Bundle-sheath cells: are leaf "water valves" controlled by their H\(^{+}\)-ATPase: “open” by xylem acidification, “closed” by xylem alkalinization
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Abstract

The leaf vascular bundle sheath cells (BSCs) that tightly envelop the leaf veins, are a selective and dynamic barrier to xylem-sap water and solutes radially entering the mesophyll cells. Under normal conditions, xylem-sap pH of <6 is presumably important for driving and regulating the transmembranal solute transport. Having discovered recently a differentially high expression of a BSC proton pump, AHA2, we now test the hypothesis that it regulates this pH and leaf radial water fluxes.

We monitored the xylem-sap pH using the ratiometric fluorescent probe FITC-dextran fed into veins of detached leaves of WT Arabidopsis, AHA mutants, and aha2 mutants complemented with AHA2 gene solely in BSCs. We tested an AHA inhibitor and stimulator, and different pH buffers. We monitored their impact on the xylem-sap pH, the whole leaf hydraulic conductance (K_leaf) and the water osmotic permeability of isolated BSCs protoplasts (P_f).

Our results demonstrated AHA2 indispensability for xylem-sap acidification, necessary, in turn, for elevating P_f and K_leaf. Conversely, elevating xylem-sap pH to 7.5, reduced significantly both P_f and K_leaf.

All these demonstrate a causative link between AHA2 activity in BSCs and leaf water influx. This positions the BSCs as a pH-controlled transpiration valve in series with the stomata.
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Introduction

The majority (95%) of nutrients and water which enter the plant through the roots move upward in a bulk flow via xylem vessels all the way into the leaf veins (Taiz and Zeiger, 2014). On its way out of the leaf xylem and into the leaf photosynthetic tissue, the mesophyll, this transpiration stream crosses a layer of parenchymatous cells (bundle sheath cells, BSCs) which tightly enwrap the entire vasculature (Kinsman and Pyke, 1998). BSCs have been shown to act as a selective barrier between the vein and the mesophyll. In a banana leaf, for example, they impeded the transport of sodium (Shapira et al., 2009) and of boron (Shapira et al., 2013). The transport of boron was also impeded in the leaves of Thelungiella (Lamdan et al., 2012) and of Arabidopsis (Shatil-Cohen and Moshelion, 2012). Importantly, the BSCs act also as a barrier to the passage of water (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011; Pantin et al., 2013). However, it is still not clear (Geilfus, 2017) whether and how the apoplastic (xylem) milieu modulates the “barrier behavior” of the bundle sheath, and in particular, whether this behavior depends on the xylem sap pH.

Some direct determinations of leaf xylem pH placed it at 6.3-6.7 in cotton leaves (Hartung et al., 1988), or at 5.3 in sunflower leaves (Jia and Davies, 2007) but most frequently, under normal growth conditions the leaf apoplast pH is around 5.5-6 (reviewed by Grignon and Sentenac, 1991; Geilfus, 2017). The leaf xylem sap pH can change in response to changes in external conditions experienced by the root. For example, the tomato leaf xylem sap alkalinized when roots were supplied with nitrate (Jia and Davies, 2007). Barley leaf sub-stomatal apoplast also alkalinized as a response to salts (KCl, NaCl, NaNO₃) added to the roots (Felle et al., 2005). Drying soil in tomato (Jia and Davies, 2007) and in Vicia faba (Karuppanapandian et al., 2017) or cold stress at the root level in barley (Felle et al., 2005) also caused alkalization (measured, respectively in the tomato xylem sap, in the V. faba xylem sap and leaf apoplast, and in the barley sub-stomatal apoplast). Finally, alkalization of the barley leaf sub-stomatal...
apoplast was brought about also by applying ABA (considered not only a drought-stress hormone, but also shown to mediate cold stress (Huang et al., 2017)) both to barley roots and to detached leaves (Felle et al., 2005).

In turn, changes in the leaf xylem sap pH regulate physiological processes. Feeding high-pH solutions to detached leaves reduced stomatal conductance and transpiration both in Commelina communis and in tomato (Wilkinson and Davies, 1997; Wilkinson et al., 1998; Jia and Davies, 2007). As pH defines the dissociation state of weak acids, among them the major phytohormones abscisic acid (ABA) and indol acetic acid (IAA, auxin), changes in pH would affect both their distribution between the apoplast and the cellular compartments of the plant, and hence, their biological activities. For example, ABA accumulated in the alkalinizing xylem sap of a gradually pressure-dehydrated detached cotton leaf, which could be prevented by a pretreatment with an H+-ATPase-activating fungal toxin, fusicoccin (Hartung et al., 1988). Leaf xylem alkalinization in Vicia faba as a result of gradually drying soil was followed by elevated ABA levels in the xylem (even if with a few days delay; Karuppanapandian et al., 2016).

Already widely-accepted is the crucial role of apoplastic protons in the proton-motive force governing transmembrane transport (Serrano, 1988, Haruta et al., 2012, Taiz and Zeiger, 2014), and, therefore, by extrapolation, the role of xylem sap pH – in driving the transport between the xylem and the surrounding BSCs (Shapira et al., 2009). Xylem pH may have also regulatory effects on the transport proteins in the BSCs membrane, including aquaporins. Surprisingly, however, even though aquaporins largely determine the osmotic membrane water permeability coefficient, P_{f} (see also Discussion), and while P_{f}, particularly the P_{f} of the BSCs, may well be important in determining the hydraulic conductance (K_{leaf}) of the entire leaf (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011; Sade et al., 2014), the effect of extracellular pH (or, rather, the lack thereof) has been mentioned only in passing in relation to the P_{f} of plasma membrane vesicles of Beta vulgaris storage root (Alleva et al., 2006) and was ignored in relation to tobacco aquaporins (NtPIP2;1 and NtPIP1) expressed in yeast (Fischer and Kaldenhoff, 2008). Thus, the effect of apoplastic
pH on P_i in other plant cells, and especially the effect of xylem sap pH on the BSCs P_i, is unknown. Furthermore, in spite of the importance of apoplast pH regulation of to the plant basic life processes (Haruta and Sussman, 2012), molecular evidence linking the leaf xylem sap regulation to a specific H^+-ATPase and to the ensuing physiological changes in the leaf is missing.

