1 ***Brucella* effector hijacks endoplasmic reticulum quality control machinery to prevent**
2 **premature egress**

3

4 Jean-Baptiste Luizet¹, Julie Raymond¹, Thais Lourdes Santos Lacerda¹, Magali Bonici¹,
5 Frédérique Lembo², Kévin Willemart³, Jean-Paul Borg², Jean-Pierre Gorvel⁴, Suzana P.
6 Salcedo^{#1}

7

8

9 ¹Laboratory of Molecular Microbiology and Structural Biochemistry, Centre National de la
10 Recherche Scientifique UMR5086, Université de Lyon, Lyon, France.

11

12 ²CRCM, Inserm, Institut Paoli-Calmettes, Aix-Marseille Université, CNRS, Marseille,
13 France

14

15 ³Research Unit in Microorganisms Biology, University of Namur, B-5000 Namur, Belgium

16

17 ⁴Aix-Marseille Univ, CNRS, INSERM, CIML, Marseille, France

18

19

20

21

22 [#]Corresponding author and lead contact: suzana.salcedo@ibcp.fr

23

24

Abstract

26

27 Perturbation of endoplasmic reticulum (ER) functions can have critical consequences for
 28 cellular homeostasis. An elaborate surveillance system known as ER quality control (ERQC)
 29 ensures that only correctly assembled proteins reach their destination. Persistence of
 30 misfolded or improperly matured proteins upregulates the unfolded protein response (UPR) to
 31 cope with stress, activates ER associated degradation (ERAD) for delivery to proteasomes for
 32 degradation. We have identified a *Brucella abortus* type IV secretion system effector called
 33 BspL that targets Herp, a key component of ERQC and is able to augment ERAD.
 34 Modulation of ERQC by BspL results in tight control of the kinetics of autophagic *Brucella*-
 35 containing vacuole formation, preventing premature bacterial egress from infected cells. This
 36 study highlights how bacterial pathogens may hijack ERAD components for fine regulation of
 37 their intracellular trafficking.

38

39 Keywords: *Brucella*, ERAD, trafficking, Herp, ERQC

40

41

42

43 **Introduction**

44 The endoplasmic reticulum (ER) is the largest organelle in the cell and plays numerous
 45 functions vital for maintaining cellular homeostasis. It is the major site for protein synthesis
 46 of both secreted and integral membrane proteins as well as exporting of newly synthesised
 47 proteins to other cellular organelles. Disturbance or saturation of the folding-capacity of the
 48 ER leads to a complex stress response that has evolved to help cells recover homeostasis or, if
 49 necessary, commit them to death. The ER relies on a complex surveillance system known as
 50 ER quality control (ERQC) that ensures handling of misfolded, misassembled or
 51 metabolically regulated proteins (Braakman and Bulleid, 2011). Once retained in the ER,
 52 these proteins are retrotranslocated back into the cytosol to be ubiquitinated and degraded by
 53 the proteasome, a process known as ER-associated degradation (ERAD) (Wu and Rapoport,
 54 2018). Alternatively, ERAD-resistant proteins can be degraded *via* ERQC-autophagy (Houck
 55 et al., 2014).

56

57 In response to ER perturbations, particularly following the accumulation of toxic amounts of
 58 misfolded proteins, ER stress ensues and cells activate a set of inter-connected pathways that
 59 are collectively referred to as the unfolded protein response (UPR) that have a critical role in
 60 restoring homeostasis (Walter and Ron, 2011). The UPR is regulated by three ER membrane
 61 sensors, the inositol-requiring enzyme I (IRE1), double-stranded RNA-activated protein
 62 kinase-like ER kinase (PERK) and activating transcription factor 6 (ATF6). In non-stress
 63 conditions these are kept inactive thanks to their association with the ER chaperone BiP.
 64 Upon stress, BiP is dislodged from the luminal domains of the three sensors which leads to
 65 their activation and induction of specialized transcriptional programs. The IRE1 and ATF6
 66 pathways are involved in induction of the transcription of genes encoding for protein-folding
 67 chaperones and ERAD-associated proteins (Hetz and Papa, 2018). Whereas PERK sensing is

68 particularly important in control of autophagy, protein secretion and apoptosis (Hetz and
69 Papa, 2018).

70

71 The homocysteine-inducible ER stress protein (Herp) is an ER membrane protein that is
72 highly upregulated during ER stress by all UPR branches (Kokame et al., 2000; Ma and
73 Hendershot, 2004). Herp is a key component of ERQC that plays a protective role in ER
74 stress conditions (Chan et al., 2004; Tuvia et al., 2007). It is an integral part of the ERAD
75 pathway, enhancing the protein loading and folding capacities of the ER. In addition, it acts as
76 a hub for membrane association of ERAD machinery components, stabilizing their
77 interactions with substrates at ERQC sites (Leitman et al., 2014) and facilitating their
78 retrotranslocation (Huang et al., 2014). Furthermore, as Herp is also in a complex with the
79 proteasome it may aid delivery of specific retrotranslocated substrates to the proteasome for
80 degradation (Kny et al., 2011; Okuda-Shimizu and Hendershot, 2007).

81

82 Given its importance for cellular homeostasis, the ERQC represents a prime target for
83 microbial pathogens. Indeed, a growing number of bacterial pathogens have been shown to
84 hijack ERQC pathways, especially by modulating UPR (Celli and Tsolis, 2014). For example,
85 *Legionella pneumophila* secretes several effector proteins that repress CHOP, BiP and XBP1s
86 at the translational level, resulting in UPR inhibition and decrease in inflammation
87 (Hempstead and Isberg, 2015). Another pathogen for which modulation of UPR plays a
88 critical role during infection is *Brucella* spp., a facultative intracellular pathogen that causes
89 brucellosis, a zoonosis still prevalent worldwide. *Brucella abortus* has been shown to induce
90 UPR (de Jong et al., 2012; Smith et al., 2013), and more specifically the IRE1 pathway,
91 contributing to enhanced inflammation, a process particularly relevant in the context of
92 colonization of the placenta and abortion (Keestra-Gounder et al., 2016). However, activation

93 of IRE1 is also important for *Brucella* trafficking and subsequent *Brucella* multiplication (Qin
94 et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2013). After cellular uptake, *Brucella* is found in a membrane bound
95 compartment designated endosomal *Brucella*-containing vacuole (eBCV) which transiently
96 interacts with early and late endosomes, undergoing limited fusion with lysosomes (Starr et
97 al., 2008). Bacterial are then able to sustain interactions with ER exit sites (ERES) a process
98 that requires the activity of the small GTPases Sar1 (Celli et al., 2005) and Rab2 (Fugier et
99 al., 2009) and results in the establishment of an ER-derived compartment suited for
100 multiplication (replicative or rBCV). UPR induction by *Brucella* is necessary for this
101 trafficking step, as the formation of rBVCs is dependent on IRE1 activation by the ERES-
102 localized protein Yip1A, which mediates IRE1 phosphorylation and dimerization (Taguchi et
103 al., 2015). Once rBCVs are established, *Brucella* is capable of extensive intracellular
104 replication, without induction of cell death. Instead, at late stages of the intracellular cycle,
105 rBCVs reorganize and fuse to form large autophagic vacuoles (aBCVs) that will mediate
106 bacterial exit from infected cells (Starr et al., 2011). The bacterial factors behind the switch
107 between rBCVs and aBCVs remain uncharacterized.

108

109 *Brucella* relies on a type 4 secretion system (T4SS), encoded by the *virB* operon and induced
110 during eBCV trafficking to translocate bacterial effectors into host cells and directly modulate
111 cellular functions. However, only a few effectors have been characterized and for which we
112 have a full grasp of how they contribute towards pathogenesis. This system has been
113 implicated in the induction of UPR during infection and a subset of these effectors has been
114 shown to modulate ER-associated functions. VceC interacts with the ER chaperone BiP to
115 activate the IRE1 pathway, which results in NOD1/NOD2 activation and up-regulation of
116 inflammatory responses (de Jong et al., 2012; Kestra-Gounder et al., 2016). BspA, BspB and
117 BspF have all been implicated in blocking of ER secretion (Myeni et al., 2013). In particular,

BspB was shown to interact with the conserved oligomeric Golgi (COG) complex to redirect vesicular trafficking towards the rBCVs (Miller et al., 2017). Several other effectors that localize in the ER when ectopically expressed have been shown to induce UPR or control ER secretion, but the mechanisms involved remain uncharacterized.

In this study, we identify a new T4SS effector of *Brucella abortus*, that we designate as *Brucella*-secreted protein L (BspL) that targets a component of the ERAD machinery, Herp. BspL enhances ERAD and delays the formation of aBCVs, preventing early bacterial release from infected cells which helps maintain cell to cell spread efficiency.

Results

BspL is a *Brucella* T4SS effector protein

Bacterial effectors are often similar to eukaryotic proteins or contain domains and motifs that are characteristic of eukaryotic proteins. Multiple bacterial effectors benefit from the host lipidation machinery for targeting eukaryotic membranes. Some of these contain a carboxyl-terminal CAAX tetrapeptide motif (C corresponds to cysteine, A to aliphatic amino acids and X to any amino acid) that serves as a site for multiple post-translation modifications and addition of a lipid group which facilitates membrane attachment, such as SifA from *Salmonella enterica* (Boucrot et al., 2003; Reinicke, 2005) and AnkB from *Legionella pneumophila* (Price et al., 2010). Previous work highlighted several *Brucella* encoded proteins that contain putative CAAX motifs (Price et al., 2010) which could therefore be T4SS effectors. In this study, we focused on one of these proteins encoded by the gene BAB1_1533 (YP_414899.1), that we have designated BspL for *Brucella*-secreted protein L.

