

Table 1. Bibliographic information obtained from certain genera with probiotic potential in fish

Bacteria	Function	Description	Fish	Reference
<i>Aeromonas hydrophila</i>	probiotic	antagonist	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Irianto A, Austin B (2002b). Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout, <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum). J. Fish Dis. 25:1–10. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00375.x
<i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>	pathogen	etiologic agent of septicemic disease	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	
<i>Rhodococcus qingshengii</i>	probiotic	antagonist	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	Boutin S, Bernatchez L, Audet C, Derôme N (2012). Antagonistic effect of indigenous skin bacteria of brook charr (<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>) against <i>Flavobacterium columnare</i> and <i>F. psychrophilum</i> . Vet. Microbiol. 155: 355–361. doi: 10.1016/j.vetmic.2011.09.002
<i>Flavobacterium psychrophilum</i>	pathogen	systemic infectious agent	<i>Salvelinus fontinalis</i>	
<i>Cetobacterium somerae</i>	probiotic	vitamin B12 production	various	Tsuchiya C, Sakata T, Sugita H (2008). Novel ecological niche of <i>Cetobacterium somerae</i> , an anaerobic bacterium in the intestinal tracts of (I), 43–48. <i>reshwater fish. Lett. Appl. Microbiol.</i> 46:43–48. doi:10.1111/j.1472-765X.2007.02258.x
<i>Vibrio fluvialis</i>	probiotic	Immune stimulation and improved survival after challenge with <i>Aeromonas salmonicida</i>	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Irianto A & Austin B (2002a). Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum). J Fish Dis 25: 333–342
<i>Lactococcus lactis</i> CECT 539	probiotic	Immune stimulation	<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>	Villamil L, Tafalla C, Figueras A, Novoa B (2002). Evaluation of immunomodulatory effects of lactic acid bacteria in turbot (<i>Scophthalmus maximus</i>). Clin Diagn Lab Immunol 9:1318–1323.
<i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> CECT 287	probiotic	Immune stimulation	<i>Sparus aurata</i>	Salinas I, Cuesta A, Esteban MA, Meseguer J (2005). Dietary administration of <i>Lactobacillus delbrueckii</i> and <i>Bacillus subtilis</i> , single or combined, on gilthead sea bream cellular innate immune responses. Fish Shellfish Immunol 19: 67–77.
<i>Bacillus subtilis</i> CECT 35	probiotic	Immune stimulation	<i>Sparus aurata</i>	
<i>Aeromonas sobria</i> GC2	probiotic	Immune stimulation and improved survival after challenge with <i>Lactococcus garvieae</i> and <i>Streptococcus iniae</i>	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Brunt J, Austin B (2005). Use of a probiotic to control lactococcosis and streptococcosis in rainbow trout, <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum). J Fish Dis 28: 693–701.
<i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> ATCC 53103	probiotic	Immune stimulation and improved survival after challenge with <i>Edwardsiella tarda</i>	<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>	Pirarat N, Kobayashi T, Katagiri T, Maita M, Endo M (2006). Protective effects and mechanisms of a probiotic bacterium <i>Lactobacillus rhamnosus</i> against experimental <i>Edwardsiella tarda</i> infection in tilapia (<i>Oreochromis niloticus</i>). Vet Immunol Immunopathol, 113: 339–347.
<i>Carnobacterium inhibens</i>	probiotic	antibacterial activity against fish pathogens	<i>Salmo salar</i>	Joborn A, Dorsch M, Olsson JC, Westerdahl A, Kjelleberg S (1999). <i>Carnobacterium inhibens</i> sp nov., isolated from the intestine of Atlantic salmon (<i>Salmo salar</i>). Int. J. Syst. Bacteriol. 49:1891–1898.
<i>Enterobacter amnigenus</i>	probiotic	increased resistance toward <i>Flavobacterium psychrophilum</i>	<i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i>	Irianto A, Austin B (2002b). Use of probiotics to control furunculosis in rainbow trout, <i>Oncorhynchus mykiss</i> (Walbaum). J. Fish Dis. 25:1–10. doi: 10.1046/j.1365-2761.2002.00375.x