H^+-ATPases constitute a family of proton pumps driven by hydrolysis of ATP and are found in the plasma membrane of plants and fungi (Axelsen and Palmgren, 2001). 11 H^+-ATPases isoforms have been reported in Arabidopsis; among them, the AHA1 and AHA2 are by far the most abundantly expressed members of this family throughout plant life and tissues (Haruta et al., 2010). Both AHA2 (Wang et al., 2014) and AHA1 (Yamauchi et al., 2016) were found to take part in stomatal opening. In addition, the expression of a GUS reporter gene in Arabidopsis, under the AHA2 promoter, revealed abundant expression specifically in roots and leaves, and especially in the vascular tissue (Fuglsang et al., 2007). Our transcriptome analysis of protoplasts isolated from Arabidopsis BSCs and mesophyll cells (MCs) showed that the BSCs express the AHA2 gene abundantly and at a threefold higher level than the MCs, while the AHA1 gene expression, though also abundant, was not different between these two cell types (the other 9 AHA isoforms were expressed at much lower levels in both cell types, Wigoda et al., 2017, as described generally for the whole plant, Haruta et al., 2010).

Here we show how the BSCs act as a dynamic barrier for water flow between the xylem and mesophyll; we demonstrate a causative link between the activity of the BSCs AHA2 and the hydraulic conductance of the leaf and reveal that its underlying mechanism is the pH-controlled osmotic water permeability of the BSCs membranes.

Materials and Methods

Plant material

Plant types. We used WT (wild type) Arabidopsis thaliana plants ecotype Colombia, Col-0 (aka Col) and T-DNA insertion AHA mutants (Col): AHA1 mutants: aha1-6 (SALK_ 016325), aha1-7 (SALK_ 065288) and aha1-8 (SALK_ 118350), and AHA2
mutants; aha2-4 (SALK_082786) and aha2-5 (SALK_022010) obtained from the Arabidopsis Biological Resource Center (Ohio State University). The plants’ genotypes were determined by PCR with allele-specific primers (supplemental Table S1) and then the single-gene mutants were confirmed for the near-absence of AHA2 (or AHA1) RNA using real time PCR (RT-PCR). For single-cell experiments, we used SCR:GFP (Col) Arabidopsis plants expressing GFP specifically in the BSCs ER generated in our lab and described in detail by Attia et al. (2018).

**Plant Growth Conditions.** All plants were grown in soil as described earlier (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011). Plants were kept in a growth chamber under short-day conditions (10-h light) and a controlled temperature of 20–22°C, with 70% humidity. Light intensity at the plant height was 150-200 μmol m⁻² sec⁻¹ (an optimal level based on (Wu et al., 2009), achieved using either fluorescent lights (Osram cool white, T5 FH 35W/840 HE, Germany) or LED light (EnerLED 24V-5630, 24W/m, 3000K (50%)/6000K(50%)). The plants were irrigated twice a week.

**Determination of xylem sap pH in detached leaves**

**Leaf perfusion.** Leaves from 6-7 week old plants, approximately 2.5 cm long and 1 cm wide, were excised at the petiole base using a sharp blade and immediately dipped in “xylem perfusion solution” (XPS, see Solutions below), in 0.5 ml Eppendorf tubes for 30 minutes. Perfusion experiments using Safranin O (Sigma cat. #: S2255; 1% w/v in XPS) demonstrated that 30 minutes incubation sufficed for the whole leaf perfusion via the petiole by means of the transpiration stream (Fig. S1). All experiments were conducted between 1-4 hours from Lights On.

**Sample preparation on the microscope stage.** Immediately after the leaf xylem perfusion, the leaf was washed with that leaf’s intended perfusate (the specific XPS to be tested) without a dye, laid on a microscope slide abaxial side up, and a drop of XPS (without the dye) was placed on top. Then, a thin layer of silicone high vacuum grease (Merck cat. #: 1.07921.0100) was applied around the leaf edge and a large coverslip (50...
by 20 mm, larger than the leaf) was placed on the leaf and affixed to the slide beneath. The minor veins on the abaxial side were imaged via the coverslip.

**Fluorescence microscopy** was performed using an inverted microscope (Olympus-IX8 integrated within the Cell-R system, [http://www.olympus-global.com](http://www.olympus-global.com)), via an UPlanSApo 10X/0.40 (∞ /0.17/ FN26.5) objective. The leaf xylem pH was determined using the ratiometric (dual-excitation) membrane-impermeant fluorescent dye fluorescein isothiocyanate conjugated to 10 kD dextran (FITC-D; [Hoffmann and Kosegarten, 1995; Mühling et al., 1995; Pitann et al., 2009a; Pitann et al., 2009b]) dissolved in the solution perfused into the detached leaf. Pairs of images were recorded at a single emission wavelength (520 nm) and the two excitation wavelengths (488 and 450 nm, applied approx. 200 ms apart; [Hoffmann and Kosegarten, 1995; Mühling et al., 1995]), using a 12-bit CCD camera, Orca-AG (Hamamatsu, [http://www.hamamatsu.com](http://www.hamamatsu.com)), and saved in a linear 16 bit tiff format for further processing.

In all fluorescence microscopy experiments, each treatment was performed on at least five leaves, each from a different plant, on three days (all together, five biological repetitions in three independent experiments per treatment), alternating randomly among different treatments. For each leaf, paired images of a minor vein were obtained from four to six different areas (four-six technical repetitions per a biological repeat). For background values, leaves were perfused with experimental solutions without the dye; one leaf was sampled per treatment or plant type on each day of an experiment (all together, at least three biological repetitions per treatment or plant type, a total of 20 leaves, with three technical repetitions per each biological repeat).

**Image analysis.** The images were processed with ImageJ (ver. 1.49V; [http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij](http://rsbweb.nih.gov/ij)). A pixel by pixel ratio of the two images in each pair was calculated using the ImageJ ‘Ratio-plus’ plugin (Paulo J. Magalhães, 2004), after subtracting from each pixel’s fluorescence the average background fluorescence at the corresponding excitation wavelength. Further analysis was performed on selected pixels, based on the image resulting from excitation at 488 nm: only pixels with fluorescence values below the camera saturation level (<4095) but at least 3 fold brighter than the
mean background value were selected (areas enclosed within yellow lines in the supplemental Fig. S2C).