143

144 We first determined if BspL was translocated into host cells during infection. We constructed
 145 a strain expressing BspL fused to the C-terminus of the TEM1 β -lactamase (encoded by *bla*)
 146 and infected RAW macrophage-like cells for different time-points. A Flag tag was also
 147 included for control of protein expression. The fluorescent substrate CCF2 was added and the
 148 presence of fluorescent emission of coumarin, resulting from cleavage by the cytosolic TEM1
 149 lactamase, was detected by confocal microscopy. This assay is widely used in the *Brucella*
 150 field and we included the T4SS effector VceC as a positive control (de Jong et al., 2008),
 151 which showed the highest level of secretion at 24h post-infection in our experimental
 152 conditions (Figure 1A). We found that TEM1-BspL was secreted into host cells as early as 4h
 153 post-infection, with a slight peak at 12h post-infection, CCF2 cleavage was still detected at
 154 24h post-infection (Figure 1A). This phenotype was fully dependent on the T4SS as a $\Delta virB9$
 155 mutant strain did not show any coumarin fluorescence (Figure 1A and B). This was not due to
 156 lack of expression of TEM1-BspL as both the wild-type and the $\Delta virB9$ strains carrying the
 157 *bla::bspL* plasmid showed equivalent levels of TEM1-BspL expression (Figure 1C).
 158 Together, these results show BspL is a T4SS effector.

159

Ectopically expressed BspL accumulates in the ER, does not interfere with host protein secretion but induces the UPR

162 BspL is very well conserved in the *Brucella* genus, it is 170 amino acids long (Figure S1A)
 163 and is approximately 19 kDa. BspL does not share any homology to eukaryotic proteins nor
 164 to other bacterial effectors. Its nucleotide sequence encodes for a sec secretion signal, a
 165 feature commonly found in other *Brucella* effectors (Marchesini et al., 2011). In addition, it
 166 contains a hydrophobic region that may constitute a transmembrane domain as well as a
 167 proline rich region, with seven consecutive prolines that may be relevant in interactions with

eukaryotic proteins. To gain insight into the function of BspL we ectopically expressed HA, myc or GFP-tagged BspL in HeLa cells. We found BspL accumulated in the ER, as can be seen by the co-localization with calnexin (Figure 2A and S1B, S1C), an ER membrane protein and chaperone. Unlike what has been reported for VceC (de Jong et al., 2012), the structure of the ER remained relatively intact upon BspL expression. Deletion of the C-terminal tetrapeptide sequence, which could correspond to a potential lipidation motif had no effect on the ER localization of BspL in transfection (Figure S1B, bottom panel), as it significantly overlapped with the full-length protein when co-expressed in the same cell (Figure S1C).

Our observations suggest BspL is part of a growing number of *Brucella* effectors that accumulate in the ER when ectopically expressed, including VceC, BspB and BspD (de Jong et al., 2012; Myeni et al., 2013). We therefore investigated if BspL shared any of the ER modulatory functions described for other effectors, notably interference with ER secretion as BspB (Miller et al., 2017; Myeni et al., 2013) or induction of ER stress as VceC (de Jong et al., 2012; Kestra-Gounder et al., 2016).

To determine the impact of BspL on host protein secretion we used the secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) as a reporter system. HEK cells were co-transfected with the vector encoding SEAP and vectors encoding different *Brucella* effectors. We chose to work with HA-BspL, to allow direct comparison with previously published HA-BspB that blocks ER secretion and HA-BspD as a negative control (Myeni et al., 2013). Expression of the GDP-locked allele of the small GTPase Arf1[T31N], known to block the early secretory pathway, was used as a control for efficient inhibition of secretion (Figure S1D). As previously reported, we found that expression of HA-BspB drastically reduced SEAP secretion (Figure S1D). In contrast, HA-BspL did not impact SEAP secretion to the same

extent as BspB, having an effect equivalent to HA-BspD previously reported not to affect host protein secretion (Myeni et al., 2013).

We next investigated whether ER targeting of BspL was accompanied with activation of the UPR, an important feature of *Brucella* pathogenesis. In the case of *B. abortus*, IRE1 is the main pathway activated (de Jong et al., 2012) which leads to splicing of the mRNA encoding the transcription factor X-box-binding protein 1 (XBP1) which in turn induces the expression of many ER chaperones and protein-folding enzymes. The second branch of the UPR dependent on PERK may also be of relevance in *Brucella* infection (Smith et al., 2013). Under prolonged stress conditions, this UPR branch leads to the up-regulation of the transcription factor C/EBP-homologous protein (CHOP) which induces expression of genes involved apoptosis. We therefore monitored *XBPIs* and *CHOP* transcript levels following ectopic expression of HA-BspL, in comparison to HA-VceC, established as an ER stress inducer and HA-BspB, known not to induce ER stress. Treatment with tunicamycin, a chemical ER stress inducer was also included. We found that over-expression of HA-BspL induced an increase of both *XBPIs* and *CHOP* transcription, to levels even higher than HA-VceC (Figure 2B and C). These results suggest BspL may induce ER stress.

BspL is not involved in establishment of an ER-derived replication niche but is implicated in induction of ER stress during infection

As UPR has been implicated in the establishment of rBCVs (Taguchi et al., 2015) and intracellular replication (Qin et al., 2008; Smith et al., 2013; Taguchi et al., 2015) of *Brucella* we next investigated the intracellular fate of a *B. abortus* 2308 strain deleted for *bspL* in comparison with the wild-type. Two cellular models were used, HeLa cells and an immortalized cell line of bone marrow-derived macrophages (iBMDM). We found that the

ΔbspL strain replicated as efficiently as the wild-type in both iBMDM (Figure S2A) and HeLa cells (Figure S2B). In terms of intracellular trafficking no obvious differences were observed in the establishment of rBCVs at 24 and 48h post-infection, as *ΔbspL* BCVs were nicely decorated with the ER marker calnexin in both cell types (Figure 2D and E) as observed for the wild-type strain (Figure S2C and D). As this is the first report to our knowledge to use iBMDM in *Brucella* infections, we confirmed this observation by quantifying the percentage of BCVs positive for calnexin and the lysosomal associated membrane protein 1 (LAMP1) in comparison with the wild-type at 24 and 48 post-infection (Figure S2E and F, respectively). The wild-type strain in this cellular model behaved as expected forming the typical rBCVs.

As in transfected cells we found that BspL induced UPR, we next monitored the levels of *XBPIs* and *CHOP* transcripts during infection. Since the rate of infected cells is too low to detect ER stress in HeLa cells, these experiments were only performed in iBMDMs. As expected, the wild-type *B. abortus* strain induced an increase in the levels of transcription of *XBPIs* in relation to the mock-infected control iBMDM at 48h post-infection (Figure 2F). In contrast, *ΔbspL* infected macrophages showed decreased *XBPIs* transcript levels compared to the wild-type (Figure 2G). Furthermore, the wild-type phenotype could be fully restored by expressing a chromosomal copy of *bspL* in the *ΔbspL* strain, confirming that BspL specifically contributes towards induction of the IRE1 branch of the UPR during infection (Figure 2F). We did not observe an increase in *CHOP* transcript levels in iBMDM infected with the wild-type nor *ΔbspL* strains in comparison to the mock-infected cells (Figure 2G), suggesting that *B. abortus* does not significantly induce the PERK-dependent branch of the UPR at this stage of the infection.

BspL interacts with Herp, a key component of ERQC

To gain insight into the function of BspL we set out to identify its interacting partners. A yeast two-hybrid screen identified 7 candidates: eukaryotic translation initiation factor 4A2 (EIF4A2), pyruvate dehydrogenase beta (PDHB), MTR 5-methyltetrahydrofolate-homocysteine methyltransferase, Bcl2-associated athanogene 6 (BAG6), ARM CX3 armadillo repeat containing protein (Alex3), homocysteine-inducible ER protein with ubiquitin like domain (Herpud or Herp) and Ubiquilin2 (Ubqln2).

In view of our previous results for BspL showing ER localization and induction of UPR we decided to focus on Alex3, Herp and Ubiquilin2 which are rarely present or even absent in the database of false positives for this type of screen (<http://crapome.org/>). Alex3 is a mitochondrial outer membrane protein that has been implicated in regulation of mitochondrial trafficking (Serrat et al., 2013). As ER and mitochondria extensively interact, Alex3 could constitute an interesting target. Herp is an ER membrane protein playing a role in both the UPR and the ERAD system whereas Ubiquilin2 is implicated in both the proteasome and ERAD and, interestingly, shown to interact with Herp (Kim et al., 2008). In view of these different targets we decided to carry out an endogenous co-immunoprecipitation in cells expressing HA-BspL. As controls for detecting non-specific binding, we also performed co-immunoprecipitations from cells expressing two other ER-targeting effectors, HA-BspB and HA-VceC. We then probed the eluted samples with antibodies against Alex3, Ubiquilin2 or Herp to detect if any interactions could be observed. We found that Alex3 was co-immunoprecipitated with all 3 effectors suggesting a potentially non-specific interaction with the effectors or the resin itself (Figure 3A). In contrast, no interactions were observed with Ubiquilin2, which was detected only in the flow through fractions. However, we found that endogenous Herp specifically co-immunoprecipitated with HA-BspL and not the other

effectors (Figure 3A), suggesting Herp and BspL form a complex within host cells. Taken together with the yeast two-hybrid data, we can conclude that BspL directly interacts with Herp. Consistently, over-expressed BspL co-localized with Herp by microscopy (Figure 3B).

