive health in Ethiopia. A study from Malaysia could not identify any of the cervical cancer risk factors [69].

Baseline knowledge about prolonged use of contraceptive pills as a risk factor was low in our study participants and only 17.3% study respondents identified and a similar observation was reported [73]. Only 19.4% of our study respondents identified smoking is a risk factor which is lower (22.3%) than a study in Gabon [74] but higher than a study in Ghana, only 1% participants identified [75]. 28.6% study respondents identified early coitus could be a risk factor and is higher than 13%, reported in a study [67]. 16.6% of the participants were aware HPV as the causative organism and is better over 9% reported in Southern Ethiopia [76] and 8% in Gabon [74] and [60]. However, is much lower than similar studies carried out in Northern Ethiopia [5] and other regions of Africa [77, 78]. Only 15.2% study respondents identified, STI nature of HPV before educational intervention and was matching with a study [79], however, was low than other reports, 31.5% [80] and 41% [67].

Low levels of knowledge on HPV was reported in a study US [81] and in another report, 78.5% of the college women to have heard of HPV in the US [82], UK, 63% [83]. Several studies from different countries reported that overall, the general public has low-level of awareness about HPV infection [84]. Only 9.5% of study respondents identified, HPV can cause anal cancers before the educational intervention and is less than similar earlier reports [85-90]. 13.4% of our participants were aware of the preventable nature of cervical cancer before education intervention and is matching with similar studies from semi-urban India, 12.2% and 11% [67, 91] and is lower than similar studies reported in 17.5%, [92], 30.5% in Burkina Faso [93], Addis Ababa, 50.6% [34], 51.5% [94](Awodele et al., 2011), South Africa, 57.0% [65], Southern Ethiopia, 57.6% [76], Northern part of Ethiopia, 63.9% [5]. Base level knowledge of 19.7% of study respondents were aware of screening, higher than 11% [67] and Malaysian population [69] and lower than 33.97% in Mizan Tepi, Ethiopia [56] and 41% [95]. A study in Addis Ababa revealed, that the vast majority of nurses and midwives had poor knowledge on aetiology and risk factors and never heard of any screening methods other than the Pap smear [12]. Only 3% of utilization of Pap smear test once among our study participants relatives and is matching with similar studies, only 5% respondents underwent Pap test [61, 96]. The low levels of awareness could be due to lack of nationwide screening policy in Ethiopia. This could be due to low levels of knowledge on cervical cancer and is supported by earlier report that, cervical cancer knowledge levels determine the rate of screening uptake [41]. This highlights the need of spread about awareness and health education about cervical cancer is critical as primary care taken to scale up the screening in Ethiopia. According to FMOH, 2016 [27], cervical cancer screening and prevention strategies are initiated by the Ethiopian government.

Before intervention, 39.5% of total respondents were not aware of HPV vaccination and 65% of study participants showed no baseline knowledge about vaccine category. 4.6% of respondents know that vaccine is available to protect against non-cervical cancer and 4.9% of respondents only aware at what age vaccine should be given, 8.8% of students answered HPV vaccines could be given to boys. Similarly, 15.8% of participants reported that vaccination could be a preventive method [76]. Most countries in sub-Saharan Africa, including Ethiopia, did not include routine HPV vaccination in the national prevention strategy for cervical cancer and other HPV-related diseases [28]. Despite vaccination, not being implemented in Ethiopia, the awareness and knowledge of participants would help as an effective primary prevention strategy [97-99]. The Ethiopian government has also recently introduced HPV vaccination demonstration project and yet to available as a national program [34]. 41% respondents said they heard about cervical cancer through some source. 29.7% of respondents from the medical staff, followed by 22.6% other sources including relatives and friends and 11.3% teachers. Similar observations

reported by various studies, 55.5% teachers as the source, 30.5% mass media and 22.9% health worker as their source of information for cervical cancer and its screening [2, 100]. 29.7% of respondents who had heard about Pap smear test got their information from the medical staff, followed by other sources, relatives, and friends. This is higher than a similar type of studies carried in other places like Nigeria [44] 27%, Gondar town, 13.7% [5], not aware of the Pap smear test [101-104]. But lower than South Africa where 49.0% of the respondents heard of the test [65]. The low participation of health workers indicate that health workers are not thoroughly trained and media is not able to reach both rural and urban parts of the country equally. Women from urban areas were obtained information through various sources including, internet and mass media [105]. In contrast, a report on Congo women showed that conversation with other people was the basic source of cervical cancer awareness than through media [57]. Role of audio-visual means of spreading awareness had a mixed impact in African countries [106], remains a potentially important method of health promotion in rural low-educated communities.

Before educational intervention, 25.8% of the respondents would like to receive and recommend cervical cancer screening and similarly, 15.9% of respondents would like to receive and/or recommend HPV vaccination and is little higher than Southern Ethiopia, 14.2% [76], however, is very less than Ruvuma 55.7%, [75], Mizan Tepi University students, 61.24% [56]. An important observation in our study participants consistent with studies carried out in other African countries is, willingness for the cervical cancer screening was found poor even after having knowledge of the disease and its importance [56] and similar findings in other parts of Ethiopia [29, 77, 107]. This could be due to lower attention to female health in Ethiopia. Overall acceptance of HPV vaccine among the study population was 21.2%. The main concerns were about side effects (23.3%), efficacy (4.2%), inadequate information (17.3%), and cost (8%). Similar reports, the cost was a major concern [108, 109] and inadequate information [110] was reported. There was a low acceptance to seek the medical help in our study participants and 39.2% respondents reported, they will visit the medical hospital within a few days. This was less than 55.3%, Mizan Tepi [2], Addis Ababa [100], 1.4% of respondents said they never visit any medical help. This low acceptance to seek medical help might be due to psychological and socioeconomic reasons.

Before the educational intervention, the branch of study, and after educational intervention year of study significantly predict the level of awareness of cervical cancer. Similar observations reported [4] and reports suggest the income level also effect knowledge on cervical cancer, women with high-income level have more knowledge than women with low income. However other socio-demographic factors were not found to be statistically associated with knowledge levels [76] and not consistent with a study on Gondar community [29]. After educational intervention, an increase from 20.1% to 91.2% of study participants heard about the any of the

screening methods, similarly an increase from 29.7% to 82.3% participants said they know Pap test as a screening method for early detection of cervical cancer. In our study population, baseline knowledge was low among all groups and similar observations in other studies [111], and low even in healthcare workers and physicians [85] and medium to low in teachers [66]. This indicates significant knowledge gaps in different populations globally and gaps are common [112].

Baseline knowledge of HPV was high in biological sciences and it could be the positive influence of the branch of study and it can be comparable to similar observation reported in teaching population [113]. In our study, after the educational intervention, the non-biological students' knowledge levels improved over biological sciences. A similar finding observed in a study where knowledge level improved in health workers and was similar to those of physicians [85] after intervention. Our study participants were mostly from rural areas and deprived of mass media and this could be one of the reasons for poor baseline knowledge levels. Similar observation reported [114]. A brief, structured presentation increased cervical cancer awareness knowledge among all groups and is consistent with previous studies [115-117]. On average, knowledge scores significantly improved from 8 to 26 after the presentation (maximum possible score 42; $P < 0.001$), irrespective of region, year of study, branch of study, and age. Recent years several studies reported a significant increase in cervical cancer knowledge in women after educational intervention [118-120].

