1 Next generation sequencing to investigate genomic diversity in Caryophyllales Boas Pucker<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Tao Feng<sup>1,3</sup>, Samuel F. Brockington<sup>1,2</sup> 2 3 1 Evolution and Diversity, Plant Sciences, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, United Kingdom 4 2 Genetics and Genomics of Plants, CeBiTec & Faculty of Biology, Bielefeld University, Bielefeld, 5 Germany 6 3 Wuhan Botanical Garden, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Wuhan, China 7 \* corresponding author: Boas Pucker, bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de 8 9 BP: bpucker@cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de, ORCID: 0000-0002-3321-7471 10 TF: fengtao@wbgcas.cn, ORCID: 0000-0002-0489-2021 11 SFB: sb771@cam.ac.uk, ORCID: 0000-0003-1216-219X 12 13 Key words: whole genome sequencing, genome assembly, anthocyanin, betalain, Kewa caespitosa, 14 Macarthuria australis, Pharnaceum exiguum, Caryophyllales 15 16 Abstract 17 Caryophyllales are a highly diverse and large order of plants with a global distribution. While some 18 species are important crops like Beta vulgaris, many others can survive under extreme conditions. 19 This order is well known for the complex pigment evolution, because the pigments anthocyanins and 20 betalains occur with mutual exclusion in species of the Caryophyllales. Here we report about genome 21 assemblies of Kewa caespitosa (Kewaceae), Macarthuria australis (Macarthuriaceae), and 22 Pharnaceum exiguum (Molluginaceae) which are representing different taxonomic groups in the 23 Caryophyllales. The availability of these assemblies enhances molecular investigation of these species 24 e.g. with respect to certain genes of interest. 25 26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55 56

57

58

59

60

61

# Introduction Caryophyllales form one of the largest flowering plant order and are recognized for their outstanding ability to colonise extreme environments. Examples are the evolution of Cactaceae in deserts, extremely fast radiation [1-3] e.g. in arid-adapted Aizoaceae and in carnivorous species in nitrogenpoor conditions. Caryophyllales harbor the greatest concentration of halophytic plant species and display repeated shifts to alpine and arctic habitats in Caryophyllaceae and Montiaceae. Due to these extreme environments, species exhibit many adaptations [2-4] such as specialized betalain pigments to protect photosystems in high salt and high light conditions [5]. There are several examples for repeated evolution in the Caryophyllales e.g. leaf and stem succulence for water storage, various mechanisms for salt tolerance, arid-adapted C<sub>4</sub> and CAM photosynthesis [4], and insect trapping mechanisms to acquire nitrogen [6]. In addition, to their fascinating trait evolution, the Caryophyllales are well known for important crops and horticultural species like sugar beet, quinoa and spinach. Most prominent is the genome sequence of Beta vulgaris [7] which was often used as a reference for studies within Caryophyllales [7-10]. In addition, genomes of Spinacia oleracea [7,11], Dianthus caryophyllus [12], Amaranthus hypochondriacus [13], and Chenopodium quinoa [14] were sequenced. Besides Carnegiea gigantea and several other cacti [15], recent genome sequencing projects were focused on crops due to their economical relevance. However, genome sequences of other species within the Caryophyllales, are needed to provide insights into unusual patterns of trait evolution. The evolution of pigmentation is known to be complex within the Caryophyllales [8] with a single origin of betalain and at least three reversals to anthocyanin pigmentation. The biosynthetic pathways for betalain and anthocyanin pigmentation are both well characterized. While previous studies have demonstrated that the genes essential for anthocyanin synthesis persists in betalain pigmented taxa [16,17], the fate of the betalain pathway in the multiple reversals to anthocyanin pigmentations is unknown. Here, we sequenced three species from different families to contribute to the genomic knowledge about Caryophyllales: Kewa caespitosa (Kewaceae), Macarthuria australis (Macarthuriaceae), and Pharnaceum exiguum (Molluginaceae) were selected as representatives of anthocyanic lineages within the predominantly betalain pigmented Caryophyllales. K. caespitosa and P. exiguum are examples of putative reversals from betalain pigmentation to anthocyanic pigmentation, while Macarthuria is a lineage that diverged before the inferred origin of betalain pigmentation [8]. Several transcript sequences of the three plants investigated here were assembled as part of the 1KP

project [18]. Since the sampling for this transcriptome project was restricted to leaf tissue, available sequences are limited to genes expressed there. Here we report three draft genome sequences to

complement the available gene set and to enable analysis of untranscribed sequences like promoters, regulatory elements, pseudogenes, and transposable elements.

