Large scale automated phylogenomic analysis of bacterial whole-genome isolates and the Evergreen platform

Judit Szarvas¹ & Johanne Ahrenfeldt¹, Jose Luis Bellod Cisneros¹, Martin Christen Frølund Thomsen¹, Frank M. Aarestrup¹, Ole Lund¹

¹Research Group for Genomic Epidemiology, National Food Institute, Technical University of Denmark, Kongens Lyngby, Denmark.

Abstract

Public health authorities whole-genome sequence thousands of pathogenic isolates each month for microbial diagnostics and surveillance of pathogenic bacteria. The computational methods have not kept up with the deluge of data and need for real-time results. We have therefore created a bioinformatics pipeline for rapid subtyping and continuous phylogenomic analysis of bacterial samples, suited for large-scale surveillance. To decrease the computational burden, a two-level clustering strategy is employed. The data is first divided into sets by matching each isolate to a closely related reference genome. The reads then are aligned to the reference to gain a consensus sequence and SNP based genetic distance is calculated between the sequences in each set. Isolates are clustered together with a threshold of 10 SNPs. Finally, phylogenetic trees are inferred from the non-redundant sequences and the clustered isolates are placed on a clade with the cluster representative sequence. The method was benchmarked and found to be accurate in grouping outbreak strains together, while discriminating from non-outbreak strains. The pipeline was applied in Evergreen Online, which processes publicly available sequencing data from foodborne bacterial pathogens on a daily basis, updating the phylogenetic trees as needed. It has so far placed more than 100,000 isolates into phylogenies, and has been able to keep up with the daily release of data. The trees are continuously published on <https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen>

Keywords

Phylogenomics, WGS, subtyping, SNP, automation, epidemiology, outbreak investigation

32 Main

33 Epidemiological typing of bacteria is used by hospitals and public health authorities, as well as animal health
34 authorities, to detect outbreaks of infectious diseases and determine trends over time. Traditionally, that
35 includes culturing and isolating the pathogen, followed by species identification and subtyping using various
36 conventional microbiological and molecular methodologies.

37 For outbreak investigation, it is necessary to place the infectious agent into a more discriminatory category
38 than species, to establish links between cases and sources. Multi-locus sequence typing (MLST) has been a
39 frequently used molecular subtyping method, where sequence types are assigned to the isolates based on the
40 combinations of alleles for 6-10 housekeeping genes¹.

41 Whole-genome sequencing (WGS) has opened a new chapter in microbial diagnostics and epidemiological
42 typing. WGS data can be used to determine, amongst other properties, both MLST types and serotype of
43 several bacterial species^{2,3}. Several studies for multiple bacterial species have shown the value of WGS for
44 elucidating the bacterial evolution and phylogeny, and identifying outbreaks⁴⁻⁶.

45 The use of WGS has enabled the unbiased comparison of samples processed in different laboratories, boosting
46 surveillance and outbreak detection, but the methods for sharing and comparing a large number of samples
47 have not been established yet^{7,8}. Therefore, a number of national, regional and international initiatives have
48 been launched with the aim of facilitating the sharing, analyses and comparison of WGS data⁹⁻¹¹.

49 Since 2012, the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) is leading a network of public health and university
50 laboratories, called GenomeTrakr. These laboratories sequence bacterial isolates from food and environmental
51 samples and upload the data to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI). GenomeTrakr is
52 restricted to foodborne pathogens and currently includes data from seven such bacterial species.¹² All raw WGS
53 data are publicly shared through NCBI, facilitating the collaboration between laboratories. Furthermore, the
54 raw data are picked up by the NCBI Pathogen Detection pipeline¹³, that assembles the samples into draft
55 genomes to predict the nearest neighbors and construct phylogenetic trees for each within-50-SNPs cluster
56 using an exact maximum compatibility algorithm¹⁴. This approach requires access to all of the raw data or
57 assembled genomes, and very extensive computational resources for larger databases, like *Salmonella*
58 *enterica*. In addition, no sub-species taxonomical classification has so far been implemented in the pipeline.

59 Focusing on the same bacterial species as GenomeTrakr, PulseNet USA has also established procedures for use
60 of WGS data for outbreak detection. In their vision, an extension of the highly successful MLST approach into a
61 core-genome (cgMLST) or whole-genome (wgMLST) scheme, with genes in the order of thousand, would allow
62 for sharing information under a common nomenclature. Meanwhile, all of the raw data could be kept locally.
63 Only data from individual strains would have to be shared when further confirmation of an outbreak is
64 required.¹¹ MLST schemes are offered from several databases¹⁵⁻¹⁷, and a number of, at times conflicting, cg-
65 and wgMLST schemes have recently been proposed for a limited number of bacterial species^{16,18-24}. Moreover,
66 few of the proposed schemes provide a definitive nomenclature of sequence types to go with the allele
67 profiles. The existing schemes do not cover all of the potential allelic variation: a recent study showed, that for
68 *Campylobacter jejuni*, that has maintained MLST schemes, only approximately 53% of the strains of animal
69 origin could be assigned to an existing unique allelic profile²⁵. Continuous curation of the hundreds of relevant
70 bacterial species, that are known human, animal and plant pathogens, would require great effort. A centralized
71 database for the distribution of the allele profiles and sequences would be also necessary. Furthermore, for
72 comparable results, and surveillance, the same analysis pipeline or software should be used for the prediction
73 of the allelic profiles. Single-linkage cgMLST clusters can be generated of public and private uploaded data on

74 EnteroBase¹⁵, and up to 1000 sequences on Pathogenwatch²⁶, by manual selection of strains to be included in
75 the analysis.

