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Abstract

The generation of deeply phenotyped patient cohorts offers an enormous potential to identify disease subtypes with prognostic and therapeutic utility. Here, we quantify diverse Parkinson’s disease patient phenotypes on continuous scales by identifying the underlying axes of phenotypic variation using a Bayesian multiple phenotype mixed model that incorporates genotypic relationships. This approach overcomes many of the limitations associated with clustering methods and better reflects the more continuous phenotypic variation observed amongst patients. We identify three principal axes of Parkinson’s disease patient phenotypic variation which are reproducibly found across three independent, deeply and diversely phenotyped UK and US Parkinson’s disease cohorts. These three axes explain over 75% of the observed clinical variation and remain robustly captured with a fraction of the clinically-recorded features. Using these axes as quantitative traits, we identify significant overlaps in the genetic risk associated with each axis and other human complex diseases, namely coronary artery disease and schizophrenia, providing new avenues for disease-modifying therapies. Our study demonstrates how deeply phenotyped cohorts can be used to identify latent heritable disease-modifying traits.
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## Abbreviations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abbreviation</th>
<th>Full Name</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BMI</td>
<td>Body Mass Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CIs</td>
<td>Confidence interval</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DA</td>
<td>Dopamine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ERC</td>
<td>European Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GBA</td>
<td>Glucocerebrosidase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GWAS</td>
<td>Genome Wide Association Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>h²</td>
<td>Heritability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ICA</td>
<td>Independent component analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LD</td>
<td>Linkage disequilibrium</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MDS</td>
<td>Multidimensional Scaling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPMM</td>
<td>Multiple phenotype mixed model</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MRC</td>
<td>Medical Research Council</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NIHR</td>
<td>National Institute for Health Research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OPDC</td>
<td>Oxford Parkinson’s Disease Centre</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCA</td>
<td>Principle Component Analyses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHENIX</td>
<td>PHENotype Imputation eXpediated</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPMI</td>
<td>Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Q-Q</td>
<td>Quantile-quantile</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Introduction**

A critical challenge in medicine is to understand why the clinical presentations of each patient affected by the same disorder vary. This is especially true for Parkinson’s disease, for which the age of onset, the rate of progression, type and severity of symptoms differ across more than a million people worldwide living with this disease (Foltynie *et al.*, 2002). To accelerate the identification of disease subtypes, large deeply phenotyped cohorts of Parkinson’s disease patients have been created, in which valuable clinical, imaging, biosample and genetic data has been collected, and increasingly with longitudinal monitoring (PPMI), (Szewczyk-Krolikowski *et al.*, 2014) (Malek *et al.*, 2015).

Recent studies exploiting these deeply phenotyped cohorts have classified patients into discrete phenotypic subgroups, each displaying a characteristic set of symptoms (Lawton *et al.*, 2015; Fereshtehnejad *et al.*, 2017; Lawton *et al.*, 2018). To define Parkinson’s disease subtypes, most of these studies employ some form of variable selection to create a distance matrix between individuals, followed by clustering methods such as k-means or hierarchical clustering. These methods provide discrete phenotypic groups, which are appealing in their categorical nature but have many shortfalls. Firstly, while selection methods quantify how much variance each phenotype explains, no robust method was used to define a threshold for this measure above which a phenotype contributes to the distance matrix. Consequently, the definition of which phenotypes are essential to group patients and which are irrelevant can be somewhat arbitrary. For example, two recent studies (Erro *et al.*, 2016; Fereshtehnejad *et al.*, 2017), using the same Parkinson’s Progression Markers Initiative (PPMI) cohort show divergent results: apathy and hallucinations were key subtype classifiers in the first study (Erro *et al.*, 2016), but not in the second one (Fereshtehnejad *et al.*, 2017), because these variables were not included. Secondly, K-means clustering requires the number of phenotypic groups to be prespecified, and this choice has the potential to be biased towards preconceived
expectations with smaller groups ignored or erroneously joined with larger groups. Finally, the creation of discrete groups may not reflect the possibly continuous nature of phenotypic variability and ignores the greater statistical power of continuous traits.

