**Structural basis of p62/SQSTM1 helical filaments, their presence in p62 bodies and role in cargo recognition in the cell**
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Abstract

p62/SQSTM1 is an autophagy receptor and signaling adaptor with an N-terminal PB1 domain that forms the scaffold of phase-separated p62 bodies in the cell. The molecular determinants that govern PB1 domain filament formation in vitro remain to be determined and the role of p62 filaments inside the cell is currently unclear. We determined four high-resolution cryo-EM structures of different human and Arabidopsis PB1 domain assemblies and observed a filamentous ultrastructure of phase-separated p62/SQSTM1 bodies using correlative cellular EM. We show that oligomerization or polymerization, driven by a double arginine finger in the PB1 domain, is a general requirement for lysosomal targeting of p62. Furthermore, the filamentous assembly state of p62 is required for autophagosomal processing of the p62-specific cargo KEAP1. Our results show that using such mechanisms, p62 filaments can be critical for cargo recognition and are an integral part of phase separated p62 bodies.
Introduction

p62/SQSTM1 (from here on p62) is a multifunctional adaptor protein that acts as a central scaffold protein in different cellular processes such as autophagy and signaling (Katsuragi, et al. 2015). p62 has a tendency to cluster and in human cells is often observed in discrete punctae known as p62 bodies (Lamark et al., 2003). The formation of these bodies is dependent on the amino-terminal PB1 domain of p62 (Cheng, 2015; Lamark et al., 2003). PB1 domains are protein interaction modules with critical roles in the assembly of protein complexes involved in autophagy, signaling, cell division and redox processes (Moscat et al., 2006), as well as the auxin response pathway in plants (Korasick et al. 2014). PB1 domains form homotypic interactions via conserved electrostatic motifs molded by basic or acidic surface patches on opposite faces of their ubiquitin-like β-grasp fold (Lamark et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2003). According to their interaction profile, PB1 domains are classified into type A (acidic, OPCA motif), type B (basic) or mixed type AB members (Wilson et al., 2003). While type A and type B PB1 domains can form heterodimeric protein complexes, type AB members can mediate interactions with either PB1 domain type or engage in homotypic interactions to form homo-oligomers or hetero-oligomers (Lamark et al., 2003; Sumimoto et al., 2007). More recently, PB1-mediated self-interaction of p62/SQSTM1 was found to result in the formation of filamentous polymers (Paine et al., 2005) with helical symmetry in vitro (Ciuffa et al., 2015).

p62 has been shown to function in autophagy and cellular signalling. Autophagy is a degradative cellular housekeeping pathway by which cytoplasmic materials are engulfed in a double membrane vesicle termed the autophagosome and delivered to the lysosomal compartment (Mizushima and Komatsu, 2011). Substrates for autophagy are not limited by molecular size and include large protein aggregates, intracellular pathogens and cellular organelles. Selective autophagy has been characterized as the process that specifically directs cytosolic substrates to the formation site of autophagosomal membranes (Johansen and Lamark, 2011; Kraft et al., 2010). As an autophagy receptor,
p62 links cargo proteins with the autophagosome membrane (Pankiv et al., 2007). PB1-mediated oligomerization of p62 is essential for its function as a selective autophagy receptor (Itakura and Mizushima, 2011) and thought to facilitate co-aggregation of ubiquitylated cargo (Wurzer et al., 2015). The C-terminal UBA domain of p62 captures ubiquitinated cargo and the LIR motif guides the cargo-receptor complex to Atg8/LC3, which is anchored to the surface of the autophagosomal membrane (Bjørkøy et al., 2005; Pankiv et al., 2007). In signalling, p62 bodies constitute an interaction hub for the kinases MEKK3, MEK5 and aPKCs, which also contain PB1 domains (Lamark et al., 2003), in addition to triggering the NFκB pathway through the polyubiquitination of tumor necrosis factor (TNF) receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6) (Duran et al., 2008).

Due to p62’s involvement in protein homeostasis, the impairment of autophagy or oxidative stress results in aggregation or upregulation of p62 including increased body formation (Carroll et al., 2018; Sukseree et al., 2018). Recently, we and others independently found that p62 reconstituted with other components of the autophagy pathway, such as ubiquitinated model cargo and the selective autophagy receptor NBR1, spontaneously coalesces into p62 bodies in vitro (Zaffagnini et al., 2018) and shows the characteristics of liquid-liquid phase separation in vivo (Sun et al., 2018). These studies established that oligomerization by the N-terminal PB1 domain of p62 is an essential requirement for recapitulating phase separation in vitro as well as for cargo uptake in vivo (Sun et al., 2018, Britzen-Laurent et al., 2010; Itakura and Mizushima, 2011).

The exact structural requirements and physiological conditions under which p62-PB1 domains self-assemble or engage in hetero-PB1 complexes are currently unclear. Furthermore, it is not known what assembly state of p62 is required for biological functions such as cargo uptake in autophagy or the formation of phase-separated compartments in vivo. Based on high-resolution electron cryo-microscopy (cryo-EM) and crystal structures, cellular EM,
biochemical and cellular characterization, we here reveal the structural basis for polymeric PB1 self-assembly and define the relevance of symmetry and spatial arrangement of the polymeric assembly state for p62 autophagy function in vivo.

**Results**

**p62, TFG-1 and AtNBR1 PB1 domains form filamentous homo-polymers**

Based on our previous finding that p62 is capable of forming homo-oligomeric filamentous assemblies (Ciuffa et al., 2015), we set out to understand whether related AB-type PB1 domains possess a similar property to self-assemble. With reference to sequence alignments, we expressed and purified PB1 domains from human p62<sup>1-102</sup>, p62<sup>1-122</sup>, TFG<sup>-1</sup><sup>1-95</sup> (Trk-fused gene 1), the atypical protein kinases PKCζ<sup>11-101</sup> as well as the evolutionary related PB1 domain of the NBR1<sup>1-95</sup> autophagy receptor from *Arabidopsis thaliana* (AtNBR1) (Svenning et al., 2011) (Figure 1A). p62, TFG-1, PKCζ and AtNBR1 are multi-domain proteins that share the N-terminal PB1 domain with additional functional C-terminal domains (Figure 1B). In order to assess whether these PB1 domain containing proteins are capable of forming high-molecular weight assemblies, we performed sedimentation assays by ultracentrifugation. The PB1 domains of TFG<sup>-1</sup><sup>1-95</sup>, AtNBR1<sup>1-95</sup>, p62<sup>1-102</sup> and p62<sup>1-122</sup> were found in the pellet fraction, whereas PB1 domains from PKCζ remained soluble (Figure 1C). We visualized the pelleted fractions using negative staining electron microscopy (EM) and observed elongated filamentous or tubular assemblies for the PB1 domains of p62<sup>1-122</sup>, TFG-1 and AtNBR1 that measure 145 ± 5, 900 ± 52 and 120 ± 4 Å in diameter, respectively (Figure 1D). Closer inspection of the sequence alignments revealed that all three of these PB1 domains share the tandem arginine motif close to the canonical lysine residue of the basic motif in B-type PB1 domains. By contrast, this tandem arginine motif is absent in AB-type PB1 sequences of PKCζ that does not form filamentous or tubular structures, suggesting a critical role for self-assembly.
Cryo-EM structures of AtNBR1 and p62-PB1 filaments

Of the three PB1 assemblies studied, AtNBR1\(^1\)\(^{1-95}\) (AtNBR1-PB1) and p62\(^{1-122}\) (p62-PB1) formed homogeneous filaments of constant diameter that appeared best suited for high-resolution structure investigation by cryo-EM. Therefore, we vitrified filaments of purified AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 domains and imaged the samples by cryo-EM (Figure 2A/B). Image classification of segmented PB1 helices revealed that both AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 polymerize in two different tubular morphologies: a projection class with a ladder-like pattern we term L-type and a projection class with a serpent-like one we term S-type (Figure 2C and Figure S1A-C). L-type and S-type helices partition approximately evenly, i.e. 40\% to 60\% and 55\% to 45\% for p62-PB1 and AtNBR1-PB1 samples respectively. Further analysis revealed that the occurrence of L-type or S-type assemblies is persistent along the individual helices in micrographs of AtNBR1-PB1 whereas for p62-PB1 filaments regularly displayed transitions from L-type to S-type symmetry (Figure S1D).

