**Presence of *Legionella* spp. in cooling towers: the role of microbial diversity, *Pseudomonas*,
and continuous chlorine application.**

Kiran Paranjape¹, Émilie Bédard^{1,2}, Lyle G. Whyte¹, Jennifer Ronholm^{3,4}, Michèle Prévost² and
Sébastien P. Faucher¹

¹Department of Natural Resource Sciences, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences,
McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada; ²Department of Civil Engineering,
Polytechnique Montréal, Montréal, QC, Canada; ³Department of Food Science and Agricultural
Chemistry, Faculty of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-
Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada. ⁴Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agricultural and
Environmental Sciences, McGill University, Sainte-Anne-de-Bellevue, QC, Canada.

* Address correspondence to Sébastien P. Faucher

E-mail sebastien.faucher2@mcgill.ca.

Telephone 514-398-7886

ABSTRACT

Legionnaire's Disease (LD) is a severe pneumonia caused by *Legionella pneumophila*. Cooling towers are the main source of *L. pneumophila* during large outbreaks. Colonization, survival, and proliferation of *L. pneumophila* in cooling towers are necessary for outbreaks to occur. These steps are affected by chemical and physical parameters of the cooling tower environment. We hypothesize that the bacterial community residing in the cooling tower could also affect the presence of *L. pneumophila*. A 16S rRNA targeted amplicon sequencing approach was used to study the bacterial community of cooling towers and its relationship with the *Legionella spp.* and *L. pneumophila* communities. The results indicated that the water source shaped the bacterial community of cooling towers. Several taxa were enriched and positively correlated with *Legionella spp.* and *L. pneumophila*. In contrast, *Pseudomonas* showed a strong negative correlation with *Legionella spp.* and several other genera. Most importantly, continuous chlorine application reduced microbial diversity and promoted the presence of *Pseudomonas* creating a non-permissive environment for *Legionella spp.* This suggests that disinfection strategies as well as the resident microbial population influences the ability of *Legionella spp.* to colonize cooling towers.

INTRODUCTION

Legionnaires' Disease (LD) is a severe and potentially fatal pneumonia caused by several bacterial species of the genus *Legionella*. More than 90% of cases are caused by the species *Legionella pneumophila* [1]. The remaining 10% of cases are caused by other species, such as *L. longbeachae*, *L. bozemanii*, and *L. dumoffii* [2, 3, 4]. LD is usually contracted through the inhalation of contaminated aerosols. Consequently, Engineered Water Systems (EWS), such as hot water distribution systems, cooling towers, water fountains, misters, and whirlpool spas are sources of dissemination of the bacterium [5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10]. Cooling towers are the major source for large outbreaks and up to 28% of all sporadic cases [11, 12].

In recent years, the number of cases of LD has increased both in Europe and North America [13, 14]. From 2000 to 2014, the CDC reported an increase of 286% in cases of Legionellosis (LD and Pontiac fever) in the USA [15]. This increase is likely due to increasing population in urban areas, improvements in surveillance methods, aging populations, and climate change [13]. *Legionella* is now the main cause of death due to waterborne diseases in the US [16].

Several steps are needed for a tower to become the source of an outbreak of LD. First, the tower must be seeded with *L. pneumophila*. During operation, the water lost through evaporation is replenished either with municipal water, onsite well water or available surface water, which may be the source of *L. pneumophila* [17, 18]. Next, *L. pneumophila* must survive and proliferate in the cooling tower environment. Encountered stresses include low quantity of nutrients, disinfectants, and competing microbes [19, 20]. *L. pneumophila* can survive up to several months in oligotrophic water while retaining infectivity [21]. Multiple factors may affect the prevalence

of *Legionella* and its hosts in cooling towers including operational factors, temperature, water quality, the age of the equipment, the use of biocides (dosage, type and application schedule and residual concentration), and elevated bacterial indicators such as heterotrophic plate counts (HPC) [22, 23, 24, 25]. In addition, biofilms offer protection against disinfectants, while also providing nutrients and host cells [26, 27, 28, 29, 19]. While it is not clear if *L. pneumophila* can grow in biofilms independently of protozoan host cells, several studies indicate that this might be possible [30, 28, 31]. Moreover, the ability of *L. pneumophila* to colonize biofilms may depend on the microbial community composition of these biofilms [27, 32]. For example, *L. pneumophila* persists in *Klebsiella pneumoniae* biofilms but not in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* biofilms [27]. In addition, the surface material on which biofilms grow seemed to influence *L. pneumophila* survivability [33, 34, 35, 36]. Finally, some microorganisms present in cooling towers can prey on *L. pneumophila* and reduce its population. For instance, protozoa, such as *Solomitrus palustris*, and bacteria, such as *Bdellovibrio spp.*, feed on *L. pneumophila* in experimental settings [37, 38, 39]. Consequently, the presence of these species may restrict *L. pneumophila*'s colonization of cooling towers.

Following this initial colonisation, the *L. pneumophila* population must grow to sufficient number to be dispersed effectively and cause an LD outbreak. *L. pneumophila* is an intracellular parasite of amoeba and ciliates, such as *Acanthamoeba castellanii*, *Vermamoeba vermiformis* and *Tetrahymena pyriformis* [40, 41, 42]. Consequently, the cooling tower must harbor a large number of host cells in order for *L. pneumophila* to grow sufficiently to contaminate the aerosols produced. The host cell population is also affected by the chemical and physical parameters of the cooling tower environment [43, 44, 45]. As these host cells graze on the bacterial community of cooling towers, microbial interactions necessarily impact their growth. For instance, some host

cells may require specific prey in order to grow [37]. Conversely, certain species of bacteria are able to resist predation and even grow intracellularly, effectively competing against *L. pneumophila* [46]. In contrast, *Fischerella spp.* (Cyanobacteria) and *Flavobacterium* promote the growth of *L. pneumophila*, [47, 48].

The majority of cooling towers seem to contain a core *Legionella spp.* community [49, 11, 50]. However, the stability of this community is still not well understood and *L. pneumophila* seems able to proliferate to the detriment of other *Legionella* species [49, 50]. Chemical disinfection is a disruptor to the *Legionella* community but *L. pneumophila* seems quicker to recover after chlorine treatment and can dominate the *Legionella* community [49, 50]. Moreover, relative abundance of the family *Legionellaceae* is positively correlated with alpha diversity [11], suggesting that microbial interactions are essential for the growth of *L. pneumophila* in cooling towers.

Thus, outbreaks of LD are driven by chemical and physical properties, as well as microbial interactions. Nevertheless, the ecology of *L. pneumophila* in cooling towers is still poorly understood and potential interactions with resident microbes need to be clarified. Consequently, we used a *16S rRNA* targeted amplicon sequencing approach to characterize the bacterial community of cooling towers, along with the chemical and physical characteristics, and investigate their relationship with *L. pneumophila*. We hypothesize that the presence of *L. pneumophila* depends on certain groups of bacteria, whose presence is influenced by other factors such as disinfectant or water characteristics.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Sampling of cooling towers

A total of 18 cooling towers were sampled from six different regions in Quebec, Canada, between the 10th and 21st of July 2017. Location of towers, total and residual chlorine levels, and disinfection regimes are listed in Table 1. Water was sampled with sterile polypropylene bottle from the basin of the cooling tower or from a sampling port when the basin was inaccessible. All towers were sampled in triplicate in volumes of one litre to perform heterotrophic plate counts and *16S rRNA* targeted amplicon sequencing. An additional two litres were collected to analyze chemical and physical parameters. Samples were brought back to the lab stored at room temperature and processed within 48 hours.

