The connectome is necessary but not sufficient for the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats

Miguel A.P. Oliveira^{1†}, Sylvain Arreckx^{1†}, Donato Di Monte²,
German A. Preciat^{1,3}, Ayse Ulusoy^{2,★}, Ronan M.T. Fleming^{1,3,★}

27th March 2019

1. Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, 6 Avenue du Swing, Belvaux, L-4362, Luxembourg.
2. German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Sigmund-Freud-Strasse 27, D-53127, Bonn, Germany.
3. Analytical Biosciences, Division of Systems Biomedicine and Pharmacology, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands.

Abstract

Certain neuronal populations are selectively vulnerable to *alpha*-synuclein pathology in Parkinson's Disease, yet the reasons for this selectivity are unclear. Pathology affects neuronal populations that are anatomically connected although the contribution of neuronal connectivity remains to be quantitatively explored. Herein, we simulate the contribution of the connectome alone to the spread of arbitrary aggregates using a computational model of temporal spread within an abstract representation of the mouse mesoscale connectome. Our simulations are compared with the neuron-to-neuron spread of *alpha*-synuclein that has been observed with *in vivo* spreading experiments in rats. We find that neuronal connectivity appears to be compatible with the spreading pattern of *alpha*-synuclein pathology however, it may be *per se* insufficient to determine the anatomical pattern of protein spreading observed in experimental animals, suggesting a role of selective vulnerability of neuronal pathways to *alpha*-synuclein diffusion, accumulation and pathology.

Graphical abstract

[†]These authors contributed equally to this work.

*Co-corresponding authors: ayse.ulusoy@dzne.de and ronan.mt.fleming@gmail.com

Keywords

Parkinson's disease, animal models, *alpha*-synuclein, connectome, neuronal vulnerability

Short title

Connectome is not sufficient for α -syn spread.

Nomenclature

AAA Anterior amygdalar area

Allen SDK Allen Brain Institute Software Development Kit

AMB Nucleus ambiguus

AP Area postrema

APN Anterior pretectal nucleus

CEAc Central amygdalar nucleus capsular

DMH Dorsomedial nucleus of the hypothalamus

DMX Dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve

GRN Gigantocellular reticular nucleus

IGX Inferior ganglion of the vagus (nodose ganglion)

IRN Intermediate reticular nucleus

LC Locus ceruleus

LHA Lateral hypothalamic area

MARN Magnocellular reticular nucleus

MDRN Medullary reticular nucleus

MEV Midbrain trigeminal nucleus

MG Medial geniculate complex

MRN Midbrain reticular nucleus

NTS Nucleus of the solitary tract

PAG Periaqueductal gray

PARN Parvocellular reticular nucleus

PB Parabrachial nucleus

PCG	Pontine central gray
PD	Parkinson's disease
PG	Pontine gray
PP	Peripeduncular nucleus
PPN	Pedunclopontine nucleus
PRN	Pontine reticular nucleus
PSTN	Parasubthalamic nucleus
PSV	Principal sensory nucleus of the trigeminal
PVH	Paraventricular hypothalamic nucleus
RM	Nucleus raphe magnus
RN	Red nucleus
RO	Nucleus raphe obscurus
RPA	Nucleus raphe pallidus
RR	Midbrain reticular nucleus retrorubral area
SBPV	Subparaventricular zone
SC	Superior colliculus motor related
SGX	Superior ganglion of the vagus (jugular ganglion)
SLC	Subceruleus nucleus
SNe	Substantia nigra pars compacta
STN	Subthalamic nucleus
TRN	Dorsal tegmental nucleus
TU	Tuberal nucleus
XII	Hypoglossal nucleus

Introduction

Neurodegenerative diseases progress over time and are generally characterised by specific and clinically identifiable symptoms, such as motor dysfunction, e.g., bradykinesia, or problems of mental function, e.g. dementia. A variety of neurodegenerative diseases have been related to the aggregation of misfolded proteins and transmission of the corresponding proteinaceous seeds (Brundin et al. 2010). *In vitro*, these seeds can corrupt the folding of endogenous proteins and their inter-neuronal transmission could be associated, at least in part, with the progression of pathology (Guo et al. 2014). The spread of pathology from affected to unaffected neuronal populations resembles a “prion-like” sequential dissemination (Brettschneider et al. 2015). This dissemination can occur either locally, between neighbouring cell bodies as a result of exocytosis or cell death, or through the neuronal network, due to synaptic release or uptake (Frost et al. 2010). Examples of disease-specific misfolded proteins are *alpha*-synuclein in Parkinson’s disease (PD), β -amyloid and tau in Alzheimer’s disease, huntingtin in Huntington’s disease, and Ataxin-3 in Machado-Joseph disease. In contrast to prion diseases, which are transmitted by an infectious misfolded protein, the aforementioned neurodegenerative diseases seem to originate from *de novo* aggregation of misfolded proteins and spread of pathology through a disease-specific sets of neuronal populations (Jucker et al. 2013).

In many neurodegenerative diseases, pathology primarily affects a disease-specific subset of disperse neuronal populations and results in the accumulation of intra- or extra-neuronal deposits and, ultimately, selective neurodegeneration (Fu et al. 2018). This selective vulnerability is not yet fully understood, although recent evidence suggest that it might result from both neuronal connectivity and intrinsic characteristics of the connected neurons, such as morphological characteristics and biochemical profiles (Brettschneider et al. 2015). This hypothesis is of particular interest in Parkinson’s disease (Surmeier et al. 2017; Borghammer 2018), which is defined clinically by motor (e.g., bradykinesia, resting tremor and rigidity) and non-motor (e.g., sleep disorders, olfactory deficits and depression) symptoms (Postuma et al. 2015). Histopathologically, Parkinson’s disease is characterised by intraneuronal accumulation of alpha-synuclein-containing inclusion and degeneration of specific neuronal populations, such as dopaminergic cells in the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) (Dickson et al. 2009). The onset of Parkin-

son's disease is hypothesised to be up to 20 years before the occurrence of motor symptoms (Hawkes et al. 2010) and the existence of this prodromal phase is supported epidemiologically (Hawkes 2008), by clinical observation of early non-motor symptoms (Goetz et al. 2008), and by a progressive appearance of extra-nigral intracellular protein aggregates that are generally co-identified with *alpha*-synuclein and termed Lewy pathology (Fahn et al. 2004).

Based on the distribution of Lewy pathology in the brain (and other tissues) (Hawkes et al. 2010) a neuropathological temporal staging scheme has been proposed for Parkinson's disease progression (Braak, Tredici, et al. 2003; Braak, Ghebremedhin, et al. 2004; Braak, Bohl, et al. 2006; Braak and Tredici 2008). Despite variation in the association between Lewy pathology and onset of clinical signs, often non-motor symptoms appear before motor symptoms in a manner consistent with Braak's neuropathological staging scheme (Braak and Del Tredici 2009). This anatomically specific and consistent picture of protein aggregate progression provides evidence that certain neuronal populations are selectively vulnerable to *alpha*-synuclein pathology in Parkinson's disease (Halliday et al. 1990; Del Tredici et al. 2002; Sulzer et al. 2013) and also suggests that the progression of the disease may follow a disease-specific pattern that resembles brain connectivity. This pattern further suggests that the disease is transmitted along neuronal pathways and highlights the importance of studying the spread of pathology with respect to neuronal connectivity.

The connectome is a comprehensive map of neural connections within an organism's nervous system. The structural or functional study of connectomes may range in scale from a full set of morphologically resolved neurons within a part of the nervous system to a macroscopically resolved description of the fibre tracts between different parts of the nervous system. In humans connectomes can be inferred from various methods, including resting-state and task-based functional magnetic resonance imaging (Van Dijk et al. 2010) and diffusion magnetic resonance imaging (Griffa et al. 2013). However, these techniques do not yet admit resolution of individual neuronal projections. Such *mesoscale resolution* can only currently be achieved with post-mortem brain tissue sections (Van Dijk et al. 2010; Castellanos et al. 2013; Fornito et al. 2016; Ghosh et al. 2013; Griffa et al. 2013; Jbabdi et al. 2013; Morgan et al. 2013). Nevertheless, using an inferred human brain connectome, Raj et al. (Raj, Kuceyeski, et al. 2012) developed a network diffusion model of disease progression in Alzheimer's disease, and used it to investigate the role of brain structure on disease progression (Raj, LoCastro, et al. 2015). Further efforts in this direction, with connectome models of higher resolution, are necessary to

investigate the spread of cerebral pathology in neurodegenerative diseases.

Neuropathological evidence of neuron-to-neuron *alpha*-synuclein transmission (Masuda-Suzukake et al. 2013) has been confirmed in multiple mouse and rat spreading models (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013; Helwig et al. 2016; Rey et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2016). In such *in vivo* spreading models, *alpha*-synuclein pathology is induced by injection of *alpha*-synuclein fibrils or viral vectors over-expressing exogenous human *alpha*-synuclein into specific brain structures, and spread is inferred by histopathological comparison of cerebral sections from animals sacrificed at different durations after injection. Importantly, the spread of *alpha*-synuclein has been observed following induction of *alpha*-synuclein accumulation in brain structures that are known to have Lewy pathology in early Parkinson's disease, such as the olfactory bulb (Rey et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2016) and the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve (DMX) (Helwig et al. 2016; Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013), which may mimic early PD (Braak, Del Tredici, et al. 2002). These *in vivo* spreading experiments have also been performed by injecting *alpha*-synuclein fibrils into other brain structures involved in Parkinson's disease, such as the striatum, cortex and substantia nigra (Luk, V. Kehm, et al. 2012; Masuda-Suzukake et al. 2013; Luk, V. M. Kehm, et al. 2012).

To complement these qualitative analyses, a quantitative evaluation of the contribution of neuronal network connectivity to the pattern of spread is required. A comprehensive meso-scale reconstruction of the mouse neuronal connectivity was generated by the Allen Institute connectivity project (Oh et al. 2014), which mapped axonal projections emanating from more than 590 anatomically distinct brain regions using intracerebral injections of a pan-neuronal adeno-associated viral vector expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein. This computational resource includes neuronal projections that cover almost the entire mouse brain, with enough sensitivity to detect individual thin axon fibres.

Herein, we quantitatively predict the contribution of the cerebral connectome to the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology using a computational model of spatiotemporal spread along a reconstructed mouse mesoscale connectome (Oh et al. 2014). Furthermore, we compare simulated spatiotemporal spread from vagal nerve connected brain structures, in particular the DMX, with the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology observed in an *in vivo* caudo-rostral spreading model, where neuron-to-neuron spreading of exogenous human *alpha*-synuclein is initiated by injecting viral vectors into the vagus nerve (Helwig et al. 2016; Rey et al. 2016; Mason et al. 2016; Luk, V. Kehm, et al. 2012; Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013). We address a selection of connectivity

related questions. For example, is neuronal network topology sufficient, or necessary, or both, to determine whether a neuronal population is vulnerable to *alpha*-synuclein pathology within *in vivo* models? Does the number or length of neuronal projections between a pair of brain structures determine the propensity for spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology?

Materials and methods

Figure 1: **Methodological overview.**

We reconstructed a whole-brain composite mouse mesoscale connectome, from published data (Oh et al. 2014), then converted it into an *in silico* model that simulates the spatiotemporal diffusion of *alpha*-synuclein *in vivo*. The *in silico* model predictions were compared with neurohistopathologically evaluated exogenous *alpha*-synuclein staining in rats that were previously injected in the vagal nerve with an rAAV virus encoding for human *alpha*-synuclein. Cerebral neuronal connectivity data was obtained from the Allen Brain Institute Connectivity project. Metadata on all connectivity experiments (a) was unified and analysed to select appropriate experiments to build the reconstruction (b). Data on each connectivity experiment, was obtained from one JSON file (c), and contains information on neuronal projections to multiple target structures, from one, or often multiple, injected source structures (d). For each neuronal projection, its path coordinates (e) and the coordinates for the corresponding source and target structures were collected (f). The Allen Brain Institute *software developmental kit* (SDK) was used to obtain a correspondence between brain structure coordinates and ontology (g). For each experiment, each pair of uniquely connected structures was identified, invalid projections were discarded and the full path coordinates for each valid projection were interpolated (h). For each pair of uniquely connected structures, one model projection was selected (i) then model projections from all selected experiments were then assembled to reconstruct an anatomically localised, composite, mesoscale, mouse connectome (j). The spread of exogenous *alpha*-synuclein was simulated as a spatiotemporal diffusion process along the connectome (k). Quantitatively predicted *alpha*-synuclein accumulation was compared with semi-quantitative data from independent *alpha*-synuclein spreading experiments in rats (l), for each brain structure independently (m) and for *in silico* and *in vivo* coronal slices of the mouse brain (o). Simulated spread was also visualised in three dimensions for comparison with future experimental data (p). Due to the high density of model projections, when visualising images or animations of three dimensional simulated spread for the whole brain, we selected a subset of connectivity experiments that were initiation structures in spreading experiments, either as source or target structures (n).

An overview of the methodology is given in Figure 1, and further described below.

