A peptide pair coordinates regular ovule initiation patterns with seed number and fruit size

3

4 **Nozomi Kawamoto^{1,2}, Dunia Pino Del Carpio^{1,3}, Alexander Hofmann⁴, Yoko**
 5 **Mizuta^{5,6}, Daisuke Kurihara^{6,7}, Tetsuya Higashiyama⁶, Naoyuki Uchida⁶, Keiko U.**
 6 **Torii^{6,8,9}, Lucia Colombo¹⁰, Georg Groth^{2,3}, and Rüdiger Simon^{1,2*}**

7

8 ¹Institute for Developmental Genetics, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf, University
 9 Street 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

10 ²Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences (CEPLAS)

11 ³Agriculture Research division, Agriculture Victoria, Australia

12 ⁴Institute of Biochemical Plant Physiology, Heinrich-Heine University Düsseldorf,
 13 University Street 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany

14 ⁵Institute for Advanced Research (IAR), Nagoya University, Furo-cho,
 15 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan

16 ⁶Institute of Transformative Bio-Molecules (ITbM), Nagoya University, Furo-cho,

17 Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan

18 ⁷JST, PRESTO, Furo-cho, Chikusa-ku, Nagoya, Aichi 464-8601, Japan

19 ⁸Department of Biology, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, 98195 USA

20 ⁹Howard Hughes Medical Institute and Department of Molecular Biosciences,

21 University of Texas at Austin, Austin, TX, 78712 USA

22 ¹⁰Università degli studi di Milano, Italy

23 *Corresponding author: Rüdiger Simon, ruediger.simon@hhu.de

24

25 **Abstract**

26 Ovule development in *Arabidopsis thaliana* involves pattern formation which ensures
 27 that ovules are regularly arranged in the pistils to reduce competition for nutrients and
 28 space. Mechanisms underlying pattern formation in plants, such as phyllotaxis, flower
 29 morphogenesis or lateral root initiation, have been extensively studied, and genes
 30 controlling the initiation of ovules have been identified. However, how a regular spacing
 31 of ovules is achieved is not known. Using natural variation analysis combined with
 32 quantitative trait locus analysis, we found that the spacing of ovules in the developing

33 fruits is controlled by two secreted peptides, EPFL2 and EPFL9 (also known as
34 Stomagen), and their receptors from the ERECTA (ER) family that act from the carpel
35 wall and the placental tissue. We found that a signalling pathway controlled by EPFL9
36 acting from the carpel wall through the LRR-receptor kinases ER, ERL1 and ERL2
37 promotes fruit growth. Regular spacing of ovules depends on EPFL2 expression in the
38 carpel wall and in the inter-ovule spaces, where it acts through ERL1 and ERL2. Loss of
39 EPFL2 signalling results in shorter fruits and irregular spacing of ovules or even ovule
40 twinning. The EPFL2 expression pattern between ovules is under negative-feedback
41 regulation by auxin, which accumulates in the arising ovule primordia. We propose that
42 the auxin-EPFL2 signalling module evolved to control the initiation and regular,
43 equidistant spacing of ovule primordia, which serves to minimise competition between
44 developing seeds. Together, EPFL2 and EPFL9 coordinate ovule patterning and thereby
45 seed number with fruit growth through a set of shared receptors.

46

47 **Introduction**

48 Plants have evolved diverse strategies to maximise their reproductive success, which

49 enables them to transfer genetic resources to subsequent generations. To produce floral
50 organs at an appropriate time, plants integrate various environmental cues to induce
51 flowering^{1,2}. When this process is triggered in *Arabidopsis thaliana*, each flower
52 produces four sepals, four petals, six stamens and one pistil which originates from the
53 fusion of two carpels. The ovules, which contain the egg cells, reside in the pistil and are
54 derived from another meristematic tissue within the pistil termed placenta^{2,3}, where they
55 are almost simultaneously initiated in two parallel rows within each carpel. The number
56 of ovules per flower determines the maximum number of seeds that a single flower can
57 generate. At the transition from flower developmental stage 8 to 9 as defined by Smyth et
58 al.⁴, ovules are initiated from the placenta with regular 2 to 4 cell intervals. This regularity
59 enables plants to reduce competition between adjacent ovules or the developing seeds
60 after fertilization; disruption of this regular pattern could result in the formation of small
61 or large, or closely juxtaposed ovules, which would bias reproductive success depending
62 on random positional effects. During further growth, the pistil forms a silique that
63 encloses the developing seeds until they reach maturity and are shed. Thus, the overall
64 size of the silique places a natural constraint on the number of seeds that can be formed,

65 their final size, or both, and silique growth needs to be tightly coordinated with the ovule
66 initiation process. Indeed, final fruit length is normally well correlated with the number of
67 seeds grown within it⁵. However, how this coordination is achieved, and how ovules are
68 initiated strictly at very regular intervals remains to be investigated.

69 Successful ovule formation is by itself a prerequisite for seed production and has
70 therefore attracted widespread research interest, so that mechanistically, the process of
71 ovule primordia formation is at least partially understood and the functions of key
72 regulatory genes have been identified². Ovule primordia originate from periclinal
73 divisions in subepidermal cell layers of the placenta, and their formation requires the
74 coordinated activity of auxin and cytokinin signalling pathways. Here, PIN1 acts as the
75 main auxin transporter, and *pin1-5* mutants develop pistils with a reduced ovule number⁶.