To overcome these limitations, we propose here an approach focused on the continuous variation of phenotypes. Rather than focusing on presence versus absence, or mild versus severe phenotypes, we incorporate the whole spectrum of severity displayed across the population. For this, we applied PHENIX (PHENotype Imputation eXpediated), a multiple phenotype mixed model (MPMM) approach initially developed to impute missing phenotypes (Dahl et al., 2016) that can also be exploited for genetically-guided dimensionality reduction of multiple traits. This approach models the phenotypes as a combination of genetic and environmental factors and the genetic component is computed from the correlation matrix between the individual’s genetic data.

Applying PHENIX to the deeply phenotyped UK-based Discovery cohort, we identify a small number of axes underlying individual Parkinson’s disease patient phenotypic variation that explain the variation in the much larger number of clinically-observed phenotypes. We demonstrate the universality of these axes of phenotypic variation amongst Parkinson’s disease patients by independently deriving similar axes in each of three cohorts: UK Tracking cohort including 1807 individuals, the UK Discovery cohort including 842 Parkinson’s disease patients and US PPMI cohort including 439 Parkinson’s disease patients that has a different clinical structure from the UK cohorts. We show that this reproducibility is not achieved by other commonly-used dimensionality-reduction methods. Finally, we demonstrate that the genetic variation influencing the most explanatory phenotypic axes in Parkinson’s disease is shared with other specific complex diseases, opening new prognostic and therapeutic avenues.
Materials and Methods

Discovery cohort

Recruitment: The Discovery cohort consists of over 1700 subjects, including over 1000 people with Parkinson's, plus 320 healthy controls and 340 individuals thought to be ‘at-risk’ of developing future Parkinson's. All patients have a clinical assessment repeated every eighteen months. The Discovery cohort has provided an excellent opportunity to understand more about how Parkinson's develops over time, and how Parkinson’s affects different people in different ways- which doctors call disease stratification. Important gender differences in how Parkinson’s manifests have been found (Szewczyk-Krolikowski et al., 2014). Furthermore, even early on in their Parkinson’s diagnosis, individuals can be classified into different patient clusters depending on how their motor, non-motor and thinking ability is affected (Lawton et al., 2015).

Clinical assessments: The data from 842 Parkinson’s disease cases from the Discovery Cohort was used in this analysis. Individuals were required to have at least 90% chance of Parkinson’s disease according to UK-Parkinson’s disease brain bank criteria, no alternative diagnosis and disease duration less than 3.5 years. Phenotype data were collected for over a hundred clinical attributes, affecting autonomic, neurological and motor phenotypes (Supplementary Fig. 1) and described in the Supplementary Table 1.

Genotype data: Genotype data were generated using the Illumina HumanCoreExome-12 v1.1 and Illumina InfiniumCoreExome-24 v1.1 SNP arrays. Each consisted of 500,000 SNPs, half of which were exome variants.

UK Tracking Parkinson’s study

The Tracking Parkinson’s cohort was already described in detail by Malek et al. (Malek et al., 2015) and was recently used to identify the impact of mutations within
glucocerebrosidase gene (GBA) on different Parkinson’s disease clinical manifestations (Malek et al., 2018). Briefly, Tracking Parkinson’s is a multicentre prospective longitudinal epidemiologic and biomarker study of Parkinson’s disease. Genotype data were generated using the Illumina Human Core Exome array.

PPMI cohort

The PPMI cohort (http://www.ppmi-info.org) was already described in detail (including PPMI protocol of recruitment and informed consent) by Marrek et al. (Parkinson Progression Marker, 2011). We downloaded data from the PPMI database on September 2017 in compliance with the PPMI Data Use Agreement. We considered 472 newly-diagnosed typical Parkinson’s disease subjects: subjects with a diagnosis of Parkinson’s disease for two years or less and who are not taking Parkinson’s disease medications. We used the baseline (t=0) of clinical assessments, described in detail in the Supplementary Table 2. We excluded any individual with > 5% of missing data (437 individuals included). Participants have been genotyped using two genotyping arrays, ImmunoChip (Parkes et al., 2013) and NeuroX (Nalls et al., 2015). The genetics data of PPMI cohort have been extensively described by Nalls et al. (Nalls et al., 2016). As more participants were genotyped on NeuroX array, we used the genotype data of the NeuroX chip.