Before education intervention, 41.0% of women reported that they had heard about cervical cancer and after education intervention 89.4% aware of cervical cancer. 13.4% of our participants were well aware of the preventable nature of cervical cancer before education intervention. Similarly reported that cervical cancer can be cured if it is diagnosed at an early stage [73]. After educational intervention, it increased to 50% and similar to a study [72], however, is lower than 84.2% observed in another study [92]. Only 50% awareness after intervention highlights the need and importance of education on cervical cancer, a similar observation reported [111]. Baseline knowledge about the causes of cervical cancer was 8.1% and after education intervention, awareness increased to 76.7% and similarly, before the intervention, 25.1% study respondents identified persistent vaginal discharge could be a symptom and increased to 74.2%. Overall mean level knowledge about the symptoms of cervical cancer after education intervention was increased from 1.74 to 6.81 with a mean increase of 5.07. After educational intervention, 92.6% of our students could identify at least one risk factor and knowledge levels on risk factors improved [67, 92, 121]. Before the intervention, 15.2% students felt high parity could be a risk factor and after the educational intervention, 48.4% could agree, high parity could be a risk factor. And in a study, 44% responded high parity as a risk factor [122]. Similar reports on parity in previous studies in Africa show high parity as a risk

factor was underreported in sub-Saharan Africa [73], no report on parity [123]. In our study, most of the students had experience of high parity in their families and experienced self-serving bias and similar observations in other parts of the world [124-126]. Mean baseline awareness about the risk factors was 2.71 and after intervention an overall increase of 6.7. After the educational intervention, awareness about STD nature of HPV infections increased from 15.2% to 68.6% and similarly awareness about HPV as cervical cancer causative organism increased from baseline level 16.6% to 78.4%. HPV can cause anal cancers was the least correctly answered before (9.5%) and after (20.8%) the educational intervention. Overall mean knowledge level before the intervention was 1.37, and after the intervention was 5.61 with an increase of 4.24.

After the intervention, an increase from 19.7% to 69.32% in study respondents about cervical screening. Similarly in other studies, knowledge levels about symptoms, HPV, preventive methods improved after educational intervention [92]. 13.3% respondents before and 82.3% after intervention reported that they were heard of Pap smear test and similar observations earlier reported [127-129]. Pap smear test can pick cell changes knowledge levels increased from 5.7% to 62.2% in study participants after the educational intervention and educational intervention improved knowledge about HPV and cervical cancer screening. Similar observations reported in earlier studies [130-132]. The overall mean level of knowledge before the intervention was 1.95 after the intervention was 6.93 with a mean increase of 4.98.

48.5% of total respondents before and 91.5% after the educational intervention were aware of HPV vaccination. There is a wide global variation on cervical cancer awareness and HPV vaccination acceptability is reported in several reports [133-135]. In our study participants, HPV vaccination was the least improved category even after education intervention. The global concept of HPV vaccine is relatively new, may face challenges for general public acceptance. In our study, baseline knowledge was least in HPV vaccination and 65% of study participants not aware about HPV vaccination. But it is differing to the earlier reports, study participants showed poor knowledge on risk factors [67, 136]. After educational intervention, knowledge levels improved and vaccine acceptability increased from 15.9% to 47%. However, higher HPV vaccine acceptability reported in other studies, 70% [136], 80% [133], from 80% to 89% [138], 73% to 82% [134], high levels [139] and positive impact of educational intervention on HPV vaccine acceptance. [140-143].

After the intervention, only 18% of study participants correctly understand the right age for vaccination in girls. HPV vaccines could be given to boys, was increased from baseline knowledge of 8.8% to 50.5% (P=0.05) after the educational intervention. 18% of study respondents before and 42.4% after intervention responded correctly

the best time for HPV vaccination would be before becoming sexually active. Similar observations in other studies, [144], increase incorrect response to 72.5% [138]. HPV vaccination overall mean knowledge level before the intervention was 1.0, and after the intervention was 4.34 with a mean increase of 3.34. Health educator as the source of information about Pap test before and after the educational intervention increased from 13% to 61.4%. This is differing from earlier reports on Nigeria where friends were the most important source before and after intervention [145, 146]. Friends and relatives were important source of information about cervical cancer and was corroborated by another study in Lagos which had similar findings [146]. After the educational intervention, screening acceptance levels increased from 25.8% and after 46.3% and similar increase to receive or recommend HPV vaccination from 15.9% to 47% in our study participants. Overall acceptance of HPV vaccine among the study population before 21.2% and 34.6% after educational intervention. The low increase in HPV vaccination awareness supported by other studies, inadequate knowledge of vaccine reported even in physicians, medical students, and other healthcare workers [85]. In the African continent, secondary prevention (54.6%) emphasized over primary prevention and vaccination was 23.4% [147]. Studies show after the educational intervention, knowledge on vaccination was low [113] but acceptability was high [113, 148].

Before and after educational intervention concern about side effects (23.3%, 24.4%), efficacy (4.2%, 8.5%), inadequate information (17.3%, 3.9%), and cost (8%, 20.8%) respectively. Interesting inadequate information as a complaint reduced from 17.3% to 3.9%. Similar reports on concern about effectiveness and side effects of the HPV vaccine [149]. Our study respondents' health-seeking behaviour is not fully positive and 1.4% of respondents before and 9.9% after intervention said, they never visit any medical help and 25.8% could not even understand the importance of health check-up. However, there was an increase from 23.7% and 39.2% of respondents reported, they will visit medical hospital within a few days. Other similar reports show after educational intervention, positive attitude to uptake screening and vaccination [115, 129, 150-159].

Bivariate analysis showed age, branch of study, father's and mother's education level had strong association on awareness before intervention and post-intervention knowledge gain was under the strong influence of year of study and other influencing factors were age, branch of study, family size. A similar studies showed a significant impact of level of education, income [160] with awareness and knowledge on risk factors and vaccination [161]. Various studies show that independent variables like age, branch of study, level of education, parents' education and occupation are good predictors of good knowledge levels of cervical cancer. Age, educational level and branch of the study were found to have a significant association with the level of knowledge about cervical cancer before and after the intervention. The similar report showed science students had better knowledge of HPV over students,

not from a science background [67]. The impact of the educational intervention and an increase in the awareness about cervical cancer highlights knowledge dissemination continues to be an important tool in public health primary prevention. There is an urgent necessity to promote knowledge on risk factors of female cancers should reach all women, as well as men, and provide health education and community-based interventions. Such efforts could promote a positive attitude towards treatment options, outcomes, and survivorship in female cancers and improve practices could help overcome poor awareness.