## Material & Methods

#### Plant material

The seeds of *Kewa caespitosa* (Friedrich) Christenh., *Marcarthuria australis* Hügel ex Endl., and *Pharnaceum exiguum* Adamson were obtained from Millennium Seed Bank (London, UK) and were germinated at the Cambridge University Botanic Garden. The plants were grown in controlled glasshouse under conditions: long-day (16 h light and 8 h dark), 20 °C, 60% humidity. About 100 mg fresh young shoots were collected and immediately frozen in liquid nitrogen. Tissue was ground in liquid nitrogen using a mortar and pestle. DNA was extracted using the QIAGEN DNeasy Plant Mini Kit (Hilden, Germany) and RNA was removed by the QIAGEN DNase-Free RNase Set. DNA quantity and quality were assessed by Nanodrop (Thermofisher Scientific, Waltham, MA, USA) and agarose gel electrophoresis. DNA samples were sent to BGI Technology (Hongkong) for library construction and Illumina sequencing.

## Sequencing

Libraries of *K. caespitosa, M. australis*, and *P. exiguum* were sequenced on an Illumina HiSeq X-Ten generating 2x150nt reads (AdditionalFile 1). Trimmomatic v0.36 [19] was applied for adapter removal and quality trimming as described previously [20]. Due to remaining adapter sequences, the last 10 bases of each read were clipped. FastQC [21] was applied to check the quality of the reads.

## Genome size estimation

The size of all three investigated genomes was estimated based on k-mer frequencies in the sequencing reads. Jellyfish v2 [22] was applied for the construction of a k-mer table with parameters described by [23]. The derived histogram was further analyzed by GenomeScope [23] to predict a genome size. This process was repeated for all odd k-mer sizes between 17 and 25 (AdditionalFile 2). Finally, an average value was selected from all successful analyses.

#### Genome assembly

The performance of different assemblers on the data sets was tested (AdditionalFile 3, AdditionalFile 4, AdditionalFile 5). While CLC Genomics Workbench performed best for the *M. australis* assembly, SOAPdenovo2 [24] showed the best results for *K. caespitosa* and *P. exiguum* and was therefore selected for the final assemblies. To optimize the assemblies, different k-mer sizes were tested as this parameter can best be adjusted empirically [25]. First, k-mer sizes from 67 to 127 in steps of 10 were evaluated, while most parameters remained on default values (AdditionalFile 6). Second, assemblies with k-mer sizes around the best value of the first round were tested. In addition, different insert sizes were evaluated without substantial effect on the assembly quality. In accordance with good practice, assembled sequences shorter than 500 bp were discarded prior to downstream analyses. Custom Python scripts [20,26] were deployed for assembly evaluation based on simple statistics (e.g. N50, N90, assembly size, number of contigs), number of genes predicted by AUGUSTUS v3.2 [27] *ab initio*, average size of predicted genes, and number of complete BUSCOs [28]. Scripts are available on github: https://github.com/bpucker/GenomeAssemblies2018.

BWA-MEM v0.7 [29] was used with the -m flag to map all sequencing reads back against the assembly. REAPR v1.0.18 [30] was applied on the selected assemblies to identify putative assembly errors through inspection of paired-end mappings and to break sequences at those points.

The resulting assemblies were further polished by removal of non-plant sequences. First, all assembled sequences were subjected to a BLASTn [31] against the sugar beet reference genome sequence RefBeet v1.5 [7,32] and the genome sequences of *Chenopodium quinoa* [14], Carnegiea gigantea [15], *Amaranthus hypochondriacus* [13], and *Dianthus caryophyllus* [12]. Hits below the evalue threshold of 10<sup>-10</sup> were considered to be of plant origin. Second, all sequences without hits in this first round were subjected to a BLASTn search against the non-redundant nucleotide database nt. Sequences with strong hits against bacterial and fungal sequences were removed as previously described [20,26]. BLASTn against the *B. vulgaris* plastome (KR230391.1, [33]) and chondrome (BA000009.3, [34]) sequences was performed to identify and remove sequences from these organelle subgenomes.

## Assembly quality assessment

Mapping of sequencing reads against the assembly and processing with REAPR [30] was the first quality control step. RNA-Seq reads (AdditionalFile 7) were mapped against the assemblies to assess completeness of the gene space and to validate the assembly with an independent data set. STAR v2.5.1b [35] was used for the RNA-Seq read mapping as previously described [26].

