Extensive transcriptional changes in the aphid species *Myzus cerasi* under different host environments associated with detoxification genes

Peter Thorpe¹, Carmen M. Escudero-Martinez^{1,2}, Sebastian Eves-van den Akker³, Jorunn I.B. Bos^{1,2}

¹Cell and Molecular Sciences, The James Hutton Institute, Invergowrie, Dundee, DD2 5DA, UK

²Division of Plant Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee, Dundee

³Department of Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, CB2 3EA, UK

*Corresponding Author:

Jorunn I.B. Bos, PhD

Division of Plant Sciences, School of Life Sciences, University of Dundee

Cell and Molecular Sciences, James Hutton Institute

Invergowrie, Dundee

DD2 5DA

United Kingdom

Phone: +44 (0)3449285428

Email: j.bos@dundee.ac.uk

30 **Abstract**

31

32 Aphids are phloem-feeding insects that cause yield losses to crops globally. These insects
 33 feature complex life cycles, which in the case of many agriculturally important species
 34 involves the use of primary and secondary host plant species. Whilst host alternation
 35 between primary and secondary host can occur in the field depending on host availability
 36 and the environment, aphid populations maintained as laboratory stocks generally are kept
 37 under conditions that allow asexual reproduction by parthenogenesis on secondary hosts.
 38 Here, we used *Myzus cerasi* (black cherry aphid) to assess aphid transcriptional
 39 differences between populations collected from primary hosts in the field and those
 40 adapted to secondary hosts under controlled environment conditions. Adaptation
 41 experiments of *M. cerasi* collected from local cherry trees to reported secondary host
 42 species resulted in low survival rates. Moreover, aphids were unable to survive on
 43 secondary host Land cress, unless first adapted to another secondary host, cleavers.
 44 Transcriptome analyses of populations collected from primary host cherry in the field and
 45 the two secondary host plant species in a controlled environment showed extensive
 46 transcriptional plasticity to a change in host environment, with predominantly genes
 47 involved in redox reactions differentially regulated. Most of the differentially expressed
 48 genes across the *M. cerasi* populations from the different host environments were
 49 duplicated and we found evidence for differential exon usage. In contrast, we observed
 50 only limited transcriptional to a change in secondary host plant species.

51

52 **Keywords: aphid host adaptation, laboratory environment, RNAseq, transcriptional**
 53 **plasticity, detoxification**

54

55 **Introduction**

56

57 Aphids are phloem-feeding insects that belong to the order Hemiptera. Insects within this
 58 order feature distinctive mouthparts, or stylets, that in the case of phytophagous insects,
 59 are used to pierce plant tissues and obtain nutrients from the plant phloem. One striking
 60 feature of the complex life cycle of about 10 % of aphid species is the seasonal host
 61 switching between unrelated primary (winter) and secondary (summer) host plants, also
 62 called host alternation or heteroecy (Mordvilko 1928); (Williams 2007). Host alternating
 63 aphids predominantly use woody plants as their primary hosts, on which (overwintering)
 64 eggs are laid, from which the first generation of aphids, or fundatrices, emerge in spring.
 65 The fundatrices, and their offspring, reproduce by parthenogenesis (asexual reproduction),
 66 giving birth to live nymphs. Winged forms (alate) will migrate to secondary host plants over
 67 the summer months where the aphid populations will go through multiple parthenogenic
 68 generations. In autumn, sexual female and male aphids will reproduce sexually and
 69 overwintering eggs are laid on the primary host. Exceptions to this general life cycle exist,
 70 with some aphids for example having multi-year cycles (Kennedy 1959).

71

72 Heteroecy in aphids has independently arisen in different aphid lineages throughout
 73 evolutionary history (Moran 1988) with monoecy (with the entire life cycle taking place on
 74 one plant species) on trees thought to be the ancestral state. Many different hypotheses
 75 explain the maintenance of heteroecy and driving factors described include nutritional
 76 optimization, oviposition sites, natural enemies, temperature tolerance, and fundatrix

77 specialization (Moran 1988). It is likely that switching between host plant species requires
 78 aphids to adapt to differences in host nutritional status as well as potential differences in
 79 plant defense mechanisms against insects. Host plant specialization in the pea aphid
 80 species complex is associated with differences in genomic regions encompassing
 81 predicted salivary genes as well as olfactory receptors (Jaquiéry et al. 2012). Moreover,
 82 adaptation of *M. persicae* to different secondary host plant species involves gene
 83 expression changes, including of genes predicted to encode for cuticular proteins,
 84 cathepsin B protease, UDP-glycosyltransferases and P450 monooxygenases (Mathers et
 85 al. 2017). Aphid secondary hosts include many important agricultural crops and are
 86 generally more suitable for maintaining clonal (asexual) aphid laboratory stocks used for
 87 research experiments. To what extent aphid gene expression is affected upon collecting
 88 aphids from the field and adapting them to select secondary host plants in a laboratory
 89 environment remains unclear.

