Epigenetic bookmarking of H₂S exposure in *Caenorhabditis elegans*

Emily M. Fawcett^{1,2}, Jenna K. Johnson³, Chris Braden¹, Esteli M. Garcia¹, and Dana L. Miller^{1,2*}

¹University of Washington School of Medicine, Department of Biochemistry and ²Graduate Program in Molecular and Cellular Biology, Seattle, WA, and ³Department of Biology, Luther College, Decorah, IA

*Corresponding author: DLM

phone: 206-685-5025

email: dlm16@uw.edu

short title: hydrogen sulfide-induced epigenetic bookmarking

Abstract

2 Physiological memories of environmental stress can serve to predict future
 environmental changes, allowing the organism to initiate protective mechanisms and
 4 survive. Although physiological memories, or bookmarks, of environmental stress have
 been described in a wide range of organisms, from bacteria to plants to humans, the
 6 mechanism by which these memories persist in the absence of stress is still largely
 unknown. We have discovered that *C. elegans* transiently exposed to low doses of hydrogen
 8 sulfide (H₂S) survive subsequent exposure to otherwise lethal H₂S concentrations and
 induce H₂S-responsive transcripts more robustly than naïve controls. H₂S bookmarking can
 10 occur at any developmental stage and persists through cell divisions and development but
 is erased by fasting. We show that maintenance of the H₂S bookmark requires the SET-2
 12 histone methyltransferase and the CoREST-like demethylase complex. We propose a model
 in which exposure to low doses of H₂S generates a long-lasting, epigenetic memory by
 14 modulating H3K4me2 modifications at specific promoters. Understanding the fundamental
 aspects of H₂S bookmarking in this tractable system can provide mechanistic insight into
 16 how environmental exposures are translated into the epigenetic landscape in animals.

Introduction

One strategy animals use to maximize survival in a changing environment is to anticipate changes based on prior experiences. In some situations, physiological adaptations to environmental changes persist long after the initial stimulus has been removed. These sustained cellular memories of previous life experiences are known as environmental bookmarks (reviewed in (Kinoshita and Seki, 2014). Perhaps the best-studied example is in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*, where cells which have previously been grown in media containing galactose respond to subsequent galactose exposure faster than naïve yeast (Kundu et al., 2007). Similarly, human retinal cells in culture retain markers of high glucose stress long after glucose levels have normalized (Ihnat et al., 2007).

H₂S is common in the environment: in addition to natural sources of H₂S, such as volcanic gasses and anaerobic bacteria in saline marshes, H₂S is produced and emitted from large livestock farms, power plants, oil and natural gas refineries and pipelines, and during the production of glue, plastics, and asphalt (Beauchamp et al., 1984). The effects of long-term exposure to low H₂S are poorly understood, but may contribute to neurological, respiratory, and cardiovascular dysfunction in humans (Kilburn and Warshaw, 1995; Richardson, 1995; Bates et al., 2002). However, exogenous H₂S can also have beneficial effects in mammals, improving outcome in mammalian models of ischemia/reperfusion injury (Bos et al., 2015; Wu et al., 2015; Sen, 2017) and mediating at least some of the beneficial effects of dietary restriction (Hine et al., 2015).

C. elegans is an excellent model to understand the molecular and genetic pathways that

mediate the responses to H₂S and thereby the beneficial and/or detrimental physiological effects of H₂S in animals. Just as exposure to high concentrations of H₂S is lethal in

mammals, *C. elegans* die when exposed to high concentrations of H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2010). However, *C. elegans* grown in low H₂S are long-lived and thermotolerant (Miller and

Roth, 2007) and are better able to maintain proteostasis in hypoxia (Fawcett et al., 2015), suggesting that at least some protective effects of H₂S observed in mammals may be

recapitulated in the *C. elegans* model.

We have discovered a novel environmental bookmark in *C. elegans* formed by exposure

to hydrogen sulfide (H₂S). We show that transient exposure to low H₂S leads to formation of a bookmark, which enables animals to survive subsequent exposure to otherwise lethal

concentrations of H₂S. This bookmark is quite stable, in that it can persist throughout

development and far into adulthood. However, we have found that it is possible to reverse

the bookmark by short periods of fasting. We further demonstrate that animals that have acquired the H₂S bookmark induce the expression of some H₂S-induced genes more

robustly than naïve controls. These data suggest an epigenetic modification at the

promoters of these bookmarked genes. Indeed, we demonstrate that mutations in the

conserved histone H3 lysine 4 (H3K4) methyltransferase SET-2 and the H3K4me2

demethylase CoREST-like complex abrogate the ability to maintain the persistent effects of

H₂S exposure. Our results suggest that exposure to H₂S results in changes in the

methylation status of H3K4 at a subset of H₂S-responsive promoters, which facilitates a

robust transcriptional response that allows animals to survive subsequent H₂S exposure.

Results

Exposure to low H₂S forms an environmental bookmark.

C. elegans grown in low H₂S (50 ppm H₂S in otherwise normal room air) have increased lifespan, increased thermotolerance, and improved maintenance of proteostasis (Miller and Roth, 2007; Fawcett et al., 2015). These animals raised in low H₂S are also acclimatized, as they can survive when transferred to high H₂S (concentrations higher than 150 ppm), a dose that is lethal to naïve controls (Budde and Roth, 2010). We repeated these experiments, confirming that animals grown in low H₂S survived when transferred to high sulfide (Fig 1A). Although continuous exposure throughout development is required for H₂S-induced thermotolerance and lifespan extension, shorter exposure to H₂S is sufficient to protect against hypoxia-induced disruption of proteostasis (Miller and Roth, 2007; Fawcett et al., 2015). We therefore asked if shorter exposure to low H₂S was sufficient to induce acclimatization. We exposed fourth-stage larvae (L4) *C. elegans* to low H₂S for only 6 h and then immediately challenged animals with exposure to high H₂S (150 ppm H₂S in room air) overnight. Although all naïve animals exposed to high H₂S died, 100% of animals that had a short acclimation to low H₂S survived the subsequent exposure to high H₂S (Fig 1A). This suggests that the physiological changes that occur in low H₂S are relatively rapid and acclimatization does not require continuous growth in low H₂S.

We next asked if animals that were acclimated to low H₂S could form a persistent, physiological memory of the exposure to H₂S. We exposed first-stage larvae (L1) to low H₂S for 6h, then returned the animals to room air. When the animals reached L4 we challenged them with exposure to high H₂S overnight. We found that all of the animals survived this

treatment (Fig 1A). Thus, the effects of exposure to low H₂S do not require direct transfer
 84 into high H₂S, but instead can be maintained even in the absence of continued exposure to
 H₂S. This suggests that there is a process that occurs in addition to acclimatization, which
 86 can be achieved simply by activation of HIF-1 transcriptional activity. We refer to this long-
 lasting physiological memory of H₂S exposure as H₂S bookmarking to distinguish it from
 88 acclimation.

The exposure to low H₂S required to generate the H₂S bookmark is time- and dose-
 90 dependent. We initially chose 50 ppm H₂S for the low H₂S exposure based on prior work
 demonstrating that this concentration of H₂S causes clear physiological effects, such as
 92 increased lifespan and resistance to the hypoxia-induced disturbance of proteostasis
 (Miller and Roth, 2007, #51252; Fawcett et al., 2015). However, we found that animals
 94 exposed to only 20 ppm H₂S developed the H₂S bookmark and survived subsequent
 exposure to high H₂S, though a longer exposure to the low H₂S environment was required
 96 than at 50 ppm H₂S (Fig 1B). Conversely, the bookmark was formed more rapidly at higher
 concentration of H₂S, as even a 1h exposure to 150 ppm H₂S was sufficient for survival of
 98 subsequent exposure. These data indicate that the bookmarking process can be quite rapid,
 but that the formation of the bookmark requires a threshold H₂S exposure.

