Constructing a high-density linkage map to infer the genomic landscape of recombination rate variation in European Aspen (*Populus tremula*)
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Abstract

The rate of meiotic recombination is one of the central factors determining levels of linkage disequilibrium and the efficiency of natural selection, and many organisms show a positive correlation between local rates of recombination and levels of nucleotide diversity indicating that linked selection is an important factor determining genome-wide levels of nucleotide diversity. Several methods for estimating recombination rates from segregating polymorphisms in natural populations have recently been developed. These methods have been extensively used in part because they are relatively simple to implement even in many non-model organisms, but also because they potentially offer higher resolution than traditional map-based methods. However, thorough comparisons of LD and map-based estimates of recombination are not readily available in plants. Here we present a new, high-resolution linkage map for *Populus tremula* and use this to estimate variation in recombination rates across the *P. tremula* genome. We compare these results to recombination rates estimated based on linkage disequilibrium in a large number of unrelated individuals. We also assess how variation in recombination rates is associated with genomic features, such as gene density, repeat density and methylation levels. We find that recombination rates obtained from the two methods largely agree, although the LD-based method identify a number of genomic regions with very high recombination rates that the map-based method fail to detect. Linkage map and LD-based estimates of recombination rates are positively correlated and show similar correlations with other genomic features, showing that both methods can accurately infer recombination rate variation across the genome.
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Introduction

Meiotic recombination (hereafter recombination) is an important evolutionary force that directly alters levels of linkage disequilibrium (e.g. Wright 1931). Recombination therefore has important consequences for how effective natural selection is at removing deleterious mutations or increasing the frequency of beneficial mutations (Felsenstein 1974). Recombination rates are known to vary between species, among individuals within species and among different regions in a genome (Nachman 2002).

Local recombination rates have been shown to be positively correlated with neutral genetic diversity across a wide range of organisms (reviewed in Nachman 2002). One possible explanation for such an association is that cross-over events and/or associated processes, such as gene conversion and double-strand break repair, have direct mutagenic effects and thus act to increase nucleotide polymorphism (e.g. Kulathinal et al. 2008). An alternate explanation is that natural selection has indirect effects on sites linked to a site under selection and therefore also acts to reduce diversity on these sites (Begun and Aquadro 1992). Since recombination breaks down linkage disequilibrium, areas of high recombination are characterized by a rapid decay of linkage disequilibrium and linked selection will hence impact fewer sites in the vicinity of a selected site in these regions (Begun and Aquadro 1992). Conversely, in areas of low recombination rates, linkage disequilibrium will be extensive and indirect selection will impact a larger genomic region. Variation in recombination rates across the genome will generate an association between recombination and sequence diversity. Local variation in recombination rates is therefore an important factor for understanding how natural or artificial selection shapes sequence diversity across the genome of an organism.

Traditionally, recombination rates have been estimated from the relationship of marker positions in linkage maps (Stapley et al. 2017) and more recently recombination rates
have also been linked to physical regions of a genome through whole genome sequencing (Nachman 2002). However, producing linkage maps is time consuming and may even be infeasible in some species as it requires controlled crossing of known parents and the establishment of a large segregating progeny population (Stapley et al. 2017). Therefore, methods have been developed that infer recombination rates from linkage disequilibrium (LD) between segregating polymorphisms in individuals sampled from natural populations (e.g. McVean et al. 2004, Chan et al. 2012). Due to the relative ease of obtaining sequence information with modern sequencing methods even from wild populations, these LD-based methods for estimating recombination rates have been widely employed (e.g. McVean et al. 2004, Kulathinal et al. 2008 Silva-Junior and Grattapaglia 2015, Wang et al. 2016, Booker et al. 2017). Detailed knowledge of local variation in recombination rates can be used to infer the action of linked selection by establishing a correlation between the levels of nucleotide diversity and recombination rates across the genome of an organism (McVean et al. 2004, Chan et al. 2012). Using polymorphism data to infer recombination rates and then using these inferred recombination rates to explain variation in genetic diversity could be problematic, but simulations and studies performed using well-established animal model species such as Mus musculus (Booker et al. 2017) and in a number of Drosophila species (Kulathinal et al. 2008, Chan et al. 2012) suggest that indirect methods for estimating recombination rates are not strongly affected by natural selection. However, comparisons of LD-based and genetic linkage map-based methods for estimating recombination rates are not readily available plant species. Genome structure, and in particular local rates of recombination, show large scale differences between plants and animals (Haenel et al. 2018), and it would therefore also be valuable to assess how well indirect methods for inferring recombination rates perform in plants.
Local variation in recombination rates is known to be associated with a number of different genomic features, such as gene density, repeat density, and cytosine methylation, although the magnitude and direction of these associations are still under debate. Recombination rates have been shown to be both positively and negatively correlated with gene density (positively: e.g. Wang et al. 2016, negatively: e.g. Giraut et al. 2011), GC-content (positively: Kim et al. 2007, negatively: Giraut et al. 2011), repeat density and methylation levels (positively: e.g. Rodgers-Melnick et al. 2015, negatively: Giraut et al. 2011). Characterizing associations between recombination rates and various genomic features at the genus or species level is thus important to avoid making incorrect assumptions about the strength and/or direction of these associations.

The genus *Populus* has emerged as an important model system for forest trees due to its rapid growth rate, ability to generate natural clones and a manageable genome size of ca. 480 Mbp distributed across a haploid set of 19 (2n=38) chromosomes (Taylor 2002, Lin et al. 2018). Furthermore, both large and small scale synteny is highly conserved across species in the genus, enabling the transfer of genetic resources between species within the genus (Jansson and Douglas 2007). Further interest in *Populus* has been spurred by their economical (e.g. Taylor 2002) and ecological importance (e.g. Kouki et al. 2004) and over the past two decades a growing number of the ca. 40 species in the genus have been fully sequenced, including *Populus trichocarpa* (Black cottonwood) (Tuskan et al. 2006), *P. euphratica* (Ma et al. 2013) and *P. tremula* (European aspen) (Lin et al. 2018). Similarly, linkage maps have been produced for many of the species in the genus (e.g. Paolucci et al. 2010, Tong et al. 2016), including *Populus tremula* (Zhigunov et al. 2017). However, these maps have been relatively coarse, utilizing a few hundred up to a few thousand markers and typically employing mapping populations consisting of fewer than 300 progenies. Consequently, many of these maps have failed to resolve the expected 19 linkage groups typical for the genus and
there is thus a need for developing a high-resolution, fine-scale linkage maps for the whole genus.