**Genome annotation** 

RepeatMasker [36] was applied using crossmatch [37] to identify and mask repetitive regions prior to gene prediction. Masking was performed in sensitive mode (-s) without screening for bacterial IS elements (-no\_is) and skipping interspersed repeats (-noint). Repeat sequences of the Caryophyllales (-species caryophyllales) were used and the GC content was calculated per sequence (-gccalc). Protein coding sequences of transcriptome assemblies (AdditionalFile 7) were mapped to the respective genome assembly via BLAT [38] to generate hints for the gene prediction process as previously described [39]. BUSCO v3 [28] was deployed to optimize species-specific parameter sets for all three species based on the sugar beet parameter set [40]. AUGUSTUS v.3.2.2 [27] was applied to incorporate all available hints with previously described parameter settings to optimize the prediction of non-canonical splice sites [39]. Different combinations of hints and parameters were evaluated to achieve an optimal annotation of all three assemblies. A customized Python script was deployed to remove all genes with premature termination codons in their CDS or spanning positions with ambiguous bases. Representative transcripts and peptides per locus were identified based on maximization of the encoded peptide length. INFERNAL (cmscan) [41] was used for the prediction of non-coding RNAs based on models from Rfam13 [42].

Functional annotation was transferred from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (Araport11) [43] via reciprocal best BLAST hits as previously described [26]. In addition, GO terms were assigned to protein coding genes through an InterProScan5 [44]-based pipeline [26].

## Comparison between transcriptome and genome assembly

The assembled genome sequences were compared against previously published transcriptome assemblies (AdditionalFile 7) in a reciprocal way to assess completeness and differences. BLAT [38] was used to align protein coding sequences against each other. This comparison was limited to the protein coding sequences to avoid biases due to UTR sequences, which are in general less reliably predicted or assembled, respectively [39]. The initial alignments were filtered via filterPSL.pl [45] based on recommended criteria for gene prediction hint generation to remove spurious hits and to reduce the set to the best hit per locus e.g. caused by multiple splice variants.

#### Results

## Genome size estimation and genome sequence assembly

Prior to the *de novo* genome assembly, the genome sizes of *Kewa caespitosa*, *Macarthuria australis*, and *Pharnaceum exiguum* were estimated from the sequencing reads (Table 1, AdditionalFile 1). The estimated genome sizes range from 265 Mbp (*P. exiguum*) to 623 Mbp (*M. caespitosa*). Based on these genome sizes, the sequencing coverage ranges from 111x (*K. caespitosa*) to 251x (*M. australis*). Different assembly tools and parameters were evaluated to optimize the assembly process (AdditionalFile 3, AdditionalFile 4, AdditionalFile 5). Sizes of the final assemblies ranged from 254.5 Mbp (*P. exiguum*) to 531 Mbp (*K. caespitosa*) (Table 1, AdditionalFile 8). The best continuity was achieved for *P. exiguum* with an N50 of 57 kbp.

Table 1: Genome size estimation and *de novo* assembly statistics.

	Kewa caespitose	Macarthuria australis	Pharnaceum exiguum
Accession	GCA_900322205	GCA_900322265	GCA_900322385
Estimated genome	623	497.5	265
size [Mbp]			
Sequencing coverage	111x	251x	206x
Assembly size (-N)	531,205,354	525,292,167	254,526,612
Number of sequence	55,159	271,872	16,641
N50	28,527	2,804	56,812
Max. sequence length	340,297	211,626	514,701
GC content	38.1%	36.6%	37.4%
Complete BUSCOs	83.6%	44.4%	84.3%
Assembler	SOAPdenovo2	CLC Genomics	SOAPdenovo2
		Workbench v9	
k-mer size	79	Automatic	117

## **Assembly validation**

The mapping of sequencing reads against the assembled sequences resulted in mating rates of 99.5% (*K. caespitosa*), 98% (*M. australis*), and 94.8% (*P. exiguum*). REAPR identified between 1390 (*P. exiguum*) and 16181 (*M. australis*) FCD errors which were corrected by breaking assembled sequences. The mapping of RNA-Seq reads to the polished assembly resulted in mapping rates of

53.9% (*K. caespitosa*) and 43.1% (*M. australis*), respectively, when only considering uniquely mapped reads. Quality assessment via BUSCO revealed 83.6% (*K. caespitosa*), 44.4% (*M. australis*), and 84.3% (*P. exiguum*) complete benchmarking universal single copy ortholog genes (n=1440). In addition, 6.5% (*K. caespitosa*), 21.7% (*M. australis*), and 4.0% (*P. exiguum*) fragmented BUSCOs as well as 9.9% (*K. caespitosa*), 33.9% (*M. australis*), and 11.7% (*P. exiguum*) missing BUSCOs were identified. The proportion of duplicated BUSCOs ranges from 1.5% (*K. caespitosa*) to 2.1% (*P. exiguum*). The number of duplicated BUSCOs was high in *M. australis* (11.8%) compared to both other genome assemblies (1.5% and 2.1%, respectively).