100 Stabilization of the HIF-1 transcription factor has been proposed to underlie the
 acclimatization to H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2010). HIF-1 accumulates in animals exposed to
 102 H₂S, and constitutive activation of HIF-1, from mutation of either *egl-9* or *vhl-1*, is sufficient
 for *C. elegans* to survive exposure to high H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2010). We could not test
 104 directly whether *hif-1* is required for acclimatization or bookmarking, because *hif-1* mutant

animals die when exposed to low H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2010). However, we reasoned that if activation of HIF-1 was sufficient for acclimatization then animals exposed to low O₂ (hypoxia) would also survive if transferred to high H₂S. Consistent with this hypothesis, we observed increased survival of animals exposed to high sulfide when transferred directly from 5000 ppm O₂, a condition where HIF-1 is activated (Fig 1C; Jiang et al., 2001, #24680). This result supports the hypothesis that activation of HIF-1 is sufficient for acclimation. However, the penetrance of survival was never as high as when animals were transferred from low H₂S. This difference in penetrance could reflect the fact that although HIF-1 induces gene expression in both hypoxia and H₂S, different transcripts accumulate in each condition (Miller et al., 2011). Alternatively, it could be that other factors that contribute to the process of H₂S bookmarking are not engaged by exposure to hypoxia. We favor this model, because the acclimation induced by exposure to hypoxia is rapidly lost upon return to room air (Fig 1C). Thus, although the animals had acclimated they had not formed a persistent physiological memory consistent with H₂S bookmarking.

We considered the possibility that the exposure to hypoxia activated stress response pathways that were cross-protective in H₂S. Many well-studied stress response pathways are activated by and protective against multiple environmental stresses. For example, activation of the insulin-like signaling pathway leads to increased resistance to thermal, oxidative, and nutritional stress (McColl et al., 2010). Although exposure to H₂S does not activate common stress-response pathways in *C. elegans*, including insulin-like signaling, TOR, and p53 signaling (Miller and Roth, 2009; Miller et al., 2011), we considered the possibility that protection by H₂S bookmarking may be a result of the activation of such

cross protective stress response pathways. We first tested whether inducing the heat shock response would protect against subsequent H₂S exposure, as the heat-shock response is cross protective against several other stresses, including salt stress and oxidative stress, and animals grown in H₂S are resistant to thermal stress (Völker et al., 1992; Miller and Roth, 2007; Verghese et al., 2012). We found that subjecting animals to a non-lethal heat shock did not protect against subsequent exposure to high H₂S (Supplemental Fig 1A). Furthermore, animals with mutations in candidate cross-protective stress response signaling pathways, including those involved in the response to heat shock, nutrient deprivation, hypoxic stress, and osmotic stress did not have a defect in the formation or maintenance of H₂S bookmarking (Supplemental Fig 1B). Taken together, these results suggest that H₂S bookmarking is a specific response to H₂S.

H₂S bookmarking is persistent, but reversible.

During development, there are sometimes critical windows during which animals are most sensitive to certain environmental stimuli. For example, in *C. elegans*, the decision to enter dauer is determined by conditions during the first larval stage (Golden and Riddle, 1984). To determine if there was a specific developmental window in which H₂S bookmarks could be formed, we exposed synchronized cohorts of *C. elegans* at different developmental stages to low H₂S, and then tested their ability to survive exposure to high H₂S after 48 h in room air. All animals survived subsequent exposure to high H₂S, regardless of developmental stage at time of adaptation (Fig 2A). We conclude that H₂S bookmarking can occur at any point in the lifetime of the animal.

We next asked how long the H₂S bookmark could persist. To measure this, we

acclimated embryos to low H₂S for 8 h, then let them grow in room air for increasing time before exposure to high H₂S. We found that all animals exposed to H₂S as embryos survived exposure to high H₂S as much as 72h later, when the cohort were reproductive adults (Fig 2B). However, after 72h the survival of bookmarked animals began to decline and by 96h after the initial exposure most animals died when exposed to high H₂S. The exact duration that the bookmark is maintained is somewhat dose-dependent, in that the decline began sooner if the acclimation period was shortened. These data indicate that the H₂S bookmark can be maintained throughout embryonic and postembryonic development, but that it eventually spontaneously lost.

The fact that the bookmark spontaneously reversed as the animals aged led us to investigate the possibility that the rate of spontaneous reversal changed with age. To test this possibility, we exposed synchronized, aging cohorts of animals to low H₂S for 6h and then let the animals age in room air for another 48h before challenging the animals with exposure to high H₂S. We found that animals were able to form and maintain the H₂S bookmark through day 3 of adulthood. However, starting at day 5 we observed a progressive decrease in the ability to survive the subsequent exposure to high H₂S (Fig 2C). This result suggests that the H₂S bookmark is formed or maintained less effectively in older animals. We did not observe a similar decline in acclimatization, as all animals survived when we transferred old animals to high H₂S immediately after exposure to low H₂S. Together these data suggest that H₂S bookmarking – but not acclimatization – is less efficient with increasing age.

H₂S bookmarking is reversed by fasting

While characterizing the H₂S bookmarking phenotype, we noticed that animals on plates in which the food source had been depleted no longer displayed robust survival in high H₂S. We hypothesized that H₂S bookmarking may be modulated by food availability. To test this possibility, we adapted embryos to low H₂S for 12 hours on plates seeded with OP50 *E. coli* bacteria. We then removed half of the animals from food for 6-12 hours as first-stage larvae (L1), then returned these animals to normal food conditions. We challenged both fed and fasted animals with high H₂S when they reached day 1 of adulthood. The proportion of animals that survived exposure to high H₂S was significantly lower in the fasted animals (Fig 3A). This result suggests that fasting prevents maintenance of, or actively reverses, the H₂S bookmark. This led us to next determine if fasting also prevented formation of the H₂S bookmark. To test this possibility, we exposed starved L1 to low H₂S for acclimation, then returned the animals to food in the absence of H₂S. In contrast to animals acclimated to low H₂S on food, animals exposed to low H₂S in the absence of food did not survive subsequent exposure to high H₂S (Fig 3B). These results suggest that both formation and maintenance of the H₂S bookmark is inhibited by fasting.

When *C. elegans* embryos hatch in the absence of food, starved L1 enter a reversible developmental arrest, the L1 diapause (reviewed in (Baugh, 2013)). To confirm that fasting itself reverses H₂S bookmarking, and not the physiological changes associated with entry into the L1 diapause, we fasted animals at other developmental stages and scored for H₂S bookmarking retention. We found that fasting at later developmental stages did erase the H₂S bookmark (Fig 3C). Bookmarked animals removed from food for only 6 hours as L4s

displayed a significant decrease in survival when later challenged with high H₂S. This effect was more pronounced when the period of fasting increased. We conclude that fasting, or the response to food deprivation, effectively reverses the H₂S bookmark.

One possibility is that activation of various stress responses, in addition to fasting, would erase the effect of the H₂S bookmark. We therefore evaluated whether heat shock or hypoxia would reduce the ability of animals with an H₂S bookmark to survive subsequent exposure to high H₂S. We chose these two stresses because they both have global effects on physiology and metabolism, as fasting does. We found that neither hypoxia nor heat shock reversed the H₂S bookmark (Fig 3C). Bookmarked animals exposed to hypoxia (1,000 ppm or 5,000 ppm O₂; room air is 210,000 ppm oxygen) or heat shock survived exposure to high H₂S as well as controls that remained in normal growth conditions. This result supports our assertion that the H₂S bookmark is distinct from other general stress responses. Moreover, as hypoxia has dramatic effects to decrease protein translation and metabolic activity, this result suggests that maintenance of the H₂S bookmark is not dependent on these processes.