Conclusion

The overall baseline knowledge levels were very low and mean level of awareness on various broad issues (categories) of cervical cancer was 8.77. After education intervention knowledge levels improved to 30.39. Baseline knowledge on risk factors, screening and symptoms were better over HPV and vaccination. However, after the intervention, knowledge levels improved in all domains with low improvement about vaccination. Only 10 (3.5%) participants' family members were ever screened for cervical cancer, although the 46.3% of them were willing to undergo screening, the important obstacle cost. Majority of the respondents did not hear the availability of vaccine and its primary preventive role in improving the risk of HPV infections. The result of this study revealed that only 33.9% of women had sufficient knowledge of cervical cancer after education intervention. This study also showed that a small percentage of study participants (9.9%) had an unfavorable attitude to seek medical help when they may any symptom of cervical cancer. The study also revealed that branch of study, year of study were significantly associated with knowledge levels of the students. Based on the findings of this study, education intervention is an effective method to improve knowledge levels on cervical cancer and students can be trained to disseminate the knowledge in society and help in spreading the positive attitude towards screening and vaccination. Based on this, we recommend the government should take measures to initiate health education training on cervical cancer at university levels and make educational institutions become important stakeholders to disseminate cervical cancer awareness and positive attitude in society.

Acknowledgment

We thank all women students of Twedrows and Maraki campuses of the University of Gondar who took part in the survey. We also thank Institute of Biotechnology, University of Gondar, Gondar, Ethiopia for invaluable suggestions and support.

Author Contributions

Conceptualization: NB, IM. Data collection tool (English): NB, IM & Data collection tool (Amharic): TM.
 Performed the experiments: IM, TM. Resources and supervision: NB. Analyzed the data: IM, NB, TM. Wrote
 original draft: IM. Editing & finalizing the original draft: NB, TM & IM.

Conflict of Interest

We declare no conflict of interest

References

1. GLOBOCAN 2018: The Lancet: www.thelancet.com 392, 2018.
[https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736\(18\)32252-9/fulltext](https://www.thelancet.com/journals/lancet/article/PIIS0140-6736(18)32252-9/fulltext).
2. Tsegaye S, Daniel M, Teklemariam G. Knowledge and attitude towards cervical cancer screening and associated factors among female Hawassa university college of medicine and health sciences students. MOJ Public Health. 2018; 7(3):151–158. DOI: [10.15406/mojph.2018.07.00221](https://doi.org/10.15406/mojph.2018.07.00221)
3. Chinula, L, Moses A, Gopal S. HIV- associated malignancies in sub- Saharan Africa: progress, challenges, and opportunities. Curr Opin HIV AIDS. 2017; 12: 89–95. PMID: 27607593 PMCID: PMC5241291 DOI: 0.1097/COH.0000000000000329
4. Mesfin TS, Hailu FD, Dagne MT, Roza A, Yonas TM, Amare W. Level of Knowledge and Associated Factor toward Cervical Cancer among Women Age (21-64) Years Visiting Health Facilities in Gulele Sub-city Addis Ababa Ethiopia. J Pancreas, 2017; 25; 18(1):44-48.
5. Getahun G, Mazengia F, Abuhay M, Birhanu Z. Comprehensive knowledge about cervical cancer is low among women in Northwest Ethiopia. BMC Cancer; 2013; 13:2 [http://www.biomedcentral.com/ 1471-2407/13/2](http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2407/13/2). <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-2> PMID: 23282173.
6. Iliyasu Z, Abubakar IS, Aliyu MH, et al. Cervical cancer risk perception and predictors of human papillomavirus vaccine acceptance among female university students in northern Nigeria. J Obstet Gynaecol. 2010; 30(8):857–862. Doi: <https://doi.org/10.3109/01443615.2010.511724>
7. Jemal A, Freddie B, Melissa MC, Jacques F, Elizabeth W, David F. Global Cancer Statistics. CA Cancer K Clin 2011; 61:69–90. <https://doi.org/10.3322/caac.20107>
8. Ellerbrock, TV, Chiasson MA, Bush TJ, Sun XW, Sawo D, Brudney K, et al. Incidence of cervical squamous intraepithelial lesions in HIV- infected women. JAMA. 2000; 283:1031–1037. PMID: 10697063

9. World Health Organization (WHO). Human Papillomavirus and Related Cancers: Summary Report Update. 2010. <https://www.unav.edu/documents/16089811/16216616/HPVReport2010.pdf>
10. GLOBOCAN, 2008. <https://www.iarc.fr/media-centre-iarc-news-29/>
11. Sreejata R, Sukanta M. Current Status of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice (KAP) and Screening for Cervical Cancer in Countries at Different Levels of Development. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 2012; 12: 4221-27.
12. Kress CM, Lisa S, Ashali OS, Dawit D, Henry MB, Jennifer G. Knowledge, attitudes, and practices regarding cervical cancer and screening among Ethiopian health care workers. *International Journal of Women's Health*. 2015;7 765–772. doi: 10.2147/IJWH.S85138
13. Ferlay J, Bray F, Pisani P, Parkin DM. GLOBOCAN 2000: cancer incidence, mortality and prevalence worldwide, version 1.0. IARC Cancerbase no. 5. Lyon, France: IARC Press, 2001. <https://doi.org/10.1002/ijc.25516>.
14. Parkin DM, Pisani P, Ferlay J. Estimates of the worldwide incidence of eighteen major cancers in 1985. *Int J Cancer* 1993; 54(4):594–606. PMID: 8514451
15. WHO. Comprehensive cervical cancer prevention and control: a healthier future for girls and women, Geneva, Switzerland, 2013. http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/10665/78128/3/9789241505147_eng.pdf
16. DeCherney A, Nathan L, Goodwin TM, et al. Current Diagnosis and Treatment: Obstetrics and Gynecology. 10th ed. McGraw-Hill: Appleton and Lange; 2012.
17. Mandelblatt JS, Lawrence WF, Gaffikin L, Limpahayom KK, Lumbiganon P, et al. Costs and benefits of different strategies to screen for cervical cancer in less-developed countries. *J Natl Cancer Inst*. 2002; 94: 1469-1483. <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/12359856>
18. Hailu A, Mariam DH. Patient side cost and its predictors for cervical cancer in Ethiopia: a cross sectional hospital based study. *BMC Cancer*. 2013; 13:69. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1471-2407-13-69>
19. Gakidou, E, Nordhagen S, Obermeyer Z. Coverage of cervical cancer screening in 57 countries: low average levels and large inequalities. *PLoS Med*. 2008; 5:e132. Pubmed: 18563963
20. Waktola EA, Mihret W, Bekele L. HPV and burden of cervical cancer in east Africa. *Gynecol Oncol* 2005; 99(3 Suppl 1):S201–S202. pubmed/16419208
21. Bruni L, Barrionuevo-Rosas L, Albero G, Serrano B, Mena M, Gómez D, et al. ICO Information Centre on HPV and Cancer (HPV Information Centre). Human Papillomavirus and Related Cancers in Ethiopia. Fact Sheet 2016. Available at <http://www.hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/XWX.pdf>.

