1 TITLE

2 3

On the cross-population portability of gene expression prediction models

4

5 AUTHORS

6

Kevin L. Keys^{1,2,*}, Angel C.Y. Mak¹, Marquitta J. White¹, Walter L. Eckalbar¹, Andy Dahl¹, Joel
Mefford¹, Anna V. Mikaylova³, María G. Contreras^{1,4}, Jennifer R. Elhawary¹, Celeste Eng¹, Donglei
Hu¹, Scott Huntsman¹, Sam S. Oh¹, Sandra Salazar¹, Michael A. Lenoir⁵, Jimmie C. Ye^{6,7}, Timothy

10 A. Thornton³, Noah Zaitlen⁸, Esteban G. Burchard^{1,7,+}, and Christopher R. Gignoux^{9,10,+*}.

- 11
- ¹ Department of Medicine, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA
- ² Berkeley Institute for Data Science, University of California, Berkeley, California, USA
- ³ Department of Biostatistics, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA
- 15 ⁴ San Francisco State University, San Francisco, CA, USA
- 16 ⁵ Bay Area Pediatrics, Oakland, CA, USA
- ⁶ Department of Epidemiology and Biostatistics, University of California, San Francisco, CA, USA
- ⁷ Department of Bioengineering and Therapeutic Biosciences, University of California, San
- 19 Francisco, CA, USA
- 20 ⁸ Department of Neurology, University of California, Los Angeles, CA, USA
- ⁹ Colorado Center for Personalized Medicine, University of Colorado, Anschutz Medical Campus,
- 22 Aurora, CO, USA
- ²³ ¹⁰ Department of Biostatistics and Informatics, School of Public Health, University of Colorado,
- 24 Anschutz Medical Campus, Aurora, CO, USA
- 25
- ²⁶ ⁺ Shared senior authorship.
- ^{*} Correspondence should be addressed to Kevin L. Keys (<u>klkeys@g.ucla.edu</u>) and Christopher R.
- 28 Gignoux (<u>chris.gignoux@ucdenver.edu</u>)
- 29

30 ABSTRACT

31

32 The genetic control of gene expression is a core component of human physiology. For the past 33 several years, transcriptome-wide association studies have leveraged large datasets of linked 34 genotype and RNA sequencing information to create a powerful gene-based test of association 35 that has been used in dozens of studies. While numerous discoveries have been made, the 36 populations in the training data are overwhelmingly of European descent, and little is known 37 about the portability of these models to other populations. Here, we test for cross-population 38 portability of gene expression prediction models using a dataset of African American individuals 39 with RNA-Seq data in whole blood. We find that the default models trained in large datasets such 40 as GTEx and DGN fare poorly in African Americans, with a notable reduction in prediction 41 accuracy when compared to European Americans. We replicate these limitations in cross-42 population portability using the five populations in the GEUVADIS dataset. Via simulations of both 43 populations and gene expression, we show that accurate cross-population portability of 44 transcriptome imputation only arises when eQTL architecture is substantially shared across

- 45 populations. In contrast, models with non-identical eQTL showed patterns similar to real-world
- 46 data. Therefore, generating RNA-Seq data in diverse populations is a critical step towards multi-
- 47 ethnic utility of gene expression imputation.
- 48

49 KEYWORDS

50 TWAS, gene expression, admixed populations, GTEx, PrediXcan

51

52 MANUSCRIPT TEXT

53

54 In the last decade, large-scale genome-wide genotyping projects have enabled a revolution in our understanding of complex traits.¹⁻⁴ This explosion of genome sequencing data has spurred the 55 56 development of new methods that integrate large genotype sets with additional molecular 57 measurements such as gene expression. A recently popular integrative approach to genetic 58 association analyses, known as a transcriptome-wide association study (TWAS)^{5,6}, leverages 59 reference datasets such as the Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) repository⁷ or the Depression 60 and Genes Network (DGN)⁸ to link associated genetic variants with a molecular trait like gene 61 expression. The general TWAS framework requires previously estimated *cis*-eQTL for all genes in 62 a dataset with both genotype and gene expression measurements. The resulting eQTL effect sizes 63 build a predictive model that can impute gene expression in an independently genotyped 64 population. A TWAS is similar in spirit to the widely-known genome-wide association study 65 (GWAS) but suffers less of a multiple testing burden and can potentially detect more associations as a result.^{5,6} 66

67

68 Unlike a normal GWAS, where phenotypes are regressed onto genotypes, in TWAS the phenotype 69 is regressed onto the imputed gene expression values, thus constituting a new gene-based 70 association test. TWAS can also link phenotypes to variation in gene expression and provide 71 researchers with additional biological and functional insights over those afforded by GWAS alone. 72 While these models are imperfect predictors, imputing gene expression allows researchers to 73 test phenotype associations to expression levels in existing GWAS datasets without measuring 74 gene expression directly. In particular, these methods enable analysis of predicted gene 75 expression in very large cohorts ($^{10^4} - 10^6$ individuals) rather than typical gene expression studies that measure expression directly ($\sim 10^2 - 10^3$ individuals). Several methods have been 76 77 recently developed to perform TWAS in existing genotyped datasets. PrediXcan⁶ uses eQTL 78 precomputed from paired genotype-expression data, such as those in GTEx, in conjunction with 79 a new genotype set to predict gene expression. These gene expression prediction models are 80 freely available online (PredictDB). Related TWAS approaches, such as FUSION⁵, MetaXcan⁹, or 81 SMR¹⁰, leverage eQTL with GWAS summary statistics instead of requiring the availability of raw 82 individual-level genotype data.

83

As evidenced by application to numerous disease domains, the TWAS framework is capable of uncovering new genic associations.^{11–17} However, the power of TWAS is inherently limited by the data used for eQTL discovery. For example, since gene expression varies by tissue type, researchers must ensure that the prediction weights are estimated using RNA from a tissue related to their phenotype, whether that be the direct tissue of interest or one with sufficiently

correlated gene expression.¹⁸ Furthermore, the ability of predictive models to impute gene
 expression from genotypes is limited by the heritability in the cis region around the gene.⁶
 Consequently, genes with little or no measurable genetically regulated effect on their expression

- 92 in the discovery data would not be good candidates for TWAS.
- 93

94 A subtler but more troubling issue arises from the lack of genetic diversity present in the datasets used for predictive model training: most paired genotype-expression datasets consist almost 95 96 entirely of data from European-descent individuals. The European overrepresentation in genetic 97 studies is well documented¹⁹⁻²¹ and has severe negative consequences for equity as well as for gene discovery²², fine mapping²³⁻²⁵, and applications in personalized medicine.²⁶⁻³⁴ Genetic 98 99 architecture and genotype frequencies can vary across populations, which presents a potential 100 problem for the application of predictive models with genotype predictors across multiple 101 populations.

102

103 The training data for most models in PredictDB are highly biased toward European ancestry: GTEx 104 version v6p subjects are over 85% European, while the GTEx v7 and DGN subjects are entirely of 105 European descent. The lack of suitable genotype-expression datasets in non-European 106 individuals leads to scenarios in which PredictDB models trained in Europeans are used to impute 107 into non-European or admixed populations. As shown previously in the context of polygenic risk 108 scores³⁵, multi-SNP prediction models trained in one population can suffer from unpredictable 109 bias and poor prediction accuracy that impair their cross-population portability. Recent analyses of genotype-expression data from the Multi-Ethnic Study of Atherosclerosis (MESA)^{36–38} explore 110 111 cross-population transcriptome imputation and conclude that predictive accuracy is highest 112 when training and testing populations match in ancestry. These results dovetail with our 113 experience analyzing diverse populations, but offer little insight into the mechanisms underlying 114 the cross-population portability of transcriptome prediction models, particularly when eQTL 115 architecture is known.