90
 91 *Myzus cerasi*, or black cherry aphid, uses mainly *Prunus cerasus* (Morello cherry) and
 92 *Prunus avium* (sweet cherry), but also other *Prunus* species as primary hosts and several
 93 herbaceous plants (*Gallium* spp., *Veronica* spp., and cruciferous species) as secondary
 94 hosts (Barbagallo et al. 2017) (Blackman and Eastop 2000). Infestation can cause
 95 significant damage on cherry trees, due to leaf curling, and shoot deformation, pseudogall
 96 formation, as well as fruit damage. Recently, we generated a draft genome for *M. cerasi*,
 97 providing novel insights into potential parasitism genes as well as genome evolution
 98 (Thorpe et al. 2018). The increasing availability of genomics resources for aphids,
 99 including *M. cerasi*, facilitates further understanding of aphid biology, including the
 100 processes involved adapting to a change in host environment. In this study, we collected
 101 *M. cerasi* from primary hosts (cherry trees) in the field with the aim to adapt these to
 102 different reported secondary hosts, *Galium aparine* (cleavers) and *Barbarea verna* (Land

103 cress) under laboratory conditions for comparative transcriptome analyses. We found that
 104 aphids collected from their primary host in the field differed in their ability to adapt to the
 105 secondary host plant species in a controlled environment, with no aphids surviving transfer
 106 to *Barbarea verna* (Land cress). We compared the transcriptomes of *M. cerasi* aphids
 107 adapted under laboratory conditions to secondary hosts *Galium aparine* (cleavers) and
 108 *Barbarea verna* (Land cress) and only observed limited transcriptional changes. However,
 109 when comparing the transcriptomes of these adapted aphids to field collected aphids from
 110 primary hosts, we noted extensive transcriptional changes, especially with regards to
 111 predicted detoxification genes. The majority of differentially expressed genes were
 112 duplicated, implicating multigene families in aphid adaptation to host environments.

114 Results and discussion

116 *Myzus cerasi* host adaptation under controlled laboratory conditions is associated 117 with low survival rates

119 When attempting to establish a colony of *M. cerasi* from populations occurring on local
 120 cherry trees, we observed differences in survival rates upon transfer to reported secondary
 121 host plant species under controlled plant growth conditions. While aphids were unable to
 122 survive transfer from primary host cherry to Land cress (*Barbarea verna*), we observed a
 123 10%-20% survival rate upon transfer to cleavers (*Galium aparine*) (Fig. 1). However, once
 124 aphid populations were established on cleavers, individuals from this population were
 125 successfully transferred to cress plants. We performed similar field to lab host transfer
 126 experiments with aphids collected from cherry trees at two different locations in three
 127 independent replicates with similar results (Fig. 1). Our observation that *M. cerasi*
 128 collected from primary hosts in the field shows a difference in ability to infest two reported

129 secondary hosts likely reflects these aphids face a major hurdle represented by a change
130 in host environment. In addition, our finding raises the question whether aphids may
131 expand their secondary host range once adapted to a preferred secondary host species.

132

133 ***Myzus cerasi* shows extensive transcriptional plasticity to a change in host** 134 **environment**

135

136 We assessed the changes that take place at the transcriptional level in *M. cerasi* when
137 adapting the field-collected aphids from cherry to secondary hosts in a controlled plant
138 growth environment. Specifically, we sequenced the transcriptomes of *M. cerasi*
139 populations collected from cherry (field conditions), and of aphids established over a 3-
140 week period on cleavers or cress (controlled environment) using RNAseq.

141

142 We performed differential gene expression analysis (LOG fold change >2, False Discovery
143 Rate (FDR) $p < 0.001$) between the different aphid populations to identify gene sets
144 associated with the different host plant environments. Cluster analyses of the aphid
145 transcriptional responses from this and previous work reporting on differential aphid gene
146 expression in head versus body tissues (Thorpe et al. 2016) revealed that the overall
147 expression profiles could be distinguished based on the aphid tissue used for sample
148 preparation as well as the host environment (Fig. S1A). Indeed, principal component
149 analyses showed a clear separation between aphid transcriptomes associated with
150 primary host (field conditions) and the different secondary hosts (controlled conditions)
151 (Fig. S1B). Overall, we identified 934 differentially expressed genes by comparing the
152 different datasets for each of the aphid populations (Fig. 2A, Table S1). A heat map of
153 these 934 genes shows that gene expression profiles from aphids adapted to secondary
154 hosts (cleavers and cress) and maintained in a controlled environment are more similar to

each other than to the gene expression profiles of aphids collected from primary hosts in the field (Fig. 2A). Co-expression analyses reveals six main clusters of differentially expressed genes, two of which (A and E) contain the majority of genes (Fig. 2A and 2B). Cluster A contains 493 genes, which show higher expression in aphids maintained on secondary hosts (controlled environment) versus those collected from primary hosts (field), and cluster B contained 342 genes showing an opposite profile. GO annotation revealed over-representation of terms associated with oxido-reductase activity in both clusters, as well as several terms associated with carotenoid/tetrapenoid biosynthesis in case of cluster B (Table S2).

164

To assess differential expression of *M. cerasi* genes across the host environment-aphid interactions we also analyzed pairwise comparisons for differentially expressed gene sets. The largest set of differentially expressed genes (736) was found in comparisons between aphids from cherry (primary host, field) and cress (secondary host, controlled environment), with 443 genes more highly expressed in aphids from cress, and 293 more highly expressed in aphids from cherry (Fig. 3A). A total of 733 differentially expressed genes were found in comparisons of aphids from cherry (primary host, field) versus cleavers (secondary host, controlled environment, with 367 genes more highly expressed in aphids collected from cherry and 366 genes more highly expressed in aphids collected from cleavers (Fig. 3A). The higher number of genes up-regulated in the cress-cherry comparison may reflect the difficulties in adapting to this secondary host species, with *M. cerasi* unable to infest cress when collected from cherry (field). Our differential gene expression data not only is impacted by a change in host plant species, but also a change in plant growth environment to which the aphids were exposed. To what extend both these factors contribute the observed gene expression changes remains an open question. Nevertheless, aphids cannot make the transition to cress in the controlled environment

181 unless they first parasitise cleavers in the controlled environment. This suggests that the
182 transition to cleavers enables subsequent transitions through a yet unidentified
183 mechanism.