22. Bekele L. Evaluation of serological response to oncoproteins of human papilloma virus type 16 And 18 as potential seromarkers for cervical cancer screening. Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia, 2005.
<https://www.omicsonline.org/open-access/trends-of-cervical-cancer-in-ethiopia-ccoa-1000103.pdf>
23. HPV report, 2017. http://www.hpvcentre.net/statistics/reports/ETH_FS.pdf
24. WebMD. Cancer health Center. <http://www.webmd.com/cancer/default.htm>
25. Yu FQ, Murugiah MK, Mehmood T. Meta-synthesis Exploring Barriers to Health Seeking Behaviour among Malaysian Breast Cancer Patients; Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2015; 16(1):145–152.
<https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/25640342>. PMID: 25640342
26. Anim JT. Breast cancer in sub-Saharan African women. Afr J Med Med Sci. 1993; 22(1): 5–10.
<https://www.ajol.info/index.php/ajrh/article/viewFile/144709/134371>
27. FMOH: National Strategic Action Plan (NSAP) for prevention & control of non-communicable diseases in Ethiopia from 2014–2016. https://www.iccp-portal.org/system/files/plans/ETH_B3.
28. Bekele C, Abdul-Rauf S, Bridgette G, Sarah R. A survey of knowledge and attitudes relating to cervical and breast cancer among women in Ethiopia. BMC Public Health. 2018; 18:1072.
<https://bmcpublichealth.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/s12889-018-5958-8>
29. Birhanu Z, Abdissa A, Belachew T, et al. Health seeking behavior for cervical cancer in Ethiopia: a qualitative study. Int J Equity Health. 2012; 11:83.
<https://equityhealthj.biomedcentral.com/articles/10.1186/1475-9276-11-83>
30. Gichangi P, Estambale B, Bwayo J, Rogo K, Ojwang S, et al. Knowledge and practice about cervical cancer and Pap smear testing among patients at Kenyatta National Hospital, Nairobi, Kenya. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2003; 13: 827-833. [pubmed/14675320](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/14675320/)
31. Rengaswamy S, et al. HPV Screening for Cervical Cancer in Rural India. The New England Journal of medicine. 2009; 360 (14): 1385-1394. [pubmed/19339719](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/19339719/)
32. Ralston JD , Taylor VM, Yasui Y, Kuniyuki A, Carey JJ, Shin-Ping T. Knowledge of cervical cancer risk factors among Chinese immigrants in Seattle. Journal of Community Health. 2003; 28 (1): 41–57.
[PMC1618780/](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/1618780/)
33. Price JH, Easton Susan AN, Telljohann SK, Patricia BW. Perceptions of cervical cancer and Pap smear screening behavior by women's sexual orientation. Journal of Community Health. 1996; 21 (2): 89–105.
[pubmed/8728358](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/8728358/).

34. Saba S, Adamu A, Muluken G, Selamawit H, Wondimu A, Sefonias G, et al. Knowledge about cervical cancer and barriers toward cervical cancer screening among HIV- positive women attending public health centers in Addis Ababa city, Ethiopia. *Cancer Medicine*. 2018; 7(3):903–912. PMC5852347/
35. FMOH: Health Sector Transformation Plan 2015–2020. Addis Ababa. Oct.2015. https://www.globalfinancingfacility.org/sites/gff_new/files/Ethiopia-health-system-transformation-plan.pdf
36. Broutet N. Interventions for encouraging sexual behaviours intended to prevent cervical cancer (last revised: 1 April 2012). The WHO Reproductive Health Library; Geneva: World Health Organization. Available from: http://apps.who.int/rhl/gynaecology/cancer/cd001035_broutetn_com/en/.
37. FMOH. National cancer control plan 2016–2020. Addis Ababa, Oct. 2015. <https://www.iccp-portal.org/sites/default/files/plans/NCCP%20Ethiopia%20Final%20261015.pdf>
38. Underhill L, Bradfield D. IntroSTAT. 2nd Edition. Cape Town: Juta & Co, Ltd. 1998; 260-261. <http://www.introstat.co.za/Divisions/Consumables/Consumables-Intro.aspx>
39. Saad AKS, Suleiman I, Rukaiya A. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among market women in Zaria, Nigeria. *Niger Med J*. 2013; 54(5):316. PMC3883231/
40. Sudenga SL, Rositch AF, Otieno WA, Smith, JS. Knowledge, attitudes, practices, and perceived risk of cervical cancer among Kenyan women: brief report. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer*. 2013; 23:895–899. [pubmed/23694983](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/23694983/)
41. Lyimo FS, Beran TN. Demographic, knowledge, attitudinal, and accessibility factors associated with uptake of cervical cancer screening among women in a rural district of Tanzania: Three public policy implications *BMC Public Health*. 2012; 12:22 doi: 10.1186/1471-2458-1112-1122 PMID: 22233530.
42. Mingo AM, Panozzo CA, DiAngi YT, Smith JS, Steenhoff AP, Ramogola-Masire D, et al. Cervical cancer awareness and screening in Botswana. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012; 22: 638–644. [pubmed/22367370](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/22367370/)
43. Tebeu PM, Major AL, Rapiti E, Petignat P, Bouchardy C, Sando Z, et al. The attitude and knowledge of cervical cancer by Cameroonian women; a clinical survey conducted in Maroua, the capital of Far North Province of Cameroon. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2008; 18(4):761–5. [pubmed/17868337](https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/17868337/)
44. Ogunbode OO, Ayinde OA: Awareness of cervical cancer and screening in a Nigerian female market population. *Ann Afr Med* 2005, 4(4):160. <https://www.ajol.info/index.php/aam/article/view/8354>

- 789 45. Adanu RM: Cervical cancer knowledge and screening in Accra, Ghana. *J Wom Health Gend Base Med*
790 2002, 11(6):487–488. pubmed/12225621
- 791 46. Belete N, Tsige Y, Mellie H. Willingness and acceptability of cervical cancer screening among women
792 living with HIV/AIDS in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: a cross sectional study. *BMC*, 2015; 2:6 [https://doi.org/](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40661-015-0012-3)
793 10.1186/s40661-015-0012-3 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4881166/> PMID:
794 27231566
- 795 47. Yitagesu HA, Samuel YA, Tariku LE. Knowledge, attitude and practice for cervical cancer prevention
796 and control among women of childbearing age in Hossana Town, Hadiya zone, Southern Ethiopia:
797 Community-based cross-sectional study. *PLOS ONE*, 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0163136>.
- 798 48. Mitiku I, Tefera F. Knowledge about Cervical Cancer and Associated Factors among 1549 Year Old
799 Women in Dessie Town, Northeast Ethiopia. *PLoS ONE*. 2016; 11(9): e0163136.doi:10.1371/
800 journal.pone.0163136.
- 801 49. Ezenwa BN, Balogun MR, Okafor IP. Mothers' human papilloma virus knowledge and willingness to vaccinate
802 their adolescent daughters in Lagos, Nigeria. *Int J Wom Health*. 2013; 5:371–7.
- 803 50. Poole DN, Tracy JK, Levitz L, Rochas M, Sangare K, Yekta S, et al. A cross- sectional study to assess HPV knowledge
804 and HPV vaccine acceptability in Mali. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8(2):e56402.
- 805 51. Makwe CC, Anorlu RI, Odeyemi KA. Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection and vaccines: knowledge, attitude and
806 perception among female students at the University of Lagos, Lagos, Nigeria. *J Epidemiol Global Health*. 2012;
807 2(4):199–206. pubmed/23856501
- 808 52. Francis SA, Battle-Fisher M, Liverpool J, Hipple L, Mosavel M, Soogun S, et al. A qualitative analysis of South African
809 women's knowledge, attitudes, and beliefs about HPV and cervical cancer prevention, vaccine awareness and
810 acceptance, and maternal-child communication about sexual health. *Vaccine*. 2011; 29(47):8760–5.
- 811 53. Blake KD, Ottenbacher AJ, Finney Rutten LJ, Grady MA, Kobrin SC, Jacobson RM, et al. Predictors of Human
812 Papillomavirus Awareness and Knowledge in 2013: Gaps and Opportunities for Targeted Communication Strategies.
813 *Am J Prev Med*. 2015; 48(4):402–10.
- 814 54. Deriemaeker H, Michielsens D, Reichman G, Devroey D, Cammu H. Knowledge about human papillomavirus
815 and the human papillomavirus vaccine in Belgian students. *Central European J Urol*. 2014; 67(4):410–7.
- 816 55. Ezem BU. Awareness and uptake of cervical cancer screening in Owerri, South- Eastern Nigeria. *Ann*
817 *Afr Med*. 2007; 6: 94-98.