Heterotrophic plate count, physical and chemical parameter measurements

Heterotrophic plate count (HPC) were performed on R2A and nutrient agar media, which were incubated at 30°C for 24 hours. Turbidity, pH, temperature, total chlorine, residual chlorine, conductivity and dissolved oxygen were measured on-site. Residual and total chlorine were measured using a Pocket Colorimeter™ II (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA), conductivity, turbidity with a Hach 2100Q (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA) while pH and dissolved oxygen were measured using a Hach Multi-Parameter HQ40d tool (Hach, Loveland, CO, USA). Water samples were further analysed for the following chemical parameters: total suspended solids (TSS) and suspended volatile solids (VSS, Standard Methods 2540D, E), dissolved organic carbon (DOC, Standard Methods 5310C with 0.45 µm filtration), biodegradable dissolved organic carbon [51], dissolved and total iron (Inductively Coupled Plasma). Nitrite, nitrate, ammonia, phosphorus,

sulphide, and sulphate were measured using colorimetric kits (CHEMetrics, Midland, VA, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction.

Filtration of biomass and DNA extraction

Water samples were filtered through 0.45 µm pore size mixed cellulose ester membrane filters (Millipore, Burlington, MA, USA). Each replicate was filtered and processed separately. The DNeasy PowerWater Kit from Qiagen (Cat. No. 14900-100-NF, Germantown, MD, USA) was used to extract DNA from the filters. The manufacturer's protocol was followed, except that nuclease-free water was used for the final elution step. The extracted DNA was quantified using a Nanodrop (Thermofisher, MA, USA) and the purified DNA was stored at -20°C.

Bacterial profiling of cooling towers using 16S rRNA targeted amplicon sequencing

16S rRNA targeted amplicon sequencing was performed on the Illumina MiSeq platform (Illumina, inc) using a sequencing strategy developed by *Kozich et al*, which uses a dual index sequencing strategy using the F548 and R806 primers which amplify the V4 region of the bacterial *16S rRNA* gene [52]. Briefly, the V4 region of the bacterial *16S rRNA* was amplified using the Hot Start Taq Plus Master Mix (Qiagen, Germantown, MD, USA) and indexed primers [52]. The cycling program consisted of an initial denaturation step of 95°C for 2min, followed by 25 cycles of 95°C for 20 seconds, 55°C for 15 seconds, and 72°C for 5 minutes, and a final elongation of 10 minutes at 72°C. The PCR products were then purified using Ampure XP beads (Beckman Coulter, Indianapolis, IN, USA) according to the manufacturer's instruction. The purified DNA was quantified using the Quant-iT PicoGreen dsDNA assay kit (Thermofisher, MA, USA). The DNA samples were then normalized to a concentration of 1.5 ng/µl, pooled together, mixed with 10% PhiX sequencing control (Illumina, inc), diluted to 4.0 pM, and

denatured with a final concentration of 0.0002N NaOH. The sequencing run was performed on the MiSeq platform with the MiSeq Reagent kit V2, according to the manufacturer's instruction. Raw sequence reads were deposited in Sequence Read Archive under accession number PRJNA507738.

Sequencing data was processed using the Mothur pipeline [52]. Briefly, the paired reads were assembled into contigs, and any contig with ambiguous bases or longer than 275bp were culled. Sequences were aligned to the bacterial Silva reference database release 132. Sequences that did not align to the reference database were removed. The ends and gaps from the sequence alignment were trimmed so that all sequences had the same alignment coordinates. The sequences were further denoised using a pre-cluster algorithm implemented in Mothur. The resulting unique sequences were purged of chimeras using the VSEARCH algorithm. Additionally, any undesirable sequences remaining, such as Eukaryota, Archaea, chloroplasts, and mitochondria, were removed using a Bayesian classifier algorithm in Mothur. Next, the sequences were grouped according to their taxonomy and clustered into OTUs at 97% similarity. The MicrobiomeAnalyst web-based tool was used to analyse the OTU data and perform LEfSe analysis (<http://www.microbiomeanalyst.ca/faces/home.xhtml>) [53]. OTUs with low counts were filtered out using the default parameters (at least 20% of the samples contain 2 counts or more). One of the replicates for tower CN4 had significantly lower read levels than all the other samples. Thus, this replicate was omitted from the analysis, and the remaining samples were rarefied to the next lowest read count sample (20 712 sequences). Only duplicates were analysed for tower CN4. GraphPad Prism 7.03 was used to produce most of the graphs along with some statistical analysis.

Quantification of *L. pneumophila*

L. pneumophila was quantified from the DNA extract using the iQ-check *L. pneumophila* quantification kit (Bio-Rad), according to the manufacturer's instruction. The qPCR was run with a BioRad CFX Connect Real Time system thermocycler. The data was analyzed with the CFX manager 3.1 and GraphPad Prism 7.03. The results are expressed as genome unit per litre (GU/L).

RESULTS

Characteristics of cooling towers included in this study

Eighteen cooling towers were sampled between the 10th and 21st of July 2017. Characteristics of each cooling tower as well as water profiles are described in Table 1 and Supplementary Table S1. On average, the water of cooling towers sampled had the following characteristics: temperature, 25.2 ± 2.4 °C; pH, 8.7 ± 0.2 ; conductivity, 881 ± 275 µS/cm; dissolved oxygen, 8.0 ± 0.5 mg/L; dissolved organic carbon, 17 ± 10 mg/L. As seen in Figure 1, HPC were highly variable, ranging from 10^5 CFU/L for tower MTL3 to 10^9 CFU/L for tower Out1. Only five towers (CdQ1, CN2, CN3, MTL5 and Est2) showed detectable level of *L. pneumophila* ranging from 300 to 1300 GU/L (Figure 1), below the regulatory standards [54]. Of note, *L. pneumophila*-positive towers were not restricted to a particular region.

Table 1: Disinfection program and Location of cooling towers

Tower name	Total chlorine (mg Cl ₂ /L)	Free chlorine residual (mg Cl ₂ /L)	Disinfection schedule*	Administrative regions	Source of water
CdQ1	0.11	0.04	Weekly	Centre du Québec	Nicolet River
CN1	0.33	0.06	Daily	Capitale Nationale	St-Lawrence river
CN2	0.43	0.15	Continuous	Capitale Nationale	St-Charles lake, St-Lawrence river
CN3	1.72	0.32	Daily	Capitale Nationale	St-Charles lake, St-Lawrence river
CN4	3.66	0.47	Weekly	Capitale Nationale	St-Charles lake, St-Lawrence river
Out1	0.44	0.07	Continuous	Outaouais	Ottawa river
Out2	0.95	0.33	Continuous	Outaouais	Ottawa river
MTL1	0.35	0.13	Continuous	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL2	0.16	0.07	NA	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL3	0.93	0.27	Continuous	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL4	0.34	0.08	Continuous	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL5	0.11	0.06	Weekly	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL6	0.14	0.05	Daily	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
Est1	0.15	0.12	Weekly	Estric	St-François river
Est2	0.00	0.00	Weekly	Estric	St-François river
Mont1	0.48	0.07	Continuous	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL7	4.11	0.27	Weekly	Montréal	St-Lawrence river
MTL8	3.85	0.83	Weekly	Montréal	St-Lawrence river

NA: not available.

