Discovery of interaction-related sRNAs and their targets in the *Brachypodium distachyon* and *Magnaporthe oryzae* pathosystem

Silvia Zanini¹, Ena Šečić¹, Tobias Busche², Jörn Kalinowski², Karl-Heinz Kogel^{1*}

¹Institute of Phytopathology, Centre for BioSystems, Land Use and Nutrition, Justus Liebig University, Heinrich-Buff-Ring 26-32, D-35392, Giessen, Germany

²Center for Biotechnology, University Bielefeld, Universitätsstraße 27, D-33615 Bielefeld, Germany

Running title:

sRNAs in the Bd-Mo pathosystem

Email addresses:

Silvia.F.Zanini@agrار.uni-giessen.de

tbusche@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

Ena.Secic@agrار.uni-giessen.de

joern@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de

Karl-Heinz.Kogel@agrار.uni-giessen.de

*Correspondence to

Karl-Heinz.Kogel@agrار.uni-giessen.de

Keywords:

Small RNA, cross-kingdom RNAi, bidirectional communication, RNA targets, plant disease, virulence

Abstract

Microbial pathogens secrete small RNA (sRNA) effectors into plant hosts to aid infection by silencing transcripts of immunity and signaling-related genes through RNA interference (RNAi). Similarly, sRNAs from plant hosts have been shown to contribute to plant defense against microbial pathogens by targeting transcripts involved in virulence. This phenomenon is called bidirectional RNA communication or cross kingdom RNAi (ckRNAi). How far this RNAi-mediated mechanism is evolutionarily conserved is the subject of controversial discussions. We examined the bidirectional accumulation of sRNAs in the interaction of the hemibiotrophic rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae* (*Mo*) with the grass model plant *Brachypodium distachyon* (*Bd*). By comparative deep sequencing of sRNAs and mRNAs from axenic fungal cultures and infected leaves and roots, we found a wide range of fungal sRNAs that accumulated exclusively in infected tissues. Amongst those, 20-21 nt candidate sRNA effectors were predicted *in silico* by selecting those *Mo* reads that had complementary mRNA targets in *Bd*. Many of those mRNAs predicted to be targeted by *Mo* sRNAs were differentially expressed, particularly in the necrotrophic infection phase, including gene transcripts involved in plant defense responses and signaling. Vice versa, by applying the same strategy to identify *Bd* sRNA effectors, we found that *Bd* produced sRNAs targeting a variety of fungal transcripts, encoding fungal cell wall components, virulence genes and transcription factors. Consistent with function as effectors of these *Bd* sRNAs, their predicted fungal targets were significantly down-regulated in the infected tissues compared to axenic cultures, and deletion mutants for some of these target genes showed heavily impaired virulence phenotypes. Overall, this study provides the first experimentally-based evidence for bidirectional ckRNAi in a grass-fungal pathosystem, paving the way for further validation of identified sRNA-target duplexes and contributing to the emerging research on naturally occurring cross-kingdom communication and its implications for agriculture on staple crops.

Author Summary

In the present work, we provide first experimental evidence for bidirectional RNA communication in a grass-fungal pathosystem. We deployed the monocotyledonous plant *Brachypodium distachyon*, which is a genetic model for the staple crops wheat and rice, to investigate the interaction-related sRNAs for their role in RNA communication. By applying a previously published bioinformatics pipeline for the detection of sRNA effectors we identified potential plant targets for fungal sRNAs and vice versa, fungal targets for plant sRNAs. Inspection of the respective targets confirmed their downregulation in infected relative to uninfected tissues and fungal axenic cultures, respectively. By focusing on potential fungal targets, we identified several genes encoding fungal cell wall components, virulence proteins and transcription factors. The deletion of those fungal targets has already been shown to produce disordered virulence phenotypes. Our findings establish the basis for further validation of identified sRNA-mRNA target duplexes and contribute to the emerging research on naturally occurring cross-kingdom communication and its implications for agriculture.

Introduction

Small (s)RNAs such as small interfering (si)RNAs, micro (mi)RNAs, and transfer (t)RNAs are systemic signals that modulate distal gene regulation and epigenetic events in response to biotic and abiotic environmental cues in plants (Molnar et al. 2010 Borges & Martienssen 2015; Kehr & Kragler 2018). Particularly, sRNA-mediated gene silencing is one of the main defense mechanisms against viral attack and damaging effects of transposons. The action of sRNAs rests upon their role in RNA interference (RNAi), a conserved mechanism of gene regulation in eukaryotes at the translational (PTGS or post-transcriptional gene silencing) and transcriptional (TGS or transcriptional gene silencing) level (Fire et al. 1998; Vaucheret & Fagard 2001; Castel & Martienssen 2013). In plants, the trigger for RNAi is either endogenous or exogenous (e.g. viral) double-stranded (ds)RNA that is cut into short 20 to 24 nucleotide (nt) sRNA by DICER-like (DCL) enzymes (Hamilton & Baulcombe 1999; Baulcombe 2004). The duplexes are incorporated into an

79 RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), containing an endonucleolytic ARGONAUTE (AGO)
80 protein to target partially complementary RNAs for mRNA degradation/inhibition or epigenetic
81 modification by RNA-dependent DNA methylation (RdDM), histone modification and chromatin
82 remodeling, while plant RNA-dependent RNA polymerases (RdRPs) are involved in the production
83 of secondary sRNAs (Castel & Martienssen, 2013; Vaucheret et al. 2004).

84 Consistent with the movement of RNAs during animal-parasitic interactions (Buck et al. 2014;
85 LaMonte et al. 2012; Garcia-Silva et al. 2014), recent reports suggest that sRNAs also move from
86 plants into fungal pathogens and, vice versa, from pathogens to plants to positively or negatively
87 regulate genes involved in pathogenesis (Weiberg et al. 2013; Zhang et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2017a;
88 Wang et al. 2017b). First hints for this “bidirectional” or “cross kingdom” RNAi (ckRNAi) and the
89 action of sRNA effectors in plants originally came from studies that showed efficient delivery of
90 artificially designed sRNA from plants into interacting microbes. Such plant-mediated RNAi,
91 termed host-induced gene silencing (HIGS, Nowara et al. 2010), includes formation of dsRNA from
92 hairpin or inverted promoter constructs, dsRNA processing into sRNAs and transfer of these into
93 the interacting microbe. As of today, HIGS has emerged as a promising strategy for crop protection
94 against viruses, fungi, oomycetes, nematodes, and insects (Head et al. 2017; Koch et al. 2013;
95 Govindarajulu et al. 2015; for review see Cai et al. 2018a). The broad applicability of the
96 biotechnological HIGS technique implied the possibility of an evolutionarily-conserved mechanism
97 of sRNA cross-kingdom trafficking. Consistent with this view, the plant-pathogenic fungus
98 *Verticillium dahliae* (Vd) recovered from infected cotton plants, contained plant miRNAs, implying
99 that host-derived sRNAs were transmitted into the pathogen during infection (Zhang et al. 2016).

100 Two of those cotton miRNAs, miR166 and miR159, target the fungal genes *Ca²⁺-DEPENDENT*
101 *CYSTEINE PROTEASE CALPAIN* (VdClp-1) and *ISOTRICHODERMIN C-15 HYDROXYLASE*
102 (VdHiC-15), respectively, which are known to contribute to fungal virulence.

103 Similarly, *Arabidopsis* cells secrete vesicles to deliver sRNAs into grey mold fungal pathogen
104 *Botrytis cinerea* (Cai et al. 2018b). These sRNA-containing vesicles accumulate at the infection sites

105 and are taken up by the fungal cells to induce silencing of fungal genes critical for its pathogenicity.

106 Consistent with the bidirectional move of sRNAs in plant-microbe interactions, *B. cinerea* also

107 produces sRNA effectors, predicted to originate from long-terminal repeat (LTR) retrotransposons

108 in the fungal genome, that down-regulate *Arabidopsis* and tomato genes involved in immunity

109 (Weiberg et al. 2013). Some of those sRNA effectors were shown to target a large set of host

110 immunity genes to enhance *B. cinerea* (*Bc*) pathogenicity, for example *Bc*-siR37, able to suppress

111 the plant host immunity by targeting various *Arabidopsis* genes, including WRKY transcription

112 factors, receptor-like kinases, and cell wall-modifying enzymes (Wang et al. 2017b).

113 The mechanism of sRNAs transfer in plant host - microbe interactions is proposed to be via plant

114 extracellular vesicles (EVs), derived from multivesicular bodies (MVBs; An et al. 2006a, 2006b)

115 form lipid compartments capable of trafficking proteins, lipids, and metabolites between cells, and

116 were shown to be enriched in stress response proteins and signaling lipids and displayed antifungal

117 activity (Rutter and Innes 2017). Consistent with the work on animal EVs (Buck et al. 2014), plant

118 EVs also contain sRNAs such as miRNAs, tasiRNAs and heterochromatic sRNAs derived from

119 intergenic regions (Cai et al. 2018b; Baldrich et al. 2019).

120 Because only a few studies have been published since the landmark paper of Weiberg et al. (2013),

121 the extent of occurrence of sRNA effectors in host-microbe interactions is unclear and their

122 involvement is even challenged for certain pathosystems (Kettles et al. 2018). Here we investigate

123 the potential cross-kingdom role of sRNAs in the interaction of *Magnaporthe oryzae* (*Mo*) with

124 *Brachypodium distachyon* (*Bd*). *Mo* is a hemibiotrophic fungal pathogen causing rice blast, the most

125 devastating disease of cultivated rice, and is of global economic importance (Dean et al. 2012;

126 Donofrio et al. 2014). The fungus also infects other cereals, including barley, rye, and wheat, making

127 it a major threat to global food security (Sesma & Osbourn 2004; Wilson and Talbot 2009). *Mo*

128 infections also have been established in the grass *B. distachyon* (Routledge et al. 2004; Parker et al.