Reconstruction of a whole-brain composite mesoscale connectome The Allen Reference Atlas structures form a contiguous spatial subdivision of the brain, and each encompasses a three dimensional volume, delineated by an anatomically determined boundary. Each Allen Brain Atlas connectivity experiment returns data on a multitude of neuronal projections (Supplementary Section 1.1 and 1.2). Each neuronal projection has an origin within a source focus and a terminus in a target brain structure. When a source focus also included one, or two, secondary source structures, we assumed that $1/2$, or $1/3$, of the neuronal projections initiated in each structure, respectively. When a source structure was a parent in the ontology, the number of projections were further subdivided equally among the corresponding leaf structures. In this manner, we resolved all projections to the level of leaf source and target structures.

In order to simulated spread across a whole-brain, in a computationally tractable way, the data from each connectivity experiment was simplified in a standardised manner. A pair of neuronal projections are said to be *similar*, if they arise from the same connectivity experiment, and they connect the same pair of leaf brain structures. In each experiment, between any pair of brain structures, a single *model projection* was used for modelling and visualisation, and all similar neuronal projections were discarded (Figure 1i). A model projection was defined as the one with the length closest to the average length over all similar projections. Nevertheless, the actual number of similar projections was used as a parameter for each model projection in the *in silico* spreading model ($w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ in 2 below). Therefore, we represent the mouse brain connectome by representative neuronal projections, between pairs of representative brain structures.

Each model projection follows a directed trajectory from a *source path vertex* within a source brain structure, through a sequence of non-equidistant intermediate points, to a *target path vertex* within a target brain structure. We used B-spline interpolation, followed by linear segmentation, into $50\mu m$ segments, to decompose each model projection into a sequence of linear, directed *path edges* connecting a sequence of *path vertices* (Figure 1i).

Each brain structure is represented by one *structure vertex* localised to one central point within that mouse brain structure. To connect each model projection to a pair of model structures, a directed *structure edge* extends linearly from the *source structure vertex* to the *source path vertex* of a model projection, and another directed *structure edge* extends linearly from the target path vertex of the same model projection to a target structure vertex. These structure edges are

modelling constructs, necessary to ensure connectivity between pairs of model projections, due to saturation of the fluorescence signal within the source focus, which hinders resolution of individual projections.

The mesoscale mouse brain connectome (Figure 1j) is represented by a *connectome graph* $\mathcal{G} := (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E})$ between a set of vertices, or nodes, denoted $\mathcal{V}$ and a set of edges, or links, denoted $\mathcal{E}$. There are $m := m_B + m_P = |\mathcal{V}|$ vertices, where m_B is the number of structure vertices and m_P is the total number of path vertices for all projections. Path vertices are labelled P_i and brain structure vertices are labelled as B_i (see Figure 11a). There are $n_B + n_P := |\mathcal{E}|$ edges, where n_B is the number of structure edges and n_P is the total number of path edges for all projections. Each edge is defined with an anterograde orientation, but simulation of the spread of *alpha*-synuclein, described next, is invariant with respect to this conventional assignment.

Simulating the spread of *alpha*-synuclein We simulate the spread of *alpha*-synuclein as a discrete time Markov process (Figure 1k). That is, the amount of spread at a given instant is only a function of the amount of *alpha*-synuclein present in that instant, and not before it. Let N be the incidence matrix for the connectome graph $\mathcal{G}$. It has $n_B + n_P$ rows, corresponding to vertices, and $n_B + n_P$ columns, corresponding to edges. The entry in i^{th} row and j^{th} column is defined as follows: $N_{i,j} = -1$ if $\mathcal{V}_i$ is the source vertex, $N_{i,j} = 1$ if $\mathcal{V}_i$ is the target vertex, and $N_{i,j} = 0$ otherwise. As each edge is between a pair of vertices, each column of N must have exactly two non-zero entries. Let $w \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the weight of each path edge, given by the number of similar projections represented by the corresponding model projection. Let $c(k) \in \mathbb{R}^m$ denote the amount of *alpha*-synuclein at each vertex at time step $k \geq 0$. A discrete time Markov process starts in an initial state c_0 , and steps to the next state based on

$$c(k+1) = Tc(k), \quad (1)$$

where

$$T := (D - N\text{diag}(w)N^T)D^{-1}. \quad (2)$$

The entries $T_{i,j}$ are referred to as transition probabilities, and the matrix is a transition matrix T . Additionally, $D := \text{diag}(d)$, with $d \in \mathbb{N}^m$ where d_i is the degree of vertex $\mathcal{V}_i$, that is $d = \text{diag}(w)\text{abs}(N^T)\mathbf{1}$. Equation 1 is applied successively to predict the diffusive spread of *alpha*-synuclein at each time step. Figure 11 illustrates the evolution of simulated spread from time

step k_0 to time step k_6 on a small representative network.

Reconstruction of a first-order composite mesoscale connectome An established rat model of caudo-rostral *alpha*-synuclein spreading was used for comparison with computational model predictions (Supplementary Section 2). In the rat spreading experiments, the adeno-associated virus, expressing human wild-type *alpha*-synuclein, only infects the neurons that extend projections to the point of injection within the vagal nerve. This includes soma of neurons in cerebral structures (DMX and AMB) that extend projections into the vagus, and projections from vagal ganglionic neurons that project to specific cerebral structures (NTS, SPVC and AP). In this model, *alpha*-synuclein expressed by the adeno-associated virus is found only at the recipient neuron that are directly connected with the initiation structure. Patient data suggest that *alpha*-synuclein is transmitted predominantly in a retrograde manner (Surmeier et al. 2017), however *in vitro* studies have shown that *alpha*-synuclein is capable of being transported in both antero-grade and retrograde direction after being taken up either from the axon terminal or soma (Brahic et al. 2016). Therefore we defined a first-order composite connectome composed of all model projections in the whole-brain composite connectome with either a source or target structure in the set of initiation structures (DMX, AMB). When comparing simulated and actual spread in rats, the computational model was set to only allow spread through the first-order composite connectome.

Comparison of simulated and *in vivo* spread in rat coronal slices In order to directly compare simulated spread with spatial patterns of *alpha*-synuclein pathology, virtual coronal slices were generated at a set of rostrocaudal positions, corresponding to those selected for histopathological evaluation of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rat brains (Figure 1o). Each model projection is represented by a sequence of vertices, connected by linear segments of uniform length, with coordinates specified with reference to the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas. Based on the histopathological features of the rat brain slices, the corresponding coronal plane was chosen in the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas. The intersection between a linear segment of a model projection and the coronal plane defines the potential position of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation. Simulated spread was then be displayed, or not, in a virtual coronal section based on the severity ($c_i(k) > 0$), or absence ($c_i(k) = 0$), of simulated *alpha*-synuclein accumulation at time step k , for the vertex $\mathcal{V}_i$ that was the shortest linear distance from the coronal plane in question. In coronal slices, brain structure colours are based on the Allen Brain Atlas ontology,

and coronal slices were obtained from the Allen Brain Institute volumetric data compressed into a NRRD (Nearly Raw Raster Data) format.

Three dimensional whole-brain connectome spread visualisation Three dimensional visualisations of the simulated spread of *alpha*-synuclein were also generated (Figure 1p). However, due to the high density of model projections, it was difficult to discern patterns or individual model projections when visualising three dimensional animations, or snapshots thereof, for the whole-brain. Therefore, three dimensional visualisations of the evolution of virtual *alpha*-synuclein spread are only presented for projections in the first-order connectome plus the additional projections from connectivity experiments that sent a projection to at least one of the initiation structures (DMX, AMB), in the rat spreading experiments (Figure 2b). Three dimensional animations and snapshots were generated using the Python package Matplotlib.

Data availability

Connectivity data is available in the Allen Brain Institute (<http://connectivity.brain-map.org/>) connectivity project (Oh et al. 2014) using its application programming interface (<http://help.brain-map.org/display/mouseconnectivity/API>). The first report, with a summary of the data from the rat spreading model is available in Ulusoy et al. 2013 (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013).

Results

We generated an *in silico* model to simulate the diffusive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein through a composite mesoscale mouse connectome, as a function of both connectome topology and geometry. We simulated spread through a first-order composite mesoscale mouse connectome and compared it to the brain distribution of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in an established *alpha*-synuclein spreading model in rats. Furthermore, we simulated spread of *alpha*-synuclein through a whole-brain composite mesoscale mouse connectome, with initiation in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve, and compared it with the reported distribution of Lewy pathology within the brains of Parkinson's disease patients at early Braak stages.

Reconstruction of a composite mesoscale mouse connectome A composite, mesoscale, connectome was reconstructed for a typical male C57BL/6J mouse, using neuronal projections identified with a fluorescent anterograde tracer (rAVV-GFP) expressed by a recombinant adeno-associated virus (Oh et al. 2014). This reconstructed connectome used a comprehensive set of 207 independent connectivity experiments, with projections emanating from a set of 439 unique *leaf brain structures*. Here, leaf refers to the highest level of anatomical resolution in the ontological tree used by the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas. In each connectivity experiment, brain structures were considered subject to certain parameters that eliminated projections from any insufficiently represented brain structure. Figure 2a illustrates an example of one of the 207 connectivity experiments (ABAID:127350480) where the hypoglossal nucleus (XII) was designated the *primary source structure*, and two adjacent structures, the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) and medullary reticular nucleus (MDRN), were designated *secondary source structures*, based on thresholds on the magnitude and fraction of fluorescently labelled brain structure volume. The contribution of other partially fluorescent brain structures, e.g. the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS), were omitted from this connectivity experiment. From all 207 connectivity experiments, 307 structures were primary in at least one experiment, while 132 were always secondary structures (Supplementary Figure 7).

Figure 2: Overview of mouse connectivity and rat spreading experiments.

(a) In each published mouse connectivity experiment from Allen Brain Institute (Oh et al. 2014), an injection of an anterograde tracer, recombinant adeno-associated virus (rAAV) expressing enhanced green fluorescent protein (GFP, green arrow), results in a focus of cerebral fluorescence (shaded green), that is maximal in a primary source structure (XII). However, adjacent structures may also fluoresce, two of which, with the most pixels fluorescing, relative to their volume, we designate as secondary source structures (DMX, MDRN). Our composite mouse meso-scale connectome was reconstructed from 207 independent connectivity experiments, with projections emanating from one primary and two secondary structures per experiment (three source structures). (b) In the published rat spreading experiment (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013), the left vagus nerve (yellow) is injected with adeno-associated virus, expressing human *alpha*-synuclein, at a position (red arrow) distal to the inferior ganglion of the vagus (IGX). This primarily infects nerve fascicles and tracts (red curves) and neuronal soma (red ovals) of brain structures (DMX, AMB) and ganglionic neurons (IGX) located in the vagal trunk, outside of the central nervous system. Thereby, axons within brain structures that receive vagal projections (NTS, AP, DMX) may also express human *alpha*-synuclein. However ganglionic neurons and their central projections that branch out from the vagal trunk at positions proximal to the injection site, are not directly infected, e.g. the superior ganglion of the vagus (SGX) and the corresponding caudal part of the spinal nucleus of the trigeminal nerve (SPVC).

After quality control for spurious projections, an average connectivity experiment contained 21,338 individual neuronal projections, but in average it represents connections between only 1,277 unique pairs of brain structures (Supplementary Figure 8). Composition of similar projections, sharing the same pair of brain structures in one experiment (Figure 3), enabled the simplification of a total of 3,169,406 neuronal projections into 163,236 model projections between 829 unique leaf brain structures. The Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas defines a total of 836 leaf structures within the grey matter and ventricular systems. Therefore, our composite meso-scale mouse connectome represents projections emanating from about half (439/836) of all brain structures to almost all brain structures (829/836) in the actual mesoscale mouse connectome (cf Supplementary Figure 9), yet results in a computational model that is amenable to efficient simulation of diffusive spread of *alpha*-synuclein as a discrete time Markov process.

Figure 3: Composition of similar neuronal projections.

An example connectivity experiment (ABAID:158914182) with injection site in the reticular part of the substantia nigra (SNr). (a) Before simplification there were 33,600 neuronal projections between 250 unique pairs of brain structures. (b) After composition of similar neuronal projections, there result is one model projection between each of the 250 unique pairs of brain structures.

Distribution of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in a rat model The composite, mesoscale, mouse connectome contains 829 leaf brain structures, each of which corresponds to one of 139 rat brain structures that were microscopically resolved in our analysis of histological sections. Within this whole-brain connectome, the first-order connectome with respect to projections to, or from, the initiation structures (DMX and nucleus ambiguus, AMB), contained 867 model projections between 323 unique leaf brain structures, as illustrated in Supplementary Figure 9b. These first-order leaf structures correspond to 90 of the 139 microscopically resolved rat brain structures. Outside the first-order connectome, there are 506 leaf structures in the mouse brain, and they correspond to 49 microscopically resolved rat cerebral structures. A semiquantitative review of coronal sections of rat cerebrum, at 12 weeks after injection (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013), revealed *alpha*-synuclein pathology, as detected by exogenous *alpha*-synuclein immunoreactivity above a visual threshold of detection, in the soma of the initiation structures (DMX and AMB) and within neurites in 30 other recipient brain structures (Figure 4). These neurites appeared as fine treads, often containing enlarged bead-like varicosities. Previous studies using the same model showed that presence of *alpha*-synuclein in these neurites leads to time-dependent enlargement of the varicosity volume and long-term pathological consequences in the affected brain regions, including neuronal loss and microglial activation (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013; Rusconi et al. 2018).