76 The approaches mentioned above yield preliminary results for outbreak detection, as they often lack the
77 necessary resolution, thus, in most cases, selected WGS data are further analyzed using single nucleotide
78 profiling. Here, genomic variants (single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), insertions and deletions) are
79 derived by aligning WGS reads to a reference genome. For each bacterial species, custom single nucleotide
80 profiling (SNP validation, cluster threshold determination, etc.) is necessary in order to achieve results that are
81 biologically relevant and informative. The samples (of current interest and historical) included in the analysis
82 and the reference genome are chosen on a case-by-case basis, usually based on subtyping results. EnteroBase
83 offers SNP analysis of user selected strains based on the genotypes, but Alikhan et al. dismiss the feasibility of
84 large-scale SNP analyses¹⁵. Various SNP analysis pipelines are used by laboratories and research groups for
85 inferring phylogenetic trees for isolates of interest^{27–32}. For example, Public Health England developed and uses
86 SnapperDB for outbreak detection without initial cluster analysis by cg- or wg-MLST. SnapperDB consists of
87 tools to create a database of SNPs compared to a given reference sequence, and assign each isolate a SNP
88 address based on single linkage clustering.³³

89 We present here a whole-genome, single nucleotide-based method for subtyping and preliminary
90 phylogenomic analysis, that circumvent the known limitations of current gene- and SNP-based approaches.
91 PAPABAC carries out rapid and automated subtyping of bacterial whole-genome sequenced isolates and
92 generates continuously updated phylogenetic trees based on nucleotide differences. We demonstrate two
93 applications, a standalone version for local monitoring of bacterial isolates, and Evergreen Online, for global
94 surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens. We also suggest a stable naming scheme for each isolate,
95 making the results from the pipeline easier to communicate to others. To the best of our knowledge, no such
96 tool exists at the moment.

97

98

99

Figure 1 Overview of PAPABAC. (A) The input raw read files are classified into sets based on k-mer similarity to NCBI RefSeq complete prokaryotic chromosomal genomes. (B) The raw reads are mapped to the reference genome and a consensus sequence is generated via strict statistical evaluation ($p < 0.05$) of the mapped bases in each position. (C) The resulting consensus sequences are of equal length in each template set. The new isolates in each set are clustered to the non-redundant isolates already in the set if the pairwise nucleotide difference based genetic distance is less than 10. The remaining new isolates undergo the same clustering process. (D) Pairwise genetic distance between all non-redundant isolate in the set is used as input for neighbor-joining algorithm. If there are less than 600 non-redundant isolates in a set, an approximately maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree is also inferred based on the consensus sequences (red: new isolates). The clustered isolates are placed back onto the trees with 0 distance to the cluster representative (marked with an asterisk). (E) The information about the acquired isolates, the sets, the clusters, and the phylogenetic trees is stored in SQLite databases, which are queried once all sets with new isolates are processed to output the results to the users.

114 Results

115 Pipeline for automated phylogenomic analysis of bacterial whole-genome sequences (PAPABAC)

116 We developed PAPABAC (Figure 1), a phylogenomic pipeline for the automated analysis of bacterial isolates,
 117 that needs no additional input besides WGS data (fastq files) and generates clusters of closely related isolates.
 118 PAPABAC first matches the isolates to complete bacterial chromosomal genome reference sequences with
 119 greater than 99.0% sequence identity and a minimum average depth of 11. These reference sequences serve as
 120 templates for the alignment of the raw reads. The aligned bases at each position are statistically evaluated to
 121 determine the consensus sequence, as previously described for a nucleotide difference method³⁵. Positions
 122 that do not fulfil the significance criteria remain ambiguous, get assigned “N”, and are disregarded during the
 123 pairwise genetic distance calculation. These steps ensure that there is high confidence in the consensus
 124 sequence that is the basis of the genetic distance estimation.

125 The pipeline retains analysis results in such a manner that input is added to the previously processed data. The
 126 phylogenomic analysis is carried out on the current input and the previously found non-redundant isolates
 127 (singletons and cluster representatives). The genetic distance is estimated in a pairwise manner, comparing the
 128 given two sequences for all non-ambiguous positions, i.e. positions where none of the two sequences have an
 129 “N” assigned. The distances between the previously processed runs are stored on disk, saving computational
 130 time, and only the distances to the new isolates are computed in a given run.

131 A clustering step during the genetic distance calculation forms clusters of closely related isolates and reduces
 132 the number of similar sequences in each set, and thereby also reduce the computation time. After identifying a
 133 non-redundant isolate and a closely related isolate to it, the one previously deemed non-redundant will be the
 134 cluster representative and kept, while the clustered one is omitted from the subsequent runs of the pipeline.
 135 However, the information about the clustering is added to a database and the clustered isolate will be placed
 136 on the inferred phylogenetic tree. The cluster representatives remain constant through the subsequent runs of
 137 the pipeline, and the clusters only increase in size if new isolates are clustered with the representative.
 138 Therefore, each cluster is stable in the sense that an isolate will never change which representative it is
 139 associated with and each cluster can be reliably identified by the template name and the identifier of its cluster
 140 representative.

141 The pipeline can be run on a computer with 8 Gb RAM and Unix system. The computational time is reduced
 142 compared to re-running the whole analysis each time new samples are added, even without parallelisation
 143 (Figure S1).