H₂S bookmarking facilitates transcriptional reactivation of H₂S responsive genes

One possible mechanism of H₂S bookmarking could be that genes induced by exposure to low H₂S persist, and are therefore able to promote survival in subsequent H₂S exposure. We did not favor this hypothesis as the early transcriptional response to H₂S depends entirely on *hif-1*, which is rapidly degraded in normal conditions (Wang et al., 1995; Kallio et al., 1999; Miller et al., 2011). To verify that H₂S-induced changes in gene expression were not maintained in animals after exposure to low H₂S, we first measured

expression of the SQRD-1::GFP translational reporter, which is induced by exposure to low
 216 H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2011). We exposed SQRD-1::GFP animals to low H₂S for 8 hours, and
 then removed them to house air conditions for 48 hours. Expression of SQRD-1::GFP was
 218 significantly increased when animals were exposed to low H₂S, but returned to baseline
 levels of expression upon return to house air (Fig 4A). To corroborate our results with
 220 SQRD-1::GFP, we measured the abundance of 12 H₂S-induced transcripts (Miller et al.,
 2011). The abundance of these transcripts in bookmarked animals 48 h after exposure to
 222 low H₂S was not significantly different from naïve controls (Fig 4B). We conclude that
 persistent changes in gene expression do not underlie the maintenance of the H₂S
 224 bookmark.

We next considered the possibility that the H₂S bookmark functions to potentiate
 226 H₂S-induced changes in gene expression upon subsequent re-exposure to H₂S. We
 measured the expression of SQRD-1::GFP in naïve and bookmarked animals exposed to
 228 high H₂S for 1 hour. We chose a 1-hour exposure because previous studies showed that
 expression of *sqr-1* is significantly upregulated after 1 hour in low H₂S (Miller et al., 2011),
 230 and naïve animals survive a 1-hour exposure to high H₂S (Budde and Roth, 2011). We
 found that the increase in SQRD-1::GFP expression was similar when naïve animals were
 232 exposed to low or high H₂S for 1 hour. In contrast, expression of SQRD-1::GFP was
 significantly higher when animals with the H₂S bookmark were exposed to high H₂S (Fig
 234 4C). This suggests that the H₂S bookmark facilitates the expression of H₂S-inducible genes.
 To corroborate this result, we measured the abundance of transcripts upregulated by
 236 exposure to low H₂S (Miller et al., 2011). Eight of the 12 genes we tested were increased

more in bookmarked animals than naïve controls when exposed to high H₂S (Fig 4D). We conclude that H₂S bookmarking facilitates the transcriptional re-activation of H₂S-responsive genes, which we refer to as bookmarked genes.

To get a more comprehensive understanding of how H₂S bookmarking affected gene expression we performed RNAseq experiments to measure the abundance of transcripts in naïve and bookmarked animals exposed to high H₂S. We found 16 transcripts that were upregulated in both bookmarked and naïve animals exposed to high H₂S; of these, 12 were also identified in microarray experiments (Table 1; (Miller et al., 2011)). We also observed that 12 gene products were induced only in the naïve animals. None of these were upregulated in our previous microarray experiments. These genes could be induced specifically by exposure to high H₂S, or it could be that they were false negatives (or missing) from the previous microarray experiment. Nevertheless, these studies suggest there is much in common between the transcriptional responses to low and high H₂S.

We observed that eight transcripts were significantly more abundant in the bookmarked animals than in the naïve controls by RNA-seq (Bookmarked genes in Table 1). These results corroborated our qRT-PCR experiments (Fig 4D). In both experiments, gene products for *gst-19*, *nspe-3*, R08E5.1, *sqrd-1*, *cysl-2*, and *nit-1* were more abundant in bookmarked animals than in naïve controls. We also observed 37 transcripts that were induced by exposure to high H₂S only in the bookmarked animals (Bookmark Only in Table 1). Most of these had not been previously shown to be induced by exposure to H₂S. However, this group did include C31C9.2, which was bookmarked in our qRT-PCR experiments (Fig 4D), and *nspe-2*, which was upregulated in microarray experiments

(Miller et al., 2011) but not included in our qRT-PCR panel. It may be that some of these genes are minimally induced by exposure to low H₂S, and do not meet our cut-offs for determining significance. Together, our data suggest a model where H₂S bookmarking leads to changes in transcriptional accessibility of specific H₂S-inducible genes, which facilitates robust transcriptional reactivation upon subsequent H₂S exposure.

We noted that not all H₂S-responsive genes were bookmarked. In both RNAseq and qRT-PCR experiments expression of three gene products, *dhs-8*, *rhy-1*, and *lgc-1*, were induced the same in bookmarked animals and naïve controls exposed to high H₂S. Several other genes in our RNAseq data were also induced equally in bookmarked and naïve animals. There is no pattern we could detect that predicted whether an H₂S-responsive gene would be bookmarked for transcriptional reactivation.

Epigenetic factors are required to maintain H₂S bookmarks

We hypothesize that there are at least two processes required for H₂S bookmarking: the original formation of the bookmark, which could be related to acclimation, and maintenance of the bookmark after the end of the exposure to H₂S. We were interested in the mechanism by which the bookmark was maintained, as the rapid acquisition and reversibility of the H₂S bookmark suggested that it may be mediated by an epigenetic mechanism (Mirbahai and Chipman, 2014). Alternatively, it is possible that bookmarking could depend upon the persistence of a long-lived protein or small RNA, or through another uncharacterized mechanism. To distinguish between these possibilities, we performed a candidate screen to identify molecular factors that mediate H₂S bookmarking.

We screened animals with genetic mutations in machinery involved in protein turnover, RNA processing, epigenetic modifications, or factors associated with environmental bookmarks in other systems (a list of all 250 candidates tested is included as Supplemental Table 1). To identify mutations that specifically disrupted the maintenance of the H₂S bookmark, we exposed synchronized embryos of each mutant strain to low H₂S for 8 hours, returned them to house air for 48 hours, and then exposed them to high H₂S for 24 h. We selected candidates that had reduced survival after exposure to high H₂S, as compared to wild-type controls that acclimate and survive the subsequent exposure to high H₂S. Two of the mutations that caught our attention in this screen were *spr-5* and *set-2* (Fig 5A). For the remainder of this work we focus on these two genes, as they regulate methylation of lysine 4 on histone 3 (H3K4me) and post-translational modifications of histone proteins occupying promoters can influence transcriptional levels (reviewed in Filipescu et al., 2014).

We were most interested in mutations that disrupted the long-term maintenance of the H₂S bookmark, but we expected that some of the candidates identified in the primary screen could have defects in initial acclimation to H₂S and/or formation of the H₂S bookmark. To exclude that *spr-5* and *set-2* were required for acclimation, we exposed each candidate strain to low H₂S for 6 hours, and immediately moved them to high H₂S. We reasoned that if these animals were able to acclimate to H₂S that they would survive this transition. Both *spr-5* and *spr-2* mutant animals survived this treatment (Fig 5B), suggesting that acclimation was not abrogated by these mutations.

H3K4 can be mono-, di, and tri- methylated. In *C. elegans*, the histone methyltransferase SET-2 is responsible for the formation of the majority of the bulk H3K4me2 and H3K4me3 (Xiao et al., 2011). However, formation of H3K4me3 by SET-2 requires other components of the ASH-2 methyltransferase complex. The ASH-2 complex in *C. elegans* is composed of three essential subunits: SET-2, ASH-2, and WDR-5.1 (Greer et al., 2010). To determine if SET-2 was acting as part of the ASH-2 complex in H₂S bookmarking, we tested whether *wdr-5.1(ok1417)* mutant animals were capable of H₂S bookmarking. In contrast to *set-2(n4589)* mutant animals, we found that *wdr-5.1(ok1417)* mutant animals survived exposure to high H₂S after bookmarking at levels comparable to WT controls (Fig 5A). Similarly, mutations in *rbr-2*, an H3K4me3 demethylase that antagonizes ASH-2 methyltransferase complex activity (Greer et al., 2010, #35493), has no effect on H₂S bookmarking (Fig 5A). Although SET-2 is unable to mediate formation of H3K4me3 independently of the ASH-2 complex, generation of H3K4me2 does not require the ASH-2 complex (Xiao et al., 2011). We therefore favor the hypothesis that SET-2 contributes to H₂S bookmarking through its activity to form H3K4me2-modified histones.