*P. tremula* is of special interest within the genus as it has the largest distribution of any tree species in Eurasia, spanning from Spain and Scotland in the west to pacific China and Russia in the east, Iceland and northern Scandinavia in the north to northern Africa and southern China in the south (Luquez et al. 2008). Such extensive geographic distribution means *P. tremula* has adapted to a great variety of different environments, making it a promising species for studying the effects of spatially varying selection and adaptation (e.g. Farmer 1996, Luquez et al. 2008, Wang et al. 2018). To study these effects, it is important to fully understand the genomic landscape of recombination rate variation. Although genome-wide variations in recombination rates have previously been studied in *P. tremula* (e.g. Wang et al. 2016), thus far these analyses have exclusively relied on LD-based methods for estimating recombination rates.

Here we present a newly developed, fine-scale genetic map for *Populus tremula* and use this map to anchor scaffolds from the current draft genome assembly (Potra v1.1, Lin et al. 2018) to chromosomes. We then use this new resource to estimate local variation in recombination rates and use these to assess the correlation with recombination rates inferred from nucleotide polymorphism data from a larger sample of individuals. Finally, we assess how different genomic features, such as gene density, repeat content and methylation levels are associated with the different estimates of local recombination rate.

**Material and methods**

**Plant material**

In 2013, a controlled F$_1$ cross was performed between two unrelated *P. tremula* individuals (UmAsp349.2 x UmAsp229.1) from the Umeå Aspen (UmAsp) collection that consists of c.
300 individuals collected in the vicinity of Umeå in northern Sweden (Fracheboud et al. 2009). This cross yielded 764 full sib progenies that were planted and monitored in a common garden at the Forestry Research Institute of Sweden’s research station in Sävar, 20 km north-east of Umeå (63.9N 20.5E). In addition, we utilized SNP data for 94 individuals of *P. tremula* belonging to the SwAsp collection that consists of 116 individuals sampled from 12 local populations across Sweden (6-10 individuals per population, Luquez et al. 2008). The SNP data has previously been described in Wang et al. (2018) and consists of 4,425,109 SNPs with a minor allele frequency exceeding 5%.

**DNA extraction, sequence capture and genetic map creation**

In 2015 leaf samples were collected from all progenies of the F₁ cross. DNA was extracted using the Qiagen Plant Mini kit according to manufactures guidelines and sent to Rapid Genomics (http://www.rapid-genomics.com) for genotyping using sequence capture probes. The probe set contain 45,923 probes of 120 bases each that were designed to target unique genic regions in the v1.1 *P. tremula* genome assembly (Lin et al. 2018), as well as an additional 70 probes that were designed to specifically target the putative sex determination region on chromosome 19 of the *P. trichocarpa* genome assembly v3.0 (https://phytozome.jgi.doe.gov/pz/portal.html). Parents and all offspring were subjected to sequence capture and subsequently sequenced on an Illumina HighSeq 2000 using paired-end (2x100bp) sequencing to an average depth of 15x per sample. All sequence capture data was delivered from Rapid Genomics in the spring of 2016. In addition, two parents of the F₁ cross were whole-genome re-sequenced to an average depth of 15x on an Illumina HiSeq 2500 platform with paired-end sequencing (2x150 bp) at the National Genomics Infrastructure at the Science for Life Laboratory in Stockholm, Sweden.

All raw sequencing reads were mapped against the complete *P. tremula* v.1.1 reference genome using BWA-MEM v.0.7.12 (Li and Durbin 2009) using default parameters.
Following read mapping, PCR duplicates were marked using Picard (http://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) and local realignment around indels was performed using GATK RealignerTargetCreator and IndelRealigner (McKenna et al. 2010; DePristo et al. 2011). Genotyping was performed using GATK HaplotypeCaller (version 3.4-46, (DePristo et al. 2011; Van der Auwera et al. 2013) with a diploid ploidy setting and gVCF output format. CombineGVCFs was then run on batches of ~200 gVCFs to hierarchically merge samples into a single gVCF and a final SNP call was performed using GenotypeGVCFs jointly on the combined gVCF file, using default read mapping filters.

To obtain informative markers that could be used in the creation of a linkage map, markers were filtered in several steps. First, the vcf file was filtered with bcftools (Narasimhan et al. 2016) to only include bi-allelic SNPs, without low quality tags and with a minor allele frequency (MAF) > 0.25 in the parents. All SNPs outside the extended probe regions (120 bp ± 100 bp) and SNPs having a genotype depth (DP) falling outside the range of 10-100 were removed. Progeny genotypes were then filtered using a custom awk script, retaining only genotype calls matching the possible variants available in the Punnet square based on parental genotypes. Genotypes that did not match this criterion were recoded as missing data. Genotyping information was then extracted from the vcf file and all remaining filtering steps were performed in R (R Core Team 2018).

For the map construction we only used markers where both genotyping methods in the parents (capture probes and WGS) showed concordance, where at least one of the parents was heterozygous and where no more than 20% of the progeny had missing data. A chi-square test for segregation distortion was performed on all remaining markers and all markers with a significance level > 0.005 were kept. Finally, only the best marker, in terms of lowest level of missing data and most balanced segregation pattern was kept for each probe. Genotypes and marker segregation pattern were then recoded to BatchMap input format (Schiffthaler et al.
The resulting file contained 764 F1 progeny and 19,520 probe markers, segregating either in the mother (cross type D1.10), the father (cross type D2.15) or both parents (cross type B3.7).

