Resistance to aztreonam, in combination with a bicyclic boronate β -lactamase inhibitor in *Escherichia coli* identified following mixed culture selection.

Ching Hei Phoebe Cheung¹, Jacqueline Findlay¹, Kate J. Heesom² & Matthew B. Avison¹

¹School of Cellular & Molecular Medicine, University of Bristol. UK

²Bristol University Proteomics Facility, University of Bristol. UK.

Abstract

Background

Bicyclic boronates are a new and potentially important class of β -lactamase inhibitor, with the ability to inhibit β -lactamases from all molecular classes, including mobile metallo- β -lactamases.

Objective

Our objective was to identify mutants resistant to the actions of the bicyclic boronate inhibitor **2**, when being used in combination with aztreonam.

Methods

Overnight cultures were plated on to agar containing increasing concentrations of aztreonam with a fixed 10 mg/L concentration of the inhibitor. Resistant derivatives and parent strains were analysed by whole genome sequencing and LC-MS/MS proteomics to identify mechanism of resistance.

Results

When using a mixed overnight culture containing one *Escherichia coli* (TEM-1, CTX-M-15, CMY-4 producer) and one *Klebsiella pneumoniae* (SHV-12, CTX-M-15, NDM-1 producer) mobilisation of an IncX3 plasmid carrying *bla*_{SHV-12} from the *K. pneumoniae* into the *E. coli* generated an aztreonam/boronate resistant derivative.

Conclusions

High-level production of three bicyclic boronate susceptible enzymes (CMY-4, CTX-M-15, SHV-12) capable of hydrolysing aztreonam plus TEM-1, which binds the inhibitor, overcomes the fixed inhibitor dose used. This was only identified when

34 using a mixed culture for selection. It would seem prudent that to allow for
35 coalescence of the myriad β -lactamase genes commonly found in bacterial
36 populations colonising humans, this mixed culture approach should be the norm
37 when testing the potential for generating β -lactamase inhibitor resistance in pre-
38 clinical analysis.

Introduction

β -Lactamase enzymes, which are divided into molecular classes A, B, C and D, catalyse hydrolysis of the four-membered ring in β -lactam antimicrobials. This renders the antimicrobial inactive, because the opened ring can no longer act as an inhibitor of peptidoglycan transpeptidases.¹ As β -lactams are the most widely used class of antimicrobial world-wide,² the dissemination of β -lactamases is threatening the efficacy of these drugs and is causing great concern. Indeed, β -lactamase activity is the most common β -lactam resistance mechanism in Gram-negative bacteria.¹ β -Lactamase inhibitors are therefore being used to overcome their effects.³ The β -lactam-based class A β -lactamase inhibitors clavulanic acid and tazobactam are widely administered with penicillin derivatives and have had decades of clinical success. However, there are many β -lactamases that are not affected by these inhibitors, and there is particularly a lack of effective inhibitors for class B, zinc-dependent metallo- β -lactamases, which cause resistance to a wide range of β -lactams including penicillins, cephalosporins and carbapenems.³ Non- β -lactam based β -lactamase inhibitors recently introduced into clinical practice are avibactam and vaborbactam, and these have a wider spectrum of activity than clavulanic acid or tazobactam, but they do not inhibit class B enzymes.³ There have been several studies investigating the use of non- β -lactam boronic acid compounds as inhibitors, as they can form analogues of the transient oxyanionic intermediate species of β -lactamase hydrolysis. Vaborbactam is one of these,³ but Brem et al. have shown that bicyclic boronates are potent inhibitors of both serine- β -lactamases (classes A, C and D) and the most common subclass of metallo- β -lactamases, subclass B1.⁴ Another bicyclic boronate cross-class β -lactamase inhibitor, VNRX-5133 is shortly to be entering phase 3 clinical trials.⁵

We have been attempting to identify mutants resistant to the actions of the bicyclic boronate β -lactamase inhibitor **2** with very limited success. We report here one successful “mutant” selection which occurred following the accidental mixing of two isolates prior to selection. This experience has helped us to (a) understand how bicyclic boronate resistance may occur, and (b) realise that selecting β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor resistant derivatives from mixed cultures should be a routine approach in the future.