129 2008). *Bd* is preferable to more complex crops, such as hexaploid wheat (*Triticum aestivum*), with

a fully sequenced genome due to its smaller genome size (272 Mb) and complexity, a short life cycle and a vast T-DNA insertion library available (Fitzgerald et al. 2015; Vogel et al. 2006). Expression of endogenous sRNAs in *Bd* following abiotic stress has been shown, pointing to operable RNAi-based regulatory mechanisms in this plant species (Wang et al. 2015). Major components of *Bd*'s RNAi machinery have been identified *in silico*, resulting in 16 BdAGO-like and six BdDCL candidates (Mirzaei et al. 2014; Secic et al. 2019). The genome of *Mo* encodes for two *DCL* genes, three *AGO* genes, and three *RdRP* genes (Kadotani et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2008; Raman et al. 2017). According to a recent publication, *MoDCL2*, but not *MoDCL1*, is necessary for siRNA production from dsRNA (Raman et al. 2017). The analysis of sRNA in *Mo* has identified methylguanosine-capped and polyadenylated sRNA (Gowda et al. 2010) as well as sRNA matching repeats, intergenic regions (IGR), transfer RNA (tRNA), ribosomal RNA (rRNA), small nuclear (snRNA), and protein-coding genes (Nunes et al. 2011; Raman et al. 2013). Mutations in *MoDCL2*, *MoRdRP2*, and *MoAGO3* reduced sRNA levels (Raman et al. 2017), suggesting that *MoDCL2*, *MoRdRP2* and *MoAGO3* are required for the biogenesis and function of sRNA-matching genome-wide sites such as coding, intergenic regions and repeats. Of note, loss of *MoAGO3* function reduced both sRNAs and fungal virulence on barley leaves. Moreover, transcriptome analysis of multiple *Mo* mutants revealed that sRNAs play an important role in transcriptional regulation of repeats and intergenic regions (Raman et al. 2017). Taken together, these findings support the notion that *Mo* sRNAs regulate fungal developmental processes, including growth and virulence. Here we further explore the role of *Mo* and *Bd* sRNAs in the *Mo-Bd* interaction based on data generated by parallel sRNA and mRNA deep sequencing of infected leaf and root material. Following a previously published bioinformatics pipeline (Zanini et al. 2018) for characterization of sRNA effectors and their targets, we found strong evidence for ckRNAi in a grass pathosystems.

Results

Selection of interaction-related sRNAs in the *Mo-Bd* pathosystem

To establish a ckRNAi function of sRNAs in the interaction between *Magnaporthe oryzae* (*Mo* 70-15) and *Brachypodium distachyon* (*Bd*21-3), we first isolated sRNA and mRNA fractions of total RNA from the same biological material (roots, leaves and axenic mycelium) and after cDNA library preparation performed high throughput next generation sequencing (NGS). TruSeq® Small RNA libraries and TruSeq® Stranded mRNA libraries were produced from *i. Mo* axenic culture, *ii. Mo*-infected and mock-treated *Bd* roots (at 4 DPI), and *iii. Mo*-infected and mock-treated *Bd* leaves (at 2 DPI and 4 DPI) (Fig. 1). These time points were chosen to cover both the biotrophic and necrotrophic phase of leaf infections of the hemibiotrophic *Mo* (Wilson and Talbot 2009). Before sequencing, multiplexed sRNA libraries were size selected for 15 to 35 nt (140-160 nt including TruSeq adapters). Single end sequencing on Illumina HiSeq1500 platform generated between 22 million (mil) and 38 mil reads each (S1 Tab). Reads were further processed and filtered based on our previously published pipeline (Zanini et al. 2018). Quality check of raw reads was performed with FastQC, adapters were removed with cutadapt and the organism of origin of the trimmed reads was predicted by mapping via Bowtie alignments to both *Bd* and *Mo* genomes (Zerbino et al. 2018, Bd21-3 v1.1 DOE-JGI, <http://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/>). Ambiguous reads that could not be assigned to the organism of origin with high confidence were excluded to avoid miscalling. As expected, most reads in *Mo*-infected plant samples were assigned to *Bd* (with 100% match) and not to the fungus (with at least two nucleotide mismatches) (S1 Tab). Size distribution of genome matched unique sRNA reads followed a similar trend throughout samples, with the *Mo* reads showing a peak between 19-21 nt and *Bd* reads at 24 nt (Fig 2A-2B, S1A-S1B Fig.). In order to further investigate the sRNAs potentially playing a role in the *Mo-Bd* interaction, fungal unique sRNA reads were compared among samples from *Mo* axenic culture and *Mo*-infected leaves and roots and classified as shared or exclusive between samples (Fig 3A). Some 5,708 *Mo* sRNAs were identified in *Bd*-infected roots tissue of which 3,263 (57.15%) were found exclusively in the infected sample and not in the axenic culture. Moreover, 7,215 *Mo* sRNAs (exclusively found in infected samples: 4,399

[60.97%]) were identified in *Bd*-infected leaf tissue during the biotrophic phase and 63,017 (exclusively found in infected samples: 46,212 [73.33%]) in *Bd*-infected leaf tissue during the necrotrophic phase.

Equally, unique *Bd* sRNA reads were compared in root and leaf samples from *Mo*-infected and mock-treated *Bd21-3* (Fig 3B). We found a huge number of *Bd* sRNAs in *Mo*-infected plant tissues: 597,158 *Bd* sRNAs in *Mo*-infected roots of which 346,259 (77.92%) were solely found in infected, but not in non-infected roots; 571,644 in leaves during biotrophic interaction (2 DPI) of which 326,657 (72.24%) were solely found in infected leaves; and 415,469 during the necrotrophic interaction (4 DPI) of which 265,172 (69.06%) were solely found in infected leaves. This data suggests that most unique sRNAs from both interacting organisms are expressed exclusively during the interaction and thus are potentially of high relevance for the outcome of the disease. We selected unique sRNAs that *i.* were either found exclusively in infected plant tissues or *ii.* showed higher numbers in the infected tissue as compared to mock-infected tissue and axenic culture. Interestingly, the size distribution of these induced sRNA reads did not highlight a change in length preference compared to the total unique reads (Fig 2C-2D).

Given that ckRNAi in plant host-pathogen interactions would require an operable RNAi pathway (Weiberg et al. 2013), we tested available *Mo* mutants that are compromised for DCL and AGO activities. As shown in Fig. 4, all mutants showed reduced virulence and infection phenotypes were clearly distinguishable from the *Mo* 70-15 wild type. Of note, *Mo* $\Delta dcl1$ produced smaller lesions than $\Delta dcl2$, suggesting that MoDCL1 plays a critical role in the *Bd*-*Mo* interactions. Consistent with this notion, the double mutant $\Delta dcl1 \Delta dcl2$ produced similar lesions to $\Delta dcl1$.

Preselection of fungal sRNA effector candidates

sRNAs either exclusively produced or increased in the *Mo*-*Bd* interaction were further investigated. In particular, differentially expressed 20-21 nt long sRNAs originating from non-coding regions of the *Mo* genome were considered potential sRNA effectors (ck-sRNAs) that target *Bd* genes as

previously suggested for the *Botrytis cinerea* - *Arabidopsis thaliana*/*Solanum lycopersicum* pathosystems (Weiberg et al. 2013). Target prediction was carried out using psRNATarget with modified settings and a default score cut-off of 5.0. Some 3,691 fungal ck-sRNAs were predicted to target 45,066 *Bd* mRNAs in the necrotrophic phase of leaf infection (4 DPI), while fewer sRNA effector candidates (457 and 276, respectively) were predicted for the biotrophic phase (2 DPI) and the root setup corresponding to 24,077 and 16,083 mRNA targets (S2 Fig). Of note, the ratio between predicted targets and ck-sRNAs was different between biological samples, with the root sample having the highest (on average 58 predicted targets per ck-sRNA) compared to 53 and 12 for 2 DPI and 4 DPI leaf samples, respectively.

To substantiate a direct interaction of the predicted fungal sRNA effector candidates with *Bd* mRNAs during *Bd-Mo* interaction, we analyzed mRNA sequencing datasets from the same biological samples that were used for sRNA sequencing. This strategy allowed for the confirmation of target downregulation in presence of the predicted ck-sRNAs, which further selects for potential sRNA effectors. As expected, many *Bd* and *Mo* genes were differentially expressed (up- or down-regulated) in the *Bd-Mo* interaction (Fig 6, S2 Tab), and a subset of these genes were differentially expressed in all three setups in roots and leaves, while others were tissue-specifically or fungal lifestyle-specifically (biotrophic, necrotrophic) induced (S3A-S3B Fig.). Overall, six *Bd* transcripts were found to be significantly ($\log_{2}FC < 0$, $p_{adj} < 0.05$) downregulated in the biotrophic phase (2 DPI leaf samples), while 1,931 were downregulated in the necrotrophic phase (4 DPI leaf samples), and 38 in the *Mo*-infected root sample. Of these downregulated *Bd* transcripts, three were predicted to be plant targets of *Mo* ck-sRNAs in the 2 DPI sample, 1,895 in the 4 DPI sample, and eight in the root sample (Tab 1). In the next step, we assessed how many of these transcripts were targeted by sRNAs with 5'U, based on the consideration that *Arabidopsis AtAGO1*, which is involved in ckRNAi has a 5' nucleotide preference (Weiberg et al. 2013, Mi et al. 2008). Following this strategy, we found two (leaf 2 DPI), 1,872 (leaf 4 DPI) and five (roots 4 DPI) potential *Bd* targets of fungal ck-RNAs in the different setups (Tab. 1). The predicted *Mo* sRNA / *Bd* mRNAs duplexes included

genes for transcription factors such as *transcription factor MYB48-related* (BdiBd21-3.4G0132900.1) and *transcriptional regulator algH* (BdiBd21-3.1G0488800.1), exosome components (BdiBd21-3.4G0524000.1, BdiBd21-3.1G0012500.1, BdiBd21-3.1G0267100.1, BdiBd21-3.1G0357100.1, BdiBd21-3.4G0276900.1, BdiBd21-3.3G0350000.1), aquaporin transporters (BdiBd21-3.2G0400800.1, BdiBd21-3.3G0654800.1, BdiBd21-3.5G0207900.1, BdiBd21-3.5G0237900.1, BdiBd21-3.1G1005600.1), as well as RNA helicases, including the putative BdDCL3b (BdiBd21-3.2G0305700) (Tab. 2). A GO enrichment (GOE) analysis was carried out with AgriGO to detect over- and under- represented features in the dataset from the leaf 4 DPI setup, which covers the necrotrophic growth phase of *Mo*. In particular, generic GO terms associated with metabolic processes and photosynthesis were enriched (S4A-S4D Fig).

Table 1. Number of ck-sRNA effector candidates (20-21 nt) and their corresponding target mRNAs with significant (FC < 0, padj < 0.05) target downregulation.