144 PAPABAC was benchmarked against three SNP pipeline benchmarking datasets. An *Escherichia coli in vitro*
 145 evolution experiment dataset³⁴ provided 50 closely related samples on a short temporal scale with less than
 146 100 nucleotide differences across the dataset. The algorithm clustered together 7 out of 10 samples with the
 147 same ancestor that were taken on the same day and presumably had less than 10 nucleotide differences
 148 between them. The PAPABAC maximum likelihood (Figure 2) and neighbor-joining (Figure S2) trees with the
 149 clustered isolates pruned to resolve the polytomies were comparable to the ideal phylogeny of the *in vitro*
 150 experiment dataset: the normalized Robinson-Foulds distances were 0.18 and 0.12, respectively.

151 Benchmarking against the *Campylobacter jejuni* (Figure S3A) and the *Listeria monocytogenes* (Figure S3B)
 152 datasets from Timme et al.³⁶, PAPABAC correctly clustered the related outbreak strains (colored) and the
 153 outgroups, where the genetic distance was below the clustering threshold. The topologies of the maximum
 154 likelihood phylogenetic trees closely resembled the tree topologies given.

155

Figure 3 Time requirement of the phylogenomic analysis for given number of non-redundant and new strains, on 20 CPUs.

Evergreen Online for surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens

Evergreen Online was built on PAPABAC. Raw WGS data files of five major foodborne pathogens (*C. jejuni*, *E. coli*, *L. monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Shigella* spp.) are downloaded daily from public repositories with the aim of global surveillance of potential outbreaks worldwide. The inferred phylogenetic trees and information about all of the isolates in the system are available and searchable on the website (<http://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen>).

The platform has been available since October 1st 2017, with logs reliably saved since October 28th 2017. The number of raw read files downloaded fluctuates with the work week of the public health laboratories. On busier days, more than 800 isolates are downloaded. The average number of isolates downloaded per day is 418. Downloading and mapping to the reference genomes take 130 minutes on average, with the majority of the time spent on downloading. Alignment of the raw reads and the generation of the consensus sequences takes on average 9 minutes per isolate. The computing time for the template sets is dependent on the number of non-redundant and new sequences in each set, but in most cases even the slowest is finalized within five hours (Figure 3).

As of June 26th 2018, the pipeline downloaded 82,043 isolates. Out of these, 63,276 isolates have been mapped to references with at least 99.0% identity and average depth of 11 (Figure S4A). The majority of the isolates were typed as *Salmonella enterica* (59.1%), followed by *Escherichia coli* (19.4%) (Figure S4B). The two largest template sets are *S. Dublin* and *S. Typhimurium* serovars, with both close to 9,500 isolates in total. After the homology reduction there were 3,216 and 5,093 non-redundant sequences in these sets, respectively. On average, 67% of the sequences are non-redundant in the template sets, while the *E. coli* template sets are the most diverse and the *Listeria monocytogenes* ones are the least diverse (Figure S4C). There were 122 isolates predicted to have a type not specified by the query (Table S1). Of these, 14 isolates were mixed samples, composed of both the queried and the non-queried organisms.

Figure 5 Selected isolates in the *Escherichia coli* O157 H7 str Sakai chromosome NC_002695_1 NJ tree (top) and on the PDS000000952.271 SNP cluster maximum compatibility tree (bottom). The three largest clusters of the selected samples on the NJ tree are labelled with yellow, red and blue dots. These isolates were marked with the same labels on the NCBI-PD tree. The red labelled ones are on a single clade on the PD tree, while the blue and yellow isolates are mixing on two other clades.

206 Discussion

207 Whole-genome sequencing, performed alongside the traditional methods in routine microbiology, yields
 208 hundreds to thousands of WGS isolates yearly in hospital, public health and food safety laboratories. This
 209 amount of data is overwhelming for many, and there is a lack of methods to generate a quick overview and
 210 help prioritize resources. The timely analysis of the sequencing data would allow the detection of more
 211 bacterial outbreaks and aid the prevention of further spread. However, lack of human and computational
 212 resources for this demanding task often hampers the prompt analysis of the data. Automating the initial
 213 subtyping phase would facilitate the start of an outbreak investigation. PAPABAC offers rapid subtyping for a
 214 wide range of prokaryotic organisms: the supplied database covers all bacterial subtypes with complete
 215 genomes present in NCBI RefSeq. Further reference genomes could be added to increase the covered sequence
 216 space, but the active curation of the reference database is not required for routine use. The selection of the
 217 reference sequence for the phylogenomic analysis is fast and robust. It is independent of pre-assumptions
 218 about the isolates. Misclassification during previous analysis does not introduce errors into the downstream
 219 analysis. Contamination from another species is discarded during the consensus sequence generation. The
 220 subtyping step via k-mer based mapping to a close reference also serves as a sequencing quality control
 221 measure, because low-quality sequencing runs will typically result in isolates with low identity to any reference
 222 and/or low depth. These isolates do not progress further to the phylogenomic analysis, as they would not yield
 223 reliable results.

224 The phylogenomic analysis performed on the template sets has higher discriminatory power than cg- or wg-
 225 MLST. The underlying nucleotide difference method was validated in five different studies^{6,34,35,37,38}. By using all
 226 positions in the consensus sequences for estimating the genetic distance, instead of considering only selected
 227 loci, we ensure a high level of sensitivity, as we also include mutations that occur between genes.