56. Mulatu K, Motma A, Seid M, et al. Assessment of Knowledge, Attitude and Practice on Cervical Cancer Screening among Female Students of Mizan Tepi University, Ethiopia, 2016. *Cancer Biol Ther Oncol*. 2017; 1:1.
57. Ali-Risasi, CP, Mulumba K, Verdonck D, Vanden B, Praet M. Knowledge, attitude and practice about cancer of the uterine cervix among women living in Kinshasa, the Democratic Republic of Congo. *BMC Women's Health*. 2014; 14:30. doi: 10.1186/1472-6874-14-30 PMID: 24548698.
58. Mingo AM, Panozzo CA, DiAngi YT, Smith JS, Steenhoff AP, Ramogola-Masire D, et al. Cervical cancer awareness and screening in Botswana. *Int. J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012; 22: 638–644.
59. Ebu, NI, Mupepi SC, Siakwa MP, Sampsellem CM. Knowledge, practice, and barriers toward cervical cancer screening in Elmina, Southern Ghana. *Int J Womens Health*. 2015; 7:31–39.
60. Gulendam K, Zeynep G, Ramazan S, Esen S, Fulya B. Awareness and Practices for Breast and Cervical Cancer among Turkish Women. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2014; 15: 1093-1098. DOI: <http://dx.doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2014.15.3.1093>
61. Shah V, Vyas S, Singh A, Shrivastava M. Awareness and knowledge of cervical cancer and its prevention among the nursing staff of a tertiary health institute in Ahmedabad, Gujarat, India. *Ecancer*. 2012; 6:270 DOI: 10.3332/ecancer.2012.270.
62. Al-Naggar RA, Low WY, Isa ZM. Knowledge and barriers towards cervical cancer screening among young women in Malaysia. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2010; 11(4):867–873.
63. Nganwai P, Truadpon P, Inpa C, Sangpetngam B, Mekjarasnapa M, et al. Knowledge, attitudes and practices vis-a-vis cervical cancer among registered nurses at the Faculty of Medicine, Khon Kaen University, Thailand *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2008; 9: 15–18.
64. Anya SE, Oshi DC, Nwosu SO, et al. Knowledge, attitude and practice of female health professionals regarding cervical cancer and Pap smear. *Niger J Med*. 2005; 14: 283–6 9.
65. Hoque M, Hoque E, Kader SB. Evaluation of cervical cancer screening program at a rural community of South Africa. *East Afr J Publ Health*. 2008; 5(2):111.
66. Temel AB, Şafak D, Şenay K, Renginar ÖD, Zeynep A. Effect of structured training programme on the knowledge and behaviors of breast and cervical cancer screening among the female teachers in Turkey. *BMC Women's Health*. 2017; 17:123.
67. Saha A, Chaudhury AN, Bhowmik P, Chatterjee R. Awareness of cervical cancer among female students of premier colleges in Kolkata, India. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2010; 11, 1085-90.

68. Ertem G. Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and screening behavior among nurses in rural Turkey. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev. 2009; 10:735–8.
69. Wong LP, Wong YL, Low WY, Khoo EM, Shuib R. Knowledge and awareness of cervical cancer and screening among Malaysian women who have never had a Pap smear: A qualitative study. Singapore Med J. 2009; 50: 49-53.
70. Dhendup T, Tshering P. Cervical cancer knowledge and screening behaviors among female university graduates of the year 2012 attending the national graduate orientation program, Bhutan. BMC Women's Health. 2014; 14(1):44.
71. McCarey C, et al. Awareness of HPV and cervical cancer prevention among Cameroonian healthcare workers. BMC Women's Health. 2011; 11: 45. <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1472-6874/11/45>.
72. Ali SF, Ayub S, Manzoor NF, Azim S, Afif M, et al. Knowledge and awareness about cervical cancer and its prevention amongst interns and nursing staff in tertiary care hospitals in Karachi, Pakistan PLoS ONE. 2010; 5(6): e11059. DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0011059
73. Amos DM, Christopher GO, Edward MW, Georgios L, Henry W, Martin R. Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and symptoms: cross-sectional community survey in post-conflict northern Uganda. Published by John Wiley & Sons Ltd. 2015. doi: 10.1111/hex.12382
74. Assoumou SZ, Mabika BM, Mbiguino AN, Mouallif M, Khattabi A, Ennaji M. Awareness and knowledge regarding of cervical cancer, Pap smear screening and human papillomavirus infection in Gabonese women. BMC Women's Health. 2015; 15:37. Available from: <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12905-015-0193-2> PMID: 25924940
75. Peter NA, Navkiran KS. Cervical cancer screening among college students in Ghana: Knowledge and health beliefs. Int J Gynecol Cancer. 2009; 19: 412-6.
76. Aweke YH, Ayanto SY, Ersado TL. Knowledge, attitude and practice for cervical cancer prevention and control among women of childbearing age in Hossana Town, Hadiya zone, Southern Ethiopia: Community-based crosssectional study. PLoS ONE. 2017; 12(7): e0181415. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0181415>
77. MwakaM M, Amos D, Orach CG, Were EM, Lyratzopoulos G, Wabinga HRM. Awareness of cervical cancer risk factors and symptoms: cross-sectional community survey in post-conflict northern Uganda. IJPP Health Expect. 2016; 19 (4): 854–867. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4957614/>