76 The expression of *PIN1* is further modulated by cytokinin. An increase in cytokinin levels
77 due to loss of cytokinin degrading enzymes causes an increase in ovule number per
78 flower, possibly by upregulation of PIN1 levels⁷. Other phytohormones involved are
79 Gibberellins (GAs) and Brassinolide (BR), which act antagonistically to restrict (GA) or
80 promote (BR) ovule formation via regulation of cytokinin signalling^{8,9}. In response to

81 auxin, the transcription factor MONOPTEROS/AUXIN RESPONSE FACTOR5
 82 (MP/ARF5) is activated and regulates the expression of the transcription factors
 83 AINTEGUMENTA (ANT), CUP SHAPED COTYLEDON1 (CUC1) and CUC2 in ovule
 84 primordia and the boundary domains between ovules, respectively¹⁰. A knockdown of
 85 *CUC1* expression in *cuc2* or *cuc2;ant* mutant backgrounds reduces ovule numbers,
 86 whereas *cuc2;cuc3* double mutants give rise to fused ovules, indicating that the
 87 generation of interorgan boundaries depends on partially overlapping CUC functions.
 88 CUC1 and CUC2 affect *PIN1* expression via control of cytokinin inactivating enzymes⁷.
 89 Overall, the process of ovule formation strongly resembles that of other lateral organs,
 90 where initials are first defined by local auxin accumulation¹⁰. The distance between ovule
 91 primordia determines ultimately the total number of seeds that can be generated on a
 92 single flower, if silique length is constant. A recent genome wide association study
 93 identified *NEW ENHANCER OF ROOT DWARFISM1* (*NERD1*) as a positive regulator of
 94 ovule number, however, the *nerd1* mutants generated drastically shortened siliques,
 95 suggesting that *NERD1* does not play a specific role in controlling the distance between
 96 arising ovules¹¹. Alonso-Blanco and colleagues previously proposed the *ERECTA* (*ER*)

97 locus of Arabidopsis to be a major determinant of several life history traits, among them
98 fruit size and ovule number per flower⁵. The *er* mutants are characterised by a
99 short-fruit phenotype and a compact shoot architecture in the Landsberg background¹².
100 The *ER* gene encodes a leucine rich repeat (LRR) receptor kinase which regulates pattern
101 formation in multiple developmental pathways, including stomata development, vascular
102 architecture and leaf margin serration¹³; the related ER-family genes *ERECTA-LIKE1*
103 (*ERL1*) and *ERL2* contribute partially overlapping functions with ER¹⁴⁻¹⁷. Ligands for
104 ER-family receptors belong to the evolutionary conserved EPIDERMAL PATTERNING
105 FACTOR (EPF)/EPF-LIKE (EPFL)-family of cysteine-rich secreted peptides, with 11
106 members in Arabidopsis¹⁸. Some EPF/EPFL peptides act antagonistically in stomata
107 development by competing for interaction with the receptor complex, and consequently
108 trigger different signalling readouts in the stomata lineage¹⁸⁻²⁶. For example, while EPF2
109 activates the MAPK cascade upon binding to the ER/ERL1/TMM receptor complex to
110 restrict entry of epidermal cells into the stomatal lineage, EPFL9/STOMAGEN competes
111 for binding and interacts preferentially with ER/ERL1²⁵. Because EPFL9 binding does
112 not induce MAPK activation, SPCH is not degraded, resulting in the production of

113 supernumerous stomata^{27,28}. Beyond epidermal cell specification, EPFL2 was found to
114 interact with ER, ERL1 and ERL2 to promote leaf margin tooth growth via regulation of
115 auxin responses¹⁷.

116 We started to investigate the underlying mechanisms of regular ovule initiation by asking
117 whether the spacing of ovules is largely genetically or environmentally controlled. A
118 natural variation analysis combined with QTL analysis of candidate lines first identified
119 *ER* and *EPFL2* as key loci that control the spacing of ovules in the developing fruit.
120 Detailed genetic and gene function analyses further revealed that at least two separate
121 pathways involving members of the ER and EPF families control regular spacing of
122 ovules, together with fruit size. We propose that the tight coupling of fruit growth with
123 ovule initiation at regularly spaced intervals depends on the negative feedback regulation
124 between EPFL2 and auxin. The output of this patterning module would safeguard a low
125 variance between ovules as a conservative bet hedging approach.

126

127 **Results**

128 **ER regulates the density of ovules**

129 Because key genes controlling ovule formation such as *PINI*, *MP* or *CUC1* act in
130 multiple processes of organogenesis in plants, their interactions might be hardwired, and
131 classical mutant screens might not deliver insights into the regulation of ovule density
132 patterning itself. We therefore first resorted to study the range of variation in ovule
133 density that can be observed between natural accessions of Arabidopsis. We grew the
134 accessions at two different temperatures as a proxy to further access environmental
135 control of this patterning process. For 96 accessions, we measured fruit length and the
136 number of seeds including unfertilized ovules (= total number of ovules) per fruit in stage
137 17 flowers (all stages according to Smyth et al.,⁴), and calculated total ovule density as a
138 derived trait (total number of ovules per mm fruit length). The total ovule density strongly
139 varied between accessions and temperatures, ranging from 2.37 to 6.36 (N/mm) (Figure
140 S1). Our natural variation analysis revealed several accessions with a characteristic
141 ovule-density phenotype (Figure 1A, B), which was only mildly affected by temperature.
142 Hence, we sought regulators by applying QTL analysis to *L. er* x Cvi-0 recombinant
143 inbred lines (RILs), since Cvi-0 has long fruits and a low ovule density, whereas *L. er*
144 carries shorter fruits with a high ovule density (Figure 1A, B). QTL analysis allowed us to