* When more than one disinfectant was applied on variable frequency, the highest frequency is indicated

Figure 1: Levels of *L. pneumophila* in genomic units per litre (GU/L) detected by qPCR, and HPC measured on R2A and nutrient agar (NA). The data presented are the average and standard deviation of three sampling replicate. See table 1 for tower name and location details.

Characterisation of the bacterial community of cooling towers

16S rRNA targeted amplicon sequencing was performed on sampling triplicates to study the bacterial makeup of the cooling towers. *Proteobacteria* dominated the bacterial population of all towers at the phylum level (Figure 2A). *Cyanobacteria* and *Bacteroidetes* were the second and third most abundant phyla. Seven towers showed a *Cyanobacteria* population above 1%, which in some cases reached up to around 30% of the entire population (tower CN3). In all cases, the *Cyanobacteria* population consisted of non-photosynthetic candidate phylum *Melainabacteria* [55]. In the case of *Bacteroidetes*, only five towers had a population above 1% reaching 10% for

towers CdQ1 and MTL6. On average, the other phyla, such as *Actinobacteria* or *Firmicute*, constituted less than 1% of the population.

Figure 2: Relative abundance of bacterial OTU classified at the phylum level (A) and at the genus level (B) of the different cooling towers sampled in Quebec, Canada during the summer of 2017. See table 1 for name and location details.

The bacterial populations were also examined at lower taxonomic levels (Figure 2B). Overall, a total of 72 genera passed the low count filter described in the materials and methods section. The relative abundance patterns were similar between replicates but varied greatly between towers (Figure 2B). Several genera commonly found in other water systems were identified, such as *Pseudomonas*, *Limnobacter*, *Porphyrobacter*, *Legionella*, *Cupriavidus* and *Mycobacterium*. Interestingly, rare and uncharacterized genera were also identified, such as *Yonghaparkia* and Tra3-20 [56, 57, 58]. Methylophils were found in all towers, with groups such as *Methylobacterium* or unclassified *Methylophilaceae* being highly abundant in some. For instance, more than 70% of the bacterial population of tower Est1 belonged to the *Methylobacterium* genus.

Effect of water chemistry on alpha diversity of cooling towers

The Shannon diversity index was used to measure alpha diversity. The average Shannon index varied significantly from tower to tower (Kruskal-Wallis, $P < 0.0001$; $H=47.612$; Supplementary Figure S1). TSS, VSS, DOC, total iron, and dissolved iron negatively affected alpha diversity (Supplementary Figure S2). High conductivity was associated with higher alpha diversity (Supplementary Figure S2). Next, the effect of chlorine concentration on alpha diversity was investigated. A threshold of 0.3 mg Cl_2/L was used to categorize the towers into low and high chlorine groups. Measured total and residual chlorine had no effect on alpha diversity (Figure 3A and B). The frequency of application of chlorine had a significant effect on alpha diversity: continuous chlorination reduced alpha diversity compared to periodic application (daily and weekly, $P < 0.004$, Figure 3C). This suggests that the frequency of application of chlorine has a stronger impact on the microbial diversity of cooling towers than the concentrations of chlorine at the time of sampling.

Figure 3: Alpha diversity of cooling towers categorized by levels of total chlorine (A), free residual chlorine (B), and frequency of application (C). In A and B, a Mann-Whitney test was used to assess statistical significance. In C, a Kruskal-Wallis test followed by Dunn's test for pairwise comparison of samples was used to test statistical significance.

Finally, the effect of alpha diversity on *Legionella*, *Mycobacterium* and *Pseudomonas* was investigated. Some members of *Mycobacterium* and *Pseudomonas* are opportunistic pathogen associated with EWS. *Legionella* and *Mycobacterium* were not present in all samples and, consequently, samples were partitioned into samples containing or not containing these genera. The mean Shannon index for samples without *Legionella* was 1.04, whereas the index was 1.92 for samples with *Legionella* ($P < 0.0001$, Figure 4A). This positive correlation was previously reported for cooling towers located in the United States [11]. The same relationship was observed for *Mycobacterium* (Supplementary Figure S3). No significant differences in alpha diversity were observed between *L. pneumophila*-positive towers and negative towers ($P > 0.05$, Figure 4B), indicating that alpha diversity is not correlated with *L. pneumophila*; however, the low number of positive towers could hide a relationship. Finally, the relation between *Pseudomonas* and alpha diversity was investigated by plotting the *Pseudomonas* reads of each tower against their respective Shannon index. The data followed a non-linear regression model

and indicated that alpha diversity of the towers decreased exponentially as *Pseudomonas* read counts increased ($R^2 = 0.78$, Figure 4C).

Figure 4: Relationship between alpha diversity and *Legionella* and *Pseudomonas*. The cooling towers categorized by (A) the presence of *Legionella* spp. according to 16S rRNA amplicon sequencing and (B) *L. pneumophila* detected by qPCR. The Mann-Whitney test was used to determine statistical significance. (C) *Pseudomonas* reads were plotted against the Shannon index of each tower.

Effect of geographic location on the microbiome

Beta diversity was calculated to analyse differences between towers. The Bray-Curtis dissimilarity index was used to create a dissimilarity matrix and non-metric multidimensional scaling (NMDS) was used to visualize the data. The data points were then clustered according to the physical, chemical, and biological parameters. ANOSIM was used to test the statistical significance and strength of clustering correlation. The source of the treated water feeding the cooling towers was the only parameter that created significantly different clusters (Figure 5A) in agreement with hydrological basin (Figure 5B). The towers fed by the Ottawa river (located in Hull) and the ones fed by the St-François river (located in Sherbrooke) had the highest dissimilarity (pairwise test: $R = 1$, $P = 0.005$). The towers fed from the St-Lawrence river

(located in Montreal, Monteregie, and Quebec) and the towers fed with a mixture of water from St-Charles Lake and the St-Lawrence river (Quebec) clustered together (pairwise test: $R = -0.07$, $P = 0.7$).

Figure 5: (A) Non-metric Multidimensional Scaling plot of tower microbiomes grouped by source of the water (stress = 0.1866). ANOSIM was used for statistical testing ($R = 0.3927$, $P < 0.001$). (B) Locations of the cooling towers sampled are indicated and colored according to the source of the water: Ottawa river (yellow), St-Lawrence river (pink), a mixture of water from St-Charles lake and St-Lawrence river (green), St-François river (blue), and Nicolet river (salmon).

Correlation between the microbiome and key genera

Next, the prevalence of different microorganisms in the cooling towers was investigated to determine the core community of cooling towers. Seven out of the 72 genera showed prevalence above 80%, including *Pseudomonas*, *Porphyrobacter*, *Methylobacterium*, *Blastomonas*, and unclassified genera from the *Methylophilaceae*, the *Burkholderiaceae*, and the *Sphingomonadaceae* families (Supplementary Figure S4). *Pseudomonas* and *Methylobacterium*

have near 100% prevalence in all towers at a relative abundance of 0.001; however, as relative abundance levels increased, prevalence decreased, indicating that these organisms are prevalent in most towers but at different abundance levels. These organisms likely constitute the core community of cooling towers. Six other genera had prevalence between 50% and 80%, including *Limnobacter*, *Obscuribacteriales*, *Sphingomonas*, *Sphingopyxis*, *Novosphingobium*, and *Bosea*. These organisms may be part of a transient community or may depend on specific physical and chemical parameters only found in a subset of cooling towers.