#### Genome annotation

After intensive optimization (AdditionalFile 9), the polished structural annotation contains between 26,155 (*P. exiguum*) and 80,236 (*M. australis*) protein encoding genes per genome (Table 2). The average number of exons per genes ranged from 2.9 (*M. australis*) to 6.6 (*K. caespitosa*). Predicted peptide sequence lengths vary between 241 (*M. australis*) and 447 (*K. caespitosa*) amino acids. High numbers of recovered BUSCO genes support the assembly quality (Fig. 1). Functional annotations were assigned to between 50% (*K. caespitosa*) and 70% (*P. exiguum*) of the predicted genes per species. These assemblies revealed between 598 (P. exiguum) and 1604 (M. australis) putative rRNA, 821 (*K. caespitosa*) to 1492 (*M. australis*) tRNA genes, and additional non-protein-coding RNA genes (Table 2).

Fig. 1. Assembly completeness.

Assembly completeness was assessed based on the proportion of complete, fragmented, and missing BUSCOs.

Table 2: Assembly annotation statistics.

	Kewa caespitosa	Macarthuria australis	Pharnaceum exiguum
Final gene number	50661	80236	26,155
Functional annotation assigned	25,058 (49.46%)	50,536 (62.98%)	18,372 (70.24%)
Average gene lengths [bp]	5494	1936	5090
Average mRNA length [bp]	2143	1018	2154
Average peptide length [aa]	447	241	435
RBHs vs. BeetSet2	9,968	10,568	10,045
Average number of exons per	6.6	2.9	6

gene			
Number of predicted tRNAs	821	1491	1260
Number of predicted rRNAs	720	1604	598
Link to data set	https://docs.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/s/pZ4kGpPEDtTPgjW		
	(TEMPORARY LINK FOR PEER-REVIEW)		

## Comparison between transcriptome and genome assemblies

Previously released transcriptome assemblies were compared to the genome assemblies to assess completeness and to identify differences. In total 44,169 of 65,062 (67.9%) coding sequences of *the K. caespitose* transcriptome assembly were recovered in the corresponding genome assembly. This recovery rate is lower for both *M. australis* assemblies, where only 27,894 of 58,953 (47.3%) coding sequences were detected in the genome assembly. The highest rate was observed for *P. exiguum*, where 37,318 of 42,850 (87.1%) coding sequences were found in the genome assembly. When screening the transcriptome assemblies for transcript sequences predicted based on the genome sequences, the recovery rate was lower (Fig. 2). The number of predicted representative coding sequences with best hits against the transcriptome assembly ranged from 16.3% in *K. caespitosa* to 29.7% in *P. exiguum* thus leaving most predicted coding sequences without a good full length hit in the transcriptome assemblies.

#### Fig. 2. Recovery of sequences between transcriptome and genome assemblies.

The figure displays the percentage of sequences present in one assembly that are recovered or missing in the other assembly type.

## **Discussion**

An almost perfect match between the predicted genome size and the final assembly size was observed for *P. exiguum*. When taking gaps within scaffolds into account the *K. caespitosa* assembly size reached the estimated genome size. High heterozygosity could be one explanation for the assembly size exceeding the estimated haploid genome size of *M. australis*. The two independent genome size estimations for *M. australis* based on different read data sets indicate almost perfect reproducibility of this method. Although centromeric regions and other low complexity regions were