Type of ck-sRNA	Setup	No. of ck-sRNA with down-regulated targets	No. of 5' U ck-sRNA with down-regulated targets	No. of <i>Bd</i> / <i>Mo</i> targets	No. of <i>Bd</i> / <i>Mo</i> targets of 5'U ck-sRNAs
<i>Mo</i> ck-sRNAs	Leaf 2 DPI	5	3	3	2
	Leaf 4 DPI	3 436	2 546	1 895	1 872
	Root	8	6	8	5
<i>Bd</i> ck-sRNAs	Leaf 2 DPI	954	186	907	423
	Leaf 4 DPI	683	132	989	406
	Root	697	124	237	125

Fold change (FC) and adjusted P value were determined by the analysis of mRNAseq data with DESeq2

Table 2. Selected *Mo* sRNA / *Bd* mRNA duplexes from infected *Bd* roots and leaves.

sRNA from setups	Target ID	logFC target	Padj	Exp	sRNA sequence	mRNA sequence	Target description
Leaf 2 DPI							
TTTCGACGCTGCCCTGACTT	BdiBd21-3.4G0610700.1	-1.2517864657	0.0303736416	4	UUUCGACGCUGCCCUGACUU	AGUUCAGGGCGGCGGCAAG	Apyrase (APY1_2)
GGTTATCATCGTCCCAGCCC	BdiBd21-3.4G0347500.1	-0.6913776956	0.0278958839	4	GGUUAUCAUCGUCCAGCCC	CGGCGGAGACGGUGGUAACC	ABA/WDS induced protein
TTTCGACGCTGCCCTGACTT	BdiBd21-3.1G0045900.1	-0.486146275	0.0266277617	5	UUUCGACGCUGCCCUGACUU	AAGUCUGGGCAGUGGUGAGC	Bowman-Birk serine protease inhibitor family
Leaf 4 DPI							
TCGGCATTGCAGGTCCTTT	BdiBd21-3.4G0132900.1	-1.0220850575	2.5723889273343E-06	4.5	UCGGCAUUGCAGGUCCUUU	UGAGGCACCUGCGAUGCUGC	Transcription factor MYB48-related
TGGCCAAGGTCTCCGCGGTG	BdiBd21-3.1G0317900.1	-1.2516646469	0.0006069336	5	UGGCAAGGUCUCCGCGGUG	UUGC GCGAGGCCGUGGCCA	Scarecrow-like protein 23
TGACCGGCGACGGGGAGTC	BdiBd21-3.1G0488800.1	-1.0739483542	1.95384372234787E-08	4.5	UGACCGGCGACGGGGAGUC	GGCUCUCCGUCGCCAGCCG	Putative transcriptional regulator
TACGGTCAAGGCCGAGCTG	BdiBd21-3.1G0549600.1	-1.2721327557	0.0473935372	5	UACGGUCAAGGCCGAGCUG	CAUCUUGGGCGUUGCCCGUG	Protein tyrosine kinase
TATGTAGCCGGTCGACTGTCC	BdiBd21-3.1G0807000.1	-1.1910890967	0.0006690095	4.5	UAUGUAGCCGGUCGACUGUCC	GGGCGGCGACCGGCUACGGA	Osmotic stress potassium Transporter
CACCGGCACCTATCTGAACT	BdiBd21-3.2G0093700.1	-1.0204426536	8.97885360719291E-06	4	CACCGGCACCUAUCUGAACU	AGAUCAGGUAGGUACCGUA	Jasmonic acid-amino synthetase
ATGAGACCTCGTCACCTGATC	BdiBd21-3.3G0267900.1	-1.1298455479	3.52E-06	5	AUGAGACCUCGUCACCUGAUC	GAUCUGGUGACGAGG-CUUGU	Cytochrome P450 CYP4/CYP19/CYP26 subfamilies
TGAACGACTTCCAGACCCCG	BdiBd21-3.1G0735800.1	-1.0514036293	8.06231088082544E-07	5	UGAACGACUUCAGACCCCG	UGGUGUAUGGAAGUUGUAUA	Auxin-responsive protein IAA
AGAAATCTCGGATAAAGCGC	BdiBd21-3.1G0243900.1	-2.2225710716	0.0012850273	5	AGAAAUCUCGGAUAAAGCGC	UUGCUUUCUGCGGAUUUCU	4-Alpha-glucanotransferase
GCCGGCAGCTCCTAGAAGCC	BdiBd21-3.1G0026500.1	-1.1339942189	9.45353630679163E-10	4	GCCGGCAGCUCCUAGAAGCC	CGCUACGAGGAGCUGCUGGU	28S Ribosomal Protein S6, mitochondrial
TATTGTGGTGCTGGCGGTA	BdiBd21-3.4G0465300.1	-1.0846204301	6.46909249613464E-09	4.5	UAUUGCUGGUGCUGCGGUA	CCUCGCCACCACAGCAAUG	Photosystem I subunit V (psaG)
Root							
ATCGTCTAGACTAGTTGGA	BdiBd21-3.2G0492900.1	-1.3400418526	0.0317886801	5	AUCGUCCUAGACUAGUUGGA	UCCGGCAAG-CUAGGACGAU	Peroxidase / Lactoperoxidase
TGAAGGGCGAGAACGGCGGC	BdiBd21-3.3G0009900.1	-0.8711782777	3.48944275778889E-06	4.5	UGAAGGGCGAGAACGGCGGC	GCUGCCGUGCUGUCCUACG	Sucrose:sucrose fructosyltransferase
TGTGGGAGTTGGCTGTGAAT	BdiBd21-3.3G0257700.1	-1.6391023872	0.0006260794	5	UGUGGGAGUUGGCUGUGAAU	CCCCACCGCCGACUCCACA	Xyloglucan:xyloglucosyl transferase / Xyloglucan endotransglycosylase
TGAAGTATCTTGGCGACCTG	BdiBd21-3.3G0280200.1	-0.5430367012	0.0482685067	3.5	UGAAGUAUCUUGCGGACCUG	CAUGGUUGCAGGAUACUUA	Hexadecanal dehydrogenase / Fatty acyl-CoA reductase
TAGTTGAGTTCCGCTGCTG	BdiBd21-3.4G0058900.1	-0.7062474053	0.0010987598	3.5	UAGUUGAGUUCGCCUGCUG	CAGCAGGCAGAAUCAAUUU	Boron Transporter 1-related

Abbreviations: LogFC: Log₂(FoldChange) , Padj : adjusted P value of LogFC, Exp: expectation score of sRNA:mRNA duplex prediction

Preselection of plant sRNA effector candidates

Given that plant-derived sRNAs have also been proven to move into fungal pathogens during plant colonization (Zhang et al. 2016; Cai et al. 2018b), we followed the same strategy used for the identification of candidate *Mo* ck-sRNAs to further analyze 20-21 nt sRNAs originating from the non-coding regions of the *Bd* genome showing a higher read count in the *Mo*-infected compared to non-infected samples. Target prediction for *Bd* sRNAs in the *Mo* transcriptome resulted in 1,070, 754 and 1,395 *Bd* ck-sRNA candidates for the 2 DPI and 4 DPI leaf and root setups, respectively (S5 Fig). The average number of *Mo* targets per *Bd* ck-sRNA candidate was relatively stable throughout the setups, with 7 to 12 targets predicted per *Bd* ck-sRNA. *Mo* mRNA levels were analyzed in both the infected samples and the axenic culture in order to substantiate the predicted target downregulation. *Mo* transcripts were significantly downregulated ($\log_{2}FC < 0$, $p_{adj} < 0.05$) in the leaf 2 DPI (1,076), leaf 4 DPI (1,385) and in the root (287) setup (Fig 6, S2 Tab). Of these downregulated *Mo* mRNAs 907, 989 and 237, respectively, were predicted to be targeted by *Bd* ck-sRNAs (Tab.1). Focusing on those ck-sRNAs having 5'U, we further reduced the number of potential ck-RNAs and thus the number of potential *Mo* targets to 423 (leaf 2 DPI), 406 (leaf 4 DPI) and 125 (roots 4 DPI), respectively (Tab. 1). GOE analysis on the *Mo* mRNAs that were predicted as targets of *Bd* ck-sRNAs did not highlight significant differential representation in GO terms at 2 DPI and 4 DPI, while an enrichment in developmental (GO:0032502) and metabolic (GO:0008152) processes was detected in the root setup (S6A-S6E Fig). Confirmed downregulated *Mo* targets include cell wall related genes such as *chitin deacetylase 1* (*MGG_05023T0*), *chitinase 1* (*MGG_01247T0*), *cell wall protein* *MGG_09460T0* and virulence genes such as *CAP20* (*MGG_11916T0*) (Tab. 3). By comparing predicted fungal mRNA targets of *Bd* ck-RNAs in infected leaf and root tissue, we found a considerable overlap in significantly downregulated *Mo* targets between leaf and root samples (100 *Mo* mRNAs) and between the two leaf setups (354 *Mo* mRNAs), representing the biotrophic and necrotrophic phase of fungal colonization (Fig. 5, Fig 7).

275 Next, we searched the PHI-base database for available information on the loss of virulence for the
 276 respective *Mo* target genes. A short list of down-regulated shared *Mo* mRNA targets and the PHI-
 277 base phenotypes are shown in (Tab. 4). Of note, we identified several *Mo* targets shared between the
 278 root and leaf setups that are known to be involved in *Mo* virulence and pathogenicity, including
 279 CON7 transcription factor (MGG_05287), the effector molecule AvrPiz-t (MGG_09055), N-
 280 acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase (MGG_00620), chitin synthase D (MGG_06064),
 281 ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein 1 (MGG_07075), and mitochondrial DNA
 282 replication protein YHM2 (MGG_07201). Additionally, *Mo* mRNAs targets shared between the leaf
 283 infection timepoints included transcripts for autophagy-related protein MoATG17 (MGG_07667)
 284 and SNARE protein Sso1 (MGG_04090), whose respective mutants are also known to be
 285 compromised in pathogenicity (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009; Giraldo et al., 2013).

286 Overall, these results strongly suggest that *Bd* ck-sRNAs play a role in the defence response of the
 287 plant to rice blast infection and vice-versa, the fungus produces sRNA effectors to modulate
 288 *Brachypodium* metabolism and immunity.