All of the microscopically resolved rat brain structures containing *alpha*-synuclein patho-

logy, except the area postrema (AP), correspond to one of the leaf structures in the first-order mouse connectome. That is, the 32 microscopically resolved rat structures with *alpha*-synuclein accumulation correspond to 140 leaf structures in the mouse connectome, and all of them, except the AP, were also in the first-order connectome (Supplementary Table 1). Of the 32 microscopically resolved rat structures with detectable *alpha*-synuclein pathology, 2 displayed severe pathology, the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) and the parabrachial nucleus (PB), 6 displayed moderate pathology, e.g., the principal sensory nucleus of the trigeminal (PSV), 10 displayed mild pathology, e.g., the midbrain reticular nucleus (MRN), 8 displayed minimal pathology, e.g., gigantocellular reticular nucleus (GRN), 3 rarely displayed *alpha*-synuclein pathology, e.g., the hypoglossal nucleus (XII).

Figure 4: Rat *alpha*-synuclein spreading mapped to a mouse cerebrum.

(a) Lateral view of a three dimensional mouse brain with the location of the experimental initiation structures highlighted (purple) (b) Anatomically localised spreading severity, at 12 weeks post-AAV-injection, mapped to homologous leaf structures in the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas (lateral projection onto a mid sagittal section). The initiation structures (DMX and AMB) as well as semiquantitative spreading severity, or absence thereof (blue), are also displayed. See Supplementary Table 1 for details.

Simulated spread of *alpha*-synuclein Simulation of the spread of *alpha*-synuclein provides a step-wise, anatomically resolved, prediction of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation that is consistent with specified modelling assumptions. Subsequent detailed comparison with histopathological data on *alpha*-synuclein pathology was then used to evaluate the appropriateness of these assumptions. For all simulations of the diffusive spread of *alpha*-synuclein, we assumed that the direction of spread is invariant with respect to the orientation of a neuronal projection and that the rate of spread is proportional to model projection length. That is, the spread of *alpha*-synuclein proceeds along the connectome, either anterogradely or retrogradely, at a rate

of one model projection edge per time-step (cf. Figure 11). Also, the fractional spread from one brain structure to another is assumed to be proportional to the amount of projections between them (w_j in Equation 2). That is, all else being equal, the amount of *alpha*-synuclein spread is greater between two brain structures with many individual projections between them, than it would be if there were few.

First-order simulated spread compared with rat histopathology We simulated the diffusive spread of *alpha*-synuclein, along the first-order mouse connectome, starting simultaneously from two initiation structures, DMX and AMB. Figure 5 provides a snapshot at the final time-step of the simulation. A three dimensional animation (Supplementary Video [hyperlink](#)) illustrates that the evolution of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation can be followed with high spatiotemporal resolution, but for quantitative comparison with cerebral *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat spreading model, we focus our comparison on brain structures that were also evaluated histopathologically in rat coronal brain slices. Here we assume that virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation in a coronal slice can be attributed to a brain structure if virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches the corresponding source or target structure, in that virtual coronal slice, or if a model projection transverses the virtual slice in a location that is within the voxels assigned to an intermediate structure, between some source and target structure.

The spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein along the first-order connectome, within a pair of virtual coronal slices, which are anatomically close to those selected for the histopathological evaluation, is illustrated in Figure 5b. The virtual coronal slices, of both caudal and rostral midbrain, illustrate that virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulates in first-order neuronal projections that are close to, or reach, brain structures of the medulla, pons, midbrain, hippocampal formation and cerebral cortex. More specifically, the diffusive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches the caudal midbrain virtual coronal section (slice 386) within the dorsal raphe, followed by structures of the pons, such as the tegmental reticular nucleus (TRN) and superior central nucleus raphe (CSm), structures of the hippocampal formation, such as the entorhinal area (ENT), and other midbrain structures, such as the cuneiform nucleus and the midbrain trigeminal nucleus. Within the rostral midbrain virtual coronal section (slice 318), virtual *alpha*-synuclein spreads to midbrain structures, such as the SNc and pretectal region (MPT), hypothalamic structures (PH) and olfactory areas (TR3), followed by the CA3 field and entorhinal area of the hippocampal formation, structures of the amygdalar nucleus (BMA), thalamus, hypothalamus (MM) and the red nucleus (RN) of the midbrain.

At 12 weeks post injection, the rat midbrain coronal slices revealed *alpha*-synuclein pathology within many, but not all, of the brain structures predicted to have virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation in the *in silico* model. Some brain structures with virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation did not display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model, for example, the SNc, the hippocampal formation and olfactory areas.

Figure 5: Comparison of simulated and experimental spread.

(a) Three dimensional snapshot of the full extent of simulated diffusive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein through the first-order mouse connectome (red), started simultaneously from the initiation structures (AMB, DMX). For clarity, only a representative fraction of the non-first-order connectome is illustrated (black). The position of midbrain coronal slices are indicated. (b) Virtual caudal (ABA slice 386, left) and rostral (ABA slice 318, right) midbrain coronal slices intersecting with the first-order mouse connectome (black dots). Increasing rates of simulated spread, in proportion to the number of similar projections (black dots increasing in size). Allen Mouse Brain Atlas structures in standard colours. The virtual coronal brain slices are positioned to correspond to the anatomical position of the microscopically evaluated coronal slices from the *alpha*-synuclein spreading experiments in rats (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013). (c) Lateral view of the same parts of the connectome in (a), with the first-order connectome labelled by the severity of spreading in the rat experiments, at 12 weeks post injection (same severity colour coding as in Figure 4). (d) Experimental coronal slices with histologically confirmed sites of *alpha*-synuclein pathology (red dots).

Simulated spread of first-order virtual *alpha*-synuclein Previously, we assumed that a brain structure, within a virtual coronal slice, was reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein that structure was a source, target or intermediate brain structure along a model projection. This overestimates the amount of brain structures reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein in a given coronal slice and ignores brain structures that only intersect with other coronal slices. Therefore, we restricted our assumption and designated a structure as affected if virtual *alpha*-synuclein reached it via a

source or target structure, ignoring the intersection of model projections with intermediate brain structures. Under this restricted assumption, we simulated the diffusive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein, along the first-order mouse connectome, starting simultaneously from the same two initiation structures, DMX and AMB. Figure 6a compares the first occurrence of virtual *alpha*-synuclein with the severity of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model.

After initiation within the DMX and AMB, at time-step t_7 , virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches two first-order brain structures, the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS) and the hypoglossal nucleus (XII). Subsequently, between t_8 and t_{13} , virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches multiple other medullary brain structures, only a few of which display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model, such as, the parvicellular reticular nucleus (PARN), the paragigantocellular reticular nucleus (PGRN) and the caudal part of the spinal nucleus of the trigeminal nerve (SPVC). The parabrachial nucleus (PB), which is severely affected by *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model, is reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein at t_{14} , together with other brain structures that have moderate pathology, such as PSV. The remaining medullary and pontine brain structures that display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model, such as the intermediate reticular nucleus (IRN), pontine central gray (PCG) and the locus ceruleus (LC), display virtual *alpha*-synuclein between t_{15} and t_{19} . Also, other midbrain structures are reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein within this period, including the midbrain reticular nucleus (MRN) at t_{17} , which has mild *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model.

Supplementary Table 1 provides further detail on the severity of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat spreading model compared with the time-step at which virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches in the corresponding (target) brain structure within the first-order mouse connectome model. Almost all brain structures affected by *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model are reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein within three quarters of the simulation steps necessary to cover all first-order brain structures (22 out of 33 time-steps). Most of the brain structures that display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model are highly connected to the initiation structures (cf Figure 9a). However, there are also many highly connected brain structures that do not display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model, for example, the superior olivary complex (SOC) and the vestibular nuclei (VNC). Although 18 brain structures receive exclusively anterograde first-order model projections from one of the two initiation structures, only two (LC and RR), display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model.

Figure 6: Simulated spread compared with *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rat and early-stage Parkinson's disease patients.

(a) Simulated spread of *alpha*-synuclein, along the first-order connectome, initiated in both the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) and nucleus ambiguus (AMB). The abscissa orders brain structures by the time-step of the first occurrence of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation. The colour of each bar represents the degree of *alpha*-synuclein accumulation observed in the corresponding rat brain structure at 12 weeks post vagal injection. The thickness of each bar represents the number of connections to a first-order brain structure. The ordinate is the fraction of vulnerable rat brain structures, that is, the number of homologous mouse brain structures reached by virtual aggregates at the corresponding time-step, divided by the total number of rat brain structures that demonstrate *alpha*-synuclein pathology at 12 weeks post vagal injection. Each* denotes a *mouse* brain structure that is only anterogradely connected to an initiation structure. There is no clear correlation between the first occurrence of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation (proportional to neuronal projection length) and the severity of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats. However more aggregate-positive first-order rat brain structures have a retrograde connection to an initiation structure, than those with only an anterograde connection to an initiation structure (2/18). See Supplementary Table 1 for details.

(b) Simulated spread of *alpha*-synuclein spread, along the whole-brain connectome, initiated only in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX). The abscissa orders brain structures by the time-step of the first occurrence of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation. The colour of each bar represents the severity of post-mortem Lewy pathology observed in the corresponding human structure in Parkinson's disease patients. The ordinate is the fraction of vulnerable human brain structures, that is, the number of mouse brain structures reached by virtual aggregates at the corresponding time-step, divided by the number of homologous human brain structures that have been reported to be vulnerable to degeneration in early PD (Oliveira et al. 2017; Surmeier et al. 2017). Each* denotes a brain structure that is only anterogradely connected to an initiation structure and dashed lines indicate brain structures not directly connected to the DMX. All vulnerable brainstem regions, except the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNc) are directly connected to the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX). See Supplementary Table 3 for details.

Comparison with Lewy pathology in early Parkinson’s disease Using the same *in silico* model assumptions, restricted to source and target structures, we also simulated the evolution of diffusive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein, initiated only in the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus nerve (DMX), throughout the whole-brain, composite, mesoscale, mouse connectome. We compared the spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein with the evolution, distribution and degree of Lewy pathology reported in the brains of patients with early Parkinson’s disease (Surmeier et al. 2017; Oliveira et al. 2017).

As illustrated in Figure 6b, and Supplementary Figure 12, after initiation, virtual *alpha*-synuclein first reaches the hypoglossal nucleus (XII) and the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS). The NTS has previously been described as having minimal Lewy pathology in Parkinson’s disease and, herein, is followed by other brain structures with minimal and moderate pathology, the parvocellular reticular nucleus (PARN) and nucleus raphe obscurus (RO), respectively. Between t_{11} and t_{19} , multiple medullary and pontine brain regions are reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein, although only a subset of brain regions have been described as having pathology in early Parkinson’s disease, such as the nucleus raphe pallidus (RPA), intermediate reticular nucleus (IRN), locus ceruleus (LC) and nucleus raphe magnus (RM). Affected midbrain structures in Parkinson’s disease were also reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein after t_{18} , with special relevance to the ventral tegmental area (VTA, minimal pathology), midbrain raphe (RAmb, mild pathology) at t_{20} and the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC, severe pathology) at t_{22} .

According to the mouse connectome, most of the human brain structures with Lewy pathology in Parkinson’s disease are first-order brain structures relative to the DMX, except the substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC) (cf Figure 6b). Furthermore, neither the number of neuronal projections nor the time-step when a structure is first reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein correlate with the severity of Lewy pathology. The DMX connects to a total of 23 brain structures, only by anterograde first-order model projections, however only 5 (RO, RPA, PAS, LC, SLC) display Lewy pathology *in vivo*. Supplementary Table 3 provides further detail on the severity of Lewy pathology in Parkinson’s disease with the time-step at which virtual *alpha*-synuclein first reaches the corresponding structure within the whole-brain mouse connectome model.

Discussion

In the rat model we consider, exogenous human *alpha*-synuclein is expressed and transported intracellularly within initiation structures. In contrast to other proteins like GFP, *alpha*-synuclein can be transported from one neuron to another (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013; Helwig et al. 2016; Ulusoy, Phillips, et al. 2017; Rusconi et al. 2018). It is due to this specific property of *alpha*-synuclein that we observe exogenous human *alpha*-synuclein in directly connected neurons (first-order connectivity) 12 weeks after rAAV injection (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013). It is important to note that this observation of *alpha*-synuclein in recipient neurons is not due to the transport of the virus to the recipient neurons. Using a number of methods, including the use of control virus (rAAV-GFP), RT-PCR analysis of viral genome products in the brain tissue and detection of viral mRNA using a highly sensitive *in situ* hybridization, earlier studies have shown that rAAV virus used at our titer range and injection conditions do not spread trans-cellularly, but it is in fact the protein product (i.e. *alpha*-synuclein) that is transported from one neuron to another (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013; Helwig et al. 2016; Ulusoy, Phillips, et al. 2017).