SPR-5 is a component of the histone demethylase CoREST-like complex in *C. elegans* (Eimer et al., 2002). The CoREST complex was first identified in mammals as a corepressor of the REST transcription factor. Through its histone demethylase activity, the mammalian CoREST complex mediates long-term gene repression that is essential for the maintenance of cell identity (Andrés et al., 1999). In *C. elegans* the CoREST-like complex has retained its histone demethylase activity and functions in the repression of the *hop-1* gene through demethylation of H3K4me2 at the *hop-1* gene promoter. For *hop-1* gene repression, SPR-5

interacts with the orthologue of mammalian CoREST, SPR-1, and two large proteins with weak similarity to the mammalian REST transcription factor, SPR-3 and SPR-4 (Eimer et al., 2002). While they have no clear vertebrate homologs, SPR-3 and SPR-4 are predicted to function in the recruitment of the CoREST-like corepressor complex to gene targets. To determine if SPR-5 is functioning as a part of the CoREST-like complex in H₂S bookmarking, we examined the effect of a loss-of-function mutation in these other known subunits of the CoREST-like complex. We found that, like *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals, animals with mutations in *spr-1*, *spr-3*, and *spr-4* all had defects in maintaining the H₂S bookmark after exposure to low H₂S (Fig 5A). Taken together, these results suggest that SPR-5 functions as a member of the CoREST-like complex to mediate H₂S bookmarking.

We hypothesized that the transcriptional reactivation we observed in bookmarked animals was mediated by changes in H3K4me2 status at bookmarked promoters based on the genetic requirement for *set-2* and the CoREST demethylase complex. This hypothesis predicts that *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals would not be able to effectively reactivate transcription of H₂S-inducible transcripts. We tested this possibility by measuring transcript abundance in *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals exposed to high H₂S. Both *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals exposed to low H₂S as embryos had defects in the transcriptional reactivation of H₂S-inducible genes (Fig 6A). One trivial explanation for the lack of transcriptional reactivation of H₂S-inducible genes in the *set-2* and *spr-5* mutant animals is that they are generally unable to induce gene expression in response to H₂S. We considered this unlikely, as both *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals survive prolonged exposure to low H₂S as well as wild-type

animals. Indeed, we found no difference in the initial transcriptional response to low sulfide in either *set-2(n4589)* or *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals (Fig 6B). Moreover, both *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals upregulated H₂S-responsive genes as effectively as wild-type controls when exposed to high H₂S after acclimation (Fig 6C). These results indicate that neither *set-2* nor *spr-5* are required for the normal transcriptional response or acclimatization to H₂S. Instead, our data show that these histone-modifying enzymes are specifically required for the maintenance of the H₂S bookmark and associated transcriptional reactivation of bookmarked genes.

Discussion

We have discovered a novel environmental bookmark to H₂S that enhances survival when animals re-encounter H₂S in the environment. Our experiments suggest that H₂S exposure leads to formation of a bookmark that has persistent effects on the epigenetic landscape, allowing for enhanced transcriptional responses and increased survival upon subsequent H₂S exposure.

To our knowledge, our studies demonstrate the first example of reversal of a stress-induced epigenetic bookmark by fasting. The molecular nature of the interaction between fasting and H₂S bookmarking is not yet clear. In mammals, changes in diet, including periods of fasting, can lead to dramatic changes in the epigenome, including the reversal of epigenetic bookmarks (Burdge and Lillycrop, 2010). The ability to erase, or modulate, epigenetic bookmarks on demand has important implications in treatment of human disease by therapeutic intervention. Offspring of malnourished mothers can carry

bookmarks that can lead to diabetes or obesity if food is abundant, which can reduce fertility (Gluckman et al., 2008). Maternal undernutrition during pregnancy, which results in epigenetic modifications to offspring that are designed to protect against subsequent famine, is associated with greater susceptibility to cancer in rats (Fernandez-Twinn et al., 2007). Our discovery of epigenetic bookmarking by H₂S exposure provides a uniquely tractable model to develop strategies to modulate epigenetic marks in animals.

We propose that the H₂S bookmark is related to the methylation status of histone H3K4, based on the genetic requirement for SET-2 and SPR-5 in maintaining the H₂S bookmark. As SET-2 and SPR-5/CoREST both alter methylation status of H3K4me₂, one simple model is that these two enzymes cooperate at the promoters of bookmarked animals to facilitate transcriptional reactivation. However, this interpretation is complicated by the fact that mutations in *set-2* and *spr-5* do not have entirely overlapping effects. For example, while transcriptional reactivation of *gst-19* and *nspe-3* was abrogated in both *spr-5* and *set-2* mutant animals, the bookmarking of *nhr-57*, *nit-1*, *C31C9.2*, and *R08E5.1* was lost only in *set-2* animals (Fig 5C). Moreover, some bookmarked genes were not affected in either *set-2(n4589)* or *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals. Future genome-wide studies of histone methylation status and the epigenetic effects of H₂S are necessary to understand how these two histone-modifying enzymes are coordinated.

Our finding that H₂S bookmarking requires histone methylation machinery is reminiscent of other established examples of epigenetic bookmarks. In plants, multiple rounds of drought stress lead to increased H3K4me₃, providing resistance to subsequent severe droughts (Ding et al., 2012). Additionally, trithorax (TrxG) proteins with histone

390 methyltransferase activity function in the epigenetic bookmarking of low winter
temperatures in plants, which alters the timing of vernalization in subsequent seasons
392 (Buzas et al., 2012; Song et al., 2012). The complexity and pleiotropic nature of
environmental bookmarks has made understanding the mechanistic underpinnings of
394 epigenetic bookmarks difficult, particularly in animals. Although not necessarily a
bookmark, *C. elegans*, that enter into the alternative dauer larval stage have decreased in
396 H3K4me3 and H4 acetylation in the adult animal, resulting in persistent changes in endo-
siRNA levels that can poise genes for activation upon subsequent encounters with
398 environmental stress (Hall et al., 2010).

The genetic factors required to maintain the H₂S bookmark in *C. elegans*, SET-2 and
400 SPR-5, are conserved through humans. Though to our knowledge H₂S has not yet been
shown to have epigenetic effects in mammals, H₂S is a commonly encountered
402 environmental toxin produced by oil refineries and paper mills that has dramatic effects on
neurological, respiratory and cardiovascular function, even at low concentrations (Kilburn
404 and Warshaw, 1995; Richardson, 1995; Bates et al., 2002). We demonstrate that H₂S
concentrations as low as 15 ppm, which is below OSHA limits for industrial exposure, can
406 lead to the establishment of an H₂S bookmark in *C. elegans*. It will be important to learn if
H₂S has similar effects in mammals, as this information could inform future toxic risk
408 assessment to improve worker safety.

410 **Materials and Methods**

C. elegans were maintained on nematode growth media (NGM) with OP50 *E. coli* at 20°C

(Brenner, 1974). Worm strains used in this study are listed in Supplementary Table 1.

Constructing defined gaseous environments

All compressed gas tanks were purchased from Airgas (Seattle, WA) and certified standard to 2% of target gas concentration, with the balance N₂. Tanks with O₂ contained 1,000 or 5,000 ppm, and H₂S source tanks were 5,000 ppm. Gaseous environments were maintained using continuous flow chambers as described in (Fawcett et al., 2012). H₂S was diluted from a 5,000 ppm stock tank with house air as in (Miller and Roth, 2007). H₂S environments were maintained in a fume hood at 20°C, with matched house air (without H₂S) continuous flow environments.

H₂S bookmarking assay

Embryos were synchronized by allowing gravid adults to lay eggs for 2 h on seeded NGM plates. First stage larvae (L1) were collected 24 h post egg-lay, L2 after 36 h, and L3s after 48 hours at 20°C on seeded NGM plates. L4 animals were picked from well-fed, logarithmically growing cultures and moved to seeded NGM plates. Staged animals were immediately exposed to 50 ppm H₂S for indicated amount of time, and then removed to house air for 48 hours. Animals were then exposed to 150 ppm H₂S overnight (~16 hours) and then scored for survival. Data was reported as % animals alive after 150 ppm H₂S +/- standard deviation. Bagged animals were censored from the experiment. For differences

between genotypes, p-values were calculated by one-way ANOVA using summary statistics (mean, standard deviation, n).