**pH calibration curve.** An *in-vivo* pH calibration curve was constructed using XPS buffered to predefined pH values (5, 5.5, 6, 6.5, 7 and 7.5; see Solutions below). This calibration curve served to convert the mean fluorescence ratio obtained from a minor vein segment to a pH value for each leaf (biological repeat). Occasionally, we verified the system stability using an *in-vitro* calibration curve derived from imaging drops of the calibration solutions placed on microscope slides rather than fed into leaf veins. Also, changing the composition of the buffers (MES and HEPES) in the XPS had no effect on the calibration curve.

**Generation of SCR:AHA2-complemented plants**

*Vector construction:* *AHA2* gene was cloned into pDONR™ 221 (Invitrogen) vector and the SCR promoter into pDONR4P4P1r using Gateway® compatible by BP reactions, and later cloned into the pB7M24GW (Invitrogen) two fragment binary vector by LR reaction according to the manufacturer’s instructions. The binary *SCR:AHA2* vector was transformed into Agrobacterium by electroporation; transformants were selected on LB plates containing 25 µg/mL gentamycin and 50 µg/mL spectinomycin.

*aHA2-4 and aHA2-5 mutant lines transformation* with *SCR:AHA2:* was performed using the floral dip method (Clough and Bent, 1998). Transformants were selected based on their BASTA resistance, grown on plates with MS (Murashige and Skoog, Duchefa cat# M222.0050) Basal medium + 1 % sucrose and 20 µg/ml BASTA (Glufosinate Ammonium, Sigma cat # 45520). DNA insertion was verified in selected lines by PCR targeting the junction of *AHA2* and the 35S terminator with forward primer about 1000bp from the 3’ end of AHA2 and reverse primer on the 35S terminator (see primer list in supplemental Table S1).

**AHA2 gene expression in the whole leaf by qRT-PCR**

*RNA extraction and quantitative real-time (qRT-) PCR.* Total RNA was extracted from leaves using Tri-Reagent (Molecular Research Center, cat. #: TR 118) and treated with RNase-free DNase (Thermo Scientific™, cat. #: EN0525). Complementary DNA
(cDNA) was prepared using the EZ-First Strand cDNA synthesis kit (Biological Industries cat. #: 2080050) according to the manufacturer’s instructions. qRT-PCR was performed using C1000 Thermal Cycler (Bio-Rad), in the presence of EvaGreen (BIORAD cat.# 172-5204) and PCR primers to amplify specific regions of the genome (Haruta et al., 2010; suppl. Table S1). The results were analyzed using Bio Rad CFX manager™ software. Dissociation curve analysis was performed at the end of each qRT-PCR reaction to validate the presence of a single reaction product and lack of primer dimerization. Expression levels of examined genes were normalized using two normalizing genes (AT5G12240 and AT2G07734, Wigoda et al., 2017).

Physiological characterization of the leaf (gas exchange and hydraulic conductance, \(K_{\text{leaf}}\))

Gas-exchange assays, i.e., \(g_s\) (stomatal conductance) and \(E\) (transpiration) were performed (as in Sade et al., 2014) using a Li-Cor 6400 portable gas-exchange system (LI-COR, USA https://www.licor.com/) equipped with a standard leaf cuvette with an aperture diameter of two cm², appropriate for Arabidopsis leaves using light intensity similar to the growth chamber conditions (illumination: 200 \(\mu\)mol m\(^{-2}\) s\(^{-1}\); the amount of blue light was set to 10% of the photosynthetically active photon flux density, approximately 24 °C, the VPD: approximately 1.3 kPa, and \([CO_2]\) surrounding the leaf: 400 \(\mu\)mol mol\(^{-1}\)). Readings were recorded at a steady state, 3-5 min after the leaf was clamped in the Li-Cor chamber.

Measuring the leaf water potential, \(\Psi_{\text{leaf}}\). Immediately following the gas exchange measurement, the leaf was transferred to a pressure chamber (ARIMAD-3000; MRC Israel) equipped with a home-made silicon adaptor especially designed to fit Arabidopsis petioles into the chamber’s O-ring. \(\Psi_{\text{leaf}}\) was determined as described earlier (Sade et al., 2014).

Determination of the leaf hydraulic conductance, \(K_{\text{leaf}}\). \(K_{\text{leaf}}\) was calculated for each individual leaf as follows (Martre et al., 2000):

\[
\text{Eq. 1:} \quad K_{\text{leaf}} = \frac{E}{(\Psi_{\text{Leaf}} - \Psi_{\text{XPS}})} \approx \frac{E}{\Psi_{\text{Leaf}}},
\]
where $E$ is the whole-leaf’s transpiration, i.e., the water flux, and $\Psi_{\text{Leaf}}$ is the leaf water potential and $\Psi_{\text{XPS}}$ is the water potential of XPS (or of the XPS$^{\text{db}}$); as $\Psi_{\text{XPS}}$ is nearly null, the leaf water potential value alone was used.

**Sample preparation.** In all $K_{\text{leaf}}$ determinations, we followed our previous protocols (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011; Sade et al., 2014; Sade et al., 2015) with a necessary adaptation in the present work (below) due to an approx. three-fold increase in the growth chamber light intensity (to 150-200 $\mu$mol m$^{-2}$ sec$^{-1}$; (Wu et al., 2009)), which resulted in increased stomatal conductance and transpiration. Under these new conditions, the leaves excised for measurements (with petioles dipped in the different solutions) usually lost the turgor and collapsed within 20-30 minutes after Lights On (Suppl. Fig S3), making physiological measurements challenging. Therefore, to preserve their turgidity until measurements, the leaves (with their Eppendorf vials) were placed in “humidity boxes” i.e. sealed, 25 x 25 x 15 cm plastic transparent plastic boxes with damp tissue paper on the bottom (to provide ~80-90% humidity) and kept for 1-4 h in the growth room under the regular light and temperature conditions. 5 minutes prior to the measurements, the leaves were exposed to the ambient vapor pressure deficit (VPD) of 1.3-1.5 kPa outside the boxes, still under light. The measurements were conducted between 10:00 AM to 1:00 PM (1-4 hours after Lights On). The physiological relevance of this protocol was validated in preliminary assays (Suppl. Fig. S4), which agreed with the results of Scoffoni et al, 2018 for well hydrated Arabidopsis leaves.