289

290 **Table 3. Selected *Bd* sRNA / *Mo* mRNA duplexes from infected *Bd* roots and leaves.**

sRNA	target ID	log2FC target	adjpval	Exp	sRNA sequence	mRNA sequence	Target description
Leaf 2 DPI							
AGCTAGCTTCTTAGAGGGACT	MGG_14773T0	-1.5529253364	0.0009170838	3.5	AGCUAGCUUCUAGAGGGACU	AGUCCACUGAGGGGUGGUU	AGC/AKT protein kinase
GTTGTCGGCCGTGCTGGCGGC	MGG_04911T0	-2.6323419238	4.89E-06	3.5	GUUGUCGGCCGUGCUGCGGC	GCCGCCAGCAGGGUGGUAGC	Cytochrome P450 3A5
AAAGGCTGACGCGGGCTTTGC	MGG_10710T0	-4.1073486185	0.0084577927	4	AAAGGCUAGCAGGGGCUUUGC	GCAAGGUCCGCGUACCUUUA	Oxidoreductase
Leaf 4 DPI							
TCGATGGAGCAGGGCAGTATC	MGG_12613T0	-1.9929378704	0.0124570494	1.5	UCGAUGGAGCAGGGCAGUAUC	CAUGCUGCCUGCUCCAUCGA	Polyketide synthase
AGAAGACCCTGTTGAGCTTGA	MGG_06062T0	-2.2686880638	0.0034510413	4	AGAAGACCCUGUAGCUUGA	UCAAGCUAACUGGGUCGUCG	Nitrate reductase
ATAAAAGGCTGACGCGGGCTT	MGG_14872T0	-1.009266591	0.0003945448	4	AUAAAAGGCUAGCAGGGCUU	GAGCAUGUGUACGCCUUUUGG	Calpain-9
GACACAGGTGGTGCATGGCTG	MGG_09347T0	-1.5258838675	0.0003473628	4	GACACAGGUGGUGCA--UGGCUG	CAGUCAGAUACCACCUUGUA	Thiamine pyrophosphokinase
TTCTCGGGCCAGACGGACAT	MGG_01391T0	-2.3883438221	1.42E-12	4	UUCUCGGGGCAGACGGACAU	AUGCUCACCUGGCUCGAGGAA	Ent-kaurene oxidase
GTCGGTGCAGATCTTGGTGGT	MGG_01247T0	-3.1702649721	0.035601685	4.5	GUCGGUGCAGAUUUGGUGGU	GCCACCAAGUUCAGACCGAA	Chitinase 1
ATCGCTCTGGATACATTAGCA	MGG_09852T0	-1.3143902213	0.0314633837	4.5	AUCGCUCUGGAUACAUAAGCA	UGCUC-UGUAUCCAGGUGGU	Sugar transporter STL1
TCCGCCGTCAAATCCAGGGC	MGG_05023T0	-1.4229794382	0.0165922499	4.5	UCCGCCGUCAAAUCCAGGGC	GCCCUGACGUUGAUGACGGA	Chitin deacetylase 1
CGTCGTTGACACGGCCGGTAC	MGG_13401T0	-0.9523416052	0.0063894077	4.5	CGUCGUUGGCACGGCCGGUAC	GCACCGCCGGUGCCGACGGCG	CMG/CDK/CDK7 protein kinase
TCTGACTGTTGGCCCGGGTT	MGG_05170T0	-1.3707594231	0.0055907963	4.5	UCUGACUGGUGGCCCGGGU	AGCUCGAGCCACAGUCAUC	54S ribosomal protein L17
GGAAAGGATTGGCTCTGAGG	MGG_01180T0	-1.1819055197	0.0037822547	4.5	GGAAAGGAUUGGCUCUGAGG	CCUCCAGCCAGUCUUUUCCC	3-hydroxyacyl-CoA dehydrogenase type-2
TGTGGCTGTAGTTTGTGGTG	MGG_11916T0	-2.7552953151	7.62E-51	5	UGUGGCUAGUUUAGUGGUG	CGCAAGGAACUACAUCGCA	CAP20
TCCGGAGACCCGCGGGGGC	MGG_09460T0	-3.714836242	2.87E-12	4.5	UCCGGAGACCCGCGGGGGC	GUUCCCGCGCGGCUCGGC	Cell wall protein
Root 4 DPI							
CAGCCCACGTCGCACGGATT	MGG_08644T0	-5.1121406776	0.003667564	5	CAGCCCACGUCGCACGGAUU	AGUCGGU-CGACGUGGUGCUG	DNase1 protein
TGAGTAGGAGGGCGCGCGGC	MGG_01210T0	-3.8290150164	0.0409861775	5	UGAGUAGGAGGGCGCGG--CGGC	GCCGUUCCGCGCCUUCUGGUCA	Mitochondrial hypoxia responsive domain-containing protein
CACGGGCGGGCGGTGAATCC	MGG_02378T0	-3.6518713673	0.0001202628	5	CACGGGCGGGCGGUGAAUCC	GGAGAGGGUCUGCCGUCGUG	Glutamate decarboxylase
CGGTGCAGATCTTGGTGGTAG	MGG_05693T0	-3.3292342977	8.98E-05	5	CGGUGCAGAUUUGGUGGUAG	UUACUGUCCAGAUUUGCACCA	MIF domain-containing protein
TCGGCAACGATATCTCGGCT	MGG_00620T0	-3.0603323689	0.0474908618	5	UCGGCAACGGAUAUCUCGGCU	AACAGAGAUAAACCGUUGCUGU	N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase
TTCGATTCCGGAGAGGGAGCC	MGG_10859T0	-3.0086574772	0.0078063185	3.5	UUCGAUUCGGAGAGGGAGCC	CGUUUCGUCUUCGGAUUCGAA	Heme peroxidase
GATGTTCTGGGCCGACCGCGC	MGG_10800T0	-2.5074252083	0.0033636198	5	GAUGUUCUGGGCCGACGCGC	GCGCUCGAGGCCAGGACGUG	Sarcosine oxidase
TAAAAGGCTGACGCGGGCTTT	MGG_11916T0	-2.488444875	4.31E-05	5	UAAAAGGCUAGCAGGGGCUU	AGAGUCGUCUGCCUUUUG	CAP20
AAGCTGACGAGCGGGAGGCC	MGG_06371T0	-1.7101550335	0.0053626307	4	AAGCUGACGAGCGGGAGGCC	CGGCCUCGCGCUCUUCGGCUU	Pyruvate dehydrogenase E1 component subunit alpha
TCGATGTTGACTTTGGGCCG	MGG_06044T0	-2.2917905225	0.0034671218	5	UCGUAGUUGGACUU-UGGGCCG	UGGCCAGCAAGUACAUCGCA	Ubiquitin-60S ribosomal protein L40
GGTGGGAGTTTGCTGGGGC	MGG_16462T0	-2.2797033191	0.0019924643	4	GGUGGGAGUUUGGUGGGGC	CCUCAGCCAGUUCUUAAC	Cytochrome c1

291 Abbreviations: LogFC: Log₂(FoldChange) , Padj : adjusted P value of LogFC, Exp: expectation score of sRNA:mRNA duplex prediction

Table 4. Selected shared *Mo* mRNAs targeted by *Bd* ck-sRNAs.

*Transcript ID	LogFC root	LogFC 2 DPI	LogFC 4 DPI	Description	PHI-base reference	**KO Phenotype	Organism
MGG_00501T0	n.s.	-1.9444	-1.2811	CGG-Binding Protein 1 (CGBP1)	G4NBR8#PHI:3810_PHI:4065	loss of pathogenicity	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_00620T0	-3.0603	-3.0418	-1.3086	N-acetylglucosamine-6-phosphate deacetylase	PHI:5471#G4NB58	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_02457T0	n.s.	-1.5947	-0.8209	GTP-binding protein rho2	I1RJP0#PHI:3833	reduced virulence	<i>Fg</i>
MGG_02884T0	n.s.	-6.8655	-2.0353	Beta-Ig-H3/Fasciclin	G5EHM3#PHI:4231	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_03148T0	n.s.	-4.9560	-0.9436	TRIGALACTOSYLDIACYLGLYCEROL 4 (TDG4)	G4NAT7#PHI:3811	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_03198T0	n.s.	-1.3696	-0.6277	transducin β -like gene (TIG1)	G4NAC3#PHI:2002	loss of pathogenicity	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_04137T0	n.s.	-0.8288	-0.7680	CTLH domain-containing protein	G4NIR9#PHI:806	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_04621T0	n.s.	-3.5823	-2.1531	Putative uncharacterized protein	G4MRQ6#PHI:801	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_05287T0	-1.3900	-3.4989	-1.5619	CON7 Transcription Factor	PHI:35#O13337	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_05287T0	-1.3900	-3.4989	-1.5619	CON7 Transcription Factor	Q069J4#PHI:2039	loss of pathogenicity	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_05631T0	n.s.	-3.8461	-1.5666	UDP-N-acetylglucosamine transporter YEA4	G4MNK1#PHI:5470	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_05871T0	n.s.	-6.2100	-5.0844	Integral membrane protein	G4N3R9#PHI:2165	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_05905T0	n.s.	-1.8028	-1.6105	Fe(2+) transporter 3	G4N402#PHI:2107	mixed	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_06064T0	-2.0761	-4.4830	-3.1186	Chitin synthase D	B5M4A8#PHI:2116_PHI:2301	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_07075T0	-5.5192	-1.8503	-1.9025	ATPase family AAA domain-containing protein1	Q5EMY3#PHI:860	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_07201T0	-2.6205	-0.8125	-0.8312	Mitochondrial DNA replication protein YHM2	Q8TGD1#PHI:254	reduced virulence	<i>Fo</i>
MGG_07667T0	n.s.	-2.4142	-1.2871	Autophagy-related protein 17	Q51Y68#PHI:2083	loss of pathogenicity	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_11693T0	n.s.	-6.1715	-6.0041	MoRgs7	G4NF12#PHI:2198	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_12939T0	n.s.	-5.8622	-2.9674	Chitin binding protein	Q8WZJ0#PHI:4639	loss of pathogenicity	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_15023T0	n.s.	-4.2692	-2.1046	Zn2Cys6 transcription factor	G4NJD7#PHI:3309	reduced virulence	<i>Mo</i>
MGG_09055T0	-3.9070	-5.4558	-5.4705	Avrpiz-1 gene	C6ZEZ6#PHI:7896	Effector (plant avirulence determinant)	<i>Mo</i>

*Significantly down-regulated transcripts predicted to be targeted by *Bd* ck-sRNAs in the root and leaf setups. **KO phenotype: interaction phenotype of a deletion mutant obtained

from PHI-base. Abbreviations: n.s.: not significant. *Mo*: *Magnaporthe oryzae*; *Fg*: *Fusarium graminearum*; *Fo*: *Fusarium oxysporum*.

Discussion

In the present work we provide first experimental evidence for bidirectional RNA communication in the interaction of a monocotyledonous plant with its natural microbial pathogen. The *Brachypodium distachyon* - *Magnaporthe oryzae* pathosystem has been studied as a model for the blast disease of the staple crops rice and wheat, because *Bd* develops faster, has a smaller genome and requires less space for reproduction (Routledge et al. 2004; Parker et al. 2008; Vogel et al. 2006). Thus, our results support the possibility that major staple crops co-evolved mechanisms of RNA-based communication with their microbial pathogens. This notion is consistent with the important earlier observation that cereal plants are vastly amenable to biotechnological applications of dsRNA to control their pests and diseases (Koch et al. 2013; 2016; Cheng et al. 2015; Chen et al. 2016; Koch and Kogel 2014). The efficiency of HIGS, alike exogenous application of dsRNA (also called environmental RNAi or SIGS), requires both an operable RNAi machinery and a molecular basis for transfer of RNA between the interacting organisms (Koch et al. 2016; Wang et al. 2016). The detection of ckRNAi in *Bd* further substantiates the possibility that agronomic applications such as HIGS rely on evolutionarily evolved components and pathways for processing and transport of RNA.