78. Ahmed SA, Sabitu K, Idris SH, Ahmed R. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening among market women in Zaria, Nigeria. *Niger Med J.* 2013; 54(5): 316–9. <https://doi.org/10.4103/0300-1652.122337> Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC3883231/>. PMID: 24403709
79. Lee PW, Kwan TT, Tam KF, et al. Beliefs about cervical cancer and human papillomavirus (HPV) and acceptability of HPV vaccination among Chinese women in Hong Kong *Prev Med.* 2007; 45: 130-4.
80. Oh JK, Lim MK, Yun EH, Lee EH, Shin HR. Awareness of and attitude towards human papillomavirus infection and vaccination for cervical cancer prevention among adult males and females in Korea: A nationwide interview survey. *Vaccine.* 2010; 28: 1854-60.
81. Ingledue K, Cottrell R, Bernard A. College women's knowledge, perceptions, and preventive behaviors regarding human papillomavirus infection and cervical cancer. *Am J Hlth Studies.* 2004; 19: 28-34.
82. Lopez R, McMahan S. College women's perception and knowledge of human papillomavirus (HPV) and cervical cancer. *Californian J Hlth Promotion.* 2007; 5: 12-25.
83. Phillips Z, Johnson S, Avis M, et al. Human papillomavirus and the value of screening: Young women's knowledge of cervical cancer. *Hlth Edu Res.* 2003; 18: 318-28.
84. Klug SJ, Hukelmann M, Blettner M. Knowledge about infection with human papillomavirus: A systematic review. *Prev Med.* 2008; 46: 87-98.
85. Abbey BB, Mahbubur R, Jacqueline MH, Richard ER, Kwabena OS. A brief educational intervention increases providers' human papillomavirus vaccine knowledge, *Human Vaccines & Immunotherapeutics.* 2015; 11(6): 1331-1336. DOI: 10.1080/21645515.2015.1022691.
86. Ozsurekci Y, Karadag OE, Bayhan C, Celik M, Ozkaya-Parlakay A, Arvas M, Ceyhan M. Knowledge and attitudes about human papillomaviruses and immunization among Turkish pediatricians. *Asian Pacific J of Cancer Prevention.* 2013; 14:7325-9; PMID: 24460296; [Doi: 10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.12.7325](https://doi.org/10.7314/APJCP.2013.14.12.7325).
87. McSherry LA, Dombrowski SU, Francis JJ, Murphy J, Martin CM, O'Leary JJ, Sharp L; ATHENS Group. 'It's a can of worms': understanding primary care practitioners' behaviours in relation to HPV using the Theoretical Domains Framework. *Implement Sci.* 2012; 7:73; PMID: 22862968.
88. Saraiya M, Rosser JI, Cooper CP. Cancers that U.S. physicians believe the HPV vaccine prevents: findings from a physician survey, 2009. *J Womens Health (Larchmt).* 2012; 21:111-7; PMID: 22216920; [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2011.3313](http://dx.doi.org/10.1089/jwh.2011.3313).

89. Makwe CC, Anorlu RI. Knowledge of and attitude toward human papillomavirus infection and vaccines among female nurses at a tertiary hospital in Nigeria. *Int J Womens Health*. 2011; 3:313-7; PMID:21976985; <http://dx.doi.org/10.2147/IJWH.S22792>
90. Daley MF, Crane LA, Markowitz LE, Black SR, Beaty BL, Barrow J, Babbel C, Gottlieb SL, Liddon N, Stokley S, et al. Human papillomavirus vaccination practices: a survey of U.S. physicians 18 months after licensure. *Pediatrics*. 2010; 126:425-33; PMID: 20679306; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1542/peds.2009-3500>.
91. Varughese NR, Samuel CJ, Dabas P. Knowledge and practices of cervical cancer screening among married women in a semi-urban population of Ludhiana, Punjab. *CHRISMED J Health Res*. [serial online] 2016; [cited 2017 Jun 12]; 3:51–4. Available from: <http://www.cjhr.org/text.asp?2016/3/1/51/172401>.
92. Poonam RN, Nagaraj K, Abhay SN. Awareness of cervical cancer and effectiveness of educational intervention programme among nursing students in a rural area of Andhra Pradesh. *Healthline*. 2012; 3 (2): 41-45.
93. Sawadogo B, Gitta SN, Rutebemberwa E, Sawadogo E, Meda N. Knowledge and beliefs on cervical cancer and practices on cervical cancer screening among women aged 20 to 50 years in Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso, 2012: a cross- sectional study. *Pan Afr Med J*. 2014; 18:175.
94. Awodele O, Adeyomoye AAA, Awodele DF, Kwashi V, Awodele IO, Dolapo DC. A Study on Cervical Cancer Screening Amongst Nurses in Lagos University Teaching Hospital, Lagos, Nigeria. *J Canc Educ*. 2011; 26: 497-504.
95. Chinwe RE, UdeNebonta AR. Impact of Health Education on Knowledge, Attitude and Practice of Cervical Cancer Screening Among Secondary School Teachers in Enugu State. *J Women's Health Care*. 2015; 4: 241. doi:10.4172/2167-0420.1000241.
96. Udigwe GO. Knowledge, attitude and practice of cervical cancer screening (Pap smear) among female nurses in Nnewi, South Eastern Nigeria. *Niger J Clin Practice*. 2006; 9(1): 40–43.
97. Ladner J, Besson MH, Audureau E, Rodrigues M, Saba J. Experiences and lessons learned from 29 HPV vaccination programs implemented in 19 low and middle-income countries. *BMC Health Serv Res*. 2016; 2009–14. <https://doi.org/10.1186/s12913-016-1824-5>.
98. Paul P, Fabio A. Literature review of HPV vaccine delivery strategies: considerations for school- and non-school based immunization program. *Vaccine*. 2014; 32(3):320–6.

99. Bailey HH, et al. American Society of Clinical Oncology Statement: Human Papillomavirus Vaccination for Cancer Prevention. *J Clin Oncol*. 2016; 34(15): 1803–12. <https://doi.org/10.1200/JCO.2016.67.2014>.
100. Tariku R. Correlates of knowledge on cervical cancer among female students of the college of health science. Addis Ababa University. 2016.
101. Bebis H, Reis N, Yavan T, Bayrak D, Unal A, Bodur S. Effect of health education about cervical cancer and papanicolaou testing on the behavior, knowledge, and beliefs of Turkish women. *Int J Gynecol Cancer*. 2012; 22: 1407-12.
102. Senol V, Balci E, Cetinkaya F, Balci F. Women's knowledge and behavior on cervical cancer, in Kayseri, Turkey. *Turkiye Klinikleri J Med Sci*. 2012. 32: 694-701.
103. Bayoumi MM, Elbasuny MM, Nasser AMA, Abdullah KM, Almatery NMA. Saudi young females' level of knowledge regarding cervical and breast cancer. *Int Journal of Nursing Science*. 2012; 2: 47-52.
104. Ak M, Canbal M, Turan S, Gurbuz N. Attitude concerning the Pap smear test of women who admitted to the family medicine outpatient clinic. *Konuralp Med J*. 2010; 2: 1-4.
105. Mary B, Linnette D'Sa J. Evaluation of an Educational Program on Cervical Cancer for Rural Women in Mangalore, Southern India. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2014; 15: 6603-08.
106. Risi L, Bindman JP, Campbell OM, Imrie J, Everett K, Bradley J, Denny L. Media interventions to increase cervical screening uptake in South Africa: an evaluation study of effectiveness. *Health Educ Res*. 2004; 19(4): 457–68.
107. Chadza E, Chirwa E, Maluwa A, Malata A, Kazembe A, Chimwaza A. Factors that contribute to delay in seeking cervical cancer diagnosis and treatment among women in Malawi. *Health*. 2012; 4 (11): 1015-22.
108. Mausumi B, Showket H, Vilas N, Bhudev CD. HPV & HPV vaccination: Issues in developing countries. *Indian J Med Res*. 2009; 130: 327–333.
109. Neerja B, Elizabeth J. Cervical cancer prevention & the role of human papillomavirus vaccines in India. *Indian J Med Res*. 2009; 130: 334–340.
110. Pandey D, Vanya V, Bhagat S, VS B, Shetty J. Awareness and Attitude towards Human Papillomavirus (HPV) Vaccine among Medical Students in a Premier Medical School in India. *PLoS ONE*. 2012; 7(7): e40619. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0040619.