LEfSe was used to identify genera of importance for the different conditions studied. LEfSe is a machine-learning algorithm that uses a mix of statistical testing, linear discriminant analysis (LDA), and effect size to find the taxa that most likely explain the difference between specific parameters [59]. The algorithm was able to find significant taxa for most conditions; however, we decided to focus on the conditions where *Legionella*, *Pseudomonas*, or *Mycobacterium*, were distinguishing features. *Legionella* is enriched in conditions with low levels of total chlorine, medium levels of conductivity, and in towers with daily application of chlorine (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S5A). Conversely, *Pseudomonas* is enriched in towers with high levels of total chlorine, high levels of suspended solids, and with continuous application of chlorine (Figure 6 and Supplementary Figure S5B).

Figure 6: The machine learning algorithm LEfSe was used to identify significant taxa associated with chlorine concentrations (A), and with daily, weekly, and continuous application of chlorine (B). The LDA score is an effect size that measures the importance of the taxa in the condition studied.

LEfSe was then used to identify genera enriched in towers with *Legionella* and with *L. pneumophila* (Figure 7). Fifteen taxa were enriched in *Legionella*-positive towers and *Pseudomonas* was the only taxon enriched in towers without *Legionella* (Figure 7A). This analysis is in good agreement with a Spearman's correlation analysis (Supplementary Figure S6). Several of the bacterial groups enriched in the *Legionella*-positive towers are unclassified or poorly studied, indicating a potential pool of uncharacterized interactions between *Legionella* spp. and these less well studied bacterial groups. Seven genera were enriched in *L. pneumophila*-positive towers (Figure 7B), including *Xanthobacteraceae* family, *Obscuribacterales* order, and the *Qipengyuania* genera. On the other hand, *Sphingobium* was the only genus enriched in towers that tested negative for *L. pneumophila* (LDA of 4.59).

Figure 7: The machine learning algorithm LEfSe was used to identify taxa enriched in towers with and without *Legionella* spp. (A), and with towers with and without *L. pneumophila* (B). The LDA score is an effect size that measures the importance of the taxa in the condition studied.

DISCUSSION

This study provides a snapshot of the ecology of the bacterial community of cooling towers in Southern Quebec. We hypothesized that the resident microbial population influences the colonization, survival, and proliferation of *L. pneumophila* in cooling towers. The source of the water was the main factor explaining the difference in the microbial composition of the cooling towers in our study (Figure 5A). The St-Francois River and the Ottawa River are distinct hydrological basin resulting in distinct microbiomes in the cooling towers respectively fed by these sources. The towers fed by the St-Lawrence river showed similar microbiomes and overlapped with the towers fed with a mixture of water from St-Lawrence river and the St-Charles lake (CN 2, 3 and 4). The Ottawa River feeds into the northern shore of the St-Lawrence river at the west of Montreal and the St-François river is a tributary joining the St-Lawrence river

about 160 km downstream of Montreal, (Figure 5B). Both rivers probably have minimal impact on the St-Lawrence river microbiome. Taken together, our results suggest that the microbial composition of the source water dictates the microbial population of the cooling towers; however, other parameters associated with geographic location are likely to play a role. For example, the airborne microbiome could be a confounding factor. Other parameters did not create significantly different clusters. Although chlorination schedule clearly affects the microbial diversity in cooling towers (Figure 3), its effect is non-specific.

Generally, the bacterial community were dominated by species from the *Proteobacteria* phylum. This is in agreement with several other studies that looked at cooling towers and other EWS [11, 50, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64]. While *Actinobacteria* and *Proteobacteria* dominate in equal proportions freshwater sources feeding EWS, the *Actinobacteria* population is greatly and significantly reduced in EWS, leaving the *Proteobacteria* as the dominant phylum of these environments [65, 66, 67, 68, 50, 61]. Water treatment increases levels of certain groups of *Alphaproteobacteria*, such as *Sphingomonadaceae*, *Beijerinckiaceae*, and *Rhizobiaceae* [69]. Stagnation of water in pipes also contributes to increase levels of *Proteobacteria* [61]. Since all cooling towers in our study are fed with treated municipal water, the dominance of *Proteobacteria* was expected.

Some genera present in the cooling towers are frequently observed in other EWS, whereas others are less frequently identified. *Pseudomonas*, *Blastomonas*, *Methylobacterium*, and unclassified genera from the *Bukholderiaceae* family constitute the core microbiome of cooling towers. The high prevalence of *Methylobacterium* indicates that methylotrophy could be an important ecological function in cooling tower. *Limnobacter*, *Sphingopyxis*, *Novosphingobium*, *Bosea* were only found between 50 to 60% of towers (Supplementary Figure S4). Our results differ somewhat

compared to other studies. For instance, a two-year study of a German cooling tower showed high abundance of the environmental *Proteobacteria* ARKICE-90, *Nevskia* genus, *Methilophilus*, and uncultured bacteria from the family *Cytophagaceae*, but relatively low abundance of *Pseudomonadales* and absence of *Methylobacterium* [50]. Another study that looked at cooling towers of pharmaceutical plants and oil refinery in Italy and Eastern Europe also found high levels of *Proteobacteria*, such as *Rhodobacteraceae*, *Sphingomonadaceae*, *Bradyrhizobiaceae*, as well as *Cyanobacteria*, but no *Pseudomonas* [70]. Thus, the community composition seems influenced by the intrinsic properties of a cooling tower and its geographic location. For instance, piping material, disinfection strategies, water sources, nitrate concentrations, iron concentrations, water treatment, dissolved organic carbon, and seasonality are all factors that have been shown to shape the bacterial population of different EWS [71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 61].

In our case, several physico-chemical parameters affected the microbiome of cooling towers (Supplementary Figure S2). *Legionella* was enriched in towers with low levels of total chlorine (<0.3mg/L) and with daily applications of chlorine whereas *Pseudomonas* was enriched in towers with high levels of chlorine and continuous application (Figure 6). These findings suggest that continuous application and maintenance of a free chlorine residual greater than 0.3 mg/L is key to prevent the colonization of cooling towers by *Legionella*. From the data, three possible mechanisms may explain this phenomenon. First, the most obvious explanation is that these parameters ensure sufficient concentration and contact time to inactivate *Legionella* [77]. The second explanation is linked to the decrease in alpha diversity caused by a continuous application of chlorine (Figure 3C), potentially restricting the growth of species beneficial for *Legionella* spp. The continuous presence of residual chlorine reduces the concentrations and diversity of host cells and the biofilm mass [78, 24], thus limiting the possibility for increased resistance of *L.*