145 find a significant peak on chromosome 2 (Figure 1C). Among many loci in this
146 chromosomal region, the *ER* locus seemed to be the most influential candidate. The
147 accessions Landsberg *erecta* (L. *er*) and Vancouver-0 (Van-0) were characterized by
148 shorter fruit and a higher ovule density compared to other accessions (Figure 1B, Figure
149 S1). The L. *er* and Van-0 accessions both carry mutations in the *ER* locus and are known
150 *er* loss-of-function mutants^{29,30}. In addition to these two accessions, Hiroshima-1 (Hir-1)
151 is also known as an *er* loss-of-function mutant^{29,30}. To test the functional importance of
152 *ER* gene in the control of ovule density, we assessed fruit phenotypes in the *er* mutant
153 lines complemented with a wildtype copy of the *ER* locus²⁹⁻³¹. The short fruit and high
154 ovule density phenotypes in all three accessions were complemented by functional *ER*
155 genomic DNA from Columbia (Col) (Figure S2). Furthermore, the *er-105* mutant in a Col
156 background showed a similar phenotype to the L.*er*, Van-0 and Hir-1 accessions (Figure
157 2A, Figure S2, S3). These results clearly indicate that *ER* is necessary for the control of
158 ovule density, and that *ER* acts similarly in different genetic backgrounds.

159

160 **ERL1 and ERL2 antagonistically function to ER in the regulation of ovule density**

161 Two *ER* paralogous genes, *ERL1* and *ERL2* have overlapping, yet distinct functions with
 162 *ER* in the regulation of plant architecture¹¹. To investigate the potential role of *ERL1* and
 163 *ERL2*, we analyzed *ER*-family receptor mutants in a Col background¹⁵. Although *erl1-2*
 164 and *erl2-1* single mutants did not display an obvious phenotype, the *erl1-2; erl2-1* double
 165 mutant developed shorter fruits and lower ovule density than the wild-type (Figure 2A,
 166 Figure S3). This is in contrast to *er*-mutants, which carried also shorter fruits, but with a
 167 higher ovule density than wild type. When combined with *er-105*, either *erl1-2* or *erl2-1*
 168 further enhanced the fruit length phenotype and displayed reduced total ovule number,
 169 but surprisingly an even higher ovule density (Figure 2A, Figure S3). Since *er-105*;
 170 *erl1-2; erl2-1* triple mutants are very dwarfed and do not produce proper flower organs³²
 171 (Figure 2B, C), it was impossible to analyze their fruit or ovule density phenotype.
 172 However, our results indicate that *ERL1* and *ERL2* function jointly with *ER* to promote
 173 fruit growth, whereas two separable *ERL1/2* and *ER* dependent pathways antagonistically
 174 regulate ovule density.

175

176 **EPFL9 is a ligand for ER family receptors that controls fruit elongation**

177 Our genetic analysis suggested that two independent pathways antagonistically control
178 ovule density- *ER* functions to decrease ovule density whereas *ERL1* with *ERL2* increase
179 ovule density. This suggests that unknown ligands, binding to ER-family receptors, may
180 be involved in ovule density control. Previous work identified EPF/EPFL family peptides
181 as ligands of ER-family receptors that control a variety of biological
182 processes^{19,20,35,21–26,33,34}. We found that within the *EPF/EPFL* family, *EPFL9* is
183 expressed in developing fruits by searching a public expression database. EPFL9 has a
184 unique function in stomatal patterning^{21–23}, since all other EPF/EPFL peptides except
185 EPFL9 reduce the number of stomata by activating a downstream MAPK cascade via ER
186 family receptors^{17,18,34,35,19–26}. EPFL9 also interacts with ER-family receptors, but its
187 binding does not activate a MAPK cascade²⁵, thus acting in an antagonistic manner to the
188 other EPF/EPFL peptides. We then hypothesized that EPFL9 might function as a ligand
189 for the control of ovule density. Since an EPFL9 mutant was not available, we analyzed
190 STOMAGEN RNAi plants²¹ and found a clear reduction of fruit length and a higher
191 ovule density than in wild-type plants (Figure 3A, Figure S4). Together, the phenotype
192 was weaker than that of *er-105* mutants (Figure 2A, Figure S3). Our result suggests that

193 EPFL9 functions through ER family receptors to promote fruit growth, possibly in
194 conjunction with other related ligands.

195

196 **EPFL2 as a ligand for ER-family receptors in ovule spacing**

197 As we described above, EPFL9 functions as a ligand of ER in the stomata pathway. We
198 sought further regulators of ovule density by re-analyzing our QTL data set using the ER
199 marker on chromosome 2 as a cofactor. Cofactor analysis allowed us to improve the
200 detection power and decrease a type II error (false negative)³⁶. QTL analysis revealed
201 additional contributing regions on chromosome 4 and 5 for the control of seed density
202 (Figure 1D). Among the candidate loci, we focused on *EPFL2* (At4G37810) on
203 chromosome 4, which acts with ER-family receptors to control leaf serration¹⁷. The
204 *epfl2-1* mutation in the *L.er* background caused, compared to *L.er*, a minor fruit
205 shortening but a major reduction in ovule number, so that the resulting ovule density was
206 lowered (Figure S5). When *ER* genomic DNA was introduced into the *epfl2* *L.er*
207 accession (*L.er ER+*), the phenotype was still characterized by short fruit length, a low
208 ovule number and a low ovule density (Figure 4A, Figure S5), indicating that *EPFL2* acts