184

185 A relatively small number of genes were differentially expressed between aphids collected
186 from the two secondary hosts cleavers and cress (both grown under controlled conditions),
187 with only 5 genes more highly expressed in aphids from cleavers, and 74 genes more
188 highly expressed in aphids from cress (Fig. 3A). This suggests that *M. cerasi* shows limited
189 transcriptional plasticity to a switch in secondary host environment, once adapted. This is
190 in line with our previous observation that only a relatively small set of genes is differentially
191 expressed in *M. persicae* and *R. padi* when exposed to different host or non-/poor-host
192 plants (Thorpe et al. 2018) as well as the relatively small number of transcriptional
193 changes when *M. persicae* is adapted to different secondary hosts (Mathers et al. 2017).

194

195 GO enrichment analyses of the 443 genes more highly expressed in aphids collected from
196 cress (controlled environment) compared to those collected from cherry (field) shows
197 overrepresentation of genes predicted to be involved various processes, including in heme
198 binding (GO:0020037), tetrapyrrole binding (GO:0046906), monooxygenase activity
199 (GO:0004497), oxidoreductase activity (GO:0016705), iron ion binding (GO:0005506), and
200 hydrolase activity (GO:0016787) (Table S3). This set of 443 genes contains 282 of the 366
201 genes that are also more highly expressed in aphids from the other secondary host plant
202 species, cleavers, with similar GO annotations (Fig. 3B; Table S4). The 293 genes more
203 highly expressed in aphids collected from cherry (field) than those from cress (controlled
204 environment) shows over-representation of genes predicted in oxidoreductase activity
205 (GO:0016620, GO:0016903, GO:0055114, GO:001649) as well as other processes such
206 as fatty-acyl-CoA reductase (alcohol-forming) activity (GO:0080019), interspecies

207 interaction between organisms (GO:0044419), and symbiosis (GO:0044403) (Table S3).
 208 For the gene sets differentially expressed between aphids collected from cherry (field) and
 209 cleavers (controlled environment), GO enrichment analyses reveal that in reciprocal
 210 comparisons genes predicted to function in redox reactions are also over-represented
 211 (Table S3). The over-representation of differentially expressed genes involved in redox
 212 across the different *M. cerasi* populations likely reflects different requirements for aphids
 213 under different host environment conditions.

214

215 Interestingly, among the 367 genes more highly expressed in aphids collected from cherry
 216 (field) compared to those collected from cleavers (controlled environment), we found that
 217 the majority of GO terms identified through enrichment analyses correspond to metabolic
 218 processes (Table S3). Of these 367 transcripts 268 show similar expression differences in
 219 aphids collected from cherry (field) versus those collected from cress (controlled
 220 environment), whereas 98 are specific to the comparison of aphids collected from cherry
 221 (field) versus cleavers (controlled environment) (Fig. 3C). Whilst GO enrichment analyses
 222 showed over-representation of genes involved in redox reactions in the set of 268
 223 overlapping transcripts, the 98 transcripts specifically up-regulated in aphids collected from
 224 cherry (field) versus cleavers (controlled environment) show over-representation in
 225 metabolic processes, and especially those associated with terpenoid/carotenoid
 226 biosynthesis which are involved in aphid pigmentation (Table S5) (Moran and Jarvik
 227 2010). Possibly this observation reflects that *M. cerasi* requires specific gene sets for
 228 pigmentation and feeding under specific host environmental conditions. Notably, we did
 229 not observe any noticeable change in aphid color upon adapting aphids from primary
 230 hosts in the field to secondary hosts in the lab. Aphids featured a dark brown to black color
 231 on all plant species tested (not shown), suggesting the differential regulation of carotenoid

genes is not associated with aphid color in this case but with other unknown physiological functions.

To independently test whether select *M. cerasi* genes were differentially expressed in aphids collected from primary (field) and secondary (controlled environment) host plants, we repeated the collection of aphids from local cherry trees (separate site, location 2) and performed adaptation experiments to cleavers and cress. We selected 10 genes for independent validation of expression profiles by qRT-PCR. Five of these 10 genes were selected based on enhanced expressed in aphids from cherry (field) compared to aphids from secondary (controlled environment) host plants, and another 5 genes for being more highly expressed in aphids from secondary (controlled environment) host plants compared to aphids from cherry (field). The genes selected based on higher expression in aphids from cherry (field) showed similarity to genes predicted to encode a peroxidase, RNA-binding protein 14-like, hybrid sensor histidine kinase response regulator, maltase isoform a, and a lactase-phlorizin hydrolase. The genes selected based on higher expression in aphids from secondary (controlled environment) hosts showed similarity to genes predicted to encode an unknown protein, a venom-like protease, a thaumatin-like protein, protein kintoun, and a cytochrome P450. Except for the gene with similarity to a venom-like protease, all genes showed a similar gene expression profile in both samples used for the RNAseq experiments and in the independently collected and adapted aphids from a different site, indicating that this gene set is consistently differentially expressed when *M. cerasi* was adapted from primary hosts in the field to secondary hosts in a controlled environment (Fig. S2). Most of these genes have predicted functions in detoxification, in line with our hypothesis that aphids require different sets of genes to deal with potential defensive plant compounds associated with different host environments. To what extend our observations are associated with primary versus secondary host factors or field versus

controlled environment factors is not clear. Notably, in *H. persikonus* collected from primary and secondary host plant species in the field, a similar observation was made in that an extensive gene set associated with detoxification was differentially regulated (Cui et al. 2017).