Framework linkage maps were created using BatchMap (Schiffthaler et al. 2017), a parallelized implementation of OneMap (Margarido et al. 2007), using the pseudo test cross strategy. Pairwise estimates of recombination frequency were calculated between all markers using a LOD score of 8 and a maximum recombination fraction ($\text{max. rf}$) of 0.35. To reduce the number of redundant markers in the map, identical markers (showing no recombination events between them) were collected into bins where one representative marker (the marker with lowest amount of missing data) per bin was used in subsequent analyses. Following binning, markers were grouped into linkage groups (LGs) using a LOD threshold of 12 and further split into a maternal (D1.10 and B3.7 markers) and paternal (D2.15 and B3.7 markers) mapping population with B3.7 markers acting as a bridge between the two parental maps. Marker ordering along the LGs was estimated using 16 rounds of the RECORD (Recombination count and ordering) algorithm (Van Os et al. 2005) parallelized over 16 cores. Genetic distance estimates were calculated using three rounds of the ‘map batches’ approach (Schiffthaler et al. 2017) using the Kosambi mapping function. In successive rounds, markers were rippled in sliding windows of eleven, nine and seven markers, respectively, using 32 ripple cores and 2 phasing cores (Margarido et al. 2007, Schiffthaler et al. 2017).

A consensus map of the two parental framework maps was created with the R-package LPmerge (Endelmann et al. 2014) using a maximal interval setting ranging from one to ten and equal weight to the two parental maps. The consensus map with the lowest mean root mean square error (RMSE) was set as the best consensus map for each LG.

In order to estimate the correspondence between different LGs from the linkage map
and chromosomes in *P. trichocarpa*, probe sequences were mapped against the masked *P. trichocarpa* genome assembly v3.0 using BLASTn (Altschul et al. 1990). For each probe with a corresponding marker in the consensus map, the BLAST hit with the highest bitscore value was considered to be the homologous region of the *P. trichocarpa* genome. The number of homologous regions observed between the *P. tremula* LGs and the *P. trichocarpa* chromosomes were used to assign *P. tremula* LGs to corresponding *P. trichocarpa* chromosomes (Figure S1).

**Physical assembly**

We used the Python software AllMaps (Tang et al. 2015) to create physical chromosomes from the *P. tremula* genome assembly v.1.1 based on the framework genetic maps. Briefly, AllMaps uses information from linkage maps to physically anchor scaffolds from a genome assembly into chromosomes. All markers that had been placed into bins at the beginning of the linkage map creation were reintroduced to the final parental framework maps by placing them at the same chromosome and genetic distance as the bin representative marker. All scaffolds in the framework maps that had markers mapped to more than one chromosome (340 scaffolds), or where markers were mapped to different positions on a single LG but more than 20 centiMorgans (cM) apart (19 scaffolds), were split and placed using the corresponding positions of the markers (Figures S2, S3 and S4). To achieve as accurate scaffold splits as possible, assembly gaps and gene annotations were considered. For each scaffold region anchored in the framework maps, the largest assembly gap outside gene models were chosen to split scaffolds. If no assembly gaps were present within the split region, the scaffolds were split in the middle of an intergenic region by artificially creating a gap of size 1 bp. However, if the split region was positioned within a single gene model, the gene models were split either at the largest assembly gap or by artificially creating a gap of size 1 bp in the middle of the region (Figure S5). The python software jcvi (Tang et al.
2015) was used to physically split and rename multi-mapped scaffolds. One scaffold, Potra001073, contained two markers that could not be split using the rules above since they were positioned in two overlapping gene models appearing on the same strand. These markers were therefore removed from the map. After splitting scaffolds, the linkage map marker positions were translated to the new split scaffold assembly positions using UCSC liftOver (Hinrichs et al. 2006) and used as input to AllMaps.

AllMaps was run according to instructions (https://github.com/tanghaibao/jcvi/wiki/ALLMAPS) using the parental framework maps, hereafter referred to as the ‘female’ and ‘male’ map, respectively. The maps were merged into the input bed file and weighed equally (1) for scaffold ordering. After ordering, the built-in gap length estimation in AllMaps was run to produce more precise lengths for the chromosomes. The chromosome-scale assembly produced will be referred to as P. tremula v1.2.

Linkage map-based recombination map

The parental framework maps as well as the consensus map were edited with custom awk scripts to match the input format specified in the manual of the R-package MareyMap (Rezvoy et al. 2007). All genetic maps were converted to bed format using a custom awk script for easy lift over to the new physical assembly with the UCSC liftOver tool (Hinrichs et al. 2006). The lift-over was performed with the ‘--bedPlus’ option enabled to carry over extra columns and then recoded back to MareyMap input format. Some of the male chromosomes were reversed relative to the female and consensus maps (see negative ρ-values in Figure S6). This was done by taking the absolute values of the genetic distance column after subtracting the maximum value of genetic distance from all the values in the column using a custom-made Python script. The edited maps were read into MareyMap and two obvious outliers caused by a splitting oversight on chr5 (Figures S2 and S6) and an
artificial gap caused by LPmerge when creating the consensus map from the parental framework maps in chr16 (Figures S2 and S6) were removed. Finally, we used the ‘sliding window’ method in MareyMap to estimate recombination rate in windows of 1Mbp, with a step size of 250 kbp and a minimum number of SNP’s per window of 8, in order to avoid regions with large gaps being assigned recombination values.

LD-based recombination map

To estimate LD-based recombination rates, the vcf-file with data for the 94 SwAsp individuals from Wang et al. (2018) was lifted over to v1.2 genome coordinates by first recoding the file to bed format using vcf2bed from the BEDOPS toolkit (Neph et al. 2012), lifted over with UCSC liftOver (Hinrichs et al. 2006) and finally recoded back to vcf format. The resulting vcf file was filtered using vcftools (Danecek et al. 2011), retaining only bi-allelic SNPs with a minor allele frequency greater than 0.05 (maf > 0.05) and that showed no evidence for deviations from Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (p > 0.002).

We used LDhelmet v.1.10 (Chan et al. 2012) to produce a LD-based recombination map. LDhelmet handles a maximum of 25 diploid individuals (ie. 50 haplotypes), and we therefore sampled a random subset of 25 individuals from the 94 re-sequenced SwAsp individuals utilizing the vcftools ‘--max-indv’ -option. The subset vcf was split into separate files according to chromosomes to avoid memory issues when running LDhelmet.