Statistical analyses

Genotype: quality control

Quality control was carried out independently using PLINK v1.9 (Purcell et al., 2007). Variants were excluded if minor allele frequency (MAF) was less than 0.01, Hardy-Weinberg Equilibrium P value was less than 1x10^{-5} or missing data rate was above 5%. Individuals were excluded if genotypic and phenotypic sex was discordant, missing data was greater than 2% or heterozygosity rate was greater than two standard deviations from the mean. Principle
component analysis (PCA) was carried out using EIGENSTRAT (Price et al., 2006), with additional individuals of Central European descent from the International HapMap Project (release 23) (International HapMap, 2003). Samples of non-European ancestry were then identified. Individuals were excluded when, for any of the first 10 principal components, their score was greater than 6 standard deviations from the mean.

**Phenotypic axis**

Our continuous measures of severity are based on a multiple phenotypes mixed model approach (MPMM) named PHENIX (PHENotype Imputation eXpediated) which includes genetic relationships between individuals, and is designed to impute missing phenotypes (Dahl et al., 2016). To impute missing phenotypes, PHENIX reduces the variation within a cohort to a smaller number of underlying factors that are then used to predict individual missing values. Here, we exploit the identification of these underlying factors as providing the latent axes of patient variation which underlie a larger number of clinically observed phenotypes. The outcome is that the many clinical phenotypes (sometimes missing for some individuals) of each individual are represented through a smaller number of underlying latent variables of phenotypic variation that manifest the observed clinical phenotypes, which we name herein as **phenotypic axes**.

PHENIX (Dahl et al., 2016) use a Bayesian multiple-phenotype mixed model (MPMM), where the correlations between clinical phenotypes (Y) are decomposed into a genetic and a residual component with the following model: Y = U + e, where U represents the aggregate genetic contribution (whole genotype) to phenotypic variance and e is idiosyncratic noise. As the estimation of maximum likelihood covariance estimates can become computationally expensive with increasing number of phenotypes, PHENIX uses a Bayesian low-rank matrix factorization model for the genetic term U such as: U = Sβ, in which β is can be used to estimate the genetic covariance matrix between phenotypes and S represents a matrix of...
latent components that each follow \( \sim N(0,G) \) where \( G \) is the Estimate of Relatedness Matrix from genotypes. The resulting latent traits (\( S \)) are used as phenotypic axes, each representing the severity of a number of non-independent clinical phenotypes. The details to run PHENIX and extract the phenotypic axes are given in the Supplemental Material and Methods.

Genotype Imputation

Imputation of unobserved and missing variants was carried out separately for each cohort. A reference panel containing comprehensive SNP data was used to identify extended patterns of Linkage Disequilibrium (LD) and co-inherited alleles. The Michigan Imputation Server was used to phase and impute data in both cohorts separately using Eagle and Minimac3 respectively (Das et al., 2016; Loh et al., 2016). The 1000 Genomes project (phase 3, release 5) contained data for over 80 million variants in 503 individuals of European descent and provided the reference panel (Genomes Project et al., 2015). For each SNP an \( r^2 \) value was produced reflecting the accuracy of imputation at that locus. Variants were filtered for \( r^2 \) higher than 0.3 to ensure that only well-imputed SNPs were used in further analysis. Only variants with a minor allele frequency above 0.01 in both cohorts were used in association testing, as rare alleles were challenging to impute reliably and could have been prone to false positive associations in small samples.

Pleiotropic enrichment evaluation with others human complex traits

Quantile-quantile (Q-Q) plots visualise the distribution of p-values and can depict an enrichment in low p-values compared with that expected by chance. To investigate the similarities between genetic variation that contributes to these Parkinson’s disease phenotypic axes and genetic variation that contributes to other human complex diseases or traits, we used Stratified Q-Q plots to examine differential enrichment between pre-specified strata of SNPs. This method consists of making a Q-Q plot with GWAS of phenotypic axes conditional on
the different strength of association with other human complex diseases or traits. This representation enables us to detect if conditioning on a specific human trait of interest leads to stronger enrichment in one of the phenotypic axes. Enrichment is depicted by a leftward deflection in the Q-Q plot and reflects a shared polygenic architecture between a specific phenotypic axis and another human complex trait. This approach (stratified Q-Q plot) was already used in multiple studies to detect polygenic overlap between different human traits (Andreassen et al., 2013a; Andreassen et al., 2014; Winsvold et al., 2017; Zuber et al., 2018).