pneumophila through integration into the biofilm and inside protozoan hosts [79, 19]. As seen in Figure 7A, *Legionella* was positively correlated with many genera, which could promote its survival and proliferation. For instance, *Reyranella*, *Brevundimonas*, *Sphingopyxis*, and *Yonghparkia* are enriched in *Legionella*-positive towers with low level of chlorine and treated by periodic application. These genera may either directly or indirectly promote the growth of *Legionella* spp. Alternatively, these taxa may be indicators of environmental condition permissive for the presence of *Legionella*. The third possible explanation for the lack of *Legionella* spp. in towers with high levels of chlorine and continuous application may be linked with the presence of *Pseudomonas* spp. in these towers. This was demonstrated by using LEfSe and Spearman's correlation, which showed that these two genera were the most negatively correlated to one another (Figure 7A and Supplementary Figure S6). In contrast, the relation between the genus *Pseudomonas* and the species *L. pneumophila*, detected by qPCR, was less clear, since *Pseudomonas* is not a significant taxon in towers without *L. pneumophila* (Figure 7B). However, the average number of *Pseudomonas* reads were significantly lower (Mann-Whitney, $P < 0.05$) in *L. pneumophila* positive towers (920 reads) than in negative towers (5476 reads). Similarly, Llewellyn *et al.* reported higher abundance of *Pseudomonadaceae* in *Legionella*-negative towers [11]. Thus, continuous chlorination promotes the establishment of a *Pseudomonas* community, lower alpha diversity, and low levels of *Legionella* spp. A positive correlation between chlorine and *Pseudomonas* was previously reported [72, 74, 80]. *P. aeruginosa* has a higher tolerance to chlorine than other water-borne bacteria, which is attributed in part to biofilm formation [81, 82, 83, 84, 85]. On the other hand, many species of *Pseudomonas* are highly competitive and possess many mechanisms to outcompete other bacteria, such as type VI secretion systems, pyoverdine, phenazine, and metabolic flexibility [86, 87, 88, 89, 90]. The fact that *Pseudomonas* spp. is negatively correlated with alpha diversity

further adds evidence to the competitive nature of *Pseudomonas* (Figure 4C). Many species of *Pseudomonas* inhibit the growth of *L. pneumophila* on CYE agar by producing antagonistic diffusible compound [91, 92]. Furthermore, *L. pneumophila* is unable to persist in biofilm produced by *P. aeruginosa* [27]. Consequently, *Pseudomonas* spp. may directly restrict the presence and growth of *Legionella* spp in water system. In addition, *Pseudomonas* could act on *Legionella* spp. indirectly. *P. aeruginosa* is known to kill the amoeba *A. castellanii*, a host cell of *L. pneumophila*, by secreting toxic effector proteins using the type III secretion system [93] and could therefore reduce the pool of host cells. *Pseudomonas* may also inhibit the growth of certain bacterial species that promote the growth of *Legionella* spp. or that are preys for host cells. Thus, the data suggest that high concentration of chlorine applied continuously inhibit the colonization and proliferation of *Legionella* spp. but promote the establishment of a *Pseudomonas* community. This may be of concern for tower maintenance, as *P. aeruginosa* is an opportunistic pathogen of great concern [94].

Finally, our results seemed to indicate that *Legionella* spp. and *L. pneumophila* are associated with several other genera. Spearman's correlation and LEfSe analysis showed that several taxa were positively correlated and enriched in towers containing a population of *Legionella* (Figure 7 and Supplementary Figure S6). Of note, the family *Xanthobacteraceae* was positively correlated with both *Legionella* spp. and *L. pneumophila*. Many members of this family are chemolithoautotrophs and some are able to fix nitrogen [95]. Therefore, they are likely at the bottom of the food chain and could feed *L. pneumophila* host cells. In addition, several isolates are able to degrade chlorinated and brominated compounds (Oren, 2014). An uncultured *Xanthobacteraceae* was recently identified as a component of biofilm growing in a model hot water system colonized by *L. pneumophila* [33]. It is tempting to speculate that

Xanthobacteraceae could help the development of healthy biofilms by producing organic molecules and reducing local concentration of disinfectant or toxic by-product, which in turn could promote *L. pneumophila* colonization. The genus *Sphingobium* was the only one negatively correlated with *L. pneumophila*. Species from this genus may be associated with free-living amoeba [96]. Although it is not clear if this genus contains species that can grow within amoeba, it can be hypothesized that *Sphingobium* could compete with *L. pneumophila* for host cells, which would result in lower *L. pneumophila* growth. So far and to the best of our knowledge, none of these taxa have been documented to interact with *Legionella* species. Furthermore, several of these taxa are unclassified or uncultured organisms and thus their life cycle and ecological interactions are poorly understood. Potentially, the interaction of these different taxa and *Legionella* could be indirect as they may be prey for host cells. This would support the hypothesis that *Legionella* colonization of towers depends on the establishment of bacterial community that feeds the host cell population. Our findings support the notion that Legionnaires' disease outbreaks may depend on a network of uncharacterized microbial interactions between *L. pneumophila* and the bacterial community, along with an optimal range of physical and chemical parameters, that promote its colonization, survival, and proliferation in cooling towers.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, three main observations emerge from this work. First, the source of the water is the main factors affecting the bacterial community of cooling towers. Secondly, the *Legionella* population itself is severely affected by the alpha diversity, the level of *Pseudomonas*, levels of chlorine, and most importantly, the frequency of chlorine treatment. Finally, our results indicate that *Legionella* and *L. pneumophila* could interact with several uncultured and unclassified taxa

suggesting that colonization of towers and likelihood of outbreaks could be potentiated by as of yet uncharacterized interactions between *L. pneumophila* and several bacterial species. Therefore, it seems that the presence of *Legionella* in cooling towers is influenced by several factors that can be targeted to reduce the risk of outbreaks. In particular, continuous chlorine treatment seems to promote conditions associated with the absence of *Legionella*.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We are indebted to SQI for access to cooling towers and help with sampling. This work was supported by a FRQNT Team Grant to MP and SPF. We thank Meghana Paranjape for helping us create the sampling map.

REFERENCES

1. Kozak-Muiznieks NA, Lucas CE, Brown E, Pondo T, Taylor TH, Frace M, et al. Prevalence of sequence types among clinical and environmental isolates of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 in the United States (1982-2012). *Journal of clinical microbiology*. 2013 Nov 6;JCM-01973.
2. Phin N, Parry-Ford F, Harrison T, Stagg HR, Zhang N, Kumar K, et al. Epidemiology and clinical management of Legionnaires' disease. *The Lancet infectious diseases*. 2014 Oct 1;14(10):1011-21.
3. McKinney RM, Porschen RK, Edelstein PH, Bissett ML, Harris PP, Bondell SP, et al. *Legionella longbeachae* species nova, another etiologic agent of human pneumonia. *Annals of Internal Medicine*. 1981 Jun 1;94(6):739-43.
4. Brenner DJ, Steigerwalt AG, Gorman GW, Weaver RE, Feeley JC, Cordes LG, et al. *Legionella bozemanii* sp. nov. and *Legionella dumoffii* sp. nov.: classification of two additional species of *Legionella* associated with human pneumonia. *Current Microbiology*. 1980 Mar 1;4(2):111-6.
5. van Heijnsbergen E, Schalk JA, Euser SM, Brandsema PS, den Boer JW, de Roda et al. Confirmed and potential sources of *Legionella* reviewed. *Environmental science & technology*. 2015 Apr 1;49(8):4797-815.

