1	Exome sequencing of ion-beam-induced mutants facilitates the detection of
2	candidate genes responsible for phenotypes of mutants in rice
3	
4	Short title: Exome sequencing of ion-beam-induced rice mutants
5	
6	Yutaka Oono ^{1*} , Hiroyuki Ichida ² , Ryouhei Morita ² , Shigeki Nozawa ³ , Katsuya Satoh ¹ ,
7	Akemi Shimizu ⁴ , Tomoko Abe ² , Hiroshi Kato ^{4, #} , Yoshihiro Hase ¹ .
8	
9 10	¹ Department of Radiation-Applied Biology, Takasaki Advanced Radiation Research Institute (TARRI), Quantum Beam Science Research Directorate, National Institutes for
$\frac{11}{12}$	Quantum and Radiological Science and Technology (QST), Takasaki, Gunma, JAPAN.
13	² Ion Beam Breeding Team, Nishina Center for Accelerator-Based Science, RIKEN,
$14 \\ 15$	Wako, Saitama, JAPAN.
16 17 18	³ Research Planning Office, Quantum Beam Research Directorate, QST, Takasaki, Gunma, JAPAN.
19 20 21	⁴ Radiation Breeding Division (RBD), The National Agriculture and Food Research Organization (NARO), Hitachi-ohmiya, Ibaraki, JAPAN.
22 23 24	[#] Current address: Genetic Resources Center, NARO, Tsukuba, Ibaraki, JAPAN.
25	*Corresponding Author:
26	E-mail: ohno.yutaka@qst.go.jp (YO)
27	

29 Abstract

Ion beams are physical mutagens and used for plant and microbe breeding. They 30 31are thought to cause mutations via a distinct mechanism from chemical mutagens or 32gamma rays. Characteristics of ion-beam-induced mutations have been analyzed using 33 marker genes; however, little is known about the extent of the mutations induced by ion beams at a genomic level. To understand the properties of ion beam-induced mutations at 34 35a genomic level, we conducted whole-exome sequencing of rice DNA. DNA extracted 36 from carbon-ion-beam-induced rice mutants were fragmented and captured with a custom 37 probe library covering 66.3 M bases of all exons and miRNAs. A total of 56 mutations, including 24 single nucleotide variations, 23 deletions, and 5 insertions, were detected in 385 mutant rice lines (2 dwarf and 3 early-heading-date mutants). The mutations were 39 distributed among all 12 chromosomes, and the average mutation frequency in the M1 40 generation was estimated to be 2.6×10^{-7} per base. Many single base insertions and 41deletions were associated with homopolymeric repeats, whereas larger deletions up to 7 4243base pairs were more linked to polynucleotide repeats in the DNA sequences of the mutation sites. Among 56 mutations, 6 (1.2 mutations per line on average) were classified 44as high-impact mutations that caused a frame shift or loss of exons. A gene that was 4546functionally related to the phenotype of the mutant was disrupted by a high-impact mutation in 4 of the 5 lines, suggesting that whole-exome sequencing of an ion-beam-47irradiated mutant could facilitate detection of a candidate gene responsible for the mutant 48phenotype. 49

50

51 Introduction

Ion beams are charged particles that are derived from particle accelerators using 5253electromagnetic fields. As with other ionizing radiations, ion beams cause damage to 54DNA molecules in living organisms and have been used as physical mutagens for plant 55and microbe breeding [1-3]. Ion beams are characterized by the deposition of a high energy transfer per unit length (linear energy transfer, LET) and are believed to induce 5657mutations as a consequence of distinct biological effects from low LET radiation such as 58gamma-rays and electrons. In fact, during the screening of mutants from irradiated 59explants of carnations, ion beams induced a wider variety of mutants in terms of flower color and shape than gamma-rays and X-rays [4]. The appearance of more flower color 60 61 variations in the ion-beam-irradiated population has also been observed in chrysanthemums [1]. In contrast, no remarkable difference in the mutation spectrum 62 63 between ion-beam and gamma-ray irradiation has also been observed [5].

Characterization of ion beam-induced mutations in plant DNA was conducted via 64 65 several approaches using Arabidopsis, a model plant for plant molecular genetics. The most common approach for characterizing germline mutations is the isolation of mutants 66 67 deficient in well-characterized marker genes responsible for visible phenotypes such as 68 seed color (tt) and leaf trichome morphology (gl), followed by analysis of the DNA sequence of the marker gene [6–9]. The isolation of mutants or tissue sectors of well-69 characterized marker genes is also useful for characterizing ion-beam-induced 7071somaclonal mutations by detecting the loss of heterozygosity [10–12]. An alternative 72method that can eliminate the isolation of mutant plants is the use of the rpsL (Escherichia 73coli ribosomal protein small subunit S12) mutation detection system [13,14]. In this system, plants containing the *rpsL* transgene are irradiated, followed by genomic DNA $\mathbf{74}$

extraction from irradiated transgenic plants and introduction into E. coli. Mutated 7576 nonfunctional *rpsL* DNA fragments can be recovered from drug-resistant *E. coli* colonies. 77The results of these experiments using marker genes suggested that 1) ion beams induce 78 various types of mutations in plant DNA, such as base(s) substitutions, DNA insertions 79and deletions (InDels), inversions (INVs), and chromosomal translocations, 2) regarding 80 germline mutations, ion beams induce a higher ratio of rearrangement [InDels (> 100 bp), 81 inversions, translocations, and total deletions of the marker gene] than electron beams, which induce mostly point-like mutations [base substitutions and InDels (< 100 bp)] [7], 82 83 3) the size of deletion induced by ion beams correlates positively with the degree of LET 84 [11,15,16], and 4) most mutants obtained by the pollen-irradiation method carried large deletions reaching up to > 6 Mb, most of which are not transmissible to the next 85 86 generation [10].

Recent advances in genome analysis technologies have allowed us to analyze mutations 87 88 more quantitatively at the genomic level without bias arising from genomic positioning 89 and the functional significance of the DNA sequences of a specific marker gene. The mutation-accumulating experiment combined with whole genome analysis of next-90 91generation sequencing revealed the estimated spontaneous mutation rate in Arabidopsis to be 7×10^{-9} base substitutions per site per generation [17]. Whole genome sequencing 9293 of ion beam-irradiated Arabidopsis DNA suggested that 200-Gy carbon ion beams 94increased the mutation rate by nearly 47-fold compared with the spontaneous mutations [18]. In addition, Arabidopsis whole genome sequencing has demonstrated that the 95frequency and type of mutations induced by ion beams are affected by the LET of ion 96 beams [19] and the physiological status of irradiated tissues [20]. 97

4

Rice is one of the most important crops for humans and is a major target crop for ion-9899 beam breeding [21–25]. Several genes that cause mutant phenotypes were successfully 100 cloned by map-based cloning from ion-beam-induced rice mutants, and the mutation sites 101 in the genes were characterized [22,25]. However, due to a relatively large genome size 102compared with the dicotyledonous model plant Arabidopsis, the characterization of ion 103 beam-induced mutations at the genomic level was not achieved in rice until Ichida et al. 104 [26] recently established a multiplexed and cost-efficient whole-exome sequencing 105procedure in rice. In the present work, we employed the whole-exome sequencing 106 procedure to analyze the properties of induced mutations in selected rice mutants 107 generated with carbon ion beams accelerated using the Azimuthally Varying Field (AVF) 108 cyclotron of the Takasaki Ion Accelerators for Advanced Radiation Application (TIARA) 109at TARRI, QST. We also demonstrated that the limited number of induced mutations with a potentially high impact on protein function quickly narrowed down the candidate genes 110 111 responsible for the mutant phenotypes.

112

- **Materials and Methods**
- 114

115 **Plant Materials and ion beam irradiation**

Rice seeds (*Oryza sativa* L. cv Nipponbare, NPB) harvested in RBD, NARO (Hitachiohmiya, Japan) were used in this work. Water contents in the rice seeds were adjusted to 12~13% by keeping the rice seeds in a plant growth chamber (BIOTRON LPH200, NK system, Japan) set at 24 °C and 60% RH for 4 days just before irradiation. Then, the seeds were irradiated with 10 to 150 Gy of 25.9 MeV/u ¹²C⁶⁺ ions (LET on surface: 76 keV/µm) 121accelerated by an AVF cyclotron in TIARA, TARRI, QST (Takasaki, Japan). The 122irradiated seeds were sown on soil to grow plants (M1 plants) in a greenhouse at TARRI, 123QST. The dose response for the survival rate and plant height at one month after sowing were measured. The seeds treated with 40 Gy of ${}^{12}C^{6+}$ ions were used further genetic 124screening of mutants. M2 or M3 seeds were harvested from every individual mature M1 125126 or M2 plant, respectively. For selection of mutant candidates, M2 and M3 plants were 127grown in the greenhouse at TARRI, QST or in an experimental paddy field at RBD, 128NARO (36°52'45"N 140°39'81"E). Some mutant lines were backcrossed to NPB. The 129resulting seeds (F1) were germinated and grown in the greenhouse to generate seeds of 130 F2 plants, which were used for linkage analysis between the mutations and the mutant 131phenotype.

132

133 **DNA extraction, whole exome capturing, and sequencing**

Genomic DNA for whole exome capturing was extracted from rice leaves using 134135MagExtractor –Plant Genome– (Toyobo, Japan) according to a protocol supplied by the 136 manufacturer. One microgram of genomic DNA from individual samples was fragmented 137using a Covaris S220 instrument (Covaris inc, USA). The resulting DNA fragments were 138purified with AMPure XP reagent (Beckman Coulter, USA). Barcoded sequencing 139libraries were prepared using NextFlex Rapid DNA-Seq Kits (Bioo Scientific, USA) 140according to the manufacturer's protocol. After double-sided size-selection with AMPure 141 XP, pre-capture amplification was performed for 12 cycles with the supplied primers 1 142and 2. The Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGSP-1.0 sequences and annotations were used 143as the reference (available from https://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/download/irgsp1.html; as of 2015/3/31). A custom whole-exome-capturing probe library, which covers 66.3 Mb of 144

145107,616 rice genome regions consisting of all predicted exons in the IRGSP-1.0 annotations, including 100 bp each of the 5'- and 3'-franking regions as well as known 146 miRNA regions including 700 bp of the upstream and 300 bp of the downstream regions, 147was designed and synthesized as a SeqCap EZ Developer Library (Roche Diagnostics, 148 USA). After 16-20 h of hybridization at 47 °C, the hybridized DNA fragments were 149150captured using streptavidin-coupled magnetic beads (Dynabeads M-280 streptavidin, 151Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA), washed, and amplified by PCR using KAPA HiFi Hotstart Ready Mix (KAPA Biosystems) with the same primers described above. The 152153whole exon-enriched libraries were sequenced on a HiSeq 4000 instrument (Illumina, 154USA) in PE100 mode.