Full FASTA-files were produced for the 25 individuals by reassigning SNP-positions at the corresponding sites within the reference FASTA for P. tremula v1.2 using the ‘vcf-consensus’ script from vcftools. This was done twice per individual to produce both copies of the chromosome. Individual files were then concatenated together to generate a single FASTA file per chromosome with data for all 25 individuals.

The LDhelmet preparatory files were produced as suggested in the LDhelmet v1.10 manual (Chan et al. 2012). We produced Padé coefficients and lookup tables separately.
for each of the 19 chromosomes. The configuration files were created using a window size of 50 and the lookup table was produced using the recommended values found in the LDhelmet manual (-t 0.01 -r 0.0 0.1 10.0 1.0 100.0). Similarly, the Padé coefficients tables were produced using the recommended values from the manual (-t 0.01 -x 11). To further lighten the computational load during the recombination estimation step, we opted to use the SNPs and pos files for our chromosomes. These files were produced for each chromosome separately using the ‘-ldhelmet’ -option for vcf tools v0.1.15. We used the recommended values from the LDhelmet manual as Populus tremula has similar levels of nucleotide polymorphism and extent of linkage disequilibrium as Drosophila melanogaster, on which the recommended settings in LDhelmet are based upon (Wang et al. 2016).

LDhelmet outputs estimates of recombination in units of $\rho$/bp whereas the genetic map is in units of cM. We therefore converted the LDhelmet results to cM distances following the method outlined in Booker et al. (2017) to be able to make comparisons between the recombination rates estimated using the two methods. The conversion assumes that since the physical size of a chromosome is constant for the two methods, the cumulative genetic distance in either cM or $\rho$ should be the same but on different scales. The cumulative $\rho$ was calculated by multiplying the $\rho$/bp estimates with the distance in bp between the adjacent SNP’s and then summed across chromosomes. Knowing the cumulative $\rho$ and corresponding cM-values, it is possible to derive a ‘scaling factor’ to calculate cM values from the corresponding $\rho$ values. The resulting cM values were read into MareyMap and recombination rates were estimated as described earlier for the genetic map-based recombination map.
Correlation of recombination rate estimates, genetic correlates of recombination rate and model of recombination rate

We compared recombination rates inferred from the consensus genetic map or from the sequence data by calculating correlations across 1 Mb windows. We also assessed correlations between the two recombination rates and a number of genomic features, including gene density, repeat density, GC-content, substitution density, neutral diversity and methylation.

Gene and repeat density were estimated using bedtools (Quinlan 2014) ‘makewindows’ option to split the chromosomes into 50 kbp chunks and then using the ‘annotate’ option to calculate density of the repeat and gene elements respectively using gff files containing locations of these elements (available at ftp://plantgenie.org/Data/PopGenIE/Populus_tremula/v1.1/gff3/). GC-content was calculated from the FASTA file produced from AllMaps using an awk script (modified from: https://www.biostars.org/p/70167/#70172). The original script was modified to have window functionality across a FASTA sequence and to take into consideration sequence gaps. Windows with more than 80% gaps (N) were discarded to avoid biased results.

Substitutions relative to P. trichocarpa were estimated from a vcf-file with SNP-calls for a single Populus trichocarpa individual mapped against the Populus tremula reference genome v1.1. Comparisons of putative substitutions were then made against a list of SNP positions from the 94 SwAsp P. tremula data set using the vcftools option ‘--exclude-positions’. Substitutions relative to P. tremuloides were inferred in a similar way using a vcf-file containing SNP calls from five P. tremuloides individuals mapped against the P. tremula reference genome v1.2 (Lin et al. 2018). Both the options ‘--exclude-positions’ and ‘--positions’ were used to obtain the substitutions fixed in both aspen species relative to P. trichocarpa (hereafter referred to as ‘old’ substitutions) and substitutions
fixed in *P. tremula* only (‘new’ substitutions) respectively. Files containing old, new and the total number of substitutions were used to calculate substitution densities in windows of 50 kbp using the ‘--SNPdensity’ option in *vcftools*. Finally, neutral genetic diversity was estimated using *vcftools* with the ‘--windowed-pi’ option in 50 kbp windows using only 4-fold degenerate sites or intergenic sites located at least 2 kbp from an annotated gene. For all these calculations data for all 94 SwAsp samples were used.

Methylation levels were estimated using bisulfite sequencing data from six SwAsp individuals. The six individuals were bisulfite sequenced using two biological replicates per individual using paired-end (2x150) sequencing on an Illumina HiSeq X at the National Genomics Infrastructure facility at Science for Life Laboratory in Uppsala, Sweden. Based on recommendation from the NGI facility, samples were sequenced to an average depth of 60x as approximately 50% of the bisulfite sequencing data cannot be mapped uniquely due to excessive damage induced by the bisulfate treatment. The raw bisulfite-sequencing reads were trimmed using *trimGalore* v. 0.4.4 (https://github.com/FelixKrueger/TrimGalore), a wrapper around *Cutadapt* (Martin 2011) and *FastQC* (Andrews 2010), with a paired-end trimming mode and otherwise default settings. In order to obtain as accurate methylation calls as possible, polymorphic substituted versions of the *P. tremula* v1.1 assembly (Lin et al. 2018) were created for each sample separately using *bcftools* consensus (Narasimhan et al. 2016) and bisulfite converted and indexed with *bismark_genome_preparation* (Krueger and Andrews 2011). Trimmed reads for all individuals were mapped against the corresponding converted reference genomes using *Bismark* (Krueger and Andrews 2011) and *Bowtie2* (Langmead and Salzberg 2012). In order to remove optical duplicates from the BAM files, we ran *deduplicate_bismark* with default settings before methylation levels were extracted using *bismark_methylation_extractor* with the following settings: --bedGraph --gzip --comprehensive --CX --scaffolds --
buffer size 20G, which produced both context files and coverage files. For one of the sequenced individuals (SwAsp046), subsequent analyses suggested that the two biological replicates were actually derived from two genetically distinct individuals, likely due to a sampling mix-up in the common garden. For all individuals, the biological replicate with largest amount of data available was used in downstream analyses. The Bismark results were lifted over to v1.2 coordinates using UCSC liftOver with the ‘--bedPlus’ option. Coverage-files were filtered for low (< 5) and high (> 44) coverage observations to remove spurious results due to low coverage or collapsed duplicate genomic regions, respectively. Following filtering, coverage files for all six samples were merged and the different contexts of methylation (GpG, CHG and CHH) were extracted from the Bismark context files.

