An emergent flow of the nuclear array in syncytial embryos
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Summary
Many aspects in tissue morphogenesis relay on the collective behavior of participating cells. Despite a good understand of the underlying individual processes, the mechanism for emergence of dynamic tissue behavior is unclear in most cases. Here we reveal how isotropic elongation of mitotic spindles drives an anisotropic collective flow of the nuclear array in syncytial Drosophila embryos. We found that the asynchrony of nuclear divisions, which is visible as a mitotic wave front sweeping over the embryo, allows elongation of mitotic spindles beyond the average of inter-nuclear distances. As a consequence of this overshooting, adjacent nuclei are pushed apart in early interphase. Strikingly, the nuclei anisotropically move several nuclear diameter away from the mitotic wave front, albeit the orientation of spindles was isotropic. Shortly afterwards the nuclei return to their original position in a type of elastic movement. We found that spindle overshotting drove directional nuclear flow and that the elastic back and forth movement was controlled by cortical actin. The nuclear array did not return to its original position in mutants of the formin Dia and moved three times further away without returning in mutants of the Rac activator ELMO. The actin cortex effectively acts as a viscoelastic material with an ELMO-depending apparent viscosity and dia- and ELMO-dependent apparent elasticity. Our analysis provides insight into the
molecular mechanism leading to the emergence of a collective flow movement.

Introduction

Early Drosophila embryo develop as a syncytium. Based on direct neighbor interactions, the nuclei and their associated centrosomes and microtubule asters form an extended two-dimensional array. The interactions between individual nuclei lead to collective behavior at tissue level. At least three features of emergent collective behavior can be observed. Firstly, the nuclei divide synchronously to their immediate neighbors but asynchronously to more distant nuclei. This leads to a wave front of mitoses sweeping over the embryo\textsuperscript{1,2}. Secondly, the nuclei arrange in an ordered array in interphase following disturbance during nuclear division. Ordering involves interactions by the microtubule asters but also with F-actin at the cortex\textsuperscript{3,4}. Thirdly, the nuclei and the cytoplasm undergo stereotypic flow movements following the mitotic wave front. The mechanism for the emergence of this collective flow and its underlying forces have not been studied yet.

Nuclear movements are likely driven by active elements of the cytoskeleton. Candidates are the motor proteins of microtubules. During mitosis, the daughter chromosomes are pushed apart by the mitotic spindle, which pushes them close to adjacent nuclei. During interphase, nuclei potentially interact by their astral microtubules, which originate from the centrosomes and link nuclei to each other and to the cortex\textsuperscript{5}. Force is potentially generated by sliding of antiparallel aligned microtubules of adjacent asters.

In addition to microtubules, the actomyosin cytoskeleton may generate force. No prominent actin structure is directly associated with the nuclei. Actomyosin is largely found at the cortex and undergoes a stereotypic reorganization linked to the nuclear cycle\textsuperscript{6}. During mitosis, actin is associated with the metaphase furrow which separates the spindles. Actin caps are prominent structures during interphase. Myosin II is detected at the metaphase furrow and is enriched at the cortical region between the caps in interphase\textsuperscript{7}.

Beside the force generating mechanism, the material properties of the embryos\textsuperscript{8-10} may influence the movement of the nuclei. The actin cytoskeleton inhibits short time-scale movements\textsuperscript{11} and promotes ordering of the nuclear array\textsuperscript{3}. The actin cortex may act as a viscoelastic medium, to which the centrosomes and their associated nuclei are connected.

Here we found that the nuclear movement was isotropic for individual spindles but anisotropic for the collective flow over several nuclear diameters away from
the mitotic wave front and back to the original position slightly later. We comprehensively quantified nuclear trajectories in wild type and mutant embryos and modelled the process by computational simulation. In this way, we uncovered that spindle overshooting was a major driving force for the collective movement of the nuclear array. In addition, we defined a function of cortical F-actin for the apparent viscoelastic material properties.

Results

Long mitotic spindles and distance between daughter nuclei require pseudo-synchronous nuclear cycles

The nuclear density at the cortex doubles with every division during the syncytial blastoderm (nuclear cycles NC 10–13) (Supp. Data Fig. S1). The nuclei divide slightly asynchronous especially during the last syncytial division in NC13 with a time lag of up to minutes, which is easily visible as a mitotic wave front sweeping over the embryo (Fig. 1A, B, Supp. Data Movie 1). The function and the consequences of this pseudo-synchrony are unknown. Given the increase of asynchrony with nuclear density, we speculated that nuclear crowding may pose a problem for synchronous divisions.

To test this hypothesis, we simulated nuclear divisions within a limited area. We assigned each nucleus and mitotic spindles a protected area representing the entity in real embryos (Fig. 1C). After chromosome division, the daughter nuclei were pushed apart until reaching the protected area of a neighboring spindles/pair of daughter nuclei, thus assessing the maximal possible distance between daughter nuclei (maximal spindle length). We assumed synchronous divisions and symmetric spindles with isotropic orientations (Fig. 1D). Our simulations showed that the maximal spindle length decreased with an increase in nuclear number and thus marked the transition line between structurally allowed and forbidden regime of combinations of nuclear density and spindle length (Fig. 1E). Next, we measured the maximal distance between daughter nuclei and their corresponding nuclear densities in wild type embryos (Supp. Data Fig. S1). We also included data from haploid embryos, which undergo an extra nuclear division. Only the parameters of NC11 fell into the allowed area, whereas the parameters of NC12, NC13, and NC14 in haploids fell into the forbidden regime. This analysis indicated that a synchronous division with the observed spindle lengths was possible only in the early cycles but impossible in later cycles. Thus, pseudo-synchrony allows for the observed spindle length in NC12, NC13 and NC14 in haploid embryos.