Detection of the exogenous *alpha*-synuclein in rats was performed using a human specific *alpha*-synuclein antibody (i.e. syn211), which does not bind to murine *alpha*-synuclein (Waxman et al. 2008). This enabled us to follow the spread of *alpha*-synuclein unambiguously with high sensitivity. Syn211 binds to the C-terminus of the protein and is capable of detecting monomeric and multimeric forms of *alpha*-synuclein (El-Agnaf et al. 2006). Although the detection method used in this study does not differentiate between *alpha*-synuclein species, using a combination of methods including conformation specific antibodies against oligomeric and fibrillar *alpha*-synuclein, proximity ligase assay and thioflavin S binding, earlier studies showed that the initiation structures contain monomeric, oligomeric and fibrillar forms of *alpha*-synuclein, whereas recipient neurites contain only monomeric and oligomeric forms of *alpha*-synuclein (Helwig et al. 2016).

rAAV injection into the vagus nerve leads to *alpha*-synuclein overexpression in the efferent vagal projections and soma of neurons in the initiation structures, that is, the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) and the nucleus ambiguus (AMB) (Figure 2b). Other brain structures receive afferent vagal projections, e.g., the nucleus of the solitary tract (NTS), and also contain transduced neurites in the rat spreading model. However, when selecting initiation structures for first-order virtual spread, we included structures containing soma within the brain that send efferent projections into the vagus (DMX and AMB), but omitted structures where the soma is

outside of the central nervous system and sends afferent vagal projections to the brain (NTS, AP, SPVC). It is important to note that the NTS, AP and SPVC send projections to the DMX and AMB, therefore they are still part of the first-order connectome. This may account for some of the *alpha*-synuclein immunoreactivity observed in within rat NTS, AP and SPVC. Since fibrillar forms are found in initiation structures but not other structures, it would be interesting to compare the molecular structure and post-translational modifications of neuritic *alpha*-synuclein as a result of viral transduction, versus somal *alpha*-synuclein as a result of first-order retrograde spread, within any one of the latter three structures in future studies.

Is connectivity necessary to determine which brain structures will display *alpha*-synuclein pathology? Starting from the initiation structures (DMX, AMB), our first-order mesoscale mouse connectome includes all except one of the microscopically resolved rat brain structures with *alpha*-synuclein pathology *in vivo* (Supplementary Table 1). The one exceptional structure was the area postrema (AP, cf Figure 2), which is known to receive projections from the inferior ganglion of the vagus (IGX) (Gwyn et al. 1979) and to send projections to the initiation structures (DMX, AMB) (Shapiro et al. 2004). However, to date, the area postrema has never been a source structure in a published Allen Mouse Connectivity experiment, and neither of the initiation structures send projections to the area postrema (AP), therefore its exclusion from a first-order connectome, derived only from Allen Mouse Connectivity Data, is expected. Therefore, we conclude that the connectome is necessary for spread of induced *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats.

Is connectivity sufficient to determine which brain structures will display *alpha*-synuclein pathology? Our quantitative simulations predict highly anatomically resolved patterns of both retrograde and anterograde spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein through the connectome and thereby predict the brain structures that *could* display *alpha*-synuclein pathology induced from connected neuronal structures, but not the structures that *will* display *alpha*-synuclein pathology (Figures 5 and 6a). Many first order brain structures displayed accumulation of virtual *alpha*-synuclein but do not display any *alpha*-synuclein immunoreactivity in the rat spreading model, e.g., SOC, VNC, medullary reticular nucleus (MDRN), nucleus raphe obscurus (RO), intermediate reticular nucleus (IRN), magnocellular reticular nucleus (MARN) and nucleus raphe pallidus (RPA). Therefore, we conclude that the connectome is not sufficient for spread of induced *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats.

Does the amount, length, or direction, of neuronal projections determine the brain struc-

tures that will display *alpha*-synuclein pathology? Most of the brain structures that display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat spreading model are highly connected to the initiation structures (Figure 6a). This suggest that, for vulnerable neurons, the propensity for spread between two nuclei increases when there are more neuronal projections between them. Almost all brain structures with *alpha*-synuclein spreading *in vivo* are reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein before three quarters of the simulation time-steps necessary to cover all first-order brain structures. This suggests that, for vulnerable neurons, spread may be more efficient for shorter projections lengths. However, there are also many examples of structures that are highly connected to the initiation structures by relatively short projections, but do not show *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat spreading model. Amongst the 29 first-order connectome brain structures that show *alpha*-synuclein pathology *in vivo*, 27 send projections to an initiation structure, while only 2 receive projections from an initiation structure (LC and RR). This suggest that the spread of pathology is much more likely to occur in a retrograde than anterograde direction. To refine these conclusions, it would be ideal to examine cleared brains (Richardson et al. 2015) from a rat cohort sacrificed at a regular intervals post viral transduction, with a subset of the cohort that have had specific neuronal connections ablated a priori.

In comparison with direct injection into brain structures, a vagal injection allows a more controlled initiation of exogenous *alpha*-synuclein expression within a set of known brain structures. This is a key consideration when studying the hypothesis that Lewy pathology spreads via the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. Nevertheless, this injection method still allows a certain degree of uncertainty in the distribution of viral expression of *alpha*-synuclein, albeit in a limited number of brainstem structures (DMX, AMB). It would be beneficial to develop rodent models where it is certain that only one brainstem structure could express and spread *alpha*-synuclein to differentiate between retrograde and anterograde transmission, for example by using tissue specific promoters or conditional expression models (i.e. cre-lox systems). This would permit more precise initiation of the computational model. Furthermore, histopathological evaluation of *alpha*-synuclein spreading at multiple time points, and at mesoscale resolution, would permit a more refined understanding of the relative resistance versus vulnerability of brain structures, within the context of the neuronal connectome. Nevertheless, we believe that we have already sufficient resolution to make firm conclusions about the patterns of spreading of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats.

We compared human pathology with computational modelling of spread along the mouse

connectome, even though a comprehensive human cerebral connectome, at single neuronal resolution, would be superior for direct comparison with pathology progression in Parkinson's disease. However, neuronal anatomy of the brainstem in evolutionarily well conserved in mammals. Assuming that, for the brainstem, the mouse mesoscale connectome is a fair representation of the human connectome, our *in silico* model predicts the contribution of neuronal network topology and geometry alone to the selective vulnerability of brain structures in Parkinson's disease. The Braak hypothesis posits spread via the DMX, therefore, in our simulation of diffusive spread through the whole-brain connectome, we also initiate spread from the DMX. Interestingly, brain structures known to be vulnerable in early Parkinson's disease, inclusive of less-vulnerable structures, were predicted to receive spread before the substantia nigra pars compacta (Figure 6 and Supplementary Table 3). In concordance with the observations of Braak et al. (**braak_neuropathological_**), we find that most vulnerable brain-stem structures are indeed directly connected by neuronal projections to the DMX. This observation further supports the interpretation that neuronal connectivity is necessary for spread of *alpha*-synuclein.

Multiple highly vulnerable brain structures in early Parkinson's disease, such as raphe obscurus (RO) and locus coeruleus (LC), were simulated to receive spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein earlier than less-vulnerable counterparts, respectively, the raphe pallidus (RPA), subcoeruleus (SLC), except for the ventral tegmental area (VTA) and substantia nigra pars compacta (SNC). Interestingly, all vulnerable structures associated with prodromal Parkinson's disease (Surmeier et al. 2017) are reached by virtual *alpha*-synuclein earlier than the substantia nigra pars compacta. However, multiple brain structures known to be resistant to Lewy pathology in Parkinson's disease, e.g., the hypoglossal nucleus (XII), accumulate virtual *alpha*-synuclein at early simulation time-steps. These observations support the interpretation that the connectome not sufficient to determine selective vulnerability nor to predict the degree of vulnerability.

Apart from neuronal connectivity, multiple other factors, such as alterations in protein degradation, mitochondrial biogenesis and endo- or exocytosis, might contribute to the selective vulnerability of similarly connected brain structures in Parkinson's disease (Surmeier et al. 2017). Deep phenotyping of connected brain structures with different degrees of vulnerability would increase our understanding of the contribution of these other factors to selective vulnerability. Our *in silico* model simulates the spread of arbitrary aggregates through a static neuronal network, which does not yet dynamically evolve to account for the dynamic deterioration of the neuronal projections that occurs after sufficient *alpha*-synuclein pathology *in vivo*. Although the

Allen Brain Institute connectome data can provide resolution of individual neuronal projections at the site of injection, a variably sized focus of fluorescence is observed in raw data and involves the injected structure and a set of the neighbouring structures. Such artefacts may overestimate the size of the source focus sending projections to other brain areas. Therefore, additional connectivity data with more highly resolved structure-specific projection data for certain key brain structures, e.g., DMX or LC (Henrich et al. 2018), might allow a more refined prediction of the contribution of the connectome to the spread of pathology. Nevertheless, we do not believe such data would affect our overall conclusion that the connectome is necessary but not sufficient to explain the patterns of spreading of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats.

Many other neurodegenerative diseases target large-scale human brain networks (Seeley et al. 2009; Brettschneider et al. 2015) and, similarly to Parkinson's disease, multiple mouse and rat models are being developed to study pathology progression and protein spreading (Brettschneider et al. 2015). Our whole-brain connectome model was first generated to comprehensively represent anatomy, and thereafter, selectively applied to model Parkinson's disease progression. Therefore, our model could readily be applied to study the contribution of the connectome in the selective progression of pathology in other neurodegenerative diseases, e.g., Alzheimer's disease, or Machado-Joseph's disease.

Conclusions

We simulated the contribution of the cerebral connectome to the spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein using a novel computational model of spatiotemporal spread within a composite representation of the mouse mesoscale connectome. We conclude that neuronal connectivity is necessary but not sufficient to computationally predict the anatomical pattern of induced *alpha*-synuclein pathology observed in rats. We also observe that the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats is much more likely to occur in a retrograde than anterograde direction. The propensity for spread between two brainstem nuclei seems to increase the shorter the length of the projection and more neuronal projections there are between them. However, there are also many examples of structures that are highly connected to the initiation structures by relatively short projections that do not display *alpha*-synuclein pathology in a rat spreading model. If one assumes that mouse brainstem connectivity is a fair representation of human brainstem connectivity, then our results suggest that the connectome

is necessary, but not alone sufficient, to predict the histopathological patterns of Lewy pathology observed in Parkinson's disease.

Funding

This project has received funding from the Fonds National de la Recherche, Luxembourg, under the aegis of the EU Joint Programme - Neurodegenerative Disease Research, grant agreement INTER/JPND/14/02/SynSpread, Fonds National de la Recherche, Luxembourg under grant number 6669348 and support for international scientific exchange from the Fondation du Pélican. This project has also received funding from the Paul Foundation and the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation programme under grant agreement number 668738.

Competing Interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest. The funding bodies had no role in the design of the study, collection or analysis of data or decision to publish.

Author contributions

R.F. conceived the project. M.O. and S.A. developed the computational model and analysed the simulation results. G.P. downloaded the mouse connectivity data. A.U. and D.D. obtained and analysed the rat *in vivo* data. M.O., S.A., A.U., D.D. and R.F. wrote the manuscript.

References

- El-Agnaf, Omar M. A. et al. (Mar. 1, 2006). 'Detection of Oligomeric Forms of α -Synuclein Protein in Human Plasma as a Potential Biomarker for Parkinson's Disease'. In: *The FASEB Journal* 20.3, pp. 419–425. DOI: [10.1096/fj.03-1449com](https://doi.org/10.1096/fj.03-1449com).
- Borghammer, Per (Jan. 1, 2018). 'How Does Parkinson's Disease Begin? Perspectives on Neuroanatomical Pathways, Prions, and Histology'. In: *Movement Disorders* 33.1, pp. 48–57. DOI: [10.1002/mds.27138](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.27138).

- Braak, Heiko, Jürgen R. Bohl, Christian M. Müller, Udo Rüb, Rob A.I. de Vos, and Kelly Del Tredici (2006). ‘Stanley Fahn Lecture 2005: The Staging Procedure for the Inclusion Body Pathology Associated with Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease Reconsidered’. In: *Movement Disorders* 21.12, pp. 2042–2051. DOI: [10.1002/mds.21065](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.21065).
- Braak, Heiko and Kelly Del Tredici (2009). *Neuroanatomy and Pathology of Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg.
- Braak, Heiko, Kelly Del Tredici, Hansjürgen Bratzke, John Hamm-Clement, Daniele Sandmann-Keil, and Udo Rüb (Oct. 2002). ‘Staging of the Intracerebral Inclusion Body Pathology Associated with Idiopathic Parkinson’s Disease (Preclinical and Clinical Stages)’. In: *Journal of neurology* 249 Suppl 3, pp. III/1–5.
- Braak, Heiko, Estifanos Ghebremedhin, Udo Rüb, Hansjürgen Bratzke, and Kelly Del Tredici (Oct. 1, 2004). ‘Stages in the Development of Parkinson’s Disease-Related Pathology’. In: *Cell and Tissue Research* 318.1, pp. 121–134. DOI: [10.1007/s00441-004-0956-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00441-004-0956-9).
- Braak, Heiko and Kelly Del Tredici (May 13, 2008). ‘Invited Article: Nervous System Pathology in Sporadic Parkinson Disease’. In: *Neurology* 70.20, pp. 1916–1925. DOI: [10.1212/01.wnl.0000312279.49272.9f](https://doi.org/10.1212/01.wnl.0000312279.49272.9f).
- Braak, Heiko, Kelly Del Tredici, Udo Rüb, Rob A.I de Vos, Ernst N.H Jansen Steur, and Eva Braak (Mar. 2003). ‘Staging of Brain Pathology Related to Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Neurobiology of Aging* 24.2, pp. 197–211. DOI: [10.1016/S0197-4580\(02\)00065-9](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0197-4580(02)00065-9).
- Brahic, Michel, Luc Bousset, Gregor Bieri, Ronald Melki, and Aaron D. Gitler (Apr. 1, 2016). ‘Axonal Transport and Secretion of Fibrillar Forms of α -Synuclein, A β 42 Peptide and HT-TE exon 1’. In: *Acta Neuropathologica* 131.4, pp. 539–548. DOI: [10.1007/s00401-016-1538-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1538-0).
- Brettschneider, Johannes, Kelly Del Tredici, Virginia M.-Y. Lee, and John Q. Trojanowski (Jan. 15, 2015). ‘Spreading of Pathology in Neurodegenerative Diseases: A Focus on Human Studies’. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 16.2, pp. 109–120. DOI: [10.1038/nrn3887](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn3887).
- Brundin, Patrik, Ronald Melki, and Ron Kopito (Apr. 2010). ‘Prion-like Transmission of Protein Aggregates in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 11.4, pp. 301–307. DOI: [10.1038/nrm2873](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2873).
- Castellanos, F. Xavier, Adriana Di Martino, R. Cameron Craddock, Ashesh D. Mehta, and Michael P. Milham (Oct. 15, 2013). ‘Clinical Applications of the Functional Connectome’. In: *NeuroImage* 80, pp. 527–540. DOI: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.083](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.083).