209 independently of *ER*. Overall, the *epfl2-1* phenotype closely resembled that of *erl1-2*;
 210 *erl2-1* double mutants (Figure 2A, Figure 4A, Figure S3, Figure S5), suggesting that
 211 ERL1 and ERL2, and not ER, are the key receptors for perception of EPFL2. Since *erl1*
 212 and *erl2* mutants are in the Col accession, we generated the novel *epfl2-2* mutant allele in
 213 the same genetic background using CRISPR/Cas9 for further genetic analysis. We then
 214 crossed *epfl2-2* with *erl1-2; erl2-1* to generate *epfl2-2; erl1-2; erl2-1*, and with *er-105* to
 215 generate *epfl2-2; er-105*. The *epfl2-2; erl1-2; erl2-1* triple mutant showed a similar
 216 phenotype to the parental lines *epfl2-2* and *erl1-2; erl2-1* (Figure 4B, Figure S8).
 217 Furthermore, *epfl2-2; er-105* displayed an additive phenotype, as observed in *L. er*;
 218 *epfl2-1* (Figure 4B, Figure S5, Figure S8). We conclude that EPFL2 mainly functions
 219 with ERL1 and ERL2, and not with ER.

220

221 **Loss of *EPFL2* causes irregular patterning and twinning of ovules**

222 We observed abnormal ovules and seeds in both *epfl2* mutants. In some cases (0.27%),
 223 two ovules were initiated and developed from a single funiculus (Figure 5A, B).
 224 Although EPFL2 functions in the ERL1 and ERL2 pathway, this twin-ovule phenotype

225 was not observed in *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* plants, but in the *epfl2-2*; *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* and *epfl2-2*;
226 *er-105*, indicating that EPFL2 can act also independently of ER-family receptors. In *epfl2*
227 mutant plants, neighboring cells on the placenta appear to acquire ovule identity and
228 differentiate into ovules, resulting in twins. In order to visualize early ovule initiation
229 patterns, we introduced *pDORNROSCHE(DRN)::erGFP* as a marker for the earliest
230 stages of ovule initiation. During embryogenesis, *MP* activates expression of the
231 auxin-responsive transcription factor *DRN* in the tip of cotyledons^{37,38}. The
232 semi-quantitative auxin reporter R2D2³⁹ revealed that auxin maxima are established at
233 the tip of ovule primordia coinciding with *DRN* expression (Figure 5C-E), indicating that
234 *DRN* expression also reflects auxin distribution^{37,38}, and thus can serve as marker to
235 visualize ovule initiation patterns. Before ovule initiation, *DRN* was ubiquitously
236 expressed in the placenta (Figure 5F, G), but when placental cells acquire ovule identity,
237 *DRN* expression becomes confined to the ovule initial cells (Figure 5H, I). In wild-type
238 plants, the ovules initiated with 2 to 4 cells intervals (Figure 5J, L). In *epfl2-2* mutants,
239 *DRN* was expressed in a much broader pattern (Figure 5K) and *DRN* expression domains
240 appeared less regularly spaced (Figure 5K, L). We quantified the spacing by counting the

241 number of cells between adjacent ovule primordia. In the wildtype, we found on average
242 2.97 cells between two ovule initial cells, and these average values were slightly
243 increased for the *epfl2* mutant lines (3.10 cells). Importantly, cell numbers in *epfl2* varied
244 from 1 to 6 cells, whereas the wild-type displayed a very regular ovule spacing with cell
245 numbers ranging between 2 to 4 (Figure 5L). We conclude that EPFL2 serves the
246 initiation of ovules at regularly spaced intervals.

247

248 **ER-family receptors are coexpressed with EPFL2 and EPFL9 in pistils**

249 From our genetic analysis, we concluded that two major pathways control ovule
250 patterning. One is the EPFL9/ER pathway that mainly controls fruit growth, the other is
251 the EPFL2/ERL1/ERL2 pathway which has a major impact on ovule density via
252 regulating ovule initiation patterns, and also weakly contributes to fruit growth. We next
253 analyzed the expression profiles of *ER*, *ERL1*, *ERL2*, *EPFL9* and *EPFL2*. ER-family
254 receptors were previously shown to be expressed in different parts of the pistil^{15,40}. For
255 the analysis of ER, ERL1 and ERL2, we used translational fusion lines with YFP as a
256 reporter^{24,41} and for EPFL9 and EPFL2 we generated transcriptional reporter lines using

257 EGFP and TdTomato as fluorescent tags with Histone H2B^{17,21}. To visualize the
 258 expression patterns, we combined tissue clearing⁴² and confocal microscopy. In stage 8
 259 flowers, ER was broadly expressed in various organs including carpels (Figure 2D),
 260 consistent with previous observations⁴³. In the pistils, ER was mainly expressed in the
 261 valve (Figure 2E)⁴³, but signal was also weakly detected in ovule primordia and
 262 inter-ovule spaces (Figure 2E). ERL1 expression was not detected in the carpels at early
 263 stages of development (Figure 2F). ERL2 was expressed in the carpels including the
 264 placenta before ovule primordia became apparent (Figure 2H). When ovule primordia
 265 were initiated, the expression of ERL1 and ERL2 was detected in inter-ovule spaces and
 266 the ovule primordia (Figure 2G, I). The signal of ERL2 was strongly visible at the
 267 boundary and the tip of ovule primordia which will develop into the nucellus, the outer
 268 and the inner integuments, but signal was weaker in the basal domain of ovule primordia
 269 (Figure 2I). Compared to ERL2, ERL1 signals were weaker and somehow patchy (Figure
 270 2G). ERL1 and ERL2 were only weakly expressed in valves (Figure 2G, I). As expected
 271 from the STOMAGEN RNAi phenotype, EPFL9 was exclusively expressed in the inner
 272 cell layers of the valves (Figure 3D, E) from stage 8 onwards (Figure 3B, C) but lacking at