Collective flow and density changes follow the mitotic wave front
The mitotic pseudo-synchrony and its corresponding wave front are associated with a stereotypic nuclear movement, which can be readily observed in time lapse movies (Fig. 2a, Supp. Data Movie 2). To obtain a precise description of the nuclear movements, we determined the trajectories of all nuclei within the field of view from time lapse recordings of fluorescently labeled nuclei. From the trajectories, we extracted time courses for displacements, velocities, and nuclear density (Fig. 2b, c, f). Every nucleus is assigned an individual time axis with the splitting of daughter chromosomes (metaphase-anaphase transition) as reference time t=0.

Concerning displacement (Fig. 2b), the nuclei moved in average about 20 µm which corresponds to about 4–5 nuclear diameters away from the position of their mother nucleus at t=0. The maximal displacement was reached after about 2 min (Fig. 2b). Following maximal displacement, the nuclei then returned to almost their initial position. We calculated the speed of nuclear movement from the individual trajectories as the derivative of the trajectories. The averaged flow speed revealed three peaks (Fig. 2c). The first peak corresponds to the chromosome segregation in anaphase with about 0.4 µm/s. The second peak after about 1 to 2 min corresponds to the flow away from the mitotic wave front. The least pronounced, third peak corresponds to the return movement after about 4 min (Fig. 2d).

As flow is linked to density changes, we next established spatial and temporal maps of nuclear density. Each nucleus was assigned an area and corresponding density according to Voronoi segmentation (Fig. 2e, Supp. Data Movie 3). In the case of synchronous divisions, the density would be expected to double at t=0 (metaphase anaphase transition) and remain constant throughout interphase. In contrast, but consistent with the observed nuclear flow, our measurements revealed a peculiar time of the density. Although initially doubling, the density dropped in telophase before finally reaching the doubled density again a few minutes later (Fig. 2e, f). Corresponding profiles for displacement and flows were detected in preceding nuclear cycles 11 and 12, although in a less pronounced manner (Supp. Data Fig. S3).

The forth and back movement of the nuclei is reminiscent of a spring (Fig. 2g). To obtain a phenomenological description of this behavior, we applied a simple mechanical model to the nuclear trajectories during the period of maximal displacement (Fig. 2h). By fitting a square function to the displacement curve, we obtained an apparent spring constant for each nucleus. The actual value of the apparent spring constant is not informative, since our model and assumptions are too simple. Friction is not included, for example. Yet, the
apparent constant helps to compare experimental conditions and mutant phenotypes.

**Isotropic individual behavior is associated with an anisotropic collective flow**

Our analysis revealed a collective directional flow of the nuclear array. Yet the individual spindles are isotropically oriented. The axes between daughter nuclei are uniformly distributed over the angles against the anterior-posterior axis of the embryo (Fig. 3a–c). In contrast, the same nuclei almost unidirectionally moved along the embryonic axis a minute later, indicating an anisotropic behavior (Fig. 3a–c). The transition of isotropic individual to anisotropic collective behavior is strikingly obvious in the extreme cases of spindle orientation. In the case of a spindle oriented in parallel to the embryonic axis, one set of chromosomes segregated towards, whereas the daughter chromosomes moved away the wave front during anaphase. One minute later both nuclei moved away from the wave front (Fig. 3d). Similarly, in case of a perpendicular orientation of chromosome segregation, the movement during anaphase was perpendicular to the embryonic axis but along the axis a minute later during collective flow. (Fig. 3d).

During collective flow the nuclei may move as individuals characterized by neighbor exchanges. Alternatively nuclei may behave as an array, which would be indicated by fixed neighbor relationships. To distinguish these options, we labeled groups of cells before mitosis and followed them during the course of chromosome segregation and collective flow. We found that the nuclei moved as an array. The groups of nuclei did neither intersperse with unlabeled nuclei nor nuclei of the other groups indicating that neighbor relationships were maintained during mitosis and collective flow despite the movement over several nuclear diameters (Fig. 3e). In summary, our observations indicate that the nuclear layer phenomenologically behaves like an elastic sheet with fixed neighbor relationships.