There have been reports that *Bd* employs RNAi in development and stress adaption: miRNAs have been proven to vary during exposure to abiotic stresses (Zhang et al. 2009) and between vegetative and reproductive tissues (Wei et al. 2009). Although the knowledge about the *Bd* RNAi machinery is less comprehensive, recent work predicted 16 AGOs and 6 DCLs, suggesting that the RNAi machinery is functional and follows the trend that cereals have extended families of key enzymes involved in RNAi (Mirzaei et al. 2014; Secic et al. 2019). *Magnaporthe oryzae* possesses a complete RNAi machinery and utilizes it throughout its development. *Mo* encodes two DCLs, three AGOs and three RdRPs (Kadotani et al. 2003; Murphy et al. 2008) and knock-out of RNAi pathway components severely affected the sRNA species produced by *Mo* and their accumulation levels in

vitro (Raman et al. 2017). Moreover, sRNA-mediated alterations of TGS and PTGS have been detected *in vitro* both during starvation/different nutrient availability, and *in planta* during the different stages of rice leaf infection (Raman et al. 2013). Consistent with these observations, *Mo* mutants compromised in DCL and AGO function showed a reduced growth on *Bd21-3* leaves (Fig. 4). While a reduced virulence of *Δdcl1* and *Δdcl2* supports the hypothesis of ckRNAi as demonstrated earlier in the *B. cinerea* / *Arabidopsis* pathosystem (Weiberg et al. 2013), we cannot exclude though that the mutation in *MoDCL1* affects other processes that contribute to full virulence, which may also explain why mutations in AGO1 and AGO2 also reduced the fungal virulence. Moreover, we obtained all RNAi mutants from the D'Onofrio lab (Raman et al. 2013), and this group found no significant effects when the DCL mutants infected barley leaves. In our hands growth and development of said RNAi mutants was influenced strongly by growth conditions such as temperature and the culture medium. Considering this it can well be that the host genotype and/or growth media used for axenic cultures affects fungal development. Differences between sRNA libraries size distribution shown in Fig. 1 and the ones previously published by Raman et al (2013 and 2017) are due to the different protocols utilized both for sample preparation and for the data analysis itself. Those variations included: media utilized for fungal growth (OMA vs CM), inoculation protocol (drop inoculation onto *Bd* vs spray inoculation onto *Os*), sRNAs length selection (15-35 vs 20-30), sequencing machines and scripts for filtering sRNA reads applied during data analysis.

Evidence for ckRNAi in the *Mo-Bd* interaction

To establish the origin of the sRNA reads detected in the different root and leaf setups of the *Mo-Bd* interaction, sRNAseq datasets from infected samples were aligned to both the *Bd 21-3* and the *Mo* 70-15 genome, and only reads aligning without mismatches to *Mo* and with at least two mismatches to *Bd* were assigned to the fungus and vice-versa, only reads aligning without mismatches to *Bd* and with at least two mismatches to *Mo* were assigned to the plant. As expected from the low amount of

347 *Mo* in infected samples from leaves at the 2 DPI time point, most of the reads were assigned to *Bd*,
348 whereas higher levels of *Mo* reads were detected in the 4 DPI leaf samples consistent with
349 proliferating infection. All assigned reads were then filtered based on their read counts to select only
350 reads either induced or upregulated in the datasets of infected tissues compared to uninfected tissues
351 and axenic mycelia. We noted that most of the reads (>50%) found in infected samples are specific
352 and are not detected in healthy tissues and axenic culture (Fig. 2), showing that sRNA production
353 both in the plant host and the fungal pathogen is strongly responsive to infection. From this, it
354 follows that sRNA datasets from healthy plants and axenic culture do not record the full diversity of
355 sRNA communities. As an additional step we selected for sRNAs that were not aligning to the
356 coding sequences of the organism of origin. The reasoning behind this filtering step is that we
357 avoided accidental mRNA degradation to be kept as candidate sRNAs, and more important, we
358 removed the sRNA sequences more likely to play an endogenous role (Zanini et al. 2018). Given
359 that the size distribution of upregulated/induced sRNA reads did not show variation in peaks
360 compared to the total sRNA reads (Fig. 1), we decided to select 21 nt sRNAs (canonical length for
361 PTGS) and 20 nt sRNA (peak within the 20-24 nt sRNA population in *Mo*) for further analysis.
362 Target prediction was carried out with psRNATarget, a web-based prediction software specifically
363 designed for plant sRNA investigations. It allowed for the identification of complementary mRNA
364 sequences in the interacting organism. Interestingly, we detected higher ratios of targets-to-sRNAs
365 for *Mo* sRNAs in the leaf 2 DPI and the roots compared to the leaf 4 DPI sample, while *Bd* sRNAs
366 showed lower and comparable averages.

367 In PTGS, sRNAs are loaded onto AGO proteins, which guide them towards a complementary
368 mRNA sequence that will then be degraded or sequestered, resulting in reduced levels of the encoded
369 protein. Knowing the expression levels of the predicted targets from the same biological samples
370 used for the sRNA sequencing, we proceeded with further selection of candidate sRNAs based on
371 the significant downregulation of their mRNA targets. Most of the predicted *Mo* ck-sRNAs in the 2
372 DPI leaf (biotrophic phase) and root samples did not pass this filtering step, as their predicted targets

were either upregulated or had the same expression levels in the corresponding control datasets. There are a few possible explanations as to why the potential targets were not significantly downregulated in our mRNAseq datasets, including: i. the sRNA has not yet been transported throughout the tissue, so the downregulation is occurring only at the penetration site, where the fungus is physically interacting with the plant cells, and that is masked by the upregulation in distal parts of the tissue, ii. the target mRNA is not cleaved, but its translation is inhibited by the RISC complex acting as a physical barrier, in which case the measurable effect would not be at the mRNA level but only at the protein level, and iii. the target is indeed cleaved, but concurrently with the downregulating effect of the sRNA, there is a stronger endogenous upregulation of the gene, leading to either similar levels of mRNA as the control, or even higher. Importantly, in the 4 DPI leaf sample (necrotrophic phase), we observed that almost all significantly downregulated *Bd* mRNAs were predicted to have corresponding *Mo* sRNAs and the ratio of targets-to-sRNAs decreased from 12:1 predicted to 0.55:1 downregulated. Additionally, we checked the amount of confirmed *Mo* sRNAs that had a 5'U, known to be preferred by AtAGO1 for PTGS (Mi et al. 2008). We noted that 74% of the *Mo* sRNAs in the 4 DPI leaf sample had that base, and were predicted to target almost all (98.7%) the confirmed targets (Table 1).

Fungal sRNA effectors

In order to substantiate the hypothesis that fungal ck-sRNAs function as effectors to aid the establishment and maintenance of infection, we investigated the role of putative downregulated *Bd* targets. Due to the low numbers of confirmed downregulated *Bd* targets in the 2 DPI leaf and root samples, we performed Gene Ontology Enrichment (GOE) only on the downregulated targets of the 4 DPI sample to assess whether specific functions or pathways were being targeted in *Bd* by *Mo* ck-sRNAs. Interestingly, GO terms associated with ribosomes and the photosystems were enriched in the target list compared to background, consistent with the hypothesis that *Mo* targets energy and metabolism of the plant to hinder its response to infection. Targeting conserved sequences such as

ribosome- and photosynthesis-related ones would prove more efficient than specific defense / immunity genes that are more prone to mutate in the arms race between plants and pathogens. Specific plant targets included transcripts encoding for exosome components EXOSC1, EXOSC5, EXOSC6, EXOSC7, EXOSC8, EXOSC10 (Table 2). Extracellular vesicles have been recently discussed as the most likely mean of transport vehicle for ck-sRNAs and are in general known to cargo plant defense molecules to the infecting fungus (Rutter and Innes 2017; Baldrich et al. 2019; Cai et al. 2018b). Another subset of downregulated target transcripts included transcription factors such as members of the MYB family, PHOX2/ARIX, and the AP2/ERF family, known to regulate a multitude of cell processes, from plant development to hormone responses and biotic and abiotic stress responses (Ambawat et al. 2013; Cui et al. 2016). Interestingly, multiple *Brachypodium* aquaporin transporters (BdiBd21-3.2G0400800.1, BdiBd21-3.3G0654800.1, BdiBd21-3.5G0207900.1, BdiBd21-3.5G0237900.1, BdiBd21-3.1G1005600.1) were also effectively targeted by *Mo* sRNAs during the infection, consistent with the knowledge that aquaporins play a role in the interaction between plants and microbial pathogens, most likely by modulating both H₂O availability and transport of reactive oxygen species (ROS; Afzal et al. 2016). Finally, a wide variety of genes involved in RNA metabolism was downregulated in *Bd*, from DNA-directed RNA polymerases subunits (RPB6, RPB12, RPC40) to RNA helicases, including the putative BdDCL3b (BdiBd21-3.2G0305700), involved in the preprocessing of sRNA precursor molecules involved in chromatin modification (Margis et al. 2006).

Plant ck-sRNAs

We anticipated the plant to fight the spread of the infection by targeting vital/ virulence genes of *Mo*. To test this hypothesis, all confirmed downregulated *Mo* targets from leaves and roots were analyzed for gene ontology enrichment (GOE). While no relevant terms were found to be enriched or depleted in the 2 DPI and 4 DPI leaf samples, fungal metabolism and mycelia development related terms were enriched in the root target list, consistent with the aforementioned hypothesis.

Comparison of *Mo* mRNA target lists between the different setups highlighted substantial target conservation between the leaf biotrophic and necrotrophic phases, with 354 shared *Mo* targets between the two, and 100 *Mo* targets conserved among all 3 setups. Subjecting the short list of *Mo* target genes to a PHI-base database survey for mutations in *Mo* with lethal or detrimental outcome, we found clear indication for a loss of virulence in respective KO mutants (Table 4). Additionally, among transcripts targeted at both leaf infection time points, we identified MoATG17 (MGG_07667T0) an autophagy-related protein, whose KO was previously shown to impair appressorium formation and function, resulting in a complete lack of disease symptoms on rice leaves (Kershaw and Talbot, 2009). Moreover we found t-SNARE Sso1 (MGG_04090T0) previously proven to be involved in the accumulation of fungal effector molecules at the biotrophic interfacial complex (BIC) during rice leaf infection (Giraldo et al. 2013).