228 The clustering step during the genetic distance calculation was introduced in order to reduce the homology in
 229 the template sets and thus reduce the computational burden as the template sets increase in size. However,
 230 the clustering threshold of 10 nucleotide differences also constructs informative clusters of highly similar
 231 isolates. Benchmarking with the *E. coli in vitro* evolution experiment dataset (Figure 2) showed that the
 232 algorithm was capable of correctly clustering isolates that were derived from the same ancestor, while
 233 distinguishing them from other closely related strains. The same sensitivity was demonstrated on empirical
 234 outbreak datasets (Figure S3), where the pipeline clustered the outbreak-related strains and separated them
 235 from the outgroup strains. Both the maximum likelihood inferred and the neighbor-joining trees placed the
 236 outbreak strains correctly in the phylogeny. These results show, that PAPABAC provides quick and reliable
 237 information about the close relatives of an outbreak strain to provide candidates to perform a more thorough
 238 analysis on.

239 The design of PAPABAC means that once an isolate passed the homology reduction step, it will be present in
 240 the subsequent runs of the pipeline. When an incoming isolate is highly similar to a non-redundant one, the
 241 more recent will be the one that is clustered, added to the database and removed from further runs. Hence,
 242 the cluster representatives and clusters are robust to the addition of new data to the analysis. Therefore,
 243 PAPABAC yields a stable and communicable name for the clusters, comprised of the template name and the
 244 cluster representative. This is an advantage over cg- and wg-MLST, where allelic profiles don't necessarily have
 245 communicable names, and the clusters could merge.

246 Evergreen Online has been steadily processing WGS data of foodborne bacterial pathogen isolates collected
 247 worldwide in real time (Figure S4A). It has been able to keep pace with the flow of the generated data that
 248 mainly came from public health and food safety laboratories. Excluding the download time and the optional
 249 maximum likelihood based phylogenetic inference, the whole analysis is done in less than a day, even for

250 template sets with thousands of isolates (Figure 3). This turnover time facilitates quick response in a potential
251 outbreak scenario.

252 The isolates are not distributed equally across the templates in the system (Figure S4B). Out of the five queried
253 species, *S. enterica* isolates are disproportionally represented. Sequences in the *S. Dublin* and the *S.*
254 Typhimurium LT2 template sets comprise in total approximately half of the *S. enterica* isolates. The sequence
255 diversity in the template sets is varied, but the homology reduction on the template sets reduces the number
256 of sequences approximately by a third, significantly decreasing the computational time. The *L. monocytogenes*
257 template sets were the least diverse, which could be due to sampling bias: bacteria that are present in the
258 environment are routinely sampled from food production sites multiple times, producing highly similar
259 sequences, that are then removed from the ongoing analysis. We also tested how a large number of sequences
260 already present in a template set would affect the ability of the pipeline to discriminate between samples
261 (Figure 4). The template set that corresponded to the stone fruit *L. monocytogenes* outbreak dataset reference
262 had more than 1,000 non-redundant isolates, which was ideal for the test analysis. The isolates that were part
263 of the same outbreak clustered together and formed the two expected outbreak clusters, despite the
264 confounding presence of the sequences already in the template set. The smaller clade, however, had a
265 different cluster representative when using all data for the template set, compared with analysis of the
266 outbreak data alone: an environmental sample, that could be related to the outbreak, as it was sampled from
267 the same US state and year (California, 2014) as the samples in the outbreak dataset. These findings indicate
268 that the pipeline is capable of identifying closely related samples, however it is necessary to conduct
269 epidemiological analysis and apply other knowledge when interpreting the results.

270 Evergreen Online allows for automated selection of closely related isolates out of thousands, which is also the
271 objective of NCBI-PD. *E. coli* isolates, situated on three clusters in Evergreen Online and supposedly from an
272 outbreak, were located in NCBI-PD and their placement in the SNP cluster tree was compared to the Evergreen
273 Online tree (Figure 5). One cluster (red) was in agreement between the two platforms, and samples from the
274 other two (yellow and blue) clusters were intermixing on a clade on the NCBI-PD tree. The nucleotide
275 difference counts between these samples are low and the differences between the phylogenomic methods
276 could lead to differences in the finer details of the inferred phylogenies. The homology reducing clustering in
277 Evergreen Online means that any sample in the cluster is less than 10 nucleotide differences from the cluster
278 representative, however, the differences between the samples could amount to 18 nucleotides. The
279 compatible character distances on the NCBI-PD tree between the mixed samples are less than 18 characters.
280 Taking this into account, the observed distribution of the yellow and blue labeled samples is concordant with
281 our results.

282 *Table 1 Comparison of pipelines for large-scale surveillance for pathogenic bacteria*

	SnapperDB	NCBI-PD	PAPABAC
For a wide range of bacterial species	x	x	x
Only sequencing data is required input	-	x	x
Whole-genome based	x	x	x
Assembly-free	x	-	x
Quality control steps	x	x	x
Automated phylogenomic analysis	-	x	x
Stable clustering of samples across runs	-	-	x
Communicable nomenclature for subtype and cluster	x	-	x
Open source	x	-	x

283

284 In summary, we developed PAPABAC with the aim of rapid subtyping and continuous phylogenomic analysis on
285 a growing number of bacterial samples. PAPABAC overcomes limitations of cg- and wg-MLST approaches by
286 tolerating genomic variation during subtyping, but providing greater sensitivity during the phylogenomic
287 analysis. It was benchmarked on datasets created for testing SNP-based pipelines, and was proved to be
288 accurate in discriminating between outbreak related and non-related samples. The software is open source and
289 fulfills expectations put to WGS-based surveillance pipelines (*Table 1*). Evergreen Online, an application made
290 for the global surveillance of foodborne bacterial pathogens, demonstrates the accuracy, speed, stability and
291 practicality of PAPABAC on thousands of samples via an on-going analysis, where the results are published
292 online.