We constructed stratified Q-Q plots of empirical quantiles of nominal –log10(p) values for SNP association with each phenotypic axis for all SNPs, as well as for strata of SNPs determined by the nominal p values of their association with different human traits. As (Andreassen et al., 2014), we pruned the SNPs by removing SNPs in linkage disequilibrium (LD) (r² ≥ 0.2) and computed 95% CIs (confidence interval) for the Q-Q plots. From standard error derived from CIs, we performed a two sample t-test (tailored one side) to estimate the difference between the empirical distribution of phenotypic axis SNPs that were above a given association threshold (–log10(p) > 3) and the distribution of SNPs with –log10(p) ≤ 1 for other human traits. Finally, we adjusted the p-values of the t-test with FDR correction for 27 traits x 3 phenotypic axes (81 tests).

Data availability

To access to the clinical data of the Discovery cohort, researchers must apply to the Oxford Parkinson’s Disease Centre (OPDC) Discovery Study committee.

https://opdc.medsci.ox.ac.uk/_asset/file/terms-of-reference.pdf

To access to the clinical data of the Tracking cohort, researchers must contact Dr Donal Grosset (donaldgrosset@gmail.com)
PHENIX code used here is available at the following link:

https://mathgen.stats.ox.ac.uk/genetics_software/phenix/phenix.html
Results

Three continuous measures capture 75% of the clinical variation.

Initially, we generated phenotypic axes from a cohort of 842 Parkinson’s disease patients (Discovery cohort, Methods) which had been genotyped and phenotypically characterised with 40 clinical assessments (Supplementary Table 1). Each latent axis reflected a number of co-varying observed clinical assessments. Among the phenotypic axes that explained more than 5%, Axes 1, 2 and 3 explained 39.6%, 28.7% and 6.8% of the clinical variation respectively. Together, these 3 top axes account for over 75% of the clinically-observed variation (Supplementary Fig. 2). To examine whether similar phenotypic axes are obtained in different deeply phenotyped Parkinson’s disease cohorts, we derived phenotypic axes within an independent cohort of 1807 Parkinson’s disease individuals from the UK Tracking cohort (Malek et al., 2015) that had made similar clinical observations to the Discovery cohort. We found significant Pearson's correlation coefficients between each cohort’s first three phenotypic axes: Axis 1 r=0.92 (p=3 x 10⁻¹³), Axis 2 r=0.89 (p=4 x 10⁻¹¹), Axis 3 r=0.72 (p=5 x 10⁻⁶) (Fig. 1). Nevertheless, a major concern was that the identification of the same phenotypic axes might, at least in part, be due to the very similar structure of the clinical phenotyping between the two UK cohorts. To address this, we examined the independent US-based PPMI cohort consisting of 439 sporadic Parkinson’s disease individuals that had been clinically phenotyped following a substantially different protocol to the UK cohorts. After deriving phenotypic axes in the PPMI cohort, we found significant similarities between the first three phenotypic axes derived for both the Discovery-UK and PPMI-US cohorts: the coefficients of determination (R²) between three first axes across different categories of clinical phenotypes from each cohort were: Axis1: 0.665 (p=0.048), Axis 2: 0.914 (p=0.003) and Axis 3: 0.754 (p=0.025) (Fig. 2 & Supplementary Fig. 3). These consistent similarities in the axes of phenotypic variation independently derived for
Each of three different Parkinson’s disease cohorts demonstrates the reproducibility of these axes of phenotypic variation amongst Parkinson’s patients. Finally, by comparing PHENIX with other methods of dimensionality reduction, specifically Principle Component Analyses (PCA), Multidimensional Scaling (MDS) and Independent component analysis (ICA), only the dimensions discovered by the MPMM model, PHENIX, were significantly correlated between both cohorts and thus no other method was able to identify similar axes of phenotypic variation across UK and US Parkinson’s disease cohorts (Fig. 2).