**Computational modeling of nuclear movement points to spindle length as a major driver of collective behavior**

To gain an understanding for how the isotropic individual movements give rise to an anisotropic collective flow, we conducted numerical simulations. Starting from a theoretical model based on static nuclear interactions in interphase⁴, we added a time axis for the interactions to account for the changes during mitosis. The model is based on active and passive forces (Fig. 4a, Supp. Data Fig. S4). Stochastic active forces repulse adjacent nuclei, thus resembling the sliding activity of motor proteins, e.g. Kinesin-5, on antiparallel aligned microtubules. In addition, a passive elastic force leads to repulsion accounting for the
embedding of the nuclei into the cytoplasm and cytoskeleton. This may include
the link of the nuclei to the cortex. Chromosome segregation is triggered at t=0
by a separation force acting between the daughter nuclei. The interaction
forces are dynamic according to the mitotic stage and interphase (Fig. 4b). For
example, the active force is low in anaphase, since astral microtubules
prominently appear only in telo- and interphase. Similarly, the passive force
increases in telo- and interphase as cortical actin increases during these
stages. The segregation force decays in telo and interphase. Balancing the
magnitude of passive and active forces over time, model simulation
reproduced the experimentally observed stereotypic flow behavior (Fig. 4c, d,

The simulations lead to multiple predictions. Firstly, the maximal displacement
was reach only about 1.5 min after the maximal distance between daughter
nuclei (maximal spindle length) was reached (Fig. 5a, b). The model predicted
a time lag between daughter separation and nuclear displacement. This is
interesting because it predicted that spindle separation may lead to
displacement but importantly in an indirect manner. Secondly, we tested the
role of pseudo-synchrony (speed of the mitotic wave front) of mitosis. The
model predicted a negative correlation (Fig. 5e), i.e. a slow wave leads to a
large displacement. Thirdly, the simulations predicted that the force for
separation of the daughter nuclei positively correlated with maximal distance
between daughter nuclei and importantly with maximal displacement (Fig. 6e,
Supp. Data Fig. S4b). Thus, our simulations predicted that spindle length is a
major driving force for nuclear movement. Mechanistically, this is not a simple
relationship because spindles are isotropically oriented, whereas the direction
of displacement is anisotropic.

We tested the predictions with experimental data. Consistent with the first
prediction (maximal spindle length precedes maximal displacement), we found
a time lag of 1–2 min in all embryos (Fig. 5c, d). The time lag demonstrated
that these two processes were mechanistically not directly linked, since
spindles were isotropically oriented and preceded the flow behavior. The
second prediction also matched the experimental data. We collected data from
50 embryos (Fig. 1b) for quantification of the speed of wave front and maximal
displacement. Plotting corresponding parameter sets revealed a negative
correlation (R^2=45%, Fig. 5f).

**Ensemble spindle elongation is the driving force for nuclear displacement**

Providing an experimental test of the third prediction (Fig. 6e) required an
experimental condition, in which spindle extension but not spindle function
would be impaired. We experimentally tested the prediction in mutants with shorter spindles. Spindle elongation in anaphase B requires the four-headed microtubule motor Kinesin 5, which can slide microtubules against each other\textsuperscript{12}. We employed embryos, in which endogenous Kinesin 5 was substituted by a version susceptible to TEV protease\textsuperscript{5}. We titrated the amount of TEV protease to achieve a partial depletion which still allowed completion of mitosis. Spindles in these embryos were short (Fig. 6a). The average maximal spindle length was 8 µm instead of 10 µm in wild type embryos.

Complementary, we employed embryos from females homozygous for Map60, which also displayed short spindles\textsuperscript{3} with an average length of 9 µm (Fig. 6a).

Consistent with the third prediction from the computer model, quantification of nuclear movement revealed a strongly reduced maximal displacement in both experimental conditions and thus a positive correlation of spindle length and maximal displacement (Fig. 6b, c, d, f) Interestingly, the distances are different. While division distance and maximal displacement are comparable (about 8 µm), maximal displacement is almost twice as the division distance. In summary, as predicted by our computational model, analysis of mutant embryos with shorter division distance support the model that an overshooting of the mitotic spindle (pushing apart the daughter nuclei more than the average inter-nuclear distance) constitutes a major driving force for the nuclear movement thereafter.

**F-actin cortex is required for the return movement.**

We speculated that cortical F-actin is involved in nuclear movement. It has been previously reported that the nuclei are strongly attached to F-actin cortex\textsuperscript{13}. The actin cortex suppresses the fluctuation movements of centrosomes\textsuperscript{11} and contributes to an ordered nuclear array in interphase\textsuperscript{3}. Cortical F-actin undergoes stereotypic remodeling during the course of nuclear cycles\textsuperscript{6} (Fig. 7a). We quantified total F-actin with a Utrophin-GFP as a marker\textsuperscript{14}. We found that the signal dropped in mitosis, with lowest level during anaphase and steadily increased afterwards (Fig. 7b, c). Given the timing of this dynamics, it is conceivable that cortical actin plays an important part in controlling nuclear movement.

To test this conceivable function of the cortical F-actin, we employed two mutants to genetically interfere with the organization of the actin cortex. Firstly, we prevented the formation of actin caps with the mutant ELMO\textsuperscript{11,15}. ELMO forms part of an unconventional guanyl nucleotide exchange factor, which activates Rac signaling in a complex with Sponge/DOCK\textsuperscript{16}. ELMO mutants lack any actin caps and are characterized by a uniformly structured cortical F-actin (cite here Winkler 2015) (Fig. 7d). Secondly, we employed dia
mutants\textsuperscript{17-19}. Dia is a founding member of the formin family, which nucleate and polymerize linear actin filaments. \textit{dia} mutants lack metaphase furrows but contain actin caps\textsuperscript{17} (Fig. 7d).