BspL facilitates degradation of TCR α via ERAD independently of ER stress

Herp is a key component of ERAD, strongly up-regulated upon ER stress. Indeed, during *B. abortus* infection we observed an up-regulation of *HERP* transcripts (Figure S3A), consistent with *XBPIs* induction, although these differences were not statistically significant with the number of replicates carried out. However, inhibition of Herp using siRNA (Figure S3B) showed that ER stress induced following ectopic expression of BspL was not dependent on Herp (Figure S3C and D), suggesting BspL interaction with Herp is mediating other functions in the cell.

Therefore, we next investigated if BspL could directly impact ERAD. We used expression of T cell receptor alpha (TCR α) as reporter system, as this type I transmembrane glycoprotein has been shown to be a canonical ERAD substrate, quickly degraded (Feige and Hendershot, 2013; Lippincott-Schwartz et al., 1988). TCR α is transferred across the ER membrane, where it becomes glycosylated and fails to assemble. This in turn induces its retrotranslocation back to the cytosol to be degraded by the proteasome. Cycloheximide treatment for 4 h was used to block protein synthesis, preventing replenishment of TCR pools and allowing for visualization of ERAD-mediated degradation of TCR α . When HEK-293T cells, which do not naturally express TCR were transfected with HA-TCR α and treated with cycloheximide, a decrease in HA-TCR α was observed, indicative of degradation (Figure 4A, red arrow). Strikingly, expression of BspL induced very strong degradation of TCR α (Figure 4A). This is accompanied by the appearance of a faster migrating band at around 25 KDa (blue arrow),

that nearly disappears upon cycloheximide treatment suggesting this TCR α peptide is efficiently degraded by the proteasome. It is important to note that the 25 KDa band is also present when HA-TCR α is expressed alone (lane 2 of Figure 4A, blue arrow) suggesting it is a natural intermediate of HA-TCR α degradation.

To determine if the enhanced effect of BspL on TCR α degradation is a side-effect of ER stress, cells were treated with TUDCA which strongly inhibited both *XBPIs* and *CHOP* transcript levels induced by either tunicamycin, BspL or VceC (Figure S3E and F). In the presence of TUDCA, BspL was still found to enhance HA-TCR α degradation showing this is occurring in an ER stress-independent manner (Figure S4).

As the TCR α subunit undergoes N-glycosylation in the ER, we wondered if the faster migrating band of TCR α induced by BspL corresponded to non-glycosylated form of TCR α . We therefore treated samples with EndoH, which deglycosylates peptides. Upon EndoH treatment we observed deglycosylated HA-TCR α (second lane, Figure 4B, black arrow), confirming the reporter system is being processed normally. In the BspL expressing samples (lanes 3 and 4, Figure 4B), a slight band corresponding to the non-glycosylated TCR α could also be detected particularly after EndoH treatment, confirming that BspL does not prevent TCR α from entering the ER and being glycosylated. The dominant TCR α band induced upon BspL expression (around 25 KDa, blue arrow) migrates faster than the non-glycosylated form resulting from EndoH treatment (black arrow) and does not appear to be sensitive to EndoH. This may therefore correspond to a natural truncated non-glycosylated form of HA-TCR α . Consistently, this band is also present in the absence of BspL (lane 1, Figure 4B, blue arrow). Together these data indicate that BspL is a strong inducer of ERAD.

ERAD is required for different stages of intracellular lifecycle of *Brucella*

The role of ERAD in the *Brucella* intracellular life cycle has not yet been investigated to our knowledge. We therefore decided to block ERAD using eeyarestatin, an established inhibitor of this system. Unfortunately, prolonged treatment at the concentration necessary for full inhibition of ERAD induced detachment of infected iBMDM. Nonetheless, we were able to carry out this experiment in HeLa cells, which showed significant resistance to the eeyarestatin treatment. Total CFU counts after addition of eeyarestatin at 2h post-infection showed a significant decrease in bacterial counts at 48h, suggesting a potential inhibition of replication (Figure 5A). However, microscopy observation of infected cells at this time-point clearly showed extensive replication of bacteria even in the presence of eeyarestatin (Figure 5B), suggesting that the drop of CFU observed was a result of exit of bacteria from infected cells rather than inhibition of intracellular replication. Consistently, we observed significant numbers of extracellular bacteria as well as many cells infected with only a few bacteria potentially resulting from re-infection. These results suggest that blocking of ERAD during early stages of infection would favour intracellular replication. To confirm this possibility, we counted by microscopy the number of bacteria per cell at 24h post-infection and indeed found a higher replication rate upon eeyarestatin treatment (Figure 5C). We therefore hypothesized that *Brucella* might block ERAD during early stages of the infection to favour establishment of an early replication niche, a phenotype clearly not dependent on BspL, as we have shown it is not implicated in the establishment of rBCVs and when ectopically expressed it induces ERAD. We therefore, wondered if BspL could intervene at a later stage of the infection to induce ERAD *via* its interaction with Herp.

BspL delays premature bacterial egress from infected cells

The late stage of the intracellular cycle of *Brucella* relies on induction of specific autophagy proteins to enable the formation of aBCVs characterized as large vacuoles with multiple bacteria decorated with LAMP1 (Starr et al., 2011). In our experimental conditions aBCVs could be clearly observed in iBMDM infected for 65h with wild-type *B. abortus* (Figure 6A). We therefore investigated if BspL was involved in formation of aBCVs. Strikingly, $\Delta bspL$ aBCVs could be detected as early as 24h, with nearly 30% of infected cells showing aBCVs at 48h post-infection compared to less than 10% for wild-type infected cells (Figure 6B and C). Importantly, complementation of the $\Delta bspL$ strain fully restored the wild-type phenotype. These results strongly suggest that BspL is involved in delaying the formation of aBCVs during *B. abortus* macrophage infection. Consistently, imaging of $\Delta bspL$ infected iBMDM at 48h, revealed the presence of high numbers of extracellular bacteria as well as cells with single bacteria or a single aBCV (Figure 6D), suggestive of re-infection and reminiscent of what was observed following eeyarestatin treatment that blocks the ERAD. In contrast, wild-type infected iBMDM at the same time-point showed none or few signs of re-infection with most cells showing extensive perinuclear ER-like distribution of bacteria (Figure 6D). In conclusion, we propose that, secretion of BspL during *Brucella* infection induces ERAD to control aBCV formation and prevent premature bacterial egress from infected cells.

Discussion

In this study, we characterize a previously unknown T4SS effector of *B. abortus* and its role in virulence. We found this effector hijacks the ERAD machinery to regulate the late stages of the *Brucella* intracellular cycle. Although many bacterial pathogens have been shown to control UPR, very little is known about the impact of ERAD, a downstream process following

UPR, in the context of intracellular bacterial infections. To our knowledge there are only two examples. The obligatory intracellular pathogen *Orientia tsutsugamushi*, the cause of scrub typhus, is an auxotroph for histidine and aromatic amino acids and was shown to transiently induce UPR and block ERAD during the first 48h of infection (Rodino et al., 2017). This in turn enables release of amino acids in the cytosol, necessary for its growth (Rodino et al., 2017). The second example is *Legionella pneumophila*, that recruits the AAA ATPase Cdc48/p97 to its vacuole, that normally recognizes ubiquitinated substrates and can act as a chaperone in the context of ERAD to deliver misfolded proteins to the proteasome. Recruitment of Cdc48/p97 to the *Legionella* vacuole is necessary for intracellular replication and helps dislocate ubiquitinated proteins from the vacuolar membrane, including bacterial effectors (Dorer et al., 2006).

In the case of BspL we found it directly interacts with Herp, a component of ERAD which is induced upon UPR. Our data suggest that BspL enhances ERAD and this prompted us to further investigate the role of ERAD during *Brucella* infection. Interestingly, we found that inhibition of ERAD is beneficial during early stages of intracellular trafficking and enhances bacterial multiplication. It is possible that *Brucella* is transiently blocking ERAD during rBCV formation and initial replication, potentially *via* a specific set of effectors or a particular cellular signal yet to be identified. This could, as demonstrated for *Orientia*, release amino acids into the cytosol that would be critical for bacterial growth. Alternatively, or in parallel, a block of ERAD could potentially enhance autophagy to deal with the ER stress that would in turn favour rBCV formation.

As a permanent block of ERAD could become damaging to the cell under prolonged stress and, as we observed, speed up the bacterial release from infected cells potentially

prematurely, *Brucella* translocation of BspL could counteract these effects by enhancing ERAD and slowing down aBCV formation. We could not directly show BspL ERAD induction is dependent on Herp as its depletion would itself block ERAD (Hori et al., 2004; Okuda-Shimizu and Hendershot, 2007). However, in the presence of BspL no glycosylated ER loaded HA-TCR α was observed indicative of enhanced processing through the ERAD pathway. Instead, only a truncated unglycosylated TCR α intermediate was detected, which disappeared in the presence of cycloheximide suggesting it is efficiently degraded. These likely correspond to a backlog of peptides awaiting proteasomal degradation, generated by an abnormal ERAD flux induced by BspL.

Further work is now required to establish the precise mechanisms that enables BspL to facilitate ERAD. It is possible that BspL interaction with Herp stabilizes it, preventing its degradation and would therefore help sustain ERAD. Indeed, ER stress significantly induces Herp levels but Herp was shown to be quickly degraded, enabling efficient modulation of ERQC (Yan et al., 2014). Alternatively, BspL may favour Herp accumulation at ERQC sites that would also enhance its ability to assist protein retrotranslocation and delivery to proteasomes. Imaging of BspL during infection will help to determine if a particular sub-ER compartment is targeted, such as ERQC-sites.