Material and Methods

Bacterial isolates and materials

Both parent isolates were from bloodstream infections in humans and were gifts from Prof Tim Walsh, Cardiff University. Growth media were from Oxoid, chemicals were from Sigma unless otherwise stated. The bicyclic boronate inhibitor **2** was synthesized according to the literature protocol ⁴ and kindly provided by Prof. C. Schofield, University of Oxford.

Fluorescent Hoescht (H) 33342 dye accumulation assay

Envelope permeability in living bacteria was tested using a standard dye accumulation assay protocol ⁶ where the dye only fluoresces if it crosses the entire envelope and interacts with DNA. Overnight cultures in LB Broth (LB) at 37°C were used to prepare Mueller-Hinton Broth (MHB) subcultures, which were incubated at 37°C until an optical density at 600 nm (OD₆₀₀) reached 0.6. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (4000 rpm, 10 min) (ALC, PK121R) and resuspended in 500 μ L of PBS. The optical densities of all suspensions were adjusted to 0.1 OD₆₀₀. Aliquots of 180 μ L of cell suspension were transferred to a black flat-bottomed 96-well plate (Greiner Bio-one, Stonehouse, UK). Eight technical replicates, for each strain tested,

were in each column of the plate. The plate was transferred to a POLARstar spectrophotometer (BMG Labtech) and incubated at 37°C. Hoescht dye (H33342, 250 µM in water) was added to bacterial suspension of the plate using the plate-reader's auto-injector to give a final concentration of 25 µM per well. Excitation and emission filters were set at 355 nm and 460 nm respectively. Readings were taken in intervals (cycles) separated by 150 s. 31 cycles were run in total. A gain multiplier of 1460 was used. Results were expressed as absolute values of fluorescence versus time.

Proteomics

500 µL of an overnight LB culture were transferred to 50 mL MHB and cells were grown at 37°C to 0.6 OD₆₀₀. Cells were pelleted by centrifugation (10 min, 4,000×g, 4°C) and resuspended in 30 mL of 30 mM Tris-HCl, pH 8 and broken by sonication using a cycle of 1 s on, 1 s off for 3 min at amplitude of 63% using a Sonics Vibracell VC-505TM (Sonics and Materials Inc., Newton, Connecticut, USA). The sonicated samples were centrifuged at 8,000 rpm (Sorval RC5B PLUS using an SS-34 rotor) for 15 min at 4°C to pellet intact cells and large cell debris. Protein in the supernatant was concentrated using an Amikon Ultra-15 centrifugal filter with an ultracel-3 membrane (Merck) by centrifugation at 4000 rpm until the supernatant volume reduced to approximately 1 mL. Protein concentrations in all samples were quantified using Biorad Protein Assay Dye Reagent Concentrate according to the manufacturer's instructions. Proteins (1 µg/lane) were separated by SDS-PAGE using 11% acrylamide, 0.5% bis-acrylamide (Biorad) gels and a Biorad Min-Protein Tetracell chamber model 3000X1. Gels were resolved at 200 V until the dye front had moved approximately 1 cm into the separating gel. Proteins in all gels were stained with Instant Blue (Expedeon) for 20 min and de-stained in water. LC-MS/MS

data was collected as previously described.⁷ The raw data files were processed and quantified using Proteome Discoverer software v1.4 (Thermo Scientific) and searched against bacterial genome and horizontally acquired resistance genes as described previously.⁸

Whole genome sequencing and data analysis

Genomes were sequenced by MicrobesNG (<https://microbesng.uk/>) on a HiSeq 2500 instrument (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) using 2x250 bp paired end reads. Reads were trimmed using Trimmomatic⁹, assembled into contigs using SPAdes 3.13.0 (<http://cab.spbu.ru/software/spades/>)¹⁰ and contigs were annotated using Prokka.¹¹ Plasmid replicon types, resistance genes and sequence types were determined using the PlasmidFinder,¹² ResFinder,¹³ and MLST 2.0¹⁴ using the Center for Genomic Epidemiology (<http://www.genomicepidemiology.org/>) platform.