We applied our quantitative assay to \textit{ELMO} embryos. A strongly increased nuclear trajectories revealed a maximal nuclear displacement of 60 µm as compared to 20 µm in wild type embryos (Fig. 7f, g). In addition to the threefold increased displacement, we observed as a second phenotype that the nuclei did not return to their initial position in \textit{ELMO} mutants (Fig. 7f, h). The impaired back movement indicates a loss of the spring-like behavior. Consistently, we calculated an almost 10-fold reduced spring constant at the turning point of the nuclear trajectories (Fig. 7i). A similar behavior and profiles were detected in NC12 of \textit{ELMO} embryos (Supp. Data Fig. S5).

We also detected changes in nuclear movement in \textit{dia} mutants. Similar to \textit{ELMO} mutants, we observed a loss of the spring-like back movement. The nuclei did not return to their initial position and the spring constant was almost 10-fold reduced (Fig. 7e, f, g, h, i). In contrast to \textit{ELMO}, the maximal displacement was similar to wild type indicating that the stabilizing function of the cortex does not depend on \textit{dia}. The neighborhood relationships were largely maintained \textit{dia} and \textit{ELMO} embryos (Fig. 7j). In summary, by employing two mutants affecting F-actin organization, we identified distinct functions of the actin cortex. F-actin is required for the back movement as revealed by the reduced apparent spring constant and the permanent displacement. The \textit{ELMO}-dependent organization into caps appears to be important for limiting nuclear movement.

\textbf{Discussion}

The direct interactions between the nuclei and their associated cytoskeleton are a special feature of syncytial embryos. Due to the lack of separating cell membranes, microtubule asters originating from the centrosomes associated with each nucleus form an extended network of hundreds to thousands of elements. Emergent features arise in this network by summing up the behavior of individual elements, such as fluctuations or duplication, and their interactions, such as repulsion. The analysis of the mechanism underlying the emergent features is essential for understanding how the individual cells function collectively to form a tissue.

We identified an anisotropic flow of the nuclear array as an emergent feature. Based on a morphodynamical analysis of the nuclear array in wild type and mutant embryos together with computational simulations, we analyzed the
mechanism of the flow behavior. In this way we identified spindle elongation as a major driving force for nuclear movement. The emergent nature of the nuclear flow becomes obvious, since individual behavior is strikingly different than the collective behavior of the nuclear array. Nuclei divide with an isotropic orientation, whereas the flow direction is anisotropic. Furthermore the maximal division distance is about 10 µm, whereas the maximal displacement is about 20 µm.

The physical basis for the directionality of the flow is not clear, albeit the pseudo-synchrony is an essential part of it. With strongly synchronous divisions, the pushing forces of mitotic spindles would generate a spatially isotropic force distribution. Consequently, the nuclei would not move due to a balance in forces. In the case of pseudo-synchronous divisions, the force balance is broken leading to an imbalance and thus a flow away from the wave front. The forces are higher at and before the wave front than in the region after the wave front. The physical nature for what causes the imbalance is unclear. It is conceivable that the nuclear array is apparently stiffer in metaphase than in telophase due to stronger interactions. We implemented this mechanism in our model. Alternatively, friction between blastoderm and vitelline envelope in metaphase might cause the imbalance. It has been reported that the mechanical interactions between embryonic tissue and the vitelline envelope are involved in generating directional flow and tissue movement\textsuperscript{10,20,21}. In the syncytial embryo, although the nuclei moving closer to the vitelline envelope just after metaphase-anaphase transition, the distance between the blastoderm and vitelline envelope was not detected. (Supp. Data Fig. S6).

A specific and likely essential feature of syncytial embryos is the dynamical cytoskeleton. Microtubules change from a strongly asymmetric network with strong repulsion between separating daughter nuclei and weak neighbor repulsion to a symmetric network with uniform repulsive interactions. The actin cytoskeleton transforms from mitotic organization with metaphase furrows to the cap structure typical for interphase. We have experimentally and by simulation dissected the contribution by these factors. We identified a contribution of the actin cortex to the elastic feature of the nuclear movement, i.e. that nuclei return to their starting position. This is consistent with previous findings that the elasticity of \textit{Drosophila} embryonic cortex in cellularization stage depends on the actin cytoskeleton\textsuperscript{9,10}(Doubrovinski et al., 2017; D’Angelo et al., 2019). In addition, ELMO and its functionally dependent actin caps clearly suppress displacement.
In order to investigate these molecular features of the nuclear movement, novel assays for the driving forces and the material properties of the cytoplasm and especially the cortex are needed\(^8,22\). In hydrodynamics, any movement in a viscous medium will be counteracted by friction. Although we have calculated a phenomenological spring constant describing the elastic movement, it is clear that a pure spring model is too simple, since it lacks a loss term accounting for the viscosity of the medium. Future work including novel assays for the apparent material properties of the embryo will address these issues and thus allow for a full physical model for the emergence of this morphologically visible movement.