293

294 References

- 295 1. Maiden, M. C. J. Multilocus Sequence Typing of Bacteria. *Annu. Rev. Microbiol.* **60**, 561–588 (2006).
- 296 2. Larsen, M. V. *et al.* Multilocus Sequence Typing of Total-Genome-Sequenced Bacteria. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*
297 **50**, 1355–1361 (2012).
- 298 3. Joensen, K. G., Tetzschner, A. M. M., Iguchi, A., Aarestrup, F. M. & Scheutz, F. Rapid and easy in silico
299 serotyping of *Escherichia coli* isolates by use of whole-genome sequencing data. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **53**,
300 2410–2426 (2015).
- 301 4. Köser, C. U. *et al.* Rapid whole-genome sequencing for investigation of a neonatal MRSA outbreak. *N.*
302 *Engl. J. Med.* **366**, 2267–75 (2012).
- 303 5. Mellmann, A. *et al.* Prospective Genomic Characterization of the German Enterohemorrhagic
304 *Escherichia coli* O104:H4 Outbreak by Rapid Next Generation Sequencing Technology. *PLoS One* **6**,
305 e22751 (2011).
- 306 6. Joensen, K. G. *et al.* Real-time whole-genome sequencing for routine typing, surveillance, and outbreak
307 detection of verotoxigenic *Escherichia coli*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 1501–1510 (2014).
- 308 7. WHO. *Whole genome sequencing for foodborne disease surveillance: landscape paper.* (2018).
- 309 8. Deng, X., den Bakker, H. C. & Hendriksen, R. S. Genomic Epidemiology: Whole-Genome-Sequencing-
310 Powered Surveillance and Outbreak Investigation of Foodborne Bacterial Pathogens. *Annu Rev Food Sci*
311 *Technol* **7**, 1–22 (2016).
- 312 9. GenomeTrakr Network. Available at:
313 <https://www.fda.gov/food/foodscienceresearch/wholegenomesequencingprogramwgs/ucm363134.htm>. (Accessed: 27th June 2018)
314
- 315 10. COMPARE Europe. Available at: <http://www.compare-europe.eu>.
- 316 11. Nadon, C. *et al.* PulseNet International: Vision for the implementation of whole genome sequencing
317 (WGS) for global food-borne disease surveillance. *Euro Surveill.* **22**, (2017).
- 318 12. Timme, R. E., Sanchez Leon, M. & Allard, M. W. Utilizing the Public GenomeTrakr Database for
319 Foodborne Pathogen Traceback. in 201–212 (Humana Press, New York, NY, 2019). doi:10.1007/978-1-
320 4939-9000-9_17
- 321 13. Pathogen Detection - NCBI. Available at: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pathogens/>. (Accessed: 27th

- 322 June 2018)
- 323 14. Cherry, J. L. A practical exact maximum compatibility algorithm for reconstruction of recent evolutionary
324 history. *BMC Bioinformatics* **18**, 127 (2017).
- 325 15. Alikhan, N.-F., Zhou, Z., Sergeant, M. J. & Achtman, M. A genomic overview of the population structure
326 of *Salmonella*. *PLOS Genet.* **14**, e1007261 (2018).
- 327 16. Cody, A. J., Bray, J. E., Jolley, K. A., McCarthy, N. D. & Maiden, M. C. J. Core Genome Multilocus
328 Sequence Typing Scheme for Stable, Comparative Analyses of *Campylobacter jejuni* and *C. coli* Human
329 Disease Isolates. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **55**, 2086–2097 (2017).
- 330 17. Institut Pasteur MLST databases and software. Available at: <https://bigsd.b.pasteur.fr/>. (Accessed: 28th
331 May 2019)
- 332 18. Ghanem, M. & El-Gazzar, M. Development of *Mycoplasma synoviae* (MS) core genome multilocus
333 sequence typing (cgMLST) scheme. *Vet. Microbiol.* **218**, 84–89 (2018).
- 334 19. Higgins, P. G., Prior, K., Harmsen, D. & Seifert, H. Development and evaluation of a core genome
335 multilocus typing scheme for whole-genome sequence-based typing of *Acinetobacter baumannii*. *PLoS*
336 *One* **12**, e0179228 (2017).
- 337 20. Ghanem, M. *et al.* Core Genome Multilocus Sequence Typing: a Standardized Approach for Molecular
338 Typing of *Mycoplasma gallisepticum*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **56**, (2017).
- 339 21. Bletz, S., Janezic, S., Harmsen, D., Rupnik, M. & Mellmann, A. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome
340 Multilocus Sequence Typing Scheme for Genome-Wide Typing of *Clostridium difficile*. *J. Clin. Microbiol.*
341 **56**, (2018).
- 342 22. Zhou, H., Liu, W., Qin, T., Liu, C. & Ren, H. Defining and Evaluating a Core Genome Multilocus Sequence
343 Typing Scheme for Whole-Genome Sequence-Based Typing of *Klebsiella pneumoniae*. *Front. Microbiol.*
344 **8**, (2017).
- 345 23. Kohl, T. A. *et al.* Whole-Genome-Based *Mycobacterium tuberculosis* Surveillance: a Standardized,
346 Portable, and Expandable Approach. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **52**, 2479–2486 (2014).
- 347 24. Moran-Gilad, J. *et al.* Design and application of a core genome multilocus sequence typing scheme for
348 investigation of Legionnaires' disease incidents. *Euro Surveill.* **20**, (2015).
- 349 25. Leekitcharoenphon, P. *et al.* Comparative genomics of quinolone-resistant and susceptible
350 *Campylobacter jejuni* of poultry origin from major poultry producing European countries (GENCAMP).
351 *EFSA Support. Publ.* **15**, (2018).
- 352 26. Pathogenwatch | A Global Platform for Genomic Surveillance. Available at: <https://pathogen.watch/>.
353 (Accessed: 28th May 2019)
- 354 27. Kvistholm Jensen, A. *et al.* Whole-genome Sequencing Used to Investigate a Nationwide Outbreak of
355 Listeriosis Caused by Ready-to-eat Delicatessen Meat, Denmark, 2014. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* **63**, 64–70 (2016).
- 356 28. Schjørring, S. *et al.* Cross-border outbreak of listeriosis caused by cold-smoked salmon, revealed by
357 integrated surveillance and whole genome sequencing (WGS), Denmark and France, 2015 to 2017. *Euro*
358 *Surveill.* **22**, (2017).
- 359 29. Ford, L. *et al.* Incorporating Whole-Genome Sequencing into Public Health Surveillance: Lessons from
360 Prospective Sequencing of *Salmonella* Typhimurium in Australia. *Foodborne Pathog. Dis.* **15**, 161–167
361 (2018).