116

117 Here, we investigate the cross-population portability of gene expression models using paired 118 genotype and gene expression data and using simulations derived from real genotypic data and 119 realistic models of gene expression. We analyze prediction quality from currently available 120 PrediXcan prediction weights using a pilot subset of paired genotype and whole blood transcriptome data from the Study of African Americans, Asthma, Genes, and Environment 121 122 (SAGE).^{39–42} SAGE is a pediatric cohort study of childhood-onset asthma and pulmonary 123 phenotypes in African American subjects of 8 to 21 years of age. To tease apart cross-population 124 prediction quality, we turn to GEUVADIS and the 1000 Genomes Project datasets.^{4,43} The GEUVADIS dataset has been used extensively to validate PrediXcan models.^{6,38} However, recent 125 126 analyses suggest that GTEx and DGN PrediXcan models behave differently on the constituent populations in GEUVADIS.⁴⁴ To our knowledge, nobody has investigated cross-population 127 portability within GEUVADIS. GEUVADIS provides us an opportunity to investigate predictive 128 129 models with an experimentally homogeneous dataset: the GEUVADIS RNA-Seq data were 130 produced in the same environment under the same protocol, from lymphoblastoid cell lines 131 (LCLs) derived from similar sampling efforts, providing a high degree of technical harmonization. 132 We train, test, and validate predictive models wholly within GEUVADIS with a nested crossvalidation scheme. Finally, to understand the consequences of eQTL architecture on TWAS, we use existing 1000 Genomes data to simulate two ancestral populations and an admixed population and then apply the same "train-test-validate" scheme with various simulated eQTL models to study cross-population prediction efficacy when a gold standard is known.

137

138 We compared transcriptome imputation accuracy in SAGE whole blood RNA using three 139 PredictDB prediction weight sets for whole blood RNA: GTEx v6p, GTEx v7, and DGN. We also 140 evaluated expression prediction with all four MESA monocyte weight sets: MESA ALL 141 (populations combined), MESA AFA (African Americans), MESA AFHI (combined African 142 Americans and Hispanic Americans), and MESA CAU (Caucasians). For each gene where both 143 measured RNA-Seq gene expression and predictions are available in SAGE, we compute both the 144 coefficient of determination (R²) and Spearman correlation to analyze the direction of prediction. 145 As we are primarily interested in describing the relationship between predicted outcome and real 146 outcome, we prefer Spearman's ρ to describe correlations, while for determining prediction 147 accuracy, we use the standard regression R², corresponding to the squared Pearson correlation, 148 to facilitate comparisons to prior work. We then benchmark these against the out-of-sample R^2 149 and correlations from GTEx v7 and MESA as found in PredictDB. Prediction results in SAGE were 150 available for 11,545 genes with a predictive model from at least one weight set. Not all sets 151 derived models at the same genes: the prediction results across all weight sets overlapped at 273 152 genes, of which 39 genes had predictions with positive correlation to measurements. Since the 153 estimation of these prediction models requires both high quality expression data and inferred 154 eQTL, each weight set may have a different number of gene models. Therefore, intersecting 155 seven different weight sets reduces the overall number of models available for comparison. This 156 small number of genes in common is largely driven by MESA AFA, the repository with the 157 smallest number of predictive models. MESA AFA contains the models that should best reflect 158 the genetic ancestry in SAGE (Supplementary Table 1). We note that MESA AFA also has the 159 smallest training sample size among our weight sets (N = 233)³⁸, so the small number of predicted 160 genes from MESA AFA probably results from the small training sample size and not from any 161 feature of the underlying MESA AFA training data.

162

163 The concordance between predicted and measured gene expression over the 273 genes in 164 common to all seven weight sets, with corresponding training metrics from PredictDB as benchmarks, shows worse performance than expected for R² (Figure 1) and correlations (Figure 165 2). The highest mean R^2 of 0.0336 was observed in DGN. Here, we highlight the intersection of 166 167 genes across model sets for investigation, but the overall patterns for all genes are similar; results 168 for the 11,545 total genes (Supplementary Figure 1) and the 39 genes with positive correlations 169 (Supplementary Figure 2) showed little appreciable deviation from R² shown in Figure 1. Because 170 SAGE is an independent validation set for the training populations, we would expect to observe 171 some deterioration in imputation R² due to differences in population structure and linkage 172 disequilibrium. However, Figure 1 shows a marked difference in model performance. 173

174 More noteworthy is the substantial proportion of predictions in SAGE with negative correlations 175 to the real data. All seven weight sets produced negative mean correlations. The least negative 176 mean correlation (-0.0044) was observed with GTEx v6p, while the most negative mean 177 correlation (-0.020) was observed with MESA AFA (Supplementary Table 1). The fact that 178 correlations to SAGE measurements are negative on average suggests that some large R² values 179 in Figure 1 may result from gene models with incorrect direction of prediction. While there are 180 some fluctuations in prediction accuracy, no prediction weight set produces practically 181 meaningfully better correlations to data than the others (-0.020 to -0.044). In contrast, the 182 published PredictDB models for these genes show positive correlations to their training data, 183 indicating no obvious incapacity for accurate prediction. However, available predictions into 184 SAGE from otherwise valid prediction models are uniformly limited in power to capture true 185 genotype-expression relationships.

186

To analyze genes with ostensibly high imputation R^2 , we focus on genes in GTEx v7 with crossvalidated $R^2 > 0.2$ in the reference population. Figure 3 compares PredictDB testing R^2 against the empirical R^2 from regressing predictions onto observations in SAGE. In this case, even the betterimputed gene models derived from PredictDB have limited ability to capture gene expression

- 191 accurately in SAGE (mean R² 0.031, IQR [0.0027, 0.037]).
- 192

193 It is important to note that real-world comparisons of RNA-Seg datasets can be subject to 194 numerous sources of heterogeneity besides differential ancestry. Possible confounders include 195 technical differences in sequencing protocols, differences in the age of participants⁴⁵, and the postmortem interval to tissue collection (for GTEx).^{46–48} To investigate cross-population 196 197 portability in an experimentally homogeneous context, we turn to GEUVADIS.⁴³ The GEUVADIS 198 data include two continental population groups from the 1000 Genomes Project: the Europeans 199 (EUR373), composed of 373 unrelated individuals from four subpopulations (Utahns (CEU), Finns 200 (FIN), British (GBR), Toscani (TSI)), and the Africans (AFR) composed of 89 unrelated Yoruba (YRI) 201 individuals. In light of the known bottleneck in Finnish population history, we analyze EUR373 202 both as one population and as two independent subgroups: the 95 Finnish individuals (FIN) and 203 the 278 non-Finnish Europeans (EUR278). We used matched RNA-Seq, generated and 204 harmonized together by the GEUVADIS Consortium and whole-genome genotype data in the 205 resulting four populations (EUR373, EUR278, FIN, and AFR) to train predictive models for gene 206 expression in a nested cross-validation scheme⁶ and perform cross-population tests of 207 imputation accuracy.

208

209 Table 1 shows R² from three training sets (EUR373, EUR278 and AFR) into the four testing 210 populations (EUR373, EUR278, FIN, and AFR) for genes with positive correlation between 211 prediction and measurement. While the number of genes with applicable models including 212 genetic data varies in each train-test scenario (see Supplementary Table 3), we note that not all 213 predictive models are trained on equal sample sizes, so the resulting R² only provide a general 214 idea of how well one population imputes into another. Analyses within a population use out-ofsample imputation R² to avoid overfitting across train-test scenarios. Predicting from a 215 216 population into itself yields R² ranging from 0.079 – 0.098 (Table 1) consistent with the smaller 217 sample sizes in GEUVADIS versus GTEx and DGN. In contrast, predicting across populations yields 218 more variable predictions, with R^2 ranging from 0.029 – 0.087. At the lower range of R^2 (0.029 – 219 0.039) are predictions from AFR into European testing groups (EUR373, EUR278, and FIN). 220 Alternatively, when predicting from European training groups into AFR, the R² are noticeably

higher (0.051 – 0.054). Prediction from EUR278 into FIN ($R^2 = 0.087$) is better than prediction 221 222 from EUR278 into AFR ($R^2 = 0.051$), suggesting that imputation R^2 may deteriorate with increased 223 genetic distance. A comparison of the 564 genes in common across all train-test scenarios (Table 224 2) yields a more equal basis of comparison between populations, albeit from a subset of genes 225 with potentially more consistent gene expression levels. In this case involving better-predicted 226 genes, we see that imputation quality between the European groups improves noticeably, with R² ranging between 0.183 to 0.216, while R² between Europeans and Africans ranges from 0.095 227 228 to 0.147. In general, populations seem to predict better when imputing into themselves, and less