Results and Discussion

A mixture of two human clinical isolates – one *E. coli* ST101 and one *K. pneumoniae* ST265 - was mistakenly inoculated into the same bottle containing Muller Hinton Broth and grown overnight without any antibiotic selection. The two isolates had previously been characterised separately using whole genome sequencing. **Table 1** lists the resistance gene and plasmid replicon carriage status of the isolates. One hundred microlitres of the mixed overnight culture was plated onto Muller Hinton agar containing aztreonam at increasing concentrations plus the bicyclic boronate **2** at a fixed concentration of 10 mg/L, as used previously.⁴ Unexpectedly, profuse growth was seen on all plates up to 16 mg/L aztreonam, which is defined as resistant by CLSI. Multiple colonies were picked onto Tryptone Bile Glucuronic Agar and all

were confirmed to be *E. coli*. One aztreonam/inhibitor resistant derivative was selected as representative.

Envelope permeability assays showed that the parent *K. pneumoniae* used to make the mixed culture was less permeable than the parent *E. coli* but the resistant *E. coli* derivative behaved similarly to the parent *E. coli* (**Figure 1**). This was expected because proteomic analysis of key porin and efflux pump protein abundance showed the parent and resistant *E. coli* derivative were not significantly different (**Table 1**).

Whole genome sequencing revealed that the complement of β -lactamases and plasmid replicon types in the resistant *E. coli* derivative had increased compared with the parent; an SHV-12 encoding IncX3 plasmid had clearly moved from the *K. pneumoniae* parent isolate into the *E. coli* parent isolate during co-culture. This plasmid does not carry any other resistance genes not already present in the *E. coli* parent isolate (**Table 1**). Proteomics of the *E. coli* derivative confirmed that this SHV-12 was expressed at high levels, and that the abundance of the other β -lactamases carried by the *E. coli* parent isolate had not significantly changed in this derivative (**Table 1**). Notably, whilst IncX3 plasmids have previously been seen to carry *bla*_{SHV-12} and *bla*_{NDM},¹⁵ the *bla*_{NDM} gene located in our *K. pneumoniae* parent isolate did not co-transfer with *bla*_{SHV-12} into the *E. coli* parent isolate (**Table 1**) and it has been reported previously that *bla*_{SHV-12} has been identified on IncX3 plasmids lacking *bla*_{NDM} in *E. coli*.¹⁶

Whilst the *E. coli* parent (and resistant derivative) carry genes for *bla*_{TEM-1} and *bla*_{OXA-2}, only the former was detectably expressed. Whole genome sequencing confirmed that the reasons for this low-level expression are that the integron carrying *bla*_{OXA-2} is chromosomally located (so is single copy), *bla*_{OXA-2} is the third gene cassette in the integron (so is distant from the integron's common promoter); and the integron

promoter is of the weakest known designation.¹⁷ Therefore, we conclude that the presence of three β -lactamases (CMY-4, CTX-M-15 and SHV-12) that all hydrolyse aztreonam ¹ and that represent enzyme classes that are known to bind bicyclic boronate **2** ^{18,19} perhaps with a contribution from the resident TEM-1, which also binds the bicyclic boronate,¹⁸ collectively has overcome utility of the inhibitor both by titration of the inhibitor and increased overall aztreonam hydrolysis. It is important to note, however, that the *ompF* porin gene is disrupted in our *E. coli* isolate as the result of an 8 bp insertion, leading to a frameshift and no detectable OmpF protein product (**Table 1**), and the role of reduced permeability to aztreonam or the inhibitor cannot be ruled out as a contributory factor.

The simple and fortuitous finding reported here has significant implications for the future of research into β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor resistance. It is known that β -lactamase hyperproduction – following gene duplication, promoter mutation, or mutations that stabilise the enzyme – can titrate out certain β -lactamase inhibitors in β -lactam/ β -lactamase inhibitor combinations (e.g. amoxicillin/clavulanate or ceftazidime/avibactam), ^{3,20} but clearly another way of increasing the abundance of β -lactamase activity in a cell is to acquire an additional β -lactamase gene from a neighbouring bacterium, as we have found here. This could never be seen when testing individual isolates for their ability to generate resistant derivatives; either in the lab or using in vivo infection models. However, in the real world, whether during therapeutic use – at the site of infection in some cases, but certainly in the gut, for example – or in the environment if these chemicals are present for some reason, mixed populations of bacteria are found, increasing the potential for resistance to coalesce in one member of the population via horizontal gene transfer from the “ β -lactamase-ome” of the population as a whole. Whilst this phenomenon of combined

mechanisms being necessary for resistance is not unique to β -lactamase inhibitors,⁷ given their fixed concentration usage in MIC testing, it is likely to manifest itself more often due to titration effects. Another advantage of testing these mixed cultures for resistant derivatives is, therefore, that it can inform fixed concentration dosing of the inhibitor in the combination, to reduce the chance of resistance emerging in the clinic by the coalescence of resistance mechanisms found in a bacterial population.