In an effort to understand the underlying structures of L-type and S-type projections, we analyzed the averaged power spectra from in-plane rotated segments and from class averages. The best Fourier spectra of AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 showed discrete layer-line reflections up to 5.9 and 4.7 Å suggesting a helical organization and preservation of structural order up to high resolution (Figure S1E-F). The comparison of the Fourier spectra confirmed that L-type and S-type structures are differently organized in their helical lattice. By indexing the layer lines in the Fourier spectra of AtNBR1-PB1 filaments, we concluded that L-type is a 2-stranded helix with a pitch of 77.2 Å and 11.47 subunits/turn, whereas S-type is a single double-strand helix with a pitch of 68.2 Å and 11.55 subunits/turn. For p62-PB1, we observed a 4-stranded L-type assembly and a 3-stranded S-type assembly. In the latter S-type, one of the three helical rungs is propagating in an antiparallel orientation, related to the central rung by local dihedral symmetry. The L-type here has a pitch of 135.9 Å with 14.16 subunits/turn and S-type has a pitch of 138.6 Å with 14.16 subunits/turn. Using the derived symmetries, we determined the 3.5/3.9 (L-type, p62/AtNBR1) and 4.0/4.4 Å (S-type, p62/AtNBR1) resolution structures
(Figure 2C and Figure S1G-H). All four structures form tubules of approximately 120 Å and 150 Å width with an inner diameter of 45 Å and 70 Å for AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1, respectively. In all reconstructions, the main chain of the PB1 domain could be resolved with α-helical pitch features and individual β-strands separated. The overall fold of the asymmetric unit was found compatible with the NMR structure of the p62 PB1 monomer (Saio et al., 2009; 2010) (Figure 3A/B). In the absence of prior structural information, we traced the AtNBR1-PB1 de novo. This de novo-built model is in close agreement with the 1.6 Å crystal structure of a polymerization-deficient AtNBR1-PB1 mutant, which we solved in parallel (Figure S2A, Table 1). The relative orientation between adjacent subunits is very similar in the respective S-type and L-type assemblies of AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 (Figure S2B). The β1-α1 loop in p62 is flexible and only visible in the L-type assembly density (Figure S2C). Expanding the asymmetric unit using the helical parameters of the L-type and S-type structures allowed analysis of the interface between repeating units. Despite overall similar interaction modes, the AtNBR1 and p62 assemblies showed differences in relative domain rotation between adjacent subunits and with respect to the helical axis (Figure 3C). In agreement with sequence analysis (see Figure 1A), the electrostatic potential mapped onto the molecular surface of the structures revealed that opposing charged surfaces mediate the PB1-PB1 interactions in the helical repeat (Figure 3D). In addition, we more closely examined the interface of homomeric interactions in the helical assemblies. The main interactions are formed between a double arginine finger formed by two neighboring arginine residues in strand β2 (R19-R20AtNBR1/R20-21p62) stabilizing strong salt-bridges to acidic residues (D60/D62/D64/D73AtNBR1 or D69/D71/D73/E82p62) in the OPCA motif located in the β2-β3 loop and the α2 helix (Figure 3E). These interactions are assisted by the canonical type B lysine (K11AtNBR1 and K7p62) in strand β1. Free energy calculations using the PDBePISA server (Krissinel and Henrick, 2007) suggest that a large part of the interface free energy is contributed by the double arginine finger. In addition to the canonical transverse interactions, the helices are further stabilized by longitudinal interactions Y14AtNBR1/N28AtNBR1 or K102p62/D92p62 and
R59\textsuperscript{p62}/D93\textsuperscript{p62} to subunits of neighboring strands along the helical axis (Figure S2D/E). The importance of electrostatic interactions on filament stability is further supported by the observation that increased ionic strength impedes stable filament formation and is sensitive to pH (Figure S3A-H). To validate our structural interpretation, we performed pull-down experiments using MBP-tagged wild-type AtNBR1-PB1 as a prey and a series of AtNBR1-PB1 interface mutants as bait (Figure 3F). All interface mutants decrease binding significantly compared with the wild-type and binding is completely abrogated in mutants lacking the double arginine finger, in agreement with observations in cellular assays (Svenning et al. 2011; Lamark et al., 2003). Together, the cryo-EM structures of two PB1 domain assemblies reveal that in addition to the canonical type electrostatic AB interactions the self-polymerization property is linked to the presence of a double arginine finger.

**p62-PB1 domain interactions in the context of filamentous assemblies**

After establishing the molecular basis of PB1 domain homo-polymerization, we wanted to understand how these assemblies interact with other PB1 domains of the A and B type that have been shown to co-localize with p62 punctae (Lamark et al., 2003). We therefore expressed and purified A-type human PB1 domains of MEK5\textsuperscript{5-108} and NBR1\textsuperscript{1-85}, the B-type PB1 domain of MEKK3\textsuperscript{43-127} and the AB-type PB1 domain of PKC\textgreek{z}\textsuperscript{11-101} and determined their binding affinities for polymerization-deficient p62\textsuperscript{D69A/D73A} (Wilson et al., 2003) by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). These PB1 domains show 2-10 fold lower binding affinity to p62 compared with its self-interaction dissociation constant (K\textsubscript{D}) of 6 nM (Ren et al., 2014), with K\textsubscript{D} of 8.9 ± 0.9 nM, 12.6 ± 0.4 nM, 26.8 ± 0.5 nM, and 105 ± 1.3 nM determined for PKC\textgreek{z} (Ren et al., 2014), NBR1, MEKK3, and MEK5, respectively (Figure 4A). We therefore hypothesized that binding of these PB1 domain could compete with p62 self-polymerization and affect the assembly structures of p62-PB1 filaments. We found that NBR1-PB1 strongly interacts with p62-PB1 filaments and shortens p62-PB1 filaments on average to less than half the starting length (Figure 4B/C). Surprisingly, MEKK3, MEK5 and PKC\textgreek{z}-PB1 showed no effect on the pelletation behavior of p62 assemblies although having only marginally lower affinities than NBR1.
To further analyze the interactions, we turned to negative staining EM. In agreement with the co-sedimentation data, for PB1 domains other than NBR1 we did not observe any effect on the morphology of p62 PB1 filaments and the measured filament lengths. In order to increase the sensitivity of detecting interactions with p62-PB1 filaments, we also imaged p62-PB1 filaments incubated with nanogold-labeled NBR1, MEKK3, MEK5 and PKCζ PB1 domains using negative staining EM (Figure 4E). For all PB1 domains, the micrographs confirmed end-on binding of the PB1 domains to p62-PB1 polymers or to oligomeric, ring-like structures. Interestingly, NBR1, MEK5, PKCζ PB1 domains preferably bind to one end of the filament (Figure 4F) consistent with an overall polar assembly observed in the 3D reconstructions of p62-PB1 filaments (see Figure 2). MEKK3-PB1 (type B) was not observed at p62-PB1 filament ends, but occasionally found at oligomeric ring-like structures. Biochemical interaction studies suggest that assembled filamentous p62 can display significantly lower apparent binding affinities for interacting PB1 domains than when present in the monomeric form.