Common targets between all three setups (leaves and root infection alike) included various fungal cell wall related genes, namely acidic endochitinase SE2 (MGG_03599), chitinase (MGG_04534), GPI-anchored cell wall beta-1,3-endoglucanase EglC (MGG_10400), and chitin synthase D (MGG_06064). Interestingly, genes known to be involved in the maintenance of the disease were also targeted. For instance, CON7 transcription factor (MGG_05287), known to regulate the expression of a wide range of infection-related genes (Shi et al. 1995; Odenbach et al. 2007), is targeted and significantly downregulated across all infection datasets. Additionally, we detected sRNAs targeting the mRNA encoding for the avirulence effector molecule AvrPiz-t (MGG_09055). AvrPiz-t suppresses rice PTI signaling pathway by targeting the E3 ubiquitin ligase APIP6 and suppressing its ligase activity, resulting in reduced flg22-induced ROS generation and overall enhanced susceptibility *in vivo* (Park et al., 2012).

Conclusions

Taken together our results provide the first experimental evidence of bidirectional cross-kingdom RNAi within a monocot pathosystem, and strongly support the model that sRNAs play a crucial role

in ckRNAi during plant host - pathogen interactions, including systems of staple field crops. Furthermore, ck-RNAs induced during infections show only partial overlap both among the different tissues (leaves, roots) and the different infection phases (leaf: biotrophic, necrotrophic), showing that ckRNAi in a given host - pathogen interaction exhibits tissue- and lifestyle-specificity.

Material and Methods

Sample preparation from *Mo-Bd* interactions

Magnaporthe oryzae (*Mo* 70-15; Raman et al. 2013) was grown on oatmeal agar (OMA) for two weeks at 26°C with 16 h light/8 h dark cycles both for sampling of mycelium and conidia production. Samples from axenic cultures were collected by scraping a mixture of mycelia and spores from three plates, followed by immediate freezing in liquid nitrogen. For root inoculation, sterilized seeds of *Brachypodium distachyon* genotype *Bd21-3* (Vogel & Hill, 2008) were vernalized in the dark at 4°C for two days on half strength MS (Murashige and Skoog 1962) medium and then moved to a 16 h light/8 h dark cycle at 22°C/18°C. Roots of one-week-old seedlings were dip-inoculated in 1 ml of conidia solution (250,000 conidia/ml in 0.002% Tween water) for 3 h, transplanted in a (2:1) mixture of vermiculite (Deutsche Vermiculite GmbH) and Oil-Dri (Damolin, Mettmann, Germany) and grown for additional 4 days before harvesting. Control roots were mock-inoculated with 1 ml of Tween water solution. For leaf inoculation, third leaves of three-week-old *Bd21-3* were detached and drop-inoculated with 10 µl of conidia solution (50,000 conidia/ml in 0.002% Tween water) on 1% agar plates. Control leaves were mock-inoculated with Tween water. Leaves were collected for sequencing at 2 DPI (days post inoculation) and 4 DPI. *Mo* 70-15 mutants *M. oryzae* *Δmoago1*, *Δmoago2*, *Δmoago3*, *Δmodcl1*, *Δmodcl2*, *Δmodcl1/2* and *Δmodcl2/1*, obtained from N. Donofrio, Newark, U.S.A, were grown and inoculated onto *Bd21-3* leaves as described above, with the exception of *Δmoago3* that failed to sporulate and was not further tested. *Mo* lesions were assessed at 6 DPI.

477

478 **RNA extraction, library preparation and sequencing**

479 Three roots or two leaves, respectively, were pooled per sample for RNA extraction and for each
 480 condition three pooled biological samples were prepared. Frozen tissue stored at -80°C was ground
 481 in liquid nitrogen using mortar and pestle. Total RNA was isolated with ZymoBIOMICS™ RNA
 482 Mini Kit (Zymo Research, USA) according to the manufacturer's instructions. Quantity and integrity
 483 of the RNA were assessed with DropSense16/Xpose (BIOKÉ, Netherlands) and Bioanalyzer 2100
 484 (Agilent, Germany), respectively. Purification of small and large RNAs into separate fractions was
 485 carried out using RNA Clean & Concentrator™ -5 (Zymo Research) and concentration and quality
 486 of the fractions were checked again. Fifty ng of small RNA (17 to 200 nt) were used for cDNA
 487 library preparation with TruSeq® Small RNA Library Prep (Illumina, USA) and 1.5 µg of large
 488 RNA were used for cDNA library preparation with TruSeq® Stranded mRNA (Illumina).
 489 Constructed cDNA libraries of sRNAs were further size selected with BluePippin (Sage Science,
 490 USA) for fragments between 140 and 160 nt in length (15-35 nt without adapters). Quality of polyA
 491 mRNA libraries was assessed using the Fragment Analyzer™ Automated CE System (Advanced
 492 Analytical Technologies, Austria).
 493 The Illumina HiSeq1500 sequencing platform was used to sequence the Illumina TruSeq® Small
 494 RNA libraries single end with 35 nt read length and the Illumina TruSeq® Stranded mRNA libraries
 495 (paired-end [PE] sequencing, 70 nt) of all samples.

496

497 **sRNA analysis**

498 The single end sequenced cDNA reads of Illumina TruSeq® Small RNA libraries were analyzed
 499 starting with quality check with FastQC (Andrews 2010) and trimming of adapter artifacts with
 500 cutadapt (Martin 2011). The alignment of the reads to reference genomes and transcriptomes of *Bd*
 501 and *Mo* was done using the short read aligner Bowtie (Langmead et al. 2009). Reads with a 100%

alignment to the genome of the organism of origin were selected, alongside the reads with at least two mismatches in the alignment to the target organism genome.

Identification of sRNA effectors

Bioinformatics analysis of sRNAs effectors was done as described (Zanini et al. 2018). Only sRNA reads of 20-21 nt length originating from non-coding regions and with a higher count in the organism of origin control datasets compared to the infected ones were analyzed further for sRNA effector identification by the target prediction software psRNATarget used with customized settings (Dai & Zhao 2011).

mRNA analysis and sRNA target confirmation

Paired end sequenced cDNA reads of Illumina TruSeq® Stranded mRNA libraries were analyzed through the quality check in FastQC and alignment in the junction mapper HISAT2 (Kim et al. 2015). Htseq-count (Anders et al. 2014) and DESeq2 (Love et al. 2014) were then used for differential expression gene calling (DEG) between the infected and control sample genes. Expression levels obtained for each gene were used as confirmation of downregulation of predicted targets from the psRNATarget software. Gene Ontology Enrichment analysis on the confirmed targets was carried out with Agrigo (Du et al. 2010). PHI-base, a collection of experimentally verified pathogenicity/virulence genes from fungal and microbial pathogens (Baldwin et al. 2006), was used to gather information regarding phenotype and virulence of fungal mutants carrying a mutation in the identified *Mo* gene targets.

Author Contributions Statement

KHK, SZ, ES, TB and JK and designed the experiments; SZ, ES, TB and JK conducted the bioinformatics analysis; SZ and KHK wrote the text.

528 **Competing financial interests**

529 The authors declare no competing financial interests.

530

531 **Funding**

532 This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft to KHK (DFG-GRK2355) and
533 in the Marie Skłodowska-Curie Innovative Training Networks (CerealPath) to KHK and SZ.

534

535 **Acknowledgment**

536 We thank Elke Stein, Dagmar Biedenkopf, and Christina Birkenstock for technical assistance. We
537 thank Dr. John Vogel and the DOE-JGI for permission to use the Bd21-3 genome under early access
538 conditions. We are grateful to Nicole M. Donofrio, Department of Plant & Soil Sciences, University
539 of Delaware, Newark, for sharing the *Magnaporthe oryzae* mutants. *Brachypodium distachyon*
540 *Bd21-3* is a gift of R. Sibout, INRA Versaille.

541

542 **References**

543

544 Afzal Z, Howton T, Sun Y, Mukhtar M. The roles of aquaporins in plant stress responses. Journal
545 of developmental biology. 2016 Mar;4(1):9.

546 Ambawat S, Sharma P, Yadav NR, Yadav RC. MYB transcription factor genes as regulators for
547 plant responses: an overview. Physiology and Molecular Biology of Plants. 2013 Jul 1;19(3):307-
548 21.

549 An Q, Ehlers K, Kogel KH, Van Bel AJ, Hüchelhoven R. Multivesicular compartments proliferate
550 in susceptible and resistant MLA12- barley leaves in response to infection by the biotrophic
551 powdery mildew fungus. New Phytologist. 2006 Nov 1;172(3):563-76.

552 An Q, Hüchelhoven R, Kogel KH, Van Bel AJ. Multivesicular bodies participate in a cell wall-
553 associated defence response in barley leaves attacked by the pathogenic powdery mildew fungus.
554 Cellular microbiology. 2006 Jun;8(6):1009-19.

555 Anders S, Pyl PT, Huber W. HTSeq—a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing
556 data. Bioinformatics. 2015 Jan 15;31(2):166-9.

557 Andrews S. FastQC: a quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. 2010 Available online
558 at: <http://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc>

559 Baulcombe D. RNA silencing in plants. Nature. 2004 Sep 15;431(7006):356.

560 Baldrich P, Rutter BD, Karimi HZ, Podicheti R, Meyers BC, Innes RW. Plant Extracellular Vesicles
561 Contain Diverse Small RNA Species and Are Enriched in 10-to 17-Nucleotide “Tiny” RNAs.
562 The Plant Cell. 2019 Feb 1;31(2):315-24.

563 Baldwin TK, Winnenburg R, Urban M, Rawlings C, Koehler J, Hammond-Kosack KE. The
564 pathogen-host interactions database (PHI-base) provides insights into generic and novel themes
565 of pathogenicity. Molecular plant-microbe interactions. 2006 Dec;19(12):1451-62.

566 Borges F, Martienssen RA. The expanding world of small RNAs in plants. Nature reviews
567 Molecular cell biology. 2015 Dec;16(12):727.

568 Buck AH, Coakley G, Simbari F, McSorley HJ, Quintana JF, Le Bihan T, Kumar S, Abreu-Goodger
569 C, Lear M, Harcus Y, Ceroni A. Exosomes secreted by nematode parasites transfer small RNAs
570 to mammalian cells and modulate innate immunity. Nature communications. 2014 Nov
571 25;5:5488.

572 Cai Q, He B, Kogel KH, Jin H. Cross-kingdom RNA trafficking and environmental RNAi—nature's
573 blueprint for modern crop protection strategies. Current opinion in microbiology. 2018a Dec
574 1;46:58-64.

575 Cai Q, Qiao L, Wang M, He B, Lin FM, Palmquist J, Huang SD, Jin H. Plants send small RNAs in
576 extracellular vesicles to fungal pathogen to silence virulence genes. Science. 2018b Jun
577 8;360(6393):1126-9.