111. Evelyn CI, Chidinma PA, Maug A, Pauline EJ. Increasing Cervical Cancer Awareness and Screening in Jamaica: Effectiveness of a Theory-Based Educational Intervention. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2016; 13: 53.
112. Duval B, Gilca V, McNeil S, Dobson S, Money D, Gemmill IM, Sauvageau C, Lavoie F, Ouakki M. Vaccination against human papillomavirus: a baseline survey of Canadian clinicians' knowledge, attitudes and beliefs. *Vaccine*. 2007; 25: 7841-7; PMID: 17923173; <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.vaccine.2007.08.041>.
113. Ajah LO, Iyoke CA, Ezeonu PO, Ugwu GO, Onoh RC, Ibo CC. Association between Knowledge of Cervical Cancer/Screening and Attitude of Teachers to Immunization of Adolescent Girls with Human Papilloma Virus Vaccine in Abakaliki, Nigeria. *American Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2015; 3(1): 8-12.
114. Kangkana B, Nijara R, Lipi BM, Pallabi S, Dipankar D. Assessing the awareness level of breast and cervical cancer: a cross-sectional study in northeast India. 2016. DOI: 10.5455/ijmsph.2016.28012016409.
115. Musa J, Achenbach CJ, O'Dwyer LC, Evans CT, McHugh M, Hou L, et al. Effect of cervical cancer education and provider recommendation for screening on screening rates: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *PLoS ONE*. 2017; 12(9): e0183924. <https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0183924>.
116. Harmeet K, Bandana B. Assessing the Impact of Awareness program on Breast and Cervical Cancer Knowledge Empowerment among Working Women in Education Sector. *Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Healthcare*. 2014; 1(1): 19–31.
117. Lambert EC. College students' knowledge of human papillomavirus and effectiveness of a brief educational intervention. *J Am Board Fam Prac*. 2001; 14: 178-83.
118. Choi SY. Development of an educational program to prevent cervical cancer among immigrants in Korea. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2013; 14: 5345-9.
119. Fernandes P. Preventing carcinoma cervix among women through teaching programs. *Nightingale Nursing Times*. 2011; 6: 6-8.
120. Wright KO, Kuyinu Y, Faduyile A. Community education on cervical cancer amongst market women in an urban area of Lagos, Nigeria. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev*. 2010; 11: 137-40.

121. Teresa J, Brijesh S, Chacchu B, Jenny C. Awareness of Cervix Cancer Risk Factors in Educated Youth: A Cross-Sectional, Questionnaire Based Survey in India, Nepal, and Sri Lanka. *Asian Pacific Journal of Cancer Prevention*. 2011; 12: 1707-1711.
122. Maree JE, Wright SC, Makua TP. Men's lack of knowledge adds to the cervical cancer burden in South Africa. *European Journal of Cancer Care*. 2011; 20: 662–668.
123. Gatune JW, Nyamongo IK. An ethnographic study of cervical cancer among women in rural Kenya: is there a folk causal model? *International Journal of Gynecological Cancer*. 2005; 15: 1049–1059.
124. Duval TS, Silvia PJ. Self-awareness, probability of improvement, and the self-serving bias. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 2002; 82: 49–61.
125. Blaine B, Crocker J. Self-esteem and self-serving biases in reactions to positive and negative events: an integrative review. In: Baumeister R (ed.) *Self Esteem*. Plenum Press, New York, USA, 1993: 55–85.
126. Bradley GW. Self-serving biases in the attribution process: a reexamination of the fact or fiction question. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*. 1978; 36: 56–71.
127. Perkins RB, Sarah Langrish, Linda Jo Stern, Carol J. Simon. A community-based education program about cervical cancer improves knowledge and screening behavior in Honduran women. *Pan Am J Public Health*. 2007; 22(3): 187-193.
128. Agurto I, Arrossi S, White S, et al. Involving the community in cervical cancer prevention programs. *Int J Gynaecol Obstet*. 2005; 89 Suppl 2: S38–45.
129. Austin LT, Ahmad F, McNally MJ, Stewart DE. Breast and cervical cancer screening in Hispanic women: a literature review using the health belief model. *Womens Health Issues*. 2002; 12(3):122–8.
130. Thani AA, Aizeldin E, Mohamad AT, Rasha ES. Impact of Health Education on Utilization of Cervical Cancer Screening Services among Females Working in Secondary Schools in Doha. *Middle East J of Family Medicine*. 2012; 10 (4): 10-19.
131. Papa D, Moore Simas TA, Reynolds M, Melnitsky H. Assessing the role of education in women's knowledge and acceptance of adjunct high risk human Pappilomavirus testing for cervical cancer screening. *J Low Genit Tract Dis*. 2009; 13 (2): 66-71.
132. Lin HH, Chen SH, Jeng SY, Chen HM. A project to improve the screening rate of Pap smear for cervical cancer. *Hu Li Za Zhi*. 2007; 54 (1): 62-69.

- 133.Hoque ME, Van Hal G. Acceptability of human papillomavirus vaccine: a survey among Master of Business Administration students in KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *Biomed Res Int*. 2014;257807. doi:10.1155/2014/257807.
- 134.Chang IJ, Huang R, He W, et al. Effect of an educational intervention on HPV knowledge and vaccine attitudes among urban employed women and female undergraduate students in China: a cross-sectional study. *BMC Pub Health*. 2013; 13:916.
- 135.Basu P, Mittal S. Acceptability of human papillomavirus vaccine among the urban, affluent and educated parents of young girls residing in Kolkata, Eastern India. *J Obstet Gynaecol Res*. 2011; 37(5):393–401.
- 136.Aswathy S, Quereshi MA, Kurian B, Leelamoni K. Cervical cancer screen-ing: current knowledge and practice among women in a rural population of Kerala, India. *Indian J Med Res*. 2012; 136: 205-10.
- 137.Ezeanochie MC, Olagbuji BN. Human papilloma virus vaccine: determinants of acceptability by mothers for adolescents in Nigeria. *Afr J Reprod Health*. 2014; 18(3):154–8.
- 138.Hoquea ME. Acceptability of human papillomavirus vaccination among academics at the University of KwaZulu-Natal, South Africa. *South African Family Practice*. 2015; 57(5): 318–321.
- 139.Perlman S, Wamai RG, Bain PA, et al. Knowledge and awareness of HPV vaccine and acceptability to vaccinate in Sub-Saharan Africa: a systematic review. *PLoS ONE*. 2014; 9(3):e90912. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0090912.
- 140.Ports KA, Reddy DM, Rameshbabu A. Barriers and facilitators to HPV vaccination: perspectives from malawian women. *Women Health*. 2013; 53(6):630–45.
- 141.Hopfer S. Effects of a narrative HPV vaccination intervention aimed at reaching college women: a randomized controlled trial. *Prev Sci*. 2012; 13:173–82.
- 142.Remes P, Selestine V, Chungalucha J, et al. A qualitative study of HPV vaccine acceptability among health workers, teachers, parents, female pupils, and religious leaders in northwest Tanzania. *Vaccine*. 2012; 30 (36): 5363–7.
- 143.Reiter PL, Stubbs B, Panozzo CA, et al. HPV and HPV vaccine education intervention: effects on parents, healthcare staff, and school staff. *Cancer Epidemiol Biomarkers Prev*. 2011; 20(11):2354–61.
- 144.Markowitz LE, Dunne EF, Saraiya M, et al. Quadrivalent human papillomavirus vaccine: recommendations of the advisory committee on immunization practices (ACIP). *MMWR Recomm Rep*. 2007; 56(RR-2):1–24.