**Cellular p62 bodies consist of filamentous structures**

Although self-oligomerization of p62 has been shown to be essential for targeting of p62 to the autophagosome (Itakura and Mizushima, 2011), it is unclear whether the filamentous assemblies observed in vitro are involved in this process or even occur inside of cells. We used correlative light and electron microscopy (CLEM) to study the ultrastructure of p62 bodies in a targeted manner. In order to enrich endogenous p62 bodies in RPE1 cells, we overexpressed a human NBR1 D50R mutant that abolishes the interaction with p62 (Lamark et al., 2003). Co-sedimentation experiments in which the relative amount of p62 in the monomeric and polymeric state are determined indeed showed that wild-type NBR1 solubilizes filamentous p62-PB1 whereas the D50R mutant does not (Figure 5A). In RPE1 cells, the NBR1-D50R mutant consistently produced larger p62 clusters possibly by promoting self-polymerization as observed in vitro (Figure S4A). In such cells, we localized p62 to punctate areas of 0.5 ± 0.1 μm diameter by fluorescence microscopy and visualized their ultrastructure by electron tomography (Figure 5B and
Figure S4B/C). The electron micrographs revealed that p62 bodies have a distinct appearance that is well differentiable from the cytosol with an electron-dense boundary of approx. 60 nm thickness surrounding the body (Figure 5C/D). We thresholded the interior density and found the p62 bodies are composed of a dense meshwork of filamentous assemblies (Figure 5E). Quantitative analysis of thresholded images confirmed the presence of elongated filament-like structures with an average diameter of 15 nm compatible in dimensions with the helical p62 structures observed in vitro (Ciuffa et al, 2015). We estimated the length of these structures by tracing individual filaments in sequential tomogram slices (Figure 5F). CLEM visualization of p62 bodies in cells under endogenous p62 levels confirm the presence of filamentous assemblies.

The effect of different p62’s assemblies on autophagy clearance and lysosomal targeting of KEAP1

We next set out to assess the relevance of symmetry and assembly state of PB1-mediated filament assemblies for biological function within cellular p62 bodies and lysosomal targeting through the autophagy pathway. In the comparison of PB1 assemblies visualized by negative staining EM, TFG1 showed the most striking difference to p62 assemblies both in size and apparent symmetry (see Figure 1D). Therefore, we generated two p62 chimeras by fusing the TFG-PB1 domain to either p62(123-408) or p62Δ123-319 (mini-p62), containing only the p62 LIR motif and UBA domain (Figure 6A) and visualized the resulting assemblies by negative staining EM (Figure 6B). The TFG1:p62 chimera forms 48 nm wide filaments, which is approximately three times the diameter of WT-p62 filaments and possesses a helical architecture clearly different from that of WT-p62 filaments. The TFG1-mini-p62 chimera forms defined, ring-shaped oligomers with ~12 nm in diameter. To test whether the TFG1-p62 fusion constructs are able to form p62 bodies in cells, we expressed the chimeras fused to an N-terminal GFP tag in HeLa cells deficient of endogenous p62. As controls we also expressed GFP-tagged WT p62 and the mini-p62 construct (p62Δ123-319) (Figure 6C). The transfected cells were analyzed by confocal fluorescence microscopy 24 h and
48 h post transfection. All constructs formed p62 bodies with the majority of dots having a diameter in the range 0.1 to 0.5 μm. We further classified GFP-positive punctae according to frequency of occurrence, the tendency to cluster and the morphological appearance (Figure 6C-D and Figure S5F).

We next asked whether TFG1-p62 could perform the biological function of p62. We first assessed whether TFG1-p62 can be turned over by autophagy and targeted to acidified cellular compartments by using the “traffic light” reporter. Here, the mCherry-YFP tandem tag is fused to the target protein and the acidification of the construct in lysosomes is monitored by appearance of red punctae. Although both TFG1-p62 chimera displayed a diffuse yellow fraction, they were almost as efficiently degraded by autophagy as the WT and mini-p62 constructs (Figure 6E-F, Figure S5A-D). We then asked if the TFG-p62 chimera were able to act as cargo receptor for a p62-specific substrate, KEAP1, and mediate autophagy degradation (Jain et al., 2010). Interestingly, only the WT and mini-p62 constructs could mediate acidification of tandem tagged KEAP1 when co-expressed as Myc-tagged constructs in the p62 KO HeLa cells. No autophagic turnover of mCherry-YFP-KEAP1, however, was observed upon co-expression with chimera Myc-TFG-p62 or Myc-TFG-minip62 (Fig. 6G-H, Figure S6A-D). Autophagy clearance studies established that TFG PB1-p62 chimera can be degraded by autophagy despite their assembly into non-native polymers, whereas these assemblies are not compatible with the structural requirements for mediating degradation of the p62-specific substrate KEAP1.

Discussion

The PB1 domain is a common interaction module present in all kingdoms of life and found in various proteins involved in membrane trafficking, redox regulation, cell division as well as in signaling. In the current study, we focused on the structure in addition to the biological and functional relevance of the p62-PB1 domain in the context of polymeric assemblies. The overall ubiquitin-like fold of the PB1 domain has been determined and different interface types through acidic and basic patches have been identified in earlier studies (Lamark et al., 2003; Wilson et al., 2003). Our cryo-EM structures of filamentous p62
and AtNBR1 PB1 assemblies revealed that the presence of a tandem arginine sequence in the basic motif of type AB interfaces is required to stabilize a polymeric assembly. Although the exact composition of the interface between opposed and electrostatically complementary surfaces is distinctly different for the two PB1 assemblies, the main functional acidic and basic residues including the essential double arginine finger are conserved (Figure 3). Furthermore, we observed that the propagation of the helical rung is also distinctly different in p62 and AtNBR1 assemblies, with small changes in primary structure giving rise to large differences in quaternary structure. This property has been characterized in other sequence-related helical systems (Egelman et al., 2015). Interestingly, we also found that the polymeric PB1 domain structures of human p62 and AtNBR1 are assembled from a common helical rung into two morphologically distinct organization types, i.e. in the form of differently organized helical rungs. We speculate that this observed plasticity of assembling a common helical rung is a consequence of flexibility in forming the longitudinal PB1-PB1 interactions in the loop regions. As the constructs used here for structure determination and cellular assays were limited to PB1 domains of AtNBR1 and p62, the relevance and functional consequences of these different morphological arrangements within cellular polymeric assemblies remain open. Full-length p62 was shown to be flexible and at this stage too disordered to be amenable to 3D reconstructions (Ciuffa et al., 2015). In line with our previous analysis, the PB1 domain directs the C-terminus either to the outside or the inside of the helical assembly, depending on the exact helical arrangement. It is possible to envision that different morphological arrangements affect the availability of critical interaction motifs outside the PB1 domain, i.e. LIR and KIR motif as well as the UBA domain.

Previous studies showed that purified full-length p62 can also form helical filaments (Ciuffa et al., 2015; Paine et al., 2005). The existence of these assembly structures inside of cells, however, had not been demonstrated. Therefore, we used the CLEM technique to identify and visualize the ultrastructural organization of p62 found in large clusters known as p62 bodies.
Image analysis confirmed that p62 bodies consist of a meshwork of short filamentous structures. The principal dimension of the observed structures is consistent in width and length with previous measurements in vitro (Ciuffa et al., 2015). The structures are compatible with recently observed aggregates of p62 in brain neurons and neuroepithelial cells (Sukseree et al., 2018). Due to the limited length and flexibility, p62 filaments pack loosely into a spheroid-shaped, meshwork-like superstructure. The observed bodies with average dimensions below micrometers in size aggregate in structures that appear morphologically separated from the cytosol (Figure 4), suggestive of phase separation as observed previously in reconstitution experiments (Sun et al., 2018; Zaffagnini et al., 2018). The observed body structures of hundreds of nanometers are also significantly larger than individual filaments with on average 30 nm length. When organized in such large superstructures, p62 bodies are more similar in dimension to typical molecular cargo, such as protein aggregates, viruses and organelles when compared with receptor oligomers or filament assemblies alone.

The organization of p62 in filamentous assemblies has direct functional consequences for the interaction with a series of binding partners in the context of autophagy as well as signaling. It has been demonstrated that a polymeric organization of p62 can enhance low affinity interactions to highly avid interactions (Wurzer et al., 2015). In addition, using p62-interacting PB1 domains from MEK5, PKCζ, and MEKK3 kinases we show that p62 polymeric assemblies can be capped on one end or dissociate into smaller, ring-like structures. The intact p62 filaments occlude the bulk of PB1 interaction sites that are accessible in its monomeric state (Figure 6) (Wilson et al., 2003). Conversely, we show that end-binding of NBR1 to p62 filaments leads to disassembly and shortening, which can thereby modulate the length of the filamentous structure. As NBR1 binding has been shown to promote p62 body formation in vitro (Sun et al., 2018; Zaffagnini et al., 2018) to co-localize with p62 bodies in vivo (Kirkin et al., 2009), we hypothesize that this filament-end interaction by NBR1 cross-links shorter filaments more effectively into larger
structures and thereby also affects the size of p62 bodies in cells. We speculate that other interactors have similar effects on the size and dynamics of p62 bodies as they may occur in phase separation processes. The size of bodies will also control the availability of interaction sites. The here presented structures and interaction studies of PB1-p62 filaments reveal a series of regulation mechanisms that are critical in the functional context of p62’s action in autophagy and signaling.