- 362 30. Holmes, A., Dallman, T. J., Shabaan, S., Hanson, M. & Allison, L. Validation of Whole-Genome
363 Sequencing for Identification and Characterization of Shiga Toxin-Producing *Escherichia coli* To Produce
364 Standardized Data To Enable Data Sharing. *J. Clin. Microbiol.* **56**, (2018).
- 365 31. Woksepp, H., Ryberg, A., Berglind, L., Schön, T. & Söderman, J. Epidemiological characterization of a
366 nosocomial outbreak of extended spectrum β -lactamase *Escherichia coli* ST-131 confirms the clinical
367 value of core genome multilocus sequence typing. *APMIS* **125**, 1117–1124 (2017).
- 368 32. Davis, S. *et al.* CFSAN SNP Pipeline: an automated method for constructing SNP matrices from next-
369 generation sequence data. *PeerJ Comput. Sci.* **1**, e20 (2015).
- 370 33. Dallman, T. *et al.* SnapperDB: a database solution for routine sequencing analysis of bacterial isolates.
371 *Bioinformatics* **81**, 3946–3952 (2018).
- 372 34. Ahrenfeldt, J. *et al.* Bacterial whole genome-based phylogeny: construction of a new benchmarking
373 dataset and assessment of some existing methods. *BMC Genomics* **18**, 19 (2017).
- 374 35. Leekitcharoenphon, P., Nielsen, E. M., Kaas, R. S., Lund, O. & Aarestrup, F. M. Evaluation of Whole
375 Genome Sequencing for Outbreak Detection of *Salmonella enterica*. *PLoS One* **9**, e87991 (2014).
- 376 36. Timme, R. E. *et al.* Benchmark datasets for phylogenomic pipeline validation, applications for foodborne
377 pathogen surveillance. *PeerJ* **5**, e3893 (2017).
- 378 37. Kaas, R. S., Leekitcharoenphon, P., Aarestrup, F. M. & Lund, O. Solving the problem of comparing whole
379 bacterial genomes across different sequencing platforms. *PLoS One* **9**, e104984 (2014).
- 380 38. Joensen, K. G. *et al.* Evaluating next-generation sequencing for direct clinical diagnostics in diarrhoeal
381 disease. *Eur. J. Clin. Microbiol. Infect. Dis.* **36**, 1325–1338 (2017).
- 382 39. Clausen, P. T. L. C., Aarestrup, F. M. & Lund, O. Rapid and precise alignment of raw reads against
383 redundant databases with KMA. *BMC Bioinformatics* **19**, 307 (2018).
- 384 40. Hobohm, U., Scharf, M., Schneider, R. & Sander, C. Selection of representative protein data sets. *Protein*
385 *Sci.* **1**, 409–417 (1992).
- 386 41. Saitou, N. & Nei, M. The neighbor-joining method: a new method for reconstructing phylogenetic trees.
387 *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **4**, 406–25 (1987).
- 388 42. Studier, J. & Keppler, K. A note on the neighbor-joining algorithm of Saitou and Nei. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **5**,
389 729–731 (1988).
- 390 43. Nguyen, L.-T., Schmidt, H. A., von Haeseler, A. & Minh, B. Q. IQ-TREE: a fast and effective stochastic
391 algorithm for estimating maximum-likelihood phylogenies. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **32**, 268–74 (2015).
- 392 44. Argimón, S. *et al.* Microreact: visualizing and sharing data for genomic epidemiology and
393 phylogeography. *Microb. Genomics* **2**, (2016).
- 394 45. Huerta-Cepas, J. *et al.* ETE 3: Reconstruction, Analysis, and Visualization of Phylogenomic Data. *Mol.*
395 *Biol. Evol.* **33**, 1635–1638 (2016).
- 396 46. Revell, L. J. phytools: an R package for phylogenetic comparative biology (and other things). *Methods*
397 *Ecol. Evol.* **3**, 217–223 (2012).
- 398 47. Schliep, K. P. phangorn: phylogenetic analysis in R. *Bioinformatics* **27**, 592–593 (2011).
- 399 48. CDC. Multistate Outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 Infections Linked to Romaine Lettuce (Final Update) |
400 Investigation Notice: Multistate Outbreak of *E. coli* O157:H7 Infections April 2018 | *E. coli* | CDC.