Data analysis was performed with the bioinformatics pipeline described elsewhere [26]. 155Briefly, the resulting sequencing reads were mapped to the reference sequences using the 156BWA-MEM program version 0.7.15 (http://bio-bwa.sourceforge.net) with default 157158parameters, followed by manipulation with SAMtools version 1.3.1 159(http://samtools.sourceforge.net/), Picard software package version 2.3.0 (https://broadinstitute.github.io/picard/) for sorting the reads, marking and removing 160161duplicates. and GATK software version 3.7.0 package 162(https://software.broadinstitute.org/gatk/) for local realignment and base quality 163recalibration. Variant calling was carried out with a combination of the GATK, Pindel 164version 0.2.5a8 (http://gmt.genome.wustl.edu/packages/pindel/user-manual.html), and 165Bedtools version 2.26.0 (https://bedtools.readthedocs.io/en/latest/) programs. To remove intra-cultivar polymorphisms between the reference sequences and our parental NPB line 166167 used for the irradiation experiments, the raw variant calling results among the mutants were compared, and the variants that were present in only one mutant line were extracted 168

169 as the line-specific (real) mutations. For the GATK and Pindel results, the detected line-170 specific mutations were further filtered out by the following criterion: 1) a mutation that 171is supported with less than 10 reads was removed to eliminate false-positives due to an 172insufficient number of mapped reads, 2) a homozygous mutation was removed if reads 173harboring the same mutation accounted for more than 5% of the total reads in equal or 174more than half of homozygous lines for the other allele (unmutated allele). Mutations 175detected with each program were merged together by the normalized chromosomal 176 positions (start and end coordinates), and duplicated mutation records that were detected 177by multiple programs were removed from the final result. In the Bedtool analysis, regions 178covering less than 20% in one individual line but 100% in all the other lines were 179extracted. To increase reliability, regions with less than an average of 10 reads in all lines 180with a wild type homozygous allele were excluded. The predicted effect and location of 181 the mutations were annotated using the SnpEff program version 4.1b 182(http://snpeff.sourceforge.net/). After variant calling against the whole genome sequence, 183 only mutations located within the exome target regions of whole-exome capturing were extracted and outputted in Variant Calling Format (VCF). The identified mutations were 184using 185checked visually Integrative Genomics Viewer (IGV) the 186(http://www.broadinstitute.org/igv/).

To confirm the mutation by Sanger sequencing, genomic DNA was amplified by PCR using Takara Ex Taq polymerase (Takara Bio, Japan) followed by the dye terminator cycle sequencing reaction with the BigDye Terminator v3.1 Cycle Sequencing Kit (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The resulting samples were analyzed using a 3500 Genetic Analyzer (Thermo Fisher Scientific, USA). The primers used for PCR and Sanger sequencing are listed in S1 Table. 193

194 Estimation of the genome-wide mutation frequency

Mutation frequencies (MFs) were calculated by dividing the total number of mutations detected in individual plant DNA by the total number of nucleotides in the captured target region (66,258,908 bases). Assuming all mutations arise as heterozygotes in the M1 generation and are simply inherited according to Mendelian characteristics, all homozygous mutations in M2 and later generations are transmitted to the next generation, but a quarter of the heterozygous mutations are not transmitted, the number of mutations occurred in M1 plants (N₁) is estimated as

202
$$N_1 = 2^n / (2^{n-1} + 1) \times Nn$$

where n is the generation and Nn is the number of mutations in the Mn diploid genome. For comparison with the whole genome sequencing results of other researchers in the referenced articles, the number of mutations was divided by the size (number of bases) of the reference genome described in the articles.

207

- 208 **Results and Discussion**
- 209

210 Preparation of the ion-beam irradiated rice population and

211 mutant selection

We chose the rice cultivar Nipponbare (NPB) in this work because its high-quality whole genome sequence is publicly available [28]. Since the water content of a seed affects its radiation sensitivity [29], we adjusted the water contents of the seeds to 12 ~ 13% before irradiation. To estimate the biological effectiveness of the carbon ion beam on the seeds of NPB, dose response curves for the survival rate and plant height were generated (Fig 1A). Because a shoulder dose or slightly lower dose in the survival curve is empirically considered as the optimum for obtaining a large number of mutants [1,5,21], the absorbed dose chosen for the genetic screen in this work was 40 Gy, approximately 2/3 of the shoulder dose (approximately 60 Gy) for the survival curve and slightly over the shoulder dose for the dose response curve of plant height.

222

223 Fig 1. Estimating the effect of carbon ion beams on rice.

(A) Dose response for survival rate and relative plant height of NPB rice seeds irradiated by ${}^{12}C^{6+}$ ion beams. Mean values against the mean value of unirradiated controls (0 Gy) are plotted. Error bars indicate SE. Data are from 5 replicates with 10 seedlings per treatment.

(B) Phenotypes of chlorophyll-related mutants. The number of these mutants in the M2
seedling was counted to estimate the mutagenic effect of the 40 Gy irradiation of carbon
ion beams used in this work.

231

232To estimate the mutagenic effect of 40 Gy irradiation of carbon ion beams, the M2 seeds (4 ~ 10 seeds / M1 line) from 2,039 individual M1 plants were sown on soil, and 233234chlorophyll mutants were searched at the seedling stage. In this pilot study, several types 235of chlorophyll mutants, showing a pale or white leaf color, were identified (Fig 1B). The 236appearance rate of the chlorophyll mutants was 6.6% (134 / 2,039 M1 lines), suggesting that the carbon-ion-beam irradiation effectively induced mutations in the rice genome as 237expected. We also screened other types of mutants with an altered phenotype from this 238M2 population in the green house and paddy field. In this work, we focused on two dwarf 239

240(lines "885" and "3098") and three early-heading-date (lines "786-5", "IRB3517-3", and "IRB3790-2") mutants that were confirmed to show the same phenotypes in the next (M3) 241generation (Fig 2) for further experiments. The mutant lines "885" and "3098" were 242identified in the greenhouse, whereas lines "IRB3517-3", and "IRB3790-2" were found 243in the paddy field. Line "786-5" was initially found in the green house as a mutant with 244245wide leaves, and later, the early heading phenotype was detected in the paddy field. 246Homozygosity of the mutant phenotypes was confirmed in the M3 generation of all the 247lines except "IRB3790-2", for which we failed to obtain a homozygous population even 248in the M4 generation. Leaf tissues excised from an M3 plant of each line except "3098", for which the leaf was from an M2 plant, were subjected to genomic DNA extraction for 249whole-exome sequencing analysis. 250

251

Fig 2. Dwarf and early-heading-date mutants analyzed in this work.

(A) Comparison of plant height (length of culms and panicles) among the two dwarf mutants ["885" (M4) and "3098" (M3)] and NPB control. The plant height of mature plants was recorded in the paddy field. Error bars indicate SD ($n = 8 \sim 9$) for plant height.

256 *P* values in two sample *t* tests were $< 10^{-14}$ for both NPB vs "885" and NPB vs "3098".

257 (B) Left panel: Phenotype of the dwarf mutant, "3098". (3 middle lanes) and controls

258 (most left and right) grown in the paddy field. Center panel: Magnified photo of "3098".

259 Right panel: Comparison of the shape of the panicles of NPB control and "3098".

260 (C) Heading date of NPB, "786-5", "IRB3517-3", and "IRB3790-2" grown in the paddy

261 field. One-month-old seedlings were transferred to the paddy filed on May 20, 2016. The

date of first heading in the population was observed and recorded. The M4, M3, and M3

263 populations were used for "786-5", "IRB3517-3", and "IRB3790-2", respectively.

264 (D) Left panel: The wide leaf phenotype of the "786" seedling.

265 Right panel: The NPB control and "786" rice growing in the paddy field. The photograph

was obtained on August 12, 2016, when all "786" rice had completed initiation of heading,

but not the NPB rice.

268

Results of the whole-exome captured sequencing in rice

We subjected all five mutants to whole-exome capture and massively parallel 270271sequencing analysis to comprehensively identify the mutations introduced by the carbon-272ion beam irradiation. By whole-exome capture followed by Illumina sequencing, we obtained the sequence data for $61.0 \sim 69.9$ million unique reads with $6.08 \sim 6.96$ billion 273274unique bases aligned to the reference genome (Table 1). The number of bases mapped on the target region was $2.38 \sim 2.78$ billion. The mean target coverage was $36 \sim 42$, and the 275276percentage of all target bases achieving 10 times or greater coverage was 95.4 ~ 96.0% (Table 1). 277

278

280	Table 1.	Summary	of the	whole-exome	captured	sequenci	ing
-----	----------	---------	--------	-------------	----------	----------	-----

		Maan	SD.				
	3098	786	885	IRB3517-3	IRB3790-2	Mean	3D
Reference genome size (bases)	373,245,519	373,245,519	373,245,519	373,245,519	373,245,519		
Size of target (bases)	66,258,908	66,258,908	66,258,908	66,258,908	66,258,908		
No. of reads aligned	65,509,961	61,048,713	69,002,757	69,858,886	67,703,204	66,624,704	3,521,809
No. of bases aligned	6,527,192,732	6,082,274,964	6,874,937,097	6,959,746,867	6,745,199,762	6,637,870,284	350,806,394
No. of bases mapped on targeted region	2,684,758,131	2,384,714,814	2,637,344,060	2,776,001,880	2,734,422,333	2,643,448,244	153,731,509
Mean target coverage	40.52	35.99	39.80	41.90	41.27	39.90	2.32
% target bases 10X ¹	0.956	0.954	0.959	0.960	0.957	0.957	0.002

¹ The fraction of all target bases achieving 10X or greater coverage

Through the pipeline described in Materials and Methods, GATK, Pindel and Bedtools programs initially called 61 line-specific mutations in the target regions. By manual verification of VCF files, two or three mutations that were overlapped or located adjacent to one another were considered as one mutation and categorized as a replacement (RPL) (mutation #12, 13, 37 in Table 2, S2 Table and Fig 3). In the Bedtools program, one large deletion was suggested on chromosome 3 of the "IRB3517-3" genome. This large deletion was also called by the Pindel program (mutation # 38). Consequently, the number of mutations was reduced to 56 (Table 2 and 3). To verify the accuracy of the mutation calling in the pipeline, DNA fragments corresponding to the 25 selected mutations (Table 2), including the junction sites of the large deletion (mutation #38) and the inversion (mutation #52), were amplified by PCR and reanalyzed by Sanger sequencing. The sequences of all the selected mutations were confirmed as they were called by the pipeline, at least in regard to false positives.