In order to understand how the assembly state, the specific symmetry and subunit arrangement of this state affect p62’s biological function, we tested a series of chimera variants of p62 for their efficiency with regard to cargo uptake and autophagic degradation in the cell. The experiments showed that polymeric as well as oligomeric ring-like scaffolds from related PB1 domains fused to the C-terminal functional domains of p62 can be taken up by the autophagy machinery almost as efficiently as WT-p62. Interestingly, this is not the case for variants of p62 that are monomeric and diffuse in the cytosol (Itakura and Mizushima, 2011). Our results suggest that structures organized in larger oligomeric clusters are sufficient to mediate self-disposal of p62 (Figure 5), presumably due to increased avidity of accessible LIR motifs and UBA domains. The specific uptake of the model cargo KEAP1, however, could only be accomplished by WT-p62 and mini-p62 retaining the structural context of native p62 assemblies. Other TFG-PB1-p62 chimera polymers were not capable of transferring KEAP1 to the lysosome. This finding suggests that the PB1-scaffolded p62 polymers also provide critical 3D interfaces that are topologically distinct from the KIR motif and participate in the recognition process of KEAP1 cargo. In conclusion, larger p62 assemblies including ring-like structures and filaments are essential for disposal of autophagy cargo. The precise structural context within the filament assembly is required for specific cargo recognition of KEAP1, and possibly other p62-specific cargoes.
Methods

Protein purification and biophysical characterization

Protein purification. At NBR1 residues 1-95 (NBR1-PB1), p62 residues 1-122 (p62-PB1) and TFG-1 residues 1-95 (TFG1-PB1) were cloned into a pETM44 expression vector containing a N-terminal His6-tag, followed by a maltose-binding protein (MBP) tag and a recognition sequence for 3C protease. Proteins were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) using auto-induction in lactose-containing media (Studier, 2005). After 18 h, cells were harvested by centrifugation, re-suspended in lysis buffer (50 mM HEPES pH 8.0, 0.5 M NaCl, 0.05 mM TCEP, 0.1 % (v/v) Triton X-100) and lysed by three cycles of rapid freeze-thawing in liquid nitrogen. After removal of cell debris by centrifugation, recombinant proteins were purified by Ni-NTA affinity chromatography, and diafiltrated into 50 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 0.1 M NaCl, 0.05 mM TCEP) followed by proteolytic cleavage of the His6/MBP by incubation with 1:200 mol/mol 3C protease at ambient temperature. After 1h, the cleavage solution was incubated with Talon resin (Clontech) for 15 min and the resin subsequently sedimented by centrifugation. The supernatant contained the respective PB1 domains in high purity. p62 residues 1-122 (p62-PB1) were cloned into pOPTM and expressed as an MBP fusion protein in E. coli BL21 (DE3) using auto-induction (Studier 2005). NBR1 residues 1-85 (NBR1-PB1), pKCζ residues 11-101 (pKCζ-PB1), MEK5 residues 5-108 (MEK5-PB1) and MEKK3 residues 43-127 (MEKK3-PB1) were cloned into the pETM11 containing an N-terminal His6-tag followed by a recognition sequence for TEV protease. Proteins were expressed in E. coli BL21 (DE3) using auto-induction (Studier 2005). For the gold labeling experiments the His6-tag was not removed to allow binding of 5 nm Ni-NTA-Nanogold® (Nanoprobes). For consistency the His6-tag was also kept on the proteins for the co-pelletation assay.

Thermal unfolding assays. For thermal denaturation assays protein was dialyzed into 15 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl for pH screening or 100 mM HEPES (pH 7.5) for ionic strength screening. All additives were dissolved in 50 mM HEPES (pH 7.5). A volume of 12.5 μl of a solution containing 500 ng protein was diluted in H2O with 5x Sypro Orange (Sigma-Aldrich) and
immediately mixed with an equal volume of assay condition. All conditions were assessed in triplicate. Fluorescence increase was monitored on a MyiQ real-time PCR instrument (BioRad). Assays were performed over a temperature range of 15–90 °C using a ramp rate of 1 °C/min in steps of 0.5 °C. Fluorescence data from triplicate measurements were baseline corrected individually, and unfolding curves were normalized to maximum fluorescence to give fractional denaturation curves. The apparent $T_m$ was determined as the inflection point of a sigmoidal fit to the normalized fluorescence signal using a customized routine in R.

**Quantification of PB1 binding affinities**

Isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC) experiments were carried out with a VP-ITC system (MicroCal). Experiments were performed at 25 °C in 10 mM HEPES (pH 7.5), 150 mM NaCl. Purified p62$^{1-122}$ D69A/D73A was placed in the reaction cell at a concentration of 5–20 μM with either MEK5 or NBR1 at a concentration of 25–100 μM in the injection syringe. Injections of 10 μL of syringe solution were performed at 4-min intervals. Integration of raw thermogram data, baseline correction and data processing were performed with the NITPIC (Scheuermann and Brautigam, 2015) and SEDPHAT (Zhao et al., 2015) software packages. The data were corrected by the heat of injection calculated from the basal heat remaining after saturation. A one-site binding mode was used to fit the data using a nonlinear least squares algorithm (Brautigam et al., 2016). The values reported are the mean of three independent measurements and errors represent the corresponding standard deviation.

**Co-pelleting assay**

Co-pelleting assay was performed according to the F-actin binding cosedimentation assay from Cytoskeleton Inc. In brief, p62-PB1$^{1-122}$, potential binding partner, or p62-PB1$^{1-122}$ together with potential binding partner were incubated for 1 h on ice followed by centrifugation at 49,000 g, 4 °C for 30 min in a TLA-100 rotor. The pellet and supernatant were assayed by SDS-PAGE and stained with Coomassie.
Negative staining EM and filament length measurements

p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} was incubated with different binding partners for 1 h on ice followed by 30 min incubation with 5 nm Ni-NTA-Nanogold\textsuperscript{®} (diluted 1:25). Excess nanogold was removed through pelleting of filaments by ultracentrifugation at 49,000 g, 4 °C for 30 min in a TLA-100 rotor, and the pellet fraction was resuspended in 20 mM HEPES pH 8, 50 mM NaCl. The sample (3.6 μl) was applied to a glow-discharged carbon-coated EM grid and blotted according to the side blotting method (Ohi et al., 2004). Grids were imaged using a Morgagni 268 transmission electron microscope (FEI) operated at 100 kV with a side-mounted 1K CCD camera. Filament length for p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} and p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122}/HsNBR1\textsuperscript{1-85} were measured using Fiji (Schindelin et al., 2012) and statistical analysis was done using a two-tailed unpaired t-test with Welch’s correction in GraphPad Prism 6.0.

Electron cryo-microscopy and image processing

Microscopy. For AtNBR1\textsuperscript{1-95}, a total of 3.0 μl of 0.4 mg/ml AtNBR1-PB1 was applied to glow-discharged C-flat grids (CF-1.2/1.3-2C, 400 mesh holey carbon on copper; Protochips) on a Leica GP2 vitrification robot (Leica, Germany) at 95% humidity and 25 °C. The sample was incubated for 10 s on the grid before blotting for 2 s from the back side of the grid and immediately flash-frozen in liquid ethane. Micrographs were acquired at 300 kV using an FEI Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) equipped with a Falcon II direct detector at a magnification of 59,000, corresponding to a pixel size of 1.386 Å at the specimen level. Image acquisition was performed with EPU Software (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and micrographs were collected at an underfocus varying between 0.5 and 4.5 μm. We collected a total of seven frames accumulating to a dose of 14 e/Å\textsuperscript{2} over 0.82 sec. In total, 742 micrographs were acquired, of which we selected 684 for further processing after discarding micrographs that did not show Thon rings exceeding 6 Å.