- well when imputing into other populations.
- 230

231 Combining all European subpopulations obscures population structure and can complicate 232 analysis of cross-population imputation performance. To that end, we divide the GEUVADIS data 233 into its five constituent populations and randomly subsample each of them to the smallest 234 population size (n = 89). We then estimate models from each subpopulation and predict into all 235 five subpopulations. Table 3 shows average R² from each population into itself and others. The 236 populations consistently impute well into themselves, with imputation R^2 ranging from 0.104 – 237 0.136. However, a notable difference exists between the EUR subpopulations and YRI. The cross-238 population R² between CEU, TSI, GBR, and FIN ranges from 0.103 to 0.137, while cross-population 239 R^2 from these populations into YRI ranges from 0.062 to 0.084. Imputation between YRI and the 240 EUR populations taken together is consistently lower than within the EUR populations 241 (Supplementary Figure 3) and statistically significant (*p*-value < 1.36×10^{-4} , Dunn test; see 242 Supplementary Table 6). The cross-population differences remain for the 142 genes with positive 243 correlation in all train-test scenarios (Table 4), where R² for imputation into YRI ranges from 0.166 244 to 0.244, while imputation within EUR populations ranges from 0.239 to 0.331. These results 245 clearly suggest problems for prediction models that impute gene expression across populations, 246 in similar regimes to those tested with linear predictive models and datasets of size consistent 247 with current references. In addition, since AFR is genetically more distant from the EUR 248 subpopulations than they are to each other, we interpret these results to imply that structure in 249 populations can potentially exacerbate cross-population imputation guality (Supplementary 250 Figure 4).

251

252 The unresolved question is the extent to which these results hold with oracle knowledge of eQTL 253 architecture, something impossible to investigate in real data when the causal links between 254 eQTL and gene expression can only be estimated. To investigate genomic architectures giving rise 255 to gene expression, and in particular to investigate behavior in admixed populations, we simulate 256 haplotypes from HapMap3⁵⁰ CEU and YRI using HAPGEN2⁵¹ and then sample haplotypes in 257 proportions consistent with observed admixture proportions (80% YRI, 20% CEU)⁵² to construct 258 a simulated African-American (AA) admixed population. We simulate eQTL architectures under 259 an additive model of size k causal alleles (k = 1, 5, 10, and 20) and a phenotype with *cis*-heritability 260 $h^2 = 0.15$ (recapitulating average h^2 in GTEx) using the genomic background of genic regions on 261 chromosome 22, thus testing various model sizes and LD patterns. To tease apart the effect of 262 shared eQTL architecture, we allow the populations to share eQTL with fixed effects in various proportions (0%, 10%, 20%, ..., 100%). With these simulations providing known architectures for 263 264 comparison, we then apply the train-test-validate scheme as before.

265

266 Figure 4 shows the cross-population Spearman correlations between predicted and simulated 267 phenotypes in our simulated AA, CEU, and YRI, partitioned by proportion of shared eQTL, for k =268 10 causal eQTL, with k = 5 and k = 20 showing similar effects (Supplementary Figure 5 and 269 Supplementary Figure 6). Imputation within a population produced similar correlations in all 270 cases, ranging from 0.323 to 0.329 (Supplementary Table 4). Secondly, the case of 100% shared 271 eQTL architecture (where eQTL positions and effects are exactly the same across populations) 272 models provide predictions with no loss in cross-population portability, with correlations ranging 273 from 0.299 to 0.336 even when imputing across populations (Supplementary Table 5). This case 274 suggests that eQTL that are causal in all populations can impute gene expression reliably 275 regardless of the population in which they were ascertained, provided that the eQTL can be 276 correctly mapped and genotyped in all populations, that the eQTL effects are identical across 277 populations, and that a linear model of eQTL is assumed. For cases where eQTL architecture is 278 not fully shared across populations, we see that imputation from each population into the other 279 improves as the proportion of shared eQTL increases (Figure 4). The cross-population correlation 280 between predicted gene expression versus measurement is highest between YRI and AA (0.037 281 to 0.088), intermediate between CEU and AA (0.0083 to 0.0245), and lowest between CEU and 282 YRI (0.0017 to 0.0290). When imputing between two populations, the choice of which population 283 is used to train predictive models produces no obvious difference in imputation quality. More 284 explicitly, imputation quality between AA to CEU and CEU to AA is not significantly different (p-285 value \sim 1, Dunn test). The same applies between AA to YRI and YRI to AA (p-value \sim 1) and 286 between CEU to YRI and YRI to CEU (p-value < 0.12). All other train/test scenarios are significantly 287 different from each other as expected (Supplementary Table 7). The results for k = 5, 10, and 20 288 eQTL are consistent with the higher overall ancestral similarity of AA to YRI versus AA to CEU or 289 CEU to YRI (k = 10, Figure 4, similar plots in Supplementary Figure 5 and Supplementary Figure 290 6). Although less realistic for most genes^{5,6,18}, we also analyzed models with a single causal eQTL. 291 Trends for single-eQTL models are difficult to analyze due to simplicity in architecture 292 (Supplementary Figure 7) and binary inference as to whether the causal is identified or not. 293

294 Overall, these results highlight two points: firstly, since prediction within populations is better 295 than prediction between populations, our results reaffirm prior investigations³⁸ that population 296 matching matters for optimally imputing gene expression. This is consistent with our results of 297 impaired transcriptome imputation performance in SAGE with currently available resources. 298 Secondly, despite decreased prediction accuracy when imputing between different populations, 299 the populations that are more closely genetically related demonstrate better cross-population 300 prediction. Imputation results from both GTEx and DGN into SAGE suggest that current predictive 301 models, even for genes with greater heritability, perform worse than expected despite matching 302 tissue types. Focusing on imputation R², as previous studies have done, may hide the observation 303 of a substantial proportion of negative correlations between predictions and gene expression 304 measurements in cross-population scenarios. Our investigation into cross-population imputation 305 accuracy with GEUVADIS data replicates this lack of cross-population portability as observed with 306 current GTEx and DGN predictive models. Since transcriptome prediction models use 307 multivariate genotype predictors trained on a specific outcome, the impaired cross-population

308 application can be viewed as an analogous observation to that seen previously in polygenic 309 scores.³⁵

310

311 It is important to note that our observations do not reflect shortcomings of either the initial 312 PrediXcan or TWAS frameworks. Nor do our findings affect the positive discoveries made using 313 these frameworks over the past several years. These methods fully rely on the data used as input 314 for training, and the most commonly used datasets for model training are overwhelmingly of 315 European descent. Here we note that the current models fail to capture the complexity of the 316 cross-population genomic architecture of gene expression for populations of non-European 317 descent. Failing to account for this could lead researchers to draw incorrect conclusions from 318 their genetic data, particularly as these models would lead to false negatives.

319

320 To this end, our simulations strongly suggest that imputing gene expression in a target population 321 is improved by using predictive models constructed in a genetically similar training population. If 322 populations share the exact same eQTL architecture, then they are essentially interchangeable 323 for the purposes of gene expression imputation so long as eQTL are genotyped and accurately 324 estimated, which remains a technological and statistical challenge. As the proportion of shared 325 eQTL architecture decreases between two populations, the cross-population imputation quality 326 decreases as well, and often dramatically. In both SAGE and GEUVADIS, we observe cross-327 population patterns consistent with an imperfect overlap of eQTL across populations. Ensuring representative eQTL architecture for all populations in genotype-expression repositories will 328 329 require a solid understanding of true cross-population and population-specific eQTL. However, 330 expanding the amount of global genetic architecture represented in genotype-expression 331 repositories, which can be accomplished by sampling more populations, provides the most 332 desirable course for improving gene expression prediction models. Additionally, this presents an 333 opportunity for future research in methods that could improve cross-population portability. 334 particularly when one population is over-represented in reference data. Tools from transfer 335 learning could facilitate porting TWAS eQTL models from reference populations to target 336 populations using little or no RNA-Seg data.

337

In light of the surging interest in gene expression imputation, we see a pressing need for freely distributed predictive models of gene expression estimated from coupled transcriptome-genome data sampled in a variety of populations and tissues. The recently published predictive models with multi-ethnic MESA data constitute a crucial first step in this direction for researchers working with admixed populations. However, the clinical and biomedical research communities must push for more diverse genotype-expression resources to ensure that the fruits of genomic studies benefit all populations.

