The effect of tongue-tie application on stress responses in resting horses

Laura Marsh^{1*}, Paul McGreevy² Susan Hazel¹, Luiz Santos¹, Michelle Hebart¹ and Samantha Franklin¹

*Corresponding author: laura.latimer-marsh@adelaide.edu.au

¹The Equine Health and Performance Centre, School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences, University of Adelaide, Roseworthy Campus, SA5371, AUSTRALIA.

² Sydney School of Veterinary Science, University of Sydney, NSW 2006, AUSTRALIA

Abstract

1
2 Tongue-ties (TT) are commonly applied to both Standardbred and Thoroughbred racehorses to increase control,
3 by preventing them from getting their tongue over the bit, and as a conservative treatment for equine respiratory
4 conditions, principally dorsal displacement of the soft palate. This study investigated responses to TT application
5 in horses, at rest, using both behavioural (head-tossing, ear position, gaping and lip licking) and physiological
6 (salivary cortisol concentrations, eye surface temperature and heart rate) indices. Twelve Standardbred horses (six
7 of which were naïve to TT) were used in a randomised crossover design. The study comprised 3 phases; Phase 1
8 (Baseline), Phase 2 (Treatment), and Phase 3 (Recovery). At phase 2, tongue tie application (TTA) was performed
9 using a rubber band that was looped around the tongue and secured to the mandible for 20 minutes. The control
10 treatment (C) incorporated 30 secs of tongue manipulation, at the start of the 20 min, however no TT was applied.
11 Behaviours (head- tossing, ear position, mouth gaping and lip-licking) and heart rate (HR) were recorded for the
12 duration of the study and analysed in ten minute intervals. Salivary samples were taken at the end of each phase
13 for subsequent cortisol assays and infrared thermography images were taken of each eye at 5-minute intervals.
14 Statistical analyses were performed in SPSS using linear mixed effects models and repeated measures general
15 linear models, to determine differences between treatments and within treatments, over time. Compared to control,
16 there was more head-tossing/shaking ($p<0.001$), gaping ($p<0.001$) and backwards ear position ($p<0.001$) and less
17 forward ear position ($p<0.001$) during TTA, in Phase 2. Horses with previous experience of TT showed more
18 head-tossing ($p=0.040$) and gaping ($p=0.030$) than naïve horses. Lip-licking was more frequent after TTA
19 treatment than control, during Phase 3 ($p<0.001$). Salivary cortisol concentrations increased after TTA
20 ($1846.1\text{pg/mL} \pm 478.3\text{pg/mL}$ vs $1253.6\text{pg/mL} \pm 491.6\text{pg/mL}$, $p=0.047$). Mean HR, and mean right and left eye

temperature did not differ significantly between treatments in any phase (all $p > 0.05$). The findings of this study suggest the application of a tongue-tie causes changes to both behavioural and physiological parameters suggestive of a stress-related response. Further research is needed that will enable racing and sport horse regulatory bodies to make informed decisions about the appropriate use of tongue-ties in horses.

Keywords: tongue-tie; horse; welfare; cortisol; thermography

Introduction

The tongue-tie (TT) is a form of tack modification that has been used in horses for over 100 years (Fleming, 1889). The device is used to hold the tongue in a fixed position and may be made from a rubber band, leather strap, nylon stocking or similar material, that is tied below the jaw or at either side of the horse's mouth to the bit, after being looped around the tongue. Early reports suggest that TTs were used to prevent abnormal noise and airway obstruction arising as a result of the horse "retracting the tongue so as to force back the soft palate to such an extent that it interferes with the passage of air between the nasal passages and the larynx" (Fleming, 1898). Today, TT use remains commonplace in both Standardbred and Thoroughbred racehorses, throughout the world (1-6). Their primary putative purposes are firstly to conservatively address airway patency issues, principally due to dorsal displacement of the soft palate (DDSP) and improve performance and secondly, to aid control of the horse by preventing it from getting its tongue over the bit (3, 6, 7). Dorsal displacement of the soft palate, one of the most common causes of dynamic airway obstruction during strenuous exercise is thought to affect approximately 20% of racehorses (Pollock et al. 2009; Priest et al., 2012.). Tongue-ties are frequently used as the first line of conservative treatment by trainers and may also be used in combination with surgical management (Franklin et al., 2001; Barakzai and Dixon, 2005; Barakzai et al., 2009a). However, the efficacy and the exact mechanism by which the TT may aid in prevention of DDSP remains controversial (Beard *et al.* 2001; Cornelisse *et al.* 2001; Franklin *et al.* 2002;(5, 8).

Over recent years, concerns regarding potential welfare issues associated with TT use have been raised by animal welfare organisations (Barakzai, 2009b). This has led to these devices being banned from a number of equestrian disciplines under *Federation Equestre Internationale* regulations (9). Anecdotal reports suggest that routine TT application may cause damage to the tongue (including lacerations, dysphagia, bruising, swelling, discolouration and paralysis) (10). A recent South Australian survey identified that 26.3% of Standardbred racehorse trainers reported complications relating to tongue-tie use, mostly associated with swelling of and superficial cuts to the tongue, as well as changes in behaviour including head shyness (11). A study in the UK also reported that tongue-

ties were not well tolerated in young Thoroughbred racehorses (12). This implies that the horses must habituate to the aversiveness of the procedure (McGreevy and McLean, 2010). It is not clear how long horses take to habituate to TTs and whether they ever do so completely. Whenever sustained pressure is used to modify horse behaviour, the principles of ethical training as espoused by the International Society for Equitation Science (ISES 2011) are compromised and negative reinforcement that relies on the *release* of pressure, cannot take place. The application of various training devices in horses, including bit attachments and restrictive nosebands, have been reported to result in pain and stress responses, thus compromising welfare (13-15).