For p62\textsuperscript{1-122}, L-type filaments were enriched by the following procedure: 0.2 mg p62-PB1 (100 μl) was ammonium sulfate precipitated (25 % v/v) and incubated o/n at 4 °C. Sample was spun at 17,000 g for 15 min at 4 °C and pellet was resuspended in 50 mM TRIS (pH 7.5), 100 mM NaCl, 4 mM DTT. This
ammonium sulfate precipitation was repeated a second time. In the final step, the sample was centrifuged at 49,000 g for 45 min at 4 °C and pellet resuspended in 25 μl. A total of 3.6 μl resulting p62-PB1-122 solution was applied to glow-discharged Quantifoil R2/1 Cu 400 mesh grids on a Vitrobot Mark IV (Thermo Fisher Scientific) at 10°C and 100% humidity. The sample was blotted for 5 s from both sides and flash-frozen in liquid ethane after a drain time of 1 s. Micrographs were acquired at 300 kV using a FEI Titan Krios (Thermo Fisher Scientific) with a K2 Summit detector (Gatan, Inc.), a pixel size of 1.04 Å and an underfocus ranging from 0.5 to 2.5 μm. 40 frames were collected in counting mode with a dose rate of 4.5 e/Å²s and a total dose of 40 e/Å². In total, 2277 micrographs were automatically collected and 856 micrographs without ice contamination or carbon chosen for further processing.

**Image processing.** For the AtNBR1 dataset, movie frames were aligned using MOTIONCORR (Li et al., 2013). The resulting frame stacks and integrated images (total frame sums) were used for further processing. The contrast-transfer function of the micrographs was determined with CTFFIND4 using the integrated images. Helix coordinates were picked using e2helixboxer.py from the EMAN2 package (Tang et al., 2007). Initially a subset of 100 images was selected for preliminary processing in SPRING (Desfosses et al., 2014). Briefly, overlapping helix segments of 350 x 350 Å dimensions were excised from the frame-aligned images with a mean step size of 60 Å using the SEGMENT module in SPRING. In-plane rotated, phase-flipped segments were subjected to 2D classification by k-means clustering as implemented in SPARX (Hohn et al., 2007). During a total of five iterations, the segments were classified and iteratively aligned against a subset of class averages chosen based on the quality of their power spectra. Class averages revealed two distinct helix types referred to as S-type and L-type. We determined the helical symmetry for the L-type helices by indexing of the power spectra obtained from the 2D classification. Final symmetry parameters were determined with a symmetry search grid using SEGMENTREFINE3DGRID. For 3D refinement and reconstruction, the excised segments were convolved with the CTF and no in-plane rotation was applied prior to reconstruction. Starting from the symmetry
parameters obtained for the L-type helix, symmetry parameters of the S-type helix were refined. The maximum of the mean cross correlation peak between computed and experimental power spectra was found at a pitch of 70 Å, 11.55 units per turn for the two-start L-type helix and a pitch of 68.2 Å, 11.55 units per turn for the one-start S-type helix. Using the refined symmetry parameters, we performed a competitive high-resolution multi-model structure refinement using all 684 images with a final resolution of 4.5/3.9 Å and 5.0/4.4 Å (FSC 0.5/0.143) for the two-start (L-type) and one-start (S-type) helix reconstructions (Table S2).

For the p62 dataset, movie frames were aligned in RELION3 (Zivanov et al., 2018) using 5x5 patches. The contrast-transfer function of the micrographs was determined with Gctf (Zhang, 2016). Helix coordinates were automatically picked in RELION3 and segments extracted with a step of 22.5 Å, binning 2 and an unbinned box size of 256 pixels. 2D classification with 100 classes was performed and classes were selected that showed secondary structure features. Two separate subsequent 2D classifications were performed with two distinct groups of 2D classes belonging to an S-type and L-type pattern. Using SEGCLASSRECONSTRUCT from the SPRING package (Desfosses et al., 2014) a series of putative helical symmetry solutions could be obtained. In addition to running a series of refinements with these symmetry solutions, a C1 reconstruction provided additional hints for symmetry parameters. Imposition of wrong symmetry parameters led to smeared density features whereas only the correct symmetries for both filament types led to recognizable high-resolution side-chain features. Helical symmetry was automatically refined in RELION to 77.3° helical rotation and 4.8 Å rise for the S-type and 26.5° rotation and 9.8 Å rise for the L-type, respectively (Table S2). Focused refinement was performed using a mask covering the central 25% of the filament along the helical axis. This approach improved the resulting resolution for the L-type, but not for the S-type. Final resolution was estimated at 3.5 Å and 4.0 Å using the FSC and the 0.143 criterion cutoff (Rosenthal and Henderson, 2003), for the L and S-type respectively.
Post-processing and model building. For visual display and model building, the AtNBR1 EM density map of the individual reconstructions were initially filtered to 3.9 Å and 4.4 Å respectively and sharpened using a B-factor of -200 Å². The AtNBR1-PB1 subunit model was built into the 3.9 Å density map of the L-type arrangement de novo in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). Residues 81-85 could not be built de novo due to weak density, but were added based on the high-resolution crystal structure obtained in this study which showed good agreement with the weak density. For the p62-PB1 (3-102) map, the NMR structure from rattus norvegicus (PDB ID 2kkc) was rigid-body fitted into the RELION-postprocessed density of the L-type filament and then manually adjusted to the human sequence in COOT (Emsley and Cowtan, 2004). The models were expanded using helical symmetry and a nine-subunit segment was excised to serve as a refinement target taking into account interactions along the azimuthal propagation and lateral interactions along the helical axis. Following real-space refinement in PHENIX (Adams et al., 2010), we used model-based density scaling (Jakobi et al., 2017) to generate locally sharpened maps and completed the model in COOT followed by further iterations of real-space refinement. The final monomer atomic model from the L-type arrangement was rigid-body fitted into the S-type density and refinement of the model was performed as described above.

X-ray crystallography

Crystals of AtNBR11-95 carrying a D60A/D62A mutation were grown using hanging drop vapour diffusion at 292 K by mixing equal volumes of 11 mg ml⁻¹ protein and reservoir solution. Within 10 h, crystals appeared as needle clusters in 0.085 M MES (pH 6.5), 18.2% (w/v) PEG20000. Isolated needles (10x2x4 μm) were obtained by streak seeding with a cat whisker into 0.1 M MES (pH 6.5), 18-20% (w/v) PEG20000 or 0.1 M sodium cacodylate (pH 6.5), 0.2 M (NH₄)₂SO₄, 30-33% PEG8000. For cryo-protection, crystals were soaked in the crystallization condition supplemented with 15% (v/v) glycerol. Diffraction data were collected on the ID23-2 microfocus beamline at the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility (ESRF) and processed with XDS (Kabsch, 2010) (Kabsch, 1993) and AIMLESS (Evans and Murshudov, 2013). Initial attempts to solve
the crystal structure using the cryo-EM atomic model were unsuccessful. The crystal structure was solved using molecular replacement using the monomer density from the L-type cryo-EM reconstruction as the search model. Briefly, the monomer density was obtained by cutting out density extending 4.5 Å beyond the atomic coordinates. The extracted map segment was centred in a P1 unit cell extending over three times the maximum map dimension, converted to structure factors using a in house, customized CCTBX (Grosse-Kunstleve et al., 2002) routine and used for automated molecular replacement in PHASER (McCoy et al., 2007). The top scoring solution had a translation function Z-score of 16.5. Henderson-Lattmann coefficients were generated from the figure-of-merit (FOM) obtained from the PHASER solution and employed for phase extension using the high-resolution X-ray crystallographic data by density modification in RESOLVE (Terwilliger et al., 2013), yielding excellent electron density. Using the 1.9 Å data, the model was built using Arp/Warp (Langer et al., 2008) and completed manually in COOT. Table 1 summarizes data collection and refinement statistics.