273 the valve margin and the replum (Figure 3E). The expression patterns are consistent with
274 EPFL9 acting as a short range signal that controls fruit growth via ER. In contrast to
275 EPFL9, EPFL2 expression was detected in the placenta (Figure 4E, F), and importantly,
276 once the ovule primordia were initiated, confined to the inter-ovule spaces (Figure 4E, F,
277 G). In transverse sections, EPFL2 expression was also visible in the valve, around the
278 valve margin and the replum (Figure 4F). However, expression was not noted in carpels
279 of stage 8 flowers (Figure 4C, D). As previously reported⁴⁴, EPFL2 seems to be
280 preferentially expressed at the boundary between ovules.

281

282 **Altered expression of EPFL2 affects the ovule initiation pattern**

283 The *DRN* expression profile in the placenta is largely complementary to that of *EPFL2*
284 (Figure 4C, D, E, F, G, 5F, G, H, I). To further test the importance of *EPFL2* in the pattern
285 of ovule initiation, we characterized the transgenic plants which misexpress *EPFL2* from
286 the *DRN* promoter (Figure 5F, G, H, I). When *EPFL2* misexpression was driven by the
287 *DRN* promoter in a wild-type background (*pDRN::EPFL2*), the resulting transgenic
288 plants carried fruit with a length similar to the non-transgenic siblings (Figure S9), but

289 showed a significantly reduced ovule number and ovule density (Figure 5M, Figure S9).

290 This indicated that EPFL2 cannot promote fruit growth from the placenta domain, and

291 that a regular and interspersed expression of EPFL2 is required for proper spacing of

292 ovule initiation.

293

294 **Quantitative analysis reveals interactions between peptides and receptors**

295 Our genetic analysis indicates that EPFL2 acts preferentially via ERL1 and ERL2;

296 however, earlier co-immunoprecipitation experiments in *Nicotiana benthamiana* have

297 shown that EPFL2 can physically associate with all ER-family receptors *in vivo*¹⁷.

298 Because EPF/EPFL peptides may bind to ER-family receptors with different affinities⁴⁵,

299 we investigated interaction properties between ER-family receptors and their ligands

300 EPFL9 and EPFL2 *in vitro*. Recombinant peptides and the extracellular domains of

301 ER-family receptors were expressed in *E. coli* and purified. Because EPFL2 and EPFL9

302 are cysteine-rich and their final conformation is stabilized by the formation of specific

303 disulfide bonds, the peptides were first affinity purified, refolded in refolding buffer, and

304 separated from unfolded peptides by HPLC²⁴. We then evaluated peptide bioactivity by

305 measuring their impact on stomatal density. As a control, six cysteine residues of EPFL2
306 were substituted to serine residues (EPFL2 (CS)), which should render the peptide less
307 stable. As observed in transgenic EPFL2-overexpressing Arabidopsis lines^{17,35}, EPFL2
308 treatment reduced the number of stomata (Figure S11B, I), whereas EPFL9 had the
309 opposite effect (Figure S11D, I). Furthermore, since TOO MANY MOUTH (TMM) is a
310 stomatal lineage specific co-receptor protein⁴⁶ and not expressed at the carpel wall and
311 the placenta (Figure S10), we also used *tmm-KO* plants. *tmm* knockout mutants were
312 found to be sensitized for EPFL2 and responded more strongly (Figure S11F, I), which is
313 consistent with previous studies^{35,45}. These assays indicated that the purified peptides
314 were functional. We then tested the direct interactions between the peptides and receptors
315 using isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC). Both EPFL2 and EPFL9 bound to the
316 extracellular domain of ER-family receptors, albeit with different affinities. EPFL2
317 showed a binding preference for ERL1 and ERL2 (Figure S12). EPFL9 bound to ER,
318 ERL1 and ERL2 with similar affinities (Figure S12).

319 From our combined data, we propose that regular spacing of ovules at defined intervals is
320 coordinated with fruit growth through the EPFL2/ERL1/ERL2 and EPFL9/ER signalling

321 pathways.

322

323 **Discussion**

324 In selfing species such as Arabidopsis, pollen availability is no longer a limiting factor for

325 fertilization, and the key determinant for seed production is now ovule number. The

326 total number of seeds that can be generated by an individual plant depends on the

327 available resources which can be invested into the formation of branches carrying

328 flowers.

329 Overall reproductive success then depends on the total number of flowers, and the

330 number of ovules that are being initiated in each individual flower. In the developing

331 Arabidopsis ovary, ovules are initiated from the placenta, and the total length of the pistil

332 at the time of ovule initiation restricts the maximum number of ovules that can be formed.

333 Not surprisingly, there is a general correlation between fruit length and overall seed

334 number, so that ecotypes that generate longer siliques also bear more seeds. The genetic

335 basis of ovule initiation and fruit growth has been studied in much detail, and a number of

336 key transcription factors and phytohormones have been investigated which control the

337 formation of ovules and fruit development. However, the fundamental patterning
338 mechanism that determines the spacing of ovule anlagen within the placenta remained
339 unexplored.