**Methods and Materials**

**Drosophila Genetics**

Fly stocks were obtained from the Bloomington Drosophila Stock Center\(^{23,24}\), unless otherwise noted. Fly strains used in this study are the followings: w; Histone2Av-GFP; w; sqh-Utr-GFP/CyO; ubi-His2Av-RFP\(^{14}\). Map60\(^{KG00506}\); w; ubi-GFP-D-Cad dia\(^5\) Frt\(^2L\) ubi-His2Av-RFP/CyO\(^{17}\). w; ELMO\(^{367}\) Frt\(^2L\)/CyO\(^{11}\). w Hira\(^{ssm}\)/FM7c, w\(^a\) B\(^{25}\). His2Av-RFP; Kinesin5-[TEV]-GFP \(^5\). Fly stocks were kept at 25°C on a standard cornmeal food. Germline clones of dia and ELMO were induced by crossing with corresponding Frt chromosomes and the following heat shock at 37°C for one hour on two consecutive days after hatching. Short spindle induced by TEV injection was described previously\(^5\). In addition, we titrated the amount of TEV protease to achieve a partial depletion allowing mitosis but with shorter spindles during the cleavage cycle.

**Phalloidin staining and imaging**

Wild type embryos and embryos from dia and ELMO germline clones were fixed with 8% formaldehyde according to standard procedures. The vitelline membrane was manually removed. Fixed embryos were incubated with phalloidin-Alexa 488 (1:500, Thermo Fisher) for 1.5 h. After rinsing three times and washing three times for 15 min each with PBT (PBS(Phosphate-Buffered Saline) with 0.1% Tween 20), embryos were stained with DAPI (4′,6-Diamidine-2′-phenylindole dihydrochloride) (0.2 µg/ml) for 10 min, rinsed three times in PBT, washed in PBT for 10 min and mounted in Aqua-Poly/Mount (Polysciences). The images of fixed embryos were acquired using a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope.

**Microinjection**

1–2 h old embryos were collected, dechorionated with 50% bleach solution for 90 s, rinsed thoroughly with deionized water. After aligning on a coverslip, the embryos were desiccated for 10 min, and covered with halocarbon oil (Voltalef 10S, Lehmann & Voss). TEV protease (a gift from Dirk Görlich) and
Histone1-Alexa-488 protein (2 mg/ml, Thermo Fisher) were injected to the desired embryos using Microinjector FemtoJet® (Eppendorf) on an inverted microscope.

Live imaging for nuclear dynamics
Nuclear dynamics was recorded by movies of embryos with the fluorescently labeled nuclei, by expression of Histone2Av-GFP or injection of Histone1-Alexa-488 protein. Embryos were attached on a coverslip coated with embryo glue and covered with halocarbon oil. Time-lapse images were recorded on a spinning disc microscope (Zeiss, 25x/NA0.7 multi immersion) with an emCCD camera (Photometrics, Evolve 512). To ensure reliable tracking of the nuclei, the frame rate was 0.5–0.2 Hz with 4 axial sections, covering 8 µm. Images were merged maximal intensity projections (Fiji/ImageJ).

Images process and quantification
Imaging segmentation and analysis were performed with custom-written Python algorithms. The software code is available on request. Briefly, the nuclear positions were detected as blob-like features of size $\sigma_i$ at position $(x_i, y_i)$ by finding the maxima $(x_i, y_i, \sigma_i)$ of a rescaled Laplacian of Gaussian (LoG) function

$$L(x, y, \sigma) = \sigma^2(\Delta(g_\sigma * f))(x, y, \sigma),$$

where $f_t(x, y)$ is the nuclei gray-scale value at time $t$, $g_\sigma(x, y)$ is Gaussian kernel of width $\sigma$, and “$g * f$” stands for the convolution of function $g$ and $f$.

When multiple blobs were detected in a single nucleus, we deleted a neighboring blob $b_2$ of $b_1$ with a heuristic test function $T$

$$T(b_1, b_2) = \frac{1}{2(f_t(b_1) + f_t(b_2))} - \int_r f_t(x)e^{-(x-\frac{1}{2})^2} dx,$$

where $\gamma : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ is the straight line from $b_1$ of $b_2$.

We tracked the nuclei across frames based on a proximity criterion. The distance between nucleus $k$ in frame $i$ and $l$ in frame $i+1$ was defined as

$$d_{k,l} = \| x_{k,i} - x_{l,i+1} \|_2.$$

We determined the interval of mitosis time using the $k$-means-clustering algorithm on the observed nucleus positions at time $t$. If a new blob was detected, we considered this nucleus and its nearest neighbor were daughter nuclei from a recent mitosis, and set their internal nucleus clock to 0.

Calculations of nuclear displacement, speed, nuclear density, spindle length and orientation were done for each nucleus in its own eigentime after mitosis.

Quantification of F-actin over cell cycle
Embryos expressing Histone2Av-RFP; Utrophin-GFP were imaged with a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope (25x/NA0.7 multi immersion). The frame rate was 0.1 Hz, and 10 µm was covered in z direction. Utrophin-GFP stacks were merged by average intensity projection (Fiji/ImageJ). F-actin was quantified manually with Fiji/ImageJ.