Each phenotypic axis represents a distinct set of clinical features

To interpret the clinical relevance of each phenotypic axis, we examined the correlation between individual clinical features and the phenotypic axes (Table 1 & Fig. 1 & Supplementary Fig. 4). We observed that each phenotypic axis corresponded to a subset of clinical features, differing in both extents and directions of severity. Axis 1 represented worsening non-tremor motor phenotypes, anxiety and depression accompanied by a decline of the cognitive function (Table 1 & Fig. 3). Worsening anxiety and depression were also features of Axis 2, in addition to increasing severity of autonomic symptoms and decreasing motor dysfunction. Axis 3 was associated with general motor symptom severity including rigidity, bradykinesia and tremor of the whole body independently of non-motor features. The contribution of different phenotypes to these axes was therefore highly variable. Specific aspects of motor dysfunction were important factors in defining the majority of axes. Anxiety and depression were also relatively important features, but only for axes explaining the largest amounts of variation. Conversely, cognitive impairment was associated only with Axis one. However, this observation must be weighted by the fact that cognitive impairment/dementia are reported at a later disease stage and thus likely under-represented in recently diagnosed cases.
Although each phenotypic axis is associated with a distinct set of clinical features, they are not independent but instead strongly correlated (Supplementary Fig. 5). We find no significant relation between the phenotypic axes and principal components of genetic ancestry (Methods) suggesting that the phenotypic axes are not biased by the population structure (Supplementary Fig. 5, Supplementary Table 3). However, as previously reported, gender influences clinical symptoms (Szewczyk-Krolikowski et al., 2014) and we also observe a significant association between gender and Axis 2 (Supplementary Table 3, p=4.5x10⁻⁵).

To assess to what extent the phenotypic axes might be affected by the number of clinical observations, within the Discovery cohort we compared the phenotypic axes built on all clinical features with phenotypic axes generated with incomplete sets of randomly-selected clinical features. We observed a strong correlation (r > 0.8) between each of the two first phenotypic axes built with as few as 50% of the clinical variables and their respective original phenotypic axes, suggesting that these two axes are extremely robust in terms of the numbers of clinical variables considered (Supplementary Fig. 6).

The integration of genetic relationships between patients improves capture of the Parkinson’s disease clinical variation and reproducibility.

The PHENIX MPMM approach employed here to derive phenotypic axes exploits the genetic relatedness between individuals derived from genotypic similarity to further decompose random effects into kinship effects between individuals. In its original application to imputing missing phenotypes, PHENIX outperforms other imputation approaches when the heritability (h²) of a phenotype increased (Dahl et al., 2016). Similarly, when randomly removing and re-imputing 10% of observed data, the quality of the imputation of Parkinson’s disease clinical assessments was in general better when considering the genetic relatedness
between individuals as compared to excluding this information (Supplementary Fig. 7), suggesting that the resulting phenotypic axes better capture Parkinson’s disease heterogeneity when including genetic information. Moreover, we found a higher agreement between the phenotypic axes derived by integrating the genetic relationship between patients of different cohorts than when the phenotypic axes were derived ignoring the genetic relationships (Supplementary Fig. 8). Specifically, the coefficient of determination reflecting the agreement between the axes derived from the Discovery and those derived from the PPMI cohorts were from Axis 1 to 3: 0.665 (p=0.048), 0.914 (p=0.003) and 0.754 (p=0.025) when including the genetic similarity between patients as compared to 0.604 (p=0.069), 0.908 (p=0.003) and 0.001 (p=0.991) without. Together, these findings demonstrate that the integration of genetic relationship between patients enhances the resulting phenotypic axes’ ability to reproducibly capture Parkinson’s disease clinical variation.

**Phenotypic axes increase the power to detect association signals**

Each phenotypic axis provides a quantitative trait enabling the genetics underlying patient variation to be studied. As three phenotypic axes were similar across each individual cohort (Discovery, Tracking and PPMI), we were able to perform a Genome Wide Association Study (GWAS) meta-analysis combining all three cohorts including a total of 3,088 individuals for each of the three phenotypic axes as quantitative traits in a regression model with the covariates age, gender, and two genetic principal components (to account for any underlying population substructure). A significant departure from the expected quantiles was observed for Axis 1 (meta-analysis combining the summary statistic of three individual GWAS [Discovery-Tracking-PPMI]) (Supplementary Fig. 9), but no variant surpassed genome-wide significance (Supplementary Fig. 10). Although we did not observe a significant genome-wide association, the use of universal phenotypic axes significantly
increases the statistical power to identify genetic variants through their ability to align differently deeply phenotyped cohorts and reduce the number of traits tested.