345 **Online Resources**

- 346
- 347 PredictDB: <u>http://predictdb.org/</u>
- 348 GTEx: <u>http://gtexportal.org/</u>
- 349 DGN: <u>http://dags.stanford.edu/dgn/</u>
- 350 GEUVADIS: <u>https://www.ebi.ac.uk/Tools/geuvadis-das/</u>
- 351 Source code: <u>https://github.com/asthmacollaboratory/sage-geuvadis-predixcan</u>
- 352 Results and simulation data: <u>https://ucsf.box.com/v/sage-geuvadis-predixcan</u>
- 353

354 SUPPLEMENTAL MATERIALS AND METHODS

355 Genotype and RNA-Seq data

356 RNA-Seq (RNA sequencing) data generation and cleaning protocols for 39 SAGE subjects analyzed here were initially described in (Mak, White, Eckalbar, et al. 2018).³⁹ Genotypes were generated 357 on the Affymetrix Axiom array as described previously.⁵³ Genotypes were then imputed on the 358 359 Michigan Imputation Server⁵⁴ with EAGLE v2.3⁵⁵ and the 1000 Genomes panel phase 3 v5⁵⁶ and 360 then subjected to the following filters: <5% missing sample, <5% missing genotypes, >1% MAF, 361 >1e-4 HWE, and >0.3 imputation R^2 . The choice of the 1000 Genomes panel follows GTEx 362 protocol, though GTEx used the smaller 1000 Genomes phase 1 panel.⁴ Gene expression counts were processed through the GTEx v6p eQTL quality control pipeline and as described 363 previously.¹⁸ This filtering process kept 20,985 genes with Ensembl identifiers for analysis, of 364 365 which 20,268 were autosomal genes. We then quantile normalized the remaining gene 366 expression values across samples as our gene expression measurements.

367

368 GEUVADIS genotype VCF files and normalized gene expression data (filename 369 GD462.GeneQuantRPKM.50FN.samplename.resk10.txt.gz) were downloaded directly from 370 the EMBL-EBI GEUVADIS Data Browser. Genotypes were filtered similarly to SAGE subjects. No 371 manipulation was performed on expression data. This process yielded 23,722 genes for analysis.

372

373 Running PrediXcan models

374

We ran PrediXcan on SAGE subjects using PredictDB prediction weights from three paired 375 genotype-expression datasets from PredictDB: GTEx, DGN, and MESA.^{6,9,38,57} For GTEx, we used 376 377 both GTEx v6p and GTEx v7 weights. For MESA, we used all weight sets from the freeze dated 378 2018-05-30: African Americans (MESA AFA), African Americans and Hispanics (MESA AFHI), 379 Caucasians (MESA CAU), and all MESA samples (MESA ALL). Overall, the analysis included 380 10,161 genes, of which only 273 had both normalized RNA-Seq measures and predictions from 381 all weight sets. Of these, 126 had positive correlation between prediction and measurement. We 382 assessed imputation quality by comparing PrediXcan predictions to normalized gene expression 383 from SAGE using linear regression and correlation tests.

384

385 **Building prediction models**

386

387 We trained prediction models in GEUVADIS on genotypes in a 500Kb window around each of 388 23,723 genes with measured and normalized gene expression. GEUVADIS subjects were 389 partitioned into various groups: the Europeans (EUR373), the non-Finnish Europeans (EUR278), 390 the Yoruba (AFR), and the constituent 1000 Genomes populations (CEU, GBR, TSI, FIN, and YRI). 391 For each training set, we performed nested cross-validation. The external cross-validation for all 392 populations used leave-one-out cross-validation (LOOCV). The internal cross-validation used 10-393 fold cross-validation for EUR373 and EUR278 and LOOCV for the five constituent GEUVADIS 394 populations in order to fully utilize the smaller sample size (n = 89) compared to EUR278 (n = 278)

395 and EUR373 (n = 373). Internal cross-validation used elastic net regression with mixing parameter 396 $\alpha = 0.5$ as implemented in the glmnet package in R. The nonzero weights for each SNP from each 397 LOOCV were compiled and averaged for each gene, yielding a single set of prediction weights for 398 each gene. Predictions were computed by parsing genotype dosages from the target population 399 corresponding to the nonzero SNP predictors, and then multiplying dosages against the 400 prediction weights. The resulting predictions were compared to normalized gene expression 401 measurements downloaded from the GEUVADIS data portal. The comparison of predictive 402 models cannot easily differentiate predictions of 0 (no gene expression) and NA (missing 403 expression). We addressed this with two additional filters. Firstly, we removed genes that did not 404 have any eQTL in their predictive models. Secondly, genes where fewer than half of the 405 individuals had nonmissing predictions were removed from further analysis. Coefficients of 406 determination (R²) were computed with the lm function in R. Spearman correlations were 407 computed with the cor.test function in R.

408 409

410 Simulation

411

We downloaded a sample of 20,085 HapMap 3 SNPs⁵⁰ from each of CEU and YRI on chromosome 412 22 as provided by HAPGEN2.⁵¹ The data include 234 phased haplotypes for CEU and 230 phased 413 414 haplotypes for YRI. We forward-simulated from these haplotypes to obtain two populations of n = 1000 individuals each. We then sampled haplotypes in proportions of 80% YRI and 20% CEU to 415 416 obtain a mixture of CEU and YRI where the ancestry patterns roughly mimic those of African 417 Americans. For computational simplicity, and in keeping with the high ancestry LD present in 418 African Americans^{58,59}, for each gene we assumed local ancestry was constant for each haplotype. 419 For each of the three simulated populations, we applied the same train-test-validate scheme 420 used for cross-population analysis in GEUVADIS. Genetic data for model simulation were downloaded from Ensembl 89 and included the largest 100 genes from chromosome 22. We 421 422 defined each gene as the start and end positions corresponding to the canonical transcript, plus 423 1 megabase in each direction. Two genes, PPP6R2 and MOV10L1, spanned no polymorphic 424 markers in our simulated data, resulting in 98 gene models used for analysis. To simulate 425 predictive eQTL models, we tested multiple parameter configurations for each gene: we varied 426 the number of causal eQTL (k = 1, 5, 10, and 20), the positions (all same or not all same), and the proportion of shared positions (p = 0.0, 0.1, 0.2, ..., 0.9, 1). Each model included a simulated gene 427 428 expression phenotype with *cis*-heritability set to 0.15. For each parameter configuration, we ran 429 100 different random instantiations of the model simulations. 430

431 Analysis tools

432

Analyses used GNU parallel⁶⁰ and the tidyverse bundle of R packages.⁶¹ All plots were
 generated with ggplot2.⁶²

435

436 **CONFLICT OF INTEREST**

438 C.R.G. owns stock in 23andMe, Inc. The remaining authors declare no potential conflicts of 439 interest.

440 **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

441 This work was supported in part by the Sandler Family Foundation, the American Asthma 442 Foundation, the RWJF Amos Medical Faculty Development Program, the Harry Wm. and Diana V. 443 Hind Distinguished Professor in Pharmaceutical Sciences II, the National Heart, Lung, and Blood 444 Institute (NHLBI) R01HL117004, R01HL128439, R01HL135156, X01HL134589, R01HL141992, and 445 R01HL104608, the National Human Genome Research Institute (NHGRI) U01HG007419, National 446 Institute of Environmental Health Sciences R01ES015794, R21ES24844, the National Institute on Minority Health and Health Disparities P60MD006902, R01MD010443, RL5GM118984 and the 447 448 Tobacco-Related Disease Research Program under Award Numbers 24RT-0025, 27IR-0030. 449 Research reported in this article was funded by the National Institutes of Health Common Fund 450 and Office of Scientific Workforce Diversity under three linked awards RL5GM118984, 451 TL4GM118986, 1UL1GM118985 administered by the National Institute of General Medical 452 Sciences.

453

The authors wish to acknowledge the following SAGE co-investigators for subject recruitment, sample processing and quality control: Luisa N. Borrell, DDS, PhD, Emerita Brigino-Buenaventura, MD, Adam Davis, MA, MPH, Michael A. LeNoir, MD, Kelley Meade, MD, Fred Lurmann, MS and Harold J. Farber, MD, MSPH. The authors also wish to thank the staff and participants who contributed to the SAGE study.