To produce data sets for all genomic features that were comparable to the two recombination maps, average values were calculated across 1 Mbp window using a step size of 250 kbp using a custom-made Python script. Correlations between the two recombination maps and between the recombination maps and genomic features were calculated using R. We also assessed the independent effects of different variables on the two recombination rate estimates using multiple regression.

Results

P. tremula linkage maps

14,598 unique markers in the female map and 13,997 unique markers in the male map were distributed across 3,861 and 3,710 scaffolds, respectively. Markers in both parental framework maps grouped into 19 linkage groups (LGs), corresponding to the haploid number of chromosomes in Populus (Table 1). Among the mapped scaffolds, 19 scaffolds contained markers that mapped to different positions within the same LG, but that were more than 20 cM apart (Figure S3) and 340 scaffolds contained markers that mapped to two or more LGs.
(Figures S2 and S4). These scaffolds were split according to criteria described in materials and methods. Additionally, there were 49 scaffolds split within gene models (Figure S5). Two ambiguous markers in scaffold Potra001073 were removed. After splitting 14,596 (12,900 binned) and 13,996 (12,382 binned) markers from 4,184 and 4,011 scaffolds remained. These markers spanned 4072.72 cM and 4053.68 cM for the female and male framework maps, respectively. The parental maps were used to produce a consensus genetic map consisting of 19,519 markers derived from 4,761 scaffolds spanning 4059.00 cM (Table 1). Linkage groups (LG) were assigned to the corresponding *P. trichocarpa* homologs through synteny assessment (Table 1, Figure S1).

**Table 1.** Summary of female male and consensus linkage maps for each chromosome.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chr</th>
<th>LG</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Male</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>Consensus</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Probe markers</td>
<td>Bin markers</td>
<td>Size (cM)</td>
<td>Probe markers</td>
<td>Bin markers</td>
<td>Size (cM)</td>
<td>Probe markers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1,784</td>
<td>1,573</td>
<td>498.0</td>
<td>1,737</td>
<td>1,542</td>
<td>499.3</td>
<td>2,417</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1,054</td>
<td>924</td>
<td>266.3</td>
<td>1,028</td>
<td>904</td>
<td>268.3</td>
<td>1,407</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>826</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>229.9</td>
<td>790</td>
<td>695</td>
<td>235.3</td>
<td>1,117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>813</td>
<td>728</td>
<td>217.2</td>
<td>835</td>
<td>736</td>
<td>263.0</td>
<td>1,128</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>965</td>
<td>842</td>
<td>284.5</td>
<td>947</td>
<td>836</td>
<td>270.6</td>
<td>1,293</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1,241</td>
<td>1,108</td>
<td>311.1</td>
<td>1,116</td>
<td>993</td>
<td>294.3</td>
<td>1,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>161.9</td>
<td>501</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>155.1</td>
<td>765</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>895</td>
<td>781</td>
<td>227.4</td>
<td>824</td>
<td>726</td>
<td>211.0</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>697</td>
<td>617</td>
<td>171.5</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>578</td>
<td>171.6</td>
<td>879</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1,026</td>
<td>901</td>
<td>279.0</td>
<td>1,015</td>
<td>879</td>
<td>270.8</td>
<td>1,388</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>460</td>
<td>181.4</td>
<td>541</td>
<td>480</td>
<td>180.7</td>
<td>724</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>515</td>
<td>452</td>
<td>142.4</td>
<td>486</td>
<td>429</td>
<td>140.7</td>
<td>678</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>586</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>173.7</td>
<td>608</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>176.4</td>
<td>822</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>733</td>
<td>655</td>
<td>194.3</td>
<td>702</td>
<td>609</td>
<td>182.0</td>
<td>983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>553</td>
<td>505</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>593</td>
<td>532</td>
<td>157.9</td>
<td>777</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>334</td>
<td>145.8</td>
<td>220</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>125.8</td>
<td>430</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>488</td>
<td>433</td>
<td>155.5</td>
<td>447</td>
<td>394</td>
<td>148.9</td>
<td>647</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>591</td>
<td>502</td>
<td>156.3</td>
<td>592</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>166.2</td>
<td>792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>361</td>
<td>322</td>
<td>130.6</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>342</td>
<td>135.8</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td>14,598</td>
<td>12,900</td>
<td>4072.7</td>
<td>13,997</td>
<td>12,382</td>
<td>4053.7</td>
<td>19,519</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1. Genetic maps and resulting physical map created by Allmaps for Chr1 and Chr5. The left panel shows the marker distribution (in cM) for the genetic maps and the anchored genomic region (in Mb) for the physical map, while the right panel is showing the Marey maps, i.e. the correspondence between the physical (x-axis) and recombination-based (y-axis) position of markers. The female map is depicted in green and the male map is depicted in orange.
Physical assembly Potra v1.2

The parental framework maps were used to produce a physical map, Potra v1.2, of the *P. tremula* chromosomes that we used to estimate recombination maps (Figure 1, Figure S6). The scaffolds anchored from the parental framework maps spanned 210.7 Mbp and 205.1 Mbp for the female and male respectively. This corresponds to 54.6% and 53.1% of the 385.8 Mbp covered by the v1.1 assembly (Table S1). Of the 4,761 scaffolds with markers, 96.6% could be anchored in the assembly providing a total physical assembly consisting of 223.4 Mbp. This corresponds to 57.9% of the v1.1 *P. tremula* assembly (Lin et al. 2018) (Table 2). 75.7% of the physical map was both anchored and oriented, while the remaining 24.3% was only anchored. 199,967 of the v1.1 assembly scaffolds were not covered by the framework maps and thus could not be anchored to the physical map. There was a clear distinction between the scaffolds we could and could not anchor to the Potra v1.2 assembly. The median length for the 4214 scaffolds anchored in the map was 37 kb and these scaffolds contain 26,808 predicted gene models. Conversely, the median length on unanchored scaffolds was only 0.3 kb and they collectively contain only 8,501 predicted gene models (Table 2). After the initial assembly, gap estimation added 43 Mbp of gap sequences across the genome, increasing the estimated total size of the v1.2 assembly to 265 Mbp. This is approximately 55% of the 479 Mbp genome size estimated for *P. tremula* (Lin et al. 2018).