Next, we re-examined genetic associations for each of the three phenotypic axes for three major Parkinson’s disease risk genes, namely \textit{SNCA}, \textit{GBA} and \textit{LRRK2}. We found a significant local association signal with Phenotypic Axis 1 for a variant in \textit{SNCA}: 4:90758437 (p-value=1.7x10^{-4}, \textbf{Supplementary Fig. 11A}) which is in high LD with rs1348224 (r^2 > 0.8), a SNP previously associated with Parkinson’s disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies (Guella \textit{et al.}, 2016). SNP rs1348224:G allele (minor allele) had a negative effect on Phenotypic Axis 1, thus a protective effect for cognitive impairment, which is consistent with a protective effect for Parkinson’s disease with dementia and dementia with Lewy bodies previously reported for this locus (Guella \textit{et al.}, 2016). We also found a significant association signal (p-value=1.1x10^{-4}) with Phenotypic Axis 3 for an intronic variant in \textit{LRRK2} (\textbf{Supplementary Fig. 11B}). Both \textit{SNCA} and \textit{LRRK2} variants were each nominally associated with only one phenotypic axis (\textbf{Supplementary Table 4}), suggesting distinct pathogenic mechanisms.

\textbf{Overlaps in genetic risk associated with different diseases and specific phenotypic axes.}

We then examined the overlap between genetic variation that contributes to these Parkinson’s disease phenotypic axes and the genetic variation that contributes to other human complex diseases or traits. If the associations of genetic variants for one trait follow a uniform null distribution when mapped onto a second trait, then there is no detected association. However, pleiotropic ‘enrichment’ with another human complex trait exists if there is a significant degree of deflection from the expected null, visualised by a leftward shift in the Q-Q plots conditioned on the ‘pleiotropic’ effect, termed Q-Q plot inflation.
(Andreassen et al., 2013a; Andreassen et al., 2013b) (Supplementary Fig. 12). For the Parkinson’s disease phenotypic axes, we found a significant overlap between the genetic predisposition to coronary artery disease with Phenotypic Axis 1 (the major severity axis) (q-value = 1.8x10^{-3}) and between schizophrenia and Phenotypic Axis 2 (Worsening anxiety, depression and autonomic symptoms but minimal motor dysfunction) (q-value = 1.8x10^{-3}) (Fig. 4). No overlap between the genetic predisposition to Parkinson’s disease (risk of onset) and any phenotypic axis was found (Fig. 4). Nonetheless, by examining the pleiotropic ‘enrichment’ for genetic variants associated with Parkinson’s disease risk and other human traits, we did find a significant overlap with the genetic predisposition associated with schizophrenia and coronary artery disease, suggesting different components of the genetic risk for schizophrenia and coronary artery disease affect Parkinson’s disease risk and Parkinson’s disease phenotypic variation (Supplementary Fig. 13). Taken together, the phenotypic axes propose two distinct aetiologies in terms of the genetic contribution to Parkinson’s disease patient variation, which provide valuable traits to be considered in the design of clinical trials, for assessment of care pathways and provide distinct new avenues for therapeutic research.
Discussion

We propose here a novel approach to quantifying diverse patient phenotypes on a continuous scale via the use of phenotype axes. This approach overcomes many of the limitations associated with the clustering methods previously used to classify Parkinson’s disease heterogeneity. By applying our approach to three independent and deeply phenotyped cohorts, we demonstrate the universality of these axes of phenotypic variation amongst Parkinson’s disease patients. We also showed that our axes are robustly derived when reducing the number of clinical features considered and, unlike other dimensionality reduction methods, the PHENIX MPMM approach is the only method tested here that is able to identify the same phenotypic axes underlying Parkinson’s disease patient variation between individuals from different cohorts. The phenotypic axes have multiple applications in Parkinson’s disease precision medicine. Here, we explored the overlap between the Parkinson’s disease axes of clinical variation and other human traits and observed different genetic predispositions associated with different phenotypic axes, suggesting several distinct underlying genetic aetiologies.