Appropriate assessment of stress in animals involves the integration of measurement of both behaviour and physiology. Changes in behaviour can provide a useful and immediate means of assessing the response of an animal to its environment, and shifts in demeanour, posture, gait and interactive behaviour may be associated with presence of pain or stress (16). Head behaviours (such as head-shaking/-tossing and ear positioning) as well as oral behaviours (gaping and lip-licking), have been used to estimate an animal's affective state (17). To date, the physiological assessment of stress in horses has been based primarily on changes in endocrine function (18), as well as parameters that reflect changes in autonomic functioning including heart rate and eye temperature (ET) (18-20). Salivary cortisol concentration is established as a non-invasive indicator of stress because it reflects activation of the hypothalamo-pituitary-adrenocortical axis (HPA) (21, 22). Measurement of maximum ET using infrared thermography has the potential to assess both acute and chronic stress in animals, reflecting changes to peripheral blood flow during increased sympathetic output (22-25). Heart rate variability (HRV) is a measure of autonomic function and can be used to determine the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic tone (20, 24, 26, 27).

The effect of tongue-tie application on stress responses in horses has not yet been investigated. The aim of this study was to determine the effect of TT application on stress responses in resting horses. It was hypothesised that the application of a TT would induce a stress response, resulting in increased concentration of salivary cortisol, ET, HR and conflict/agitated behaviours. We also hypothesised that the stress response would be exacerbated in horses that were naive to TT application.

76

77 **Materials and Methods**

78

79 **Animals and husbandry**

80 This study used Standardbred horses (n=12), comprising mares (n=8) and geldings (n=4) aged 11.5 ± 3.0 years
81 (mean $\pm$ s.d) and weighing 487 ± 33.9 kg (mean $\pm$ s.d). Animals were subjected to a health check prior to the trial,
82 and deemed free from illness or injury. On experimental days, horses were brought in from the paddock, and
83 housed in day yards before being secured in stocks during the experiment. Horses wore a halter only, with no bit
84 and were loosely tied with a rope to the side bar of the stocks. All procedures were approved by the University of
85 Adelaide Animal Ethics Committee (S-2015-141, 02/07/2015), and performed in accordance with the *Australian*
86 *Code of Practice for the Care and Use of Animals for Scientific Purposes*, 8th addition (2013) (28).

87 **Experimental Design**

88 The study was based on a randomised crossover design comprising two treatment groups: tongue-tie application
89 (TTA), and control (C). Horses were classified as either naïve (n=6) or having previous experience of TTA during
90 their racing careers (n=6). Horses were pseudo-randomised and assigned to treatments so that 6 horses (3
91 experienced and 3 naïve to TT), would have TTA on day 1 followed by C on Day 2, and 6 horses (3 experienced
92 and 3 naïve), received C on Day 1 followed by TTA on Day 2. For each horse, treatments were performed at the
93 same time on both days to take account of diurnal rhythm in physiological parameters. For each treatment, horses
94 were observed for a total of 80 minutes, which was divided into three phases (Phase 1: 30-minute baseline; Phase
95 2: 20-minute treatment; and Phase 3: 30-minute recovery). The application of a TT was performed by the same
96 operator, using a rubber band measuring 152mm x 15mm (Belgrave rubber band; size 106;
97 www.quillstationary.com.au). Following industry practice, the band was looped twice around the tongue, and then
98 secured to the lower jaw by looping the TT around the mandible (Figure 1). A new tongue-tie was used for each
99 horse. The duration of 20 minutes was based on the median duration of TT use reported during training and racing
100 in a previous study (Findley *et al.*, 2015). During C, tongue manipulation was performed for 30 seconds at the start
101 of phase 2. This involved grasping of the tongue to mimic the initial process required for application of a TT,
102 however no TT was placed. On each experimental day, horses were brought into the barn in pairs, and individually
103 restrained within stocks for 10 minutes to acclimatise to the surroundings and to allow instrumentation of the
104 horses. During each treatment period, as one horse was treated, the other stood by, as a companion.

Fitting a tongue-tie to a racehorse

105

106 **Figure 1** Horse with elastic tongue-tie in place. In alignment with industry practice, the device is looped twice
107 around the tongue, and then the tongue secured to the lower mandible. NB: The horses in the current study did not
108 wear bits. (Illustration from (29), republished under Creative Commons licence.)

109

110 Behavioural Measures

111 Behavioural data were recorded using two digital cameras (GoPro® HERO3), each mounted on a tripod placed at
112 either side of the stock at 90° to the horse's head, at a distance of 1.5m. Recording commenced at the start of Phase
113 1 and ceased after completion of Phase 3. The behaviours recorded from the video record included oral (gaping,
114 lip-licking) and head-related behaviours (head-tossing, ear positioning), that have previously categorised as either
115 positive/relaxed or negative/agitated (Table 1) (17). Behaviours were measured as a duration (% of time), apart
116 from lip-licking which was measured as a frequency (n). Video clips of ten minute duration (last ten minutes of
117 phase 1 all of phase 2 and the first and last 10 minutes of phase 3) were used for behavioural analysis using

behaviour analysis software (Mangold International GmbH, version interact 8) (Table 2). Analysis could not be blinded because it was not possible to obscure the observer's view of the tongue. All behavioural analysis was conducted by the same observer to minimise inter-observer variation.

121

Table 1: Head-related and oral behaviours recorded in horses during and after tongue-tie application or tongue manipulation. Behaviours were categorised as either positive/relaxed or negative/agitated (17).

Behaviour	Category	Description	Measurement
Head-tossing	Agitated/ conflict	Repeated throwing/tossing of the head either in an dorsal, ventral or lateral direction	Duration (%)
Ears back	Agitated	Ears were in a backwards position; both pinnae facing backwards	Duration (%)
Ears forward	Relaxed	Ears were in a forward position; both pinnae facing forward	Duration (%)
Lip-licking	Ambiguous	Protrusion of the tongue to lick the lower or upper lips.	Frequency (n)
Gaping	Conflict	Repeated opening of the mouth such that space is visible between upper and lower jaw	Duration (%)

124

125

Table 2: Descriptions of the phases and time points for analysis of behaviours.