2D simulation of synchronous mitosis
In the simulation, the nuclei are randomly placed in a 50um*50um square via Poisson-disc sampling, which produces random tightly-packed locations with pair-wise distances not smaller than a specified value \( d_{\text{disc}} > 4 \mu m \). We assume the nuclei divide simultaneously and form mitotic spindles with isotropic orientations. As the spindles extend with a constant speed, we check at each time step if any two of the spindles touch each other by scanning a restricted area of 4um*4um in the vicinity of each spindle (see supplementary video). If a spindle touches at least one other, we assume it stops extending and reaches its maximal length due to limited space. When all spindles reach their respective maximal lengths, the simulation is ended and we compute the average maximal length \( l_{\text{max}} \) over all spindles in the simulation. For each fixed \( d_{\text{disc}} \), we run the simulation 50 times, producing 50 \( l_{\text{max}} \) values for various nuclear density around \( 1/(4d_{\text{disc}}^2) \). The mean of the 50 \( l_{\text{max}} \) values and the mean of the 50 nuclear densities provide the coordinates of one point in Fig.1C. The x- and y- error bars indicate the respective standard deviations. Varying the minimum distance \( d_{\text{disc}} \) between nuclei, we obtain the mean \( l_{\text{max}} \) values for a range of nuclear densities. The data from simulation are fitted to a power-law function (solid curve in Fig.1C) with the method of least squares.

Computational modeling of the nuclear movement
We base our model on a previously successful model for static nuclei packing during interphase\(^4\): Nuclei, positioned at \( \mathbf{r}_i \), move due to active forces, \( F_{\text{act},ij} \), exerted by motor-activated pushing apart of neighbouring overlapping microtubule asters and due to passive repulsive forces between neighbouring nuclei, \( F_{\text{pass},ij} \), arising from the visco-elastic matrix embedding nuclei, mainly build by actin cytoskeletal elements. The overdamped equation of motion is given by \( \mathbf{r}_i = \frac{1}{\eta} \sum_j \left( F_{\text{act},ij} + F_{\text{pass},ij} \right) \), where \( \eta \) denotes the viscosity of the matrix. Aiming to describe the motion of nuclei during mitosis we adjust force amplitudes to change as microtubuli and actin cortex of nuclei considered remodels over time, \( F_{\text{pass},ij} = -A_{\text{pass}}(t_i)A_{\text{pass}}(t_j) \frac{e_{r_{ij}}}{r_{ij}} \), \( F_{\text{act},ij} = \).
\[ -A_{\text{act}}(t_i)A_{\text{act}}(t_j) \hat{e}_{r_{i,j}} \cdot \hat{r}_{i,j}, \] where \( \hat{e}_{r_{i,j}} \) and \( r_{i,j} \) denote the unit vector and the distance between nuclei \( i, j \) considered. Individual nuclei times \( t_i \) are shifted with respect to nuclei division at \( t_{\text{div}} = 0 \). To capture the dynamics of cytoskeletal elements during mitosis we subdivided the time course of events into the series: \( t_{\text{spindle ass}} < t_{\text{spindle const}} < t_{\text{div}} < t_{\text{div max}} < t_{\text{sp diss}} < t_{\text{MT ass}} < t_{\text{act inter}} < t_{\text{MT inter}} \). Passive forces change only between \( t_{\text{spindle ass}} < t_{\text{spindle const}} \) when actin caps shrink while spindles assemble to generate balancing forces and during regrowth of actin caps between \( t_{\text{act diss}} < t_{\text{act inter}} \) before entering interphase. Active forces due to spindle formation as well as microtubule asters are in contrast much more dynamic. Between \( t_{\text{spindle diss}} < t_{\text{MT diss}} \) spindles disassemble before the microtubule asters regrow during \( t_{\text{MT ass}} < t_{\text{MT inter}} \). All dynamics are interpolated linearly.

In detail:

\[
A_{\text{pass}}(t) =
\begin{cases}
    t_{\text{spindle ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{spindle const}} & t_{\text{spindle ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{spindle const}} \\
    t_{\text{spindle const}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{act diss}} & t_{\text{spindle const}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{act diss}} \\
    t_{\text{act diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{act inter}} & t_{\text{act diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{act inter}} \\
    t_{\text{act inter}} \leq t & t_{\text{act inter}} \leq t
\end{cases}
\]

\[
A_{\text{act}}(t) =
\begin{cases}
    t_{\text{spindle ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{spindle const}} & t_{\text{spindle ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{spindle const}} \\
    t_{\text{spindle const}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{div}} & t_{\text{spindle const}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{div}} \\
    t_{\text{div}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{sp diss}} & t_{\text{div}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{sp diss}} \\
    t_{\text{sp diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT diss}} & t_{\text{sp diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT diss}} \\
    t_{\text{MT diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT ass}} & t_{\text{MT diss}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT ass}} \\
    t_{\text{MT ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT inter}} & t_{\text{MT ass}} \leq t \leq t_{\text{MT inter}} \\
    t_{\text{MT inter}} \leq t & t_{\text{MT inter}} \leq t
\end{cases}
\]
Note that changes in both passive and active forces only get out of balance during spindle disassembly initiating nuclei motion with significant time delay relative to the time point of nuclei division. At that point in time nuclei that have not divided yet have a stronger repulsive force than the already divided nuclei due both actin caps and microtubule asters not yet being fully formed. Therefore, nuclei move toward the region of higher nuclei density, only returning back when actin caps and microtubule asters are forming again.