The association of Axis 1 with genetic risk for coronary artery disease suggests an influence of vasculature on the Parkinson’s disease phenotype. While we observe no overlap in the genetics influencing Body Mass Index (BMI) and Axis 1, we do observe a small but significant positive correlation between patient BMI and only their Axis 1 severity score (cor =0.22; p = 3.8e-06; Supplementary Fig. 14). Furthermore, we observe that patients with a history of high cholesterol or a history of heart failure, stroke and/or heart attack score significantly higher only on Axis 1 than those without these histories (Supplementary Fig. 15).
The genetic relationships between schizophrenia and Parkinson’s disease was already reported by Nalls and al. (2014) (Nalls et al., 2014). It is an attractive idea that the dopamine (DA) neurotransmitter could explain the relationship between both diseases: an excess of DA in the case of schizophrenia and a reduction in Parkinson’s disease. However, as before, we found that the schizophrenia risk alleles were associated with an increasing of the Parkinson’s disease risk, contradicting the hypothesis that Parkinson’s disease and schizophrenia are two opposed, additive phenotypes and suggesting an alternative to the hypothesis of the dopaminergic system as common denominator (Birtwistle and Baldwin, 1998). The genetic overlap for our Parkinson’s disease severity Axis 2 with schizophrenia but no genetic overlap between Parkinson’s disease onset risk and this axis suggests that there are distinct overlapping aetiologies contributing to risk and, separately, to disease manifestation.

Our approach was able to identify representative quantitative variables that are clinically relevant to previously-defined categorical Parkinson’s disease subtypes. A number of known comorbidities were represented among the phenotype axes. Anxiety and depression are highly correlated in Parkinson’s disease patients, both of which are correlated with Axes 1 and 2 (Menza et al., 1993). Rigidity and bradykinesia are also linked, possibly due to shared physiology (Berardelli et al., 2001), and varied in the same direction along Axis 3. Lawton et al. reported five Parkinson’s disease subgroups, by using the same Discovery cohort but following a k-means clustering approach (Lawton et al., 2015). We examined the distribution of phenotypic axis score across these five Parkinson’s disease subgroups (Supplementary Fig. 16) and noted that the 5th subgroup of patients, characterised by severe motor, non-motor and cognitive disease, with poor psychological well-being clinical symptoms, were systematically associated with high severity score for all three of our phenotypic axes. Inversely, the first Parkinson’s disease subgroup characterised by mild motor and non-motor disease (group affected by fewer clinical symptoms) displayed a low
severity score for our three phenotypic axes. Furthermore, we observed that the individuals of
subgroups 4 and 5, characterised by poor psychological well-being, had high severity scores
for phenotypic axis 2, the axis most associated with depression and anxiety symptoms. These
observations demonstrate some consistency between subgroups defined with k-means and
our phenotypic axis severity score. The agreement of these phenotype axes with previously
observed correlations provides further support for underlying biological themes, but their
reinterpretation as robust continuous traits likely provides a better approximation of how the
underlying biology contributes, as opposed to a cut-off off for a phenotype. Specifically, the
unimodal character of the phenotypic axis distributions (Supplementary Fig. 17) suggests
here that the development of continuous measures is more appropriate than clustering
according to an arbitrary threshold.

The phenotypic axes identified were robust in terms of the number of clinical features
considered and enable the alignment of patients from different cohorts with different clinical
phenotyping structures. The corollary is that Phenix did not require the variable selection
common in Parkinson’s disease clustering approaches, and it can also guide clinicians in
determining which clinical assessments are essential to capture Parkinson’s disease
heterogeneity. Deep phenotyping is burdensome to both patient and clinician and many of the
measures exploited here are compound scores summarising aspects of functioning. Further
work identifying the minimally burdensome observations that enable robust scoring of
patients along these phenotypic axes would facilitate their utility and adoption across the
Parkinson’s disease clinical community, bringing increased power to the discovery of
influencing factors. Finally, the MPMM approach can be readily extended to include
longitudinal data to determine the phenotypic axes associated with disease progression while
simultaneously dealing with missing data, which is a common problem in longitudinal
studies.
In conclusion, these universal axes have the potential to accelerate our understanding of how Parkinson's disease presents in individual patients, providing more robust and objective quantitative traits through which patients may be appropriately compared, through which the underlying disease-modifying mechanism can be understood and appropriately stratified/personalised therapeutic strategies and treatments can be developed.
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Figure Legends