- Del Tredici, Kelly, Udo Rüb, Rob A I De Vos, Jürgen R E Bohl, and Heiko Braak (May 2002). ‘Where Does Parkinson Disease Pathology Begin in the Brain?’ In: *Journal of neuropathology and experimental neurology* 61.5, pp. 413–426.
- Dickson, Dennis W et al. (Dec. 2009). ‘Neuropathological Assessment of Parkinson’s Disease: Refining the Diagnostic Criteria’. In: *The Lancet Neurology* 8.12, pp. 1150–1157. DOI: [10.1016/S1474-4422\(09\)70238-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1474-4422(09)70238-8).
- Fahn, Stanley and David Sulzer (Jan. 2004). ‘Neurodegeneration and Neuroprotection in Parkinson Disease’. In: *NeuroRx* 1.1, pp. 139–154.
- Fornito, Alex, Andrew Zalesky, and Edward Bullmore (Mar. 4, 2016). *Fundamentals of Brain Network Analysis*. Academic Press. 496 pp.
- Frost, Bess and Marc I. Diamond (Mar. 2010). ‘Prion-like Mechanisms in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* 11.3, pp. 155–159. DOI: [10.1038/nrn2786](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2786).
- Fu, Hongjun, John Hardy, and Karen E. Duff (Oct. 2018). ‘Selective Vulnerability in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature Neuroscience* 21.10, pp. 1350–1358. DOI: [10.1038/s41593-018-0221-2](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41593-018-0221-2).
- Ghosh, Aurobrata and Rachid Deriche (Jan. 2013). ‘From Diffusion MRI to Brain Connectomics’. In: *Modeling in Computational Biology and Biomedicine*. Ed. by Frédéric Cazals and Pierre Kornprobst. Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 193–234.
- Goetz, Christopher G. et al. (Nov. 15, 2008). ‘Movement Disorder Society-Sponsored Revision of the Unified Parkinson’s Disease Rating Scale (MDS-UPDRS): Scale Presentation and Clinimetric Testing Results’. In: *Movement Disorders* 23.15, pp. 2129–2170. DOI: [10.1002/mds.22340](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22340).
- Griffa, Alessandra, Philipp S Baumann, Jean-Philippe Thiran, and Patric Hagmann (Oct. 2013). ‘Structural Connectomics in Brain Diseases’. In: *NeuroImage* 80, pp. 515–526. DOI: [10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.056](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuroimage.2013.04.056).
- Guo, Jing L. and Virginia M. Y. Lee (Feb. 2014). ‘Cell-to-Cell Transmission of Pathogenic Proteins in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature Medicine* 20.2, pp. 130–138. DOI: [10.1038/nm.3457](https://doi.org/10.1038/nm.3457).
- Gwyn, D. G. and R. A. Leslie (Feb. 2, 1979). ‘A Projection of Vagus Nerve to the Area Subpostrema in the Cat’. In: *Brain Research* 161.2, pp. 335–341. DOI: [10.1016/0006-8993\(79\)90074-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/0006-8993(79)90074-X).

- Halliday, G. M. et al. (Apr. 1990). ‘Neuropathology of Immunohistochemically Identified Brain-stem Neurons in Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Annals of Neurology* 27.4, pp. 373–385. DOI: [10.1002/ana.410270405](https://doi.org/10.1002/ana.410270405).
- Hawkes, Christopher H. (Oct. 15, 2008). ‘The Prodromal Phase of Sporadic Parkinson’s Disease: Does It Exist and If so How Long Is It?’ In: *Movement Disorders* 23.13, pp. 1799–1807. DOI: [10.1002/mds.22242](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.22242).
- Hawkes, Christopher H., Kelly Del Tredici, and Heiko Braak (Feb. 2010). ‘A Timeline for Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Parkinsonism & Related Disorders* 16.2, pp. 79–84. DOI: [10.1016/j.parkreldis.2009.08.007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.parkreldis.2009.08.007).
- Helwig, Michael et al. (Mar. 1, 2016). ‘Brain Propagation of Transduced α -Synuclein Involves Non-Fibrillar Protein Species and Is Enhanced in Alpha-Synuclein Null Mice’. In: *Brain* 139.3, pp. 856–870. DOI: [10.1093/brain/awv376](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awv376).
- Henrich, Martin Timo et al. (May 10, 2018). ‘A53T- α -Synuclein Overexpression in Murine Locus Coeruleus Induces Parkinson’s Disease-like Pathology in Neurons and Glia’. In: *Acta Neuropathologica Communications* 6.1, p. 39. DOI: [10.1186/s40478-018-0541-1](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-018-0541-1).
- Jbabdi, Saad and Timothy E. Behrens (2013). ‘Long-Range Connectomics’. In: *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences* 1305, Pages 83–93. DOI: [10.1111/nyas.12271](https://doi.org/10.1111/nyas.12271).
- Jucker, Mathias and Lary C. Walker (Sept. 4, 2013). ‘Self-Propagation of Pathogenic Protein Aggregates in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature* 501.7465, pp. 45–51. DOI: [10.1038/nature12481](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature12481).
- Luk, Kelvin C., Victoria M. Kehm, Bin Zhang, Patrick O’Brien, John Q. Trojanowski, and Virginia M. Y. Lee (May 7, 2012). ‘Intracerebral Inoculation of Pathological α -Synuclein Initiates a Rapidly Progressive Neurodegenerative α -Synucleinopathy in Mice’. In: *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 209.5, pp. 975–986. DOI: [10.1084/jem.20112457](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20112457).
- Luk, Kelvin C., Victoria Kehm, et al. (Nov. 16, 2012). ‘Pathological Alpha-Synuclein Transmission Initiates Parkinson-like Neurodegeneration in Nontransgenic Mice’. In: *Science* 338.6109, pp. 949–953. DOI: [10.1126/science.1227157](https://doi.org/10.1126/science.1227157).
- Mason, Daniel M. et al. (2016). ‘Transmission of α -Synucleinopathy from Olfactory Structures Deep into the Temporal Lobe’. In: *Molecular Neurodegeneration* 11, p. 49. DOI: [10.1186/s13024-016-0113-4](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13024-016-0113-4).
- Masuda-Suzukake, Masami et al. (Apr. 2013). ‘Prion-like Spreading of Pathological Alpha-Synuclein in Brain’. In: *Brain* 136.4, pp. 1128–1138. DOI: [10.1093/brain/awt037](https://doi.org/10.1093/brain/awt037).

- Morgan, Joshua L and Jeff W Lichtman (May 30, 2013). ‘Why Not Connectomics?’ In: *Nature Methods* 10.6, pp. 494–500. DOI: [10.1038/nmeth.2480](https://doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2480).
- Oh, Seung Wook et al. (Apr. 10, 2014). ‘A Mesoscale Connectome of the Mouse Brain’. In: *Nature* 508.7495, pp. 207–214. DOI: [10.1038/nature13186](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13186).
- Oliveira, Miguel A. P., Rudi Balling, Marten P. Smidt, and Ronan M. T. Fleming (June 26, 2017). ‘Embryonic Development of Selectively Vulnerable Neurons in Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *npj Parkinson’s Disease* 3.1, p. 21. DOI: [10.1038/s41531-017-0022-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-017-0022-4).
- Postuma, Ronald B. et al. (Oct. 1, 2015). ‘MDS Clinical Diagnostic Criteria for Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Movement Disorders* 30.12, pp. 1591–1601. DOI: [10.1002/mds.26424](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.26424).
- Raj, Ashish, Amy Kuceyeski, and Michael Weiner (Mar. 22, 2012). ‘A Network Diffusion Model of Disease Progression in Dementia’. In: *Neuron* 73.6, pp. 1204–1215. DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.040](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2011.12.040).
- Raj, Ashish, Eve LoCastro, Amy Kuceyeski, Duygu Tosun, Norman Relkin, and Michael Weiner (Jan. 2015). ‘Network Diffusion Model of Progression Predicts Longitudinal Patterns of Atrophy and Metabolism in Alzheimer’s Disease’. In: *Cell Reports* 10.3, pp. 359–369. DOI: [10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.034](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.celrep.2014.12.034).
- Rey, Nolwen L. et al. (Aug. 2, 2016). ‘Widespread Transneuronal Propagation of Alpha-Synucleinopathy Triggered in Olfactory Bulb Mimics Prodromal Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Journal of Experimental Medicine* 213.9, jem.20160368. DOI: [10.1084/jem.20160368](https://doi.org/10.1084/jem.20160368).
- Richardson, Douglas S. and Jeff W. Lichtman (July 16, 2015). ‘Clarifying Tissue Clearing’. In: *Cell* 162.2, pp. 246–257. DOI: [10.1016/j.cell.2015.06.067](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2015.06.067).
- Rusconi, Raffaella, Ayse Ulusoy, Helia Aboutaleb, and Donato A. Di Monte (Apr. 2018). ‘Long-lasting Pathological Consequences of Overexpression-induced A-synuclein Spreading in the Rat Brain’. In: *Aging Cell* 17.2. DOI: [10.1111/ace1.12727](https://doi.org/10.1111/ace1.12727).
- Seeley, William W., Richard K. Crawford, Juan Zhou, Bruce L. Miller, and Michael D. Greicius (Apr. 16, 2009). ‘Neurodegenerative Diseases Target Large-Scale Human Brain Networks’. In: *Neuron* 62.1, pp. 42–52. DOI: [10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.024](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2009.03.024).
- Shapiro, Robert E. and Richard R. Miselis (Oct. 9, 2004). ‘The Central Neural Connections of the Area Postrema of the Rat’. In: *Journal of Comparative Neurology* 234.3, pp. 344–364. DOI: [10.1002/cne.902340306](https://doi.org/10.1002/cne.902340306).

- Sulzer, David and D. James Surmeier (Jan. 2013). ‘Neuronal Vulnerability, Pathogenesis and Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *Movement disorders : official journal of the Movement Disorder Society* 28.1, pp. 41–50. DOI: [10.1002/mds.25095](https://doi.org/10.1002/mds.25095).
- Surmeier, D. James, José A. Obeso, and Glenda M. Halliday (Jan. 20, 2017). ‘Selective Neuronal Vulnerability in Parkinson Disease’. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 18.2, pp. 101–113. DOI: [10.1038/nrn.2016.178](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.178).
- Ulusoy, Ayse, Robert J. Phillips, Michael Helwig, Michael Klinkenberg, Terry L. Powley, and Donato A. Di Monte (Mar. 2017). ‘Brain-to-Stomach Transfer of α -Synuclein via Vagal Preganglionic Projections’. In: *Acta neuropathologica* 133.3, pp. 381–393. DOI: [10.1007/s00401-016-1661-y](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00401-016-1661-y).
- Ulusoy, Ayse, Raffaella Rusconi, et al. (July 3, 2013). ‘Caudo-rostral Brain Spreading of Alpha-synuclein through Vagal Connections’. In: *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 5.7, pp. 1119–1127. DOI: [10.1002/emmm.201302475](https://doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201302475).
- Van Dijk, Koene R. A., Trey Hedden, Archana Venkataraman, Karleyton C. Evans, Sara W. Lazar, and Randy L. Buckner (Jan. 2010). ‘Intrinsic Functional Connectivity As a Tool For Human Connectomics: Theory, Properties, and Optimization’. In: *Journal of Neurophysiology* 103.1, pp. 297–321. DOI: [10.1152/jn.00783.2009](https://doi.org/10.1152/jn.00783.2009).
- Waxman, Elisa A. and Benoit I. Giasson (May 1, 2008). ‘Specificity and Regulation of Casein Kinase-Mediated Phosphorylation of α -Synuclein’. In: *Journal of Neuropathology & Experimental Neurology* 67.5, pp. 402–416. DOI: [10.1097/NEN.0b013e3186fc995](https://doi.org/10.1097/NEN.0b013e3186fc995).