Nuclei dynamics are evolved on a sphere to capture the topology of the embryo. Division wave is initiated on one pole and propagates with velocity $v$ toward the opposing pole. For numerical stability we place two daughter nuclei upon division a short distance $r$ at a random angle apart, their center of mass coincides with the position of their mothers.

### Spring constant fitting

The data sets consisted of 1–5 nuclear displacement curves for 2–4 embryos of each type (*dia*, *ELMO*, *Kinesin5* and *Map60* mutants, wild type). The nuclear displacement curves (in the first phase) are similar to the oscillation of a not-actively driven and non-damped harmonic oscillator. Therefore, the individual nuclear displacement curves were fitted to a sine curve of the form $y(t) = A \sin(\omega t + \varphi)$, where $A$ is the amplitude, $\omega$ the angular frequency and $\varphi$ the phase shift, using a self-written script in Python. The biological rational behind this approach is that the nuclei behave like they were linked to an elastic spring, which could be e.g. linkages to the cytoskeleton. At $t=0$ the spring is stretched and the nuclei start to move until the spring is compressed and the nuclei move back.

The fit region was determined as follows. For all curves, the lower bound on the fitting range was set equal to the point in time where the nuclear displacement first exceeds 5 $\mu$m, as some curves show a small, reversible displacement in the beginning. The upper bound was chosen independently for each of the data sets since the elastic part of the curve depends on the stiffness and dampening of the spring and hence differs across data sets. For the *dia* and *Map60* mutants as well as the wild type, the upper bound was set
equal to 100 s after the turning point, while it was set to 180 s after for the
ELMO mutant and 240 s for the Kinesin5 mutants. The results of the fit
parameter $\omega$ were averaged for each embryo to give a set of angular
frequencies $\omega_i$ for each type, where $i$ runs over the number of embryos. The
spring constant was derived from the average $\omega_i$ via the relation
\[ k = m (\omega_i)^2, \]
in which $m$ denotes the mass of the nucleus. It was assumed that
the nuclei are spherical with a diameter of 4.9 $\mu$m and a density equal to that of
water at room temperature. Error bars, which correspond to one standard
deviation, were calculated in the frequency domain and then converted to the
force domain by the analogue of the relation above.
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Figure 1. Asynchronous nuclear division is required for long mitotic spindles.

a, Live image of an embryo during mitosis. The left half is in metaphase, the right half in anaphase. The dotted line in red indicates metaphase-anaphase transition sweeping over the embryo from right to left as a wave front. b, Embryo to embryo variation of the speed of the wave front (n=50 embryos). c, The protected area between daughter nuclei containing the spindle is indicated by an overlaid colored area. d, Illustration of the simulation. Daughter nuclei (arrow heads) are symmetrically pushed apart until they reach a neighboring arrow (red dot). Mother nucleus (green). e, Observed spindle lengths/distances between daughter nuclei are plotted against the corresponding nuclear density. Data from simulation (blue) and measurements in embryos in NC11, NC12, NC13 and NC14 in haploids (orange) (n=15 spindles in each embryo and 3 embryos for each type). Data are mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure 2. Quantitative assay for nuclear movement

**a**, Live images with nuclear labelling. Dotted line indicates the wave front of the metaphase-anaphase transition. Arrows in yellow indicate nuclear movement. **b**, Time course of nuclear displacement with the position if the mother nucleus during metaphase-anaphase transition as a reference (t=0). (n=260 nuclei in one embryo). **c**, Time course of nuclear speeds. Labels 1, 2 and 3 which represent the 3 different movements as shown in **d**. (n=260 nuclei in one embryo). **d**, Live image with backward trajectories of two daughter nuclei. Schematic drawing of (1) chromosome segregation, (2) forth movement away and (3) back movement toward the mitotic wave front. **e**, Image series with Voronoi maps with the mitotic wave front in images at 35 s and 55 s. Color code indicates nuclear density. **f**, Time course of nuclear density with metaphase-anaphase transition at t=0. Numbers indicate the three stages of nuclear movement. (n=260 nuclei in one embryo). **g**, Embryo to embryo variation of the low point in density relative to the double density. (n=12 embryos). **h**, Illustration of the collective nuclear movements leading to a stretching and relaxation of the nuclear array, which thus resembles an elastic sheet. **i**, A simple square function (red) was fitted to the forth and back movement around the maximal displacement. According to Hook’s law an apparent spring constant was calculated (n=15 nuclei from 3 embryos). The statement data are representative of more embryos. Data are mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure 3. Emergence of collective nuclear movement

a, Schematic drawing of an embryo with definition of angles. b, Image from live imaging after mitosis. Orientations of the corresponding spindle at $t=0$ s and the directions of nuclear movement at $t=60$ s are indicated by a magenta bar and green arrow, respectively. c, Distribution of observed angles for spindle orientation at $t=0$ s and nuclear movement at $t=60$ s. (n=20 embryos including 6230 nuclei). d, Image series with previous trajectories showing cases of perpendicular and parallel mitosis. e, Images from live imaging. Groups of nuclei were labelled at metaphase. Corresponding nuclei were labeled in same color in later images of the movie. Data are mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure 4. Numerical simulation of nuclear movement

a, Scheme of division, active and passive forces in syncytial embryo. b, Time course of the division, active force and passive force used in the simulation. c, Snapshots from the simulation. Nuclei were projections. Color code indicates speed of nuclear movement. d, Time course of nuclear displacement (n=300 nuclei). Data are mean±s.e.m.
Figure 5. Comparison of data from simulation and experiment.