Single Nucleotide Polymorphism (SNP) analyses suggest that an aphid sub-population is able to adapt from primary hosts in the field to secondary hosts in a controlled environment

We used the transcriptome dataset we generated here to compare the level of sequence polymorphisms between the aphid populations from the different primary (field) and secondary (controlled environment) host plants species. Variants/SNPs were predicted by mapping the RNAseq dataset for each aphid population (cherry, cleavers and cress) to the *M. cerasi* reference genome for each condition, with only unique mapping being allowed. The number of SNPs within each 10Kb window was calculated. The *M. cerasi* population from cherry has significantly more SNPs per 10Kb than the populations from both cleavers and cress when mapping reads back to the reference genome ($p < 0.001$, Kruskal-Wallis with Bonferroni post hoc correction). In contrast, the aphid populations from cleavers and cress showed no significant difference in the number of SNPs per 10Kb ($p = 0.29$, Kruskal-Wallis with Bonferroni post hoc correction). These results are consistent with the observation that the population of *M. cerasi* went through a bottle neck during the transfer from cherry to cleavers, but not cleavers to cress. Based on these findings we propose that only a subpopulation of the primary host population may switch to secondary host plant species. It should be noted that these data are based on RNAseq, and do not rule out the possibility of allele-specific expression across the different host interactions.

Hence, further characterization of the *M. cerasi* (sub)populations using DNaseq will be required to gain further insight into adaptation of this aphid species to its hosts.

Limited differential expression of predicted *M. cerasi* effectors across field and adapted populations

We assessed whether predicted *M. cerasi* effectors are differentially expressed in aphid population collected from a primary host (field) or secondary hosts plants (controlled environment). The 224 predicted *M. cerasi* effectors we previously identified (Thorpe et al. 2018) show a wide range of expression levels across different interactions, with most expression variation in aphids collected from cherry under field conditions (Fig. 4A; Table S6). However, when assessing expression of a random non-effector set of similar size, this expression variation in aphids was less pronounced (Fig. 4A). Despite the observed variation in expression patterns, we only found a small number of differentially expressed candidate effectors, mainly when comparing aphids collected from the primary (field) versus secondary hosts (controlled environment). Specifically, 13 candidate effectors are more highly expressed in aphids from both secondary host species (controlled environment) compared to aphids from the primary host cherry (field), with one additional candidate effector more highly expressed in the case of aphids from cleavers compared to cherry only (Mca17157|adenylate kinase 9-like) (Table S6). Although these candidate effectors were mainly of unknown function, several show similarity to thaumatin-like proteins and a venom protease. Interestingly, the candidate effector with similarity to the venom protease, Mca05785 (upregulated in secondary hosts (controlled environment)), is member of a venom protease gene family cluster that consists of four members (3 are tandem duplications, 1 is a proximal duplication). Three of these are predicted to encode secreted proteins, and all members show higher expression levels in aphids from

secondary hosts under controlled conditions compared to aphids from primary host in the field, but this variation was below the LOG2 fold change cut-off (Table S6). In addition, 1 candidate effector (similar to RNA-binding protein 14) was differentially expressed when comparing aphids from the two secondary host plants (controlled environment), and 5 candidate effectors (Mca07285, Mca07514, Mca16980, Mca07516, Mca09259) were more highly expressed in aphids collected from cherry (field) compared to aphids from cleavers and/or cress (controlled environment) (Table S6).

Break-down of aphid effector co-regulation in the aphid population collected from primary hosts under field conditions.

We previously showed that expression of aphid effector genes, required for parasitism, was tightly co-regulated pointing to a mechanism of shared transcriptional control (Thorpe et al. 2018). To assess co-regulation in the different *M. cerasi* populations, we analyzed the co-expression patterns of Mc1 and Me10-like, an effector pair that is physically linked across aphid genomes and tightly co-regulated, together with all other genes. A total of 35 genes showed a high level of co-expression in the three different *M. cerasi* populations and across different aphid tissues (Fig. 4C). This number is much smaller compared to the set of co-regulated genes in *R. padi* (213) and *M. persicae* (114), which could be due to differences the quantity and quality of the RNAseq datasets we used for these analyses (Thorpe et al. 2018). However, the pattern of co-regulation observed in aphids collected from secondary host plants (controlled environment) is not apparent in aphids collected from the primary host cherry (field) (Fig. 4C), which affects the overall accuracy and ability to predict co-regulated genes. Possibly, the diversity of the *M. cerasi* population collected from primary hosts in the field underlies the observed break-down in co-regulation.

335 **Differential exon usage in *M. cerasi* populations**

336 We also found evidence for differential exon usage when comparing the different aphid
337 transcript datasets. Overall, 263 genes show significant differential exon usage when
338 comparing aphid datasets associated with the different primary (field) and secondary hosts
339 (controlled environment) (Table S7). These 263 genes contain 2551 exons, of which 443
340 show differential expression between aphid populations from primary hosts in the field
341 versus populations from secondary hosts in a controlled environment. No significant GO
342 annotation is associated with these 263 genes. One example of differential exon usage in
343 *M. cerasi* is peroxidase gene Mca06436, which contains 5 exons, 2 of which are
344 significantly more highly expressed in aphids collected from primary hosts in the field (Fig.
345 5). This suggests that alternative splicing may be associated with the adaptation of the
346 field population to the secondary hosts in a controlled environment.