459

K.L.K was additionally supported by a diversity supplement of NHLBI R01HL135156, the UCSF
Bakar Computational Health Sciences Institute, the Gordon and Betty More Foundation grant
GBMF3834, and the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation grant 2013-10-27 to UC Berkeley through the
Moore-Sloan Data Sciences Environment initiative at the Berkeley Institute for Data Science
(BIDS). The logistical space, technical support, administrative assistance, and indefatigable good
humor of the members and staff at BIDS is gratefully acknowledged.

466

M.J.W. was additionally supported by a diversity supplement of NHLBI R01HL117004, an
Institutional Research and Academic Career Development Award K12GM081266, and an NHLBI
Research Career Development (K) Award K01HL140218.

470

471 M.G.C was additionally supported by NIH MARC U-STAR grant T34GM008574 at San Francisco472 State University.

473

474 C.R.G. was additionally supported by grants R56HG010297 and T32HG00044.

476 **REFERENCES**

- 477
- 1. Sudlow, C., Gallacher, J., Allen, N., Beral, V., Burton, P., Danesh, J., Downey, P., Elliott, P.,
- 479 Green, J., Landray, M., et al. (2015). UK Biobank: An Open Access Resource for Identifying the
- 480 Causes of a Wide Range of Complex Diseases of Middle and Old Age. PLoS Med. 12,.
- 481 2. NHLBI Trans-Omics for Precision Medicine.
- 482 3. NHGRI Genome Sequencing Program (GSP).
- 483 4. The 1000 Genomes Consortium An integrated map of genetic variation from 1,092 human
 484 genomes | Nature.
- 485 5. Gusev, A., Ko, A., Shi, H., Bhatia, G., Chung, W., Penninx, B.W.J.H., Jansen, R., de Geus,
- 486 E.J., Boomsma, D.I., Wright, F.A., et al. (2016). Integrative approaches for large-scale
- 487 transcriptome-wide association studies. Nat. Genet. 48, 245–252.
- 488 6. Gamazon, E.R., Wheeler, H.E., Shah, K.P., Mozaffari, S.V., Aquino-Michaels, K., Carroll,
- 489 R.J., Eyler, A.E., Denny, J.C., Nicolae, D.L., Cox, N.J., et al. (2015). A gene-based association
- 490 method for mapping traits using reference transcriptome data. Nat. Genet. 47, 1091–1098.
- 491 7. GTEx Consortium (2013). The Genotype-Tissue Expression (GTEx) project. Nat. Genet. 45,
 492 580–585.
- 493 8. Battle, A., Mostafavi, S., Zhu, X., Potash, J.B., Weissman, M.M., McCormick, C.,
- Haudenschild, C.D., Beckman, K.B., Shi, J., Mei, R., et al. (2014). Characterizing the genetic
 basis of transcriptome diversity through RNA-sequencing of 922 individuals. Genome Res. 24,
 14–24.
- 497 9. Barbeira, A.N., Dickinson, S.P., Torres, J.M., Bonazzola, R., Zheng, J., Torstenson, E.S.,
- Wheeler, H.E., Shah, K.P., Edwards, T., Garcia, T., et al. (2017). Exploring the phenotypic
 consequences of tissue specific gene expression variation inferred from GWAS summary
 statistics. BioRxiv 045260.
- 501 10. Zhu, Z., Zhang, F., Hu, H., Bakshi, A., Robinson, M.R., Powell, J.E., Montgomery, G.W.,
- 502 Goddard, M.E., Wray, N.R., Visscher, P.M., et al. (2016). Integration of summary data from
- 503 GWAS and eQTL studies predicts complex trait gene targets. Nat. Genet. 48, 481–487.
- 11. Mostafavi, S., Gaiteri, C., Sullivan, S.E., White, C.C., Tasaki, S., Xu, J., Taga, M., Klein, H.U., Patrick, E., Komashko, V., et al. (2018). A molecular network of the aging human brain
 provides insights into the pathology and cognitive decline of Alzheimer's disease. Nat. Neurosci.
- 507 21, 811.
- 508 12. Ferreira, M.A.R., Jansen, R., Willemsen, G., Penninx, B., Bain, L.M., Vicente, C.T., Revez,
- 509 J.A., Matheson, M.C., Hui, J., Tung, J.Y., et al. (2017). Gene-based analysis of regulatory
- 510 variants identifies four putative novel asthma risk genes related to nucleotide synthesis and
- 511 signaling. J. Allergy Clin. Immunol. 139, 1148–1157.

- 512 13. Lamontagne, M., Bérubé, J.-C., Obeidat, M., Cho, M.H., Hobbs, B.D., Sakornsakolpat, P., de
- 513 Jong, K., Boezen, H.M., International COPD Genetics Consortium, Nickle, D., et al. (2018).
- 514 Leveraging lung tissue transcriptome to uncover candidate causal genes in COPD genetic
- 515 associations. Hum. Mol. Genet. 27, 1819–1829.
- 516 14. Thériault, S., Gaudreault, N., Lamontagne, M., Rosa, M., Boulanger, M.-C., Messika-
- 517 Zeitoun, D., Clavel, M.-A., Capoulade, R., Dagenais, F., Pibarot, P., et al. (2018). A
- transcriptome-wide association study identifies PALMD as a susceptibility gene for calcific
- 519 aortic valve stenosis. Nat. Commun. 9, 988.
- 520 15. Porcu, E., Rüeger, S., Consortium, eQTLGen, Santoni, F.A., Reymond, A., and Kutalik, Z.
- (2018). Mendelian Randomization integrating GWAS and eQTL data reveals genetic
 determinants of complex and clinical traits. BioRxiv 377267.
- 523 16. Gusev, A., Lawrenson, K., Segato, F., Fonseca, M., Kar, S., Lee, J., Pejovic, T., Consortium,
- 524 O.C.A., Karlan, B., Freedman, M., et al. (2018). Multi-Tissue Transcriptome-Wide Association
- 525 Studies Identify 21 Novel Candidate Susceptibility Genes for High Grade Serous Epithelial
- 526 Ovarian Cancer. BioRxiv 330613.
- 527 17. Huckins, L.M., Dobbyn, A., Ruderfer, D., Hoffman, G., Wang, W., Pardinas, A.F.,
- Rajagopal, V.M., Als, T.D., Hoang, H.T., Girdhar, K., et al. (2017). Gene expression imputation
 across multiple brain regions reveals schizophrenia risk throughout development. BioRxiv
 222596.
- 18. GTEx Consortium (2017). Genetic effects on gene expression across human tissues. Nature
 550, 204–213.
- 19. Bustamante, C.D., Burchard, E.G., and De la Vega, F.M. (2011). Genomics for the world.
 Nature 475, 163–165.
- 535 20. Popejoy, A.B., and Fullerton, S.M. (2016). Genomics is failing on diversity. Nature *538*,
 536 161–164.
- 537 21. Bentley, A.R., Callier, S., and Rotimi, C.N. (2017). Diversity and inclusion in genomic 538 research: why the uneven progress? J. Community Genet. *8*, 255–266.
- 539 22. Hindorff, L.A., Bonham, V.L., Brody, L.C., Ginoza, M.E.C., Hutter, C.M., Manolio, T.A.,
- and Green, E.D. (2018). Prioritizing diversity in human genomics research. Nat. Rev. Genet. 19,
 175–185.
- 542 23. Asimit, J.L., Hatzikotoulas, K., McCarthy, M., Morris, A.P., and Zeggini, E. (2016). Trans543 ethnic study design approaches for fine-mapping. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. *24*, 1330–1336.
- 544 24. Wang, X., Cheng, C.-Y., Liao, J., Sim, X., Liu, J., Chia, K.-S., Tai, E.-S., Little, P., Khor, C.-
- 545 C., Aung, T., et al. (2016). Evaluation of transethnic fine mapping with population-specific and
- 546 cosmopolitan imputation reference panels in diverse Asian populations. Eur. J. Hum. Genet. 24,
- 547 592–599.