For experiments of Suppl Figs. S5A, 5C, 5E, the leaves were excised in the evening preceding the measurements, in the light, shortly before the “Lights Off” transition, and placed in a humidity box which was placed, in turn, in another, lightproof box for the duration of the night. Measurements were conducted in the morning, between 10:00 AM and 13:00 PM, after 20 min of illumination in the growth chamber.

For experiments of Suppl. Figs. S5B, S5D, S5F, leaves were excised on the morning of the experiment, in the dark, about 10 min before the regular “Lights On” transition.

**Protoplast isolation**
Protoplasts were isolated from 6- to 8-week-old plants using the rapid method (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2014) using an isotonic solution in the extraction process (pH 6, 600 mOsmol, see Solutions below).

**Osmotic water permeability coefficient (Pf) measurements**

Pf was determined as described by Shatil-Cohen et al. (2014), except here we used an inverted epifluorescent microscope (Nikon eclipse TS100) with a 20x/NA 0.40 objective (Nikon) and a CCD 12 bit camera Manta G-235B (https://www.alliedvision.com), and an image-processing software AcquireControl® v5.0.0 (https://www.alliedvision.com). We recorded the BSCs swelling in response to hypo-osmotic challenge (of 0.37 MPa) generated by changing the bath solutions from isotonic (600 mOsm) to a hypotonic one (450 mOsm, ibid.). The challenges were performed at pH 6 and at pH 7.5. The osmolarity of these solutions (variants of the XPSdb; see Solutions) was adjusted with the appropriate amounts of D-sorbitol and was verified within 1% of the target value using a vapour pressure osmometer (Wescor). Pf was determined from the initial rate of the cell volume increase using a numerical approach, in an offline curve-fitting procedure of the PfFit program, as described in Shatil-Cohen et al., (2014) and detailed in Moshelion et al., (2004). We present here the values of the initial Pf (Pfi) obtained by fitting model 5 (ibid.).

**Solutions**

*FITC-D dye* (Sigma cat. #: FD10S) was added from a 10 mM stock in water (kept aliquoted, protected from light, at -20 °C) to all the XPS solutions (except in the leaf-tissue-autofluorescence assays) to a final conc. of 100 μM.

*XPS, basal Xylem Perfusion Solution*: 1 mM KCl, 0.3 mM CaCl₂ and 20 mM D-sorbitol (Sigma cat# 8143). Upon preparation, when unbuffered, the pH of this solution was 5.6 - 5.8 and its osmolarity was approx. 23 mOsm/L.

*Low-K⁺ XPS*: the same as XPS above.

*High-K⁺ XPS*: XPS + 10 mM KNO₃ and D-sorbitol adjustment to approx. 23 mOsm/L. Upon preparation, when unbuffered, the pH of this solution was 5.6 - 5.8.
**XPS** (pH calibration solutions): XPS buffered with 20 mM MES and HCl or NMG to pH 5, 5.5, or 6, or with 20 mM HEPES and NMG to pH 6.5, 7, or 7.5. Osmolarity of these solutions was adjusted with D-sorbitol to 23 mOsm/L.

**XPSdb**: High-K+ XPS buffered with 10 mM MES and 10 mM HEPES and adjusted to pH 4.8, 6 or 7.5 with HCl or N-Methyl D-glucamine (NMG). These solutions were adjusted with D-sorbitol to a final osmolarity of 40 mOsm/L.

**AXS**: 3 mM KNO₃, 1 mM Ca(NO₃)₂, 1 mM MgSO₄, 3 mM CaCl₂, 0.25 mM NaH₂PO₄, 90 µM EDFC and a micro-nutrient mix of 0.0025 µM CuSO₄, 0.0025 µM H₂MoO₄, 0.01 µM MnSO₄, 0.25 µM KCl, 0.125 µM H₃BO₃, 0.01 µM ZnSO₄; 21 mosmol; pH of the unbuffered solution was 5.8.

**Solutions for leaf physiological characterization**: Non-buffered High-K+ XPS (as above) was used for Figure 4A (and Suppl. Figs. S7A, S7C, S7E). AXS was used for fig 4B. XPSdb was used for Fig. 4C (and Suppl. Figs. S7B, S7D, S7F).

**Solutions for Pᵢ determination**: pH 6 solutions: XPSdb adjusted to pH 6 by NMG, and adjusted with D-sorbitol to either 450 mOsmol (hypotonic) or 600 mOsmol (isotonic); pH 7.5 solutions: XPSdb adjusted to pH 7.5 by NMG, and adjusted with D-sorbitol to either 450 mOsmol (hypotonic) or 600 mOsmol (isotonic).

**Solution for protoplasts isolation**: pH 6 isotonic solution as for Pᵢ determination.
Statistics
The Student’s unpaired two-tailed t-test was used for comparison of two means, which were considered to be significantly different at P < 0.05. For comparisons of three or more population means we used ANOVA, all-pairs Tuckey HSD (JMP® Pro 13), wherein different letters represent statistical differences at P<0.05. Images which yielded extreme ratio values which were more than 2.5 SD away from the mean were discarded.

Results
The effect of pharmacological agents – a pump stimulator and an inhibitor – on xylem pH
We used the fluorescence of FITC-dextran (10 kDa) perfused via petioles into detached WT Arabidopsis leaves to monitor the pH within the leaf minor veins (Materials and methods, Suppl. Figs S1-S2). When 10 µM fusicoccin (a fungal stimulator of P-type proton pumps, Serrano, 1988) was fed into the xylem, we observed a sharp decrease in xylem sap pH of about two pH units compared with leaves in control conditions (Figs. 1Ai, 1Aii, 1B) approximately 30 minutes after addition of fusicoccin. ETOH, the fusicoccin solvent, by itself, had no impact on xylem pH (Suppl. Fig. S6).

Further, WT leaves treated with 1 mM of vanadate (a commonly used P-type H+-pump inhibitor (reviewed by Palmgren, 2001), in a high-K+ solution (10 mM KNO3), resulted in xylem sap alkalization of about one pH unit within 30-40 min (Figs. 1Aiii, 1Aiv, 1C). Interestingly, neither a similar exposure to vanadate in the low-K+ solution (XPS without added KNO3, suppl. Fig.S4A), nor the high-K+ solution by itself (Suppl. Fig. S7B) had any effect on the xylem sap pH.