347

348 **The majority of *M. cerasi* genes differentially expressed across different host** 349 **environments are duplicated**

350

351 Interestingly, the majority of genes differently expression across the three *M. cerasi*
352 populations are duplicated (not single copy). For the genes upregulated in *M. cerasi* from
353 cress (controlled environment) versus cherry (field) only 14% are single copy, which is
354 significantly lower than the percentage of single copy genes in a randomly selected set of
355 genes ($p < 0.001$, Mann-Whitney U test). Moreover, for all sets of differentially expressed
356 genes, the differentially expressed genes were more likely to be duplicated when
357 compared to a background random gene set ($p < 0.001$) (Table S8). To assess the
358 categories of gene duplication within the differential expressed gene sets, 100 iterations of
359 randomly selecting 100 genes were conducted to obtain a background population. This
360 yielded a mean and standard deviation for each duplication type from the parent gene

population (normally distributed). A probability calculator (Genstat) was used to determine how likely the observed counts were to occur at random. This showed that most of the duplicated differentially expressed genes were within the “dispersed duplication” category ($p < 0.001$) and that there was no significant difference in the occurrence of tandem or proximal gene duplications ($p > 0.05$) (Table S8). In contrast to predicted *M. cerasi* effectors, the differentially expressed genes identified in this study were not significantly further away from their neighbor in the 3’ direction ($p = 0.163$, Mann-Whitney U Wilcoxon rank-sum test), or their 5’ neighbor gene ($p = 0.140$, Mann-Whitney U Wilcoxon rank-sum test) when compared to an equal sized random population (Figure S3). Altogether our data suggest that *M. cerasi* multi-gene families may play an important role adaptation to host environments. This is in line with Mathers et al, (Mathers et al. 2017) who showed that duplicated genes play a role in adaptation of *M. persicae* to different secondary host species.

Conclusion

Aphids feature complex life cycles, which in some cases involve alternation between summer and winter host plant species. Here we show that, under controlled conditions, *M. cerasi* adaptation from primary to secondary host species does not readily occur, with only 10-20% aphid survival. Comprehensive gene expression analyses of *M. cerasi* populations collected from primary hosts in the field and adapted to secondary hosts under controlled conditions revealed sets of detoxification genes that are differentially regulated and differential exon usage associated with a change in host environment. Many of the differentially expressed genes are members of multi-gene families. In contrast, we find only limited transcriptional plasticity to secondary host switching under controlled conditions.

387 **Methods**

388

389 **Aphid collection and adaptation**

390 *M. cerasi* was collected in July 2013 from two separate locations in Dundee, United
 391 Kingdom. Mixed age aphids from branches of an infested cherry tree were flash frozen in
 392 liquid nitrogen upon collection (3 replicates of 50 aphids per location). For adaptation to
 393 secondary host plants, 50 aphids of mixed age, were transferred to *Galium aparine*
 394 (cleavers) or *Barbarae verna* (Land cress) detached branches placed in 3 replicate cup
 395 cultures per location. Aphid survival was assessed after 1 week. Then, 5 aphids of the
 396 surviving population on cleavers, were moved to a fresh cup culture containing detached
 397 cleavers branches. Fresh plant material was added to the cups after 2 weeks. One week
 398 later 50 mixed-age aphids per cup were flash frozen (aphids adapted to cleavers for
 399 RNAseq) and fresh cleavers branches together with Land cress branches were added to
 400 the cups. One week after adding the Land cress plant material, all cleavers material was
 401 removed and fresh cress branches were added and fresh plant material was regularly
 402 provided. Three weeks later 50 mixed-age aphids were collected per cup culture and flash
 403 frozen (aphids adapted to cress for RNAseq). Aphids were maintained in cup cultures in
 404 controlled environment cabinets at 18°C with a 16 hour light and 8 hour dark period.

405 **RNA sample preparation and sequencing**

406 Aphid samples were ground to a fine powder and total RNA was extracted using a plant
 407 RNA extraction kit (Sigma-Aldrich), following the manufacturer's instructions. We prepared
 408 three biological replicates for *M. cerasi* collected from each host. RNA quality was
 409 assessed using a Bioanalyzer (Agilent Technologies) and a Nanodrop (Thermo Scientific).
 410 RNA sequencing libraries were constructed with an insert size of 250bp according to the
 411 TruSeq RNA protocol (Illumina), and sequenced at the previous Genome Sequencing Unit

412 at the University of Dundee using Illumina-HiSeq 100bp paired end sequencing. All raw
413 data are available under accession number PRJEB24338.

414 **Quality control, RNAseq assembly and differential expression**

415 The raw reads were assessed for quality before and after trimming using FastQC
416 (Andrews 2010). Raw reads were quality trimmed using Trimmomatic (Q22) (Bolger and
417 Giorgi), then assembled using genome-guided Trinity (version r20140717) (Grabherr et al.
418 2011). Transrate was run twice to filter out low supported transcripts (Smith-Unna et al.
419 2015).

420

421 RNAseq assembly and annotation is available at DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1254453. For
422 differential gene expression, reads were mapped to the *Myzus cerasi* genome (Thorpe et
423 al. 2018), per condition using STAR (Dobin et al. 2013). Gene counts were generated
424 using Bedtools (Quinlan and Hall 2010). Differential gene expression analysis was
425 performed using EdgeR (Robinson et al. 2010), using LOG fold change >2, FDR p<0.001
426 threshold. GO enrichment analysis was performed using BLAST2GO (version 2.8,
427 database September 2015) (Conesa et al. 2005) using FDR 0.05. The genome
428 annotations were formatted using GenomeTools (Gremme et al. 2013) and subsequently
429 HTseq (Anders et al. 2015) was used to quantify exon usage. Differential exon expression
430 was performed using DEXSEQ FDR p<0.001 (Anders et al. 2012). Heatmaps were drawn
431 as described in Thorpe et al. (Thorpe et al. 2018).