Phase	Time point (minutes)	Description
1	20-30	Baseline
2	0-10	T1
2	10-20	T2
3	0-10	Post1
3	20-30	Post2

127

128 **Physiological measures**

129

130 **Salivary Cortisol**

131 Saliva samples were collected using Salivette® (Sarstedt, Sweden) swabs, at the end of each phase. The swab was
 132 placed in the horse's oral cavity (between the cheek and the teeth) using surgical forceps and moved around gently
 133 for 30 seconds. Swabs were stored on ice before being transported to the laboratory, where the tubes were
 134 centrifuged (1000g for 10 minutes) and samples frozen at -20°C until later analysis. The saliva was analysed for
 135 cortisol concentration, using a commercially available ABOR Enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA)
 136 (Ann Arbor, Michigan 48108-3284 United States). Sensitivity and limit of detection were determined to be 17.3
 137 pg/mL and 45.4pg/mL, respectively. All samples were run in duplicate and results expressed as pg/mL. The intra-
 138 assay coefficient of variation determined from duplicates of a control saliva sample in each assay plate (n=3) was
 139 16.7% and the inter-assay coefficient of variation was 5.9%.

140 **Eye temperature**

141 An infrared camera (ThermaCam S60, FLIR Systems AB, Danderyd, Sweden) was used to collect thermographic
 142 images of the eye at 5-minute intervals for the duration of the study period, as per previous studies (Yarnell et al.,
 143 2013). At each time point, three images were taken of the right and left eye, and the clearest of the three used for
 144 analysis. Images were taken 90° from the front of the horse at a distance of 0.5-1.0 meters. Atmospheric
 145 temperature and relative humidity were recorded every 30 minutes from the Bureau of Meteorology (BOM)
 146 Roseworthy weather station, and values calibrated during image analysis. Maximum eye temperature (°C) was
 147 determined using FLIR Tools version 5.6, (FLIR Systems AB, Danderyd, Sweden), with the analysis of
 148 temperature taken from within the medial posterior palpebral border of the lower eyelid and lacrimal caruncle
 149 (Figure 2).

150

151

152 **Figure 2:** Thermographic image taken of a horse's left eye. Maximum eye temperature was taken from within the
153 medial posterior palpebral border of the lower eyelid and lacrimal caruncle.

154

155 Heart Rate

156 Heart rate was recorded using a telemetric ECG system (Televet 100; Engel Engineering Service GmbH; 63150
157 Heusenstamm, Germany). Adhesive electrodes were attached to the skin of the thorax in a modified base-apex
158 configuration (with the left arm (+) and left leg (+) electrodes placed on the thorax, over the apex of the heart and
159 the right arm (-) and reference electrodes placed over the left shoulder). These were connected to the Televet unit,
160 which was fixed to a surcingle. ECG data was recorded onto a SD card and uploaded to a laptop computer after
161 the conclusion of the test. The Televet software was used to calculate RR intervals (using a cut off value of 20%
162 maximum deviation of consecutive RR intervals). ECG traces were checked and artefacts corrected manually prior
163 to subsequent analysis.

164 Statistical Analysis

165 Statistical analysis was performed using SPSS 23 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) Data are reported as mean $\pm$
166 SEM. Data were tested for normality and homogeneity using the Kolomogorov-Smirnov and Levene test,
167 respectively. Non-normally distributed data were log10 transformed. A linear mixed-effects model was used to
168 analyse mean behaviour, salivary cortisol concentration, eye temperature and heart rate. Behaviour data were
169 analysed from the last 10 minutes during phase 1, all of phase 2 and the first and last 10 minutes of phase 3. The
170 model included fixed effects (treatment type, day, time, previous experience, sex and treatment sequence), and
171 random effects (Horse ID). Baseline measurements for mean salivary cortisol concentration, eye temperature and
172 heart rate were included as a covariate due to high inter-horse variability at baseline. A repeated measures general
173 linear model was used to determine differences within treatments over time for both behaviour and physiological

parameters, using within-subject effects of time, and between-subject effects as treatment. Variables were considered significantly different when $p < 0.05$.

Results

Behavioural assessment

Head-tossing

Horses spent significantly more time head-tossing during TTA than C in both T1 ($21.3 \% \pm 3.6 \%$ vs $1.1 \% \pm 0.4 \%$; $p = 0.001$), and T2 ($26.0 \% \pm 3.7 \%$ vs $0.6 \% \pm 0.2 \%$; $p = 0.001$) (Figure 3). A significant association was observed between previous TT experience and head-tossing behaviour, in that horses with previous exposure to TT tossed their heads for longer ($26.7 \% \pm 5.6 \%$) at T1 than naive horses ($16.0 \% \pm 3.6 \%$) ($p=0.04$; Figure 4). No other factors had a significant effect on head-tossing.

Figure 3: Mean ($\pm$ SEM) percentage of time spent displaying head-tossing behaviour (%) for TTA and C groups over time. Values indicated with different subscripts (a, b) are significantly different ($p < 0.05$). Key: Baseline = 10 minutes before treatment; T1 = first 10 minutes of treatment phase; T2 = last 10 minutes of treatment phase; post 1 = first 10 minutes of recovery; post 2 = last 10 minutes of recovery)

191

192

193

194

195 **Figure 4:** Mean $\pm$ SEM time spent displaying head-tossing behaviour (%) of naïve vs horses with prior experience

196 of TT during TTA and C. Values with different subscripts (a,b,c) are significantly different ($p < 0.05$).

197

198 Ear position

199 Horses undergoing TTA spent significantly more time with their ears in a backwards position than C at three time
200 points: T1 (62.6 % $\pm$ 4.8 % vs 9.4 % $\pm$ 2.1 %; $p < 0.001$), T2 (74.7 % $\pm$ 4.6 % vs 9.3 % $\pm$ 2.7 %; $p < 0.001$) and Post
201 1 (18.9 % $\pm$ 6.6 % vs 9.0 % $\pm$ 2.1 %; $p = 0.021$) (Figure 5). Correspondingly, there was a significant difference in
202 the time spent with their ears forwards in C compared to TTA at T1 (27.5 % $\pm$ 3.3 % vs 3.1 % $\pm$ 0.8 %; $p < 0.001$)
203 and T2 (29.3 % $\pm$ 5.2 % vs 3.4 % $\pm$ 0.7 %; $p < 0.001$).