Available at: <https://www.cdc.gov/ecoli/2018/o157h7-04-18/index.html>. (Accessed: 7th August 2018)

Methods

Bioinformatics pipeline: PAPABAC

The pipeline takes raw whole-sequencing reads (fastq files) as input. Matching reference sequences (templates) in our reference database, that have greater than 99.0% identity and a minimum average depth of 11, are identified for the isolates using 16-mers via KMA³⁹ in sparse mode. Multiple templates are accepted, if they meet the criteria, allowing for the procession of mixed samples. Information about the runs and their templates are inserted into the main SQLite database. The isolates are grouped into sets according to the matched templates. The next steps are performed in these sets in parallel. The isolate reads are mapped to the template using the mapping algorithm of NDtree³⁵, yielding equal-length consensus sequences. The Z-score threshold for accepting a base is set to 1.96, and the majority base have to be present in 90% of the mapped reads.

Genetic distance based on nucleotide difference is calculated pairwise between the previous, non-redundant isolates and the new isolates. Positions with ambiguous bases are discarded. The new isolates are clustered to the non-redundant ones with a threshold of 10, in order to reduce the homology in each set and form informative clusters. In this step, the non-redundant isolate is prioritized over the new isolate and becomes the cluster representative. After the clustering, the remaining new isolates are clustered together with the Hobohm 1 algorithm⁴⁰. In this case, the cluster representative is the one that has already passed the redundancy threshold. The information about new or extended clusters is saved to the main SQLite database. A distance matrix is constructed for all non-redundant isolates and saved to disk for use in the next run. A distance-based phylogenetic tree is inferred by neighbor-joining^{41,42}. If there are less than 600 non-redundant isolates in the set, then a whole-genome based approximate maximum likelihood phylogenetic tree is also inferred using IQ-tree⁴³, where the neighbor-joining tree is the starting tree and the GTR nucleotide substitution model is used. The clustered isolates are placed back onto the clades with zero distances to the cluster representative. Their tip labels start with an asterisk. The information about the trees is saved to the main SQLite database.

When all the phylogenetic trees with new isolates have been inferred, then the main SQLite database is queried for the list of all isolates, their templates, cluster representatives (if there is any) and the latest phylogenetic tree they are on. This information is printed to a tab-separated file.

Scripts and installation instructions are available on Bitbucket:

<https://bitbucket.org/genomicepidemiology/evergreen>

Online Evergreen platform

A query is made to the National Center for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) Sequencing Read Archive (SRA) for the newly published Illumina paired-end sequenced isolates of *Campylobacter jejuni*, *Escherichia coli*, *Listeria monocytogenes*, *Salmonella enterica*, and *Shigella spp.* on a daily basis. Fastq files of raw sequencing reads and the corresponding metadata (collection date, location, institute, source, etc.) are acquired either from SRA or from the European Nucleotide Archive (ENA). The sample inclusion criteria is known metadata for collection date and location, and in addition, samples are included from the following institutions: Unites States Center for Disease Control, United States Food and Drug Administration, Food Safety and Inspection Service,

Public Health England, University of Aberdeen, University Hospital Galway, Statens Serum Institut, Norwegian Institute of Public Health. The downloaded isolates are the input to PAPABAC. The metadata are saved in the main SQLite database, and added to the tip labels on the phylogenetic trees.

Individual subtrees are inferred from isolates with less than 20 SNPs distance from each cluster-representative, considering only the positions in the sequences where there is no missing data. No tree is inferred, if no genetic difference is found. The subtrees are inserted into an SQLite database.

Once all instances of the second wrapper script have finished, then the SQLite databases are queried for the list of available phylogenetic trees (the maximum likelihood trees preferred over neighbor-joining ones), changes in the clusters and the list of all isolates in the system, which is then used to update the website. For visualization in external programs, such as Microreact⁴⁴, the phylogenetic trees can be downloaded as newick files and the corresponding metadata as tab separated files.

Architecture

The pipeline is written in Python 2.7 and Bash in Unix environment. In addition to the standard Anaconda Python 2.7 packages, it also requires ETE Toolkit v3.0⁴⁵ and Joblib v0.11 (<https://pythonhosted.org/joblib>) packages to be installed. Neighbor program from the PHYLIP package v3.697 (<http://evolution.genetics.washington.edu/phylip.html>) and IQ-tree v1.6.4⁴³ are used for the phylogenetic tree inference. The SQL database management is performed with SQLite v3.20.1 (<https://www.sqlite.org>).

The two main parts of the pipeline have their own wrapper scripts. PAPABAC can be run on a personal computer with as few as four cores.

Evergreen Online is running on a high-performance computing cluster, utilizing the Torque (Adaptive Computing Inc., USA) job scheduler. The first wrapper is run in one instance on 20 cores, meanwhile the second wrapper is run once on 20 cores for each template that has at least one new run, in a parallel fashion. When all of these instances are finished running, a Bash script is launched to collect the information from the SQL database, the website is updated and the job for the next day is scheduled.

Reference database

The reference sequences are complete prokaryotic chromosomal genomes from the NCBI RefSeq database. Homology reduction was performed at a 99.0% sequence identity threshold with the Hobohm 1 algorithm. The curated NCBI prokaryotic reference genomes were given priority in the process. The reference sequences and the classification database could be downloaded via ftp (<ftp://ftp.cbs.dtu.dk/public/CGE/databases/Evergreen/>).