Acknowledgments

Genome sequencing was provided by MicrobesNG (<http://www.microbesng.uk>), which is supported by the BBSRC (grant number BB/L024209/1).

Funding

This work was funded by grant MR/N013646/1 to M.B.A. and K.J.H. and grant NE/N01961X/1 to M.B.A. from the Antimicrobial Resistance Cross Council Initiative supported by the seven research councils.

Transparency Declaration

None to declare – All authors.

References

1. Bush K. Past and Present Perspectives on β -Lactamases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018; 62: pii: e01076-18.
2. World Health Organisation. Report on Surveillance of Antibiotic Consumption. 2018. ISBN 978-92-4-151488-0.

3. Bush K, Bradford PA. Interplay between β -lactamases and new β -lactamase inhibitors *Nat Rev Microbiol* 2019; doi: 10.1038/s41579-019-0159-8. [Epub ahead of print]
4. Brem J, Cain R, Cahill S, et al. Structural basis of metallo- β -lactamase, serine- β -lactamase and penicillin-binding protein inhibition by cyclic boronates. *Nat Commun* 2016; 7: 12406.
5. <https://clinicaltrials.gov/ct2/show/record/NCT03840148> (last accessed 08/04/2019).
6. Coldham NG, Webber M, Woodward MJ et al. A 96-well plate fluorescence assay for assessment of cellular permeability and active efflux in *Salmonella enterica* serovar Typhimurium and *Escherichia coli*. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2010; 65: 1655–63.
7. Wan Nur Ismah WAK, Takebayashi Y, Findlay J et al. Prediction of fluoroquinolone susceptibility directly from whole genome sequence data using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry to identify mutant genotypes. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2018; 62: e01814-17.
8. Takebayashi Y, Wan Nur Ismah WAK, Findlay J et al. Prediction of cephalosporin and carbapenem susceptibility in multi-drug resistant gram-negative bacteria using liquid chromatography-tandem mass spectrometry. *BioRxiv* 2017. <https://doi.org/10.1101/138594>.
9. Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 2114–2120.
10. Bankevich A, Nurk S, Antipov D et al. SPAdes: a new genome assembly algorithm and its applications to single-cell sequencing. *J. Comput. Biol* 2012; 19: 455-77.

11. Seemann T. Prokka: rapid prokaryotic genome annotation. *Bioinformatics* 2014; 30: 2068-9.
12. Carattoli A, Zankari E, Garcia-Fernandez A et al. In silico detection and typing of plasmids using PlasmidFinder and plasmid multilocus sequence typing. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2014; 58: 3895–3903.
13. Zankari E, Hasman H, Cosentino S et al. Identification of acquired antimicrobial resistance genes. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 2012; 67: 2640–2644.
14. Wirth T, Falush D, Lan R et al. Sex and virulence in *Escherichia coli*: an evolutionary perspective. *Mol Microbiol* 2006; 60: 1136-51.
15. Feng Y, Yang P, Xie Y et al. *Escherichia coli* of sequence type 3835 carrying *bla* NDM-1, *bla* CTX-M-15, *bla* CMY-42 and *bla* SHV-12. *Sci Rep* 2015; 5: 12275.
16. Liakopoulos A, van der Goot J, Bossers A et al. Genomic and functional characterisation of IncX3 plasmids encoding *bla*SHV-12 in *Escherichia coli* from human and animal origin. *Sci Rep* 2018; 8: 7674.
17. Jové T, Da Re S, Denis F et al. Inverse correlation between promoter strength and excision activity in class 1 integrons. *PLoS Genet* 2010; 6: e1000793.
18. Cahill ST, Cain R, Wang DY et al. Cyclic Boronates Inhibit All Classes of β -Lactamases. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2017; 61: pii: e02260-16.
19. Cahill ST, Tyrrell JM, Navratilova IH et al. Studies on the inhibition of AmpC and other β -lactamases by cyclic boronates. *Biochim Biophys Acta Gen Subj* 2019; 1863: 742-748.
20. Rodríguez-Baño J, Oteo J, Ortega A et al. Epidemiological and clinical complexity of amoxicillin-clavulanate-resistant *Escherichia coli*. *J Clin Microbiol* 2013; 51: 2414-7.