226

227

228

229

230

231

232

233

234

235

236

237

238

239

240

241

242

243

244

245

246

247

248

249

250

251

252

253

254

255

256

257

258

259

260

probably underestimated in the genome size estimation as well as in the assembly process, this agreement between estimated genome size and final assembly size indicates a high assembly quality. The continuity of the P. exiguum assembly is comparable to the assembly continuity of Dianthus caryophyllus [12] with a scaffold N50 of 60.7 kb. Additional quality indicators are the high proportion of detected BUSCOs in the final assemblies as well as the high mapping rate of reads against the assemblies. The percentage of complete BUSCOs is in the same range as the value of the D. caryophyllales genome assembly which revealed 88.9% complete BUSCOs based on our BUSCO settings. We demonstrate a cost-effective generation of draft genome assemblies of three different plant species. Investing into more paired-end sequencing based on Illumina technology would not substantially increase the continuity of the presented assemblies. This was revealed by initial assemblies for M. australis performed with less than half of all generated sequencing reads. Although the total assembly size increased when doubling the amount of incorporated sequencing reads, the continuity is still relatively low. No direct correlation between the sequencing depth and the assembly quality was observed in this study. Genome properties seem to be more influential than the amount of sequencing data. Even very deep sequencing with short reads in previous studies [12,20] was unable to compete with the potential of long reads in genome assembly projects [13,14]. No major breakthroughs were achieved in the development of publicly available short read assemblers during the last years partly due to the availability of long reads which made it less interesting. The number of predicted genes in P. exiguum is in the range expected for most plants [46,47]. While the predicted gene numbers for K. caespitosa and M. australis are much higher than that for P. exiguum, they are only slightly exceeding the number of genes predicted for other plants [46,47]. Nevertheless, the assembly continuity and the heterozygosity of *M. australis* are probably the most important factors for the artificially high number of predicted genes. The high percentage of duplicated BUSCOs (11.8%) indicates the presence of both alleles for several genes. As the average gene length in M. australis is shorter than in both other assemblies, some gene model predictions might be too short. This gene prediction could be improved by an increase in assembly continuity. There is a substantial difference between the transcriptome sequences and the predicted transcripts of the genome assembly. The presence of alternative transcripts and fragmented transcripts in the transcriptome assemblies are one explanation why not all transcripts were assigned to a genomic Another explanation is the intraspecific variation, as the genome and transcriptome assemblies were generated using different individuals. Some transcripts probably represent genes which are not properly resolved in the genome assemblies. This is especially the case for *M. australis*. The high percentage of complete BUSCOs of the K. caespitosa and P. exiguum genome assemblies indicate that missing sequences in the genome assemblies account only for a minority of the differences. The complete BUSCO percentage of the *P. exiguum* genome assembly even exceeds the value assigned to the corresponding transcriptome assembly. Although BUSCOs are selected in a robust way, it is likely that some of these genes are not present in the genomes investigated here, since *B. vulgaris* is the closest relative with an almost completely sequenced genome [7]. Our genome assemblies provide additional sequences of genes which are not expressed in the tissues sampled for the generation of the transcriptome assembly. In addition, coding sequences might be complete in the genome assemblies, while low expression caused a fragmented assembly based on RNA-Seq reads. This explains why only a small fraction of the predicted coding sequences of the genome assemblies was mapped to the coding sequences derived from the corresponding transcriptome assembly.

The availability of assembled sequences as well as large sequencing read data sets enables the investigation of repeats e.g. transposable elements across a large phylogenetic distance within the Caryophyllales. It also allows the extension of genome-wide analysis, such as gene family investigations from *B. vulgaris* to more representatives across Caryophyllales. As all three species produce anthocyanins, we provide the basis to study the underlying biosynthetic genes. Due to the huge evolutionary distance to other anthocyanin producing species, the availability of these sequences could facilitate the identification of common and unique features of the involved enzymes.

## **Author contribution**

TF isolated DNA. BP and TF performed data processing, assembly, and annotation. BP, TF, and SFB interpreted the results. BP wrote the initial draft. All authors read and approved the final version of this manuscript.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank the CeBiTec Bioinformatic Resource Facility team for great technical support.

## References

1. Brockington SF, Walker RH, Glover BJ, Soltis PS, Soltis DE. Complex pigment evolution in the Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 2011;190: 854–864. doi:10.1111/j.1469-8137.2011.03687.x