204 Horses spent more time with their ears backwards with increasing time wearing the TT: there was a significant
205 difference between T1 and T2, ($p < 0.001$) (figure 5). Once the TT was removed the time spent with ears in a
206 backwards position was significantly decreased compared with T1 and T2 ($P < 0.001$) (Figure 5).

207 Time of testing also had an effect at T2, with afternoon-tested horses spending more time with their ears backwards
208 than morning-tested horses (47.8 % $\pm$ 7.1 % vs 37.8 % $\pm$ 6.5 %; $p = 0.041$). At Post 1, horses spent significantly
209 more time with ears backwards on Day 1 compared to Day 2 (19.0 % $\pm$ 6.6 % vs 9.2 % $\pm$ 2.2 %; $p = 0.025$).
210 Accordingly, during Post 1, horses spent less time with ears facing forward on Day 1 compared to Day 2 (24.4 %
211 $\pm$ 3.3 % vs 32.1 % $\pm$ 2.4 %; $p = 0.010$).

212 An interaction between previous experience and treatment showed horses with prior experience of tongue-ties
213 spent less time with ears forward compared to naive horses at Post 1 (21.3 % $\pm$ 6.5 % vs 28.5 % $\pm$ 3.3 %; $p = 0.032$).

214 No other factors had a significant relationship with ear position

215

216

217

Figure 5: Mean duration of ears positioned backwards of horses (n=12) in TT and C throughout trial. ^{a-b-c-d} Values indicated with different subscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

Gaping

No horses showed gaping behaviour during the baseline recordings. In C, no gaping was observed in any horse throughout the recording period. However, during TTA, horses spent significant amounts of time showing gaping behaviour both at T1 (58.2 % $\pm$ 3.4 % TTA vs 0.0 % $\pm$ 0.0 % C; p< 0.001) and T2 (48.9 % $\pm$ 3.7 % TTA vs 0.0 % $\pm$ 0.0 % C; p< 0.001) (Figure 6). Gaping declined significantly after TT removal (4.60% $\pm$ 0.66%) p< 0.001 during post 1) and no gaping was observed by 20 minutes post removal.

There was a significant interaction between previous TT experience and gaping behaviour: horses with previous experience of TT gaped more than naive horses at T2 (58.1 % $\pm$ 2.6 % vs 48.9 % $\pm$ 4.3 %; p= 0.030). No other factors had a significant relationship with gaping.

Figure 6: Mean duration of gaping behaviour of horses (n=12) during TTA throughout trial. ^{a-b} Values indicated with different subscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

236 Lip-licking

237 Lip-licking was observed significantly more frequently in TTA than in C at Baseline (12.8 ± 2.7 vs 6.3 ± 1.7 ;
238 $p=0.014$), Post 1 (32.9 ± 4.1 vs 3.8 ± 1.40 ; $p<0.001$) and Post 2 (20.1 ± 2.2 vs 3.6 ± 1.0 ; $p<0.001$) (Figure 7). Lip
239 licking was not possible during TTA due to the tongue being secured to the mandible. However, there was a
240 significant increase in lip licking following TT removal at Post 1 (32.02 ± 14.29 ; $p<0.001$), and Post 2 ($20.08 \pm$
241 7.63 TTA; $p<0.001$) compared to baseline (12.08 ± 2.31 ; $p<0.001$), Subsequently, there was a significant reduction
242 in lip-licking between Post 1 and Post 2 (32.9 ± 4.1 vs 20.1 ± 2.2 ; $p<0.001$).

243

244

245 **Figure 7:** Mean frequency of lip-licking behaviour of horses (n=12) during TTA and C throughout trial. ^{a-b-c-d-e}

246 Values indicated with different subscripts are significantly different ($p<0.05$).

247 Physiological assessment

248 Cortisol

249 Salivary cortisol concentration did not differ between horses with TTA and C at Phase 1 ($p= 1.29$) or phase 2 ($p=$
250 0.89). A significant difference in saliva cortisol concentration was observed between horses at Phase 3, with horses
251 showing increased salivary cortisol concentrations after TTA than compared to C ($1846.1\text{pg/mL} \pm 478.3\text{pg/mL}$ vs
252 $1253.6\text{pg/mL} \pm 491.6\text{pg/mL}$; $p=0.047$) (Figure 8).

Figure 8: Mean saliva cortisol concentration (pg/mL) in TTA and C throughout trial.

Eye temperature

No significant difference in mean right and left eye temperature was observed between treatments during any phase of the experiment Phase 1 ($36.1 \pm 0.08^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.2 \pm 0.10^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.485$ and $36.25 \pm 0.10^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.4 \pm 0.13^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.463$), Phase 2 ($36.2 \pm 0.16^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.3 \pm 0.10^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.190$ and $36.3 \pm 0.11^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.5 \pm 0.13^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.234$) and Phase 3 ($36.1 \pm 0.08^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.4 \pm 0.18^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.215$ and $36.3 \pm 0.09^{\circ}\text{C}$ TTA vs $36.5 \pm 0.07^{\circ}\text{C}$; $p=0.369$), respectively.

Heart rate

Mean HR did not differ significantly between TTA and C at any time point (Figure 9). However, there was a trend for horses in the TTA group to have a higher mean heart rate compared with C at T2 ($43\text{bpm} \pm 2.4\text{bpm}$ vs $37\text{bpm} \pm 2.5\text{bpm}$; $p=0.079$), followed by a reduction in HR in this group of horses after TT removal (figure 9)

Figure 9: Mean heart rate (bpm) in TT and C throughout trial.

Discussion

In this study, both behavioural and physiological indices were used to investigate possible indicators of stress in horses during and following TT application. The results support the hypothesis, suggesting TTA resulted in changes to behavioural and physiological parameters that may be indicative of a stress response.

Behavioural responses

Behavioural responses to a discrete challenge can offer an immediate, easily identifiable and non-invasive means of assessing an animal's affective state (17). Changes in the behaviours involving the head, ears and mouth have been reported to reflect horses in relaxed or agitated states (30). Conflict behaviour has been described as a response exhibited by animals that have difficulty coping with competing motivations associated with resistance to handling, training or equipment (31, 32). It is possible that horses wearing a TT are highly motivated to move their tongues and so they can be expected to attempt various oral and lingual manoeuvres to free themselves of the restraint.