This study focuses on BspL-Herp interactions, nevertheless we cannot exclude the participation of other potential targets identified in the yeast-two hybrid screen, notably Ubiquilin 2 and Bag6. Ubiquilins function as adaptor proteins between the proteasome and ubiquitination machinery and therefore participate in ERAD. Ubiquilins also interact with Herp (Kim et al., 2008) and very interestingly have been shown to play a role in control of autophagy (Şentürk et al., 2019). Our co-immunoprecipitation experiment did not reveal any

binding but perhaps a weak or transient interaction is taking place not detectable with our current *in vitro* conditions. Another interesting target is Bag6, (also known as Bat3) a chaperone of the Hsp70 family that is also involved in delivery of proteins to the ER or when they are not properly folded to the proteasome. Bag6 was shown to be the target of the *Orientia* Ank4 effector that blocks ERAD (Rodino et al., 2017) and to be targeted by multiple *Legionella* effectors to control host cell ubiquitination processes (Ensminger and Isberg, 2010). Therefore, it is possible that Bag6 may contribute towards BspL control of ERAD functions during *Brucella* infection.

In addition to ERAD, we found that BspL itself was implicated in induction of UPR. However, this phenotype was independent of Herp and may be an indirect effect due to its ER accumulation or *via* another cellular target yet to be characterized. Furthermore, the increased ERAD activity upon BspL expression was not a result of increased ER stress; suggesting that BspL is independently controlling these two pathways. There is growing evidence that the induction of IRE1-dependent UPR by multiple effectors is linked to modulation of *Brucella* intracellular trafficking and intracellular multiplication (Smith et al., 2013; Taguchi et al., 2015). Our data allow us to add another piece to this complex puzzle, and place for the first time the ERAD pathway at the centre of *Brucella* regulation of its intracellular trafficking. Further work is now required to decipher all the molecular players involved.

In conclusion, our results show that ERAD modulation by BspL enables *Brucella* to temporarily delay the formation of aBCVs and avoid premature egress from infected cells, highlighting a new mechanism for fine-tuning of bacterial pathogen intracellular trafficking.

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the ERA-Net Pathogenomics *CELLPATH* grant (ANR 2010-PATH-006), the FINOVI foundation under a Young Researcher Starting Grant and the ANR *charm-Ed* (grant n° ANR-18-CE15-0003), both obtained by SPS. JBL was supported by a doctoral contract from the Région Rhône-Alpes ARC1 Santé. SPS is supported by an INSERM staff scientist contract. We are very grateful to Linda Hendershot (St Judes Medical School, USA) for sending us the pcDNA-TCR α and for all the help with setting up the ERAD assay and discussion of the results. We thank Renée Tsois (University of California at Davis, USA) and Jean Celli (Washington State University, USA) with advice for the construction of the following plasmids TEM1-VceC, HA-VceC, HA-BspB and HA-BspD, as the French Agency ANSM has prevented us from importing these vectors directly from them due to the size of the genes encoded. We also thank Thomas Henry (CIRI, Lyon, France) for the iBMDM. A final special thanks to Jean Celli (Washington State University, USA) for sending us several protocols and vectors (pSEAP and pmini-Tn7 vectors) as well as providing us constant guidance for the SEAP assay, complementation and observation of aBCVs. The two-hybrid screening was hosted by the Marseille Proteomics platform (JPB, FL) supported by Institut Paoli-Calmettes, IBISA (Infrastructures Biologie Santé et Agronomie), Aix-Marseille University, Canceropôle PACA and the Région Sud Provence-Alpes-Côte d'Azur. JPB is a scholar of Institut Universitaire de France. We thank Steve Garvis, Amandine Blanco and Arthur Louche for critical reading of the manuscript.

Author contributions

Conceptualization: JBL, JPB, JPG and SPS. Investigation: JBL, JR, TLSL, MB, FL, KW and SPS; Writing of Original Draft: JBL and SPS; Writing, Review & Editing: all authors; Funding Acquisition: SPS.

Declaration of Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

Figure Legends

Figure 1. BspL is a T4SS effector translocated into host cells during *B. abortus* infection.

(A) Macrophage-like cell line (RAW) was infected with *B. abortus* carrying a plasmid encoding for *bla* fused with BspL (*pbla::bspL*) to enable expression of TEM-BspL. Cells were infected with either wild-type *B. abortus* or $\Delta virB9$ carrying this plasmid. A positive control of wild-type expressing *bla::vceC* was included. At 4, 12 or 24h post-infection, cells were incubated with fluorescent substrate CCF2-AM, fixed and the percentage of cells with coumarin emission quantified using an automated plugin. More than a 1000 cells were quantified for each condition from 3 independent experiments and data represent means $\pm$ standard deviations. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and $P = 0.0019$ between wild-type *pbla::bspL* and $\Delta virB9$ *pbla::bspL* at 12h (**) and 0.171 at 24h (*). Not all statistical comparisons are shown.

(B) Representative images of cells infected for 24h with *B. abortus* wild-type or $\Delta virB9$ carrying *pbla::bspL*. Cells were incubated with CCF2 and the presence of translocated TEM1-BspL detected by fluorescence emission of coumarin (red). Scale bars correspond to 5 μm .

(C) The expression of TEM1-BspL in the inocula of wild-type and $\Delta virB9$ strains was controlled by western blotting thanks to the presence of a FLAG tag in the construct. The membrane was probed with an anti-Flag antibody (top) or anti-Omp25 (bottom) as a loading control. A sample from wild-type without the plasmid was included as a negative control. Molecular weights are indicated (KDa).

Figure 2. BspL does not impact early BCV trafficking but contributes to UPR induction at late stages of the infection.

(A) Confocal microscopy image showing the intracellular localization of HA-BspL expressed in HeLa cells labelled with an anti-HA antibody (green) and ER marker calnexin (red). Phalloidin (cyan) was used to label the actin cytoskeleton and Dapi (white) for the nucleus.

(B) Quantification of mRNA levels of *XBPIs* and (C) *CHOP* by quantitative RT-PCR obtained from HeLa cells expressing HA-BspL, HA-VceC or HA-BspB for 24h. Cells transfected with empty vector pcDNA3.1 were included as a negative control and cells treated tunicamycin at 1µg/µl for 6h as a positive control. Data correspond to the fold increase in relation to an internal control with non-transfected cells. Data are presented as means ± standard deviations from at least 4 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and P = 0.042 between negative and HA-BspL (**) and 0.0383 between HA-BspL and HA-BspB (*) for *XBPIs*. For *CHOP*, P = 0.0184 between negative and tunicamycin (*); 0.0088 between negative and HA-BspL (**); 0.0297 between tunicamycin and HA-BspB (*) and 0.011 between HA-BspL and HA-BspB (*). All other comparisons ranked non-significant.

(D) Representative images of rBCVs from $\Delta bspL$ -expressing DSred infected iBMDM or (E) HeLa cells at 24 and 48h post-infection, labelled for calnexin (green).

(F) Quantification of mRNA levels of *XBPIs* and (G) *CHOP* by quantitative RT-PCR obtained from iBMDMs infected with wild-type, $\Delta bspL$ or the complemented $\Delta bspL::bspL$ strains for 48h. Mock-infected cells were included as a negative control. Data correspond to the fold increase in relation to an internal control with non-infected cells. Data are presented as means ± standard deviations from at least 3 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and, for *XBPIs*, P = 0.042 between negative and

HA-BspL (**) and 0.0352 between the negative control and wild-type infected cells (*) and 0.0111 between negative and the complemented $\Delta bspL::bspL$ infected cells (*). All other comparisons ranked non-significant with this test.

Figure 3. BspL specifically interacts with the ERAD component Herp.

(A) Co-immunoprecipitation (co-IP) from cell extracts expressing either HA-BspL, HA-BspB and HA-VceC using HA-trapping beads. Flow through and elutions were probed with antibodies against Alex3, Ubiquilin (Ubqln) and Herp in succession. The level of each effector bound to the beads was revealed with an anti-HA antibody and 15% of the input used for the co-IP shown (at the bottom). Molecular weights are indicated (KDa).

(B) Representative confocal micrograph of HeLa cells expressing HA-BspL (green) and labelled for Herp (red). Scale bar corresponds to 5 μ m.

Figure 4. BspL enhances ERAD degradation of TCR α .

(A) HEK 293T cells were transfected with HA- TCR α in the absence or presence of myc-BspL for 24h. Where indicated, cells were treated with 50 μ g/ml cycloheximide for the last 4h. The blot was probed first with an anti-TCR antibody followed by anti-actin. The same samples were loaded onto a separate gel (separated by dashed line) for probing with an anti-myc and anti-actin to confirm the expression of myc-BspL. Molecular weights are indicated (KDa) and relevant bands described in the text highlighted with different coloured arrows.

(B) HEK 293T cells were transfected with HA- TCR α in the absence or presence of myc-BspL for 24h and samples treated with EndoH where indicated. The blot was probed first with an anti-TCR antibody followed by anti-actin. The same samples were loaded onto a separate gel (separated by dashed line) for probing with an anti-myc and anti-actin to confirm

the expression of myc-BspL. Molecular weights are indicated (KDa) and relevant bands described in the text highlighted with different coloured arrows.

Figure 5. Blocking of ERAD at early stages of the infection enhances intracellular replication and accelerates bacterial release.

(A) Bacterial counts (CFU) at 2, 24 and 48h post-infection with either the wild-type without any treatment (wt, black) or in the presence of 8 μ M eeyarestatin (wt+Eeya, red) or the equivalent amount of DMSO (wt+DMSO, green). Data correspond to means $\pm$ standard deviations from 6 independent experiments. A two-way ANOVA was used yielding a $P < 0.0001$ (****) between wild-type+DMSO with wild-type+Eeya at 48h. Other comparisons are not indicated.