AHA2 acidifies the xylem pH
In an attempt to resolve between the relative contributions of the two abundant H+-ATPAses of the BSCs, AHA1 and AHA2 (Wigoda et al., 2017), to the acidification of the xylem pH, we compared the xylem sap pH of WT plants to that in T-DNA-insertion
mutants of either pump. We used two independent mutant lines with a homozygous loss of function of the AHA2 gene (aha2-4 and aha2-5) and three such lines with mutated AHA1 (aha1-6, aha1-7, aha1-8). The xylem sap pH of both AHA2 mutants, aha2-4 and aha2-5, was consistently higher, by 0.5-1 pH units, compared to the WT plants (Fig. 2A). In contrast, there was no significant difference between the xylem sap pH in WT vs. the three lines of AHA1 mutants (Suppl. Fig. S8).

To further test the ability of AHA2 to acidify the xylem sap pH, we complemented the AHA2 deficient plants (the aha2-4 mutant), with the AHA2 gene directed specifically to the BSCs (under the BSCs-specific promoter Scarecrow, SCR; Wysocka-Diller et al., 2000). Using qRT-PCR on whole leaves of mature transgenic plants, we confirmed AHA2 absence in the aha2-4 mutant (Fig. 3A, as in Haruta et al., 2010), and demonstrated successful complementation in two lines (T55 and T56). The transcript level of AHA2 in these lines was even higher than in the WT (Fig. 3A). While the xylem sap pH in the AHA2- mutant, aha2-4, was significantly more alkaline than in WT plants (repeating the results of Fig. 2A above), upon the complementation of the aha2-4 mutant with the BSC-directed AHA2, the xylem sap pH in both lines became no higher than WT (Fig 3B). The level of AHA1 transcript in the whole leaf was not affected by the genetic manipulations of AHA2, neither by AHA2 mutation (as in Haruta et al., 2010), nor by the BSC-specific mutant complementation with AHA2 (Fig. 6).

**Elevating pH lowers the leaf hydraulic conductance, K\text{leaf}**

To examine the impact of AHA2 on the water economy of the whole leaf we determined the leaf water potential (\(\Psi_{\text{leaf}}\)) and its transpiration (E), and from these two we calculated the leaf hydraulic conductance (\(K_{\text{leaf}}\), Eq. 1 in Materials and methods). We compared the \(K_{\text{leaf}}\) in detached leaves of WT and of the aha2-4 and aha2-5 mutants fed with unbuffered XPS. Notably, the \(K_{\text{leaf}}\) of the mutants was appreciably lower than that of WT leaves, about 50 % of WT in aha2-4 and about 30 % in aha2-5 (Fig. 4A), although all three leaf types transpired at a similar rate (Suppl. Figs. S5A and S5E).
We then compared the \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of WT, and aha2-4 complemented with the BSCs-directed \textit{AHA2} (i.e., \textit{AHA2} under the Scarecrow promoter; line T56, which presented the lowest xylem pH of all genetic lines; Fig. 3B). \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of the aha2-4 was again about 50% lower than of the WT but \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of the AHA2-complemented T56 line became no different from \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of the WT (Fig. 4B). Furthermore, \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of WT leaves perfused with XPS\textsubscript{db} buffered at pH 7.5 was lower by over 50% compared to WT leaves perfused with XPS\textsubscript{db} buffered at pH 6 (Fig. 4C), while \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) of leaves perfused with the more acidic pH 4.8 was not changed compared to the “normal” pH 6 (Fig. 4C). Notably, under neither of these three pH treatments was there a difference in the transpiration rate (Suppl. Figs. S5B and S5F).

**Alkaline pH lowers the osmotic water permeability coefficient of BSCs protoplast membrane, \( P_f \)**

In order to test the hypothesis that the pH-dependent reduction in \( K_{\text{leaf}} \) is due to a reduction in the water permeability of the BSCs membranes, we measured the osmotic water permeability coefficient, \( P_f \), of BSC under two pH treatments, using GFP labeled BSCs, from SCR:GFP plants. The mean initial \( P_f \) (see Materials and methods) of BSCs treated with pH 6 was ~8.5 fold higher than that of BSCs treated with pH 7.5. (5.39 ± 1 \( \mu m \sec^{-1} \) and 0.63 ± 0.2 \( \mu m \sec^{-1} \), respectively, Fig. 5).

**Discussion**

**The AHA2 of the BSCs is indispensable for the leaf xylem sap acidification**

\( \text{H}^{+} \)-ATPases are well known regulators of the apoplastic pH which has a key role in cell ion homeostasis. Here we demonstrate specifically that the \( \text{H}^{+} \)-ATPase AHA2 which resides in the BSCs plasma membrane, exerts a dominant role in the acidification of the xylem sap in leaves. This conclusion is based on four different complementing approaches used in our study.

1. **In-vivo measurement of xylem pH.** In using the membrane-impermeable FITC-dextran fed to the Arabidopsis leaf via a petiole, we relied on earlier demonstrations (a)
of the tight isolation of the vascular system due to the bundle sheath (Kinsman and Pyke, 1998; Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011; Shatil-Cohen and Moshelion, 2012; Sade et al., 2014) and (b) the previous uses of this ratiometric pH probe in planta (Materials and Methods). We were thus satisfied that the FITC-D probe remained confined within the BSC-lined xylem apoplast of the minor veins and reported reliably on its pH (Fig. 1A).

(2) The use of classical pharmacological agents. An H^+-ATPase specific stimulator (fusicoccin) and inhibitor (vanadate), fed directly to the leaf xylem, established that pH acidification of the leaf xylem sap involves P-type proton pump(s) (AHAs), which extrude protons – most likely, from the BSCs – into the xylem lumen. Notably, in our experiments, vanadate increased the xylem sap pH only when it was administered in high-K^+ XPS, while vanadate in low-K^+ XPS did not cause any detectable pH change. We explain this requirement for 10 mM KNO_3 to show vanadate inhibition of the H^+-pump, as resulting from an increased concentration of substrate (the added K^+ and NO_3^- ions) which can participate in secondary H^+-co-transport into the BSCs, thereby dissipating the protons from the xylem lumen. This conforms with the aforementioned general notion that the pH of an apoplastic compartment reflects, among others, a balance in bi-directional proton movements (Serrano, 1988). That proton-coupled K^+ transmembrane co-transport plays a particularly important role in the BSCs is suggested by the 10% higher expression in BSCs (relative to mesophyll cells) of two K^+ uptake permeases, AtKT2 and AtKUP11 (likely to be H^+-coupled (Wigoda et al., 2017) and references therein).