Head-tossing

Head-tossing behaviour in horses has been shown to reflect agitated or conflict behaviour caused by irritation or pain to the mouth (33). In the current study, horses exposed to TTA spent significantly more time head-tossing

during Phase 2 compared to C, suggesting that the presence of a TT may be irritating and/or results in discomfort or pain, inducing a negative affective state in these animals. Horses with previous experience to TTA showed more head-tossing than those with no previous experience. A recent study found the application of TT was not well tolerated by naïve horses, confirming the need for habituation (12). Habituation of novel stimuli by means of repeated exposure to that stimulus, may allow animals to become accustomed to the environment and thus reduce fear responsiveness (34). However, in the current study, habituation did not take place during the treatments nor was there evidence of it having occurred before the treatments took place. Indeed, the frequency of head tossing behavior increased between T1 and T2 and prior experience of TT use resulted in more behavioral responses to them. Information on the horses' most recent previous exposure to TT, including the duration or tightness of repeated exposure was not available for horses in this study. Therefore, future research should explore how horses' attempts to remove TT decline over time. This is important because the eventual disappearance of attempts to remove TT may be seen as either habituation or mark the point at which horses reach learned helplessness (Hall et al 2007).

Ear position

Backwards positioning of the ears is reported to reflect negative affective states, including fear, discomfort, submission, avoidance, pain or aggression in horses (35-37). In our study, horses spent more time with their ears backwards during TTA and immediately after removal compared to C. These findings align with those from a study of horses ridden with their necks in a hyper-flexed position, in that horses spent more time with ears backwards when hyperflexed than when they were not hyperflexed, possibly suggesting an association between hyperflexion of the neck and a negative experience (38). During Phase 2, horses with TTA spent more time with their ears backwards at T2 than at T1. This may suggest that over the course of the 20 minutes of the current treatment, the horses' discomfort, submission, avoidance or discomfort increased. This is consistent with previous research showing that the intensity, duration and frequency of a stressor generally correlates with the intensity of the stress responses that manifest (39). During Post 1, the time spent with ears in a backwards position was also higher with TTA than C. This may suggest that horses subjected to TTA may still be recovering from the effects of treatment into what we anticipated to be a wash-out period.

When horses have their ears facing in forwards are often regarded being relaxed or in a positive affective state (40). Another study reported ears facing forward as a feature of horses displaying interest or pleasure towards experienced handlers in contrast to inexperienced handlers (41). During TTA in the current study, horses spent less time with their ears forward at T1 and T2. This may suggest that during TTA, horses are less relaxed than during C. As with head-tossing, time spent with ears forwards was associated with previous experience. Horses

with prior experience of TTA showed decreased time with ears in a forward position compared to naïve horses. Holding the ears back is recognised as a sign of pain (42) so this finding could suggest that they have learned to associate the sensation of having their tongues tied with a negative affective state. It may also suggest that the experienced horses have learned that, rather than being swiftly transient, the restraint persists for some time.

321 **Gaping**

Gaping or opening of the mouth has been categorised as an agitated behaviour, where increases in this behaviour may reflect a negative affective state in horses (17). Gaping has been suggested to be a reflection of horses showing escape or avoidance type behaviours in response to the presence of a bit (40, 43). In the present study, no bit was in place and horses did not perform gaping behaviour at any time point during C. Similarly, horses with TTA showed no gaping behaviour during Phase 1 or Post2. However, at Phase 2, there was increased gaping behaviour during TTA application. Some gaping behaviour was also observed in the 10 minutes after TT removal but this was significantly less than during TTA and was not significantly different to baseline or C. These findings suggest that when tongue-ties were in place, horses may have been performing gaping to avoid or escape the presence of tongue-tie. Similar to other behavioural indices, gaping behaviour was influenced by previous experience to TTA, with horses with previous experience to TT spending increased proportion of time performing gaping behaviour compared to naïve horses.

333 **Lip-licking**

The frequency of lip-licking behaviour during TTA showed a significant decline from Baseline. This probably reflects the fact that horses with tongue-ties are unable to physically perform lip-licking when their tongues are restrained. However, after TTA, horses showed significantly more lip-licking behaviour than at baseline which may represent post-inhibitory rebound behaviour. Post-inhibitory rebound behaviour is the term given to an increase in the expression of a behaviour following a period of restriction or deprivation (44, 45), that may indicate that the deprivation (of the opportunity to perform certain normal behaviours) has deleterious effects on welfare (44, 46). In a similar study that focussed on restrictive nosebands, a post-inhibitory rebound behaviour was observed for yawning, swallowing and lip-licking behaviour (23). That said, the authors of that study noted that post-inhibitory rebound behaviours were observed for all groups with varying noseband tightness, thus the horse's response may have been related to the novelty of having had two bits in the mouth rather than noseband tightness alone. Importantly, in the current study, no significant difference in lip-licking behaviour emerged between horses experienced to TTA and the naïve horses, suggesting the post-inhibitory rebound response observed was likely due to the application of tongue-tie rather than any novelty effect. No significant difference in lip-licking was

347 observed in horses during C treatment between Phases 1, 2 and 3, suggesting that the brief tongue manipulation
348 performed had no effect on the horses' motivation to lick their lips.

349 **Physiological responses**

350 When animals experience stressful stimuli, the body attempts to return to homeostasis by creating alterations in
351 neural, endocrine, immune, hematologic and metabolic functioning (47). Non-invasive sampling procedures
352 (including salivary cortisol, ET and HR), are considered more advantageous in some prey species, as the collection
353 method requires minimal disturbance to the animal and reduces the likelihood of anticipatory or nonspecific stress
354 responses (48, 49).