- 548 25. Li, Y.R., and Keating, B.J. (2014). Trans-ethnic genome-wide association studies:
- advantages and challenges of mapping in diverse populations. Genome Med. 6, 91.
- 550 26. Kumar, R., Seibold, M.A., Aldrich, M.C., Williams, L.K., Reiner, A.P., Colangelo, L.,
- 551 Galanter, J., Gignoux, C., Hu, D., Sen, S., et al. (2010). Genetic ancestry in lung-function 552 predictions. N. Engl. J. Med. *363*, 321–330.
- 553 27. Yang, J.J., Cheng, C., Devidas, M., Cao, X., Fan, Y., Campana, D., Yang, W., Neale, G.,
- 554 Cox, N.J., Scheet, P., et al. (2011). Ancestry and pharmacogenomics of relapse in acute
- 555 lymphoblastic leukemia. Nat. Genet. 43, 237–241.
- 556 28. Acuña-Alonzo, V., Flores-Dorantes, T., Kruit, J.K., Villarreal-Molina, T., Arellano-Campos,
- 557 O., Hünemeier, T., Moreno-Estrada, A., Ortiz-López, M.G., Villamil-Ramírez, H., León-Mimila,
- 558 P., et al. (2010). A functional ABCA1 gene variant is associated with low HDL-cholesterol
- levels and shows evidence of positive selection in Native Americans. Hum. Mol. Genet. 19,
- 560 2877–2885.
- 561 29. Adeyemo, A., and Rotimi, C. (2010). Genetic variants associated with complex human 562 diseases show wide variation across multiple populations. Public Health Genomics *13*, 72–79.
- 563 30. Manrai, A.K., Funke, B.H., Rehm, H.L., Olesen, M.S., Maron, B.A., Szolovits, P.,
- Margulies, D.M., Loscalzo, J., and Kohane, I.S. (2016). Genetic Misdiagnoses and the Potential for Health Disparities. N. Engl. J. Med. *375*, 655–665.
- 31. Petrovski, S., and Goldstein, D.B. (2016). Unequal representation of genetic variation across
 ancestry groups creates healthcare inequality in the application of precision medicine. Genome
 Biol. *17*, 157.
- 569 32. Oh, S.S., White, M.J., Gignoux, C.R., and Burchard, E.G. (2016). Making Precision
- 570 Medicine Socially Precise. Take a Deep Breath. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 193, 348–350.
- 571 33. Oh, S.S., Galanter, J., Thakur, N., Pino-Yanes, M., Barcelo, N.E., White, M.J., de Bruin,
- 572 D.M., Greenblatt, R.M., Bibbins-Domingo, K., Wu, A.H.B., et al. (2015). Diversity in Clinical
- and Biomedical Research: A Promise Yet to Be Fulfilled. PLoS Med. 12,.
- 574 34. Belbin, G.M., Nieves-Colón, M.A., Kenny, E.E., Moreno-Estrada, A., and Gignoux, C.R.
- 575 (2018). Genetic diversity in populations across Latin America: implications for population and
- 576 medical genetic studies. Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev. 53, 98–104.
- 577 35. Martin, A.R., Gignoux, C.R., Walters, R.K., Wojcik, G.L., Neale, B.M., Gravel, S., Daly,
- 578 M.J., Bustamante, C.D., and Kenny, E.E. (2017). Human Demographic History Impacts Genetic 579 Risk Prediction across Diverse Populations. Am. J. Hum. Genet. *100*, 635–649.
- 580 36. Bild, D.E., Bluemke, D.A., Burke, G.L., Detrano, R., Diez Roux, A.V., Folsom, A.R.,
- 581 Greenland, P., Jacob, D.R., Kronmal, R., Liu, K., et al. (2002). Multi-Ethnic Study of
- 582 Atherosclerosis: objectives and design. Am. J. Epidemiol. 156, 871–881.

- 583 37. Liu, Y., Ding, J., Reynolds, L.M., Lohman, K., Register, T.C., De La Fuente, A., Howard,
- 584 T.D., Hawkins, G.A., Cui, W., Morris, J., et al. (2013). Methylomics of gene expression in 585 human monocytes. Hum. Mol. Genet. *22*, 5065–5074.
- 586 38. Mogil, L.S., Andaleon, A., Badalamenti, A., Dickinson, S.P., Guo, X., Rotter, J.I., Johnson,
- 587 W.C., Im, H.K., Liu, Y., and Wheeler, H.E. (2018). Genetic architecture of gene expression traits 588 across diverse populations. PLOS Genet. *14*, e1007586.
- 589 39. Mak, A.C.Y., White, M.J., Eckalbar, W.L., Szpiech, Z.A., Oh, S.S., Pino-Yanes, M., Hu, D.,
- 590 Goddard, P., Huntsman, S., Galanter, J., et al. (2018). Whole-Genome Sequencing of
- 591 Pharmacogenetic Drug Response in Racially Diverse Children with Asthma. Am. J. Respir. Crit.
- 592 Care Med. 197, 1552–1564.
- 593 40. Thakur, N., Oh, S.S., Nguyen, E.A., Martin, M., Roth, L.A., Galanter, J., Gignoux, C.R.,
- Eng, C., Davis, A., Meade, K., et al. (2013). Socioeconomic status and childhood asthma in
- urban minority youths. The GALA II and SAGE II studies. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 188,1202–1209.
- 41. Borrell, L.N., Nguyen, E.A., Roth, L.A., Oh, S.S., Tcheurekdjian, H., Sen, S., Davis, A.,
- 598 Farber, H.J., Avila, P.C., Brigino-Buenaventura, E., et al. (2013). Childhood Obesity and Asthma
- 599 Control in the GALA II and SAGE II Studies. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 187, 697–702.
- 42. Nishimura, K.K., Galanter, J.M., Roth, L.A., Oh, S.S., Thakur, N., Nguyen, E.A., Thyne, S.,
- Farber, H.J., Serebrisky, D., Kumar, R., et al. (2013). Early-life air pollution and asthma risk in
 minority children. The GALA II and SAGE II studies. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. *188*, 309–
 318.
- 43. Lappalainen, T., Sammeth, M., Friedländer, M.R., 't Hoen, P.A., Monlong, J., Rivas, M.A.,
- 605 Gonzàlez-Porta, M., Kurbatova, N., Griebel, T., Ferreira, P.G., et al. (2013). Transcriptome and 606 genome sequencing uncovers functional variation in humans. Nature *501*, 506–511.
- 44. Mikhaylova, A.V., and Thornton, T.A. (2019). Accuracy of gene expression prediction from
 genotype data with PrediXcan varies across diverse populations. BioRxiv 524728.
- 45. Viñuela, A., Brown, A.A., Buil, A., Tsai, P.-C., Davies, M.N., Bell, J.T., Dermitzakis, E.T.,
- 610 Spector, T.D., and Small, K.S. (2018). Age-dependent changes in mean and variance of gene
- 611 expression across tissues in a twin cohort. Hum. Mol. Genet. 27, 732–741.
- 612 46. McCall, M.N., Illei, P.B., and Halushka, M.K. (2016). Complex Sources of Variation in
- 613 Tissue Expression Data: Analysis of the GTEx Lung Transcriptome. Am. J. Hum. Genet. 99,
- 614 624–635.
- 615 47. Zhu, Y., Wang, L., Yin, Y., and Yang, E. (2017). Systematic analysis of gene expression
- 616 patterns associated with postmortem interval in human tissues. Sci. Rep. 7, 5435.
- 617 48. Ferreira, P.G., Muñoz-Aguirre, M., Reverter, F., Godinho, C.P.S., Sousa, A., Amadoz, A.,
- 618 Sodaei, R., Hidalgo, M.R., Pervouchine, D., Carbonell-Caballero, J., et al. (2018). The effects of
- 619 death and post-mortem cold ischemia on human tissue transcriptomes. Nat. Commun. 9, 490.

- 49. Martin, A.R., Karczewski, K.J., Kerminen, S., Kurki, M.I., Sarin, A.-P., Artomov, M.,
- Eriksson, J.G., Esko, T., Genovese, G., Havulinna, A.S., et al. (2018). Haplotype Sharing
- 622 Provides Insights into Fine-Scale Population History and Disease in Finland. Am. J. Hum. Genet.