291 292 293	2.	Yang Y, Moore MJ, Brockington SF, Soltis DE, Wong GK-S, Carpenter EJ, et al. Dissecting Molecular Evolution in the Highly Diverse Plant Clade Caryophyllales Using Transcriptome Sequencing. Mol Biol Evol. 2015;32: 2001–2014. doi:10.1093/molbev/msv081
294 295 296	3.	Smith SA, Brown JW, Yang Y, Bruenn R, Drummond CP, Brockington SF, et al. Disparity, diversity, and duplications in the Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 2018;217: 836–854. doi:10.1111/nph.14772
297 298 299	4.	Kadereit G, Ackerly D, Pirie MD. A broader model for C4 photosynthesis evolution in plants inferred from the goosefoot family (Chenopodiaceae s.s.). Proc R Soc B Biol Sci. 2012;279: 3304–3311. doi:10.1098/rspb.2012.0440
300 301 302	5.	Jain G, Schwinn KE, Gould KS. Betalain induction by I-DOPA application confers photoprotection to saline-exposed leaves of Disphyma australe. New Phytol. 2015;207: 1075–1083. doi:10.1111/nph.13409
303 304	6.	Thorogood CJ, Bauer U, Hiscock SJ. Convergent and divergent evolution in carnivorous pitcher plant traps. New Phytol. 2018;217: 1035–1041. doi:10.1111/nph.14879
305 306 307	7.	Dohm JC, Minoche AE, Holtgräwe D, Capella-Gutiérrez S, Zakrzewski F, Tafer H, et al. The genome of the recently domesticated crop plant sugar beet ( <i>Beta vulgaris</i> ). Nature. 2014;505: 546–549. doi:10.1038/nature12817
308 309 310	8.	Brockington SF, Yang Y, Gandia-Herrero F, Covshoff S, Hibberd JM, Sage RF, et al. Lineage-specific gene radiations underlie the evolution of novel betalain pigmentation in Caryophyllales. New Phytol. 2015;207: 1170–1180. doi:10.1111/nph.13441
311 312	9.	Stevanato P, Trebbi D, Saccomani M. Single nucleotide polymorphism markers linked to root elongation rate in sugar beet. Biol Plant. 2017;61: 48–54. doi:10.1007/s10535-016-0643-1
313 314 315	10.	Kong W, Yang S, Wang Y, Bendahmane M, Fu X. Genome-wide identification and characterization of aquaporin gene family in Beta vulgaris. PeerJ. 2017;5. doi:10.7717/peerj.3747
316 317	11.	Xu C, Jiao C, Sun H, Cai X, Wang X, Ge C, et al. Draft genome of spinach and transcriptome diversity of 120 Spinacia accessions. Nat Commun. 2017;8. doi:10.1038/ncomms15275
318 319 320	12.	Yagi M, Kosugi S, Hirakawa H, Ohmiya A, Tanase K, Harada T, et al. Sequence Analysis of the Genome of Carnation (Dianthus caryophyllus L.). DNA Res Int J Rapid Publ Rep Genes Genomes. 2014;21: 231–241. doi:10.1093/dnares/dst053
321 322 323 324	13.	Lightfoot DJ, Jarvis DE, Ramaraj T, Lee R, Jellen EN, Maughan PJ. Single-molecule sequencing and Hi-C-based proximity-guided assembly of amaranth (Amaranthus hypochondriacus) chromosomes provide insights into genome evolution. BMC Biol. 2017;15: 74. doi:10.1186/s12915-017-0412-4
325 326	14.	Jarvis DE, Ho YS, Lightfoot DJ, Schmöckel SM, Li B, Borm TJA, et al. The genome of <i>Chenopodium quinoa</i> . Nature. 2017;542: 307–312. doi:10.1038/nature21370
327 328 329	15.	Copetti D, Búrquez A, Bustamante E, Charboneau JLM, Childs KL, Eguiarte LE, et al. Extensive gene tree discordance and hemiplasy shaped the genomes of North American columnar cacti. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114: 12003–12008. doi:10.1073/pnas.1706367114