6. Bédard E, Lévesque S, Martin P, Pinsonneault L, Paranjape K, Lalancette C, et al. Energy conservation and the promotion of *Legionella pneumophila* growth: the probable role of heat exchangers in a nosocomial outbreak. *infection control & hospital epidemiology*. 2016 Dec;37(12):1475-80.
7. Haupt TE, Heffernan RT, Kazmierczak JJ, Nehls-Lowe H, Rheineck B, Powell C, et al. An outbreak of Legionnaires disease associated with a decorative water wall fountain in a hospital. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2012 Feb;33(2):185-91.
8. Palmore TN, Stock F, White M, Bordner M, Michelin A, Bennett JE, et al. A cluster of cases of nosocomial legionnaires disease linked to a contaminated hospital decorative water fountain. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 2009 Aug;30(8):764-8.
9. Mahoney FJ, Hoge CW, Farley TA, Barbaree JM, Breiman RF, Benson RF, et al. Communitywide outbreak of Legionnaires' disease associated with a grocery store mist machine. *Journal of Infectious Diseases*. 1992 Apr 1;165(4):736-9.
10. Jernigan DB, Hofmann J, Cetron MS, Nuorti JP, Fields BS, Benson RF, et al. Outbreak of Legionnaires' disease among cruise ship passengers exposed to a contaminated whirlpool spa. *The Lancet*. 1996 Feb 24;347(9000):494-9.
11. Llewellyn AC, Lucas CE, Roberts SE, Brown EW, Nayak BS, Raphael BH, et al. Distribution of *Legionella* and bacterial community composition among regionally diverse US cooling towers. *PloS one*. 2017 Dec 20;12(12):e0189937.
12. Fitzhenry R, Weiss D, Cimini D, Balter S, Boyd C, Alleyne L, et al. Legionnaires' Disease Outbreaks and Cooling Towers, New York City, New York, USA. *Emerging infectious diseases*. 2017 Nov;23(11):1769.
13. European Centre for Disease Prevention and Control. Legionnaires' disease. In: ECDC. Annual epidemiological report for 2016. Stockholm: ECDC; 2018.
14. Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC). Legionellosis---United States, 2000-2009. *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*. 2011 Aug 19;60(32):1083.
15. Garrison LE, Kunz JM, Cooley LA, Moore MR, Lucas C, Schrag S, et al. Vital signs: deficiencies in environmental control identified in outbreaks of Legionnaires' disease—North America, 2000–2014. *American Journal of Transplantation*. 2016 Oct;16(10):3049-58.
16. McClung RP, Roth DM, Vigar M, Roberts VA, Kahler AM, Cooley LA, et al. Waterborne disease outbreaks associated with environmental and undetermined exposures to water—United States, 2013–2014. *MMWR. Morbidity and mortality weekly report*. 2017 Nov 10;66(44):1222.
17. Lesnik R, Brettar I, Höfle MG. *Legionella* species diversity and dynamics from surface reservoir to tap water: from cold adaptation to thermophily. *The ISME journal*. 2015 Nov 3;10(5):1064.

18. Donohue MJ, O'Connell K, Vesper SJ, Mistry JH, King D, Kostich M, et al. Widespread molecular detection of *Legionella pneumophila* serogroup 1 in cold water taps across the United States. *Environmental science & technology*. 2014 Mar 6;48(6):3145-52.
19. Lau HY, Ashbolt NJ. The role of biofilms and protozoa in *Legionella* pathogenesis: implications for drinking water. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 2009 Aug;107(2):368-78.
20. Berjeaud JM, Chevalier S, Schlusshuber M, Portier E, Loiseau C, Aucher W, et al. *Legionella pneumophila*: the paradox of a highly sensitive opportunistic waterborne pathogen able to persist in the environment. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2016 Apr 8;7:486.
21. Mendis N, McBride P, Faucher SP. Short-term and long-term survival and virulence of *Legionella pneumophila* in the defined freshwater medium Fraquil. *PloS one*. 2015 Sep 25;10(9):e0139277.
22. Yamamoto H, Sugiura M, Kusunoki S, Ezaki T, Ikedo M, Yabuuchi E. Factors stimulating propagation of legionellae in cooling tower water. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 1992 Apr 1;58(4):1394-7.
23. Mouchtouri VA, Goutziana G, Kremastinou J, Hadjichristodoulou C. *Legionella* species colonization in cooling towers: risk factors and assessment of control measures. *American journal of infection control*. 2010 Feb 1;38(1):50-5.
24. Canals O, Serrano-Suárez A, Salvadó H, Méndez J, Cervero-Aragó S, De Porras VR, et al. Effect of chlorine and temperature on free-living protozoa in operational man-made water systems (cooling towers and hot sanitary water systems) in Catalonia. *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*. 2015 May 1;22(9):6610-8.
25. Bédard E, Fey S, Charron D, Lalancette C, Cantin P, Dolcé P, et al. Temperature diagnostic to identify high risk areas and optimize *Legionella pneumophila* surveillance in hot water distribution systems. *Water research*. 2015 Mar 15;71:244-56.
26. Donlan R, Murga R, Carpenter J, Brown E, Besser R, Fields B. Monochloramine disinfection of biofilm-associated *Legionella pneumophila* in a potable water model system. In *Legionella* 2002 Jan 1 (pp. 406-410). American Society of Microbiology.
27. Stewart CR, Muthye V, Cianciotto NP. *Legionella pneumophila* persists within biofilms formed by *Klebsiella pneumoniae*, *Flavobacterium* sp., and *Pseudomonas fluorescens* under dynamic flow conditions. *PloS one*. 2012 Nov 21;7(11):e50560.
28. Murga R, Forster TS, Brown E, Pruckler JM, Fields BS, Donlan RM. Role of biofilms in the survival of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable-water system. *Microbiology*. 2001 Nov 1;147(11):3121-6.

29. Declerck P, Behets J, Margineanu A, van Hoef V, De Keersmaecker B, Ollevier F. Replication of *Legionella pneumophila* in biofilms of water distribution pipes. Microbiological research. 2009 Nov 29;164(6):593-603.
30. Temmerman R, Vervaeren H, Nosedá B, Boon N, Verstraete W. Necrotrophic growth of *Legionella pneumophila*. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2006 Jun 1;72(6):4323-8.
31. Surman S, Morton G, Keevil B, Fitzgeorge R. *Legionella pneumophila* proliferation is not dependent on intracellular replication. In *Legionella* 2002 Jan 1 (pp. 86-89). American Society of Microbiology.
32. Gião MS, Azevedo NF, Wilks SA, Vieira MJ, Keevil CW. Interaction of *Legionella pneumophila* and *Helicobacter pylori* with bacterial species isolated from drinking water biofilms. BMC microbiology. 2011 Dec;11(1):57.
33. Van der Kooij D, Veenendaal HR, Scheffer WJ. Biofilm formation and multiplication of *Legionella* in a model warm water system with pipes of copper, stainless steel and cross-linked polyethylene. Water research. 2005 Aug 1;39(13):2789-98.
34. Rogers J, Dowsett AB, Dennis PJ, Lee JV, Keevil CW. Influence of temperature and plumbing material selection on biofilm formation and growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in a model potable water system containing complex microbial flora. Applied and Environmental Microbiology. 1994 May 1;60(5):1585-92.
35. Buse HY, Lu J, Struewing IT, Ashbolt NJ. Preferential colonization and release of *Legionella pneumophila* from mature drinking water biofilms grown on copper versus unplasticized polyvinylchloride coupons. International journal of hygiene and environmental health. 2014 Mar 1;217(2-3):219-25.
36. Türetgen I, Cotuk A. Monitoring of biofilm-associated *Legionella pneumophila* on different substrata in model cooling tower system. Environmental monitoring and assessment. 2007 Feb 1;125(1-3):271-9.
37. Amaro F, Wang W, Gilbert JA, Anderson OR, Shuman HA. Diverse protist grazers select for virulence-related traits in *Legionella*. The ISME journal. 2015 Jul;9(7):1607.
38. Tomov A, Kassovsky V, Chorbadjiiska L, Tsvetkova E, Tsanev N, Vencheva Z. Lytic activity of Bdellovibrio bacteriovorus against bacteria of the family Legionellaceae. Zentralblatt für Bakteriologie, Mikrobiologie und Hygiene. 1. Abt. Originale. A, Medizinische Mikrobiologie, Infektionskrankheiten und Parasitologie. 1982 May 1;252(1):96-100.
39. Richardson IR. The incidence of Bdellovibrio spp. in man-made water systems: coexistence with legionellas. Journal of Applied Bacteriology. 1990 Jul;69(1):134-40.
40. Rowbotham TJ. Preliminary report on the pathogenicity of *Legionella pneumophila* for freshwater and soil amoebae. Journal of clinical pathology. 1980 Dec 1;33(12):1179-83.