355 **Salivary cortisol**

356 Elevations of the concentration of glucocorticoid hormone cortisol are regularly used as an indicator of stress (50).
357 A positive correlation has been shown between salivary and plasma cortisol in horses (51). Salivary cortisol
358 provides a non-invasive alternative to plasma cortisol analysis, as blood collection via jugular venepuncture or
359 from jugular catheterisation can cause increase plasma cortisol concentration for up to 130 minutes (52). In the
360 present study, there was no difference in salivary cortisol concentration in horses during C, suggesting that the
361 saliva swabbing itself was not stressful for horses. Horses showed an increase in cortisol following TTA,
362 suggesting that this training device posed enough discomfort to elicit a stress response. Although cortisol
363 concentration did not differ between treatments at Phase 2, we would expect that the increase would be delayed as
364 the time it takes free cortisol to increase in saliva post-stressor is approximately 30 minutes (53). Although baseline
365 values were variable among individuals, the amount of change in cortisol after TTA is similar to previous studies
366 that measured salivary cortisol in response to sham clipping in horses (25), as well as social isolation and the sound
367 of fireworks (30). It is difficult to determine if salivary cortisol increased as a result from the inability to perform
368 normal behaviours when TT is in place, from pain and stress, or a combination of both. However, the fact that
369 TTA was enough to elicit a stress response, suggests that the training device may compromise welfare.

370 **Eye temperature**

371 Changes in eye temperature occur as a consequence of increased body temperature, as well as changes to peripheral
372 blood flow during increased sympathetic output (54). Images of the eye measured using an infrared thermographic
373 camera have been reported to provide a non-invasive method of assessing stress in animals. Previous research in
374 horses using infrared thermography has identified increased eye temperature in association with noseband
375 tightness (23), exercise (22, 24) and fear tests (55). However, others have reported that eye temperature is a poor
376 estimate of core body temperature and is not correlated with accepted measures of stress including heart rate and
377 salivary cortisol concentrations (Soroko et al., 2016). The results of this study found no significant difference in

378 eye temperature between treatments, over time. Factors that may affect the degree of heat emitted from a surface
379 include sunlight, distance, temperature, humidity, and wind (54). All of these factors were included in the image
380 analysis however horses were loosely tied in stalls to allow for freedom for horses perform oral and head
381 behaviours. Given that all horses performed some degree of head-tossing during the trial, it is possible that when
382 taking images of the eye, the required distance of 0.5-1 meter was not always met and this may have influenced the
383 results.

384 **Heart rate**

385 Changes in heart rate have previously been used as a non-invasive measure stress in horses (20, 24, 26, 27). Heart
386 rate is regulated by both the sympathetic and parasympathetic branches of the autonomic nervous system (ANS).
387 Increased heart rate, due to an increase in sympathetic activity, has been associated with a number of husbandry
388 practices including branding procedures, restraint, transport, and social isolation (50, 56) In this study, no
389 significant difference was observed in HR between TTA and C groups over time. However, there was a trend for
390 heart rate to increase at T2 with TTA and to decrease following TT removal. The lack of significant findings may
391 relate to the low number of horses used in this study and the high variation in HR between individuals. In addition,
392 fluctuations in HR are labile and subject to both external and psychological influences (57) and may have been
393 affected by external environmental factors (extreme weather conditions, construction sounds and traffic noise) that
394 occurred on some days but not others. Other studies have used heart rate variability (HRV) as a non-invasive
395 measure of stress in horses (58, 59)). However, this was not deemed appropriate in this study due to the high
396 prevalence of 2nd degree AV block that was observed. 2nd degree AV block has been shown to significantly
397 influence HRV variables when based on RR intervals (60).

398 **Limitations and future research**

399 Although behaviour offers an immediate means of assessing an animal's response to potential stressors, the
400 interpretation of behaviours is often subjective between assessors. Therefore, future studies may benefit from
401 assessing the inter- and intra-observer reliability of the behaviours measured in this study, and thus reducing
402 limitations due to observer bias. This is particularly important in prey species (including the horse), as outward
403 behavioural signs of fear and distresses may be masked as a means of survival (25). The cortisol assay produced
404 large values for both inter and intra coefficient of variation, consequently limiting the reliability of results. This
405 may have been due to error associated with pipetting or contamination from feed material within the samples.
406 Heart rate measurements showed large variation between individuals in this study and may have been influenced
407 by extraneous factors in some horses. This study was also limited by the small sample size. A larger sample size
408 would reduce the variation among individuals and thus increase reliability of results.

409 Conclusion

410 This present study provides novel evidence on the effects of tongue-tie application on stress responses in resting
411 horses, suggesting the application of a TT results in increased agitated/conflict behaviour, decreased desirable
412 relaxed behaviours, and increased salivary cortisol concentration. Further investigation into the appropriate use of
413 TTs in horses should establish whether the costs to the horses, when wearing TT, are offset by the benefits to the
414 horses and other stakeholders. This will enable racing and sport horse regulatory bodies to make informed
415 decisions regarding the continued use of tongue-tie in horses.

416 Acknowledgments

417 The authors would like to thank Kiro Petrovski for the use of the infrared thermographic camera and Sarah Weaver
418 for her assistance with the ELISA assay.