(B) Representative confocal images of HeLa cells infected with the wild-type DSRed or following treatment eeyarestatin at 48h post-infection.

(C) Microscopy bacterial counts at 24h post-infection with either the wild-type with DMSO or in the presence of 8 μ M eeyarestatin. Data is presented as the percentage of cells containing 1 to 5 bacteria per cell (red), 6 to 30 (black), 30 to 40 (blue) or more than 50 (green). Data correspond to means $\pm$ standard deviations from 3 independent experiments. A two-way ANOVA test was used yielding a $P = 0.0003$ (***) between wild-type+DMSO with wild-type+Eeya at 48h. Other comparisons are not indicated.

Figure 6. BspL is implicated in delay of aBCV formation.

(A) Representative confocal images of iBMDM infected with wild-type DSred for 65h labelled for LAMP1 (green). Scale bar corresponds to 5 μ m.

(B) Representative confocal images of iBMDM infected with $\Delta bspL$ DSred for 24h (top), 48h (middle) and 65h (lower), labelled for LAMP1 (green). Scale bars correspond to 5 μ m.

(C) Quantification of the percentage of cells with aBCVs, in iBMDMs infected with either wild-type, $\Delta bspL$ or the complemented $\Delta bspL::bspL$ strains for 24, 48 or 65h. Data correspond to means $\pm$ standard deviations from at least 5 independent experiments. A two-way ANOVA was used yielding a $P < 0.0001$ (****) between wild-type and $\Delta bspL$ as well as $\Delta bspL$ and $\Delta bspL::bspL$ at 48h. Other comparisons are not indicated.

(D) Representative confocal image of iBMDM infected with either wild-type DSRred or $\Delta bspL$ for 48h, labelled for calnexin (red). Bacteria shown in white. Scale bars correspond to 5 μ m.

Supplementary Figure Legends

Figure S1. BspL targets the ER independently of its CAAX motif without impacting ER secretion.

(A) Schematic diagram of BspL and its domains, namely the Sec secretion signal, hydrophobic region, Prolin-rich region (PRR) and potential CAAX motif with amino acid C, T, A and N.

(B) Representative confocal images of HeLa cells expressing myc-BspL (top panel) or myc-BspL Δ CAAX (bottom panel) labelled for the ER marker calnexin (red). Scale bars correspond to 5 μ m.

(C) HeLa cells were co-transfected with GFP-BspL (green) and myc-BspL Δ CAAX (cyan) for 24h and labelled for the ER marker calnexin (red). Scale bars correspond to 5 μ m.

(D) Quantification of SEAP secretion in HEK 293T cells expressing either control empty vector (pcDNA3.1), dominant negative form of Arf1 (HA-ARF[T31N]), HA-BspL, HA, BspB or HA-BspD. Measurements were done at 24h after transfection and the secretion index corresponds to means $\pm$ standard deviations. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple

comparisons test was used and $P = 0.0164$ between pcDNA control and HA-ARF[T31N] (*) and 0.0005 between pcDNA and HA-BspB (***). All other comparisons ranked non-significant.

Figure S2. Equivalent intracellular trafficking of wild-type and *bspL* mutant strains.

(A) Bacterial counts using colony forming units (CFU) at 2, 24 and 48h post-infection with either the wild-type (red) or $\Delta bspL$ strains (black) of iBMDM or (B) HeLa cells. Data correspond to means $\pm$ standard deviations from 3 independent experiments.

(C) iBMDM or (D) HeLa cells were infected with wild-type *B. abortus* DSRed (red) for 24 or 48h and labelled for the ER marker calnexin (green). Zoomed insets are indicated. Scale bars correspond to 5 μm .

(E) Quantification of the percentage of BCVs positive for calnexin or (F) LAMP1 at 24 or 48h post-infection of iBMDM with either wild-type or $\Delta bspL$ DSRed-expressing strains. Data are presented as means $\pm$ standard deviations from at 6 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and all comparisons between the wild-type and the mutant strain yielded $P > 0.05$, considered as non-significant.

Figure S3. BspL induction of ER stress is independent of Herp.

(A) Quantification of mRNA levels of *HERP* by quantitative RT-PCR obtained from iBMDMs infected with wild-type, $\Delta bspL$ or the complemented $\Delta bspL::bspL$ strains for 48h. Mock-infected cells were included as a negative control. Data correspond to the fold increase in relation to an internal control with non-infected cells. Data are presented as means $\pm$ standard deviations from 3 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and yielded non-significant differences.

(B) Western blot of cell lysates from HeLa cells treated with siRNA control (siCtrl) or siRNA Herp (siHerp) for 48h. A sample from non-treated cells was included as a negative control. Membrane was probed with an anti-Herp antibody followed by anti-actin for loading control.

(C) Quantification of mRNA levels of *XBPIs* or (D) *CHOP* by quantitative RT-PCR obtained from HeLa cells expressing HA-BspL or HA-VceC for 24h. Where indicated, HeLa cells were treated with siRNA control (siCtrl) or siRNA Herp (siHerp). Cells transfected with empty vector pcDNA3.1 were included as a negative control and cells treated tunicamycin at 1µg/µl for 6h as a positive control. Data correspond to the fold increase in relation to an internal control with non-transfected cells. Data are presented as means ± standard deviations from at least 3 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and yielded P=0.0184 (*) between negative siCtrl and BspL siCtrl, 0.0277 (*) between negative siHerp and BspL siHerp and 0.0485 (*) between negative siCtrl and tunicamycin siCtrl. No significant differences for observed for *CHOP*.

(E) Quantification of mRNA levels of *XBPIs* or (F) *CHOP* by quantitative RT-PCR obtained from HeLa cells expressing HA-BspL, HA-VceC or HA-BspB for 24h. Were indicated, cells were treated with 0.5 nM of TUDCA for 22h. Cells transfected with empty vector pcDNA3.1 were included as a negative control and cells treated tunicamycin at 1µg/µl for 6h as a positive control. Data correspond to the fold increase in relation to an internal control with non-transfected cells. Data are presented as means ± standard deviations from 3 independent experiments. Kruskal-Wallis with Dunn's multiple comparisons test was used and yielded P=0.0439 (*) between BspL and BspL+TUDCA. For *CHOP*, P=0.0012 (**) between tunicamycin and tunicamycin+TUDCA, 0.0036 (**) between BspL and BspL+TUDCA and 0.0192 (*) between VceC and VceC+TUDCA. Not all comparisons are indicated.

Figure S4. BspL induction of ERAD is ER stress-independent.

HEK 293T cells were transfected with HA- TCR α in the absence or presence of myc-BspL for 24h. Where indicated, cells were treated with 50 μ g/ml cycloheximide for the last 6h or 0.5 nM of TUDCA for 22h. The blot was probed first with an anti-TCR antibody followed by anti-actin. The same samples were loaded onto a separate (separated by dashed line) for probing with an anti-myc and anti-actin to confirm the expression of myc-BspL. Molecular weights are indicated (KDa) and relevant bands described in the text highlighted with different coloured arrows.

Material and methods

Cell culture

HeLa, RAW and HEK293T cells obtained from ATCC were grown in DMEM supplemented with 10% of fetal calf serum. Immortalized bone marrow-derived macrophages from C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Thomas Henry (CIRI, Lyon, France) and were maintained in DMEM supplemented with 10% FCS and 10% spent medium from L929 cells that supplies MC-CSF.

Transfections and siRNA

All cells were transiently transfected using Torpedo® (Ibidi-Invitrogen) for 24 h, according to manufacturer's instructions. siRNA experiments were done with Lipofectamine® RNAiMAX Reagent (Invitrogen) according the protocol of the manufacturers. Importantly, siRNA depletion of Herp was done by treatment with 3 μ M siRNA the day after seeding of cells and again at 24h. Depletion was achieved after 48h total. Depletion was confirmed by western blotting with an antibody against Herp. ON-TARGETplus siRNA SMARTpool (L-020918)

were used for Herp and for the control ON-TARGETplus Non-targeting pool (D-001810) both from from Dharmacon. For both transfections and siRNA cells were weeded 18h before at 2×10^4 cells/well and 1×10^5 cells/well for 24 and 6 well plates, respectively.

Bacterial strains and growth conditions

Brucella abortus 2308 was used in this study. Wild-type and derived strains were routinely cultured in liquid tryptic soy broth and agar. 50 µg/ml kanamycin was added for cultures of DSRed or complemented strains.

Construction of BspL eukaryotic expression vectors

The BspL constructs were obtained by cloning in the gateway pDONRTM (Life Technologies) and then cloned in the pENTRY Myc, HA or GFP vectors. The following primers were used 5'-GGGGACAAGTTTGTACAAAAAAGCAGGCTTCAATCGATTTTGAAGATCACTAT-3' and 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCCTAGTTGGCCGTGCAGAAATG-3'. For the construct without CAAX the following reverse primer was used: 5'-GGGGACCACTTTGTACAAGAAAGCTGGGTCCTAGAAATGGTCGCGACCGTCA-3'. The final constructs were verified by sequencing and expression of tagged-BspL verified by western blotting.