340 Organ initiation in plants generally requires auxin accumulation at discrete sites. Using
341 the auxin-regulated transcription factor DRN as a sensor for auxin signalling, we found
342 that an evenly distributed auxin signal in the developing placenta is resolved into a
343 regularly spaced pattern of founder cells of the young ovules. Importantly, this patterning
344 process is not a repetitive process and takes place in a structure with a finite size, which
345 clearly distinguishes it from other well studied patterning processes in plants, such as
346 phyllotaxis or stomatal patterning. We therefore carried out a natural variation analysis
347 and QTL analysis to identify genes responsible for ovule density, leading to the
348 identification of *ER* and *EPFL2*. Additionally, we found that the ER paralogs ERL1 and
349 ERL2, as well as the EPF/EPFL-family peptide EPFL9, also regulate ovule density. Our
350 genetic interaction studies and expression analysis showed that these two pathways
351 control ovule density in distinct ways. The EPFL9 pathway, acting from the carpel wall,
352 exclusively controls fruit elongation without affecting the ovule initiation pattern.

353 Reduction of ovule number observed in *er-105* seems to be an indirect consequence of the
354 smaller fruit size and the limited availability of space. The EPFL2 pathway also affects
355 fruit growth, but has a more pronounced impact on the patterning of ovule initial cells and
356 thus increases ovule density (Figure 6A, B).

357 We observed ovule twinning in *epfl2* mutant plants, which is caused by mis-patterning
358 during ovule initiation. Furthermore, ectopic expression of EPFL2 also caused ovule
359 patterning defects, which we interpret that EPFL2 is a dosage-sensitive regulator of ovule
360 initiation. Our genetic, biochemical and expression data further suggest that ERL1 and
361 ERL2 are the main receptors for EPFL2. Among these two, ERL2 has a major role as a
362 receptor for EPFL2 for two reasons: First, the *erl2-1* mutant enhanced the *er-105*
363 phenotype more severely than *erl1-2*, and second, ERL1 is expressed at lower levels than
364 ERL2 in the placenta. Ovule twinning was observed in *epfl2-2*; *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* as well as
365 *epfl2-2* mutants, but not in *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* mutants, suggesting that in the absence of ERL1
366 and ERL2, other receptors contribute to EPFL2-mediated ovule initiation. TMM is a
367 well-characterized co-receptor for ER-family receptors in stomata development, but
368 since TMM is not expressed in the pistil (Figure S10)⁴⁶, it is not likely to act here.

369 The transcriptional regulation of *EPFL2* is so far unknown, but it is tempting to speculate
370 that the transcription factors, *CUC1*, *CUC2*, and *CUC3* are potential upstream regulators
371 of *EPFL2* expression, because their expression profiles are similar to that of *EPFL2*^{10,47},
372 and *CUC1 RNAi*; *cuc2* plants produce fewer ovules than wild-type plants¹⁰.
373 Transcriptomic analysis revealed that some of *EPFL* family genes were downregulated in
374 *CUC1 RNAi*; *cuc2* plants⁷. *cuc2-3*; *cuc3-105* mutants can carry twinned ovules⁴⁷, as seen
375 in *epfl2*, and *EPFL2* expression under the control of the *CUC2* promoter was sufficient to
376 restore the leaf margin serration phenotype of *epfl2* mutants¹⁷.
377 Prior to ovule primordia initiation, *DRN* expression was uniformly observed at the
378 placenta (Figure 5C). Since *DRN* is a direct target of MP³⁸, auxin seems to be signalling
379 uniformly during early stages of placenta growth. However, once placenta cells adopt
380 ovule identity, auxin maxima are established at the tip of ovule initials² (Figure 5C-E)
381 and *DRN* expression is confined to these positions (Figure 5H). We propose that similar
382 to the feedback mechanism that operates in leaf margin development¹⁷, *EPFL2* restricts
383 auxin accumulation to the developing ovule primordia, while auxin at the same time
384 provides a feedback signal that suppresses *EPFL2* expression (Figure 6C). This

385 auxin-*EPFL2* negative feedback loop provides an important new element to control
 386 precise and highly regular ovule spacing patterns, which safeguards equal nutrient access
 387 as a bet hedging strategy. With its additional function in promoting fruit growth due to
 388 expression in the carpel wall, *EPFL2* thus serves to integrate these two processes. Life
 389 history variations that necessitate trade-offs between seed number, seed size and fruit size
 390 could then act through differential expression of *EPFL2*.
 391 Cultivated asian rice was selected for awnlessness to facilitate harvesting. One of the
 392 underlying causal mutation inactivates an *EPFL2*-orthologue, OsEPFL1, which also
 393 affects grain length and grain number, giving rise to more compact panicles with more
 394 seeds⁴⁸⁻⁵⁰. Although the underlying mechanism in rice is not yet understood, it highlights
 395 a role of *EPFL2*-genes as evolutionary conserved integrators of ovule initiation patterns,
 396 seed number, seed size and floral organ development⁵¹.