Mutation No.	Line	CHR No.	Type after evaluation ²	Annotation	Genotype ³	Verification ⁴
1	3098	chr02	INS (1)		0 1	
2	3098	chr02	DEL (1)		0 1	
3	3098	chr03	SNV	APG6/CLPB-P/CLPB3.	0 1	
4	3098	chr03	SNV	DCL2A.	0 1	
5	3098	chr04	INS (7)		1 1	\checkmark
6	3098	chr05	SNV	Diacylglycerol acylCoA acyltransferase.	0 1	
7	3098	chr05	DEL (128)	Guanine nucleotide-binding protein alpha-1 subunit.	0 1	\checkmark
8	3098	chr08	DEL (1)		0 1	
9	3098	chr08	INS (1)		0 1	
10	3098	chr12	DEL (1)		0 1	
11	786	chr01	SNV	Glutelin family protein.	0 1	
12 5	786	chr01	RPL		1 1	\checkmark
13 5	786	chr03	RPL	predicted protein.	1 1	\checkmark
14	786	chr03	SNV		1 1	
15	786	chr03	DEL (5)	Phytochrome B.	1 1	\checkmark
16	786	chr06	SNV		1 1	
17	786	chr07	SNV		1 1	
18	786	chr10	DEL (1)	Expansin/Lol pI family protein.	1 1	\checkmark
19	786	chr12	DEL (1)	Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait domain containing protein.	0 1	
20	885	chr01	DEL (3)		1 1	
21	885	chr01	DEL (1)		0 1	
22	885	chr01	SNV		1 1	\checkmark

Table 2.	List of	mutations ¹	

	23	885	chr01	DEL (46)	Soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase	1 1	\checkmark
	24	885	chr02	DEL (1)	casein kinase I isoform delta-like.	0 1	
	25	885	chr03	SNV		0 1	
	26	885	chr03	DEL (1)		1 1	\checkmark
	27	885	chr04	SNV		1 1	\checkmark
	28	885	chr05	SNV	Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait domain containing protein.	0 1	
	29	885	chr05	INS (1)		0 1	
	30	885	chr05	SNV	DNA glycosylase/lyase 701.	1 1	\checkmark
	31	885	chr08	SNV		1 1	
	32	885	chr08	DEL (6)		1 1	\checkmark
	33	885	chr08	DEL (4)		1 1	\checkmark
	34	885	chr08	SNV	Hypothetical conserved gene.	1 1	\checkmark
_	35	885	chr10	DEL (6)	CYP71Z8	1 1	\checkmark
	36	IRB3517-3	chr01	SNV	Cell division protein ftsH	0 1	
	37 ⁵	IRB3517-3	chr01	RPL		1 1	\checkmark
	38	IRB3517-3	chr03	DEL (33.6k)	5 ORFs are deleted ⁶	1 1	\checkmark
	39	IRB3517-3	chr04	SNV		1 1	
	40	IRB3517-3	chr06	DEL (1)		0 1	
	41	IRB3517-3	chr06	DEL (6)	Heavy metal ATPase.	1 1	\checkmark
	42	IRB3517-3	chr07	DEL (8)		1 1	\checkmark
	43	IRB3517-3	chr08	SNV	Helix-loop-helix DNA-binding domain containing protein.	0 1	
	44	IRB3517-3	chr09	SNV	Phosphate/phosphoenolpyruvate translocator.	1 1	\checkmark
	45	IRB3517-3	chr09	SNV		0 1	\checkmark
	46	IRB3517-3	chr11	SNV	Hypothetical gene.	1 1	
	47	IRB3517-3	chr11	SNV	NB-ARC domain containing protein.	1 1	\checkmark

48	IRB3517-3	chr12	DEL (6)	Heterochromatin protein.	0 1	
49	IRB3790-2	chr01	DEL (1)		0 1	
50	IRB3790-2	chr03	INS (1)		0 1	
51	IRB3790-2	chr04	SNV		1 1	
52	IRB3790-2	chr06	INV (535k)	HEADING DATE 1 ⁶	0 1	\checkmark
53	IRB3790-2	chr07	SNV	Esterase precursor	0 1	
54	IRB3790-2	chr08	DEL (4)		1 1	\checkmark
55	IRB3790-2	chr09	DEL (2)		1 1	
56	IRB3790-2	chr12	SNV		1 1	\checkmark

¹ Mutations putatively having a high impact on protein function are indicated in bold.

² The size of InDels is indicated in parentheses.

³ "0|1" is heterozygous and "1|1" is homozygous.

⁴ Mutations verified by Sanger sequencing are marked with a checkmark. Primer sequences are shown in S1 Table.

⁵ Independent mutation calls are unified and counted as one RPL mutation because they are overlapped or located consecutively (Fig 3).

⁶ Evaluated manually.

			# of mutation				Estimated MF	# of	Type of	
Line name	Phenotype	Generation	Total	SNV	InDel	Others	MF	in M1	high impact mutation	high impact mutation
3098	Dwarf Small grains	M2	10	3	7	0	1.5E-07	2.0E-07	1	128-bp DEL
786	Early heading date Wide leaves Broken flag leaf	M3	9	4	3	2	1.4E-07	2.2E-07	3	1-bp DEL x2, 5-bp DEL
885	Dwarf Colum bending	M3	16	7	9	0	2.4E-07	3.9E-07	0	
IRB3517-3	Early heading date	M3	13	7	5	1	2.0E-07	3.1E-07	1	33.6-kb DEL
IRB3790-2	Early heading date	M3	8	3	4	1	1.2E-07	1.9E-07	1	535-kb INV
Total			56	24	28	4			6	
Average			11.2					2.6E-07	1.2	

Table 3. Summary of the number of mutations and MF

Fig 3. Nucleotide sequences in the mutation site of 3 RPLs (#12, 13, and #37) and junction sites of the 535-kb INV (#52).

Sequences in the NPB control and mutants are shown at the top and bottom, respectively. The underlined regions indicate the nucleotides of "Reference" and "Alternatives" in S2 Table. For mutations #12 and #37, altered nucleotides between the NPB control and mutants are shown in red. The dinucleotide repeats in control DNA of #12 and #37 mutation sites are indicated by top-opened brackets. For #52, green and blue letters are overlapped and deleted nucleotides in junction sites. Inverted sequences, excluding the overlapped nucleotides, are shown in red.

The 56 mutations consisted of 24 single nucleotide variations (SNV), 23 deletions (DELs), 5 insertions (INSs), 1 inversion (INV), and 3 RPLs (Table 2 and 3). The average number of mutations per line was 11.2 ± 3.3 for all five mutant lines and 11.5 ± 3.7 for the four M3 lines. The mutations were distributed among all 12 chromosomes (Fig 4). The 1 bp DEL (mutation #40) of "IRB 3517-3" and the 535 kb-large INV (mutation #52) of "IRB 3790-2" were located at the same region on the upper arm of chromosome 6. The mutation frequencies (MFs) were calculated to be 1.2×10^{-7} to 2.4×10^{-7} per base, and the MFs in the M1 generation were estimated to be 1.9×10^{-7} to 3.9×10^{-7} per base and, on average, 2.6×10^{-7} per base (Table 3).

Fig 4. Chromosomal location of the 56 mutations detected in this work.

Rice chromosomes are shown as boxes in sky blue with chromosomal numbers at the top and centromeres marked by yellow boxes. The mutation sites are indicated by horizontal bars in the chromosome boxes with the mutation number (left) and type (right) colorcoded by the mutant lines: "3098" (red), "786-5" (blue), "885" (orange), "IRB 3517-3" (magenta) and "IRB 3790-2" (green). Numbers in parentheses indicate the size (bp) of the deletion or insertion. The green box in the upper arm of chromosome 6 shows the 535-kb inverted region of mutation #52. The position of centromeres are based on the Os-Nipponbare-Reference-IRGSP-1.0 database (RAP-DB https://rapdb.dna.affrc.go.jp/).

We compared the estimated MFs to previous genome-wide mutation studies in rice. The whole-exome sequencing of unselected M2 plants obtained from dry rice seeds irradiated with 150 Gy of carbon ions (135 MeV/u, LET: 30 keV/ μ m) reported that the number of mutations was 9.06 ± 0.37 (average \pm standard error) per plant [26]. MF were estimated as 2.2×10^{-7} per base by dividing the number of mutations with 41.75-Mb of the targeted exon region. These values are comparable to the values of the present study. In another case, the exome analysis of rice EMS-mutagenized DNA with 39-Mb target probes detected an average of 70 to 508 mutations per individual M2 plant of 4 independent capture experiments [30]. MFs in M2 plants can be calculated as 1.7×10^{-6} to 1.3×10^{-5} per base. In contrast, whole genome sequencing of 3 independent regenerated rice plants by tissue culture suggested that 2,492, 1,039, and 450 SNVs occurred in the M1 generation, indicating that the average of MF for base substitution in M1 was 3.5×10^{-6} per base [31]. Another whole genome sequencing of regenerated rice plants that were selfed for 8 consecutive generations detected 54,268 DNA polymorphisms, including 37,332 SNPs and 16,936 small INSs and DELs, indicated that the MF was 1.5×10^{-4} per site for total polymorphisms [32]. Conversely, large-scale whole genome sequencing of a mixed population of M2 and M3 of fast neutron (FN) rice mutant correction identified 91,513 mutations in 1,504 lines [33], estimating the MF in this population to be 1.6×10^{-7} per base. The number of detectable mutations by genome sequencing is highly dependent on the experimental conditions of mutagenesis, quality and quantity of massively parallel sequencing, and bioinformatics pipeline for sequence analysis. It should also be noted that the number of mutations per genome estimated by whole-genome sequencing might be larger than that estimated by whole-exome sequencing because most genomic regions are intergenic regions, and a mutation occurring in the intergenic region does not have a direct impact on the function of a protein that may affect the viability of cells and individual rice lines. Although an accurate comparison is difficult for the above reasons, the data published to date along with our results suggest that carbon ion irradiation seems to induce a reduced number of mutations in rice DNA than EMS-and tissue culture-based mutagenesis and a similar number to FN mutagenesis.