578 Castel SE, Martienssen RA. RNA interference in the nucleus: roles for small RNAs in transcription,
579 epigenetics and beyond. *Nature Reviews Genetics*. 2013 Feb;14(2):100.

580 Chen W, Kastner C, Nowara D, Oliveira-Garcia E, Rutten T, Zhao Y, Deising HB, Kumlehn J,
581 Schweizer P. Host-induced silencing of *Fusarium culmorum* genes protects wheat from infection.
582 *Journal of experimental botany*. 2016 Aug 18;67(17):4979-91.

583 Cheng W, Song XS, Li HP, Cao LH, Sun K, Qiu XL, Xu YB, Yang P, Huang T, Zhang JB, Qu B.
584 Host- induced gene silencing of an essential chitin synthase gene confers durable resistance to *F*
585 *usarium* head blight and seedling blight in wheat. *Plant biotechnology journal*. 2015
586 Dec;13(9):1335-45.

587 Cui L, Feng K, Wang M, Wang M, Deng P, Song W, Nie X. Genome-wide identification, phylogeny
588 and expression analysis of AP2/ERF transcription factors family in *Brachypodium distachyon*.
589 *BMC genomics*. 2016 Dec;17(1):636.

590 Dai X, Zhao PX. psRNATarget: a plant small RNA target analysis server. *Nucleic acids research*.
591 2011 May 27;39(suppl_2):W155-9.

592 Dean R, Van Kan JA, Pretorius ZA, Hammond- Kosack KE, Di Pietro A, Spanu PD, Rudd JJ,
593 Dickman M, Kahmann R, Ellis J, Foster GD. The Top 10 fungal pathogens in molecular plant
594 pathology. *Molecular plant pathology*. 2012 May;13(4):414-30.

595 Donofrio NM, Hu J, Mitchell TK, Wilson RA. Facilitating the fungus: Insights from the genome of
596 the rice blast fungus, *Magnaporthe Oryzae*. In *Genomics of plant-associated fungi: monocot*
597 *pathogens 2014* (pp. 141-160). Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg.

598 Du Z, Zhou X, Ling Y, Zhang Z, Su Z. agriGO: a GO analysis toolkit for the agricultural community.
599 *Nucleic acids research*. 2010 Apr 30;38(suppl_2):W64-70.

600 Fire A, Xu S, Montgomery MK, Kostas SA, Driver SE, Mello CC. Potent and specific genetic
601 interference by double-stranded RNA in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *nature*. 1998
602 Feb;391(6669):806.

603 Fitzgerald TL, Powell JJ, Schneebeli K, Hsia MM, Gardiner DM, Bragg JN, McIntyre CL, Manners
604 JM, Ayliffe M, Watt M, Vogel JP. Brachypodium as an emerging model for cereal–pathogen
605 interactions. *Annals of Botany*. 2015 Mar 25;115(5):717-31.

606 Garcia-Silva MR, das Neves RF, Cabrera-Cabrera F, Sanguinetti J, Medeiros LC, Robello C, Naya
607 H, Fernandez-Calero T, Souto-Padron T, de Souza W, Cayota A. Extracellular vesicles shed by
608 *Trypanosoma cruzi* are linked to small RNA pathways, life cycle regulation, and susceptibility to
609 infection of mammalian cells. *Parasitology research*. 2014 Jan 1;113(1):285-304.

610 Giraldo MC, Dagdas YF, Gupta YK, Mentlak TA, Yi M, Martinez-Rocha AL, Saitoh H, Terauchi
611 R, Talbot NJ, Valent B. Two distinct secretion systems facilitate tissue invasion by the rice blast
612 fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Nature communications*. 2013 Jun 18;4:1996.

613 Govindarajulu M, Epstein L, Wroblewski T, Michelmore RW. Host- induced gene silencing inhibits
614 the biotrophic pathogen causing downy mildew of lettuce. *Plant biotechnology journal*. 2015
615 Sep;13(7):875-83.

616 Gowda M, Nunes CC, Sailsbery J, Xue M, Chen F, Nelson CA, Brown DE, Oh Y, Meng S, Mitchell
617 T, Hagedorn CH. Genome-wide characterization of methylguanosine-capped and polyadenylated
618 small RNAs in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Nucleic acids research*. 2010 Jul
619 21;38(21):7558-69.

620 Hamilton AJ, Baulcombe DC. A species of small antisense RNA in posttranscriptional gene
621 silencing in plants. *Science*. 1999 Oct 29;286(5441):950-2.

622 Head GP, Carroll MW, Evans SP, Rule DM, Willse AR, Clark TL, Storer NP, Flannagan RD,
623 Samuel LW, Meinke LJ. Evaluation of SmartStax and SmartStax PRO maize against western
624 corn rootworm and northern corn rootworm: efficacy and resistance management. *Pest
625 management science*. 2017 Sep;73(9):1883-99.

626 Kadotani N, Nakayashiki H, Tosa Y, Mayama S. RNA silencing in the phytopathogenic fungus
627 *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Molecular plant-microbe interactions*. 2003 Sep;16(9):769-76.

628 Kehr J, Kragler F. Long distance RNA movement. *New Phytologist*. 2018 Apr;218(1):29-40.

629 Kershaw MJ, Talbot NJ. Genome-wide functional analysis reveals that infection-associated fungal
630 autophagy is necessary for rice blast disease. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*.
631 2009 Sep 15;106(37):15967-72.

632 Kettles, G. J., Bayon, C., Sparks, C. A., Canning, G., Kanyuka, K., & Rudd, J. J. (2018).
633 Characterization of an antimicrobial and phytotoxic ribonuclease secreted by the fungal wheat
634 pathogen *Zymoseptoria tritici*. *New Phytologist*, 217(1), 320-331.

635 Kim D, Langmead B, Salzberg SL. HISAT: a fast spliced aligner with low memory requirements.
636 *Nature methods*. 2015 Apr;12(4):357.

637 Koch A, Kogel KH. New wind in the sails: improving the agronomic value of crop plants through
638 RNA i- mediated gene silencing. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*. 2014 Sep;12(7):821-31.

639 Koch A, Kumar N, Weber L, Keller H, Imani J, Kogel KH. Host-induced gene silencing of
640 cytochrome P450 lanosterol C14 α -demethylase–encoding genes confers strong resistance to
641 *Fusarium* species. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*. 2013 Nov
642 26;110(48):19324-9.

643 Koch, A., Biedenkopf, D., Furch, A., Weber, L., Rossbach, O., Abdellatef, E., Linicus, L.,
644 Johannismeier, J., Jelonek, L., Goesmann, A. and Cardoza, V., 2016. An RNAi-based control of
645 *Fusarium graminearum* infections through spraying of long dsRNAs involves a plant passage and
646 is controlled by the fungal silencing machinery. *PLoS pathogens*, 12(10), p.e1005901.

647 LaMonte G, Philip N, Reardon J, Lacsina JR, Majoros W, Chapman L, Thornburg CD, Telen MJ,
648 Ohler U, Nicchitta CV, Haystead T. Translocation of sickle cell erythrocyte microRNAs into
649 *Plasmodium falciparum* inhibits parasite translation and contributes to malaria resistance. *Cell*
650 *host & microbe*. 2012 Aug 16;12(2):187-99.

651 Langmead B, Trapnell C, Pop M, Salzberg SL. Bowtie: an ultrafast memory-efficient short read
652 aligner. *Genome Biol*. 2009;10(3):R25.

653 Love MI, Huber W, Anders S. Moderated estimation of fold change and dispersion for RNA-seq
654 data with DESeq2. *Genome biology*. 2014 Dec;15(12):550.

655 Margis R, Fusaro AF, Smith NA, Curtin SJ, Watson JM, Finnegan EJ, Waterhouse PM. The
656 evolution and diversification of Dicers in plants. *FEBS letters*. 2006 May 1;580(10):2442-50.

657 Martin M. Cutadapt removes adapter sequences from high-throughput sequencing reads. *EMBnet*.
658 *journal*. 2011 May 2;17(1):10-2.

659 Mi S, Cai T, Hu Y, Chen Y, Hodges E, Ni F, Wu L, Li S, Zhou H, Long C, Chen S. Sorting of small
660 RNAs into Arabidopsis argonaute complexes is directed by the 5' terminal nucleotide. *Cell*. 2008
661 Apr 4;133(1):116-27.

662 Mirzaei K, Bahramnejad B, Shamsifard MH, Zamani W. In silico identification, phylogenetic and
663 bioinformatic analysis of argonaute genes in plants. *International journal of genomics*.
664 2014;2014.

665 Molnar A, Melnyk CW, Bassett A, Hardcastle TJ, Dunn R, Baulcombe DC. Small silencing RNAs
666 in plants are mobile and direct epigenetic modification in recipient cells. *science*. 2010 May
667 14;328(5980):872-5.

668 Murashige T, Skoog F. A revised medium for rapid growth and bio assays with tobacco tissue
669 cultures. *Physiologia plantarum*. 1962 Jul 1;15(3):473-97.

670 Murphy D, Dancis B, Brown JR. The evolution of core proteins involved in microRNA biogenesis.
671 *BMC evolutionary biology*. 2008 Dec;8(1):92.

672 Nowara D, Gay A, Lacomme C, Shaw J, Ridout C, Douchkov D, Hensel G, Kumlehn J, Schweizer
673 P. HIGS: host-induced gene silencing in the obligate biotrophic fungal pathogen *Blumeria*
674 *graminis*. *The Plant Cell*. 2010 Sep 1;22(9):3130-41.

675 Nunes CC, Gowda M, Sailsbery J, Xue M, Chen F, Brown DE, Oh Y, Mitchell TK, Dean RA.
676 Diverse and tissue-enriched small RNAs in the plant pathogenic fungus, *Magnaporthe oryzae*.
677 *BMC genomics*. 2011 Dec;12(1):288.

678 Odenbach D, Breth B, Thines E, Weber RW, Anke H, Foster AJ. The transcription factor Con7p is
679 a central regulator of infection- related morphogenesis in the rice blast fungus *Magnaporthe*
680 *grisea*. *Molecular microbiology*. 2007 Apr;64(2):293-307.

681 Park CH, Chen S, Shirsekar G, Zhou B, Khang CH, Songkumarn P, Afzal AJ, Ning Y, Wang R,
682 Bellizzi M, Valent B. The *Magnaporthe oryzae* effector AvrPiz-t targets the RING E3 Ubiquitin
683 Ligase APIP6 to suppress pathogen-associated molecular pattern-triggered immunity in rice. *The*
684 *Plant Cell*. 2012 Nov 1;24(11):4748-62.

685 Parker D, Beckmann M, Enot DP, Overy DP, Rios ZC, Gilbert M, Talbot N, Draper J. Rice blast
686 infection of *Brachypodium distachyon* as a model system to study dynamic host/pathogen
687 interactions. *Nature Protocols*. 2008 Mar;3(3):435.