- 50. The International HapMap 3 Consortium (2010). Integrating common and rare genetic
 variation in diverse human populations. Nature 467, 52–58.
- 51. Su, Z., Marchini, J., and Donnelly, P. (2011). HAPGEN2: simulation of multiple disease
 SNPs. Bioinformatics *27*, 2304–2305.
- 628 52. Baharian, S., Barakatt, M., Gignoux, C.R., Shringarpure, S., Errington, J., Blot, W.J.,
- Bustamante, C.D., Kenny, E.E., Williams, S.M., Aldrich, M.C., et al. (2016). The Great
- 630 Migration and African-American Genomic Diversity. PLOS Genet. 12, e1006059.
- 631 53. Hoffmann, T.J., Zhan, Y., Kvale, M.N., Hesselson, S.E., Gollub, J., Iribarren, C., Lu, Y.,
- 632 Mei, G., Purdy, M.M., Quesenberry, C., et al. (2011). Design and coverage of high throughput
- 633 genotyping arrays optimized for individuals of East Asian, African American, and Latino

race/ethnicity using imputation and a novel hybrid SNP selection algorithm. Genomics 98, 422–

- 635 430.
- 636 54. Das, S., Forer, L., Schönherr, S., Sidore, C., Locke, A.E., Kwong, A., Vrieze, S.I., Chew,
- 637 E.Y., Levy, S., McGue, M., et al. (2016). Next-generation genotype imputation service and 638 methods. Nat. Genet. *48*, 1284–1287.
- 639 55. Loh, P.-R., Danecek, P., Palamara, P.F., Fuchsberger, C., Reshef, Y.A., Finucane, H.K.,
- 640 Schoenherr, S., Forer, L., McCarthy, S., Abecasis, G.R., et al. (2016). Reference-based phasing
- using the Haplotype Reference Consortium panel. Nat. Genet. 48, 1443–1448.
- 642 56. Sudmant, P.H., Rausch, T., Gardner, E.J., Handsaker, R.E., Abyzov, A., Huddleston, J.,
- Zhang, Y., Ye, K., Jun, G., Hsi-Yang Fritz, M., et al. (2015). An integrated map of structural
 variation in 2,504 human genomes. Nature 526, 75–81.
- 57. Wheeler, H.E., Shah, K.P., Brenner, J., Garcia, T., Aquino-Michaels, K., Consortium, Gte.,
- 646 Cox, N.J., Nicolae, D.L., and Im, H.K. (2016). Survey of the Heritability and Sparse Architecture
- 647 of Gene Expression Traits across Human Tissues. PLOS Genet. 12, e1006423.
- 648 58. Gravel, S. (2012). Population genetics models of local ancestry. Genetics *191*, 607–619.
- 649 59. Price, A.L., Tandon, A., Patterson, N., Barnes, K.C., Rafaels, N., Ruczinski, I., Beaty, T.H.,
- Mathias, R., Reich, D., and Myers, S. (2009). Sensitive detection of chromosomal segments of
- distinct ancestry in admixed populations. PLoS Genet. 5, e1000519.
- 652 60. Tange, O. (2018). GNU Parallel 2018 (Ole Tange).
- 653 61. Wickham, Hadley, and Grolemund, Garrett (2017). R for Data Science (O'Reilly Media,654 Inc.).

⁶²³ *102*, 760–775.

655 62. Wickham, Hadley (2016). ggplot2: Elegant Graphics for Data Analysis (Springer-Verlag656 New York).

- 657 63. The 1000 Genomes Project Consortium (2010). A map of human genome variation from
- 658 population-scale sequencing. Nature 467, 1061–1073.



Distribution of R² across different prediction weight sets over 273 common genes

662 *Figure 1: R² of measured gene expression versus predictions from PrediXcan. The prediction weights used here are, from left to right:*

663 GTEx v6p, GTEx v7, DGN, MESA African Americans, MESA African Americans and Hispanics, MESA Caucasians, and all MESA subjects.

664 Test R² from model training in GTEx 7 and MESA appear on the right and provide a performance baseline.

665

661



Distribution of correlations across different prediction weight sets for 273 genes in common

666
 667 Figure 2: Spearman correlations of measured gene expression versus predicted expression from PrediXcan. The order of the weight
 668 sets matches Figure 1.





- 673 computed from regressing PrediXcan predictions onto gene expression measurements. The GTEx
- 674 $v7 R^2$ are taken from PredictDB. The red dotted line marks where R^2 between the two groups
- 675 match, while the blue line denotes the best linear fit.

6	7	6
---	---	---

R ²		Train Pop			
		EUR373	EUR278	AFR	
Test Pop	EUR373	0.098	n/a	0.029	
	EUR278	n/a	0.096	0.030	
	FIN	n/a	0.087	0.039	
	AFR	0.054	0.051	0.079	

677 Table 1: Imputation R² between populations in GEUVADIS for genes with positive correlation

678 between predictions and measurements. Scenarios where the training sample is contained in

679 the testing sample cannot be accurately tested and are marked with "n/a". EUR373 includes all

680 Europeans, EUR278 includes only non-Finnish Europeans, FIN includes only the Finnish, and AFR

681 *includes only the Yoruba.*

682

R ²		Train Pop			
		EUR373	EUR278	AFR	
Test Pop	EUR373	0.201	n/a	0.096	
	EUR278	n/a	0.183	0.095	
	FIN	n/a	0.216	0.111	
	AFR	0.147	0.141	0.130	

683 Table 2: Imputation R^2 between populations in GEUVADIS for 564 gene models that show

684 positive correlation between prediction and measurement in all 9 train-test scenarios that were

685 analyzed. Scenarios that were not tested are marked with "n/a". As before, EUR373 includes all

686 Europeans, EUR278 includes only non-Finnish Europeans, FIN includes only the Finnish, and AFR

687 *includes only the Yoruba.*

R2 Mean (Std Err)		Training population				
		CEU	TSI	GBR	FIN	YRI
	CELL	0.115	0.106	0.107	0.103	0.069
	CEU	(0.139)	(0.139)	(0.134)	(0.133)	(0.116)
	TCI	0.124	0.121	0.124	0.118	0.083
	151	(0.158)	(0.151)	(0.149)	(0.145)	(0.13)
Testing Dee	GBR	0.132	0.137	0.136	0.133	0.087
lesting Pop		(0.16)	(0.155)	(0.156)	(0.155)	(0.132)
	FINI	0.128	0.130	0.130	0.130	0.084
	FIN	(0.158)	(0.155)	(0.153)	(0.152)	(0.134)
	VDI	0.065	0.069	0.063	0.062	0.104
	TKI	(0.108)	(0.112)	(0.1)	(0.102)	(0.138)

688 Table 3: Cross-population prediction performance across all five constituent GEUVADIS

689 populations over genes with positive correlation between predictions and measurements. All

690 populations were subsampled to N = 89 individuals. The number of genes represented varies by

691 training sample (CEU: N = 1029, FIN: N = 1320, GBR: 1436, TSI: 1250, YRI: 914).

R2 Mean (Std Err)		Training population				
		CEU	TSI	GBR	FIN	YRI
	CELL	0.239	0.269	0.291	0.297	0.201
	CEU	(0.18)	(0.177)	(0.166)	(0.168)	(0.164)
	TCI	0.307	0.294	0.331	0.322	0.227
	151	(0.188)	(0.21)	(0.182)	(0.185)	(0.185)
Tarian	GBR	0.320	0.326	0.318	0.350	0.235
lesting Pop		(0.175)	(0.181)	(0.191)	(0.178)	(0.183)
		0.318	0.320	0.343	0.323	0.244
	FIN	(0.191)	(0.198)	(0.182)	(0.201)	(0.192)
	VDI	0.166	0.205	0.195	0.189	0.213
	TRI	(0.164)	(0.163)	(0.157)	(0.156)	(0.177)

693 Table 4: Cross-population prediction performance across all five subsampled GEUVADIS

694 populations over the 142 genes with positive correlation between prediction and measurement

695 in all 25 train-test scenarios.



Crosspopulation correlations of predicted versus simulated gene expression Number of causal eQTLs: 10

696

697 Figure 4: Correlations between predictions and simulated gene expression measurements from simulated populations across various

698 proportions of shared eQTL architecture with 10 causal cis-eQTL. Here YRI is simulated from the 1000 Genomes Yoruba, CEU is

699 simulated from the Utahns, and AA is constructed from YRI and CEU. Each trend line represents a linear interpolation of correlation

versus shared eQTL proportion. Gray areas denote 95% confidence regions of LOESS-smoothed mean correlations conditional on the

701 proportion of shared eQTL.