Proc Natl Acad Sci. 2017;114: 12003–12008. doi:10.1073/pnas.1706367114

330 16. 331 332	Shimada S, Takahashi K, Sato Y, Sakuta M. Dihydroflavonol 4-reductasecDNA from non-Anthocyanin-Producing Species in the Caryophyllales. Plant Cell Physiol. 2004;45: 1290–1298. doi:10.1093/pcp/pch156
333 17. 334	Shimada S, Inoue YT, Sakuta M. Anthocyanidin synthase in non-anthocyanin-producing Caryophyllales species. Plant J. 2005;44: 950–959. doi:10.1111/j.1365-313X.2005.02574.x
335 18. 336 337	Wickett NJ, Mirarab S, Nguyen N, Warnow T, Carpenter E, Matasci N, et al. Phylotranscriptomic analysis of the origin and early diversification of land plants. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2014;111: E4859–E4868. doi:10.1073/pnas.1323926111
338 19. 339	Bolger AM, Lohse M, Usadel B. Trimmomatic: a flexible trimmer for Illumina sequence data. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2014;30: 2114–2120. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu170
340 20. 341 342 343	Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Sörensen TR, Stracke R, Viehöver P, Weisshaar B. A <i>De Novo</i> Genome Sequence Assembly of the <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> Accession Niederzenz-1 Displays Presence/Absence Variation and Strong Synteny. PLOS ONE. 2016;11: e0164321. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0164321
344 21. 345	Andrews S. FastQC A Quality Control tool for High Throughput Sequence Data [Internet]. 2010 [cited 14 Dec 2017]. Available: https://www.bioinformatics.babraham.ac.uk/projects/fastqc/
346 22. 347	Marçais G, Kingsford C. A fast, lock-free approach for efficient parallel counting of occurrences of k-mers. Bioinformatics. 2011;27: 764–770. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr011
348 23. 349 350	Vurture GW, Sedlazeck FJ, Nattestad M, Underwood CJ, Fang H, Gurtowski J, et al. GenomeScope: fast reference-free genome profiling from short reads. Bioinformatics. 2017;33: 2202–2204. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btx153
351 24. 352	Luo R, Liu B, Xie Y, Li Z, Huang W, Yuan J, et al. SOAPdenovo2: an empirically improved memory-efficient short-read <i>de novo</i> assembler. GigaScience. 2012;1: 18. doi:10.1186/2047-217X-1-18
353 25. 354	Cha S, Bird DM. Optimizing k-mer size using a variant grid search to enhance de novo genome assembly. Bioinformation. 2016;12: 36–40. doi:10.6026/97320630012036
355 26. 356 357	Haak M, Vinke S, Keller W, Droste J, Rückert C, Kalinowski J, et al. High Quality <i>de Novo</i> Transcriptome Assembly of <i>Croton tiglium</i> . Front Mol Biosci. 2018;5. doi:https://doi.org/10.3389/fmolb.2018.00062
358 27. 359 360	Keller O, Kollmar M, Stanke M, Waack S. A novel hybrid gene prediction method employing protein multiple sequence alignments. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2011;27: 757–763. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btr010
361 28. 362 363	Simão FA, Waterhouse RM, Ioannidis P, Kriventseva EV, Zdobnov EM. BUSCO: assessing genome assembly and annotation completeness with single-copy orthologs. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2015;31: 3210–3212. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btv351
364 29. 365	Li H. Aligning sequence reads, clone sequences and assembly contigs with BWA-MEM. ArXiv13033997 Q-Bio. 2013; Available: http://arxiv.org/abs/1303.3997
366 30. 367	Hunt M, Kikuchi T, Sanders M, Newbold C, Berriman M, Otto TD. REAPR: a universal tool for genome assembly evaluation. Genome Biol. 2013;14: R47. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-5-r47

genome assembly evaluation. Genome Biol. 2013;14: R47. doi:10.1186/gb-2013-14-5-r47