688 Raman V, Simon SA, Romag A, Demirci F, Mathioni SM, Zhai J, Meyers BC, Donofrio NM.
689 Physiological stressors and invasive plant infections alter the small RNA transcriptome of the
690 rice blast fungus, *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *BMC genomics*. 2013 Dec;14(1):326.

691 Raman V, Simon SA, Demirci F, Nakano M, Meyers BC, Donofrio NM. Small RNA functions are
692 required for growth and development of *Magnaporthe oryzae*. *Molecular plant-microbe*
693 *interactions*. 2017 May 15;30(7):517-30.

694 ROUTLEDGE AP, SHELLEY G, SMITH JV, Talbot NJ, Draper J, Mur LA. *Magnaporthe grisea*
695 interactions with the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon* closely resemble those with rice
696 (*Oryza sativa*). *Molecular Plant Pathology*. 2004 Jul;5(4):253-65.

697 Rutter BD, Innes RW. Extracellular vesicles isolated from the leaf apoplast carry stress-response
698 proteins. *Plant Physiology*. 2017 Jan 1;173(1):728-41.

699 Sesma A, Osbourn AE. The rice leaf blast pathogen undergoes developmental processes typical of
700 root-infecting fungi. *Nature*. 2004 Sep;431(7008):582.

701 Šečić E, Zanini S, Kogel KH. Further elucidation of the ARGONAUTE-like and DICER-like protein
702 families in the model grass species *Brachypodium distachyon*. *Frontiers in Plant Science*. 2019.
703 Forthcoming.

704 Shi Z, Leung H. Genetic analysis of sporulation in *Magnaporthe grisea* by chemical and insertional
705 mutagenesis. *MPMI-Molecular Plant Microbe Interactions*. 1995 Nov 1;8(6):949-59.

706 Vaucheret H, Fagard M. Transcriptional gene silencing in plants: targets, inducers and regulators.

TRENDS in Genetics. 2001 Jan 1;17(1):29-35.

Vaucheret H, Vazquez F, Crt P, Bartel DP. The action of ARGONAUTE1 in the miRNA pathway and its regulation by the miRNA pathway are crucial for plant development. *Genes & development*. 2004 May 15;18(10):1187-97.

Vogel J, Hill T. High-efficiency Agrobacterium-mediated transformation of *Brachypodium distachyon* inbred line Bd21-3. *Plant cell reports*. 2008 Mar 1;27(3):471-8.

Vogel JP, Garvin DF, Leong OM, Hayden DM. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation and inbred line development in the model grass *Brachypodium distachyon*. *Plant Cell, Tissue and Organ Culture*. 2006 Feb 1;84(2):199-211.

Wang B, Sun Y, Song N, Zhao M, Liu R, Feng H, Wang X, Kang Z. *Puccinia striiformis* f. sp. *tritici* microRNA-like RNA 1 (Pst-miR1), an important pathogenicity factor of Pst, impairs wheat resistance to Pst by suppressing the wheat pathogenesis-related 2 gene. *New Phytologist*. 2017a Jul;215(1):338-50.

Wang HL, Dinwiddie BL, Lee H, Chekanova JA. Stress-induced endogenous siRNAs targeting regulatory intron sequences in *Brachypodium*. *RNA*. 2015 Feb 1;21(2):145-63.

Wang M, Weiberg A, Lin FM, Thomma BP, Huang HD, Jin H. Bidirectional cross-kingdom RNAi and fungal uptake of external RNAs confer plant protection. *Nature plants*. 2016 Oct;2(10):16151.

Wang M, Weiberg A, Dellota Jr E, Yamane D, Jin H. Botrytis small RNA Bc-siR37 suppresses plant defense genes by cross-kingdom RNAi. *RNA biology*. 2017b Apr 3;14(4):421-8.

Weiberg A, Wang M, Lin FM, Zhao H, Zhang Z, Kaloshian I, Huang HD, Jin H. Fungal small RNAs suppress plant immunity by hijacking host RNA interference pathways. *Science*. 2013 Oct 4;342(6154):118-23.

Wei B, Cai T, Zhang R, Li A, Huo N, Li S, Gu YQ, Vogel J, Jia J, Qi Y, Mao L. Novel microRNAs uncovered by deep sequencing of small RNA transcriptomes in bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) and *Brachypodium distachyon* (L.) Beauv. *Functional & integrative genomics*. 2009 Nov

733 1;9(4):499.

734 Wilson RA, Talbot NJ. Under pressure: investigating the biology of plant infection by *Magnaporthe*

735 *oryzae*. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*. 2009 Mar;7(3):185.

736 Zanini S, Šečić E, Jelonek L, Kogel KH. A Bioinformatics Pipeline for the Analysis and Target

737 Prediction of RNA Effectors in Bidirectional Communication During Plant–Microbe

738 Interactions. *Frontiers in plant science*. 2018;9.

739 Zerbino DR, Achuthan P, Akanni W, Amode MR, Barrell D, Bhai J, Billis K, Cummins C, Gall A,

740 Girón CG, Gil L. Ensembl 2018. *Nucleic acids research*. 2017 Nov 16;46(D1):D754-61.

741 Zhang J, Xu Y, Huan Q, Chong K. Deep sequencing of *Brachypodium* small RNAs at the global

742 genome level identifies microRNAs involved in cold stress response. *BMC genomics*. 2009

743 Dec;10(1):449.

744 Zhang T, Zhao YL, Zhao JH, Wang S, Jin Y, Chen ZQ, Fang YY, Hua CL, Ding SW, Guo HS.

745 Cotton plants export microRNAs to inhibit virulence gene expression in a fungal pathogen.

746 *Nature plants*. 2016 Oct;2(10):16153.

747

748

Figure 1

Figure 2

Figure 3

Figure 4

Figure 5

Figure 6

Figure 7

Legends of Figures

Figure 1. The interaction of *Brachypodium distachyon* and *Magnaporthe oryzae*.

(A,D) Detached 21-day-old *Bd21-3* leaves were drop-inoculated with 10 µl of *Mo* 70-15 conidia solution (50,000 conidia/ml in 0.002% Tween water) and kept for 2 days (B) and 4 days (D), respectively, at high humidity. Respective controls were mock-inoculated (A,C). (E,F) Roots of

seven-day-old seedlings were inoculated with 1 ml of conidia solution (250,000 conidia/ml in 0.002% Tween water) and kept for four days under high humidity at 16 h light/8 h dark cycle at 22°C/18°C (F). Mock-treated roots served as control (E).

Figure 2. Size distribution of unique sRNA reads in the interaction of *Brachypodium distachyon* and *Magnaporthe oryzae*.

(A,B) Relative size distribution (in percentage) of unique filtered sRNA reads assigned to *Mo* (A) or *Bd* (B) in the interaction of *M. oryzae* (*Mo* 70-15) and *B. distachyon* (*Bd21-3*). Reads were assigned to either *Mo* or *Bd* only if aligning 100% to the organism of origin genome and had at least two mismatches to the interacting organism genome. **(C,D)** Relative size distribution of unique filtered sRNA reads assigned to *Mo* (C) or *Bd* (D) and induced or increased in infected samples compared to controls (axenic fungal cultures and non-inoculated plants, respectively). Samples for sRNA sequencing by Illumina HiSeq1500 were taken from different setups: leaves (leaf 2 DPI, 4 DPI) and roots (roots 4 DPI).

Figure 3. Venn diagrams of unique filtered *Mo* and *Bd* reads.

(A) Venn diagram of *Mo* sRNA reads (18-32 nt) in axenic culture (green) and *Mo*-infected (red) *Bd* leaves (2 DPI, 4 DPI) and roots (4 DPI). **(B)** Venn diagram of *Bd* sRNA reads (18-32 nt) in mock-inoculated, non-infected (green) and *Mo*-infected (red) *Bd* leaves.

Figure 4. Infection phenotypes of *Magnaporthe oryzae* RNAi mutants on *Brachypodium distachyon* leaves. Detached 21-day-old *Bd21-3* leaves were drop-inoculated with 10 µl of *Mo* 70-15 conidia (50,000 conidia/ml in 0.002% Tween water) and kept for six days at high humidity.

Figure 5. Venn diagram of downregulation *Mo* targets.

789 Significantly downregulated ($FC < 0$ padj < 0.05) *Mo* mRNA targets with complementarity to *Bd*
 790 sRNAs shared between setups: leaf biotrophic phase (2 DPI; blue), leaf necrotrophic phase (4 DPI,
 791 red), and root (4 DPI, green). Transcript downregulation was assessed from mRNAseq data with
 792 DESeq2.

793
 794 **Figure 6. (A,G) Volcano plots** of DESeq2 results for mRNAseq analysis of *Magnaporthe* and
 795 *Brachypodium* during infection.

796
 797 **Figure 7. Heatmap for the *Mo* mRNA target expression levels.**

798 Heatmap of expression levels (logFC) of confirmed downregulated target *Mo* mRNAs in all 3 setups
 799 (leaf 2 DPI, leaf 4 DPI and root). Color gradient from red to blue indicative of log2FC of
 800 corresponding transcript (-0.5 (red) to -10 (blue)).

801 802 Supplemental Data

803
 804 **S1 Fig.** Size distribution of total filtered reads in the interaction of *Brachypodium distachyon* and
 805 *Magnaporthe oryzae*.

806
 807 **S2 Fig.** Schematic overview of *Mo* sRNAs effectors (20-21 nt) and corresponding *Bd* target mRNAs
 808 after target prediction with psRNATarget with customized settings.

809
 810 **S3 Fig. A-B** Selected differentially expressed *Bd* and *Mo* transcripts

811
 812 **S4 Fig. A-D** Results of gene ontology enrichment (GOE) analysis for significantly downregulated
 813 *Bd* mRNA targets in the 4 DPI leaf setup. GOE analysis done with AgriGO.

814

815 **S5 Fig.** Overview of *Bd* sRNAs (20-21nt) effectors and corresponding *Mo* mRNAs after target
816 prediction with psRNATarget with customized settings.

817
818 **S6 Fig. A-E** Overview of gene ontology enrichment (GOE) analysis for significantly downregulated
819 *Mo* mRNA targeted in the root setup. GOE analysis done with AgriGO.

820
821 **S1 Tab.** Overview of total sRNA and mRNA reads in the *Brachypodium distachyon* – *Magnaporthe*
822 *oryzae* interaction.

823
824 **S2 Tab.** Total numbers of significantly ($p_{adj} < 0.05$) up- or down-regulated genes in the
825 *Brachypodium distachyon* – *Magnaporthe oryzae* interaction (DESeq2 results)