**Table 2. Summary of physical assembly Potra v1.2.**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Anchored</th>
<th>Oriented</th>
<th>Unplaced</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Markers (unique)</td>
<td>19,302</td>
<td>15,597</td>
<td>215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Markers per Mb</td>
<td>86.4</td>
<td>92.2</td>
<td>1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gene elements</td>
<td>26,808 (75.9%)</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>8501 (24.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N50 Scaffolds (bp)</td>
<td>2,071</td>
<td>1,589</td>
<td>238</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffolds</td>
<td>4,599</td>
<td>2,759</td>
<td>200,129</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffolds with 1 marker</td>
<td>1,151</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>133</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffolds with 2 markers</td>
<td>999</td>
<td>787</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffolds with 3 markers</td>
<td>620</td>
<td>384</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Scaffolds with &gt;=4 markers</td>
<td>1,829</td>
<td>1,588</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bases</td>
<td>223,381,844 (57.9%)</td>
<td>169,187,619 (43.9%)</td>
<td>162,436,408 (42.1%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Recombination estimates

Recombination estimates were produced based on the consensus linkage map (LMB) and from LD data (LDB) derived from 25 randomly selected individuals from the SwAsp collection. The LMB recombination rate estimates varied between 1.605 cM/Mbp on chromosome 4 to 26.911 cM/Mbp on chromosome 11, while the LDB estimates varied between 1.969 cM/Mbp on chromosome 5 to 231.801 cM/Mbp on chromosome 1. The median estimated recombination rate in the LMB map was 16.0 cM/Mbp with a mean of 15.6 cM/Mbp, whereas the median recombination rate for the LDB map was 14.0 cM/Mbp with a mean of 16.1 cM/Mbp (Table S2, Figure S7). The majority of all recombination rate estimates (97%) for both maps fell in the range of 2 - 27 cM/Mb (Figure 2, Figure S8). There were 20 windows where the LDB estimates are 1.5-15-fold higher compared to the corresponding rates from the LMB estimates and 2-14-fold higher than the mean recombination rate estimate from the LDB map. 13 of these windows had recombination rates exceeding 27 cM/Mbp, while seven were within 2-27 cM/Mbp. Conversely, there were also 23 windows where the LDB estimates were 2-4 times lower than the corresponding LMB estimates (Figure 2, Figures S8 and S9).
Figure 2. Recombination rates and genomic features calculated in 1Mb windows across chromosome 1 and 5 with a step size of 250kb. A) Recombination rate estimated from the linkage map (cM/Mb) B) Recombination rate estimated from sequence LD data (cM/Mb) C) Nucleotide diversity (1/bp) D) Divergence (sites/Mb) E) Gene density (percentage coding/Mb) F) Repeat density (percentage repeats/Mb) G) CpG methylation (percentage/Mb)
Figure 3. Correlations between recombination rates and genomic features.

Correlation of recombination rate estimates, genetic correlates of recombination rate and model of recombination rate

The correlation between recombination rate estimates for the LMB and LDB maps was 0.478 (Spearman’s rank correlation) (Figure 3). This was the strongest positive correlation of all of the correlations calculated for both maps and strongest correlation overall for the LDB map. Correlations between the LMB and LDB recombination maps and neutral diversity were the second strongest positive correlations for both maps, 0.447 and 0.442 respectively. This
correlation was the second strongest overall for LDB map. Correlation with neutral diversity was also the only variable where there was no notable decrease in the correlation coefficient from the LMB to the LDB recombination maps (Figure 3).

For the LMB map we observed strong negative correlations with CHG methylation (-0.515), CHH methylation (-0.511) and CpG methylation (-0.505). For the LDB map the corresponding correlations were -0.379 (CHH), -0.371 (CHG) and -0.353 (CpG). Methylation levels were also strongly correlated with each other (0.918-0.984) and with repeat density (0.800-0.840). Repeat density was also moderately negatively correlated with recombination rate estimates from both the LMB (-0.408) and LDB maps (-0.291). Both recombination maps showed only weak correlations (-0.1<ρ<0.1) with either old or new neutral substitution densities (-0.06 - -0.1). Neutral substitution densities and neutral diversity showed only weak negative correlations (Figure 3). Overall, the LMB estimates displayed consistently stronger correlations with the different genomic features compared to the LDB estimates. This is in line with 5 % of the total variation being explained by a multiple regression model for the LDB recombination estimates compared to 35 % variation explained for the LMB estimates (Table 3).

Table 3. Multiple regression of recombination rate and various genomic features.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Linkage map-based recombination rates</th>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Estimate</th>
<th>Std. Error</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene density</td>
<td>0.225</td>
<td>0.037</td>
<td>6.12</td>
<td>1.3e-9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat density</td>
<td>-0.111</td>
<td>0.069</td>
<td>-1.60</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC content</td>
<td>-0.182</td>
<td>0.042</td>
<td>-4.37</td>
<td>1.36e-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GpG methylation</td>
<td>-0.360</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>-7.18</td>
<td>1.25e-12</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide diversity</td>
<td>0.140</td>
<td>0.034</td>
<td>4.07</td>
<td>5.0e-5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution density</td>
<td>-0.038</td>
<td>0.056</td>
<td>-0.685</td>
<td>0.493</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2 = 0.345$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Value 1</th>
<th>Value 2</th>
<th>Value 3</th>
<th>Value 4</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gene density</td>
<td>-0.009</td>
<td>0.031</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Repeat density</td>
<td>0.218</td>
<td>0.083</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>8.6e-3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GC content</td>
<td>0.111</td>
<td>0.050</td>
<td>2.22</td>
<td>0.027</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GpG methylation</td>
<td>-0.021</td>
<td>0.060</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>0.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleotide diversity</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>0.041</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>2.2e-5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Substitution density</td>
<td>-0.163</td>
<td>0.067</td>
<td>-2.47</td>
<td>0.015</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$R^2 = 0.054$