368 369	31.	Altschul SF, Gish W, Miller W, Myers EW, Lipman DJ. Basic local alignment search tool. J Mol Biol. 1990;215: 403–410. doi:10.1016/S0022-2836(05)80360-2
370 371 372	32.	Holtgräwe D, Rosleff Sörensen T, Parol-Kryger R, Pucker B, Kleinbölting N, Viehöver P, et al. Low coverage re-sequencing in sugar beet for anchoring assembly sequences to genomic positions [Internet]. 2017. Available: https://jbrowse.cebitec.uni-bielefeld.de/RefBeet1.5/
373 374 375	33.	Stadermann KB, Weisshaar B, Holtgräwe D. SMRT sequencing only de novo assembly of the sugar beet (Beta vulgaris) chloroplast genome. BMC Bioinformatics. 2015;16. doi:10.1186/s12859-015-0726-6
376 377 378	34.	Kubo T, Nishizawa S, Sugawara A, Itchoda N, Estiati A, Mikami T. The complete nucleotide sequence of the mitochondrial genome of sugar beet (Beta vulgaris L.) reveals a novel gene for tRNACys(GCA). Nucleic Acids Res. 2000;28: 2571–2576.
379 380	35.	Dobin A, Davis CA, Schlesinger F, Drenkow J, Zaleski C, Jha S, et al. STAR: ultrafast universal RNA-seq aligner. Bioinforma Oxf Engl. 2013;29: 15–21. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/bts635
381 382	36.	Smit A, Hubley R, Green P. RepeatMasker Frequently Open-4.0 [Internet]. 2015. Available: http://www.repeatmasker.org/
383 384	37.	Green P. ConsedA Finishing Package [Internet]. [cited 11 Feb 2019]. Available: http://www.phrap.org/consed/consed.html#howToGet
385 386	38.	Kent WJ. BLAT—The BLAST-Like Alignment Tool. Genome Res. 2002;12: 656–664. doi:10.1101/gr.229202
387 388 389	39.	Pucker B, Holtgräwe D, Weisshaar B. Consideration of non-canonical splice sites improves gene prediction on the <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> Niederzenz-1 genome sequence. BMC Res Notes. 2017;10. doi:https://doi.org/10.1186/s13104-017-2985-y
390 391 392	40.	Minoche AE, Dohm JC, Schneider J, Holtgräwe D, Viehöver P, Montfort M, et al. Exploiting single-molecule transcript sequencing for eukaryotic gene prediction. Genome Biol. 2015;16: 184. doi:10.1186/s13059-015-0729-7
393 394	41.	Nawrocki EP, Eddy SR. Infernal 1.1: 100-fold faster RNA homology searches. Bioinformatics. 2013;29: 2933–2935. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btt509
395 396 397	42.	Kalvari I, Argasinska J, Quinones-Olvera N, Nawrocki EP, Rivas E, Eddy SR, et al. Rfam 13.0: shifting to a genome-centric resource for non-coding RNA families. Nucleic Acids Res. 2018;46: D335–D342. doi:10.1093/nar/gkx1038
398 399 400	43.	Cheng C-Y, Krishnakumar V, Chan AP, Thibaud-Nissen F, Schobel S, Town CD. Araport11: a complete reannotation of the <i>Arabidopsis thaliana</i> reference genome. Plant J. 2017;89: 789–804. doi:10.1111/tpj.13415
401 402 403	44.	Jones P, Binns D, Chang H-Y, Fraser M, Li W, McAnulla C, et al. InterProScan 5: genome-scale protein function classification. Bioinformatics. 2014;30: 1236–1240. doi:10.1093/bioinformatics/btu031
404 405 406	45.	Stanke M, Keller O, Gunduz I, Hayes A, Waack S, Morgenstern B. AUGUSTUS: <i>ab initio</i> prediction of alternative transcripts. Nucleic Acids Res. 2006;34: W435–W439. doi:10.1093/nar/gkl200

407

408

409

410

411

412

413

414

415

416

417

418

419

420

421

422

423

424

425

426

427

428

429

430

431

46. Sterck L, Rombauts S, Vandepoele K, Rouzé P, Van de Peer Y. How many genes are there in plants (... and why are they there)? Curr Opin Plant Biol. 2007;10: 199–203. doi:10.1016/j.pbi.2007.01.004 47. Pucker B, Brockington SF. Genome-wide analyses supported by RNA-Seq reveal non-canonical splice sites in plant genomes. BMC Genomics. 2018;19: 980. doi:10.1186/s12864-018-5360-z **Supporting Information** AdditionalFile 1. Sequencing result overview. AdditionalFile 2. Genome size estimation results. Genome size estimations with GenomeScope [23] are listed for various k-mer sizes. Two different read sets of M. australis were used for the genome size estimation (1=ERR2401802, 2=ERR2401614) to check the reproducibility. AdditionalFile 3. Evaluation of assembly attempts of K. caespitosa. AdditionalFile 4. Evaluation of assembly attempts of M. australis. AdditionalFile 5. Evaluation of assembly attempts of *P. exiguum*. AdditionalFile 6. Detailed list of assembly parameters. AdditionalFile 7. Gene prediction hint sources. These RNA-Seq read data sets and transcriptome assemblies were incorporated in the gene annotation process as hints. AdditionalFile 8. Assembly attempt evaluation results. Statistics of raw assemblies were calculated to identify the best parameter settings. Since k-mer size was previously reported as the most important parameter, extensive optimization was performed. In addition, different settings for insert sizes were evaluated for P. exiguum (phe001-phe006). Parameter optimization for M. australis was performed on a subset of all reads due to availability. AdditionalFile 9. Gene prediction statistics. Different gene prediction approaches were performed during the optimization process. Results of these predictions include ab initio gene prediction and

hint-based approaches. RNA-Seq reads and coding sequences derived from previous transcriptome assemblies are two incorporated hint types. In addition, we assessed the impact of repeat masking prior to gene prediction.