397

398 **Materials and Methods**

399 **Plant materials and growth conditions**

400 For natural variation analysis, 96 *A. thaliana* ecotypes⁵² were planted and grown in

continuous light at either 16°C or 21°C. After germination, the plants were vernalized for 6 weeks at 4°C. For QTL analysis, 165 RILs⁵ were planted and grown in continuous light at 16°C. For phenotypic and expression analysis, plants were grown under long-day conditions (16-h photoperiod). The Van-0, Van-0 *ER*+, Hir-1, and Hir-1 *ER*+ accessions were previously described³⁰. The *L. er*; *epfl2-1* (CSHL_ET5721), *L. er*; *epfl2-1*; *ER*+, and *L. er*; *ER*+ lines were previously described¹⁷. An *epfl2* mutant in the Columbia accession was generated by CRISPR/Cas9. For this, we designed two single guide RNAs targeting *epfl2* (Figure S6A) in one vector. We obtained five different *epfl2* mutant alleles in the T2 generation (Figure S6B), all of which caused a smoother leaf margin phenotype indicative of *epfl2*-mutants (Figure S6C) as previously reported¹⁷. For further analysis, #36-45 which has a genomic deletion between the sgRNA1 and 2 target sites was selected. Hereafter we refer to the original *epfl2* mutant in *L. er* (CSHL_ET5721) as *epfl2-1* and our new allele #36-45 as *epfl2-2*. Consistent with our previous analysis using *epfl2-1*, we found that *epfl2-2* phenocopies *epfl2-1* (Figure 4A, Figure S7), and that *epfl2-2* mutants also resemble the *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* phenotype (Figure 2A and 4A). The *gER:YFP*; *er-105*, *gERL1:YFP*; *erl1-2* and *gERL2:YFP* lines were previously described^{24,41}. The *er-105*,

417 *erl1-2*, *erl2-1*, *er-105*; *erl1-2*, *er-105*; *erl2-1*, *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* lines were previously
 418 described¹⁵. The STOMAGEN RNAi line was generated²¹. The *pDRN::GFP*; *Col* was
 419 described³⁸. The auxin semi-quantitative marker line R2D2 was developed in³⁹. The
 420 *epfl2-2* and *epfl2-3* lines were generated by CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing in this study,
 421 and the *epfl2-2*; *er-105*, *epfl2-2*; *erl1-2*; *erl2-1* were generated by genetic crossing. We
 422 also generated the following transgenic plant lines: *pEPFL2::H2B:TdTomato*; *Col*,
 423 *pEPFL9::H2B:EGFP:3HA:His*; *Col*, *pDRN::EPFL2*; *Col*, and *pDRN::GFP*; *epfl2-2*.
 424 Plants were transformed using *Agrobacterium tumefaciens* strain GV3101 or C58 pSOUP
 425 via the floral dip method.

426

427 **QTL analysis**

428 For the RIL population, the final phenotype value for each line was calculated as the
 429 average of all the replicates. The Genotype information from 243 markers in the Ler/Cvi
 430 RIL map was collected from available published data⁵. QTL analysis was performed
 431 within the R statistical software with the qtl package⁵³ using a Multiple QTL Mapping
 432 (MQM) approach. In the MQM mapping approach, we used a forward stepwise approach

433 preselecting the ERECTA marker as a cofactor.

434

435 **Plasmid constructs**

436 The plasmids and primers used in this study are listed in Table S1. Vectors pFH1 and

437 pFH6⁵⁴ along with the in-house vector pUB-Cas9-@EPFL2 were used for the knockout

438 of *EPFL2* with the CRISPR/Cas9 system. To generate the construct

439 pEPFL2::H2B:TdTomato, *EPFL2* promoter DNA was amplified by PCR from Col

440 genomic DNA and inserted at the HindIII and SmaI sites of pPZP211/35S using the

441 InFusion kit (Clontech) yielding the intermediate vector pPZP211/pEPFL2. The *H2B*

442 (At5g22880) gene was amplified from Col cDNA and was inserted into the SmaI and

443 SacI sites of pPZP211/pEPFL2 using the same method, yielding vectors

444 pPZP211/pEPFL2::H2B. Finally the TdTomato gene was amplified and inserted into the

445 SacI and SacII sites of pPZP211/pEPFL2::H2B to generate

446 pPZP211/pEPFL2::H2B:TdTomato. To generate the construct pEPFL2::EPFL2, the

447 *EPFL2* coding sequence was inserted into pPZP211/pEPFL2 at the BamHI and SacII

448 sites. To construct the pDRN::EPFL2 vector, the *DRN* promoter and terminator

449 sequences and the *EPFL2* coding sequence were amplified by PCR from Col genomic
450 DNA or cDNA and inserted into vectors pGGA000, pGGE000, and pGGC000
451 respectively. The pGGZ001, pGGA000-pDRN, pGGB002, pGGC000-EPFL2,
452 pGGD002, pGGE000-tDRN and pGGF007 DNA fragments were then assembled by
453 GreenGate cloning ⁵⁵. For pEPFL9:H2B-EGFP(or TdTomato):3HA:His, the *H2B* gene
454 was amplified as above and inserted into the SmaI and SacI sites of
455 pPZP211/35S:EGFP(or TdTomato)-3HA-His ⁵⁶. The plasmids were digested with XbaI
456 and EcoRI, and the H2B-EGFP (or TdTomato) 3HA-His:NosT fragments were
457 transferred to vector pPZP211. The *EPFL9* promoter was amplified from Col genomic
458 DNA and inserted into the vectors at the SalI and SmaI sites using the InFusion kit as
459 above. Codon optimized mature EPFL2 and mutated mature EPFL2 sequences were
460 synthesized (Thermo Fisher Scientific) and cloned into the SacII and XhoI sites of
461 pET41a. Mature EPFL9 was amplified from *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col cDNA and cloned
462 into pGEX4T1 by Gibson assembly (NEB). Ectodomains of ER (E25-R580), ERL1
463 (M26-R582), ERL2 (M28-R585) were amplified from *Arabidopsis thaliana* Col cDNA
464 and cloned into pETEV16 by Gibson assembly (NEB).

465

466 **Photography of leaves**

467 To characterize the leaf margin, the seventh leaf of each plant was photographed under a
468 Nikon SMZ25 stereomicroscope.