432

433 Gene duplication categories were used from Thorpe et al. (Thorpe et al. 2018). From these
434 data, a random population was generated by running one hundred iterations on a set of
435 100 randomly selected genes and their duplication types for subsequence statistical
436 analyses. The script to generate random mean and standard deviation counts is available
437 on Github (https://github.com/peterthorpe5/Myzus.cerasi_hosts.methods). Statistical

analysis was performed using Probability Calculator in Genstat (17th edition). The obtained value from the gene set of interest (differentially express genes across aphid populations) was compared to the distribution of the random test set. Datasets identified as being significantly different from the random population did not significantly deviate from a normal distribution, thus the data was normally distributed. To assess the distances from one gene to the next, an equal sized population (1020) of random genes and their values for distance to their neighboring gene in a 3' and 5' direction was generated. The real value and random values were not normally distributed and were analyzed in Genstat (17th edition) using a non-parametric Mann-Whitney U Wilcoxon rank-sum test.

For SNP identification, RNAseq data was mapped back to the reference genome using STAR (2.5.1b) with --outSAMmapqUnique 255, to allow only unique mapping (Dobin et al. 2013). SNPs were identified using Freebayes (Garrison and Marth 2012). VCFtools (0.1.15) (Danecek et al. 2011) was used on the resulting vcf files to identify SNPs per 10Kb.

Validation of expression profiles by qRT-PCR

Validation of the RNA-Seq experiment was completed with the Universal Probe Library (UPL) RT-qPCR system (Roche Diagnostics ©). RNA samples analyzed were those used for RNAseq analyses (aphid collections from location 1) as well as samples from aphids collected at a separate location (location 2) and adapted to secondary hosts (3 biological replicates) . For all experiments, aphid RNA was extracted using RNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Qiagen). RNA samples were DNase treated with Ambion® TURBO DNA-free™. SuperScript® III Reverse Transcriptase (Invitrogen) and random primers were used to prepare cDNA. Primers and probes were designed using the predicted genes sequences generated in the RNA-seq data analysis and the Assay Design Center from Roche,

selecting “Other organism” (https://lifescience.roche.com/en_gb/brands/universal-probe-library.html). Primers were computationally checked to assess if they would amplify one single product using Emboss PrimerSearch. Primers and probes were validated for efficiency (86-108 %) before gene expression quantification; five dilutions of threefolds for each primer pair-probe were used for generating the standard curve. The 1:10 dilution of cDNA was selected as optimal for RT-qPCR using the UPL system. Reactions were prepared using 25µl of total volume, 12.5µl of FastStart TaqMan Probe Master Mix (containing ROX reference dye), 0.25µl of gene-specific primers (0.2mM) and probes (0.1mM). Step-One thermocycler (Applied Biosystems by Life Technology©) was set up as follows: 10 min of denaturation at 95°C, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 94°C and 60 s at 60°C. Relative expression was calculated with the method ΔC_t (Delta Cycle threshold) with primer efficiency consideration. Three technical replicates were run per sample. Reference genes for normalization of the cycle threshold values were selected base on constant expression across different conditions in the RNA-Seq experiment. The reference genes were CDC42-Kinase (Mca01274), actin (Mca10020) and tubulin (Mca04511). The fold change calculations were done by $\Delta\Delta C_t$ method (Delta Cycle threshold) and primer efficiency was taken into consideration.

Acknowledgement

We thank Brian Fenton for help with adapting *Myzus cerasi* collected from cherry trees to secondary hosts, and Melanie Febrer for advice on the RNAseq experiment and sample processing.

490 **Funding**

491 This work was supported by the Biotechnology and Biological Sciences (BB/R011311/ to
492 SEvdA), European Research Council (310190-APHIDHOST to JIBB), and Royal Society of
493 Edinburgh (fellowship to JIBB).

494

495 **Availability of data and materials**

496

497 All data are available under accession numbers PRJEB24338. *Myzus cerasi* genome and
498 annotation was downloaded from <http://bipaa.genouest.org/is/aphidbase/> and
499 [DOI:10.5281/zenodo.1252934](https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.1252934). All custom python scripts used to analyse the data use
500 Biopython (Cock et al. 2009), as well as details on how they were applied for data
501 analyses are available on https://github.com/peterthorpe5/Myzus.cerasi_hosts.methods,
502 and DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.1254453.

503

504 **Authors' contributions**

505 PT and JIBB conceived the experiments, PT and CEM performed sample preparation and
506 qRT-PCR analyses, PT, CEM, SEvdA and JIBB analyzed data, PT and JB wrote the
507 manuscript, with input from all authors. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

508

509 **Competing interests**

510 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

511

512

Figures

* Number surviving (n=50 aphids)

Location 1: 8, 9 and 10 (3 reps)

Location 2: 5, 6 and 8 (3 reps)

Fig. 1

Schematic overview of host environment adaptation experiments and aphid survival rates. *Myzus cerasi* aphids were collected from cherry trees at two separate field locations. None of the aphids collected from cherry in the field were able to survive directly on *Barbarea verna* (Land cress) plants. However, a 10-20% survival rate was recorded when aphids were moved onto *Galium aparine* (cleavers) in a controlled environment. The host adaptation experiments were performed in 3 biological replicates.

Fig. 2

Clustering of differentially expressed genes across *Myzus cerasi* populations from primary (field) and secondary (controlled environment) hosts.

(A) Cluster analyses of the 934 genes differentially expressed in *M. cerasi* populations from different host environments.

(B) Expression profiles of the 493 co-regulated genes in cluster A and of the 342 co-regulated genes in cluster E.

Fig. 3

Differentially expressed genes in pairwise comparisons between the different *Myzus cerasi* populations.

(A) Numbers of genes for each pairwise comparison between aphids collected from the different host species, cherry (field), cleavers (controlled environment) and cress (controlled environment). Yellow color indicates high level of expression, whereas purple color indicates low expression in the different pairwise comparisons.