Construction of *bspL* mutant and complementing strain

B. abortus 2308 knockout mutant $\Delta bspL$ was generated by allelic replacement. Briefly, upstream and downstream regions of about 750 bp flanking the *bspL* gene were amplified by PCR (Q5 NEB) from *B. abortus* 2308 genomic DNA using the following primers: (i) SpeI_Upstream_Forward: actagtATGTCGAGAACTGCCTGC, (ii) BamHI_XbaI_Upstream_Reverse: CGGGATCCCGGCTC

TAGAGCGCGGCTCCGATTAACAG, (iii) BamHI_XbaI_Downstream_Forward:
 CGGGATCC CGGCTCTAGAGCACCGAACCGATCAACCAG and (iv)
 SpeI_Downstream_Reverse: actagtCC CTATACCGAGTTGGAGC. A joining PCR was used
 to associate the two PCR products using the following primers pairs: (i) and (iv). Finally, the
 $\Delta BspL$ fragment was cloned in a SpeI digested suicide vector (pNPTS138). The acquisition of
 this vector by *B. abortus* after mating with conjugative S17 *Escherichia coli* was selected
 using the kanamycin resistance cassette of the pNPTS138 vector and the resistance of *B.*
abortus to nalidixic acid. The loss of the plasmid concomitant with either deletion of a return
 to the wild type phenotype was then selected on sucrose, using the *sacB* counter selection
 marker also present on the vector. Deletant (Δ) strain was identified by diagnostic PCR using
 the following primers: Forward: CACTGGCAATGATCAGTTCC and Reverse:
 CTGACCATTATGTGTGAACAGG (Amplicon length: WT-2000 bp, Δ - 1500 bp).
 The complementing strain was constructed by amplifying BspL and its promoter region (500
 bp upstream) with the PrimeStar DNA polymerase (Takara) using the following primers: Fw:
 AAAGGATCCGACAATCAGAAGGTTTCCTATGAAACG and Rev:
 AAAACTAGTTCAGTTGGCCGTGCAGAAATG. Insert and pmini-Tn7 (Myeni et al.,
 2013) were digested with BamHI and SpeI and ligated overnight. Transformants were
 selected on kanamycin 50 μ g/mL and verified by PCR and sequencing. To obtain the
 complementing strain the $\Delta bspL$ mutant was electroporated with pmini-Tn7-*bspL* with the
 helper plasmid pTNS2. Electroporants were selected on tryptic soy agar plates with
 kanamycin 50 μ g/mL and verified by PCR.

HA-TCR α

The pcDNA-TCR α was obtained from Linda Hendershot (St Judes Medical School, USA)
 and it corresponds to the A6-TCR α (Feige and Hendershot, 2013). The HA tag was

introduced by sequence and ligation independent cloning (SLIC) method with the following primers:

TCR-Fw:
CGAGCTCGGATCCACTAGTCCAGTGTGGTGGGAATTCTACCCATACGATGTTCCAG

ATTACGCTATGGGCATGATCAGCCTG and TCR-

Rv:GAGCGGCCCGCCACTGTGCTGGATATCTGCAGAATTCTTACTAGCTAGACCACA

G. Briefly, pcDNA-TCR α was digested with EcoRI and incubated with purified PCR product amplified with the PrimeStar DNA polymerase (Takara – Ozyme) for 3 min at RT followed by 10 min on ice. The following ratio was used for the reaction: 100 ng vector + 3x PCR insert.

Infections

Bacterial cultures were incubated for 16h from isolated colonies in TSB shaking overnight at 37 °C. Culture optical density was controlled at 600 nm. Bacterial cultures diluted to obtain the appropriate multiplicity of infection (MOI) for HeLa 1:500 and iBMDMs 1:300 in the appropriate medium. Infected cells were centrifuged at 400 x g for 10 minutes to initiate bacterial-cell contact followed by incubation for 1h at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for HeLa cells and only 15 min for iBMDMs. After the cells were washed 3 times with DMEM and treated with gentamycin (50 µg/mL) to kill extracellular bacteria for 1h. At 2 hours pi the medium was replaced with a weaker gentamycin concentration 10 µg/mL. Cells are plated 18h before infection and seeded at 2x10⁴ cell / well and 1x10⁵cells/well for 24 and 6 well plates respectively. For qRT-PCR experiments, 10 mm cell culture plates were used at a density of 1x10⁶cell/plate. At the different time points cells were either harvested or coverslips fixed for immunostaining. In the case of bacterial cell counts, cells were lysed in 0.1% Triton for 5 min and a serial dilution plated for enumeration of bacterial colony forming units (CFU).

Immunofluorescence microscopy

At the appropriate time point, coverslips were washed twice with PBS, fixed with AntigenFix (MicromMicrotech France) for 15 minutes and then washed again 4 times with PBS. For ER and Herp immunostaining, permeabilization was carried out with a solution of PBS containing 0.5% saponin for 30 minutes followed by blocking also for 30 minutes in a solution of PBS containing 1% bovine serum albumin (BSA), 10% horse serum, 0.5% saponin, 0.1% Tween and 0.3 M glycine. Coverslips were then incubated for 3h at room temperature or at 4 °C overnight with primary antibody diluted in the blocking solution. Subsequently, the coverslips were washed twice in PBS containing 0.05% saponin and incubated for 2h with secondary antibodies. Finally, coverslips were washed twice in PBS with 0.05 % saponin, once in PBS and once in ultrapure water. Lastly, they were mounted on a slide with ProLongGold (Life Technologies). The coverslips were visualized with a Confocal Zeiss inverted laser-scanning microscope LSM800 and analyzed using ImageJ software. For Lamp1 immunostaining no pre-permeabilization and blocking were done and coverslips were directly incubated with antibody mix diluted in PBS containing 10% horse serum and 0.5% saponin for 3h at room temperature. The remaining of the protocol was the same as described above.

Western blotting

Cells were washed 1x with PBS and the 1x with ice-cold PBS. Cells were scrapped in ice-cold PBS, centrifuged for 5 min at 4 °C at 80 g. Pellets were then resuspended in cell lysis buffer (Chromotek) supplemented with phenylmethylsulfonyl fluoride (PMSF) and proteinase inhibitors tablet cocktail (complete Mini, Roche). Samples resolved on SDS-PAGE and transferred onto PVDF membrane Immobilon-P (Millipore) using a standard liquid transfer protocol. Membranes were blocked using PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and 5% skim milk for 30

min and the probed using relevant primary antibodies overnight at 4 °C, washed 3 times with PBS with 0.1% Tween 20 and then incubated with HRP-conjugated secondary anti-goat, mouse or rabbit antibodies, diluted in PBS with Tween 20 0.1% and 5% skim milk for 1 h. Western blots were revealed using ECL Clarity reagent (BioRad). Signals were acquired using a Fusion Camera and assembled for presentation using Image J.

TEM1 translocation assay

RAW cells were seeded in a 96 well plates at 1×10^4 cells/well overnight. Cells were then infected with an MOI of 300 by centrifugation at 4 °C, 400 g for 5 min and 1 at 37 °C 5% CO₂. Cells were washed with HBSS containing 2.5 mM probenecid. Then 6 µl of CCF2 mix (as described in the Life Technologies protocol) and 2.5 mM probenecid were added to each well, and incubated for 1.5 h at room temperature in the dark. Cells were finally washed with PBS, fixed using Antigenfix and analysed immediately by confocal microscopy (Zeiss LSM800).

RNA isolation and real-time quantitative polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR)

HeLa cells were seeded in 100x100 culture dishes at 1×10^6 cells/plate for each condition and were either transfected with HA-tagged BspL, VceC or BspB for 24h or infected with wild-type, mutant or complemented strains for 48h. Cells were then washed 1x in PBS, scrapped in buffer RLT (Qiagen) supplemented with β-mercaptoethanol and transfered on a Qias shredder column (Qiagen). Then several wash steps were performed and total RNAs were extracted using a RNeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen). 500 ng of RNA were reverse transcribed in a final volume of 20 µl using QuantiTect Reverse Transcription Kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR was performed using SYBR Green PowerUp (ThermoScientific) with an QuantiTect Studio 3 (ThermoScientific). Specific primers for human cells: *HERP* fw:

CGTTGTTATGTACCTGCATC and *HERP* rev: TCAGGAGGAGGACCATCATTT ; *XBPIs*
 fw: TGCTGAGTCCGCAGCAGGTG and *XBPIs* rev: GCTGGCAGGCTCTGGGGAAG;
CHOP fw: GCACCTCCCAGAGCCCTCACTCTCC and *CHOP* rev:
 GTCTACTCCAAGCCTTCCCCCTGCG. The *HPRT*, and *GAPDH* expressions were used as
 internal controls for normalization and fold change calculated in relation to the negative
 control. Primers were *HPRT* fw: TATGGCGACCCGCAGCCCT and *HPRT* rev:
 CATCTCGAGCAAGACGTTTCAG; *GAPDH* fw: GCCCTCAACGACCACTTTTGT and
GAPDH rev: TGGTGGTCCAGGGGTCTTAC.
 For murine cells: *HERP* fw: CAACAGCAGCTTCCCAGAAT and *HERP* rev:
 CCGCAGTTG GAGTGTGAGT; *XBPIs* fw: GAGTCCGCAGCAGGTG and *XBPIs* rev:
 GTGTCAGAGTCCATGGGA; *CHOP* fw: CTGCCTTTCACCTTGGAGAC and *CHOP* rev:
 CGTTTCCTGGGGATGAGATA and for the internal controls for normalization primers were
18S fw: GTAACCCGTTGAACCCATT and *18S* rev: CCATCCAATCGGTAGTAGCG;
GAPDH fw: TCACCACCATGGAGAAGGC and *GAPDH* rev:
 GCTAAGCAGTTGGTGGTGCA. Data were analyzed using Prism Graph Pad 6.