The values of MF were also reported in carbon ion-mutagenized Arabidopsis: 3.4×10^{-7} single base mutation per base of an M1 plant with seeds exposed to 200Gy of $^{12}C^{6+}$ ions (43 MeV/u; average LET within samples, 50 keV/µm) [18]. Another report using 17.3 MeV/u carbon ions (surface LET, 107 keV/µm) at TIARA also showed MFs of 2.7×10^{-7} and 3.2×10^{-7} per base in M2 plants generated by dry seed irradiation (125 and 175 Gy, respectively), and 1.8×10^{-7} and 1.6×10^{-7} per base in M2 plants generated by seed ling irradiation (20 and 30 Gy, respectively) [20]. Kazama et al. [19] reported 307 and 473 mutations (sum of rearrangements, single base substitutions, and small INSs and DELs) in 8 lines of argon ion- (290 keV/µm, 50 Gy) and carbon (30.0 keV/µm, 400 Gy) ion-mutagenized M3 populations obtained by dry seed irradiation, implying MFs of 3.2×10^{-7} and 5.0×10^{-7} per base, respectively. Therefore, MFs caused by ion-beam irradiation seem to be the same in order of magnitude between Arabidopsis and rice.

Among 56 mutations detected, 24 (43%), 23 (41%) and 5 (9%) mutations were SNVs, DELs, and INSs. Although it is difficult to perform a direct comparison because the absorbed dose for irradiation causing the same biological effect was not adjusted, the ratio of SNVs in this work was similar but slightly lower than the irradiation results of a lower level of LET carbon ion (135 MeV/u; LET 30 keV/µm) reported by Ichida et al. [26], in which more than half (58%) of the detected mutation were SNVs, followed by DELs (37%) and INSs (4%), in 110 independent M2 lines from dry rice seeds irradiated with 150 Gy of carbon ions. The FN mutagenesis of rice seeds also induced SNVs most frequently (48%) and DELs accounting for 35% [33].

The most frequent (38% of the total SNVs) base changes were G to A and C to T (GC \rightarrow AT) transitions (Fig 5A). Another major base change was the AT \rightarrow GC transition, which accounts for 33% of the total SNVs. This result is in contrast to the EMS-mutagenized rice DNA, in which most of the mutations were SNVs of GC \rightarrow AT transitions [30]. Regarding to size of the 28 INSs and DELs (InDels), 14 InDels (50%) were 1 bp in size, and most of the InDels (89%) were less than 10 bp in length, excluding 3 relatively large DELs (mutation #7, #23, and #38), which were 128, 46, and 33.6 kb in length, respectively (Fig 5B).

Fig 5. Summary of small mutations detected in this work.

(A) Type of base change of 24 SNVs. The ratio of transition to transversion (Ti/Tv) was2.4.

(B) Size distributions of 28 InDels.

Of the 28 InDels, 16 (57%) occurred within nucleotide repeats such as homopolymers that consisted of 3 or more repeats of the same nucleotides, or polynucleotide repeats that consisted of either partial or full repetitive sequences (Table 4). Many of the single-base InDels (8 of the 14 events; 57%) were associated with homopolymers, but none of them were associated with a polynucleotide repeat. In contrast, InDels that were more than 2 bp in length showed a greater linkage to polynucleotide repeats (7 of the 14 events; 50%) than homopolymers (1 of the 14 events; 7.1%). No such repeat was observed in the DEL site that were more than 7 bp in length. A similar trend was observed in the presence of homopolymers or polynucleotide repeats at flanking sequences of small InDels in the genome of carbon ion-beam-irradiated Arabidopsis [18,20]. Hase et al. [34] inferred that distinct mechanisms are involved in between the generation of the single base deletion and larger-sized deletions in carbon ion-irradiated Arabidopsis. They also suggested that much larger size deletions (\geq 50 bp) are generated via another distinct mechanism. The presence or absence of characteristic repeats in the InDel site in our data may also suggest that InDel generation mechanisms are different depending on the InDel size in the irradiated rice genome.

Mutation Type	Size ¹	Mutation No. ²	Sequence ³	Repeat Type			
INS	1	1	CAACAACAT				
		9	TTAA <u>TTTTT</u>	homopolymer			
		29	AAAG <u>AAAAA</u>	homopolymer			
		50	ATCA <u>TTTTT</u>	homopolymer			
	7	5	<u>AGATAGA</u> T <u>AGA</u> AGATAGA	full polynucleotide repeat			
DEL	1	2	AGCC <u>aAAAA</u>	homopolymer			
		8	CAATgGTCA				

Table 4. List of InDELs and repeat sequences

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/666677; this version posted July 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

	10	TTTTgGTTA	
	18	CGAC <u>gGG</u> AA	homopolymer
	19	GTAGcTTTT	
	21	AACT <u>gGGGG</u>	homopolymer
	24	GAAT <u>aAA</u> GG	homopolymer
	26	CATTcCACC	
	40	TCAC <u>aAAAA</u>	homopolymer
	49	TCGGcTCAG	
2	55	GTTG ga ACAA	
3	20	<u>AGA</u> TT <u>agaAGAAGA</u>	full polynucleotide repeat
4	33	TCCTgaaaGAAA	full polynucleotide repeat
	54	GAACtt <u>aaAAAA</u>	homopolymer
5	15	GTGAatggcCAGGT	
6	32	TCTC <u>atcgaaATC</u> AA	partial polynucleotide repeat
	35	AGGT <u>cgcg</u> cc <u>CG</u> TGC	partial polynucleotide repeat
	41	GCTT cctg<u>tcTC</u>AA	partial polynucleotide repeat
	48	GATT <u>cactacCACTAC</u>	full polynucleotide repeat
8	42	AGGGcgtatattGATC	
46	23	CCCGtgtccgcaTATA	
128	7	TAGAcgtttgatTCTC	
33.6K	38	ACATgagggttgGTGC	

¹ INSs and DELs are sorted by their size.

² The mutation no. is identical to that in Table 2.

³ Inserted nucleotides and deleted nucleotides are shown in bold uppercase and bold lowercase, respectively. Nucleotide repeats are underlined.

The primary structure of the three mutations (#12, 13, and 37) classified as RPL are shown in Fig 3. In two RPLs, #12 and #37, 3 and 8 nucleotides were replaced with single bases accompanied by 2- and 7-bp deletions, respectively. In contrast, mutation #13 was a dinucleotide substitution, in which GG was substituted with TA. No homopolymer, but a dinucleotide repeat, was observed in the mutation site of #12 and #37 RPLs. In the 535-kb INV (mutation #52), 2-bp overlapped nucleotides in one of the two junction sites along with a 3-bp deletion on both sides were present (Fig 3). Although such a tendency was

observed in RPLs, it was difficult to determine whether these features in primary sequences were related to the process during DNA repair and mutation generation since the number of observed events was too small.

The effect of mutations on gene function was assessed by SnpEff [27]. The results indicated that 5 and 13 mutations caused putatively high (frameshift variant and exon_loss_variant) and moderate (missense_variant and disruptive_inframe_deletion) impacts on protein function classified according to the SnpEff output (Table 2 and S2 Table). In addition, by manually confirming the break points of an inversion (mutation #52), we noticed that one of the two breakpoints of the inversion was located in a coding region of a gene, Os06g0275000. Thus, this inversion was categorized as a high impact mutation. In total, 6 mutations were classified as high impact mutations (an average of 1.2 such high-impact mutations per line) (Table 3). Among them, an exon loss variant (mutation #38) consisted of a deletion of a 33.6 kb-DNA region and caused a loss of 5 genes located within this region. Thus, the number of affected genes by 6 potentially high impact mutations was 10 (only 2 genes per line on average). Because high impact mutations cause a probable change in protein function, it is highly possible that they are causal mutations for the phonotypes of mutants. In fact, we found strong candidate mutations possibly having a major role on the mutant phenotype in 4 out of 5 lines, as demonstrated below.

Candidate genes that are responsible for mutant phenotypes

As described above, we isolated two dwarf mutants (lines "3098" and "885") and three early-heading-date mutants (lines "786-5", "IRB3517-3", and "IRB3790-2") in the

present study (Fig. 2). Through whole-exome sequencing analysis, we successfully identified candidate genes that might be responsible for the phenotypes of the mutants.

The mutant line "3098" was isolated as a dwarf mutant in the M2 population grown in the green house. Homozygosity of the dwarf phenotype was confirmed in M3 progenies grown in the green house as well as in the paddy field (Fig 2A and B). The seeds of line "3098" were small and rounded compared with those of NPB. The wholeexome sequencing analysis of DNA extracted from an M2 plant of line "3098" identified 10 mutations, mutations #1~10 in Table 2, including a 128-bp DEL (mutation #7) spanning the 3rd intron and 4th exon of the guanine nucleotide-binding protein alpha-1 subunit (GPA1, also called RGA1, and D1) gene, which was predicted to cause a truncated protein (Fig 6A). The functional disruption of this gene (Os05g0333200) is known to cause the Daikoku dwarf (d1), which is characterized by broad, dark green leaves, compact panicles, and short, round grains [35,36]. These phenotypes were apparent and quite similar in line "3098". Although the initial analysis of whole-exome sequences suggested that this mutation (mutation #7) was present as a heterozygote in the M2 plant, PCR analysis using a specific primer set indicated that the mutation was present as a homozygote in the same M2 plant. PCR analysis of 12 F2 plants (6 dwarf and 6 normal-look plants) from a backcross between line "3098" and NPB and subsequent self-crossing showed that all 6 dwarf plants harbored the 128-bp DEL (mutation #7) in homozygotes, whereas the remaining 6 were heterozygotes or wild type. Therefore, the 128-bp DEL in the D1 gene was thought to be a strong candidate responsible for the dwarf phenotype of line "3098". The discrepancy in zygosity in the results of the initial bioinformatics call (heterozygous) and PCR (homozygous), as well as the phenotype (homozygous), could be due to the presence of highly homologous

DNA sequence encoding the D1-like gene (Os05g0341300), which is likely a pseudogene, in the same chromosome in the NPB genome [37]. A BLAST search of the 350-bp DNA sequence of the D1 gene, including the 128 bp-deleted region and ~100-bp upstream/downstream sequences (positions 15612701-15613050 on chromosome 5), indicated 99% identity (3 nucleotide difference in 350 bp) with the region from 16009580 to 16009929 on chromosome 5, in which the D1-like gene is located (Fig 7). The nucleotide sequences were completely identical between the D1 and D1-like genes in the 100-base upstream region of the deletion. Therefore, it is impossible to distinguish the right origin of short sequence reads in these regions, and some sequencing reads originating from the D1-like gene region were mapped to the deleted region in the D1 gene. Consequently, the mutation was predicted to be heterozygous.

Fig 6. Structure of candidate genes and position of mutations.

Black boxes indicate exons, and a white arrow represents the 5' untranslated region. Introns and 3' untranslated regions are indicated by black lines.

(A) Guanine nucleotide-binding protein alpha-1 subunit (*GPA1*) gene. The position of the mutation (#7) in "3098", the 128-bp DEL, indicated by a blank box.

(B) Mutation #15 is the 5-bp DEL located in the first exon of the *PHYTOCHROM B* gene in the "786-5" DNA.