**Discussion**

*P. tremula* fine-scale genetic maps and physical assembly Potra v1.2

The genetic maps presented here are the most marker-dense maps produced for *P. tremula* to date. Our female map is only 20 cM larger than the male map, despite having 518 more informative markers (Table 1) and most chromosomes have size differences of less than 10 cM between sexes (Table 1, Figure S6). However, in cases where we observed differences between the maps for the two sexes exceeding 10 cM, the male map is shorter in all but one case. These results, together with the overall shorter linkage map for the male, could suggest overall lower recombination rates in males, in line with what has been observed in many other highly outcrossing plant species (Lenormand and Dutheil 2005). The high marker density in our framework genetic maps allow us to anchor 57.9 % of the *P. tremula* v1.1 genome assembly on to the expected 19 chromosomes, providing us with the first chromosome-scale assembly for *P. tremula* (Table 2).

The map length in the section *Populus*, to which *P. tremula* belongs (Wang et al. 2014), has previously been estimated to be 1,600-3,500 cM (e.g. Zhang et al. 2004, Paolucci et al. 2010, Zhigunov et al. 2017). The most relevant comparison for our purposes is the recently produced linkage maps in *P. tremula* by Zhigunov et al. (2017). Their map contains 2000 informative markers with an average marker distance of 1.5 cM that were observed in 122 progenies, resulting in a total map length of 3000-3100 cM. Our framework maps are much denser with ca. 12000-13000 informative markers (Table 1) and with an average...
distance of ~0.3 cM between markers. In addition, our map is based on a mapping population consisting of 764 progenies and we are hence able to achieve a far greater resolution in our maps. However, larger data sets, both with respect to the number of markers and the number of progenies used, increase the risk of genotyping errors. Genotyping errors will ultimately lead to an inflation of map sizes as errors can be interpreted as recombination events during map creation and this could help explain why our maps are roughly 1000 cM longer than those reported by Zhigunov et al. (2017), given that we use 5-7 -fold more markers and a mapping population that is six times larger.

On the other hand, our framework genetic maps are similar in size to the ca. 4200 cM and 3800 cM maps presented by Tong et al. (2016) for the more distantly related species *Populus deltoides* and *Populus simonii*, respectively. The large size of these maps led Tong et al. (2016) to suggest that their maps were suffering from inflation due to the difficulty of properly ordering a large number of markers within a linkage group. While we likely also suffer from such size inflation, these issues appear to be less severe in our *P. tremula* parental maps, which contain between 8-14 times the number of markers used in the *P. deltoides* (1601) and *P. simonii* (940) maps and yet yield linkage maps of similar size. One explanation for this is our considerably larger mapping population compared to the *P. deltoides* and *P. simonii* maps (299 progenies). A greater number of segregating progenies helps mitigate the problems of ordering a larger number of markers by increasing resolution of recombination detection.

**Recombination rate estimates**

Recombination rates estimated from both the consensus linkage map and from polymorphism data showed substantial variation across all chromosomes on Mb scales (Figure 2). For the consensus genetic map-based estimates and LD-based estimates, the majority of our observations fell in the range 0-27 cM/Mb (Figure 2, Figure S8) which is similar to what has
been observed in other plants such as *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Giraut et al. 2011), *Populus trichocarpa* (Slavov et al. 2012) and *Eucalyptus grandis* (Silva-Junior and Grattapaglia 2015), where recombination rates across chromosomes mostly fall within 0-25 cM/Mb.

We observed a small number of genomic windows where the LDB recombination rates were either 1.5-15-fold higher or lower than the corresponding estimates based on the consensus genetic map (Figure 2, Figure S8). While we do not know for certain what causes these large differences in recombination rates, a possible explanation could be that such windows harbor recombination hotspots or coldspots that the comparatively coarse linkage map fails to detect. Recombination hotspots, with local recombination rates 10 to 100-fold higher than the genome-wide average, have been observed in a number of species, including *Drosophila melanogaster* (Chan et al. 2012), *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Kim et al. 2007), *Zea mays* (He and Dooner 2009), *Oryza sativa* (Si et al. 2015) and *Eucalyptus grandis* (Silva-Junior and Grattapaglia 2015). Similarly, coldspots have been identified in *Zea mays* (He and Dooner 2009) and *Oryza sativa* (Si et al. 2015) among others. Hotspots or coldspots for recombination are, however, often quite restricted in size (Choi and Henderson 2015), spanning only a few kb, and the relatively coarse recombination maps produced here are consequently not suitable for accurate detection of such regions.

The average recombination rate in *P. tremula* is 2-27 times higher than those found in a number of, mostly domesticated, plant and animal species (reviewed in Henderson (2012) and Tiley and Burleigh (2015)), suggesting that *P. tremula* exhibits recombination rates that are among the highest recorded in the animal and plant kingdoms. Of the species covered in these reviews, *P. trichocarpa* (Slavov et al. 2012) makes for the most interesting comparison since it is one of the few undomesticated species listed, is closely related to *P. tremula* and has previously been compared with *P. tremula* (Wang et al. 2016). Despite the close relationship, the average recombination rate in *P. trichocarpa* (Slavov et al. 2012) is less than
a third of what we estimated for *P. tremula*. Similar observations were previously made by Wang et al. (2016) who found that population-based recombination rates in *P. trichocarpa* were on average only a quarter of the corresponding values in *P. tremula*. Wang et al. (2016) argued that the differences they observed in recombination rates between *P. tremula* and *P. trichocarpa* could at least partly stem from differences in the effective population size (*N_e*) of two species (Wang et al. 2016). In light of this, it would be interesting to perform further comparisons of recombination rates in *P. tremula* with other *Populus* species that have wide distribution ranges and large *N_e*, such as *P. deltoides* (Tong et al. 2016) or *P. tremuloides* (Wang et al. 2016).