Figure Legends

Figure 1: The accumulation of H33342 dye over a 30 cycle (4500 s) incubation period by *K. pneumoniae* and *E. coli* isolates. In each case, fluorescence of cells incubated with the dye is presented as an absolute value after each cycle. Each line shows mean data for three biological replicates with 8 technical replicates in each. Error bars define the standard error of the mean.

Tables

Table 1. Genotypic and Phenotypic Properties of *E. coli* and *K. pneumoniae* isolates.

Species and Sequence Type	Resistance gene complement (abundance of protein in proteome. Mean +/- SD normalised to average ribosomal protein, n=3)	Plasmid replicon complement
<i>E. coli</i> ST101 (Parent)	<p><i>aadA2</i>, <i>rmtB</i>, <i>strB</i>, <i>strA</i>, <i>armA</i>, <i>aac(3)-IIa</i>, <i>mph(E)</i>, <i>msr(E)</i>, <i>sul1</i>, <i>dfrA12</i>, <i>dfrA29</i>, <i>catA1</i></p> <p><i>bla</i>_{CTX-M-15} (0.36 +/- 0.07) <i>bla</i>_{TEM-1} (0.70 +/- 0.20) <i>bla</i>_{OXA-2} (Not Detectable) <i>bla</i>_{CMY-4} (0.85 +/- 0.22)</p> <p><i>ompF</i> (Not Detectable) <i>ompC</i> (4.48 +/- 0.78) <i>acrA</i> (0.43 +/- 0.07) <i>acrB</i> (0.14 +/- 0.06) <i>tolC</i> (0.20 +/- 0.11)</p>	<p>IncFII, IncA/C2, IncR IncAC[ST-1], IncF[F2:A-B-]</p>
<i>K. pneumoniae</i> ST625 (Parent)	<p><i>aadA2</i>, <i>aac(6')Ib-cr</i>, <i>aac(3)-IIa</i>, <i>strA</i>, <i>strB</i>, <i>rmtB</i>, <i>fosA</i>, <i>mph(A)</i>, <i>sul2</i>, <i>sul1</i>, <i>dfrA12</i>, <i>aac(6')Ib-cr</i>, <i>qnrB7</i>, <i>qnrS1</i>, <i>tet(G)</i>, <i>catB4</i>, <i>catA2</i></p> <p><i>bla</i>_{SHV-12} <i>bla</i>_{NDM-1} <i>bla</i>_{CTX-M-15} <i>bla</i>_{OKP-A-1} <i>bla</i>_{OXA-1}</p>	<p>IncX3, IncFII(pCRY), IncFIB(K), ColRNAI IncF[K-A-B-]</p>
<i>E. coli</i> ST101 (Aztreonam/ Boronate Resistant derivative)	<p><i>aadA2</i>, <i>rmtB</i>, <i>strB</i>, <i>strA</i>, <i>armA</i>, <i>aac(3)-IIa</i>, <i>mph(E)</i>, <i>msr(E)</i>, <i>sul1</i>, <i>dfrA12</i>, <i>dfrA29</i>, <i>catA1</i></p> <p><i>bla</i>_{SHV-12} (0.30 +/- 0.11) <i>bla</i>_{CTX-M-15} (0.31 +/- 0.07) <i>bla</i>_{TEM-1} (0.66 +/- 0.37) <i>bla</i>_{OXA-2} (Not Detectable) <i>bla</i>_{CMY-4} (0.70 +/- 0.26)</p> <p><i>ompF</i> (Not Detectable) <i>ompC</i> (2.95 +/- 1.63) <i>acrA</i> (0.21 +/- 0.02) <i>acrB</i> (0.11 +/- 0.04) <i>tolC</i> (0.12 +/- 0.08)</p>	<p>IncX3 IncFII, IncA/C2, IncR IncAC[ST-1], IncF[F2:A-B-]</p>

Figure 1