(C) Relative position of the genes (solid arrows) in and near the 33-kb DEL on chromosome 3 of "IRB3517-3" (mutation #38). The *HD16* gene is indicated in red.

(D) One of the break points of the 535-kb INV (mutation #52) in "IRB3790-2" is located in the second exon of the *HD1* gene. Nucleotide and corresponding amino acid sequences of the break point in the NPB control and "IRB3790-2" DNA are shown at the bottom. The amino acid (R347) of the HD1 protein is marked with the amino acid position number. The green and blue letters are overlapped and deleted nucleotides in the junction sites. Inverted sequences, excluding overlapped nucleotides and altered amino acids, are shown in red. The detailed sequence of the junction sites is shown in Fig 3.

Fig 7. Alignment of the D1 and D1-like gene.

The 350-bp *D1* gene region, including a 128-bp-deleted region (mutation #7 indicated in red letters) plus ~100-bp upstream/downstream sequences (Oschr05 15612701-15613050) and a highly similar *D1–like* gene region (Oschr05 16009580-16009929), are aligned. Distinct nucleotides are indicated by bold letters surrounded by boxes. The numbers on both sides indicate the position on chromosome 5.

The line "885", a dwarf mutant, was isolated from the M2 population grown in the greenhouse, and its homozygosity was confirmed in the M3 population in both the green house and paddy field (Fig. 2A). Sixteen mutations were identified by whole-exome sequencing (Table 2). Although 4 of them (mutations #28, 30, 34, and 35) were homozygous nonsynonymous mutations (S2 Table), the analysis of F2 lines suggested that none of these four mutations were linked to the dwarf phenotype of the "885" line. It is possible that mutations located outside of the exon regions, presumably promoter regions, could be responsible for the dwarf phenotype observed in this line.

The mutant line "786-5" was initially identified as a mutant with wide leaves in our greenhouse (Fig 2C). The mutant also showed increased leaf blade declination (Fig 2C). The homozygous phenotype was confirmed in the M3 generation. In the paddy field, all

M4 plants (n = 45) started heading 15 to 17 days earlier than the NPB control and showed broken flag leaves (Fig 2D). The whole-exome sequencing analysis of DNA extracted from an M3 plant identified 9 mutations: #11 to #19 in Table 2. Among them, the 5-bp DEL (mutation #15) in the first exon of the *PHYTOCHROME B* gene (*PHYB*; *Os03g0309200*) caused a frameshift and was present in the homozygote (Fig 6B and Table 2). We considered this mutation to be the most likely candidate causing the characteristic morphology and early heading date phenotype in line "786-5" because similar phenotypes have also been reported in a rice *phyB* mutant [38].

The early-heading-date mutant "IRB3517-3" was identified in the M2 population. All M3 plants (n = 45) grown in the patty field showed earlier heading than the NPB control (Fig 2D), indicating that the genotype in the M2 plant was homozygous. The wholeexome sequencing analysis of DNA extracted from an M3 plants revealed 13 mutations (#36 to #48), including 8 homozygous mutations (Table 2). The only high impact mutation identified was a 33.6-kb large deletion on chromosome 3 (mutation #38). The deleted region contained 5 genes, namely, Os03g0793000, Os03g0793100, Os03g0793300, Os03g0793450, and Os03g0793500 (Fig 6C). Among the 5 genes, the *HEDAING DATE 16* (*HD16*; Os03g0793500) gene was the most likely candidate for the early heading phenotype in the "IRB3517-3" mutant. The *HD16* gene encodes a case in kinase I protein and is known to act as an inhibitor of rice flowering by phosphorylating and activating the GHD7 protein, one of the major players in the photoperiodic control of rice flowering [39]. It has been reported that a near-isogenic line with decreased kinase activity of the HD16 protein shows early flowering under a natural day length [39].

Another early-heading-date mutant line is "IRB3790-2". DNA from one of the M3 plants obtained from an M2 plant that exhibited an early heading date (Fig 2D) was subjected to whole-exome sequencing analysis. However, this M2 plant was not a homozygote regarding the early-heading-date phenotype because plants with early and normal heading date phenotype were segregated in the M3 population derived from this M2 plant. We failed to establish a homozygous population even in the M4 generation and had to wait until M5 to obtain a homozygous population for the early heading date. The sequencing results indicated that there were 8 mutations (#49 to #56), including one high impact mutation (mutation #52), which was a 543-kb INV on chromosome 6 (Table 2). As demonstrated above, one of the break points of this INV was located in the second exon of the HEADING DATE 1 (HD1; Os06g0275000) gene (Fig 6D), which encodes a zinc-finger type transcription factor and regulates the expression of HEADING DATE 3, a mobile flowering signal [40,41]. Although the position of the break point (R347) is near the C-terminal region of the encoded protein, the truncated HD1 protein generated by the INV lacks the CCT motif (amino acid position from 326 to 369), a conserved domain that is widely present in flowering-related proteins and essential for the protein function of HD1 [42], implying that the truncated HD1 protein produced in "IRB3790-2" was a loss-of-function protein. PCR analysis of selected 8 early and 12 non earlyheading-date individuals (scored on August 10, 2018) in the M4 population using primers detecting #52 INV showed that all 8 early heading date plants possesses this INV in homozygous but not other plants, suggesting that this inversion was likely the cause of the early heading date. We did not determine why homozygous hdl plants were not obtained until the M4 generation, even though we chose a plant that exhibited the earliest heading date phenotype in the population to obtain seeds for the next generation.

Heterozygous plants (HD1 / hd1) are thought to show an intermediate phenotype between wild type and hd1 homozygous plants [43]. One possibility is that another mutated gene that affects the heading date or viability may be present in the genome of the selected plants for propagation. Further genetic analysis may reveal additional factors that contribute to a minor extent to heading date determination in this mutant line.

In summary, we have identified likely candidate genes responsible for the mutant phenotypes in 4 of the 5 mutant lines examined. Although further analyses, such as genetic complementation, RNA inactivation, and/or genome editing, are required to confirm the relationship between the candidate genes and mutants' phenotypes, this work showed that the combination of ion-beam mutagenesis and whole-exome sequencing enabled us to quickly narrow down probable candidate genes. In this work, we isolated mutants with remarkable phenotypes that could be very easily identified in a greenhouse or a paddy field. This finding could explain why the candidate genes were largely previously reported genes. Because the average number of high impact mutations per line was very low, it would be quite easy to narrow down candidate genes for the mutant phenotype, even if the responsible gene was an uncharacterized gene, by examining the genetic linkage between the identified mutation and the phenotype.

Although a recent fulfillment of genetic resources in rice enabled us to take a reverse genetic approach, such as the use of transposon- and T-DNA-tagged mutant libraries [44] as well as genome editing techniques [45], to understand the genetic bases of gene function and improve economically important traits in rice, a forward genetics approach with induced mutagenesis is still important to identify genes that cause uncharacterized mutant phenotypes or uncharacterized genes that cause mutant phenotypes. Induced mutagenesis can be applied not only in rice but also in other non-model plants that do

not fulfil genetic information and resources. It would be particularly useful to introduce new phenotypic variations into plants with a specific genetic background or to improve traits of already established varieties used in agricultural production. Unlike tagged mutations, such as transposon- or T-DNA tagging, induced mutagenesis has not been thought to be advantageous to isolate a casual gene because it requires large efforts such as positional cloning. However, recent "mapping by sequencing" techniques that combine mutagenesis techniques and massively parallel sequencing have been employed and promoted for the rapid detection of casual genes in mutants isolated by forward genetics [46]. For example, in the MutMap method [47], one of the mapping by sequencing techniques developed to identify rice genes, the selected mutants generated by EMS mutagenesis were back-crossed, and the F2 generation was obtained. DNA from F2 individuals who expressed mutant phenotype was pooled and subjected to NGS. Then, SNPs that were commonly present in mutant F2 DNA were detected by scoring the SNP index. This technique can secure an accurate evaluation of mutant phenotype because the work produces mutants and their parental lines in almost identical genetic backgrounds and can eliminate the generation of new polymorphic DNA markers for mapping because massively parallel sequencing can detect many SNPs in the F2 population generated by EMS, dramatically shortening the time required to clone a gene. As discussed earlier, ion beams induce a reduced number of mutations than EMS and more effectively cause InDels that often lead to complete inactivation of gene function. Therefore, it can be expected that a reduced number of candidate gene responsible for the mutation phenotype will be produced by ion beam irradiation compared with EMS. Indeed, in this work, we successfully identified candidate genes from 4 mutants in a very efficient manner by evaluating mutation catalogues generated by whole-exome

sequencing. Furthermore, in Arabidopsis, the combination of ion-beam mutagenesis, whole genome sequence, and rough mapping also successfully identified a casual gene of a variegated leaf mutant [48]. Therefore, characterization of ion beam-induced mutants by whole-exome or whole-genome sequencing will enable promotion of the effective isolation of causal genes for mutants. Further efforts to isolate useful mutants by ion-beam irradiation and to detect useful genes from mutants by genome sequencing will facilitate improvement of agronomically important traits in rice as well as in other plants.

Conclusions

The properties of ion beam-induced mutations in rice were revealed by whole-exome sequencing. The average mutation frequency in the M1 generation of ion beam-irradiated rice was estimated to be 2.6×10^{-7} per base. The results suggest that carbon ion irradiation seems to induce a reduced number of mutations in rice DNA than EMS- and tissue culture-based mutagenesis and a similar number to FN mutagenesis. The identification of a small number of high-impact mutation would facilitate detection of candidate genes responsible for the phenotypes of mutants.