Table 2. Total reads by sex/origin and wild/cultivated

Organisms	Reads	
	Wild	Cultivated
Female	99,513	59,539
Male	137,792	67,891
Subtotal	237,305	127,430
Total	364,735	

Table 3. Alpha diversity analysis per sample, sex/origin and origin

Sample	Chao1	S.D. (Chao1)	Obs. OTUs	S.D. (Obs. OTUs)	P.D.	S.D. (P.D.)	Shannon	S.D. (Shannon)
AtropFF.5	250.20 ^h	57.17	179 ^{fg}	53.77	6.09 ^{fg}	1.27	4.12 ^k	0.12
AtropFF.6	229.41 ^g	56.92	154 ^g	49.37	6.36 ^g	1.52	3.54 ^j	0.11
AtropFF.7	241.60 ^h	46.18	185 ^j	51.61	9.16 ^j	1.64	4.46 ^m	0.13
AtropFM.1	75.65 ^b	22.22	54 ^a	15.88	2.60 ^a	0.61	1.50 ^c	0.06
AtropFM.2	144.24 ^e	40.38	97 ^d	31.52	4.10 ^d	0.94	2.03 ^e	0.10
AtropFM.3	101.88 ^d	29.58	69 ^a	21.85	2.54 ^a	0.51	2.52 ^f	0.07
AtropFM.4	210.05 ^f	50.58	146 ^f	46.20	5.94 ^f	1.38	3.24 ^h	0.11
AtropWF.1	92.24 ^c	29.32	60 ^e	20.20	4.79 ^e	1.20	1.18 ^b	0.06
AtropWF.2	456.85 ^j	110.76	296 ^k	98.54	13.52 ^k	3.52	5.43 ⁿ	0.17
AtropWF.3	262.49 ⁱ	61.28	186 ^j	56.11	7.64 ⁱ	1.67	4.43 ⁱ	0.13
AtropWM.4	49.84 ^a	14.01	37 ^e	11.28	4.60 ^e	0.72	0.86 ^a	0.06
AtropWM.6	216.94 ^f	69.18	119 ⁿ	42.08	7.05 ^h	3.10	3.29 ⁱ	0.09
AtropWM.7	141.11 ^e	36.74	97 ^c	30.10	3.63 ^c	0.90	3.16 ^g	0.08
AtropWM.8	94.20 ^{cd}	34.04	59 ^b	18.75	3.31 ^b	1.52	1.57 ^d	0.07

Kruskal-Wallis = p<0.01

Sex/Origin	Chao1	S.D. (Chao1)	Obs. OTUs	S.D. (Obs. OTUs)	P.D.	S.D. (P.D.)	Shannon	S.D. (Shannon)
FF	465.74 ^a	67.21	402 ^a	91.40	14.62 ^a	2.47	4.22 ^a	0.06
FM	374.76 ^b	66.59	302 ^b	79.96	9.57 ^b	2.04	3.24 ^b	0.05
WF	803.73 ^c	120.84	675 ^c	169.82	23.74 ^c	4.77	5.57 ^c	0.09
WM	447.04 ^d	88.21	339 ^d	98.71	15.49 ^d	4.50	4.05 ^d	0.05

Kruskal-Wallis = p<0.01

Origin	Chao1	S.D. (Chao1)	Obs. OTUs	S.D. (Obs. OTUs)	P. D.	S.D. (P.D.)	Shannon	S.D. (Shannon)
Farm	543.24 ^a	76.85	486 ^a	105.77	16.29 ^a	2.79	3.88 ^a	0.07
Wild	1090.53 ^b	178.13	912 ^b	239.31	31.67 ^b	7.35	5.35 ^b	0.10

W of Mann-Whitney (Wilcoxon) p<0.01

Multiple Range Test for Kruskal-Wallis (Bonferroni post-hoc):
 - Different letters = p < 0.01
 - Equal letters = p > 0.05

FF farmed o cultivated female
 FM farmed o cultivated male
 WF wildtype female
 WM wildtype male