To evaluate the effects of other conditions on bookmarks, 24 h after the adaptation period animals were moved to hypoxia (1,000 or 5,000 ppm O₂ for 24 h) or heat-shock (37 C for 1 h). For fasting, animals were moved to unseeded NGM plates with 25 mg/L carbenicillin to prevent bacterial growth. After 10 minutes, animals were moved to a new unseeded NGM plate with 25 mg/L carbenicillin to further deplete the food associated with their cuticle. Palmitic acid (10 mg mL⁻¹ in ethanol) was used to form a physical barrier around the edge of each plate to encourage the animals to remain on the surface of the plate when fasted. After the indicated time period, animals were moved back to NGM plates seeded with live OP50 *E. coli* in room air until 48 h post-adaptation, at which time the animals were challenged with high H₂S.

qRT-PCR

Animals were grown on high-growth plates seeded with NA22 *E. coli* at 20°C. When animals reached gravid adult, synchronized embryos were obtained by a 5-minute bleach in 1:1:5 water:KOH:hypochloric acid solution. For each strain/condition, ~9,000 embryos were plated onto a 150 mM NGM plate seeded with live OP50 *E. coli*. Animals were not allowed to starve out the plate at any time during the experiment. The animals were treated as for the bookmarking assay as above, except that the exposure to 150 ppm H₂S was for one hour. Animals were harvested into 1 mL Trizol solution and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. RNA was isolated from the Trizol preparation as described

previously (Chomczynski, 1993). cDNA was made using Invitrogen SuperScript III First Strand Synthesis System. Primers were as in (Miller et al., 2011), primer sequences available upon request. qPCR was performed using Kappa SYBR FAST qPCR Kit. PCR cycle was as follows: 95C for 3 min, 95C for 15 sec, 55C for 15 sec x40. 4°C to hold. ΔC_t for each gene product was calculated as in (Miller et al., 2011); briefly, ΔC_t values were calculated by subtracting each measured C_t from the geometric mean of the control targets that are not altered in response to H₂S (SIR-2.1, HIL-1, IRS-2, and TBA-1). ΔC_t were averaged across experiments. Student's t-test was used to evaluate differences between ΔC_t values of treated samples and untreated controls. For differences between genotypes, p-values were calculated with a one-way ANOVA from summary statistics (mean, standard error, n). Reported fold-changes were calculated as $2^{-\Delta\Delta C_t}$ where $\Delta\Delta C_t = \Delta C_t(\text{experimental condition}) - \Delta C_t(\text{control condition})$. Error bars on graphs represent standard error of the mean, which was carried through the fold-change calculation using standard error propagation (www.statpages.org).

GFP reporter quantification

Animals were synchronized by a 2-hour egg lay of SQRD-1::GFP animals onto seeded NGM plates. Animals were adapted to H₂S as described above. After 48 hours, animals were exposed to 150 ppm H₂S for 1 hour. Animals were then removed to house air and allowed to recover for 1 hour to allow for folding of GFP. Roller animals, which contain the SQRD-1::GFP transgene were mounted on an agar pad in a drop of 20 mM sodium azide as anesthetic. GFP fluorescence was visualized on a Nikon 90i fluorescent microscope with the

GFP filter and oil-immersion 20x objective. All images were taken at the same exposure time and magnification. Total cell fluorescence was quantified using ImageJ software (Rasband, W.S., ImageJ, U. S. National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland, USA, <http://imagej.nih.gov/ij/>, 1997-2014). Student t-tests were used to compare mean cell fluorescence between samples.

Global mRNA measurements with RNAseq

Embryos were synchronized by allowing gravid adults to lay eggs for 2-6 hours on seeded NGM plates. Within 24 hours the plates were exposed to 50ppm for 6 hours, and then removed to house air until animals reached L4, at which time they were exposed to 150ppm H₂S for 1 hour, flash frozen in liquid nitrogen, and immediately stored at -80C. RNA was isolated using Trizol, according to manufacturer's instructions, and then isopropanol precipitation. Isolated RNA was sent to Novogene for library preparation using Illumina MiSeq for paired-end sequencing. The raw data files were uploaded to the Illumina analysis cloud (BaseSpace) in order to collect raw gene counts. Differential expression of genes between conditions was determined using the edgeR analysis package on the raw gene counts (Robinson et al., 2010).

Acknowledgements

We thank our colleagues in the Miller lab that provided constructive comments to improve this manuscript and members of the NW Developmental Biology group that provided

excellent feedback on this project. We are also thankful to Dr. R. Waterston (Department of Genome Sciences, University of Washington School of Medicine) for helpful advice and Dr. C. Chatterjee (Department of Chemistry, University of Washington) for insightful discussions. Mr. Harley Childs helped initiate the candidate screens for factors involved in H₂S bookmarking. This work was supported by NIH grants R00 AG033055 and R01 ES024958 to DLM. DLM is an Ellison Medical Foundation New Scholar in Aging and has been supported by the Glenn Medical Foundation. EMF was supported by NIH Developmental Biology Predoctoral Training Grant T32 HD007183 from the NICHD. JJ was an Amgen Scholar. Some strains were provided by the CGC, which is funded by NIH Office of Research Infrastructure Programs (P40 OD010440).

Author contributions: EMF performed experiments to characterize H₂S bookmarking, identified epigenetic factors involved, and was involved in experimental design, data acquisition, analysis, and interpretation. EMF, CRB, and DLM wrote and edited early drafts of the manuscript. JKJ performed data acquisition and analysis, particularly in characterizing the effects of mutations in *set-2* and *spr-5*. EMG and CRB performed RNAseq experiments and analyzed data. DLM performed experiments in Fig. 1, planned experiments, and assisted in data analysis and interpretation. All authors edited and approved the final manuscript.

References

Andrés ME, Burger C, Peral-Rubio MJ, Battaglioli E, Anderson ME, Grimes J, Dallman J, Ballas N, Mandel G. 1999. CoREST: a functional corepressor required for regulation of neural-specific gene expression. *PNAS* **96**: 9873–9878.

522 Bates MN, Garrett N, Shoemack P. 2002. Investigation of health effects of hydrogen sulfide
from a geothermal source. *Arch Environ Health* **57**: 405–411.

524 Baugh LR. 2013. To grow or not to grow: nutritional control of development during
Caenorhabditis elegans L1 arrest. *Genetics* **194**: 539–555.

526 Beauchamp RO, Bus JS, Popp JA, Boreiko CJ, Andjelkovich DA. 1984. A critical review of the
literature on hydrogen sulfide toxicity. *Crit Rev Toxicol* **13**: 25–97.

528 Bos EM, van Goor H, Joles JA, Whiteman M, Leuvenink HG. 2015. Hydrogen sulfide:
physiological properties and therapeutic potential in ischaemia. *Br J Pharmacol* **172**:
1479–1493.

530 Brenner S. 1974. The genetics of *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Genetics* **77**: 71–94.

532 Budde MW, Roth MB. 2011. The response of *Caenorhabditis elegans* to hydrogen sulfide and
hydrogen cyanide. *Genetics* **189**: 521–532.

534 Budde MW, Roth MB. 2010. Hydrogen Sulfide Increases Hypoxia-inducible Factor-1 Activity
Independently of von Hippel-Lindau Tumor Suppressor-1 in *C. elegans*. *Molecular
Biology of the Cell* **21**: 212–217.

536 Burdge GC, Lillycrop KA. 2010. Nutrition, epigenetics, and developmental plasticity:
implications for understanding human disease. *Annu Rev Nutr* **30**: 315–339.

538 Buzas DM, Tamada Y, Kurata T. 2012. FLC: a hidden polycomb response element shows up
in silence. *Plant Cell Physiol* **53**: 785–793.