419 References

- 420 1. Sealy H, Findley J, Franklin S. Reasons the tongue tie is used by South Australian and Western
421 Australian Standardbred and Thoroughbred Trainers. School of Animal and Veterinary Sciences.
422 Roseworthy campus: University of Adelaide; 2014. p. 1-11.
- 423 2. Franklin SH, Naylor JRJ, Lane JG. The effect of a tongue-tie in horses with dorsal displacement
424 of the soft palate. *Equine Veterinary Journal*. 2002;34(S34):430-3.
- 425 3. Cornelisse CJ, Holcombe SJ, Derksen FJ, Berney C, Jackson CA. Effect of a tongue-tie on upper
426 airway mechanics in horses during exercise. *American journal of veterinary research*. 2001;62(5):775.
- 427 4. Beard WL, Holcombe SJ, Hinchcliff KW. Effect of a tongue-tie on upper airway mechanics
428 during exercise following sternothyrohyoid myectomy in clinically normal horses. *American journal of*
429 *veterinary research*. 2001;62(5):779.
- 430 5. Barakzai S, Finnegan C, Boden L. Effect of 'tongue tie' use on racing performance of
431 Thoroughbreds in the United Kingdom. *Equine Vet J*. 2009;41(8):812-6.
- 432 6. Chalmers H, Farberman A, Bermingham A, Sears W, Viel L. The use of a tongue tie alters
433 laryngohyoid position in the standing horse. *Equine Vet J*. 2013;45(6):711-4.
- 434 7. Barakzai SZ, Finnegan C, Dixon PM, Hillyer MH, Boden LA. Use of tongue ties in thoroughbred
435 racehorses in the United Kingdom, and its association with surgery for dorsal displacement of the
436 soft palate. *Veterinary Record*. 2009;165(10):278.
- 437 8. Allen KJ, Christley RM, Birchall MA, Franklin SH. A systematic review of the efficacy of
438 interventions for dynamic intermittent dorsal displacement of the soft palate. Oxford, UK2012. p.
439 259-66.
- 440 9. FEI. FEI General Assembly: on the Vet side 2004 [cited 2016 30.10.16]. Available from:
441 <http://inside.fei.org/fei-solr-search/Tongue%20ties>.
- 442 10. Thomas H. Tongue injuries: wounds to your horse;s tongue can easily go unnoticed-but that
443 doesnt mean they can be ignored. *EQUUS*. 2014:38-46.
- 444 11. Findley J, Sealy H, Franklin S. FACTORS ASSOCIATED WITH TONGUE TIE USE IN AUSTRALIAN
445 STANDARDBRED RACEHORSES. *Equine Veterinary Journal*. 2015(50):18.
- 446 12. Pollock P, Perkins J, Kelly P, Reardon R, editors. Longitudinal overground endoscopy findings
447 for conservative management of DDSP. European College of Veterinary Surgeons Annual Scientific
448 Meeting ECV; 2016; Lisbon, Portugal.
- 449 13. McGreevy P, Warren-Smith A, Guisard Y. The effect of double bridles and jaw-clamping crank
450 nosebands on temperature of eyes and facial skin of horses. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical*
451 *Applications and Research*. 2012;7(3):142-8.
- 452 14. McGreevy P, McLean A, Buckley P, McConaghy F, McLean C. How riding may affect welfare:
453 What the equine veterinarian needs to know. *Equine Veterinary Education*. 2011;23(10):531-9.

15. Hockenhull J, Creighton E. The use of equipment and training practices and the prevalence of owner-reported ridden behaviour problems in UK leisure horses. *Equine Veterinary Journal*. 2013;45(1):15-9.
16. Weary DM, Huzzey J, Von Keyserlingk M. BOARD-INVITED REVIEW: Using behavior to predict and identify ill health in animals. *J Anim Sci* 2009. p. 770-7.
17. Thorbergson ZW, Nielsen SG, Beaulieu RJ, Doyle RE. Physiological and Behavioral Responses of Horses to Wither Scratching and Patting the Neck When Under Saddle. *Journal of Applied Animal Welfare Science*. 2016;19(3):245-59.
18. Pierard M, Hall C, König Von Borstel U, Averis A, Hawson L, McLean A, et al. Evolving protocols for research in equitation science. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*. 2015;10(3):255-66.
19. Visser EK, van Reenen CG, van Der Werf JTN, Schilder MBH, Knaap JH, Barneveld A, et al. Heart rate and heart rate variability during a novel object test and a handling test in young horses. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2002;76(2):289-96.
20. Schmidt A, Aurich J, Möstl E, Müller J, Aurich C. Changes in cortisol release and heart rate and heart rate variability during the initial training of 3-year-old sport horses. *Hormones and Behavior*. 2010;58(4):628-36.
21. Peeters M, Sulon J, Serteyn D, Vandenheede M. Assessment of stress level in horses during competition using salivary cortisol: preliminary studies. 2010. p. 216-.
22. Valera M, Bartolomé E, Sánchez MJ, Molina A, Cook N, Schaefer A. Changes in Eye Temperature and Stress Assessment in Horses During Show Jumping Competitions. *Journal of Equine Veterinary Science*. 2012;32(12):827-30.
23. Fenner K, Yoon S, White P, Starling M, McGreevy P, Munderloh UG. The Effect of Noseband Tightening on Horses' Behavior, Eye Temperature, and Cardiac Responses. *PLoS ONE*. 2016;11(5).
24. Bartolomé E, Sánchez MJ, Molina A, Schaefer AL, Cervantes I, Valera M. Using eye temperature and heart rate for stress assessment in young horses competing in jumping competitions and its possible influence on sport performance. 2013;7(12):2044-53.
25. Yarnell K, Hall C, Billett E. An assessment of the aversive nature of an animal management procedure (clipping) using behavioral and physiological measures. *Physiology & Behavior*. 2013;118:32-9.
26. Rietmann TR, Stauffacher M, Bernasconi P, Auer JA, Weishaupt MA. The Association between Heart Rate, Heart Rate Variability, Endocrine and Behavioural Pain Measures in Horses Suffering from Laminitis*. *Journal of Veterinary Medicine Series A*. 2004;51(5):218-25.
27. Piccione G, Bazzano M, Giannetto C, Panzera M, Fazio F. Evaluation of Heart Rate as Marker of Stress during Road Transport in Horses. *Acta Sci Vet*. 2013;41.
28. Australian code for the care and use of animals for scientific purposes, (2013).
29. McGreevy PD, S F. Over 20% of Australian horses race with their tongues tied to their lower jaw: The Conversation; 2018 [cited 2019 11/04/2019]. Available from: <https://theconversation.com/over-20-of-australian-horses-race-with-their-tongues-tied-to-their-lower-jaw-99584>.
30. Young T, Creighton E, Smith T, Hosie C. A novel scale of behavioural indicators of stress for use with domestic horses. *Applied Animal Behaviour Science*. 2012;140(1-2):33-43.
31. Górecka-Bruzda A, Kosińska I, Jaworski Z, Jezierski T, Murphy J. Conflict behavior in elite show jumping and dressage horses. *Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research*. 2015;10(2):137-46.
32. McGreevy P, McLean A, Warren-Smith A, Waran N, Goodwin D, editors. Defining the terms and processes associated with equitation. *Proceedings of the 1st International Equitation Science Symposium*; 2005; Sydney: University Press Melbourne, Australia.
33. Fraser AF. The behaviour and welfare of the horse. 2nd ed. ed. Fraser A, editor. Wallingford, Oxfordshire: CABI pub.; 2010.
34. Christensen JW, Rundgren M, Olsson K. Training methods for horses: habituation to a frightening stimulus. *Equine Veterinary Journal*. 2006;38(5):439-43.