**Correlative light and electron microscopy**

For CLEM, RPE1 cells were transiently transfected with pDestEGFP-NBR1(D50R) (Kirkin et al., 2009) and grown on photo-etched coverslips (Electron Microscopy Sciences, Hatfield, USA). Cells were fixed in 4% formaldehyde, 0.1% glutaraldehyde/0.1 M PHEM (240 mM PIPES, 100 mM HEPES, 8 mM MgCl₂, 40 mM EGTA, pH 6.9), for 1h. The coverslips were then washed in PBS containing 0.005% saponin and stained with the indicated primary antibodies for 1 hour (rabbit anti-p62 (MBL, PM045), mouse anti-NBR1 (Santa Cruz, #sc-130380)), washed three times in PBS/saponin, stained with secondary antibodies (from Jackson Immunoresearch Laboratories) for 1 hour, washed three times in PBS and shortly rinsed in water. The cells were mounted with Mowiol containing 2 µg/ml Hoechst 33342 (Sigma-Aldrich). Mounted coverslips were examined with a Zeiss LSM780 confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH, Jena, Germany) utilizing a Laser diode 405-30 CW (405 nm), an Ar-Laser Multiline (458/488/514 nm), a DPSS-561 10 (561 nm), and a HeNe-laser (633 nm). The objective used for confocal microscopy
was a Zeiss plan-Apochromat 63x/1.4 Oil DIC III. Cells of interest were identified by fluorescence microscopy and a Z-stack was acquired. The relative positioning of the cells on the photo-etched coverslips was determined by taking a DIC image. The coverslips were removed from the object glass, washed with 0.1 M PHEM buffer and fixed in 2% glutaraldehyde/0.1 M PHEM for 1h. Cells were postfixed in osmium tetroxide, stained with tannic acid, dehydrated stepwise to 100% ethanol and flat-embedded in Epon. Serial sections (~100-200 nm) were cut on an Ultracut UCT ultramicrotome (Leica, Germany), collected on formvar coated mesh-grids, and poststained with lead citrate.

**Electron tomography from cellular sections**

Samples were observed using a FEI Talos F200C electron microscope (Thermo Fisher Scientific, Netherlands). Image series were taken between -60° and 60° with 2° increment. Single-tilt or double-tilt series (as indicated in the text above) were recorded with a Ceta 16M camera. Single axis tomograms were computed using weighted back projection and, when applicable, merged into a dual-axis tomogram using the IMOD package. Display and animation of segmentation of tomograms were performed using a scripted workflow in ImageJ and IMARIS.

**Autophagy and p62 turnover assays**

**Antibodies and reagents.** The following antibodies were used: mouse anti-Myc antibody (Cell Signaling, Cat.#2276#, 1:8000 for western blots and 1:5000 for confocal imaging); rabbit anti-GFP antibody (Abcam, ab290, 1:5000); guinea pig anti-p62 antibody (Progen, Cat#Gp62-C#, 1:5000); rabbit anti-Actin antibody (Sigma, Cat#A2066#, 1:1000); Alexa Fluor® 647-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (A21236, 1:1000); HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG (1:5000), goat anti rabbit IgG (1:5000) and goat anti-guinea pig IgG (1:5000).

**Generation of HeLa cells KO for p62 by CRISPR/Cas9.** To generate CRISPR/Cas9 p62 gRNA plasmid, sense and antisense p62 gRNA were annealed and then inserted into plasmid pX330 (Ref PMID: 23287718). For
generation of CRISPR/Cas9 p62 KO cells, approximately 30,000 HeLa cells were seeded per well into 24 well plates and transfected with plasmid pX330 p62 gRNA using Metafectene Pro (Biontex T040). For clonal selection, cells were treated with 500 ng/ml of puromycin 24 hours after transfection for 48–72 hours. Later, single cells were sorted into 96 well plate using FACS (fluorescence-activated cell sorting). These clones were allowed to grow for 7–10 days before screening for KO using immunoblotting. The following sense 5’- CACCGTCATCCTTCACGTAGGACA-3’ and antisense 5’- AAACTGTCCTACGTGAAGGATGAC-3’ gRNAs were used.

Construction of Plasmids. The gateway entry clone pENTR-p62 has been described previously (Lamark et al., 2003). pENTR-p62 Δ123-319 was made by deletion of pENTR-p62. TFG-p62 fusion constructs were produced by InFusion PCR. To subclone the TFG-p62 fusion constructs into an ENTRY vector, an NcoI site was inserted into the start codon of p62 in pENTR-p62, creating pENTR-p62CATGG. The start codons in TFG-p62 (AJD152) and TFG-mini-p62 (AJD157) already have NcoI sites, and there is an additional NcoI site close to the end of the p62 cDNA sequence in pENTR-p62, TFG-p62 and TFG-mini-p62. To replace wild type p62 of pENTR-p62CATGG, TFG-p62 from AJD152 and AJD157 were subcloned as NcoI fragments into pENTR-p62CATGG cut with NcoI, creating pENTR-TFG-p62 and pENTR-TFG-mini-p62, respectively. Gateway LR recombination reactions were performed as described in the Gateway cloning technology instruction manual (Invitrogen). Gateway expression clones pDest-Myc-p62, pDest-EGFP-p62 and pDest-mCherry-EGFP-KEAP1 have been described previously (Jain et al., 2010; Lamark et al., 2003). pDest-Myc-p62 Δ123-319, pDest-Myc- TFG-p62 and pDest-Myc- TFG-mini-p62 were made by Gateway LR reactions using destination vector pDest-Myc (Lamark et al., 2003; mammalian expression of N-terminal Myc-tagged proteins). pDest-EGFP-p62 Δ123-319, pDest-EGFP-TFG-p62 and pDest-EGFP-TFG-mini-p62 were made using destination vector pDest-EGFP-C1 (Lamark et al., 2003; mammalian expression of N-terminal EGFP-tagged proteins). pDest-mCherry-EYFP-p62, pDest-mCherry-EYFP-
p62 Δ123-319, pDest-mCherry-EYFP- TFG-p62 and pDest-mCherry-EYFP- TFG-mini-p62 were made using destination vector pDest-mCherry-EYFP (Bhujabal et al., 2017; mammalian expression of N-terminal mCherry-EYFP double tagged proteins).

**Cell culture and transfections.** HeLa p62 KO cells were cultured in Eagle’s minimum essential medium with 10 % fetal bovine serum (Biochrom AG, S0615), non-essential amino acids, 2 mM L-glutamine and 1 % streptomycin-penicillin (Sigma, P4333). For transfection was used the same media but without 1% streptomycin-penicillin. Cells were fixed in 4 % PFA for 20 min at room temperature. For immunostaining, cells were permeabilized with cold methanol for 5 min at room temperature, blocked in 3 % goat serum/PBS and incubated at room temperature with antibodies. For DNA staining was used 1:4000 dilution in PBS of DAPI (Thermo Scientific; pr.66248). Samples were mounted using Mowiol 4-88 (Calbiochem 475904). Cells were examined using a Zeiss LSM780 microscope with a 63 x 1.4 oil-objective or a Leica TCS SP8 confocal microscope, 40 X 1.3 oil-objective.

**Western blot analyses.** Transfected HeLa p62 KO cells were harvested in 50 mM Tris pH 7.4, 2 % SDS, 1 % glycerol. Cell lysates were cleared by centrifugation, and supernatants resolved by SDS-PAGE and transferred to Hybond-ECL nitrocellulose membrane (GE healthcare). The membrane was blocked with 5 % nonfat dry milk in PBS-T, incubated with primary antibody overnight and HRP-conjugated secondary antibody for 1 hour at room temperature. Proteins were detected by immunoblotting with a chemiluminescence Luminol kit (SC-2048, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) using a Lumi Analyst Imager (Roche Applied Sciences).

**Accession numbers**

The PDB accession number for the atomic coordinates and structure factors for the AtNBR1-PB1 X-ray crystal structure reported in this paper is PDB-xxx. The EMDB accession numbers for the L- and S-type AtNBR1-PB1 cryo-EM maps
and models are EMD-xxx/EMD-yyyy and PDB-xxx/PDB-yyyy and those for the
L- and S-type p62-PB1 cryo-EM maps and atomic coordinate models are EMD-
xxx/EMD-yyyy and PDB-xxx/PDB-yyyy, respectively.
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**Figure 1** Type A/B PB1 domains and their capability to form polymers.