540 Chomczynski P. 1993. A reagent for the single-step simultaneous isolation of RNA, DNA and
proteins from cell and tissue samples. *Biotechniques* **15**: 532–4, 536.

542 Ding Y, Fromm M, Avramova Z. 2012. Multiple exposures to drought ‘train’ transcriptional
responses in Arabidopsis. *Nat Commun* **3**: 740.

544 Eimer S, Lakowski B, Donhauser R, Baumeister R. 2002. Loss of *spr-5* bypasses the
requirement for the *C.elegans* presenilin *sel-12* by derepressing *hop-1*. *EMBO J* **21**: 5787–
546 5796.

548 Fawcett EM, Hoyt JM, Johnson JK, Miller DL. 2015. Hypoxia disrupts proteostasis in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *Aging Cell* **14**: 92–101.

550 Fawcett EM, Horsman JW, Miller DL. 2012. Creating Defined Gaseous Environments to
Study the Effects of Hypoxia on *C. elegans*. *J. Vis. Exp.* (65), e4088 10.3791/4088.

- Fernandez-Twinn DS, Ekizoglou S, Gusterson BA, Luan J, Ozanne SE. 2007. Compensatory mammary growth following protein restriction during pregnancy and lactation increases early-onset mammary tumor incidence in rats. *Carcinogenesis* **28**: 545–552.
- Filipescu D, Müller S, Almouzni G. 2014. Histone H3 Variants and Their Chaperones During Development and Disease: Contributing to Epigenetic Control. *Annu. Rev. Cell Dev. Biol.* **30**: 615–646.
- Gluckman PD, Hanson MA, Cooper C, Thornburg KL. 2008. Effect of *in utero* and early-life conditions on adult health and disease. *N Engl J Med* **359**: 61–73.
- Golden JW, Riddle DL. 1984. The *Caenorhabditis elegans* dauer larva: developmental effects of pheromone, food, and temperature. *Dev Biol* **102**: 368–378.
- Greer EL, Maures TJ, Hauswirth AG, Green EM, Leeman DS, Maro GS, Han S, Banko MR, Gozani O, Brunet A. 2010. Members of the H3K4 trimethylation complex regulate lifespan in a germline-dependent manner in *C. elegans*. *Nature* **466**: 383–387.
- Hall SE, Beverly M, Russ C, Nusbaum C, Sengupta P. 2010. A cellular memory of developmental history generates phenotypic diversity in *C. elegans*. *Curr Biol* **20**: 149–155.
- Hine C, Harputlugil E, Zhang Y, Ruckenstuhl C, Lee BC, Brace L, Longchamp A, Treviño-Villarreal JH, Mejia P, Ozaki CK, Wang R, Gladyshev VN, Madeo F, Mair WB, Mitchell JR. 2015. Endogenous hydrogen sulfide production is essential for dietary restriction benefits. *Cell* **160**: 132–144.
- Ihnat MA, Thorpe JE, Kamat CD, Szabó C, Green DE, Warnke LA, Lacza Z, Cselenyák A, Ross K, Shakir S, Piconi L, Kaltreider RC, Ceriello A. 2007. Reactive oxygen species mediate a cellular ‘memory’ of high glucose stress signalling. *Diabetologia* **50**: 1523–1531.
- Kallio PJ, Wilson WJ, O’Brien S, Makino Y, Poellinger L. 1999. Regulation of the hypoxia-inducible transcription factor 1alpha by the ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. *J Biol Chem* **274**: 6519–6525.
- Kilburn KH, Warshaw RH. 1995. Hydrogen sulfide and reduced-sulfur gases adversely affect neurophysiological functions. *Toxicol Ind Health* **11**: 185–197.
- Kinoshita T, Seki M. 2014. Epigenetic memory for stress response and adaptation in plants. *Plant Cell Physiol* **55**: 1859–1863.
- Kundu S, Horn PJ, Peterson CL. 2007. SWI/SNF is required for transcriptional memory at the yeast GAL gene cluster. *Genes Dev* **21**: 997–1004.

584 McColl G, Rogers AN, Alavez S, Hubbard AE, Melov S, Link CD, Bush AI, Kapahi P, Lithgow GJ.
2010. Insulin-like signaling determines survival during stress via posttranscriptional
mechanisms in *C. elegans*. *Cell Metab* **12**: 260–272.

586 Miller DL, Budde MW, Roth MB. 2011. HIF-1 and SKN-1 coordinate the transcriptional
response to hydrogen sulfide in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *PLoS One* **6**: e25476.

588 Miller DL, Roth MB. 2009. *C. elegans* Are Protected from Lethal Hypoxia by an Embryonic
Diapause. *Current Biology* **19**: 1233–1237.

590 Miller DL, Roth MB. 2007. Hydrogen sulfide increases thermotolerance and lifespan in
Caenorhabditis elegans. *PNAS* **104**: 20618–20622.

592 Mirbahai L, Chipman JK. 2014. Epigenetic memory of environmental organisms: a reflection
of lifetime stressor exposures. *Mutat Res Genet Toxicol Environ Mutagen* **764-765**: 10–
594 17.

596 Richardson DB. 1995. Respiratory effects of chronic hydrogen sulfide exposure. *Am J Ind
Med* **28**: 99–108.

598 Robinson MD, McCarthy DJ, Smyth GK. 2010. edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential
expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139–140.

600 Sen N. 2017. Functional and Molecular Insights of Hydrogen Sulfide Signaling and Protein
Sulphydration. *J Mol Biol* **429**: 543–561.

602 Song J, Angel A, Howard M, Dean C. 2012. Vernalization - a cold-induced epigenetic switch. *J
Cell Sci* **125**: 3723–3731.

604 Verghese J, Abrams J, Wang Y, Morano KA. 2012. Biology of the heat shock response and
protein chaperones: budding yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*) as a model system.
Microbiol Mol Biol Rev **76**: 115–158.

606 Völker U, Mach H, Schmid R, Hecker M. 1992. Stress proteins and cross-protection by heat
shock and salt stress in *Bacillus subtilis*. *J Gen Microbiol* **138**: 2125–2135.

608 Wang GL, Jiang BH, Rue EA, Semenza GL. 1995. Hypoxia-inducible factor 1 is a basic-helix-
loop-helix-PAS heterodimer regulated by cellular O₂ tension. *PNAS* **92**: 5510–5514.

610 Wu D, Wang J, Li H, Xue M, Ji A, Li Y. 2015. Role of Hydrogen Sulfide in Ischemia-
Reperfusion Injury. *Oxid Med Cell Longev* **2015**: 186908.

612 Xiao Y, Bedet C, Robert VJ, Simonet T, Dunkelbarger S, Rakotomalala C, Soete G, Korswagen
HC, Strome S, Palladino F. 2011. *Caenorhabditis elegans* chromatin-associated proteins

614 SET-2 and ASH-2 are differentially required for histone H3 Lys 4 methylation in
embryos and adult germ cells. *PNAS* **108**: 8305–8310.

616

Table 1: RNAseq comparison of gene expression in naïve and bookmarked animals.