Website

The phylogenetic trees are interactively visualized on the website (<https://cge.cbs.dtu.dk/services/Evergreen/>) using the PhyloCanvas API (<http://phylocanvas.org>). The isolates and clusters can be searched by SRA run ID, which allows the quick localization of the clusters that increased in size via their cluster representative.

Computational time comparison of continued phylogenomic analysis

101 samples from the Escherichia coli in vitro evolution experiment dataset by Ahrenfeldt et al. were batched according to their sampling time. The parallelization in PAPABAC was disabled. The traditional method meant that the analysis was carried out on all the samples up to the given batch, starting anew each time, but using the same scripts as PAPABAC.

481 **Benchmarking of PAPABAC with the Escherichia coli in vitro evolution experiment dataset by Ahrenfeldt et** 482 **al.**

483 The last samples in each lineage were selected for the benchmarking. Therefore, the benchmarking dataset
484 constituted 50 tips on the ideal phylogeny. These samples were batched according to their sampling time (6th,
485 7th and 8th day). The batches were processed by PAPABAC chronologically. The pipeline was run with the
486 default parameters. Both maximum likelihood and neighbor-joining trees were inferred.

487 The phylogenetic trees inferred on all 50 isolates were trimmed for the reference sequence and compared with
488 the ideal phylogeny using the phytools R package (v0.6-60)⁴⁶. The normalized Robinson-Foulds distance was
489 calculated between the ideal and the maximum likelihood, and the ideal and the neighbor-joining trees, after
490 the clustered isolates are removed from each pair of trees. The RF.dist function was utilized from the phangorn
491 R package (v2.4.0)⁴⁷.

492 **Benchmarking of PAPABAC with datasets from Timme et al.**

493 Each dataset was downloaded with the provided script into a distinct directory. The pipeline was run
494 individually on the datasets with default parameters. If the isolates were mapped to more than one template,
495 the phylogenetic trees of the template set with the highest number of isolates were evaluated. The maximum
496 likelihood trees were visually compared to the ideal phylogenies and checked for the distribution of the isolates
497 amongst the clades.

498 **Comparison with the NCBI Pathogen Detection platform**

499 *Escherichia coli* isolates were queried from the SQL database of Evergreen Online (EO) for the period of 2018-
500 03-15 and 2018-06-01, corresponding to a multistate outbreak of *E.coli* O157:H7 in the USA⁴⁸. These samples
501 were subtyped using traditional MLST², as it was assumed, that the sequence type with the most isolates would
502 also include the outbreak samples. Sequence type 11, which is commonly corresponds to the O157:H7
503 serotype, was selected for further analysis. The corresponding samples and their SNP clusters were found in
504 the NCBI-PD platform. The phylogenetic tree for the SNP cluster with the most samples (PDS000000952.271)
505 was downloaded. The common samples were marked on both the NCBI-PD and the EO phylogenetic tree
506 (*Escherichia_coli_O157_H7_str_Sakai_chromosome_NC_002695_1*). The marked samples on the three biggest
507 clusters on the EO tree were labeled, and their placement on the NCBI-PD tree was visually inspected.

Supplementary material

Table S1 Non-queried species, due to mislabelled or mixed samples

Genus	Species	Isolate
<i>Bacillus</i>	<i>subtilis</i>	3
<i>Bacillus</i>	<i>pumilus</i>	2
<i>Campylobacter</i>	<i>coli</i>	58
<i>Campylobacter</i>	<i>fetus</i>	1
<i>Citrobacter</i>	<i>amalonaticus</i>	1
<i>Enterobacter</i>	<i>cloacae</i>	2
<i>Enterococcus</i>	<i>faecalis</i>	1
<i>Escherichia</i>	<i>albertii</i>	5
<i>Hafnia</i>	<i>alvei</i>	3
<i>Klebsiella</i>	<i>pneumoniae</i>	7
<i>Listeria</i>	<i>ivanovii</i>	1
<i>Morganella</i>	<i>morganii</i>	7
<i>Peptoclostridium</i>	<i>difficile</i>	1
<i>Proteus</i>	<i>mirabilis</i>	7
<i>Providencia</i>	<i>stuartii</i>	2
<i>Pseudomonas</i>	<i>aeruginosa</i>	6
<i>Raoultella</i>	<i>ornithinolytica</i>	1
<i>Salmonella</i>	<i>bongori</i>	11
<i>Staphylococcus</i>	<i>epidermidis</i>	1
<i>Streptococcus</i>	<i>agalactiae</i>	1

Figure S1 Computational time of the *Escherichia coli* in vitro evolution dataset where the samples were added in batches based on the sampling time.

517

518 *Figure S2 Comparison of the ideal tree (left) to the PAPABAC neighbor-joining tree made of the in vitro experiment dataset³⁴Taxa with an*
519 *asterisk were clustered together with the taxa in the same clade.*

Figure S3 Maximum likelihood trees of (A) *Campylobacter jejuni* and (B) *Listeria monocytogenes* SNP pipeline benchmarking datasets. The trees on the left are the "ideal" phylogenies by Timme et al. The colored (blue, red) clades contain the outbreak strains, while the black ones are non-related isolates. The reference sequences were trimmed from the trees.

524

525 Figure S4 A) Number of downloaded and included isolates as function of data acquisition events B) Number of isolates for the species we
526 query for C) Fraction of non-redundant isolates in template sets larger than 100 isolates