(A) Sequence alignment of the type A/B PB1 domains with highlighted tandem arginine motif. (B) Domain architecture of PKCζ, TFG-1, p62, and AtNBR1 proteins. (C) Pelletation assay of purified type A, B or AB PB1 domains: TFG-1, AtNBR1, PKCζ, p62^{1-102} and p62^{1-122}. Corresponding lanes of soluble (S) and pellet (P) fraction are shown. Only PKCζ remains soluble whereas TFG-1, AtNBR1 and p62 are found in the pellet. (D) Electron micrographs of negatively stained specimens reveal elongated filamentous p62^{1-122}, tubular polymers of TFG-1 and AtNBR1 of 145 ± 5, 900 ± 52 and 120 ± 4 Å nm in diameter, respectively.
Figure 2

(A) Electron cryo-micrograph of AtNBR1-PB1 and (B) p62-PB1 assemblies.

(C) Side and top views for determined cryo-EM structures of L-type AtNBR1-PB1 (far left), p62-PB1 (left) and S-type assembly of AtNbr-PB1 (right), p62-PB1 (far right).

Figure 2. Cryo-EM structures of AtNBR1 and p621-122.

(A) Electron cryo-micrograph of AtNBR1-PB1 and (B) p62-PB11-122 assemblies.

(C) Side and top views for determined cryo-EM structures of L-type AtNBR1-PB1 (far left), p62-PB1 (left) and S-type assembly of AtNbr-PB1 (right), p62-PB1 (far right).
**Figure 3.** Structural basis of PB1 polymer formation.

(A) Cryo-EM density maps of AtNBR1-PB1 (left) and p62-PB1 filaments are shown with atomic models superposed on the density. Close-ups show that both PB1 domains display the canonical ubiquitin-like fold (center left and center right). The arrow indicates the rotation of the p62-PB1 subunit relative to the AtNBR1-PB1 subunit in their respective assemblies. (B, C) Differences in the PB1-PB1 interface give rise to different helical architectures. (Left) Monomer $i$ of AtNBR1 (blue) and monomer $i$ p62 (yellow) were superposed to...
visualize the degree of domain rotation towards the next monomer along the helical rung (monomer i+1). (Right) Adjacent subunits along the helical rung for AtNBR1 display a 25° inward rotation compared with adjacent subunits of p62, explaining the observed differences in helical symmetry and diameter of AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 filaments, respectively (C).

(D) Electrostatic potential surface of the determined AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 structures. For both structures, the propagation of the helical structure is mediated and stabilized by positively and negatively charged surfaces on opposite faces of the PB1 fold. (E) Schematic illustration and detailed interactions of the PB1-PB1 interface as determined from the AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 cryo-EM structures, respectively. The structures are shown in cartoon representation highlighting key electrostatic residue contacts shown as sticks.

(F) In vitro pulldown with maltose-binding protein (MBP)-tagged wild-type AtNBR1-PB1 of structure-based AtNBR1-PB1 domain mutants.
Figure 4

(A) Quantitative determination of PB1 binding affinities by isothermal titration calorimetry. Data represent mean and standard deviations from three independent experiments. (B) Representative electron micrographs of negatively stained p62-PB1\(^{1-122}\) (left) and p62-PB1\(^{1-122}\) incubated with human NBR1-PB1 (right). (C) Quantification of filaments length of P62-PB1\(^{1-122}\) filaments before and after incubation with NBR1-PB1. (D) Co-sedimentation
assays of p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} with NBR1-PB1, PKC\_\textgreek{z}-PB1, MEK5-PB1 and MEKK3-PB1 (S = Supernatant; P = Pellet). Control experiments of p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} and the respective PB1 interactor alone are also shown. (E) Representative electron micrographs of negatively stained p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} with nanogold-labeled NBR1-PB1, PKC\_\textgreek{z}-PB1, MEK5-PB1 or MEKK3-PB1. (F) Quantification of p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} filaments displaying one or two nanogold-labeled PB1 interaction domains.
Figure 5.

(A) Effect of human NBR1-D50R mutation on p62 filaments. SDS-PAGE analysis of pelleting assay showing that p62-PB1 filaments are not disrupted by NBR1-PB1 with a D50R mutation (P = pellet; S = supernatant). (B) Representative confocal fluorescence images showing p62 and NBR1 in RPE1 cells. Co-localization analysis of fixed RPE1 cells stably expressing NBR1(D50R) shows no overlap of NBR1(D50R) with p62 bodies. (C) Representative electron tomogram slices of p62 bodies localized by CLEM. (D) Enlarged view of a representative tomogram slice from the highlighted p62 body in (B) reveals the filament-like meshwork of p62 bodies. Note the apparent phase separation of the p62 body from the cytosol. The ring of increased density surrounding the bodies is indicated by arrows. (E) Distribution of estimated filament length and width from tracing in thresholded tomograms. (F)
Schematic illustration of width and length measurements performed in thresholded tomograms.

**Figure 6.**

(A) Schematic illustration of used p62 constructs and chimeras. (B) Representative, negatively stained electron micrographs of purified p62.
constructs and chimeras from (A) including illustration of polymeric and oligomeric forms observed by negative staining electron microscopy. (C) Confocal fluorescent images of HeLa p62 (KO) cells expressing GFP-tagged constructs and chimeras. All examined constructs form punctate structures. (D) Quantification of the number of p62 bodies forming dots of various size. (E) Quantification of cells displaying yellow and red dots in (F). (F) Representative confocal fluorescence images of HeLa p62 (KO) cells expressing mCherry-YFP-tagged (dt-tagged) p62 constructs and chimeras. The appearance of red puncta (as an indicator of lysosomal localization) for all constructs indicates that all constructs and chimeras can be processed by autophagy. Punctae were counted and classified based on more than 100 cells in each condition in three independent experiments. (G) Representative confocal fluorescence images of HeLa p62 (KO) cells expressing the respective p62 constructs and chimeras, as well as mCherry-YFP-tagged KEAP1. (H) Statistics of appearance of lysosome-localized and cytosolic dots for mCherry-YFP-tagged KEAP1.
Figure S1. Electron cryo-microscopy of AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1.

(A) Representative micrographs of AtNBR1-PB1 with helix traces of segment centers classified as L-type (green) or S-type (blue) superposed. (B) Representative micrographs of p62-PB1 with center traces of segments classified as L-type (green) or S-type (blue) superposed. (C) Low-pass filtered class averages of L-type (top) and S-type (bottom) AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 assemblies. (D) Representative image showing transitions between L and S-type assemblies for p62-PB1 (E) Side-by-side power spectra of L-type (top) and S-type (bottom) AtNBR1-PB1 assemblies with the power of sum of segments (left) and that simulated from re-projection of the 3D structure (right). Arrows
indicate high-resolution meridional layer lines. (F) Power spectra of L-type (top) and S-type (bottom) p62-PB1 assemblies with the summed power spectra of the 2D classes. (G) Fourier shell correlation for 3D reconstruction of L-type (left) and S-type (right) AtNBR1-PB1 assemblies. (H) Fourier shell correlation for 3D reconstruction of L-type (left) and S-type (right) p62-PB1 assemblies. (I) Model vs. map Fourier shell correlation for L-type (left) and S-type (right) AtNBR1-PB1 assemblies. (J) Model vs. map Fourier shell correlation for L-type (left) and S-type (right) p62-PB1 assemblies.

Figure S2

(A) Superposition of cartoon representation of atomic models from the 1.6 Å crystal structure of AtNBR1-PB1 and the de novo-built model based on the 3.9 Å cryo-EM AtNBR1-PB1 density map. Marked differences are observed in loop regions mediating lateral contacts. (B) Superposition of atomic models for L-
type and S-type assemblies for AtNBR1-PB1 (left) and p62-PB1 (right). Monomer $i$ for each assembly is superposed and the difference in rotation of adjacent subunit $i+1$ are indicated. Only minor differences are observed. (C) LocScale map for L-type p62-PB1 cropped around one monomer. (D) Lateral contacts formed along the helical axis shown for AtNBR1-PB1 (left) and p62-PB1 (right). Subunits are shown in cartoon representation and relevant residue contacts are highlighted with side-chains shown as stick. (E) Schematic representation of common longitudinal contacts formed in AtNBR1-PB1 and p62-PB1 helices.