	Gene	log ₂ fold change			q-value			log CPM
		Naïve	Bkmk	Bkmk	Naïve	Bkmk	Bkmk	
		Unexp	Unexp	Naïve	Unexp	Unexp	Naïve	
Bookmarked	gst-19*	5.01	8.96	3.95	1.6E-22	5.0E-56	2.7E-16	7.58
	nspe-3*	4.86	8.00	3.14	5.8E-06	2.5E-15	4.6E-03	4.30
	nspe-4*	4.42	7.43	3.01	1.2E-08	1.7E-22	6.1E-05	4.68
	sqrd-1*	3.86	6.70	2.84	4.4E-20	2.6E-50	1.1E-11	6.96
	nspe-6*	4.92	7.67	2.75	1.9E-09	5.4E-21	1.6E-03	4.91
	R08E5.1*	1.94	4.54	2.59	5.0E-04	4.7E-24	1.0E-08	4.24
	nit-1*	2.73	4.95	2.22	3.6E-12	3.6E-36	2.8E-08	6.72
	cysl-2*	2.00	4.04	2.04	1.1E-05	6.7E-23	8.2E-06	8.85
Not Bookmarked	dhs-8*	3.20	4.33	1.13	1.5E-08	8.6E-16	1.0E+00	4.45
	nhr-62	1.84	2.83	0.99	4.6E-03	1.3E-08	1.0E+00	4.06
	cdo-1	1.40	2.31	0.91	4.8E-04	8.0E-13	2.9E-01	5.95
	M05D6.6	1.50	2.33	0.83	1.0E-04	8.0E-13	6.3E-01	7.25
	rhy-1*	4.44	5.06	0.62	2.6E-28	6.1E-36	1.0E+00	8.21
	lgc-1*	3.30	3.67	0.37	1.0E-02	6.7E-04	1.0E+00	0.45
	gbh-2	1.41	1.46	0.05	2.9E-03	8.1E-04	1.0E+00	5.08
	nhr-57*	4.16	3.43	-0.73	5.0E-28	2.7E-20	1.0E+00	5.65
Naïve Only	hsp-70	4.06	0.54	-3.52	3.3E-05	1.0E+00	7.0E-04	6.22
	R11A5.3	4.04	0.72	-3.32	6.0E-06	1.0E+00	4.6E-04	2.41
	hsp-16.2	3.43	0.79	-2.65	6.3E-04	1.0E+00	3.7E-02	5.23
	nhr-17	2.89	0.33	-2.56	3.6E-12	1.0E+00	2.1E-09	4.88
	cpn-3	2.15	0.49	-1.65	1.9E-04	1.0E+00	2.3E-02	4.01
	lact-4	1.97	0.81	-1.16	1.8E-08	6.3E-01	2.2E-02	5.65
	ugt-8	1.85	1.07	-0.78	1.1E-03	6.8E-01	1.0E+00	4.57
	C06B3.6	1.84	1.16	-0.68	4.3E-04	2.4E-01	1.0E+00	4.64
	egl-9	1.71	1.01	-0.70	7.3E-06	9.8E-02	1.0E+00	5.47
	aip-1	1.69	0.48	-1.21	8.5E-06	1.0E+00	1.7E-02	5.86
	cbs-1	1.67	-0.03	-1.70	9.5E-03	1.0E+00	7.5E-03	5.28
	scp-1	1.37	0.15	-1.23	4.6E-03	1.0E+00	2.9E-02	6.06
Bookmark Only	H12D21.6	3.45	5.40	1.95	1.7E-01	4.9E-07	3.6E-01	-0.31
	B0462.4	2.36	5.39	3.03	4.4E-02	2.6E-14	3.8E-05	2.66
	ptr-22	2.45	4.88	2.43	1.6E-01	2.0E-07	1.4E-01	3.63
	R08F11.4	0.71	4.83	4.12	1.0E+00	7.0E-12	1.3E-08	3.37
	ugt-14	2.33	4.22	1.89	9.1E-02	2.0E-07	4.0E-01	2.55
	R08E5.3	1.47	3.98	2.51	1.2E-02	9.3E-22	1.0E-08	9.26
	C08E8.3	2.51	3.98	1.46	1.5E-02	3.2E-08	8.5E-01	1.60
	nspe-2*	1.57	3.61	2.04	9.3E-02	6.2E-12	1.7E-03	5.00
	gst-30	1.10	3.29	2.19	1.0E+00	1.1E-03	2.7E-01	1.09
	mpst-3	0.88	3.29	2.40	6.0E-01	2.2E-21	3.5E-11	6.40
	ethe-1	0.92	3.15	2.23	1.0E+00	1.4E-09	1.7E-04	3.88
	Y37A1B.5	1.05	2.90	1.85	4.4E-01	8.0E-13	1.1E-04	8.31
	C08E8.10	1.09	2.87	1.78	1.0E+00	7.1E-06	8.5E-02	3.46
	comt-4	2.55	2.74	0.19	2.1E-02	3.5E-03	1.0E+00	0.70
	cysl-3	0.67	2.69	2.02	1.0E+00	1.1E-13	3.2E-07	6.98
	gst-16	-0.03	2.51	2.54	1.0E+00	2.4E-03	3.8E-03	1.80
	M05D6.5	1.14	2.48	1.34	1.4E-02	2.8E-15	7.0E-04	6.50
	C31C9.2*	0.69	2.41	1.72	1.0E+00	3.9E-09	4.6E-04	9.15
	glb-1	1.16	2.38	1.21	1.1E-01	1.4E-09	7.3E-02	5.30
	M162.5	0.52	2.30	1.78	1.0E+00	1.2E-05	7.0E-03	3.95
	cyp-36A1	1.43	2.29	0.86	9.3E-02	6.2E-06	1.0E+00	3.94
	F29C6.1	1.00	2.27	1.27	2.9E-01	8.0E-10	2.2E-02	5.99
	F59B10.4	1.36	2.25	0.89	7.7E-01	1.0E-03	1.0E+00	3.02
	dpy-17	1.23	2.25	1.02	1.0E+00	1.8E-03	1.0E+00	4.10
	nhr-11	0.54	2.16	1.62	1.0E+00	9.3E-05	3.2E-02	3.85
	F26H9.5	0.84	2.14	1.30	1.0E+00	7.0E-08	2.9E-02	8.09
	F56D5.3	0.91	1.98	1.07	1.0E+00	5.2E-03	1.0E+00	3.58
	F54D8.6	0.31	1.83	1.52	1.0E+00	1.8E-07	1.4E-04	7.09
	gst-4	0.37	1.68	1.31	1.0E+00	3.1E-04	3.9E-02	5.10
	Y62E10A.13	0.32	1.63	1.31	1.0E+00	1.2E-03	6.3E-02	8.12
	pck-1	0.78	1.58	0.81	1.0E+00	4.7E-03	1.0E+00	8.81
	C39E9.8	0.73	1.57	0.84	1.0E+00	3.5E-03	1.0E+00	7.33
	C17C3.1	0.74	1.48	0.74	1.0E+00	2.3E-04	1.0E+00	5.83
	acs-2	1.06	1.37	0.30	2.0E-01	5.2E-03	1.0E+00	5.78
	cysl-1	0.34	1.33	0.99	1.0E+00	7.1E-04	1.2E-01	6.73
	lips-10	0.58	1.33	0.74	1.0E+00	4.1E-03	1.0E+00	6.50
	Y11D7A.10	0.19	1.19	1.00	1.0E+00	3.5E-03	8.6E-02	6.40

Table 1: Differentially regulated genes after exposure to high H₂S identified by RNAseq. Bookmarked genes were upregulated significantly more in animals previously exposed to low H₂S than in naïve controls (but were induced by exposure to high H₂S in the naïve animals). Not bookmarked genes were upregulated the same in treated animals and naïve controls. Naïve only genes upregulated only in the previously untreated controls. Bookmark only genes were significantly induced only in animals that had previously been exposed to low H₂S.

A. Physiological memory of H₂S exposure

C. Hypoxia can induce acclimation

B. Bookmarking is time and dose dependent

Fig 1: Exposure to low H₂S induces an environmental bookmark.

A. Survival of animals exposed to high H₂S (black). In the schematic, time in low H₂S is colored yellow, time in room air without H₂S is white, and black indicates when animals were challenged with exposure to high H₂S. TOP ROW: Naïve animals grown in the absence of H₂S (open white). SECOND ROW, animals grown in low H₂S (50 ppm) throughout development. THIRD ROW: Animals exposed to low H₂S for 6 h as L4 and then immediately moved to high H₂S. BOTTOM ROW: Embryos exposed to low H₂S for 6 h, and then raised in room air without H₂S for 48 h. As noted in red below the bottom row, we refer to the initial exposure to low H₂S as acclimation, time in the absence of H₂S as the memory period, and the subsequent exposure to high H₂S as the challenge. Each exposure was repeated at least three times with at least 30 animals in each cohort.