Supplementary materials for: The connectome is necessary but not sufficient for the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in rats

Miguel A.P. Oliveira^{1†}, Sylvain Arreckx^{1†}, Donato Di Monte²,

German A. Preciat^{1,3}, Ayse Ulusoy^{2,*}, Ronan M.T. Fleming^{1,3,*}

1. Luxembourg Centre for Systems Biomedicine, University of Luxembourg, 6 Avenue du Swing, Belvaux, L-4362, Luxembourg.

2. German Center for Neurodegenerative Diseases, Sigmund-Freud-Strasse 27, D-53127, Bonn, Germany.

3. Analytical Biosciences, Division of Systems Biomedicine and Pharmacology, Leiden Academic Centre for Drug Research, Leiden University, Leiden, The Netherlands.

1 Supplementary Methods

1.1 Allen Brain Atlas connectivity data

In the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas, a *structure* is a neuroanatomical region of interest. Structures are hierarchically organised into an ontology. The corresponding StructureGraph starts with a Root structure, representing the whole-brain. Every other structure is a daughter via a PartOf relationship. Descending the hierarchy, one traverses from lower to higher anatomical resolution, terminating with a *leaf structure*, which is a daughter that is not a parent, and therefore the highest level of structural resolution in the atlas. Each structure is also assigned a consistent colour, which we also adhere to in our visualisation results.

The Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity is a mesoscale mouse connectome (Oh et al. 2014), generated by integration of the data resulting from many separate connectivity experiments. In each connectivity experiment a single stereotaxic injection of an anterograde tracer, recombinant adeno-associated virus expressing a fluorescent protein under the control of a neuron specific Synapsin 1 promotor (rAAV-GFP), is aimed into a single cerebral structure. Serial two-photon tomography is used to acquire fluorescent images and a sophisticated image processing and analysis pipeline is subsequently used to produce anatomically specified neuronal projections, consisting of fluorescent voxels, registered to common coordinates provided by the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas.

Often the neuronal projections from a single connectivity experiment emanate from more than one *source structure*. Even though the injection is aimed toward a single structure, a number of cell bodies in the neighbouring structures may be transduced by rAAV-GFP and emanate fluorescent neuronal projections, due to the diffusion of the viral vector around the point of injection (Figure 2a). As a result, a connectivity experiment may also contain projections that originate from more than one source structure.

Each connectivity experiment consists of information about the strain and gender of the mouse (Figure 1a), about all source structures and about each neuronal projection (Figure 1c). Each efferent neuronal projection is specified by a single source structure, a set of voxel coordinates along the projection, and a single *target structure*, where the projection ends. Each connectivity experiment is identified by a seven-digit number, with prefix ABAID, available as lightweight data-interchangeable file JSON file (JavaScript Object Notation). Our capture and preprocessing of connectivity data was implemented in Python, leveraging the Allen Software Development Kit (Allen SDK) available from <http://alleninstitute.github.io/AllenSDK/> and the Mouse Connectivity Application Programming Interface, available from <http://help.brain-map.org/display/mouseconnectivity/API>.

1.2 Selection of connectivity data

Each connectivity experiment fluorescently labels a three dimensional *source focus* in the brain, the volume of which reflects the amount of fluorescence above a threshold (Oh et al. 2014), as well as a variable amount of neuronal projections emanating from this focus. A focus may overlap with multiple brain structures, that are identified with the highest level of anatomical resolution feasible for that experiment. The *primary* source structure is defined as the structure with the majority of voxels in the source focus. To account for AAV transduction outside the primary source, for each experiment, we also include just two *secondary* source structures with the second and third greatest percentage of voxels in the source focus (Figure 1b and 1d). Importantly, all focus structures were required to belong to different *anatomical branches* and to not have *root* as parent and secondary structures were required to have least 1% of the volume of the corresponding primary source structure (Figure 1b). The primary and the two secondary source structures, as defined in the Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity data, are used to reconstruct the mouse connectome (Figure 1f and 1j).

The aforementioned selection of experiments did not include connectivity experiments that

were excluded after quality control of the projections contained within it (Figure 1h). For example, if the distance between the first two coordinates was more than $3000\mu m$, that projection was considered spurious and excluded from further analyses. Each connectivity experiment can be summarised as a set of directed links between unique pairs of source and target structures. A total of 10 experiments were excluded from further analyses because the removal of spurious projections reduced the number of quality controlled projections to zero.

The Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity is an amalgamation of more than 2,900 separate connectivity experiments. However, we selected a subset of 207 experiments to reconstruct a composite mesoscale mouse connectome to use for simulation of spread within the whole-brain. This selection corresponded to the largest number of cerebral source structures (439 focus structures) for the same mouse strain (C57BL/6J), from the same sex (male) and containing source structures that were initiation structures in the rat spreading model (Supplementary Figure 7).

To assess the quality of the selected experiments, several topological and geometrical properties of the connectivity data from the 207 selected experiments were assessed. Specifically, the number of neuronal projections and number of unique structure pairs in each experiment, as well as the number of neuronal projections and the lengths of neuronal projections.

2 Rat model of caudo-rostral *alpha*-synuclein spreading

2.1 Viral Vectors

Recombinant adeno-associated virus (serotype 2 genome and serotype 6 capsid, rAAV) was used for transgene expression of human wild-type *alpha*-synuclein (Sirion Biotech, Martinsried, Germany). Human *alpha*-synuclein sequence was placed downstream to human Synapsin 1 promoter. Gene expression was enhanced by placing a woodchuck hepatitis virus post-transcriptional regulatory element and a polyadenylation signal sequence downstream to the gene sequence (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013).

2.2 Animals and surgical procedure

Four young adult female Sprague Dawley rats weighing 200–250 g were obtained from Charles River (Kisslegg, Germany). They were housed under a 12-h light/12-h dark cycle with free access to food and water. Experimental design and procedures were approved by the ethical

committee of the State Agency for Nature, Environment and Consumer Protection in North Rhine Westphalia. 2 μ L of (5×10^{12} genome copies/mL) vector solution was injected into the left vagus nerve at the level of the neck, distal to the nodose ganglion, as described earlier (Ulusoy, Musgrove, et al. 2015; Rusconi et al. 2018).

2.3 Tissue preparation

Animals were killed under pentobarbital anaesthesia 12 weeks after the virus injection and perfused through the ascending aorta with saline, followed by ice-cold 4% (w/v) paraformaldehyde. Brains were immersion-fixed in 4% paraformaldehyde (for 24 h) and transferred into 25% (w/v) sucrose solution for cryopreservation. 40 μ m sections were cut on a freezing microtome in the coronal plane.

2.4 Histology

For histological analysis, free-floating coronal sections were stained against human *alpha*-synuclein as described earlier (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013). Briefly, brains were treated with mouse anti-human-*alpha*-synuclein clone syn211 (36–008, Merck Millipore; 1:10,000 dilution) overnight at room temperature followed by 1 hour incubation in biotinylated secondary antibody solution (horse anti-mouse BA2001; Vector Laboratories, Burlingame, CA, USA). Following treatment with avidin-biotin-peroxidase (ABC Elite kit, Vector Laboratories), sections were developed using 3,3'-diaminobenzidine kit (Vector Laboratories).

Examination of stained sections were carried out with an Axioscope microscope using a 40x Plan-Apo oil objective (Zeiss). The 829 leaf structures listed in the Allen Mouse Brain Atlas were simplified into 139 rat brain structures, that were resolved by epifluorescent microscopy of brain sections, by merging subnuclei that were not unambiguously separable into single nuclei. For example, the seven subnuclei of the parabrachial nucleus (i.e. lateral division dorsal lateral part, lateral division central lateral part, lateral division external lateral part, lateral division ventral lateral part, medial division medial medial part, medial division external medial part and lateral division superior lateral part) were merged and analysed as a single structure, namely parabrachial nucleus. Similarly, for example, the central amygdalar nucleus was analysed as a single structure rather than medial, lateral and capsular subnuclei. However, any subnuclei that were unambiguously visually separable were kept as separate structures, for example the substantia nigra pars compacta and pars reticulata.

The presence of human *alpha*-synuclein containing neurites was investigated in every-sixth section throughout the entire brain in the 139 aforementioned microscopically resolved rat brain structures (Paxinos et al. 2007). Human *alpha*-synuclein containing neurites appeared as antibody labelled fine threads, often containing enlarged bead-like varicosities (Ulusoy, Rusconi, et al. 2013). The number of human *alpha*-synuclein immunoreactive neurites were counted for each brain structure at the section corresponding to the Bregma coordinate where the structure is at its largest size (Paxinos et al. 2007). The average number of human *alpha*-synuclein-immunoreactive neurites were used to define a severity score according to the following parameters: - (absent): 0 neurites; rare: <2 neurites; + (minimal): 2-5 neurites; ++ (mild): 6-10 neurites; +++ (moderate): 10-20 neurites and ++++ (severe): >20 neurites. This semi-quantitative data (Figure 1l) were compared with quantitatively predictions of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation at each brain structure independently (Figure 1m).

2.5 Computer code

Custom computer code accompanies this manuscript allowing one to completely reproduce every step of the pipeline, from data collection to visualisation, including scripts for accessing the Allen API, preprocessing the data and visualisation. (Comment to reviewers and editors: Upon request, this code will be made available to editors and referees, via a version controlled repository.)

3 Supplementary Results

3.1 Analysis of Allen Brain Atlas connectivity experiments

The Allen Mouse Brain Connectivity Atlas is an amalgamation of more than 2,900 separate connectivity experiments. However, we selected a subset of 207 of these experiments to reconstruct a composite mesoscale mouse connectome to use for simulation of spread within the whole-brain. This selection corresponded to the largest number of cerebral source structures (307 primary structures out of 439 focus structures) for the same mouse strain (C57BL/6J), from the same sex (male) and containing source structures that were initiation structures (DMX, AMB) of the spread of *alpha*-synuclein pathology in the rat model (Supplementary Figure 7).

Figure 7: Cerebral anatomical coverage as a function of strain and gender.

The number of primary brain structures (ordinate) for each mouse strain and gender (M/F), where at least one experiment included the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) as the primary or a secondary structure, subject to three different cut-offs for the minimum acceptable percentage of voxels in the fluorescently labelled source focus of an experiment that defines a secondary structure. Secondary structures were required to have least 1% of the volume of the corresponding primary source structure. The largest number of brain structures injected for the same mouse strain and sex was for the male rat with strain C57BL/6J.

The selected set of 207 connectivity experiments contains 307 primary injected structures and 132 secondary injected structures which correspond to almost all (829/836) leaf structures in the whole mouse brain. After quality control for spurious projections, an average connectivity experiment contained 21,338 individual neuronal projections, but in average it represents connections between only 1,277 unique pairs of brain structures (Supplementary Figure 8c). Most of the experiments have around $10^4 - 10^5$ neuronal projections that correspond to 10^3 unique structure pairs (Supplementary Figure 8a-b). On average, a neuronal projection within the selected experiments (after quality control for spurious projections) have $10^3 \mu m$ of length (Supplementary Figure 8d). This analysis also ensured that no experiment, with primarily short

neuronal projections, was included. Such experiments were eliminated from consideration as they were interpreted as non-specific fluorescent labelling.

Figure 8: Projection property distributions.

The number of neuronal projections (a) and the number of unique structure pairs (b) in each of the 207 selected experiments. Very few experiments have a low number of neuronal projections. The number of neuronal projections (c) and the lengths (μm) of neuronal projections (d) in all 207 experiments. Most pairs of connected structures are connected by less than 100 projections. Most neuronal projections are between 500-5000 μm in length.

3.2 Topological analysis of the whole-brain and first-order connectomes

Supplementary Figure 9 provides a visual perspective on the topological properties of the whole-brain and first-order connectomes, while Supplementary Figure 10 places these topological properties in their anatomical context.

The first-order connectome is a small subset of the whole-brain connectome that contains only brain structures that are directly connected to the initiation structures (DMX and AMB). The direction of each model projection is set by convention to follow an anterograde neuronal projection direction, from a somal source to a neurite terminating in a target structure. The *in-degree* of a structure is the number of other structures that send a model projection to it. The *outdegree* of a structure is the number of other structures that it sends a model projection to. Neither the indegree or outdegree are not weighted by the number of similar neuronal projections that correspond to one model projection. Within the brain, there is a widely varying degree of connectivity for any given structure, but most brainstem structures are connected to a large number of other structures. Furthermore, even if a brain structure in the whole-brain connectome, or first-order connectome, is highly connected, there can be a large imbalance between its indegree and outdegree. For example, the DMX mainly projects neurons into the vagus, but the vagal terminations are outside the brain, so its outdegree in the whole-brain connectome is low. However, the DMX receives a lot of projections from other parts of the brain, so its indegree is high.

Figure 9: Planar representations of the whole-brain and first-order composite mesoscale mouse connectomes.

The first-order connectome considers the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus (DMX) and nucleus ambiguus (AMB) as initiation structures, all other structures are directly connected to these two initiation structures by one model projection. Each node is a brain structure and each directed edge an anterograde model projection. Each brain structure is colour coded according to the number of source brain structures for which it is a target (indegree). (a, b) Force directed layout of the indegrees of the whole-brain (a) and first-order (b) mesoscale connectome. Attractive forces between highly connected nodes and repulsive forces between poorly connected nodes. Many brainstem structures are highly connected (e.g. NTS, DMX), therefore, selection of the brainstem initiation structure has a large effect on the possible set of first-order structures. (c, d) Directed hierarchical layout of the indegrees of the whole-brain (c) and first-order (d) mesoscale connectome. In the whole-brain, no clear hierarchy is evident. In the first-order connectome, most non-initiation structures are connected to both initiation structures.