**a, c,** Time course of nuclear displacement (blue) and distance between corresponding daughter nuclei (orange). The time lag (\( t \)) between maxima is indicated. **b, d,** Distribution of the time lag (\( t \)). Data from simulation (**a, b**) and experiment (**c, d**). (n=300 nuclei in one embryo in **a**; n=21 embryos in **b**. n=286 nuclei in one embryo in **c**; n=21 embryos in **d**.) **e, f,** Maximal displacement plotted against the corresponding speed of the mitotic wave. Data from simulation (**e**) and experiment (**f**). (n=50 embryos in **f**.) Data are mean±s.e.m.
Figure 6. The ensemble of spindle elongation indirectly drives the nuclear movement. a, Spindle length/distance between respective daughter nuclei in embryos partially depleted of Kinesin-5 and Map60 mutants. (n=30 spindle in 3 embryos for each genotype). b, images from live image. The trajectories of two nuclei over 300 s were plotted into the last images. c, d, Quantification of nuclear trajectories for indicated genotypes. c, Time course of nuclear displacement. d, Maximal displacement. e, f, Maximal displacement was plotted against corresponding division distance. Data from simulation (e) and experiment (f). The Data are mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure 7. Role of the actin cortex

a, Scheme of cortical actin dynamics during nuclear division cycles. b, Live-images showing F-actin organization during nuclear cycle. c, Quantification of F-actin. (n=10 regions in 3 independent recordings). d, Fixed dia and ELMO mutants stained for F-actin (red) and DNA (blue). e, Images from movies of dia and ELMO mutants. Nuclear trajectories over 10 min are plotted into the last images. f–g, Quantification of nuclear trajectories in dia and ELMO mutants. f, Time course of displacement, g, Maximal displacement, h, Final displacement (at t=600 s in wild type and dia mutant, 1200 s in ELMO mutant). i, Apparent spring constant. j, Neighborhood relationship. Images from movies at indicated time. Groups of cells have been marked in color at metaphase. Their daughter nuclei were labelled with the same color in the following images. n=15 nuclei in 3 embryos for dia and ELMO mutants, respectively (f, g, h, i). Data are plotted as mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure S1. Nuclear densities in different cycles. a, images of Drosophila syncytial embryo expressing Histone2Av-GFP in different cycles. b, quantification of nuclear density in the indicated cycles. n=10 embryos. Data are mean±s.e.m.
Figure S2. Image analysis pipeline and the quantification of nuclear dynamics. 

a, The live images of the embryos with labelled nuclei were taken with a frame rate of 0.2 Hz. Nuclei were detected and tracked using custom-written Python algorithms. 

b, Time course of nuclear displacement, with colour-coding for individual nuclei (n=10 nuclei). 

c, Time course of nuclear flow speed, with colour-coding for individual embryos (n=10 embryos). 

d, The distribution of angles of spindle and nuclear flow, with colour-coding for individual embryos (n=20 embryos). n>50 nuclei in each embryo in figure c and d.
Figure S3. Nuclear displacement and flow speeds are less pronounced in earlier cycles. 

**a**, The time course of nuclear displacement in NC11, 12 and 13 in one embryo (n=58, 107 and 206 nuclei in NC11, 12 and 13, respectively). 

**b**, Maximal displacement distribution in NC11, 12 and 13 from **a**. 

**c**, Time course of nuclear flow speed from the same embryo as shown in **a**, with colour-coding for indicated nuclear cycles. 

**d**, The percentage of embryos showing the nuclear flow in different cycles (n=6, 18, 26 embryos in NC11, 12 and 13, respectively). Data are mean±s.e.m.
Figure S4. Numerical simulation. a, The time dependence of amplitude of active force, passive force and division force. b, Increasing in spindle strength ($h_{\text{spindle}}$) leads to increasing of maximal displacement and division distance. Data are mean±s.e.m.
Figure S5. Nuclear displacement is more prominent in ELMO mutant embryos in NC12. a, Images from movies of wild type and ELMO mutants in NC12. Nuclear trajectories are plotted into the first images. b, The time course of nuclear displacement in NC12. (n=130 nuclei in ELMO and 290 nuclei in wild type. The statement data are from one embryo, but representative of more embryos). Data are mean±s.e.m. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Figure S6. The nuclei move closer to the vitelline envelope (VE) just after metaphase-anaphase transition, but the distance between blastoderm and VE is constant over cleavage cycle. Sagittal image series of syncytial embryo expressing Histone2Av-RFP and Utrophin-GFP. The vitelline envelope was visible due to autofluorescence, shown in the first image, and indicated using arrowhead. The vitelline envelope is marked by white dashline in the following image series. Scale bar: 10 µm.
Movie list:

Movie 1. Mitotic wave sweeps over the embryo.


Movie 3. The time course of the nuclear Voronoi map over nuclear division. Scale bar: 10 µm.


Movie 5. The nuclear displacement in wild type, dia and ELMO mutant embryos. Scale bar: 20 µm.