ERAD evaluation

HEK293T cells seeded in 100 mm culture plates at 8×10^5 cells/plate overnight and then co-
 transfected for 24h with Torpedo (Ibidi) with vectors encoding HA-TCR (5 μ g) and myc-
 BspL (5 μ g). Cycloheximide 50 μ g/ml was added 6h before lysis. Where indicated, TUDCA
 was added 2h after transfection at 0.5 mM. Cells were harvested as described above (western
 blotting) and lysed in 200 μ l of lysis buffer (Chromotek). EndoH (New England Biolabs)
 treatment was carried out following the manufacturers protocol for 1h at 37 °C. Sample buffer
 was then added (30 mM Tris-HCl pH 6.8, 1% SDS, 5% glycerol, 0.025% bromophenol blue

and 1.25 β -mercaptoethanol final concentration). Western blotting was done as described above using anti-TCR antibody. Actin levels were also analyzed as a loading control.

Secretion assay

HEK293T cells were harvested and seeded in 6-well plates at 1×10^5 cells/well and co-transfected with plasmids encoding *Brucella* secreted proteins (300 ng DNA) and the secreted embryonic alkaline phosphatase (SEAP) (300 ng DNA) provided by Jean Celli. Total amount of transfected DNA was maintained constant using an empty vector pcDNA 3.1 for the positive control. At 18 h post transfection, the transfection media was removed and then cells were still incubated at 37°C 5% CO₂. Forty-eight hours later, media containing culture supernatant (extracellular SEAP) was removed and collected. To obtain intracellular SEAP, each well was washed with PBS and then incubated with a solution of PBS-Triton X-100 0.5% for 10 minutes. An incubation of each fraction was performed at 65 °C following a centrifugation at maximum speed for 30 seconds. Then cells were incubated with a provided substrate 3-(4-methoxyspiro [1,2-dioxetane-3,2'(5'-chloro)-tricyclo(3.3.1.1^{3,7}) decane]-4-yl)phenyl phosphate (CSPD) by SEAP reporter gene assay, chemiluminescent kit (Roche Applied Science). Chemiluminescence values were obtained with the use of a TECAN at 492 nm. Data are presented as the SEAP secretion index, which is a ratio of extracellular SEAP activity to intracellular SEAP activity.

Yeast two-hybrid

BspL was cloned into pDBa vector, using the Gateway technology, transformed into MaV203 and used as a bait to screen a human embryonic brain cDNA library (Invitrogen). Media, transactivation test, screening assay and gap repair test were performed as described (Orr-Weaver and Szostak, 1983; Thalappilly et al., 2008; Walhout and Vidal, 2001).

839

840 **Antibodies**

841 For immunostaining for microscopy the following antibodies were used:

842 Rat anti-HA antibody clone 3F10 (Roche, #1867423) was used at a dilution 1/50 and mouse
843 anti-HA (Covance, clone 16B12, #MMS-101R), at 1/500. Rabbit anti-calnexin (Abcam,
844 #ab22595) was used at 1/250. Rabbit anti-Herp EPR9649 (Abcam, #ab150424) at 1/250. The
845 mouse anti-myc antibody clone 9E10 (developed by Bishop, J.M.) was used at 1/1000. Rat
846 anti-LAMP1 clone ID4B (developed by August, J.T.) was used 1/100 for mouse cells and
847 mouse anti-LAMP1 clone H4A3 (developed by August, J.T. / Hildreth, J.E.K.) was used
848 1/100 for human cells. All LAMP1 and Myc antibodies were obtained from the
849 Developmental Studies Hybridoma Bank, created by the NICHD of the NIH and maintained
850 at the University of Iowa. Secondary anti-mouse, rabbit and rat antibodies were conjugated
851 with Alexas-555, -488 or -647 fluorochromes all from Jackson ImmunoResearch at a dilution
852 1/1000. Phalloidin Atto-647 (Sigma, #65906) was used at a dilution of 1/1000. Dapi nuclear
853 dye (Invitrogen) was used at a dilution of 1/1000.

854 For western blotting the following antibodies were used:

855 rabbit anti-FLAG (Sigma, #F7425) at 1/1000 ; rabbit anti-Alex3 (Sigma, # HPA000967) at
856 1/100; rabbit anti-Ubiquilin 2 (Abcam, #ab217056) at 1/1000; rabbit anti-Herp EPR9649
857 (Abcam, # ab150424) at 1/1000; mouse anti-HA (Covance, clone 16B12, ref. MMS-101R) at
858 1/1000; rabbit anti-TCR clone 3A8 (Invitrogen, #TCR1145) at 1/1000; mouse anti-myc
859 antibody clone 9E10 at 1/1000 ; mouse anti-actin AC-40 (Sigma, #A4700) at 1/1000. Anti-
860 mouse (GE Healthcare) or rabbit-HRP (Sigma) antibodies were used at 1/5000.

861

862 **Drug treatments**

All drug treatments are indicated in the specific protocols. To summarize the concentrations used were: TUDCA (Focus Biomolecules) at 0.5 nM; Cycloheximide (Sigma) at 50 µg/ml; Eeyarstatin (Sigma) at 8 µM; Tunicamycin (Sigma) at 1 µg/µl; Probenicid (Sigma) at 2.5 mM.

Co-immunoprecipitation

HeLa cells were cultured in 100 mm x 20 mm cell culture dishes at 1×10^6 cells/dish overnight. Cells were transiently transfected with 30 uL of Torpedo^{DNA} (Ibidi) for 24h for a total of 10 µg of DNA/plate. On ice, after 2 washes with cold PBS cells were collected with a cell scraper and centrifuged at 80g at 4 °C during 10 min. Cell lysis and processing for co-immunoprecipitation were done as described with the PierceTM HA Epitope Antibody Agarose conjugate (Thermo scientific).

Statistical analysis

All data sets were tested for normality using Shapiro-Wilkinson test. When a normal distribution was confirmed a One-Way ANOVA test with a Tukey correction was used for statistical comparison of multiple data sets with one independent variable and a Two-Way ANOVA test for two independent variables. For data sets that did not show normality, a Kruskal-Wallis test was applied, with Dunn's correction, or Mann-Whitney U-test for two sample comparison. All analyses were done using Prism Graph Pad 6.

References

- Boucrot, E., Beuzón, C.R., Holden, D.W., Gorvel, J.-P., Méresse, S., 2003. *Salmonella typhimurium* SifA effector protein requires its membrane-anchoring C-terminal hexapeptide for its biological function. J Biol Chem 278, 14196–14202. doi:10.1074/jbc.M207901200
- Braakman, I., Bulleid, N.J., 2011. Protein folding and modification in the mammalian endoplasmic reticulum. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 80, 71–99. doi:10.1146/annurev-biochem-

062209-093836

Celli, J., Salcedo, S.P., Gorvel, J.-P., 2005. *Brucella* coopts the small GTPase Sar1 for intracellular replication. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 102, 1673–1678. doi:10.1073/pnas.0406873102

Celli, J., Tsolis, R.M., 2014. Bacteria, the endoplasmic reticulum and the unfolded protein response: friends or foes? *Nature Publishing Group* 1–12. doi:10.1038/nrmicro3393

Chan, S.L., Fu, W., Zhang, P., Cheng, A., Lee, J., Kokame, K., Mattson, M.P., 2004. Herp stabilizes neuronal Ca²⁺ homeostasis and mitochondrial function during endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J Biol Chem* 279, 28733–28743. doi:10.1074/jbc.M404272200

de Jong, M.F., Starr, T., Winter, M.G., Hartigh, den, A.B., Child, R., Knodler, L.A., van Dijk, J.M., Celli, J., Tsolis, R.M., 2012. Sensing of bacterial type IV secretion via the Unfolded Protein Response. *mBio* 4, e00418–12–e00418–12. doi:10.1128/mBio.00418-12

de Jong, M.F., Sun, Y.-H., Hartigh, den, A.B., van Dijk, J.M., Tsolis, R.M., 2008. Identification of VceA and VceC, two members of the VjbR regulon that are translocated into macrophages by the *Brucella* type IV secretion system. *Mol Microbiol* 70, 1378–1396. doi:10.1111/j.1365-2958.2008.06487.x

Dorer, M.S., Kirton, D., Bader, J.S., Isberg, R.R., 2006. RNA interference analysis of *Legionella* in *Drosophila* cells: exploitation of early secretory apparatus dynamics. *PLoS Pathog* 2, e34–13. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.0020034

Ensminger, A.W., Isberg, R.R., 2010. E3 Ubiquitin ligase activity and targeting of BAT3 by multiple *Legionella pneumophila* translocated substrates. *Infect Immun* 78, 3905–3919. doi:10.1128/IAI.00344-10

Feige, M.J., Hendershot, L.M., 2013. Quality control of integral membrane proteins by assembly-dependent membrane integration. *Mol Cell* 51, 297–309. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2013.07.013

Fugier, E., Salcedo, S.P., de Chastellier, C., Pophillat, M., Muller, A., Arce-Gorvel, V., Fourquet, P., Gorvel, J.-P., 2009. The glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase and the small GTPase Rab 2 are crucial for *Brucella* replication. *PLoS Pathog* 5, e1000487. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000487

Hempstead, A.D., Isberg, R.R., 2015. Inhibition of host cell translation elongation by *Legionella pneumophila* blocks the host cell unfolded protein response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 112, E6790–E6797. doi:10.1073/pnas.1508716112

Hetz, C., Papa, F.R., 2018. The Unfolded Protein Response and cell fate control. *Molecular Cell* 69, 169–181. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2017.06.017

Hori, O., Ichinoda, F., Yamaguchi, A., Tamatani, T., Taniguchi, M., Koyama, Y., Katayama, T., Tohyama, M., Stern, D.M., Ozawa, K., Kitao, Y., Ogawa, S., 2004. Role of Herp in the endoplasmic reticulum stress response. *Genes to Cells* 9, 457–469. doi:10.1111/j.1356-9597.2004.00735.x