145. Gana GJ, Oche MO, Ango JT, Raji MO, Okafoagu NC. Effect of an educational program on awareness of cervical cancer and uptake of Pap smear among market women in Niger State, North Central Nigeria. *J. Public Health Epidemiology*. 2016; 8(10): 211-219.
146. Wright KO, Faseru B, Kuyinu YA, Faduyile FA. Awareness and uptake of the Pap smear among market women in Lagos, Nigeria. *J. Public Health Afr*. 2011; 2(1):e14.
147. Sarah FK, Catherine W, May Maloba NM, Florence NM, Elizabeth B. Cervical cancer prevention and treatment research in Africa: a systematic review from a public health perspective. *BMC Women's Health*. 2016; 16(29): 1-25.
148. Songthap A, Pitisuttithum P, Kaewkungwal J, Fungladda W, Bussaratid V. Knowledge, attitudes, and acceptability of a human papilloma virus vaccine among students, parents and teachers in Thailand. *Southeast Asian J Trop Med Public Health*. 2012; 43(2): 340-53.
149. Smith PJ, Humiston SG, Marcuse EK, et al. Parental delay or refusal of vaccine doses, childhood vaccination coverage at 24 months of age, and the health belief model. *Public Health Rep*. 2011; 126(2):135–46.
150. Byrd TL, Wilson KM, Smith JL, Coronado G, Vernon SW, Fernandez-Esquer ME, et al. AMIGAS: a multicity, multicomponent cervical cancer prevention trial among Mexican American women. *Cancer*. 2013; 119(7): 1365–72. Epub 2013/01/03. <https://doi.org/10.1002/cncr.27926> PMID: 23280399.
151. Jeong SJ Saroha E, Knight J, Rooffe M, Jolly PE. Determinants of adequate follow-up of an abnormal Papanicolaou result among Jamaican women in Portland, Jamaica. *Cancer Epidemiol*. 2011; 35: 211–216. [PubMed]
152. Nuno T, Martinez ME, Harris R, Garcia F. A Promotora-administered group education intervention to promote breast and cervical cancer screening in a rural community along the U.S.-Mexico border: a randomized controlled trial. *Cancer causes & control: CCC*. 2011; 22(3): 367–74. Epub 2010/12/25. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10552-010-9705-4> PMID: 21184267.
153. Mishra SI, Luce PH, Baquet CR. Increasing Pap smear utilization among Samoan women: results from a community based participatory randomized trial. *Journal of health care for the poor and underserved*. 2009; 20(2 Suppl): 85–101. Epub 2009/08/28. PMID: 19711495.
154. Wagner C, Semmler C, Good A, Wardle J. Health literacy and self-efficacy for participating in colorectal cancer screening: the role of information processing. *Patient Educ Couns*. 2009; 75(3): 352–357.

- 155.Lindau ST, Basu A, Leitsch SA. Health literacy as a predictor of follow-up after an abnormal Pap smear: a prospective study. *J Gen Intern Med.* 2006; 21: 829–834.
- 156.Hou SI, Fernandez ME, Baumler E, Parcel GS. Effectiveness of an intervention to increase Pap test screening among Chinese women in Taiwan. *Journal of community health.* 2002; 27(4):277–90. Epub 2002/08/23. PMID: 12190056.
- 157.Lindau ST, Tomori C, Lyons T, Langseth L, Bennett CL, Garcia P. The association of health literacy with cervical cancer prevention knowledge and health behaviors in a multiethnic cohort of women. *Am J Obstet Gynecol.* 2002; 186 (5): 938–943.
- 158.Nguyen TT, McPhee SJ, Nguyen T, Lam T, Mock J. Predictors of cervical Pap smear screening awareness, intention, and receipt among Vietnamese-American women. *Am J Prev Med.* 2002; 23: 207–214.
- 159.Valdez A, Banerjee K, Ackerson L, Fernandez M. A multimedia breast cancer education intervention for low-income Latinas. *J Community Health.* 2002; 27: 33–51. [PubMed]
- 160.Kaur S, Kaur B. A descriptive study to assess the awareness of the women regarding cervical cancer. *Int J Nurs Educ.* 2012; 4: 66-8.
- 161.Alsaad MA, Shamsuddin K, Fadzil F. Knowledge towards HPV infection and HPV vaccines among Syrian Mothers. *Asian Pac J Cancer Prev.* 2012; 13: 879-83.

Supporting information captions

The table in the Appendix

Table A1: McNemar test of various knowledge levels grouped as no, poor, fair, and good cervical cancer awareness about symptoms, risk factors, HPV, screening and vaccination of respondents at P=0.000 significance level.

Domain	N=283/ McNemar Value
Knowledge levels compared	
Symptoms	215.18
Risk factors	163.63
HPV	199.68
Screening	202.88
Vaccination	190.52

Table A2: Significance of effect of various individual explanatory variables on overall awareness levels about CC

Variable	Pre-intervention	Post-intervention
Age	0.229	.216
Region	.300	.255
Rural/Urban	.434	.313
Year of study	.231	.066
Branch of study	.238	.414
Marital status	.458	.596
Religion	.550	.540
Father's education level	.301	.409
Mother's education level	.324	.410
Father's occupation	.311	.404
Mother's occupation	.305	.523
Family size	.392	.304

Family income	.179	.480
---------------	------	------

1107

1108 Table A3: Various predictors relationship about CC at the P=0.05 significance level

1109	Predictors relationship	Chi-square	Cramer's V
	Age category and Year of study	103.82	.606
1110	Age category and Father's education level	14.82	.229
	Age category and Mother's education level	7.04	.158
	Year of study and Branch of study	26.01	.303
1111	Branch of study and Family size	7.47	.163
	Father's education level and Mother's education level	230.87	.639
1112	Father's education level and Family size	18.57	.256
	Mother's education and Family size	23.27	.287

1113

1114