(3) Genetic manipulation. A comparison of mutant lines to the wild type revealed that while the pH of the xylem sap in the aha1 mutant lines was no different than in WT (Fig. S5), the pH of the xylem sap in both AHA2 mutant lines, aha2-4 and aha2-5 was consistently higher than in WT (Fig. 2).

(4) Genetic manipulation – complementation. The xylem sap pH was restored to WT-like levels in two lines resulting from aha2-4 complementation with the AHA2 gene directed specifically into the BSCs, using the specific promotor SCR.
Notwithstanding the above findings, we have not ruled out completely a potential contribution of AHA1 to the xylem pH. While the quite abundant AHA1 transcript level (Wigoda et al., 2017) remained unaffected by genetic manipulations of AHA2 (Suppl. Fig. S3), contrary to what might be expected had they been linked by a mutual feedback because of shared responsibility for the same function, a compensatory feedback may have occurred at the level of protein activity. Moreover, since the double aha1-aha2 mutant is embryonic-lethal (Haruta et al., 2010), the potential contributions of AHA1 (and of other AHAs, with much lower transcript levels) to xylem sap pH was not assessed more critically.

**Extracellular pH regulation of the membrane osmotic water permeability**

Earlier work established that low cytosolic pH reduces the membrane osmotic water permeability coefficient, $P_f$, by inhibiting aquaporin gating (Gerbeau et al., 2002; Tournaire-Roux et al., 2003; Alleva et al., 2006; Fischer and Kaldenhoff, 2008; Leitão et al., 2012; Frick et al., 2013; Yaneff et al., 2016). This phenomenon is especially relevant to roots under stress conditions such as flooding or drought, where a change in the water hydraulic permeability of the roots is considered to be part of the plant stress defense mechanism.

External pH seemed not to affect the membrane hydraulic permeability of intact cells (Lp) of Nitella, Chara and maize, except a slight inhibition at pH around 4.5 in Nitella and in one variety of maize (Tyerman et al., 2002 and references therein).

$P_f$ sensitivity to pH has been also found in several mammalian cell types, be it cytosolic pH (Kaptan et al., 2015; Gotfryd et al., 2018), or extracellular pH (Mosca et al., 2018). Here we demonstrate, for the first time in an intact plant protoplast, the BSC, a reduction in $P_f$ by *external* (xylem sap) alkalinization. This pH sensitivity differs from the lack of external pH effect on the right-side-out plasma membrane vesicles of *Beta vulgaris* root (Alleva et al., 2006). However, this difference is not surprising, in view of the differences between root and shoot, for example, in their opposite responses to drought:
acidification in the root xylem sap and alkaninization in the shoot xylem sap (Karuppanapandian et al., 2017).

“Macroscopic” membrane P_f changes and, moreover, whole-organ K_leaf changes have been tied to changes in aquaporin activity. For example, Shatil-Cohen et al. (2011), reduced both P_f and K_leaf by a common aquaporin blocker HgCl_2. Also, Prado et al. demonstrated that three aquaporins expressed in veins, and in particular, PIP2;1, contributed to darkness-enhanced hydraulic water conductance of the Arabidopsis leaves rosette (Prado et al., 2013). Additionally, BSCs-directed knockdown of aquaporins (using artificial microRNAs under the SCR promoter) decreased the K_leaf of a detached Arabidopsis leaf and, separately, BSCs P_f (Sade et al., 2014). Therefore, it is interesting to compare the external pH effect we observed on the P_f of BSCs to the effects of external pH on aquaporins. For example, an external acidification (from pH 7.4 to pH 5), perceived via external tyrosine and histidine, decreased the permeability to water and glycerol of the human aquaglyceroporin, AQP7, with a half-inhibition at about pH 6 (Mosca et al., 2018); in contrast, the fungal aquaporin RdAQP1 activity (when expressed in Arabidopsis protoplasts) was enhanced at acidic external pH and inhibited at alkaline external pH (Turgeman et al., 2016).

Like RdAQP1, at least several Arabidopsis aquaporins have histidines (pKa = 6.8) and/or cysteins (pKa=8.5) in their apoplast-facing loops, and also plenty of tyrosines (pKa=10). For example (even excluding tyrosines, because of their high pKa), based on uniprot membrane topology (www.uniprot.org/), PIP2;1 has exterior-facing Cys75 and His248; PIP2;2, has exterior-facing Cys73; His246; PIP2;3: Cys73, His148,-246, etc. Future work will clarify whether the P_f reduction in BSCs and the reduction of K_leaf in detached Arabidopsis leaves (see also further discussion of K_leaf and P_f relationship below) is also mediated by aquaporins and their external histidines/cysteins.

**AHA2 role in the regulation of the whole leaf water balance**

The notion that AHA pumps, in general, power secondary H^+-cotransport across cell membranes facing the apoplast is decades old (Serrano, 1988; Sze et al., 1999; Palmgren,
The plant plasma membrane H\(^{+}\)-ATPase has since been recognized as essential for plant growth (reviewed by Falhof et al., 2016). The practically sole “celebrated product” of its action to date is the proton motive force (PMF) – a gradient of protons concentration without- or in combination with an electrical gradient – which drives the movement of solutes across cellular membranes. In only a few cases has a specific physiological role been assigned to the plasma membrane H\(^{+}\)-ATPase – mainly in stomatal physiology and in roots (reviewed by Falhof et al., 2016).

Here we show another, novel aspect of transport activity regulated (rather than driven) by AHA2 – that of water fluxes from the xylem into the leaf and across the bundle sheath layer – evident as the leaf hydraulic conductance, K\(_{\text{leaf}}\). When pH is manipulated directly by buffers in the range of pH 6 to 7.5 we demonstrate a causative inverse correlation between the xylem sap pH and the resulting K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) (Figs. 4C). We observe a similar trend when pH is altered genetically: abolishing AHA2 activity (in aha2 mutants), raises the xylem pH relative to WT and reduces K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) (Figs. 3B, 6).