(B) Venn diagram showing the overlap in differentially expressed genes sets that are lower expressed in the aphids from primary host cherry (field) compared to those collected from secondary hosts cleavers and cress (both in a controlled environment), and also lower expressed in aphids from cleavers than those from cress.

(C) Venn diagram showing the overlap in differentially expressed genes sets that are higher expressed in the aphids from primary host cherry (field) compared to those collected from secondary hosts cleavers and cress (both in a controlled environment), and also higher expressed in aphids from cleavers than those from cress.

Fig. 4

Myzus cerasi effector gene expression profiles across populations from different host environments.

(A) Mean centered log fold-change expression of 224 *M. cerasi* putative effectors across aphid populations from different host environments, including primary host cherry, under

field conditions, (cherry1-3), as well as cress (cress1-3) and cleavers (clea1-3), both in a controlled environment.

(B) Mean centered log fold-change expression of 224 *M. cerasi* randomly selected genes across aphid populations from different host environments, including cherry (cherry1-3), cress (cress1-3) and cleavers (clea1-3).

(C) Identification of all other genes in the *M. cerasi* genome that are co-regulated with the Mp1:Me10-like pair based on a >90% Pearson's correlation across different populations (blue, n = 35). Mp1-like is indicated in orange and Me10-like in yellow.

Fig. 5

Graphical representation of differential exon usage observed in gene Mca06436|peroxidase-like in the transcriptome of *Myzus cerasi* populations from different host environments, including primary host cherry, in the field, (red line), as well as cress (yellow line) and cleavers (blue line), both in a controlled environment. The five different exons are indicated by E001-E005 and exons displaying significant differential expression are coloured pink. Numbers indicate nucleotide start and end positions of the different exons. The last exon shows 4 times greater expression in aphids collected from cherry in the field compared to those from cleavers or cress, both in a controlled environment.

Supplementary data

Fig. S1. Transcriptome differences between *Myzus cerasi* populations from different host environments

Genome-wide analysis of *M. cerasi* transcriptional responses to interaction primary host cherry (field) or secondary hosts cress and cleavers (both in controlled environment), and comparison to previously published tissue-specific transcriptome of dissected heads and bodies (Thorpe et al. 2016).

(A) Clustering of transcriptional responses reveals that *M. cerasi* gene expression is different in populations from the different host environments and also that expression in head and body tissues can be separated based on these analyses

(B). Principle component analysis. The top 3 most informative principle components describe approximately 75% of the variation, and separate the both the host species interaction data as well as tissue-specific data well.

Table S1. List of 934 differentially *Myzus cerasi* genes across different host environments.

Table S2. List of significant GO-terms associated with genes differentially expressed in cluster A and E (Fig. 2).

Table S3. List of significant GO-terms associated with genes differentially expressed across different *Myzus cerasi* host environments, corresponding to Fig. 3A.

Table S4. List of significant GO-terms associated with genes differentially expressed across different *Myzus cerasi* host environments, corresponding to Fig. 3B.

Table S5. List of significant GO-terms associated with genes differentially expressed across different *Myzus cerasi* host environments, corresponding to Fig. 3C.

Fig.S2. Validation of differential gene expression by qRT-PCR

(A) Genes up-regulated during cherry (field) versus cleavers/cress (controlled environment) interactions in the *Myzus cerasi* population collected from location 1.

(B) Genes up-regulated during the cleavers/cress (controlled environment) versus the cherry (field) interactions in the *M. cerasi* population collected from location 1.

(C) Genes up-regulated during cherry (field) versus cleavers/cress (controlled environment) interactions in the *M. cerasi* population collected from location 2.

(D) Genes up-regulated during the cleavers/cress (controlled environment) versus the cherry (field) interactions in the *M. cerasi* population collected from location 2.

The validated genes up-regulated during the cherry interactions were peroxidase (Mca14094-Per), protein kinase (Mca07516-PK), RNA binding (Mca07514-RNAb), maltase (Mca25862-Mal) and lactase (Mca19306-Lac). Validated genes up-regulated during the cress/cleavers interactions were venom protein (Mca05785-Ven), uncharacterized protein (Mca06816-UN), unknown protein (Mca06864-UK), cytochrome 450 (Mca22662-c450) and thaumatin (Mca12232-Thau). Blue and green series represent RT-qPCR validation results and pale blue and pale green represent RNA-seq results.

Error bars indicate standard error.

Table S6. List of 224 *Myzus cerasi* putative effectors and their expression levels across different host environments.

Table S7. List of *Myzus cerasi* genes showing differential exon usage across different host environments.

Table S8. Gene duplication types in *Myzus cerasi* genes differentially expressed across different host environments.

Figure S3. Heat maps graphically representing the LOG nucleotide distance from one gene to its neighboring genes in a 3'- and 5'-direction. Various gene categories are colored and coded in the relevant keys. (A) and (B) Geneic distance heat map for predicted effectors, which were significantly further away from their neighboring genes and thus in gene sparse regions (Thorpe et al. 2018). (C) and (D) Geneic distances for differentially expressed genes identified in this study. These are not significantly further away from their neighboring genes in either 3'- or 5'-direction.