Figure S3

(A-F) Negative-stain electron micrographs of AtNBR1-PB1 at increasing NaCl concentrations (A, 0 mM; B, 50 mM; C, 100 mM; D, 150 mM; E, 250 mM; F, 500 mM) illustrate how ionic strength weakens PB1 homo-oligomerization and affects filament length. (G/H) Thermofluor protein unfolding curves demonstrate that high ionic strength, as well as low and high pH destabilize a thermodynamically favorable (filamentous) state of AtNBR1-PB1.

Figure S3. Electrostatic interactions drive type PB1 filament formation.

(A-F) Negative-stain electron micrographs of AtNBR1-PB1 at increasing NaCl concentrations (A, 0 mM; B, 50 mM; C, 100 mM; D, 150 mM; E, 250 mM; F, 500 mM) illustrate how ionic strength weakens PB1 homo-oligomerization and affects filament length. (G/H) Thermofluor protein unfolding curves demonstrate that high ionic strength, as well as low and high pH destabilize a thermodynamically favorable (filamentous) state of AtNBR1-PB1.
Figure S4

(A) Representative confocal fluorescence image of RPE1 cells expressing or
not expressing NBR1(D50R). Note the difference in average dot size of
mCherry-p62 observed for both cases. (B) Overview fluorescence image
showing the cells used for tomogram acquisition. Cells are outlined and the
tomogram number is indicated. (C) Close-up view of cells in (B) indicating the
subcellular position for tomogram acquisition.
Figure S5. Chimera variants of p62 with the PB1 domain exchanged with a related domain from TFG is efficiently degraded by autophagy.

(A-D) Representative confocal images of HeLa p62 KO cells transiently transfected with the indicated p62 constructs fused to the mCherry-YFP double tag. Efficient degradation by autophagy is indicated by the accumulation of red-only dots. (E) Representative western blots using extracts from HeLa cells transiently transfected with the indicated p62 constructs fused to Myc (top), GFP (middle) or mCherry-YFP (bottom). p62, GFP or actin antibodies were used as indicated. (F) Graphic presentation of phenotypes observed by confocal imaging of cells transfected with p62 constructs fused to GFP (number of dots, morphology of dots, and amount of diffuse protein). Cells were analyzed 24h and 48h after transfection.
Figure S6

(A) **mCherry** YFP KEAP1 + Myc-tagged constructs

(B) mini-p62 (p62Δ123-319)

(C) TFG1-p62

(D) TFG1-mini-p62

Figure S6. p62-mediated degradation of co-expressed KEAP1 depends on the native PB1 domain of p62.

(A-D) Representative confocal images of HeLa p62 KO cells transiently co-transfected with mCherry-YFP tagged KEAP1 and the indicated p62 constructs fused to Myc. Degradation of KEAP1 by autophagy (accumulation of red-only dots) is seen in cells co-transfected with full-length p62 or a mini-p62 deleted for residues 123-319, but not in cells co-transfected with chimera constructs containing the PB1 domain of TFG1.
Table S1. X-ray crystallography data collection and refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection statistics</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wavelength</td>
<td>37.9 – 1.53 (1.585 - 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Resolution range</td>
<td>37.9 – 1.53 (1.585 - 1.53)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Space group</td>
<td>P 21 21 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit cell</td>
<td>43.13 79.44 24.14 90 90 90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total reflections</td>
<td>25830 (2499)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unique reflections</td>
<td>13035 (1271)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multiplicity</td>
<td>2.0 (2.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Completeness (%)</td>
<td>99.22 (99.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean I/sigma(I)</td>
<td>10.45 (1.42)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wilson B-factor</td>
<td>20.99</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-merge</td>
<td>0.02799 (0.4132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-meas</td>
<td>0.03958 (0.5844)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R-pim</td>
<td>0.02799 (0.4132)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC1/2</td>
<td>0.999 (0.655)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CC*</td>
<td>1.00 (0.89)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Model refinement

| Reflections used in refinement | 13030 (1271) |
| Reflections used for R-free    | 669 (53)     |
| R-work                        | 0.2199 (0.3688) |
| R-free                        | 0.2492 (0.4036) |
| CC(work)                      | 0.943 (0.724) |
| CC(free)                      | 0.937 (0.592) |

Model refinement

| Number of non-hydrogen atoms  | 822 |
| macromolecules                | 723 |
| ligands                       | 64  |
| solvent                       | 35  |
| Protein residues              | 88  |
| RMS (bonds)                   | 0.007 |
| RMS (angles)                  | 0.79 |
| Ramachandran                  |      |
| favored (%)                   | 100.00 |
| allowed (%)                   | 0.00 |
| outliers (%)                  | 0.00 |
| Rotamer outliers (%)          | 2.60 |
| Clashscore                    | 3.47 |
| Average B-factor              | 33.46 |
| macromolecules                | 31.66 |
| ligands                       | 48.45 |
| solvent                       | 43.43 |

*Statistics for the highest-resolution shell are shown in parentheses.
Table S2. Cryo-EM data collection and model refinement statistics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Data collection and processing</th>
<th>AINBR1-PB1\textsuperscript{1-95} (EMD-XXXX, EMD-YYYY)</th>
<th>p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} (EMD-XXXX, EMD-YYYY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Magnification</td>
<td>105kx</td>
<td>130kx</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage (kV)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Electron exposure (e/Å)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Defocus range (um)</td>
<td>1.0-4.0</td>
<td>0.5-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pixel size (Å)</td>
<td>1.386</td>
<td>1.040</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Symmetry imposed</td>
<td>S-type: C1</td>
<td>S-type: C1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: C2</td>
<td>L-type: C2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Final no. particle images</td>
<td>S-type: 18,021</td>
<td>S-type: 51,679</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: 25,387</td>
<td>L-type: 51,853</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical rise (Å)</td>
<td>S-type: 5.905</td>
<td>S-type: 9.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: 6.721</td>
<td>L-type: 4.787 (9.574)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Helical twist (˚)</td>
<td>S-type: -31.17</td>
<td>S-type: -26.48</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: -31.44</td>
<td>L-type: 77.29 (-25.42)*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global map resolution (Å, FSC=0.143)</td>
<td>S-type: 4.4</td>
<td>S-type: 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: 3.9</td>
<td>L-type: 3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local map resolution range (Å)</td>
<td>S-type: 4.0 – 4.7</td>
<td>S-type: 3.7 – 4.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: 3.4 – 4.1</td>
<td>L-type: 3.3 – 4.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* equivalent notation for asymmetric unit of two monomers as described in the main text

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model refinement</th>
<th>AINBR1-PB1\textsuperscript{1-95} (PDBXXX, PDBYYY)</th>
<th>p62-PB1\textsuperscript{1-122} (PDBXXX, PDBYYY)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial model used (PDB code)</td>
<td>PDB-XYZ (X-ray model)</td>
<td>PDB ID 2kkc\textsuperscript{f}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model resolution (Å, FSC=0.5)</td>
<td>S-type: 5.5</td>
<td>S-type: 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: 4.3</td>
<td>L-type: 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Map sharpening B-factor (Å\textsuperscript{2})</td>
<td>S-type: -300</td>
<td>S-type: -193</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L-type: -200</td>
<td>L-type: -139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Model composition</td>
<td>Non-hydrogen atoms 669 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>808 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Protein residues 88 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>104 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R.m.s. deviations</td>
<td>Bond lengths (Å) 0.009/0.008 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>0.006/0.007 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bond angles (˚) 1.16/1.161 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>1.22/1.24 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Validation</td>
<td>MolProbity score 2.41/2.29 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>1.94/1.64 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Clashscore* 7.59/6.41 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>4.89/1.88 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rotamer outliers (%) 1.41/1.41 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>0.00/0.63 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ramachandran plot Favored (%) 93.21/94.19 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>83.33/83.33 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Allowed (%) 6.79/5.81 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>16.67/16.67 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Disallowed (%) 0.00 (S-/L-type)</td>
<td>0.00 (S-/L-type)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* computed for 9-mer