**Correlations between recombination rate and genomic features**

Recombination rate estimates from the consensus linkage map and from polymorphism data showed a moderately strong positive correlation (>0.4) (Figure 3). A similar correlation between linkage map and LD-based estimates of recombination was also been observed in house mouse by Booker et al. (2017), suggesting that LDB recombination rate estimates are reliable substitutes for genetic map-based recombination rate estimates.

We observed a strong positive correlation between recombination rate and gene density (0.45 and 0.41 respectively) (Figure 3). This is in line with earlier observations in plants (Tiley and Burleigh 2015, Stapley et al. 2017) and implies that recombination may be linked to gene-dense regions through a higher recruitment of the recombination machinery to euchromatic genome regions. Preferential recruitment of recombination to euchromatic genome regions has also been put forward as an explanation for why recombination rates across plants generally show stronger correlations with gene density compared to genome size (Henderson 2012, Tiley and Burleigh 2015). Studies in plants like *Arabidopsis thaliana* and *Oryza sativa* have shown that while crossover events are enriched in genic regions, they
mostly occur in promoters a few hundred bps upstream of the transcription start site or downstream of the transcription termination site (Choi et al. 2013, Marand et al. 2019).

We observed negative correlations between local recombination rates and both repeat density and methylation (Figure 3), in line with earlier results that highlighted the role of chromatin features in establishing crossover locations in plants (Choi et al. 2013, Marand et al. 2019). For instance, Choi et al. (2013) showed that methylation is lower at observed sites of crossovers and Rodgers-Melnick et al. (2015) showed that cross-over density in *Zea mays* is negatively correlated with repeats and CpG methylation. All methylation contexts were highly correlated in our data and also strongly correlated with repeat density (≥0.8, Figure 3), in line with the observation that most repetitive elements in plant genomes are strongly methylated (Saze and Kakutani 2011).

Compared to earlier results from *P. tremula*, we observed a weaker correlation between recombination rates and gene density (Wang et al. 2016). One possible reason for this is likely to be the reference genome used. Wang et al. (2016) based their analyses on the *P. trichocarpa* reference genome whereas our analyses were based on a de novo assembly for *P. tremula*. The *P. trichocarpa* assembly, while more contiguous than our current *P. tremula* assembly, is less ideal for these types of analyses since divergence between the two species leads to substantially reduced rates of read mapping primarily in intergenic regions (Lin et al. 2018). Our current assembly, while only representing 55 % of the expected genome size of *P. tremula*, likely offers a more unbiased set of genomic regions where we are able to call genetic variants. In contrast, the data derived from using the *P. trichocarpa* reference genome likely suffers from under-representations of repeat-rich regions and other intergenic regions (Wang et al. 2016).

GC-content was positively correlated with both our recombination rate estimates, similar to what has been observed in humans (*Homo sapiens*) (e.g. Fullerton et al. 2001) and
Arabidopsis thaliana (Kim et al. 2007) among others. However, when GC-content was included in a multiple regression model with other genomic features, the direct effect of GC content was actually negative for the LMB recombination rate (Table 3). GC-content is strongly correlated with gene density (0.50) in P. tremula, and gene density is in turn also strongly positively correlated with recombination (Figure 3). The strand separation needed in the strand invasion of meiotic recombination is harder to achieve in areas with high GC-content due to higher annealing energy and can explain why GC-content has a direct negative effect on recombination rates when effects of gene density are accounted for (Table 3, e.g. Mandel and Marmur 1968).

Effects of linked selection on patterns of nucleotide diversity in P. tremula

Both of our recombination rate estimates were strongly correlated with nucleotide diversity at putatively neutral sites (Figure 3). A positive correlation between local recombination rate and nucleotide polymorphism is usually interpreted as a signature of ubiquitous natural selection acting either through positive (hitchhiking) or negative (background) selection (Begun and Aquadro 1992). Alternatively, such a correlation could also arise if recombination itself is mutagenic (Begun and Aquadro 1992). However, if recombination is mutagenic one also expects to see a correlation between recombination and sequence and divergence at neutral sites (Begun and Aquadro 1992). Our data show little evidence supporting the idea that recombination has a direct mutagenic effect as we observed only a weak and negative correlation between local recombination rates and substitutions at putatively neutral sites (Figure 3). In light of this, and in line with earlier results, we observed that linked selection has pervasive effects on neutral diversity across the P. tremula genome (Ingvarsson 2010, Wang et al. 2016).
Conclusions
Our high-density *Populus tremula* genetic maps and the new chromosome-scale genome assembly we present here provide a valuable resource not only for *P. tremula*, but also for comparative genomics studies within the entire *Populus* genus. We have also presented multiple lines of evidence to support the utility of using LD-based estimates of recombination rates as a proxy for genetic map-based estimates. Estimates of recombination rates derived from the two different approaches were in broad agreement and correlations between the two recombination rate estimates and various genomic features were in broad agreement between the two methods. Booker et al. (2017) and Chan et al. (2012) reported similar results in *Mus musculus* and *Drosophila melanogaster*, and our results suggest that LD-based estimates of recombination are also largely applicable to plants. Our results also suggest that LD-based estimates might be especially useful for identifying fine scale recombination variation and features such as recombination hot- or cold-spots by relying on the relatively high density of SNPs within genomes. Finally, we have further verified and extended the observation that linked selection is an important force shaping genome-wide variation in *P. tremula* by showing that the positive correlation between local recombination rates and nucleotide diversity and neutral sites is robust even when factoring in the effects of other genomic features. Although a positive correlation between recombination and diversity is a hallmark signature of linked selection, the pattern can be established by either positive or negative selection. We have earlier documented evidence for both a reduction in levels of standing variation due to recurrent hitchhiking (Ingvarsson 2010) and a reduction in the efficacy of purifying selection at eliminating weakly deleterious in regions of low recombination (Wang et al. 2016). More work is thus needed to assess the relative importance of positive and negative selection in shaping genome-wide variation in *P. tremula*. 
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