References

 Tanaka A, Shikazono N, Hase Y. Studies on biological effects of ion beams on lethality, molecular nature of mutation, mutation rate, and spectrum of mutation phenotype for mutation breeding in higher plants. J Radiat Res. 2010;51: 223–233. doi:10.1269/jrr.09143

- Abe T, Ryuto H, Fukunishi N. Ion beam radiation mutagenesis. In: Shu, Q. Y., Forster, B. P., Nakagawa H, editor. Plant mutation breeding and biotechnology. Oxfordshire UK: CAB International; 2012. pp. 99–106. doi:10.1079/9781780640853.0099
- Yamaguchi H. Mutation breeding of ornamental plants using ion beams. Breed Sci. 2018/02/17. Japanese Society of Breeding; 2018;68: 71–78. doi:10.1270/jsbbs.17086
- Okamura M, Yasuno N, Ohtsuka M, Tanaka A, Shikazono N, Hase Y. Wide variety of flower-color and -shape mutants regenerated from leaf cultures irradiated with ion beams. Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect B Beam Interact with Mater Atoms. 2003;206: 574–578. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/S0168-583X(03)00835-8
- Yamaguchi H. Characteristics of Ion Beams as Mutagens for Mutation Breeding in Rice and Chrysanthemums. Japan Agric Res Q JARQ. 2013;47: 339–346. doi:10.6090/jarq.47.339
- Shikazono N, Yokota Y, Kitamura S, Suzuki C, Watanabe H, Tano S, et al. Mutation Rate and Novel tt Mutants of Arabidopsis thaliana Induced by Carbon Ions. Genetics. 2003;163: 1449–1455. Available: http://www.genetics.org/content/163/4/1449.abstract
- Shikazono N, Suzuki C, Kitamura S, Watanabe H, Tano S, Tanaka A. Analysis of mutations induced by carbon ions in Arabidopsis thaliana. J Exp Bot. 2005;56: 587–596. doi:10.1093/jxb/eri047

- Kazama Y, Saito H, Yamamoto YY, Hayashi Y, Ichida H, Ryuto H, et al. LETdependent effects of heavy-ion beam irradiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Plant Biotechnol. 2008;117: 113–117.
- Kazama Y, Hirano T, Saito H, Liu Y, Ohbu S, Hayashi Y, et al. Characterization of highly efficient heavy-ion mutagenesis in Arabidopsis thaliana. BMC Plant Biol. 2011;11: 161. doi:10.1186/1471-2229-11-161
- Naito K, Kusaba M, Shikazono N, Takano T, Tanaka A, Tanisaka T, et al. Transmissible and Nontransmissible Mutations Induced by Irradiating Arabidopsis thaliana Pollen With γ-Rays and Carbon Ions. Genetics. 2005;169: 881–889. doi:10.1534/genetics.104.033654
- Hase Y, Yoshihara R, Nozawa S, Narumi I. Mutagenic effects of carbon ions near the range end in plants. Mutat Res - Fundam Mol Mech Mutagen. 2012;731: 41–47. doi:10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2011.10.004
- Kazama Y, Ma L, Hirano T, Ohbu S, Shirakawa Y, Hatakeyama S, et al. Rapid evaluation of effective linear energy transfer in heavy-ion mutagenesis of *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Plant Biotechnol. 2012;29: 441–445. doi:10.5511/plantbiotechnology.12.0921a
- Yoshihara R, Hase Y, Sato R, Takimoto K, Narumi I. Mutational effects of different LET radiations in *rpsL* transgenic *Arabidopsis*. Int J Radiat Biol. 2010;86: 125–131. doi:10.3109/09553000903336826
- Yoshihara R, Nozawa S, Hase Y, Narumi I, Hidema J, Sakamoto AN. Mutational effects of γ -rays and carbon ion beams on Arabidopsis seedlings. J Radiat Res. 2013;54: 1050–1056. doi:10.1093/jrr/rrt074

- 15. Hirano T, Kazama Y, Ohbu S, Shirakawa Y, Liu Y, Kambara T, et al. Molecular nature of mutations induced by high-LET irradiation with argon and carbon ions in Arabidopsis thaliana. Mutat Res Mol Mech Mutagen. 2012;735: 19–31. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2012.04.010
- Hase Y, Nozawa S, Narumi I, Oono Y. Effects of ion beam irradiation on size of mutant sector and genetic damage in Arabidopsis. Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect B Beam Interact with Mater Atoms. Elsevier B.V.; 2017;391: 14– 19. doi:10.1016/j.nimb.2016.11.023
- Ossowski S, Schneeberger K, Lucas-Iledó JI, Warthmann N, Clark RM, Shaw RG, et al. The rate and molecular spectrum of spontaneous mutations in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. Science. 2010;327: 92–94. doi:10.1126/science.1180677
- Du Y, Luo S, Li X, Yang J, Cui T, Li W, et al. Identification of Substitutions and Small Insertion-Deletions Induced by Carbon-Ion Beam Irradiation in Arabidopsis thaliana. Front Plant Sci. 2017;8. doi:10.3389/fpls.2017.01851
- Kazama Y, Ishii K, Hirano T, Wakana T, Yamada M, Ohbu S, et al. Different mutational function of low- and high-linear energy transfer heavy-ion irradiation demonstrated by whole-genome resequencing of Arabidopsis mutants. Plant J. 2017;92: 1020–1030. doi:10.1111/tpj.13738
- 20. Hase Y, Satoh K, Kitamura S, Oono Y. Physiological status of plant tissue affects the frequency and types of mutations induced by carbon-ion irradiation in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2018;8: 1394. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-19278-1
- Yamaguchi H, Hase Y, Tanaka A, Shikazono N, Degi K, Shimizu A, et al. Mutagenic effects of ion beam irradiation on rice. Breed Sci. 2009;59: 169–177.

22. Ishikawa S, Ishimaru Y, Igura M, Kuramata M, Abe T, Senoura T, et al. Ionbeam irradiation, gene identification, and marker-assisted breeding in the development of low-cadmium rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 2012;109: 19166– 19171. doi:10.1073/pnas.1211132109/-

/DCSupplemental.www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.1211132109

- 23. Mahadtanapuk S, Teraarusiri W, Phanchaisri B, Yu LD, Anuntalabhochai S. Breeding for blast-disease-resistant and high-yield Thai jasmine rice (Oryza sativa L. cv. KDML 105) mutants using low-energy ion beams. Nucl Instruments Methods Phys Res Sect B Beam Interact with Mater Atoms. 2013;307: 229–234. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.nimb.2013.01.088
- Ichitani K, Yamaguchi D, Taura S, Fukutoku Y, Onoue M, Shimizu K, et al. Genetic analysis of ion-beam induced extremely late heading mutants in rice. Breed Sci. 2014;64: 222–230. doi:10.1270/jsbbs.64.222
- 25. Morita R, Nakagawa M, Takehisa H, Hayashi Y, Ichida H, Usuda S, et al. Heavy-ion beam mutagenesis identified an essential gene for chloroplast development under cold stress conditions during both early growth and tillering stages in rice. Biosci Biotechnol Biochem. Taylor & Francis; 2017;81: 271–282. doi:10.1080/09168451.2016.1249452
- Ichida H, Morita R, Shirakawa Y, Hayashi Y, Abe T. Targeted exome sequencing of unselected heavy-ion beam-irradiated populations reveals lessbiased mutation characteristics in the rice genome. Plant J. 2019;98: 301–314. doi:doi:10.1111/tpj.14213
- 27. Cingolani P, Platts A, Wang LL, Coon M, Nguyen T, Wang L, et al. A program for annotating and predicting the effects of single nucleotide polymorphisms,

SnpEff: SNPs in the genome of Drosophila melanogaster strain w1118; iso-2; iso-3. Fly (Austin). 2012/04/01. Landes Bioscience; 2012;6: 80–92. doi:10.4161/fly.19695

- 28. International Rice Genome Sequencing Project. The map-based sequence of the rice genome. Nature. 2005;436: 793–800. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature03895
- Kodym A, Afza R, Forster BP, Ukai Y, Nakagawa H, Mba C. Methodology for physical and chemical mutagenic treatments. In: Shu QY, Foster BP, Nakagawa H, editors. Plant Mutation Breeding and Biotechnology. Oxfordshire: CAB International and FAO; 2012. pp. 169–180. Available: www.cabi.org
- Henry IM, Nagalakshmi U, Lieberman MC, Ngo KJ, Krasileva K V, Vasquez-Gross H, et al. Efficient Genome-Wide Detection and Cataloging of EMS-Induced Mutations Using Exome Capture and Next-Generation Sequencing. Plant Cell. 2014;26: 1382–1397. doi:10.1105/tpc.113.121590
- 31. Miyao A, Nakagome M, Ohnuma T, Yamagata H, Kanamori H, Katayose Y, et al. Molecular Spectrum of Somaclonal Variation in Regenerated Rice Revealed by Whole-Genome Sequencing. Plant Cell Physiol. 2012;53: 256–264. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcr172
- 32. Zhang D, Wang Z, Wang N, Gao Y, Liu Y, Wu Y, et al. Tissue Culture-Induced Heritable Genomic Variation in Rice, and Their Phenotypic Implications. PLoS One. Public Library of Science; 2014;9: e96879. Available: https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0096879

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/666677; this version posted July 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

- Li G, Jain R, Chern M, Pham NT, Martin JA, Wei T, et al. The Sequences of 1504 Mutants in the Model Rice Variety Kitaake Facilitate Rapid Functional Genomic Studies. Plant Cell. 2017;29: 1218–1231. doi:10.1105/tpc.17.00154
- 34. Hase Y, Satoh K, Kitamura S, Oono Y. Physiological status of plant tissue affects the frequency and types of mutations induced by carbon-ion irradiation in Arabidopsis. Sci Rep. 2018;8. doi:10.1038/s41598-018-19278-1
- Fujisawa Y, Kato T, Ohki S, Ishikawa A, Kitano H, Sasaki T, et al. Suppression of the heterotrimeric G protein causes abnormal morphology, including dwarfism, in rice. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A. 1999;96: 7575–80. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.13.7575
- 36. Ashikari M, Wu J, Yano M, Sasaki T, Yoshimura A. Rice gibberellin-insensitive dwarf mutant gene Dwarf 1 encodes the α-subunit of GTP-binding protein. Proc Natl Acad Sci. 1999;96: 10284–10289. doi:10.1073/pnas.96.18.10284
- 37. Chen J, Zhao H, Zheng X, Liang K, Guo Y, Sun X. Recent amplification of Osr4 LTR-retrotransposon caused rice D1 gene mutation and dwarf phenotype. Plant Divers. Elsevier Ltd; 2017;39: 73–79. doi:10.1016/j.pld.2017.01.003
- 38. Takano M, Inagaki N, Xie X, Yuzurihara N, Hihara F, Ishizuka T, et al. Distinct and cooperative functions of phytochromes A, B, and C in the control of deetiolation and flowering in rice. Plant Cell. 2005;17: 3311–3325. doi:DOI 10.1105/tpc.105.035899
- 39. Hori K, Ogiso-Tanaka E, Matsubara K, Yamanouchi U, Ebana K, Yano M.Hd16, a gene for casein kinase I, is involved in the control of rice flowering time

by modulating the day-length response. Plant J. 2013/07/25. BlackWell Publishing Ltd; 2013;76: 36–46. doi:10.1111/tpj.12268