Weight set	Gene models	Genes predicted in SAGE	Genes both predicted and measured	Genes with positively correlated predictions and measurements	Mean Correlation (273 common genes)
GTEx v6p	6588	5773	5348	2730	-0.0044
GTEx v7	6297	2742	2570	1319	-0.0113
DGN	13171	4033	3678	1819	-0.0124
MESA_AFA	3551	995	982	497	-0.0204
MESA_AFHI	5556	1889	1862	969	-0.0049
MESA_CAU	4674	1654	1633	837	-0.0082
MESA_ALL	6217	2443	2408	1201	-0.0107

Supplementary Table 1: Summary statistics for analyzing gene expression prediction in SAGE for all seven weight sets in PredictDB. SAGE has measurements for 20,985 genes, of which 20,268 are autosomal. The intersection of genes with both predictions and measurements in SAGE across all seven weight sets is 273, of which 39 produce predictions positively correlated to data in all comparisons.

703

704

	Measured	Predictive	With >50%	Analyzed prediction	Positive
Рор	genes	Models	samples predicted	v. measurement	correlation
EUR373	23723	20418	11917	11914	5586
EUR278	23723	20182	11043	11043	4817
YRI89	23723	20699	11180	11179	4867

705

Supplementary Table 2: Summary statistics for each filtering step in the analysis of gene expression models from GEUVADIS for the 3 training populations EUR373, EUR278, and AFR. The analysis of prediction vs. measurement contains 5038 genes in common between all three populations. Of these genes, 1476 genes demonstrate positive correlation between predictions and measurements.

Training Pop	Testing Pop	R ²	Correlation	Transcripts
AFR	AFR	0.079	0.2329	2562
AFR	EUR278	0.030	0.1122	2996
AFR	EUR373	0.029	0.1072	3043
AFR	FIN	0.039	0.1377	2908
EUR278	AFR	0.051	0.1632	3079
EUR278	EUR278	0.096	0.2291	2857
EUR278	FIN	0.087	0.2171	3994
EUR373	AFR	0.054	0.1683	3105
EUR373	EUR373	0.098	0.2325	3132

706 Supplementary Table 3: Summary statistics from training and testing results with continental

707 *GEUVADIS populations for gene models with positive correlations. The R² correspond to Table 1.*

708 The column "Correlation" lists the Spearman correlations for each scenario, while "Transcripts"

709 gives the number of gene models used to compute the R^2 and correlation summaries.

Training	Testing	Shared eQTL	Correlation	Correlation
Рор	Рор	Proportion	(Mean)	(StdErr)
AA	AA	0	0.323	0.0350
AA	AA	0.1	0.323	0.0357
AA	AA	0.2	0.323	0.0356
AA	AA	0.3	0.324	0.0355
AA	AA	0.4	0.323	0.0361
AA	AA	0.5	0.323	0.0355
AA	AA	0.6	0.323	0.0358
AA	AA	0.7	0.321	0.0364
AA	AA	0.8	0.323	0.0361
AA	AA	0.9	0.322	0.0358
CEU	CEU	0	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.1	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.2	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.3	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.4	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.5	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.6	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.7	0.329	0.0346
CEU	CEU	0.8	0.329	0.0345
CEU	CEU	0.9	0.329	0.0345
YRI	YRI	0	0.325	0.0354
YRI	YRI	0.1	0.325	0.0355
YRI	YRI	0.2	0.324	0.0351
YRI	YRI	0.3	0.324	0.0354
YRI	YRI	0.4	0.325	0.0354
YRI	YRI	0.5	0.325	0.0352
YRI	YRI	0.6	0.324	0.0351
YRI	YRI	0.7	0.322	0.0354
YRI	YRI	0.8	0.324	0.0352
YRI	YRI	0.9	0.324	0.0350

Supplementary Table 4: Spearman correlations between prediction versus simulated measurement from simulated populations to themselves across various shared eQTL proportions for k = 10 causal eQTL.

Correlation Mean (Std Err)		Т	n	
		AA	CEU	YRI
Training Pop	Training Pop AA		0.308	0.336
		(0.0071)	(0.0058)	(0.0052)
	CEU	0.334	0.329	0.326
		(0.006)	(0.0069)	(0.0063)
	YRI	0.336	0.299	0.325
		(0.0051)	(0.007)	(0.0063)

712 Supplementary Table 5: Prediction performance under fully shared eQTL architecture for k = 10

713 eQTL yields reliable cross-population gene expression imputation. Results for other sizes of eQTL

714 *models are similar.*

R ²	AFR to AFR	AFR to EUR	EUR to AFR
AFR to EUR	1.222 x 10 ⁻¹²		
EUR to AFR	1.705 x 10 ⁻²⁴	6.636 x 10 ⁻⁰⁶	
EUR to EUR	1.357 x 10 ⁻⁰⁴	1.487 x 10 ⁻¹¹²	1.753 x 10 ⁻²²⁸

715 Supplementary Table 6: A Dunn test shows statistically significant differences when imputing

716 between AFR and EUR populations versus imputing between EUR populations.

Z-score (<i>p</i> -value)		Train-test direction					
		AA to CEU	AA to YRI	CEU to AA	CEU to YRI	YRI to AA	
		-28.029	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	ΑΑ ΙΟ ΤΚΙ	(<i>p</i> < 5.6 x 10 ⁻¹⁷²)	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a	
		-0.244	27.784	n/a	n/a	n/a	
	CEU to AA	(p ~ 1)	(<i>p</i> < 5.0 x 10 ⁻¹⁶⁹)	n/a	n/a	n/a	
Train-test	CEU to YRI	13.373	41.403	13.618	n/a	n/a	
direction		(<i>p</i> < 6.5 x 10 ⁻⁴⁰)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	(<i>p</i> < 2.3 x 10 ⁻⁴¹)	n/a	n/a	
		-28.725	-0.695	-28.480	-42.099	n/a	
-	YRI to AA	(<i>p</i> < 1.4 x 10 ⁻¹⁸⁰)	(p ~ 1)	(p < 1.5 x 10 ⁻¹⁷⁷)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	n/a	
		12.508	40.538	12.753	-0.865	41.234	
	YRI to CEU	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	(<i>p</i> ~ 0)	

718 Supplementary Table 7: Differences in cross-population imputation performance are statistically significant, with a few notable

719 exceptions. Imputation between AA and YRI, between AA and CEU, and between CEU and YRI is essentially the same, indicating that

720 the direction of imputation does not matter.



Distribution of R² across different prediction weight sets over 11545 genes

722
 723 Supplementary Figure 1: A comparison of R² between prediction and measurement in SAGE, with PredictDB test metrics as

724 benchmarks, for 11,545 genes total. The number of genes per weight set varies; see Supplementary Table 1.

725



Distribution of R² across different prediction weight sets over 39 common genes

Supplementary Figure 2: R² between prediction and measurement in SAGE only using the 39 genes with positive correlation between 728 prediction and measurement in all weight sets and benchmarks.



Comparison of R^2 between continental GEUVADIS populations N = 521 common genes

729

730 Supplementary Figure 3: Imputation R² between AFR (YRI) and EUR (CEU, TSI, GBR, and FIN). Imputing into and from AFR produces

731 consistently lower R² than imputing within EUR, suggesting a potential decrease in prediction accuracy when imputing across

732 continental population groups.



- 736 scenarios. Here the GEUVADIS populations are arranged into three groups. AFR to AFR includes imputation from YRI into itself; EUR
- to AFR includes imputation into YRI from CEU, GBR, TSI, and FIN; and EUR to EUR includes imputation within and between all
- 738 European populations in GEUVADIS. Clustering by genetic distance separates imputation between European populations from
- ⁷³⁹ imputation between European populations and AFR. F_{ST} are taken from the 1000 Genomes Project (Table S11).⁶³

⁷³⁵ Supplementary Figure 4: Genetic distance versus imputation accuracy over 142 genes with positive correlation across all train-test















556 Supplementary Figure 7: Correlations between predictions and simulated gene expression measurements from simulated populations

757 across various proportions of shared eQTL architecture with a single causal cis-eQTL.