B. Time-dose response to form the H₂S bookmark. Animals were acclimated to each indicated concentrations of H₂S for the indicated time as L1, then challenged with 150 ppm H₂S as L4.

C. Survival of animals exposed to 150 ppm H₂S after previous exposure to hypoxia. Wild-type L4 animals were exposed to 5,000 ppm O₂ for 5h and then either moved directly to high H₂S (hypoxia) or allowed to recover in room air for 3h before exposure to high H₂S (hypoxia + RA). Control animals remained in room air (RA) or were exposed to low H₂S for 5 h (low H₂S). Each point is the average survival from one independent experiment with at least 30 animals in each cohort for each experiment. Lines indicate the mean and standard deviation of the four independent experiments.

A. H₂S bookmarking during development

C. Bookmarking in aging animals.

B. Persistence of the H₂S bookmark

Fig 2: H₂S bookmarks are persistent but reverse spontaneously with age.

A. H₂S bookmarking during development. Animals at each developmental stage were exposed to low H₂S for 4 h, then returned to room air for 48 h before challenge with high H₂S.

B. H₂S bookmark persistence. Animals were exposed to low H₂S for 12 h as embryos and then returned to room air for the indicated period of time before challenge with high H₂S.

C. Bookmarking in aging animals. Animals were exposed to low H₂S for 12 h at the indicated age, then returned to room air for 48 h before challenge with high H₂S. For all panels, each experiment was repeated independently at least 4 times with 30-40 animals in each cohort.

Error bars are standard deviation of the mean.

A. Bookmarking is reversed in starved L1.

B. H₂S exposure does not form bookmarks in starved L1

C. Fasting reverses H₂S bookmarking.

Fig 3: Fasting erases H₂S bookmarks.

A. Survival of animals exposed to high H₂S when acclimated as embryos. Embryos were acclimated to low H₂S for 4 h, then allowed to hatch in the presence or absence of food. After 12 h, L1 that hatched without food were moved to plates with food. When animals were L4 they were challenged with high H₂S.

B. Survival of animals acclimated to H₂S in the absence of food. Embryos isolated from naïve adults were allowed to hatch in the absence of food overnight. Starved L1 were moved to plates ± food, then exposed to low H₂S for 12 h. All animals were returned to house air and grown to L4/young adult on plates with food, then challenged with high H₂S.

C. H₂S bookmarking is reversed by fasting, but not other stress responses. As indicated in the schematic, animals were exposed to low H₂S for 4 h as embryos, then returned to room air. During the 48 h recovery time animals were transiently exposed to food deprivation (fasted; green), hypoxia (blue; 1,000 ppm O₂ for 24h), or heat shock (red; 37 °C for 1 h). The animals were then challenged with high H₂S as L4/young adult. In all panels, graphs show mean survival ± standard deviation of at least 5 independent experiments with 30-40 animals in each experiment. ***, p < 0.001; **, p < 0.01.

Figure 4

A. SQRD-1::GFP expression

B. Transcript abundance of H₂S-induced genes 48 h after acclimation

C. Reactivation of SQRD-1::GFP expression in bookmarked animals

D. Reactivation of H₂S-induced genes in bookmarked animals.

Fig 4: H₂S bookmarking is associated with transcriptional reactivation of H₂S-induced genes.

A. Representative images showing expression of the SQRD-1::GFP translational fusion protein in the absence of H₂S (room air; left), after 8 h in low H₂S (middle), and then 48 h after return to room air (right). In all images anterior is toward the top.

B. qRT-PCR measurement of abundance of mRNA from known H₂S-induced genes. Animals were exposed to low H₂S for 12 h and then returned to room air for 48 h. Graph shows mean transcript abundance relative to room air controls. Error bars are standard deviation of at least three experimental replicates. ns: not significant.

C. Representative images showing expression of the SQRD-1::GFP translational reporter in naïve animals exposed to low or high H₂S, and in bookmarked animals exposed to high H₂S. All animals were first-day adults. Representative images are shown, with measured fluorescence intensity of cohorts of 30-40 animals shown in the graph.

D. Transcriptional reactivation of H₂S-inducible genes in bookmarked animals. Transcript abundance of known H₂S-inducible genes was measured by qRT-PCR after animals were exposed to high H₂S for 1 h. The change in transcript abundance relative to untreated controls is shown for naïve and bookmarked animals. Mean $\pm$ standard deviation is shown. ****, p-value < 0.0001; **, p-value < 0.01; *, p-value < 0.05; ns, not significant.

Figure 5

Fawcett et al.

A. *set-2* and the CoREST-like complex are required to maintain H₂S bookmarking

B. *set-2* and *spr-5* are not required for acclimation to H₂S

Fig 5: The SET-2 histone demethylase and CoREST histone methyltransferase are required to maintain H₂S bookmarking.

A. Bookmarking defects of *set-2* and CoREST-like complex members. Animals were exposed to low H₂S for 4 h as embryos, then grown in room air for 48 h. Survival of challenge in high H₂S is shown. The *set-2* (purple) and *spr-5* (green) mutant phenotypes were found in a candidate screen of 250 genes (listed in Supplementary Table 1). *spr-5*, *spr-1*, *spr-3*, and *spr-4* are members of the CoREST-like complex, *wdr-5.1* is a component of the ASH complex, and *rbr-2* is a histone demethylase that counteracts the ASH methyltransferase (see references in main text). Graph shows mean $\pm$ standard deviation of at least 5 independent experiments with 30-40 animals in each cohort.

B. Acclimation of *set-2* and *spr-5* mutant animals. Animals were exposed to low H₂S for 8h as L4 and then moved directly to high H₂S overnight. Average survival is shown. Error bars are standard deviation of the mean. Graph shows mean $\pm$ standard deviation of at least 5 independent experiments with 30-40 animals in each cohort.

Figure 6

Fawcett et al.

Fig 6: Transcriptional reactivation in bookmarked animals requires *set-2* and *spr-5*.

A. Transcript levels were measured by qRT-PCR for naïve and bookmarked animals exposed to high H₂S for 1 h. The relative fold-change of transcript abundance ($\Delta\Delta C_t(\text{bookmarked}) / \Delta\Delta C_t(\text{naïve})$) is shown $\pm$ standard deviation. In all panels, N2 is in blue, *set-2(n4589)* is in purple, and *spr-5(by134)* is in green. In all panels ****, p-value < 0.0001; **, p-value < 0.01; *, p-value < 0.05; if not indicated, not significant.

B. The initial transcriptional response to low H₂S does not require *set-2* or *spr-5*. Transcript abundance for each H₂S-inducible gene was measured in *set-2(n4589)* and *spr-5(by134)* mutant animals after 1 h exposure to low H₂S. Graph shows mean change in transcript abundance, relative to untreated controls ($\Delta\Delta C_t \pm$ standard deviation).

C. *set-2* and *spr-5* mutant animals can be preconditioned to H₂S. Animals of each genotype were exposed to low H₂S for 24 h, then moved to high H₂S for 1 h. The transcriptional response of these preconditioned animals is not distinguishable from wild-type controls. Graph shows $\Delta\Delta C_t \pm$ standard deviation.

Supplemental Figure 1

Fawcett et al.

Bookmarking is specific to H₂S.

- A. Survival of animals exposed to high H₂S. L4 animals were exposed to either low H₂S for 4 h, 37°C for 1 h (heat shock), or hypoxia (1,000 ppm or 5,000 ppm O₂) for 16 h. After treatment, animals were returned to room air. 48 hours later, animals were challenged with high H₂S and scored for survival.
- B. General stress response pathways are not required for H₂S bookmarking. Animals with mutations in genes involved in general stress responses were adapted to low H₂S and challenged with high H₂S 48 hours later. For both panels, each experiment was repeated at least five times, with 30-40 animals. Graphs shows mean +/- SD. Statistical comparisons were between controls (animals in house air (HA) or the N2 wild-type strain) and treatment groups: ****, p-value < 0.0001; ns, not significant.