41. Fields BS, Benson RF, Besser RE. Legionella and Legionnaires' disease: 25 years of investigation. *Clinical microbiology reviews*. 2002 Jul 1;15(3):506-26.
42. Gao LY, Harb OS, Kwaik YA. Utilization of similar mechanisms by *Legionella pneumophila* to parasitize two evolutionarily distant host cells, mammalian macrophages and protozoa. *Infection and immunity*. 1997 Nov 1;65(11):4738-46.
43. Buse HY, Lu J, Lu X, Mou X, Ashbolt NJ. Microbial diversities (16S and 18S rRNA gene pyrosequencing) and environmental pathogens within drinking water biofilms grown on the common premise plumbing materials unplasticized polyvinylchloride and copper. *FEMS microbiology ecology*. 2014 Apr 1;88(2):280-95.
44. Wang H, Masters S, Edwards MA, Falkinham III JO, Pruden A. Effect of disinfectant, water age, and pipe materials on bacterial and eukaryotic community structure in drinking water biofilm. *Environmental science & technology*. 2014 Jan 16;48(3):1426-35.
45. Lu J, Buse HY, Gomez-Alvarez V, Struewing I, Santo Domingo J, Ashbolt NJ. Impact of drinking water conditions and copper materials on downstream biofilm microbial communities and *Legionella pneumophila* colonization. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 2014 Sep;117(3):905-18.
46. Abd H, Wretling B, Saeed A, Idsund E, Hultenby K, Sandström G. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* utilises its type III secretion system to kill the free-living amoeba *Acanthamoeba castellanii*. *Journal of eukaryotic microbiology*. 2008 May 6;55(3):235-43.
47. Tison DL, Pope DH, Cherry WB, Fliermans CB. Growth of *Legionella pneumophila* in association with blue-green algae (cyanobacteria). *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1980 Feb 1;39(2):456-9.
48. Wadowsky RM, Yee RB. Satellite growth of *Legionella pneumophila* with an environmental isolate of *Flavobacterium breve*. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 1983 Dec 1;46(6):1447-9.
49. Wéry N, Bru-Adan V, Minervini C, Delgènes JP, Garrelly L, Godon JJ. Dynamics of *Legionella* spp. and bacterial populations during the proliferation of *L. pneumophila* in a cooling tower facility. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2008 May 15;74(10):3030-7.
50. Pereira RP, Peplies J, Höfle MG, Brettar I. Bacterial community dynamics in a cooling tower with emphasis on pathogenic bacteria and *Legionella* species using universal and genus-specific deep sequencing. *Water research*. 2017 Oct 1;122:363-76.
51. Servais P, Billen G, Hascoët MC. Determination of the biodegradable fraction of dissolved organic matter in waters. *Water research*. 1987 Apr 1;21(4):445-50.
52. Kozich JJ, Westcott SL, Baxter NT, Highlander SK, Schloss PD. Development of a dual-index sequencing strategy and curation pipeline for analyzing amplicon sequence data on

- the MiSeq Illumina sequencing platform. Applied and environmental microbiology. 2013 Jun 21:AEM-01043.
53. Dhariwal A, Chong J, Habib S, King IL, Agellon LB, Xia J. MicrobiomeAnalyst: a web-based tool for comprehensive statistical, visual and meta-analysis of microbiome data. Nucleic acids research. 2017 Jul 3;45(W1):W180-8.
54. *Décret 454-2014: Loi sur le bâtiment*. Gazette Officielle du Quebec (n°22), Gouvernement du Québec. 28th of May, 2014.
55. Di Rienzi SC, Sharon I, Wrighton KC, Koren O, Hug LA, Thomas BC, et al. The human gut and groundwater harbor non-photosynthetic bacteria belonging to a new candidate phylum sibling to Cyanobacteria. Elife. 2013 Oct 1;2:e01102.
56. Yoon JH, Kang SJ, Schumann P, Oh TK. *Yonghaparkia alkaliphila* gen. nov., sp. nov., a novel member of the family Microbacteriaceae isolated from an alkaline soil. International journal of systematic and evolutionary microbiology. 2006 Oct 1;56(10):2415-20.
57. Nicomrat D, Dick WA, Dopson M, Tuovinen OH. Bacterial phylogenetic diversity in a constructed wetland system treating acid coal mine drainage. Soil Biology and Biochemistry. 2008 Feb 1;40(2):312-21.
58. Edwards KJ, Goebel BM, Rodgers TM, Schrenk MO, Gihring TM, Cardona MM, et al. Geomicrobiology of pyrite (FeS₂) dissolution: case study at Iron Mountain, California. Geomicrobiology Journal. 1999 May 1;16(2):155-79.
59. Segata N, Izard J, Waldron L, Gevers D, Miropolsky L, Garrett WS, et al. Metagenomic biomarker discovery and explanation. Genome biology. 2011 Jun;12(6):R60.
60. Ling F, Whitaker R, Le Chevallier MW, Liu WT. Drinking water microbiome assembly induced by water stagnation. The ISME journal. 2018 Jun;12(6):1520.
61. Pinto AJ, Schroeder J, Lunn M, Sloan W, Raskin L. Spatial-temporal survey and occupancy-abundance modeling to predict bacterial community dynamics in the drinking water microbiome. MBio. 2014 Jul 1;5(3):e01135-14.
62. Ivone VM, Conceição E, Olga CN, Célia MM. Bacterial diversity from the source to the tap: a comparative study based on 16S rRNA gene-DGGE and culture-dependent methods. FEMS microbiology ecology. 2013 Jan 7;83(2):361-74.
63. Pavissich JP, Vargas IT, González B, Pastén PA, Pizarro GE. Culture dependent and independent analyses of bacterial communities involved in copper plumbing corrosion. Journal of applied microbiology. 2010 Sep;109(3):771-82.
64. Delafont V, Bouchon D, Héchard Y, Moulin L. Environmental factors shaping cultured free-living amoebae and their associated bacterial community within drinking water network. Water research. 2016 Sep 1;100:382-92.