Figure 10: Indegree of microscopically resolved rodent structures.

Lateral projection of mouse brain structures (disks) onto a mid-sagittal cerebral section. Disks are colour coded by indegree in the whole-brain connectome, however only those mouse structures that correspond to a microscopically resolved structure in the rat brain spreading experiments are displayed. The high indegree of most rodent brainstem structures is evident.

3.3 Evolution of simulated spread

Figure 11: Evolution of diffusive simulated spread.

Discretisation of projections and naming conventions for the state variables in a toy network. Path vertices are labelled P_i and brain structure vertices are labelled as B_i . (a) At time step k_0 , brain structure B_1 is initiating the diffusive spread (red) on a small network (blue). (b. and c.) Evolution of the diffusive spread (red) between time steps k_0 and k_6 . In (b), note that P_5 and P_7 become positive for exogenous *alpha*-synuclein at time-step $k = 4$ since both are a total of 4 edges in distance away from B_1 .

Figure 12: Evolution of simulated spread within the whole-brain mouse connectome.

In this simulation, the spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein was initiated within the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. In the 3D view (left), the connectome is illustrated via a set of paths (black) each of which is one model projection. Virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation in both path vertices, at either end of a path edge, is illustrated by highlighting the corresponding path edge in red. Hence, the spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein with respect to time is represented with a dynamically spreading red colour along the path, from dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus throughout the connectome along a sequence of time-steps (k). In the 2D mouse coronal brain slice view (right), each black disc represents virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation within a model projection path, or within a specific leaf brain structure, that intersects the coronal slice at that position. The diameter of the disc is proportional to the amount of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation at that time step. Colours in the simulated midbrain slice (ABA slice 300) are in accordance with the Allen Mouse Brain Reference Atlas. Note that, to simplify the visualisation of spread in 3D, only the projections in the first-order connectome plus the additional projections from connectivity experiments that sent a projection to either the DMX or AMB are shown. However, the corresponding 2D coronal slices show the spread of virtual *alpha*-synuclein along the whole-brain mouse connectome.

3.4 Detailed comparison between first-order mouse simulation and *alpha*-synuclein spreading in rats

Table 1: A comparison of *alpha*-synuclein spreading within a rat model (12 weeks after injection of *alpha*-synuclein expressing adeno-associated virus into the left vagus), and the first occurrence of virtual *alpha*-synuclein accumulation in a simulation of spread within the first-order composite mouse mesoscale connectome (with respect to DMX and AMB as initiation structures). The mouse simulation time-step is the simulation time where the simulated spread first reaches the corresponding brain structure. The single *Network spread* column is the result of a simulation that assumes a structure to be *alpha*-synuclein positive if virtual *alpha*-synuclein reached it via a source or target structure, ignoring the intersection of model projections with intermediate brain structures. In contrast, the two *coronal slice* columns are the results of simulations that assume a brain structure is *alpha*-synuclein-positive if virtual *alpha*-synuclein reaches it via a source, target, or intermediate structure along a model projection, only for those structures that are present the respective coronal slices.

Brain structure		Parent brain structure		Severity score of <i>alpha</i> -synuclein spreading in the rat model		Mouse simulation time-step (k)		
ABA ID	Acronym	ABA ID	Acronym	Annotation	Group	Network spread	Caudal mid-brain coronal slice (318)	Rostral mid-brain coronal slice (386)
143	AMBv	135	AMB	Parent	primary	1	-	-
939	AMBd	135	AMB	Parent	primary	1	-	-
839	DMX	839	DMX	Structure	primary	1	-	-
773	XII	773	XII	Structure	rare	7	-	-
666	NTSco	651	NTS	Parent	severe	7	-	-
1039	GR	720	DCN	Parent	absent	8	-	-
852	PARN	852	PARN	Structure	moderate	9	-	-
682	NTSI	651	NTS	Parent	severe	9	-	-

691	NTSm	651	NTS	Parent	severe	9	-	-
674	NTSge	651	NTS	Parent	severe	9	-	-
659	NTSce	651	NTS	Parent	severe	9	-	-
222	RO	222	RO	Structure	absent	10	-	-
978	PGRNI	938	PGRN	Parent	minimal	11	-	-
77	SPVOcdm	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	11	-	-
53	SPVomdm	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	11	-	-
955	LRNm	235	LRN	Parent	absent	11	-	-
83	IO	83	IO	Structure	rare	11	-	-
621	V	621	V	Structure	absent	11	-	-
61	SPVomdmv	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	11	-	-
69	SPVOvl	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	11	-	-
45	SPVOrd	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	11	-	-
765	x	765	x	Structure	absent	12	-	-
429	SPVC	429	SPVC	Structure	moderate	12	-	-
225	SPIV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	12	-	-
230	RPA	230	RPA	Structure	absent	12	-	-
1107	MDRNv	395	MDRN	Parent	absent	12	-	-
217	SUV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	12	-	-
970	PGRNd	938	PGRN	Parent	minimal	13	-	-
169	PRP	154	PHY	Parent	absent	13	-	-
1098	MDRNd	395	MDRN	Parent	absent	13	-	-
437	SPVI	437	SPVI	Structure	absent	13	-	-
859	PAS	859	PAS	Structure	absent	14	-	-
7	PSV	7	PSV	Structure	moderate	14	-	-
1048	GRN	1048	GRN	Structure	minimal	14	-	-
1093	PRNc	1093	PRNc	Structure	minimal	14	-	-
711	CU	720	DCN	Parent	absent	14	-	-
146	PRNr	146	PRNr	Structure	mild	14	-	-

10721	CUL4, 5pu	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14	-	-
10722	CUL4, 5mo	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14	-	-
122	POR	398	SOC	Parent	absent	14	-	-
123	KF	867	PB	Parent	severe	14	-	-
10720	CUL4, 5gr	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14	-	-
114	SOC1	398	SOC	Parent	absent	14	-	-
903	ECU	903	ECU	Structure	absent	15	-	-
99	NLLv	612	NLL	Parent	absent	15	-	-
90	NLLh	612	NLL	Parent	absent	15	-	-
177	NR	154	PHY	Parent	absent	15	-	-
661	VII	661	VII	Structure	absent	15	-	-
534	SUT	534	SUT	Structure	absent	15	-	-
811	ICc	4	IC	Parent	mild	15	-	-
82	NLLd	612	NLL	Parent	absent	15	-	-
576	ACVII	576	ACVII	Structure	absent	15	-	-
574	TRN	574	TRN	Structure	absent	15	-	21
899	PBme	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
868	PBI d	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
860	PBI c	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
883	PBI s	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
875	PBI e	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
891	PBI v	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
898	PCG	898	PCG	Structure	mild	16	-	-
307	MARN	307	MARN	Structure	absent	16	-	-
946	PH	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	16	19	-
136	IRN	136	IRN	Structure	minimal	16	-	-
203	LIN	203	LIN	Structure	absent	16	-	-
202	MV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	16	-	-
206	RM	206	RM	Structure	absent	16	-	-

923	PBmv	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
350	SLC	350	SLC	Structure	moderate	16	-	-
358	SLD	358	SLD	Structure	absent	16	-	-
989	FN	989	FN	Structure	absent	16	-	-
915	PBmm	867	PB	Parent	severe	16	-	-
725	VPLpc	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	16	-	-
106	ISN	106	ISN	Structure	absent	17	-	-
555	MRNp	128	MRN	Parent	mild	17	-	-
548	MRNm	128	MRN	Parent	mild	17	-	-
412	ORBI2/3	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17	-	-
539	MRNm	128	MRN	Parent	mild	17	-	-
1125	ORBv15	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17	-	-
10697	POST2	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	17	-	-
10696	POST1	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	17	-	-
931	PG	931	PG	Structure	absent	17	-	-
84	PL6a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17	-	-
10698	POST3	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	17	-	-
630	ORBI5	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17	-	-
214	RN	214	RN	Structure	absent	17	23	-
608	ORBv16a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17	-	-
10703	DG-mo	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	18	22	-
685	VM	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	18	-	-
364	PSTN	290	LZ	Parent	mild	18	-	-
804	FF	290	LZ	Parent	mild	18	-	-
642	NTB	642	NTB	Structure	absent	18	-	35
796	A13	290	LZ	Parent	mild	18	-	-
470	STN	290	LZ	Parent	mild	18	-	-
274	RSPd6a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	19	-	-
559	CEAm	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-

749	VTA	749	VTA	Structure	absent	19	-	-
1052	PPN	1052	PPN	Structure	mild	19	-	-
616	CUN	616	CUN	Structure	absent	19	-	22
684	DMHv	141	PVR	Parent	mild	19	-	-
246	RR	246	RR	Structure	minimal	19	-	-
411	MEAad	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
418	MEAav	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
366	SMT	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	19	-	-
162	LDT	162	LDT	Structure	absent	19	-	-
1077	PR	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	19	-	-
676	DMHp	141	PVR	Parent	mild	19	-	-
668	DMHa	141	PVR	Parent	mild	19	-	-
435	MEApv	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
147	LC	147	LC	Structure	minimal	19	-	-
460	MEV	460	MEV	Structure	absent	19	-	22
280	B	280	B	Structure	absent	19	-	-
487	MEApd-c	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
480	MEApd-b	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
472	MEApd-a	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	19	-	-
342	SI	835	PALv	Parent	mild	20	-	-
100	IPN	165	RAmb	Parent	minimal	20	-	-
591	CLI	165	RAmb	Parent	minimal	20	-	-
10710	CENT2mo	920	CENT	Parent	absent	20	-	-
381	SNr	381	SNr	Structure	absent	20	-	-
872	DR	165	RAmb	Parent	minimal	20	-	19
50	PRC	795	PAG	Parent	moderate	20	-	-
828	ICe	4	IC	Parent	mild	20	-	-
820	ICd	4	IC	Parent	mild	20	-	-
587	ND	795	PAG	Parent	moderate	20	-	-

12	IF	165	RAmb	Parent	minimal	20	-	-
67	INC	795	PAG	Parent	moderate	20	-	-
115	IV	115	IV	Structure	absent	20	-	-
194	LHA	290	LZ	Parent	mild	21	-	-
10713	CENT3mo	920	CENT	Parent	absent	21	-	-
258	LSr	275	LSX	Parent	absent	21	-	-
763	OV	141	PVR	Parent	mild	21	-	-
422	SPFp	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	21	35	-
945	SSp-ul6a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	21	-	-
580	NB	580	NB	Structure	absent	21	-	-
625	SSp-ul5	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	21	-	-
327	BMAa	319	BMA	Parent	absent	21	21	-
1105	IA	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	21	-	-
356	PST	290	LZ	Parent	mild	21	-	-
1110	SUMl	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	21	35	-
266	LSv	275	LSX	Parent	absent	21	-	-
1118	SUMm	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	21	-	-
182305705	SSp-un5	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	21	-	-
347	SBPV	141	PVR	Parent	mild	22	-	-
199	AONpv	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
191	AONm	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
907	PCN	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
617	MDc	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
26	SCdg	294	SCm	Parent	rare	22	-	-
175	AONe	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
975	EW	975	EW	Structure	absent	22	-	-
186	LH	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
189	RH	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
777	VMHdm	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-

79	PVHmm	157	PVZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
168	AON2	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
167	AONd	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
160	AON1	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
59	IMD	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
56	ACB	493	STRv	Parent	absent	22	-	-
718	VPL	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	22	-	-
599	CM	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
447	PVHf	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
30	PVa	157	PVZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
149	PVT	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
930	PF	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
183	AONI	698	OLF	Parent	absent	22	-	-
704	AIv1	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	22	-	-
87	PVHmpd	157	PVZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
626	MDl	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
455	PVHlp	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
120	AIp1	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	22	-	-
575	CL	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
636	MDm	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
464	PVHmpv	467	MEZ	Parent	mild	22	-	-
215	APN	1100	PRT	Parent	absent	22	19	-
262	RT	856	DORpm	Parent	minimal	22	-	-
604	NI	604	NI	Structure	absent	22	-	-
42	SCdw	294	SCm	Parent	rare	22	-	-
540	PERI1	922	PERI	Structure	absent	-	18	-
1142	TR3	566	TR	Structure	absent	-	19	-
974	SNC	348	MBsta	Structure	absent	-	19	-
486	CA3so	463	CA3	Structure	absent	-	21	-

130	CSm	679	CS	Structure	absent	-	-	21
139/743	ENTl5/ENTm6	918/926	ENTl/ENTm	Structure	absent	-	21	21
1088	MGm	475	MG	Structure	absent	-	21	-
491	MM	331	MBO	Structure	absent	-	22	-
829	SUBd-m	509	SUBd	Structure	absent	-	22	-
729	TEa6a	541	TEa	Structure	absent	-	-	22
33/778	VISp6a/VISp5	385	VISp	Structure	absent	-	35	21
1029	POL	138	LAT	Structure	absent	-	35	-
27	IGL	1100	GENv	Structure	absent	-	35	-
780	PA	703	CTXsp	Structure	absent	-	35	-
954	AUDp6a	1002	AUDp	Structure	absent	-	35	-

3.5 Detailed comparison between whole-brain mouse simulation and synuclein pathology in Parkinson's disease

Table 3: Detailed whole-brain simulated spread profile for the first 22 time-steps, and its correspondence with the annotated alpha-synuclein pathology in Parkinson's disease (severe/ moderate/ mild/ minimal/ absent) (Surmeier et al. 2017; Oliveira et al. 2017), after injection of into the dorsal motor nucleus of the vagus. The mouse simulation time-step is the simulation time where the simulated spread first reaches the corresponding brain structure. A structure as *alpha*-synuclein positive if virtual *alpha*-synuclein reached it via a source or target structure, ignoring the intersection of model projections with intermediate brain structures.