- 40. Yano M, Katayose Y, Ashikari M, Yamanouchi U, Monna L, Fuse T, et al. Hd1, a major photoperiod sensitivity quantitative trait locus in rice, is closely related to the Arabidopsis flowering time gene CONSTANS. Plant Cell. American Society of Plant Biologists; 2000;12: 2473–2484. Available: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/11148291
- 41. Kojima S, Takahashi Y, Kobayashi Y, Monna L, Sasaki T, Araki T, et al. Hd3a, a Rice Ortholog of the Arabidopsis FT Gene, Promotes Transition to Flowering Downstream of Hd1 under Short-Day Conditions. Plant Cell Physiol. 2002;43: 1096–1105. Available: http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/pcp/pcf156
- 42. Takahashi Y, Teshima KM, Yokoi S, Innan H, Shimamoto K. Variations in Hd1 proteins, Hd3a promoters, and Ehd1 expression levels contribute to diversity of flowering time in cultivated rice. P Natl Acad Sci USA. 2009;106: 4555–4560. doi:10.1073/pnas.0812092106
- 43. Yamamoto T, Kuboki Y, Lin SY, Sasaki T, Yano M. Fine mapping of quantitative trait loci Hd-1, Hd-2 and Hd-3, controlling heading date of rice, as single Mendelian factors. Theor Appl Genet. 1998;97: 37–44. doi:10.1007/s001220050864
- Wang N, Long T, Yao W, Xiong L, Zhang Q, Wu C. Mutant Resources for the Functional Analysis of the Rice Genome. Mol Plant. 2013;6: 596–604. doi:https://doi.org/10.1093/mp/sss142

- 45. Mishra R, Joshi RK, Zhao K. Genome Editing in Rice: Recent Advances, Challenges, and Future Implications. Front Plant Sci. Frontiers Media S.A.;
 2018;9: 1361. doi:10.3389/fpls.2018.01361
- 46. Schneeberger K. Using next-generation sequencing to isolate mutant genes from forward genetic screens. Nat Rev Genet. Nature Publishing Group, a division of Macmillan Publishers Limited. All Rights Reserved.; 2014;15: 662. Available: https://doi.org/10.1038/nrg3745
- 47. Abe A, Kosugi S, Yoshida K, Natsume S, Takagi H, Kanzaki H, et al. Genome sequencing reveals agronomically important loci in rice using MutMap. Nat Biotechnol. Nature Publishing Group; 2012;30: 174–178. doi:10.1038/nbt.2095
- 48. Du Y, Luo S, Yu L, Cui T, Chen X, Yang J, et al. Strategies for identification of mutations induced by carbon-ion beam irradiation in Arabidopsis thaliana by whole genome re-sequencing. Mutat Res Mol Mech Mutagen. 2018;807: 21–30. doi:https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mrfmmm.2017.12.001

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Shoya Hirata, Eenzen Mungunchudur, Toshihiko Sanzen, Hiroki Arai, Shogo Ozawa, Satoshi Kitamura, and members of Research Planning Office, Quantum Beam Research Directorate, QST for their help in harvesting rice seeds and characterizing rice mutants. The bioinformatics analysis was performed using the HOKUSAI supercomputing system, operated by the Information Systems Division, RIKEN, under the project number Q18208.

This work was supported by a grant from the Cabinet Office, under the "Technologies for creating next-generation agriculture, forestry and fisheries" project in the Crossministerial Strategic Innovation Promotion Program (SIP). The grant was administrated by the Bio-oriented Technology Research Advancement Institution, NARO.

Author contributions

Y.O and Y.H designed the experiment. S.N. and Y.H. conducted ion-beam irradiation, mutant screening, and characterization of mutants in the green house. Y.O., S.N., K.S., A.S., H.K., and Y.H. performed mutant screening and characterization of mutants in the paddy field. Whole exome analysis was done by H.I., R.M., and T.A.. Y.O. and H.I. analyzed the data and Y.O. wrote the manuscript with input from H.I. and Y.H. All authors approved the final manuscript.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. List of primer sets.

S2 Table. Detailed list of mutations detected.





Albino

Xanta



Chlorina



Striata



Virescent

Figure 1





3098

3098

NPB 3098



Ľ)			
	Line	Heading date*	Days earlier than control	Additional phenotype
	NPB	Aug. 14	-	
	786-5	Jul. 28	17	wide leaves broken flag leaves
	IRB3517-3	Aug. 3	11	
	IRB3790-2**	July 28	17	



*Heading date was recorded in the paddy field in 2016. **Phenotype of early heading date was segregated in the population.





Figure 4







Figure 6

15612701	TGAACAGAATACAAATCCCTAGTCGAATCTCCTACATAATCCCCACTTTGCAATAAGaaa
16009580	TGAACAGAATACAAATCCCTAGTCGAATCTCCTACATAATCCCCACTTTGCAATAAGAAA
15612761	aaaaaGACACACCCTTTCCAGTATTGCATACTTTAATTGTCTGATAGACGTTTGCATGGA
16009640	AAAAAGACACCCCTTTCCAGTATTGCATACTTTAATTGTCTGATAGACGTTTGCATGGA
15612821	TAACTGATGTGTAGCTCCTAAGTTCTGCCTCATCAAAGCCAGTTTGGAAAAGGAGCTTAA
16009700	TAACTGATGTGTAGCTCCTAAGTTCTGCCTCATCAAAGCCAGTTTGGAAAAGGAGCTTAA
15612881	TCTGCAGGTGAACAGTAAGAATACATCAGACTAACTAGGGGCGTACGGATTATGATTCTC
16009760	TCTGCAGGTGAACAGTAAGAATACATCAGACTAACTAG T GGCGTACGGATTATGATTCTC
15612941	
16009820	CATGGAATATA G CATTCATCACCTGTTTAAATATCGTAGACTTCCCTGATTCTCCCGCAC
15613001	CTTAAAACAGAAGCAATTGAGAGAGAGAGAAAGAAGGATGGAT
16009880	CTTAAAACAGAAGCAATTGAGAGAGAGAGAAGAAGGATGGAT

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/666677; this version posted July 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

				Target			-5' position of primer	⁴ Sequence (5' to 3') of primer
Mutation No.	Line	CHR No.	Start	End Reference ²	Alternatives ²	Type of mutation	35 position of princi	Sequence (5 to 5) of primer
5	3098	chr04	2.3E+07	22824954 T	TAGATAGA	INS (7)	chr4 22824682 F chr4 22825181 R	GGCAAACATTACATATAGCATAAAGG GAACTCGCCGACCTCACC
7	3098	chr05	1.6E+07	15612936 ACGTTGA	1 A	DEL (128)	chr5 15612143 F chr5 15613069 R	GAACAAGGTAAATGCACAAAGGTATA TTTTAGTTTGAACTTGTTCTCAATG
12	786	chr01	4.2E+07	41549064 GTGT	GA	RPL	chr1 41548923 F chr1 41549206 R	CTGCGCTCTTCAACCGTTC CGGCGTGTTATTGCTCAGT
13	786	chr03	1631231	1631232 GG	TA	RPL	chr3 1630969 F chr3 1631462 R	CACTGCTCGGAGAACACCTT TGGCTGGCTACGTGTGTAGA
15	786	chr03	1.1E+07	11022260 AATGGC	A	DEL (5)	chr3 11022016 F chr3 11022491 R	TCCGGTCACACACAGCTAAG TGTTTCCTCAGATTCCTTGAAGA
18	786	chr10	2.1E+07	21181034 CG	С	DEL (1)	chr10 21180767 F chr10 21181262 R	GCTGTCCATCAGGTTCCTCT ATTCGATGGATGGCTAGCTG
22	885	chr01	3357475	3357475 C	Т	SNV	chr1 3357244 F chr1 3357725 R	GCGCAACATGAAAAAGAAAA CCAGCGCAGTAGGAAATGAT
23	885	chr01	3.8E+07	37524065 GTGTCGC	₽G	DEL (46)	chr1 37523767 F chr1 37524250 R	GCGCATGTTTGTGAGAGAAG CGAAGCGAGCAATCTCAAGT
26	885	chr03	3.6E+07	35501011 TC	Т	DEL (1)	chr3 35500787 F chr3 35501282 R	TGTTATCAGGGGCCTATTGAA CATGACAGATGATTGGAACTTTG
27	885	chr04	2.4E+07	23792560 G	А	SNV	chr4 23792336 F chr4 23792789 R	TTTCTGATCTCGCCTTGGTT ACAGGAGCAAGAACCGGAAG
30	885	chr05	2.2E+07	21895103 C	Т	SNV	chr5 21894866 F chr5 21895323 R	CCAGTGGGATTTCCTCTGAA CAAAAAGCAAATGTCCACGA
32	885	chr08	1.4E+07	14099489 CATCGAA	С	DEL (6)	chr8 14099248 F chr8 14099767 R	GTAAGCGCATGGAAATACCG GGACACGGCTGAGAGGAG
33	885	chr08	2.5E+07	24691425 TGAAA	Т	DEL (4)	chr8 24691196 F chr8 24691653 R	GCTAAATTTTAAGCACCATGTGA CTGCTCCAACTCTGCATCAA
34	885	chr08	2.8E+07	27686683 T	С	SNV	chr8 27686445 F chr8 27686938 R	TTGCCTTCTAGGCCTCACTC TGCTTTGACAGGAGATGCAG
35	885	chr10	1.6E+07	15810767 TCGCGCC	Т	DEL (6)	chr10 15810518 F chr10 15810983 R	CACCGGAACGACCTCACC CTCGCAATACCATGGAGGAC
37	IRB3517-3	chr01	3.3E+07	32645636 ACTCTGCAT	AA	RPL	chr1 32645404 F chr1 32645884 R	TCTGACAAAAATAGCCCCAAA CCATGTTGAAAGGAAACCAGA
38	IRB3517-3	chr03	3.3E+07	33010771 TGAGGTT	(T	DEL (33.6 k)	chr3 32976920 F chr3 33011012 R	TGGCGTCGGGGTTTGTAT AACACCACCACAAAGGAAGC
41	IRB3517-3	chr06	2.8E+07	27521464 TCCTGTC	Т	DEL (6)	chr6 27521228 F chr6 27521682 R	CCAGGTCACAACCATGTCAA GCTGGTTTTGAAGCTGCATT
42	IRB3517-3	chr07	4881911	4881919 GCGTATATT	G	DEL (8)	chr7 4881645 F chr7 4882141 R	CACGACGCCGAGAAGTCTAT AAGCCCCTACAAAAGCCAAG
44	IRB3517-3	chr09	7224224	7224224 A	G	SNV	chr9 7223954 F chr9 7224446 R	CCGAGGAAAAGTGCTGAAAG GGGATGGAAGTTTGTTTTCG
45	IRB3517-3	chr09	1.3E+07	13007477 G	А	SNV	chr9 13007214 F chr9 13007708 R	CCTGACCACCAAAAGAAAGG ACGAGGACCTGCTGACAGA
47	IRB3517-3	chr11	7365282	7365282 T	С	SNV	chr11 7365028 F chr11 7365527 R	ACCCATCAGCTGGTCACAAT TTTGCTGTTTGTATGCTTCATTT
52	IRB3790-2	chr06	9338213	9872884 TATGTTG	CTACATCA	¹ INV (535 k) ⁵	chr6 9338078 F chr6 9338377 R chr6 9872652 F chr6 9872951 R	CCTGCTGGAGCAATCAATCT AGCGTCTCATGAGTCCCATC CACTCATTAACAGAAGGGACTGAA GTACTCCCTCCGTCCGAAA
54	IRB3790-2	chr08	2.2E+07	21594853 CTTAA	С	DEL (4)	chr8 21594571 F chr8 21595070 R	CCAGTTTCATCCCAAAAATGA TTTGTGTGTGACATATGAACGA
56	IRB3790-2	chr12	4637905	4637905 G	А	SNV	chr12 4637679 F chr12 4638153 R	ACAAAAGCTGCAGCAGGAGA GTCGCTCCAAGGGTGGAA

¹ Mutation No. is identical to the one in Table 2.