- 506 35. Dalla Costa E, Minero M, Canali E, Lebelt D, Stucke D, Leach MC. Development of the Horse
507 Grimace Scale (HGS) as a pain assessment tool in horses undergoing routine castration. PLoS ONE.
508 2014;9(3).
- 509 36. McDonnell S. A Practical Field Guide to Horse Behavior: The Equid Ethogram (Book Review).
510 2003. p. A1-A.
- 511 37. Waring GH. Horse Behaviour (2nd ed.)
512 2nd ed. Norwich, UK: Noyes Publications/William Andrews Publishing; 2003. 181-2 p.
- 513 38. von Borstel UU, Duncan IJH, Shoveller AK, Merkies K, Keeling LJ, Millman ST. Impact of riding
514 in a coercively obtained Rollkur posture on welfare and fear of performance horses. Applied Animal
515 Behaviour Science. 2009;116(2):228-36.
- 516 39. Gaynor JS. Handbook of Veterinary Pain Management. 3rd ed. ed. Muir WW, III, editor.
517 London: Elsevier Health Sciences; 2014.
- 518 40. Smiet E, Van Dierendonck MC, Sleutjens J, Menheere PPCA, van Breda E, de Boer D, et al.
519 Effect of different head and neck positions on behaviour, heart rate variability and cortisol levels in
520 lunged Royal Dutch Sport horses. The Veterinary Journal. 2014;202(1):26-32.
- 521 41. Stafford KJ. Horse reactions to human attitudes and behavior. Anthrozoos: A
522 Multidisciplinary Journal of The Interactions of People & #38. 2002;15(4):323-31.
- 523 42. Gleeurup KB, Forkman B, Lindegaard C, Andersen PH. An equine pain face. Veterinary
524 Anaesthesia and Analgesia. 2015;42(1):103-14.
- 525 43. McLean AN, McGreevy PD. Horse-training techniques that may defy the principles of learning
526 theory and compromise welfare. Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and Research.
527 2010;5(4):187-95.
- 528 44. Freire R, Buckley P, Cooper JJ. Effects of different forms of exercise on post inhibitory
529 rebound and unwanted behaviour in stabled horses. Equine Veterinary Journal. 2009;41(5):487-92.
- 530 45. Yeon SC. The Effect of Exercise Deprivation on the Behaviour and Physiology of Straight Stall
531 Confined Pregnant Mares. Animal Welfare. 2001;10(3):257-67.
- 532 46. Vestergaard K. Dust-bathing in the domestic fowl - diurnal rhythm and dust deprivation.
533 Dust-bathing in the domestic fowl - diurnal rhythm and dust deprivation. 1982(5):487-95.
- 534 47. Muir W. Trauma: physiology, pathophysiology, and clinical implications. Journal of Veterinary
535 Emergency and Critical Care. 2006;16(4):253-63.
- 536 48. Beerda B, Schilder MBH, Janssen NSCRM, Mol JA. The Use of Saliva Cortisol, Urinary Cortisol,
537 and Catecholamine Measurements for a Noninvasive Assessment of Stress Responses in Dogs.
538 Hormones and Behavior. 1996;30(3):272-9.
- 539 49. Strzelec K, Pietrzak S, Kankofer M. Cortisol concentration in the saliva of horses subjected to
540 different kinds of exercise. Acta Veterinaria Brno. 2011;80(1):101-5.
- 541 50. Schmidt A, Möstl E, Wehnert C, Aurich J, Müller J, Aurich C. Cortisol release and heart rate
542 variability in horses during road transport. Hormones and Behavior. 2010;57(2):209-15.
- 543 51. Pell SM, McGreevy PD. A study of cortisol and beta-endorphin levels in stereotypic and
544 normal Thoroughbreds. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 1999;64(2):81-90.
- 545 52. Christensen JW, Ladewig J, Søndergaard E, Malmkvist J. Effects of individual versus group
546 stabling on social behaviour in domestic stallions. Applied Animal Behaviour Science. 2002;75(3):233-
547 48.
- 548 53. Peeters M, Sulon J, Beckers JF, Ledoux D, Vandenheede M. Comparison between blood
549 serum and salivary cortisol concentrations in horses using an adrenocorticotrophic hormone
550 challenge. Equine veterinary journal. 2011;43(4):487.
- 551 54. McManus C, Tanure CB, Peripolli V, Seixas L, Fischer V, Gabbi AM, et al. Infrared
552 thermography in animal production: An overview. Computers and Electronics in Agriculture.
553 2016;123:10-6.
- 554 55. Dai F, Cogi NH, Heinzl EUL, Dalla Costa E, Canali E, Minero M. Validation of a fear test in sport
555 horses using infrared thermography. Journal of Veterinary Behavior: Clinical Applications and
556 Research. 2015;10(2):128-36.
- 557 56. Bachman A, Thompson DL, Walker NL, Southerland CV. Hormonal and heart rate responses
558 to a surprise stimulus and isolation stress in horses. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science.
559 2017;52:61-.

560 57. Buuse M, Acker SABE, Fluttert M, Kloet ER. Blood pressure, heart rate, and behavioral
561 responses to psychological “novelty” stress in freely moving rats. Oxford, UK2001. p. 490-9.
562 58. Stucke D, Große Ruse M, Lebelt D. Measuring heart rate variability in horses to investigate
563 the autonomic nervous system activity – Pros and cons of different methods. Applied Animal
564 Behaviour Science. 2015;166(1):1-10.
565 59. Gehrke EK, Baldwin A, Schiltz PM. Heart Rate Variability in Horses Engaged in Equine-Assisted
566 Activities. Journal of Equine Veterinary Science. 2011;31(2):78-84.

567 60. Eggensperger, BH., Schwarzwald., CC. Influence of 2nd degree AV blocks, ECG recording length
568 and recording time on heart rate variability analyses in horses. Journal of Veterinary Cardiology.
569 2017; 19: 160-174.

570

571