65. Ghai R, Rodríguez-Valera F, McMahon KD, Toyama D, Rinke R, de Oliveira TC, et al. Metagenomics of the water column in the pristine upper course of the Amazon river. *PloS one*. 2011 Aug 19;6(8):e23785.
66. Newton RJ, Jones SE, Eiler A, McMahon KD, Bertilsson S. A guide to the natural history of freshwater lake bacteria. *Microbiology and molecular biology reviews*. 2011 Mar 1;75(1):14-49.
67. Debroas D, Humbert JF, Enault F, Bronner G, Faubladier M, Cornillot E. Metagenomic approach studying the taxonomic and functional diversity of the bacterial community in a mesotrophic lake (Lac du Bourget–France). *Environmental microbiology*. 2009 Sep;11(9):2412-24.
68. Linz AM, Crary BC, Shade A, Owens S, Gilbert JA, Knight R, et al. Bacterial community composition and dynamics spanning five years in freshwater bog lakes. *mSphere*. 2017 Jun 28;2(3):e00169-17.
69. Chao Y, Ma L, Yang Y, Ju F, Zhang XX, Wu WM, et al. Metagenomic analysis reveals significant changes of microbial compositions and protective functions during drinking water treatment. *Scientific reports*. 2013 Dec 19;3:3550.
70. Di Gregorio L, Tandoi V, Congestri R, Rossetti S, Di Pippo F. Unravelling the core microbiome of biofilms in cooling tower systems. *Biofouling*. 2017 Nov 26;33(10):793-806.
71. Ji P, Parks J, Edwards MA, Pruden A. Impact of water chemistry, pipe material and stagnation on the building plumbing microbiome. *PLoS One*. 2015 Oct 23;10(10):e0141087.
72. Holinger EP, Ross KA, Robertson CE, Stevens MJ, Harris JK, Pace NR. Molecular analysis of point-of-use municipal drinking water microbiology. *Water research*. 2014 Feb 1;49:225-35.
73. Li D, Li Z, Yu J, Cao N, Liu R, Yang M. Characterization of bacterial community structure in a drinking water distribution system during an occurrence of red water. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2010 Nov 1;76(21):7171-80.
74. Gomez-Alvarez V, Revetta RP, Santo Domingo JW. Metagenomic analyses of drinking water receiving different disinfection treatments. *Applied and environmental microbiology*. 2012 Jun 22:AEM-01018.
75. Poitelon JB, Joyeux M, Welté B, Duguet JP, Prestel E, Lespinet O, et al. Assessment of phylogenetic diversity of bacterial microflora in drinking water using serial analysis of ribosomal sequence tags. *Water research*. 2009 Sep 1;43(17):4197-206.

76. van der Wielen PW, Voost S, van der Kooij D. Ammonia-oxidizing bacteria and archaea in groundwater treatment and drinking water distribution systems. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*. 2009 Jul 15;75(14):4687-95.
77. Chang CW, Chang WL, Chang ST, Cheng SS. Antibacterial activities of plant essential oils against *Legionella pneumophila*. *Water research*. 2008 Jan 1;42(1-2):278-86.
78. Thomas V, Bouchez T, Nicolas V, Robert S, Loret JF, Levi Y. Amoebae in domestic water systems: resistance to disinfection treatments and implication in *Legionella* persistence. *Journal of applied microbiology*. 2004 Nov;97(5):950-63.
79. Barker J, Brown MR. Trojan horses of the microbial world: protozoa and the survival of bacterial pathogens in the environment. *Microbiology*. 1994 Jun 1;140(6):1253-9.
80. Bertelli C, Courtois S, Rosikiewicz M, Piriou P, Aeby S, Robert S, et al. Reduced chlorine in drinking water distribution systems impacts bacterial biodiversity in biofilms. *Frontiers in microbiology*. 2018;9:2520.
81. Shrivastava R, Upreti RK, Jain SR, Prasad KN, Seth PK, Chaturvedi UC. Suboptimal chlorine treatment of drinking water leads to selection of multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Ecotoxicology and environmental safety*. 2004 Jun 1;58(2):277-83.
82. Bédard E, Charron D, Lalancette C, Déziel E, Prévost M. Recovery of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* culturability following copper-and chlorine-induced stress. *FEMS microbiology letters*. 2014 Jul 1;356(2):226-34.
83. Seyfried PL, Fraser DJ. Persistence of *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* in chlorinated swimming pools. *Canadian Journal of Microbiology*. 1980 Mar 1;26(3):350-5.
84. Grobe S, Wingender J, Flemming HC. Capability of mucoid *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* to survive in chlorinated water. *International journal of hygiene and environmental health*. 2001 Jan 1;204(2-3):139-42.
85. Wang H, Cai L, Li Y, Xu X, Zhou G. Biofilm formation by meat-borne *Pseudomonas fluorescens* on stainless steel and its resistance to disinfectants. *Food Control*. 2018 Sep 1;91:397-403.
86. Ho BT, Basler M, Mekalanos JJ. Type 6 secretion system-mediated immunity to Type 4 secretion system-mediated gene transfer. *Science*. 2013 Oct 11;342(6155):250-3.
87. Butaitė E, Baumgartner M, Wyder S, Kümmerli R. Siderophore cheating and cheating resistance shape competition for iron in soil and freshwater *Pseudomonas* communities. *Nature communications*. 2017 Sep 4;8(1):414.
88. Mazurier S, Corberand T, Lemanceau P, Raaijmakers JM. Phenazine antibiotics produced by fluorescent pseudomonads contribute to natural soil suppressiveness to *Fusarium* wilt. *The ISME journal*. 2009 Aug;3(8):977.

89. Koehorst JJ, van Dam JC, van Heck RG, Saccenti E, Dos Santos VA, Suarez-Diez M, et al. Comparison of 432 *Pseudomonas* strains through integration of genomic, functional, metabolic and expression data. *Scientific reports*. 2016 Dec 6;6:38699.
90. Basler M, Ho B, Mekalanos J. Tit-for-tat: type VI secretion system counterattack during bacterial cell-cell interactions. *Cell*. 2013 Feb 14;152(4):884-94.
91. Guerrieri E, Bondi M, Sabia C, de Niederhäusern S, Borella P, Messi P. Effect of bacterial interference on biofilm development by *Legionella pneumophila*. *Current microbiology*. 2008 Dec 1;57(6):532-6.
92. Corre MH, Delafont V, Legrand A, Berjeaud JM, Verdon J. Exploiting the richness of environmental waterborne bacterial species to find natural anti-*Legionella* active biomolecules. *Frontiers in Microbiology*. 2018;9:3360.
93. Matz C, Moreno AM, Alhede M, Manefield M, Hauser AR, Givskov M, et al. *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* uses type III secretion system to kill biofilm-associated amoebae. *The ISME journal*. 2008 Aug;2(8):843.
94. Pollack M. The role of exotoxin A in *Pseudomonas* disease and immunity. *Reviews of infectious diseases*. 1983 Nov 1;5(Supplement_5):S979-84.
95. Oren A. The family Xanthobacteraceae. In *The Prokaryotes 2014* (pp. 709-726). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.
96. Liu R, Yu Z, Guo H, Liu M, Zhang H, Yang M. Pyrosequencing analysis of eukaryotic and bacterial communities in faucet biofilms. *Science of the Total Environment*. 2012 Oct 1;435:124-31.