Since an optimum function usually indicates at least two different underlying processes, we interpret the leveling off of K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) in the more acidic range of the xylem perfusion solution (pH 4.8 in Figs. 4C, pH \(\leq\) pH 5.1 in Fig. 6), showing perhaps even a hint of a decline relative to K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) values at higher pH values, as separable from the mechanism underlying the decline of K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) at increasing pH values, which we link to the cessation of (at least) the AHA2 activity.

The above considerations of AHA2 role invite a speculation with regard to the role of the plant stress hormone, abscisic acid (ABA) in regulating K\(_{\text{leaf}}\). In an earlier work, Shatil-Cohen et al. (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011) localized K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) to the BSCs layer by showing that K\(_{\text{leaf}}\) decreased when the BSCs were brought into direct contact with ABA fed to the detached leaf, and not when ABA was smeared on the leaf surface. Our current work outlines a mechanism for this phenomenon: stress-induced xylem alkalinization (already reported by others (Jia and Davies, 2007; Wang et al., 2012; Korovetska et al., 2014), likely due to ABA inhibition of the BSCs AHA2, similar to the H\(^{+}\)-ATPase inhibition.
seen in guard cells (Goh et al., 1996; Schroeder et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2004), reduces the water permeability of the BSCs membranes. Consequently, the water permeability of the entire bundle sheath layer declines, demonstrating that under stress conditions it is an active hydraulic barrier (Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011, Pantin et al., 2013). Here we show directly, using individual isolated BSCs, that $P_f$, the measure of the rate of water passage via the BSCs membranes, declines with external medium alkalinization (Fig. 5). Such a pH rise could mediate the effect of ABA on BSCs in the detached leaf.

In the whole plant, leaf water balance (leaf relative water content or leaf water potential) is determined by the ratio between the movement of water (liquid) from the xylem into the leaf and the movement of water (gas) out of the leaf via guard cells. This ratio depends, in turn, on the ratio between $K_{leaf}$ and the stomatal conductance (Levin et al., 2007; Ache et al., 2010; Nardini et al., 2011; Shatil-Cohen et al., 2011). Decline in soil moisture usually accompanies an increased drive (VPD) for transpiration (Oishi et al., 2010). Therefore, maintaining a sufficient supply of water to the leaves is challenging because the cohesion-tension mechanism allowing water flux during transpiration places the xylem under tension, making it vulnerable to cavitation-induced embolisms (Zimmermann, 1983). The observed variations in transpiration rate of whole plants have been proposed to reflect internal adjustments protecting the plant against such challenges of changing ambient conditions (Wallach et al., 2010; Scoffoni et al., 2017; Scoffoni et al., 1918). We propose here that the dynamic adjustments in water flux through the transpiring leaf are due to the dynamic changes of BSCs’ $P_f$ in response to changes of xylem sap pH and the consequent changes in $K_{leaf}$. In a transpiring plant, $K_{leaf}$ decreases as a consequence of xylem alkalinization in the shoot, which signals a decline of soil moisture. The $K_{leaf}$ decrease is what prevents further water escape from the xylem and decreases the water tension in the xylem, diminishing the danger of cavitation and embolism.

The results of this study broaden our basic understanding of how a leaf controls its water influx. Our results also support the notion that xylem sap alkalinization mediates the
decline in plant shoot nutrient uptake due to abiotic stress. Here we focus specifically on the stress-induced cessation of activity of AHA2 in the BSCs, where we found the AHA2 instrumental in generating the low pH in the leaf xylem sap. The consequence of this decline of AHA2 activity and xylem sap alkalization, is a decline in the PMF. Since AHA2 – via the PMF – powers the secondary, proton-coupled export and import of solutes across the BSCs membranes, not only does it regulate plant nutrition, but, very likely also drives the extrusion of toxic compounds from the xylem-lining cells into the xylem, hence governing also the plant toxicity tolerance. These hypotheses await future experimentation.

In conclusion, our finding that AHA2 plays a major role in regulating $K_{\text{leaf}}$ via xylem sap pH provides a molecular basis for understanding a novel aspect, other than just PMF, of the control that the xylem sap pH can exert in the leaf. This control, in combination with effects of PMF, is likely to underlie the plant’s key physiological activities. These results provide a new focus for exploration and understanding the role of the involvement of BSCs in determining the xylem sap composition, and, in particular, their role as a transpiration-controlling valve in series with the stomata. As the rapid growth rate and high yields of crop plants are positively correlated with enhanced transpiration and $K_{\text{leaf}}$, BSCs are likely to become a key target tissue for the development of a new generation of manipulations for plant adaptation to environmental challenges.
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Main Figure Legends

FIGURE 1. Leaf xylem sap pH reduced by petiole-fed fusicoccin (an AHA stimulator), and increased by vanadate (an AHA inhibitor) in minor veins in detached leaves of WT (wild type) Arabidopsis. A. Representative images of treatment effects with color-coded pH values calculated ratiometrically for all pixels which passed the selection criteria (Supplementary Materials and methods), with black masking all other pixels. i, low-K⁺ control (i.e., XPS, xylem perfusion solution). ii, XPS + fusicoccin (10 μM). iii, high-K⁺ control solution (XPS +10 mM KNO₃). iv, XPS +10 mM KNO₃ + vanadate (1 mM Na₃VO₄). B. The mean (±SE) xylem sap pH without and with fusicoccin in the indicated number of leaves (biological repeats) from at least three independent experiments. Asterisks denote significant differences from the respective control, using Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test (*: P < 0.05, **:
Where error bar is invisible, SE= 0.009. Other details as in A. C. The mean (±SE) calculated values of the xylem sap pH without and with vanadate. Other details as in B.

FIGURE 2. Knockout of AHA2 increases xylem sap pH in minor leaf veins of Arabidopsis leaf. aha2 knockout lines and WT plants. The mean (±SE) xylem sap pH in the indicated number of leaves, from three independent experiments. Different letters denote significantly different pH values (P<0.05; ANOVA).

FIGURE 3. Expression levels of AHA2 and AHA1 and xylem sap pH in leaves of WT, aha2-4, and in two independent bundle-sheath-specific AHA2-complimented lines (T55, T56). A. Mean normalized (±SE) AHA2 expression levels obtained by qRT-PCR on whole leaf RNA (n=5 biological repetitions, leaves; see Suppl. Materials and methods). B. Mean (±SE) xylem sap pH in the indicated number of leaves from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different means (P<0.05; ANOVA). C. Mean normalized (±SE) AHA1 expression levels; other details as in A.