Brain structure		Parent structure		Severity score of alpha-synuclein pathology in Parkinson's disease		Mouse simulation time-step (k)
ABA ID	Acronym	ABA ID	Acronym	Annotation	Group	
839	DMX	839	DMX	Structure	primary	1
773	XII	773	XII	Structure	absent	7
666	NTSco	651	NTS	Parent	minimal	7
1039	GR	720	DCN	Parent	absent	8
852	PARN	852	PARN	Structure	minimal	9
682	NTSI	651	NTS	Parent	minimal	9
691	NTSm	651	NTS	Parent	minimal	9
674	NTSge	651	NTS	Parent	minimal	9
659	NTSce	651	NTS	Parent	minimal	9
222	RO	222	RO	Structure	moderate	10
978	PGRNI	938	PGRN	Parent	absent	11
955	LRNm	235	LRN	Parent	absent	11
83	IO	83	IO	Structure	absent	11
77	SPVOcdm	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	12
53	SPVOfmdm	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	12
429	SPVC	429	SPVC	Structure	absent	12
230	RPA	230	RPA	Structure	minimal	12

61	SPVOmdmv	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	12
69	SPVOvl	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	12
1107	MDRNv	395	MDRN	Parent	absent	12
217	SUV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	12
45	SPVOrdM	445	SPVO	Parent	absent	12
177	NR	154	PHY	Parent	absent	13
970	PGRNd	938	PGRN	Parent	absent	13
318	SG	318	SG	Structure	absent	13
169	PRP	154	PHY	Parent	absent	13
859	PAS	859	PAS	Structure	mild	14
7	PSV	7	PSV	Structure	absent	14
1048	GRN	1048	GRN	Structure	mild	14
437	SPVI	437	SPVI	Structure	absent	14
765	x	765	x	Structure	absent	14
225	SPIV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	14
711	CU	720	DCN	Parent	absent	14
10721	CUL4, 5pu	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14
653	VI	653	VI	Structure	absent	14
10722	CUL4, 5mo	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14
122	POR	398	SOC	Parent	absent	14
123	KF	867	PB	Parent	absent	14
10720	CUL4, 5gr	928	CUL	Parent	absent	14
903	ECU	903	ECU	Structure	absent	15
661	VII	661	VII	Structure	absent	15
811	ICc	4	IC	Parent	absent	15
238	RPO	238	RPO	Structure	absent	15
576	ACVII	576	ACVII	Structure	absent	15
574	TRN	574	TRN	Structure	absent	15

880	DTN	880	DTN	Structure	absent	16
898	PCG	898	PCG	Structure	absent	16
1093	PRNc	1093	PRNc	Structure	absent	16
307	MARN	307	MARN	Structure	moderate	16
146	PRNr	146	PRNr	Structure	absent	16
136	IRN	136	IRN	Structure	moderate	16
621	V	621	V	Structure	absent	16
203	LIN	203	LIN	Structure	absent	16
202	MV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	16
207	AP	207	AP	Structure	absent	16
358	SLD	358	SLD	Structure	absent	16
989	FN	989	FN	Structure	absent	16
114	SOCi	398	SOC	Parent	absent	16
106	ISN	106	ISN	Structure	absent	17
99	NLLv	612	NLL	Parent	minimal	17
90	NLLh	612	NLL	Parent	minimal	17
412	ORB12/3	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17
534	SUT	534	SUT	Structure	absent	17
1125	ORBv15	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17
147	LC	147	LC	Structure	moderate	17
939	AMBd	135	AMB	Parent	absent	17
931	PG	931	PG	Structure	absent	17
82	NLLd	612	NLL	Parent	minimal	17
84	PL6a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17
630	ORB15	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17
604	NI	604	NI	Structure	absent	17
608	ORBv16a	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	17
193	PPYs	1069	PPY	Parent	absent	18
10703	DG-mo	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	18
101	VCO	607	CN	Parent	absent	18
555	MRNp	128	MRN	Parent	absent	18

185	PPYd	1069	PPY	Parent	absent	18
548	MRNm	128	MRN	Parent	absent	18
804	FF	290	LZ	Parent	absent	18
539	MRNm	128	MRN	Parent	absent	18
642	NTB	642	NTB	Structure	absent	18
796	A13	290	LZ	Parent	absent	18
350	SLC	350	SLC	Structure	mild	18
214	RN	214	RN	Structure	absent	18
1052	PPN	1052	PPN	Structure	absent	19
616	CUN	616	CUN	Structure	absent	19
899	PBme	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
246	RR	246	RR	Structure	absent	19
868	PBld	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
860	PBlc	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
883	PBls	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
364	PSTN	290	LZ	Parent	absent	19
162	LDT	162	LDT	Structure	absent	19
875	PBle	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
891	PBlv	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
1098	MDRNd	395	MDRN	Parent	absent	19
143	AMBv	135	AMB	Parent	absent	19
10696	POST1	1089	HPF	Parent	absent	19
206	RM	206	RM	Structure	moderate	19
923	PBmv	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
460	MEV	460	MEV	Structure	absent	19
280	B	280	B	Structure	absent	19
915	PBmm	867	PB	Parent	absent	19
470	STN	290	LZ	Parent	absent	19
342	SI	835	PALv	Parent	absent	20
100	IPN	165	RAmb	Parent	mild	20
105	SOCm	398	SOC	Parent	absent	20

91	IP	91	IP	Structure	absent	20
749	VTA	749	VTA	Structure	minimal	20
685	VM	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	20
591	CLI	165	RAmb	Parent	mild	20
10710	CENT2mo	920	CENT	Parent	absent	20
411	MEAad	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
418	MEAav	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
381	SNr	381	SNr	Structure	absent	20
963	LRNp	235	LRN	Parent	absent	20
872	DR	165	RAmb	Parent	mild	20
50	PRC	795	PAG	Parent	absent	20
435	MEApv	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
828	ICe	4	IC	Parent	absent	20
820	ICd	4	IC	Parent	absent	20
587	ND	795	PAG	Parent	absent	20
12	IF	165	RAmb	Parent	mild	20
209	LAV	701	VNC	Parent	absent	20
10686	COPYmo	1033	COPY	Parent	absent	20
10684	COPYgr	1033	COPY	Parent	absent	20
10685	COPYpu	1033	COPY	Parent	absent	20
67	INC	795	PAG	Parent	absent	20
115	IV	115	IV	Structure	absent	20
846	DN	846	DN	Structure	absent	20
487	MEApd-c	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
480	MEApd-b	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
472	MEApd-a	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	20
194	LHA	290	LZ	Parent	absent	21
10708	CENT2gr	920	CENT	Parent	absent	21
10707	LINGmo	912	LING	Parent	absent	21
96	DCO	607	CN	Parent	absent	21
559	CEAm	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	21

10713	CENT3mo	920	CENT	Parent	absent	21
258	LSr	275	LSX	Parent	absent	21
763	OV	141	PVR	Parent	absent	21
422	SPFp	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	21
137	CSl	679	CS	Parent	absent	21
580	NB	580	NB	Structure	absent	21
327	BMAa	319	BMA	Parent	absent	21
1105	IA	278	sAMY	Parent	moderate	21
356	PST	290	LZ	Parent	absent	21
266	LSv	275	LSX	Parent	absent	21
347	SBPV	141	PVR	Parent	absent	22
10705	LINGgr	912	LING	Parent	absent	22
907	PCN	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
617	MDc	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
26	SCdg	294	SCm	Parent	absent	22
374	SNC	374	SNC	Structure	severe	22
975	EW	975	EW	Structure	absent	22
186	LH	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
189	RH	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
777	VMHdm	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22
79	PVHmm	157	PVZ	Parent	absent	22
676	DMHp	141	PVR	Parent	absent	22
59	IMD	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
10735	NODgr	968	NOD	Parent	absent	22
668	DMHa	141	PVR	Parent	absent	22
718	VPL	864	DORsm	Parent	absent	22
599	CM	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
447	PVHf	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22
30	PVa	157	PVZ	Parent	absent	22
149	PVT	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
130	CSm	679	CS	Parent	absent	22

930	PF	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
704	Alv1	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	22
87	PVHmpd	157	PVZ	Parent	absent	22
626	MDI	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
455	PVHlp	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22
120	Alp1	315	Isocortex	Parent	absent	22
575	CL	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
636	MDm	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
464	PVHmpv	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22
215	APN	1100	PRT	Parent	absent	22
1110	SUMI	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22
262	RT	856	DORpm	Parent	absent	22
10737	NODmo	968	NOD	Parent	absent	22
42	SCdw	294	SCm	Parent	absent	22
1118	SUMm	467	MEZ	Parent	absent	22

Supplementary Discussion

3.6 Protein aggregation and cell-to-cell transmission

Intracellular protein aggregates can be released from neurons by exocytosis or cell death. The aggregates are taken up by, for example, adjacent neuronal cell bodies and are either retained in the cell soma (local spread of pathology) or transported anterogradely by axons. Anterograde transmission along an axon is from the soma to the axonal terminal, and retrograde, the reverse. Alternatively, they are taken up by axon terminals and transported retrogradely to the cell soma. The protein can spread between brain regions by axonal transport. The spread of protein aggregates in the nervous system has been hypothesised to contribute to the advances of clinical symptoms and neuropathological changes in Alzheimer's, Parkinson's and Huntington's diseases. Recent studies have demonstrated cell-to-cell or media-to-cell transfer of aggregates, but have given less insight into the mechanisms by which they are released or taken-up (Brundin et al. 2010).

Potential mechanisms for trans-cellular propagation of protein misfolding (Frost et al. 2010)

are (a) intracellular protein aggregation resulting in release of proteinaceous seeds (aggregates or misfolded proteins), into the extracellular space, which are subsequently taken up and corrupt protein folding in vulnerable cells; (b) as part of the physiology of a living cell, proteinaceous seeds may be released, potentially via exosomes or exocytosis. This results in proteinaceous seeds in the extracellular space that may be taken up by adjacent cells. This mechanism can account for local propagation of misfolding. (c) Proteinaceous seeds might cross synapses. Release could be due to local degeneration of a synapse, normal synaptic physiology, or as part of an exocytotic process as in (b). This mechanism may explain network degeneration in neurodegenerative diseases.

References

- Brundin, Patrik, Ronald Melki, and Ron Kopito (Apr. 2010). ‘Prion-like Transmission of Protein Aggregates in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature reviews. Molecular cell biology* 11.4, pp. 301–307. DOI: [10.1038/nrm2873](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrm2873).
- Frost, Bess and Marc I. Diamond (Mar. 2010). ‘Prion-like Mechanisms in Neurodegenerative Diseases’. In: *Nature reviews. Neuroscience* 11.3, pp. 155–159. DOI: [10.1038/nrn2786](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn2786).
- Oh, Seung Wook et al. (Apr. 10, 2014). ‘A Mesoscale Connectome of the Mouse Brain’. In: *Nature* 508.7495, pp. 207–214. DOI: [10.1038/nature13186](https://doi.org/10.1038/nature13186).
- Oliveira, Miguel A. P., Rudi Balling, Marten P. Smidt, and Ronan M. T. Fleming (June 26, 2017). ‘Embryonic Development of Selectively Vulnerable Neurons in Parkinson’s Disease’. In: *npj Parkinson’s Disease* 3.1, p. 21. DOI: [10.1038/s41531-017-0022-4](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41531-017-0022-4).
- Paxinos, George and Charles Watson (2007). *The Rat Brain in Stereotaxic Coordinates*. 6th ed. Academic Press.
- Rusconi, Raffaella, Ayse Ulusoy, Helia Aboutaleb, and Donato A. Di Monte (Apr. 2018). ‘Long-lasting Pathological Consequences of Overexpression-induced A-synuclein Spreading in the Rat Brain’. In: *Aging Cell* 17.2. DOI: [10.1111/ace1.12727](https://doi.org/10.1111/ace1.12727).
- Surmeier, D. James, José A. Obeso, and Glenda M. Halliday (Jan. 20, 2017). ‘Selective Neuronal Vulnerability in Parkinson Disease’. In: *Nature Reviews Neuroscience* 18.2, pp. 101–113. DOI: [10.1038/nrn.2016.178](https://doi.org/10.1038/nrn.2016.178).

- Ulusoy, Ayse, Ruth E Musgrove, et al. (Mar. 24, 2015). ‘Neuron-to-Neuron α -Synuclein Propagation in Vivo Is Independent of Neuronal Injury’. In: *Acta Neuropathologica Communications* 3. DOI: [10.1186/s40478-015-0198-y](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40478-015-0198-y).
- Ulusoy, Ayse, Raffaella Rusconi, et al. (July 3, 2013). ‘Caudo-rostral Brain Spreading of Alpha-synuclein through Vagal Connections’. In: *EMBO Molecular Medicine* 5.7, pp. 1119–1127. DOI: [10.1002/emmm.201302475](https://doi.org/10.1002/emmm.201302475).