References

- Anders, S, Pyl, PT and Huber, W (2015) HTSeq--a Python framework to work with high-throughput sequencing data. *Bioinformatics* **31**: 166-9.
- Anders, S, Reyes, A and Huber, W (2012) Detecting differential usage of exons from RNA-seq data. *Genome research* **22**: 2008-2017.
- Andrews, S (2010) FastQC: A quality control tool for high throughput sequence data. *Reference Source*.
- Barbagallo, S, Cocuzza, GEM, Cravedi, P and Shinkichi, K (2017) *IP Case Studies: Decidious Fruit Tree Aphids. Aphids as Crop Pests 2nd Edition*. CABI International, Wallingford.
- Blackman, R and Eastop, V (2000) Aphids on the World's Crops: An Identification and Information Guide. In: *Book Aphids on the World's Crops: An Identification and Information Guide* (Editor, ed.^eds.). Vol., pp. Wiley-Blackwell, City.
- Bolger, A and Giorgi, F Trimmomatic: A Flexible Read Trimming Tool for Illumina NGS Data. URL <http://www.usadellab.org/cms/index.php>.
- Cock, PJ, Antao, T, Chang, JT, Chapman, BA, Cox, CJ, Dalke, A, Friedberg, I, Hamelryck, T, Kauff, F and Wilczynski, B (2009) Biopython: freely available Python tools for computational molecular biology and bioinformatics. *Bioinformatics* **25**: 1422-1423.
- Conesa, A, Götz, S, García-Gómez, JM, Terol, J, Talón, M and Robles, M (2005) Blast2GO: a universal tool for annotation, visualization and analysis in functional genomics research. *Bioinformatics* **21**: 3674-3676.
- Cui, N, Yang, PC, Guo, K, Kang, L and Cui, F (2017) Large-scale gene expression reveals different adaptations of *Hyalopectus persiconus* to winter and summer host plants. *Insect Sci* **24**: 431-442.
- Danecek, P, Auton, A, Abecasis, G, Albers, CA, Banks, E, DePristo, MA, Handsaker, RE, Lunter, G, Marth, GT, Sherry, ST, McVean, G, Durbin, R and Group, GPA (2011) The variant call format and VCFtools. *Bioinformatics* **27**: 2156-8.
- Dobin, A, Davis, CA, Schlesinger, F, Drenkow, J, Zaleski, C, Jha, S, Batut, P, Chaisson, M and Gingeras, TR (2013) STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. *Bioinformatics* **29**: 15-21.
- Garrison, E and Marth, G (2012) Haplotype-based variant detection from short-read sequencing. *arXiv.1207.3907*.
- Grabherr, MG, Haas, BJ, Yassour, M, Levin, JZ, Thompson, DA, Amit, I, Adiconis, X, Fan, L, Raychowdhury, R and Zeng, Q (2011) Full-length transcriptome assembly from RNA-Seq data without a reference genome. *Nature biotechnology* **29**: 644-652.
- Gremme, G, Steinbiss, S and Kurtz, S (2013) GenomeTools: a comprehensive software library for efficient processing of structured genome annotations. *Computational Biology and Bioinformatics, IEEE/ACM Transactions on* **10**: 645-656.
- Jaquiéry, J, Stoeckel, S, Nouhaud, P, Mieuzet, L, Mahéo, F, Legeai, F, Bernard, N, Bonvoisin, A, Vitalis, R and Simon, JC (2012) Genome scans reveal candidate regions involved in the adaptation to host plant in the pea aphid complex. *Mol Ecol* **21**: 5251-64.
- Kennedy, JS, Stroyan, H. L. G. (1959) Biology of Aphids. *Annu. Rev. Entomol.* **4**: 139-160.
- Mathers, TC, Chen, Y, Kaithakottil, G, Legeai, F, Mugford, ST, Baa-Puyoulet, P, Bretaudeau, A, Clavijo, B, Colella, S, Collin, O, Dalmay, T, Derrien, T, Feng, H, Gabaldón, T, Jordan, A, Julca, I, Kettles, GJ, Kowitwanich, K, Lavenier, D, Lenzi, P, Lopez-Gomollon, S, Loska, D, Mapleson, D, Maumus, F, Moxon, S, Price, DR, Sugio, A, van Munster, M, Uzest, M, Waite, D, Jander, G, Tagu, D, Wilson, AC, van Oosterhout, C, Swarbreck, D and Hogenhout, SA (2017) Rapid transcriptional plasticity of duplicated gene clusters enables a clonally reproducing aphid to colonise diverse plant species. *Genome Biol* **18**: 27.

- Moran, NA (1988) The evolution of host-plant alternation in aphids: evidence for specialization as a dead end. *Am. Naturalist*: 681-706.
- Moran, NA and Jarvik, T (2010) Lateral transfer of genes from fungi underlies carotenoid production in aphids. *Science* **328**: 624-7.
- Mordvilko, AK (1928) The evolution of cycles and the evolution of heteroecy (migration) in plant lice *Annu. Mag. Nat. Hist.* **2**: 570-582.
- Quinlan, AR and Hall, IM (2010) BEDTools: a flexible suite of utilities for comparing genomic features. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 841-842.
- Robinson, MD, McCarthy, DJ and Smyth, GK (2010) edgeR: a Bioconductor package for differential expression analysis of digital gene expression data. *Bioinformatics* **26**: 139-140.
- Smith-Unna, RD, Boursnell, C, Patro, R, Hibberd, JM and Kelly, S (2015) TransRate: reference free quality assessment of de-novo transcriptome assemblies. *BioRxiv*: 021626.
- Thorpe, P, Cock, PJ and Bos, J (2016) Comparative transcriptomics and proteomics of three different aphid species identifies core and diverse effector sets. *BMC genomics* **17**: 1.
- Thorpe, P, Escudero-Martinez, C, Cock, P, Laetsch, D, Eves-van den Akker, S and Bos, J (2018) Shared transcriptional control and disparate gain and loss of aphid parasitism genes and loci acquired via horizontal gene transfer. *bioRxiv*.
- Williams, ISaD, A.F.G. (2007) *Life cycles and polymorphism. Aphids as crop pests*. CABI International, Wallingford. 69-87 pp.