**Fig. 1.** The clinical phenotypes of two independent deeply phenotyped Parkinson’s disease cohorts identify the same phenotypic axes. Results were consistent in two independents cohorts (842 Discovery and 1807 Tracking patients). Examination of these two separate Parkinson’s disease cohorts, using independent derivation of the phenotypic axes in each, showed significant correlations between each cohort’s first three axes. Correlations between the axes from each cohort are Axis 1 r=0.92 (p=3 x 10^-13), Axis 2 r=0.89 (p=4 x 10^-11), Axis 3 r=0.72 (p=5 x 10^-6). The correlation coefficient (x-axis) between each axis derived in each cohort (blue: Discovery vs red: Tracking) and each clinical observation (y-axis) is shown.

**Fig. 2.** The reduced dimensions in other dimensionality reduction methods fail to align between differently but deeply phenotyped UK and US Parkinson’s disease cohorts. We compared the ability of different dimensionality reduction methods (independent component analysis (ICA), Multidimensional scaling (MDS), Principal component analysis (PCA) and phenotypic axis based on the PHENIX multiple phenotype mixed model) to phenotypically align two deeply phenotyped Parkinson’s disease cohorts, specifically the Discovery (842 individuals) and PPMI (439 sporadic Parkinson’s disease) cohorts. The x-axis and y-axis represent the correlation coefficient between each continuous variable with clinical observation associated with a specific symptom category in Discovery and PPMI cohort respectively. Each column panel and colour of points (“Axis”) represents the dimension level of each underlying dimension. All points on the diagonal would represent a perfect phenotypic alignment of both cohorts. We examined the relationship between
correlation derived from both cohorts by performing a linear regression: $R^2$ and $p$ correspond to the coefficient of determination and the $p$-value respectively. Only the dimensions discovered by the MPMM model, PHENIX, show a significant relationship between both cohorts: MPMM phenotypic axes ($R^2=0.86$, $p=2\times10^{-8}$), MDS ($R^2=0.11$, $p=0.18$), ICA ($R^2=0.17$, $p=0.16$) and PCA ($R^2=0.31$, $p=0.06$).

Fig. 3. **The correlation of individual clinically-measured Parkinson’s disease phenotypes with an underlying Phenotypic Axis 1.** Modelling patient clinical phenotypes as a combination of genetic and environmental factors revealed three phenotypic severity axes (Fig.1), each representing a continuous pattern of variation between multiple co-varying clinical phenotypes. In Axis 1 (shown), (A) clinical measures relating to anxiety and depression and apathy are significantly and positively correlated with an individual’s score along this axis; patients with a higher axis score have more severe mood and neuropsychiatric problems. (B) The severity of motor phenotypes is positively correlated with this phenotypic axis; patients with a higher axis score is associated with more severe motor phenotypes (C) Cognitive tests were negatively correlated with this component (the patients that score high in these cognitive tests have less cognitive impairments); individuals with a high score for this component suffer from more severe anxiety, depression and displayed more cognitive impairment and motor symptoms.
Fig. 4. Each phenotypic axis displays a polygenic overlap with another distinct human complex trait. To identify pleiotropic enrichments for a phenotypic axis with another human complex trait, we used a Q-Q plot stratified by pleiotropic effects (see Methods). The significance of enrichment using Q-Q plots was calculated with a t-test by comparing the subset represented in the Q-Q plots, specifically all SNPs with low p-values in another human complex trait (-log10 p-value >3), against the depleted category (-log10 p-value ≤ 1). Each bar plot panel (left to right) represents the pleiotropic enrichment for each Phenotypic Axis (1 to 3) with other human complex traits. The size of the bars corresponds to -log10 FDR adjusted p-value associated with pleiotropic enrichment test for that human trait. For clarity, the different human traits have been classified by categories (colour bar and legend). The sources of genome-wide association studies meta-analysis summary statistics of different human complex traits are listed in the Supplementary Table 5.