FIGURE 4. The leaf hydraulic conductance (Kleaf) depends on the activity of AHA2 and the pH of the xylem perfusion solution (XPS). Mean (±SE) Kleaf (calculated by Eq. 1, Materials and Methods) in detached Arabidopsis leaves. A. Leaves of WT and AHA2 mutant (aha2-4, aha2-5) plants perfused with non-buffered XPS. Numbers are those of assayed leaves (in three independent experiments). Different letters indicate significantly different means (P<0.05; ANOVA). B. Leaves of WT, aha2-4 and SCR:AHA2 plants perfused with non-buffered XPS. The experiments in A and B were done at different time regimes and with different solutions (see Materials and Methods). The numbers and letters are as in A. Note that only
the aha2 Kleaf is lower relative to WT. C. WT Arabidopsis leaves fed with buffered XPS (XPSb) with the indicated pH. The numbers and letters are as in A. Note the lower Kleaf at the alkaline pH.

**Figure 5**: The effect of pH treatment on the membrane osmotic water permeability coefficient (Pf) of BSCs from SCR:GFP plants. A. Time course (60 sec) of bundle sheath protoplasts swelling upon exposure to a hypotonic XPSdb solution at pH 6 or 7.5. The arrow indicates onset of bath flush. B. Time course of the osmotic concentration change in the bath (Cout) during the hypotonic challenge (calculated as in Moshelion et al., 2004). C. Mean (±SE) initial Pf values of the indicated number of bundle sheath protoplasts under the different pHs from three independent experiments. The asterisk denotes a significant difference between the treatments using Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test (P < 0.01).

**Fig 6. K_leaf as a function of intra-xylem “natural” pH.** The pH of unbuffered XPS was modified naturally in detached leaves as a result of AHA2 mutation and its complementation (data combined from figures 3B and 4B). From left to right: SCR:AHA2, WT, aha2-4. Dashed lines: hand drawn to aid in trend visualization. Different letters denote significantly different means (a, b, ab – Kleaf values, c-e – pH values). Note the inverse relationship between K_leaf and XPS pH at the range pH 6-7.5).
FIGURE 1. Leaf xylem sap pH reduced by petiole-fed fusicoccin (an AHA stimulator), and increased by vanadate (an AHA inhibitor) in minor veins in detached leaves of WT (wild type) Arabidopsis. A. Representative images of treatment effects with color-coded pH values calculated ratiometrically for all pixels which passed the selection criteria (Supplementary Materials and methods), with black masking all other pixels. i, low-K+ control (i.e., XPS, xylem perfusion solution). ii, XPS + fusicoccin (10 µM). iii, high-K+ control solution (XPS +10 mM KNO3). iv, XPS +10 mM KNO3 + vanadate (1 mM Na3VO4). B. The mean (±SE) xylem sap pH without and with fusicoccin in the indicated number of leaves (biological repeats) from at least three independent experiments. Asterisks denote significant differences from the respective control, using Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test (*: P < 0.05, **: P < 0.001). Where error bar is invisible, SE= 0.009. Other details as in A. C. The mean (±SE) calculated values of the xylem sap pH without and with vanadate. Other details as in B.

Fig. 1. Grunwald, Wigoda et al, 2019
FIGURE 2. Knockout of AHA2 increases xylem sap pH in minor leaf veins of Arabidopsis leaf. aha2 knockout lines and WT plants. The mean (±SE) xylem sap pH in the indicated number of leaves, from three independent experiments. Different letters denote significantly different pH values (P< 0.05; ANOVA).
FIGURE 3. Expression levels of AHA2 and xylem sap pH in leaves of WT, aha2-4 and of two independent lines (T55, T56) of aha2-4 complemented with AHA2 under a bundle-sheath-specific promotor (SCR:AHA2). A. Mean normalized (±SE) AHA2 expression levels obtained by qRT-PCR on whole leaf RNA (n=5 biological repetitions, leaves; B. Mean (±SE) xylem sap pH in the indicated number of leaves from three independent experiments. Different letters indicate significantly different means (P<.0.05; ANOVA).
FIGURE 4. The leaf hydraulic conductance ($K_{\text{leaf}}$) depends on the activity of AHA2 and the pH of the xylem perfusion solution (XPS). Mean (±SE) $K_{\text{leaf}}$ (calculated by Eq. 1, Materials and Methods) in detached Arabidopsis leaves. A. Leaves of WT and AHA2 mutant (aha2-4, aha2-5) plants perfused with non-buffered XPS. Numbers are those of assayed leaves (in three independent experiments). Different letters indicate significantly different means ($P<.05$; ANOVA). B. Leaves of WT, aha2-4 and SCR:AHA2 (T56) plants perfused with non-buffered AXS. (see Materials and Methods). The numbers and letters are as in A. C. WT Arabidopsis leaves fed with XPS$^{\text{db}}$ buffered to the indicated pH. The numbers and letters are as in A. Note the lower $K_{\text{leaf}}$ at the alkaline pH.

**Fig. 4.** Grunwald, Wigoda et al, 2019
Figure 5: The effect of pH treatment on the membrane osmotic water permeability coefficient ($P_f$) of BSCs from SCR:GFP plants. A. Time course (60 sec) of bundle sheath protoplasts swelling upon exposure to a hypotonic XPSdb solution at pH 6 or 7.5. The arrow indicates onset of bath flush. B. Time course of the osmotic concentration change in the bath (Cout) during the hypotonic challenge (calculated as in Moshelion et al., 2004). C. Mean ($±$SE) initial $P_f$ values of the indicated number of bundle sheath protoplasts under the different pHs from three independent experiments. The asterisk denotes a significant difference between the treatments using Student’s two-tailed unpaired t-test ($P < 0.01$).
**FIGURE 6.** $K_{\text{leaf}}$ as a function of intra-xylem pH. The detached leaves modified the pH of the unbuffered XPS within their xylem depending on AHA2 presence. Combined data from figures 3B (here: on the abscissa) and 4B (here: on the ordinate). From left to right: SCR:AHA2 (T56), WT, aha2-4. Dashed lines: hand drawn to aid in trend visualization. Different letters denote significantly different means (a, b, ab: $K_{\text{leaf}}$ values, c-e: pH values). Note the inverse relationship between $K_{\text{leaf}}$ and XPS pH at the pH range 5.6-6).