 2 For the large deletions and the inversion, only first and last 4 bases are shown.

³ Size of InDel is indicated in parentheses.

Table S1 List of primer sets

 4 "F" and "R" indicate orientation of the primers, forward and reverse, respectively.

⁵ PCR signal can be detected with the PCR primer sets chr6 9338078 F-chr6 9872652 F and chr6 9338377 R-chr6 9872951R for the INV mutation.

bioRxiv preprint doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/666677; this version posted July 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder. All rights reserved. No reuse allowed without permission.

Table S2.	Detailed 1	ist of mutat	ions detected		2			4				
Mutation No	D. Line	CHR No	. Start	End	Reference 2	Alternatives ²	Type after evaluation	Effect *	Gene	Annotation	Genotype	Verification 6
2	3098	chr02 chr02	25123707	16190325	C CA	CA	INS (1) DEL (1)	intergenic_region			0 1	
2	5098	chir02	23123707	23123708	CA	C	DEL(I)	downstream_gene_variant		[CLPB_C] Similar to APG6/CLPB_P/CLPB3	0 1	
3	3098	chr03	17837150	17837150	С	G	SNV	splice_region_variant&intron_varia nt	Os03g0426900	(ALBINO AND PALE GREEN 6); ATP binding /	0 1	
4	3098	chr03	21504174	21504174	Т	С	SNV	missense_variant	Os03g0583900	[DCL2A] Similar to Endoribonuclease Dicer homolog 2a.	0 1	
5	3098	chr04	22824947	22824954	Т	TAGATAGA	INS (7)	upstream gene variant			1 1	1
6	3098	chr05	5977789	5977789	С	Т	SNV	missense_variant frameshift_variant&splice_acceptor	Os05g0196800	Similar to Diacylglycerol acylCoA acyltransferase.	0 1	
7	3098	chr05	15612808	15612936	ACGTTGAT	А	DEL (128)	_variant&splice_region_variant&s plice_region_variant&intron_varia	Os05g0333200	[D1] Guanine nucleotide-binding protein alpha-1 subunit (GP-alpha-1).	0 1	1
8	3098	chr08	3299965	3299966	TG	Т	DEL(1)	downstream_gene_variant			0 1	
9	3098	chr08	12412765	12412766	А	AT	INS (1)	downstream gene variant			0 1	
10	3098	chr12	17352209	17352210	TG	Т	DEL(1)	intergenic_region			0 1	
11	786	chr01	25949685	25949685	Т	А	SNV	missense_variant	Os01g0644600	Glutelin family protein.	0 1	
127	786	chr01	41549062	41549064	GTGT	GA	RPL	unstream gene variant	U.		111	
12	700	1.02	41547002	1/21/222	000	GA TA	NI L	· · · ·	0.02.0120000		111	•
13 '	786	chr03	1631231	1631232	GG	TA	RPL	missense_variant	Os03g0128800	Similar to predicted protein.	1 1	/
14	786	chr03	2403133	2403133	A	1	SINV DEL (5)	upstream_gene_variant	Oc03a0309200	[PHVP] Similar to Phytoshroma P	1 1	/
16	786	chr06	5399955	5399955	A	C	SNV	intron variant	030320307200	[FITTB] Similar to F hytochronic B.	111	v
17	786	chr07	13311076	13311076	A	т	SNV	upstream gene variant			1 1	
18	786	chr10	21181033	21181034	CG	с	DEL(1)	frameshift variant	Os10g0542400	Expansin/Lol pI family protein.	111	1
						_				Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait domain		
19	786	chr12	23844768	23844769	GC	G	DEL(1)	frameshift_variant	Os12g0577100	containing protein.	0 1	
20	885	chr01	1319914	1319917	TAGA	Т	DEL(3)	intergenic_region			1 1	
21	885	chr01	3020438	3020439	TG	Т	DEL(1)	intergenic_region			0 1	
22	885	chr01	3357475	3357475	С	Т	SNV	synonymous_variant			1 1	1
23	885	chr01	37524019	37524065	GTGTCGCA	G	DEL (46)	5_prime_UTR_variant	Os01g0866500	Similar to Soluble inorganic pyrophosphatase (EC 3.6.1.1) (Pyrophosphate phospho- hydrolase)	1 1	1
24	885	chr02	10379090	10379091	TA	Т	DEL (1)	5_prime_UTR_variant	Os02g0280400	Similar to Casein kinase I isoform delta-like. ; Similar to Dual specificity kinase 1.	0 1	
25	885	chr03	7473040	7473040	T	C	SNV	upstream_gene_variant			0 1	
26	885	chr03 abr04	35501010	35501011	IC G	1	DEL(1)	upstream_gene_variant			1 1	1
28	885	chr05	318166	318166	G	A	SNV	missense_variant	Os05g0105900	Nucleotide-binding, alpha-beta plait domain	0 1	v
29	885	chr05	15013747	15013748	G	GA	INS (1)	intergenic region		containing protein.	01	
30	885	chr05	21895103	21895103	č	Т	SNV	missense variant	Os05g0446600	Similar to DNA glycosylase/lyase 701	11	1
31	885	chr08	5808016	5808016	Т	С	SNV	downstream gene variant			1 1	
32	885	chr08	14099483	14099489	CATCGAA	C	DEL (6)	intergenic_region			11	1
33	885	chr08	24691421	24691425	TGAAA	Т	DEL (4)	downstream_gene_variant			1 1	1
34	885	chr08	27686683	27686683	Т	С	SNV	missense_variant	Os08g0553400	Hypothetical conserved gene.	1 1	1
35	885	chr10	15810761	15810767	TCGCGCC	Т	DEL (6)	disruptive_inframe_deletion	Os10g0439924	[CYP71Z8] Cytochrome P450 family protein.	1 1	1
36	IRB3517	-3 chr01	22075801	22075801	G	Т	SNV	missense_variant	Os01g0574400	Similar to Cell division protein ftsH (EC 3.4.24).	0 1	
37 '	IRB351	-3 chr01	32645629	32645636	ACICIGCAT	AA	RPL	intron_variant	0-02-0702000		1 1	1
38	IRB3517	-3 chr03	32977149	33010771	TGAGGTTG	Т	DEL (33.6k)	exon_loss_variant&splice_region_ variant	~	5 ORFs are deleted ⁸	1 1	1
39	IRB3517	-3 chr04	26873179	26873179	А	С	SNV	intergenic region	080320793300		111	
40	IRP2517	-3 chr06	0657074	9657077	CA	C.	DEL (1)	intergenia region			01	
40	IKDSST	-5 01100	903/9/0	9037977	CA	C	DEL(I)	intergenic_region			0 1	
41	IRB3517	-3 chr06	27521458	27521464	TCCTGTC	Т	DEL (6)	disruptive_inframe_deletion	Os06g0665800	[HMA9] Similar to heavy metal ATPase.	1 1	1
42	IRB3517	-3 chr07	4881911	4881919	GCGTATATT	G	DEL (8)	upstream_gene_variant		Holix loop balix DNA binding domain containing	1 1	1
43	IRB3517	-3 chr08	23894794	23894794	А	G	SNV	3_prime_UTR_variant	Os08g0483900	protein.	0 1	
44	IRB3517	-3 chr09	7224224	7224224	A	G	SNV	missense_variant	Os09g0297400	[rr11] Similar to Phosphate/phosphoenolpyruvate translocator.	1 1	1
45	IRB3517	-3 chr09	1300/4//	1300/4//	G	A	SNV	synonymous_variant			0 1	~
46	IRB3517	-3 chr11	1188438	1188438	С	Т	SNV	5_prime_UTR_variant	Os11g0126250	Hypothetical gene.	1 1	
47	IRB3517	-3 chr11	7365282	7365282	T	C	SNV DEL (6)	missense_variant	Os11g0238000	Similar to NB-ARC domain containing protein.	1 1	1
40	IRB3790	-2 chr01	5274282	5274283	GC	G	DEL(0)	infron variant	US12g01/0800	Similar to reterochromatin protein (Fragment).	01	
50	IRB3790	-2 chr03	26252641	26252642	A	AT	INS (1)	upstream gene variant			01	
51	IRB3790	-2 chr04	12393088	12393088	G	А	SNV	intergenic_region			1 1	
52	IRB3790	-2 chr06	9338213	9872884	TATGTTGG	TACATCAT	[INV (535k)	frameshift_variant 8	Os06g02750007	Photoperiod-sensitivity-1, HEADING DATE 17	0 1	1
53	IRB3790	-2 chr07	23822057	23822057	Т	с	SNV	missense_variant	Os07g0586200	[GELP92] Similar to Esterase precursor (EC 3.1.1) (Early nodule-specific protein homolog)	0 1	
										(Latex allergen Hev b 13).		
54	IRB3790	-2 chr08	21594849	21594853	CTTAA	С	DEL (4)	upstream_gene_variant			1 1	1
55	IKB3790	-2 chr09	1369829	1369831	GGA	6	DEL(2) SNV	intergenic_region			1 1	,
20	1503/90	=∠ CHI1Z	4037903	+03/903	0	A	014 V	upstream gene variant			1 1	~

¹ Mutation No. is identical to the one in Table 2.

² For the large deletions and the inversion, only first and last 4 bases are shown.
 ³ Size of InDels is indicated in parentheses.

⁴ Mutations putatively make high and moderate impact on protein function are indicated by orange and blue background color. ⁵ "0|1" is heterzygous and "1|1" is homozygous.

⁶ Mutations verified by sanger sequencing were marked with checkmark. Primer sequences are shown in Table S1.
 ⁷ Independent mutation calls are unified and counted as one RPL mutation because they are overlapped or located consecutively (Figure S1).
 ⁸ Evaluated manually.