Houck, S.A., Ren, H.Y., Madden, V.J., Bonner, J.N., Conlin, M.P., Janovick, J.A., Conn, P.M., Cyr, D.M., 2014. Quality control autophagy degrades soluble ERAD-resistant conformers of the misfolded membrane protein GnRHR. *Molecular Cell* 54, 166–179. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2014.02.025

Huang, C.-H., Chu, Y.-R., Ye, Y., Chen, X., 2014. Role of HERP and a HERP-related protein in HRD1-dependent protein degradation at the endoplasmic reticulum. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 289, 4444–4454. doi:10.1074/jbc.M113.519561

Keestra-Gounder, A.M., Byndloss, M.X., Seyffert, N., Young, B.M., Chávez-Arroyo, A., Tsai, A.Y., Cevallos, S.A., Winter, M.G., Pham, O.H., Tiffany, C.R., de Jong, M.F., Kerrinnes, T., Ravindran, R., Luciw, P.A., McSorley, S.J., Bäuml, A.J., Tsolis, R.M., 2016. NOD1 and NOD2 signalling links ER stress with inflammation. *Nature* 1–15. doi:10.1038/nature17631

- Kim, T.-Y., Kim, E., Yoon, S.K., Yoon, J.-B., 2008. Herp enhances ER-associated protein degradation by recruiting ubiquilins. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 369, 741–746. doi:10.1016/j.bbrc.2008.02.086
- Kny, M., Standera, S., Hartmann-Petersen, R., Kloetzel, P.-M., Seeger, M., 2011. Herp regulates Hrd1-mediated ubiquitylation in a ubiquitin-like domain-dependent manner. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 286, 5151–5156. doi:10.1074/jbc.M110.134551
- Kokame, K., Agarwala, K.L., Kato, H., Miyata, T., 2000. Herp, a new ubiquitin-like membrane protein induced by endoplasmic reticulum stress. *J Biol Chem* 275, 32846–32853. doi:10.1074/jbc.M002063200
- Leitman, J., Shenkman, M., Gofman, Y., Shtern, N.O., Ben-Tal, N., Hendershot, L.M., Lederkremer, G.Z., 2014. Herp coordinates compartmentalization and recruitment of HRD1 and misfolded proteins for ERAD. *Mol Biol Cell* 25, 1050–1060. doi:10.1091/mbc.E13-06-0350
- Lippincott-Schwartz, J., Bonifacino, J.S., Yuan, L.C., Klausner, R.D., 1988. Degradation from the endoplasmic reticulum: disposing of newly synthesized proteins. *Cell* 54, 209–220.
- Ma, Y., Hendershot, L.M., 2004. Herp is dually regulated by both the endoplasmic reticulum stress-specific branch of the unfolded protein response and a branch that is shared with other cellular stress pathways. *J Biol Chem* 279, 13792–13799. doi:10.1074/jbc.M313724200
- Marchesini, M.I., Herrmann, C.K., Salcedo, S.P., Gorvel, J.-P., Commerci, D.J., 2011. In search of *Brucella abortus* type IV secretion substrates: screening and identification of four proteins translocated into host cells through VirB system. *Cell Microbiol* 13, 1261–1274. doi:10.1111/j.1462-5822.2011.01618.x
- Miller, C.N., Smith, E.P., Cundiff, J.A., Knodler, L.A., Bailey Blackburn, J., Lupashin, V., Celli, J., 2017. A *Brucella* type IV effector targets the COG tethering complex to remodel host secretory traffic and promote intracellular replication. *Cell Host Microbe* 22, 317–329.e7. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2017.07.017
- Myeni, S., Child, R., Ng, T.W., Kupko, J.J., Wehrly, T.D., Porcella, S.F., Knodler, L.A., Celli, J., 2013. *Brucella* modulates secretory trafficking via multiple type IV secretion effector proteins. *PLoS Pathog* 9, e1003556. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003556.s014
- Okuda-Shimizu, Y., Hendershot, L.M., 2007. Characterization of an ERAD pathway for nonglycosylated BiP substrates, which require Herp. *Molecular Cell* 28, 544–554. doi:10.1016/j.molcel.2007.09.012
- Orr-Weaver, T.L., Szostak, J.W., 1983. Yeast recombination: The association between double-strand gap repair and crossing-over. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 80, 4417–4421.
- Price, C.T.D., Al-Quadani, T., Santic, M., Jones, S.C., Abu Kwaik, Y., 2010. Exploitation of conserved eukaryotic host cell farnesylation machinery by an F-box effector of *Legionella pneumophila*. *J Exp Med* 207, 1713–1726. doi:10.1084/jem.20100771
- Qin, Q.-M., Pei, J., Ancona, V., Shaw, B.D., Ficht, T.A., de Figueiredo, P., 2008. RNAi screen of endoplasmic reticulum-associated host factors reveals a role for IRE1alpha in supporting *Brucella* replication. *PLoS Pathog* 4, e1000110. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1000110
- Reinicke, A.T., 2005. A *Salmonella typhimurium* effector protein SifA is modified by host cell prenylation and S-acylation machinery. *Journal of Biological Chemistry* 280, 14620–14627. doi:10.1074/jbc.M500076200
- Rodino, K.G., VieBrock, L., Evans, S.M., Ge, H., Richards, A.L., Carlyon, J.A., Palmer, G.H., 2017. *Orientia tsutsugamushi* modulates endoplasmic reticulum-associated degradation to benefit its growth. *Infect Immun* 86, e00596–17–16.

991 doi:10.1128/IAI.00596-17
992 Serrat, R., López-Doménech, G., Mirra, S., Quevedo, M., Garcia-Fernández, J., Ulloa, F.,
993 Burgaya, F., Soriano, E., 2013. The non-canonical Wnt/PKC pathway regulates
994 mitochondrial dynamics through degradation of the arm-like domain-containing protein
995 Alex3. PLoS ONE 8, e67773. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0067773
996 Smith, J.A., Khan, M., Magnani, D.D., Harms, J.S., Durward, M., Radhakrishnan, G.K., Liu,
997 Y.-P., Splitter, G.A., 2013. *Brucella* induces an Unfolded Protein Response via TcpB that
998 supports intracellular replication in macrophages. PLoS Pathog 9, e1003785.
999 doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1003785.s007
1000 Starr, T., Child, R., Wehrly, T.D., Hansen, B., Hwang, S., López-Otin, C., Virgin, H.W.,
1001 Celli, J., 2011. Selective subversion of autophagy complexes facilitates completion of the
1002 *Brucella* intracellular cycle. Cell Host Microbe 1–14. doi:10.1016/j.chom.2011.12.002
1003 Starr, T., Ng, T.W., Wehrly, T.D., Knodler, L.A., Celli, J., 2008. *Brucella* intracellular
1004 replication requires trafficking through the late endosomal/lysosomal compartment.
1005 Traffic 9, 678–694. doi:10.1111/j.1600-0854.2008.00718.x
1006 Şentürk, M., Lin, G., Zuo, Z., Mao, D., Watson, E., Mikos, A.G., Bellen, H.J., 2019.
1007 Ubiquilins regulate autophagic flux through mTOR signalling and lysosomal
1008 acidification. Nat Cell Biol 1–19. doi:10.1038/s41556-019-0281-x
1009 Taguchi, Y., Imaoka, K., Kataoka, M., Uda, A., Nakatsu, D., Horii-Okazaki, S., Kunishige,
1010 R., Kano, F., Murata, M., 2015. Yip1A, a novel host factor for the activation of the IRE1
1011 pathway of the Unfolded Protein Response during *Brucella* infection. PLoS Pathog 11,
1012 e1004747. doi:10.1371/journal.ppat.1004747.s006
1013 Thalappilly, S., Suliman, M., Gayet, O., Soubeyran, P., Hermant, A., Lecine, P., Iovanna,
1014 J.L., Dusetti, N.J., 2008. Identification of multi-SH3 domain-containing protein
1015 interactome in pancreatic cancer: A yeast two-hybrid approach. Proteomics 8, 3071–
1016 3081. doi:10.1002/pmic.200701157
1017 Tuvia, S., Taglicht, D., Erez, O., Alroy, I., Alchanati, I., Bicoviski, V., Dori-Bachash, M.,
1018 Ben-Avraham, D., Reiss, Y., 2007. The ubiquitin E3 ligase POSH regulates calcium
1019 homeostasis through spatial control of Herp. J Cell Biol 177, 51–61.
1020 doi:10.1083/jcb.200611036
1021 Walhout, A.J., Vidal, M., 2001. High-throughput yeast two-hybrid assays for large-scale
1022 protein interaction mapping. Methods 24, 297–306. doi:10.1006/meth.2001.1190
1023 Walter, P., Ron, D., 2011. The unfolded protein response: from stress pathway to homeostatic
1024 regulation. Science 334, 1081–1086. doi:10.1126/science.1209038
1025 Wu, X., Rapoport, T.A., 2018. Mechanistic insights into ER-associated protein degradation.
1026 Curr Opin Cell Biol 53, 22–28. doi:10.1016/j.ceb.2018.04.004
1027 Yan, L., Liu, W., Zhang, H., Liu, C., Shang, Y., Ye, Y., Zhang, X., Li, W., 2014. Ube2g2-
1028 gp78-mediated HERP polyubiquitylation is involved in ER stress recovery. J Cell Sci
1029 127, 1417–1427. doi:10.1242/jcs.135293
1030

A **B** **C** **Figure 1**

Figure 2

A **B** **Figure 3**

A

HA-TCR α	-	+	+	+	+
myc-BspL	-	-	-	+	+
cycloheximide	-	-	+	-	+

B

HA-TCR α	+	+	+	+
myc-BspL	-	-	+	+
EndoH	-	+	-	+

Figure 4

A **B** **C** **Figure 5**

Figure 6