469

470 **Data visualization and statistical analysis**

471 R (version 3.5.1) was used for data visualization and statistical analysis. The following
472 statistical tests were used to calculate the corresponding p-values. A two-tailed Student's
473 t-test was used for pairwise comparisons, whereas Dunnett's test and Tukey-Kramer's test
474 were used to compare multiple sets of data to a control or all possible pairs, respectively.
475 F-test was used to compare two variations. In each case, a value of $p < 0.005$ was
476 considered significant.

477

478 **Tissue clearing and expression analysis**

479 Flowers and pistils were dissected under a standard dissection microscope. The samples
480 were fixed in freshly prepared 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS (pH 7.4) supplemented with

0.05% Silwet L-77 for 3–5 h under vacuum, followed by incubation in ClearSee as previously described [41]. The tissues were then stained with Calcofluor White to visualize the cell walls. The processed tissues were observed under a confocal microscope (Carl Zeiss LSM780, Carl Zeiss LSM880 or Leica TCS SP8). For GFP, the excitation wavelength was 488 nm and the signal was detected at 500–550 nm. For YFP, the excitation wavelength was 514 nm and the signal was detected at 520–575 nm. For TdTomato, the excitation wavelength was 561 nm and the signal was detected at 565–600 nm. For Calcofluor White, the excitation wavelength was 405 nm and the signal was detected at 415–475 nm. These ranges were selected to avoid overlaps between the signals.

Peptide expression, purification and refolding and protein expression

Mature EPFL2 (MEPFL2) and mutant mature EPFL2 (mMEPFL2; C60S, C65S, C68S, C71S, C119S, C121S) were heterologously expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) as GST-His-tagged fusion proteins. EPFL9 was expressed only as GST-fusion protein in *E. coli* BL21. Peptides were purified via GST affinity chromatography by FPLC (Äkta

497 Prime Plus, GE Healthcare). The GST tag was proteolytically cleaved by TEV-protease
 498 digestion. Peptides were separated from free GST and residual protease via reverse
 499 phase-HPLC (Supelcosil, LC-18 HPLC column, 15x4.6 cm, 3µm particle size) under an
 500 acetonitrile gradient (0-100% v/v) with 0.1%TFA (v/v). After vacuum assisted solvent
 501 evaporation, peptide pellets were resolved in refolding buffer as previously described to
 502 introduce proper disulfide bridges, which were indirectly verified by the stomata density
 503 based bioactivity assay. Peptide identities and purities were confirmed by mass
 504 spectrometry which revealed two additional amino acids (Gly-His) were attached at
 505 N-terminus of each peptide, as results of the TEV-protease cleavage.

506 Receptor domains of ER (E25-R580), ERL1 (M26-R582), ERL2 (M28-R585) and TMM
 507 (F24-G475) were heterologously expressed in *E. coli* BL21 (DE3) with an N-terminal
 508 His-tag and TEV-protease target sequence. The expressed protein domains were purified
 509 via Ni²⁺ affinity chromatography by FPLC (Äkta Prime Plus, GE Healthcare). The eluted
 510 proteins were subjected to buffer change by PD10 desalting column into ITC-buffer (25
 511 mM BisTris-HCl pH6.0, 150 mM NaCl, 50 mM L-arginine and 50 mM L-glutamic acid).

512

513 **Peptide bioassay**

514 Col and *tmm* knockout (*tmm-KO*, Salk_011958) seeds were sterilized and sown on
515 half-strength MS medium. Prior to germination, seeds were kept in the dark at 4°C for 3
516 days, then transferred to continuous light at 22°C for germination. One day after
517 germination, the seedlings were transferred to 1 ml half-strength MS liquid medium
518 supplemented with 5 µM of the appropriate peptide in 0.5 g/L MES-KOH (pH 5.7) and
519 were incubated as above for 5 days. At the end of the treatment period, the cotyledons
520 were stained with 1 µg/ml propidium iodide and observed under a Confocal microscope
521 (Carl Zeiss LSM710, Carl Zeiss LSM880 or Leica TCS SP8). For excitation, 561 nm
522 laser line was used and signal was collected between 565–650 nm. The MES-KOH buffer
523 (pH 5.7) without peptides was used for the mock treatment.

524

525 **ITC**

526 ITC-experiments were carried out in a MicroCal iTC200 (Malvern Instruments) at 25°C
527 with a sample cell of 280 µL and an injection syringe of 40 µL. Peptide pellets were
528 dissolved in ITC-buffer and peptide concentrations were assessed by FTIR with a

529 DirectDetect system (Merck). Protein concentrations of the receptor domains were
530 measured by absorption at 280 nm and calculated by their molar absorption coefficient at
531 280 nm. The molar coefficients for ER, ERL1 and ERL2 (42400, 41410, and 42400 M⁻¹
532 cm⁻¹, respectively) were calculated based on ExPASy ProtParam. Final protein and
533 peptide concentrations are as indicated. For each experiment 19 injections of 2 µL with a
534 spacing of 150s were performed.

535

536 **Acknowledgement**

537 We thank the Center for Advanced imaging (CAi) at the Heinrich-Heine University
538 Düsseldorf for support with microscopy. We are grateful for receiving Arabidopsis seeds
539 from the following colleagues: Martijn van Zanten (Van-0, Van-0 ER+, Hir-1, Hir-1
540 ER+), Wolfgang Werr (pDRN::GFP), Dolf Weijers (R2D2) , Dominique Bergmann
541 (gERL2:YFP), Ikuko Hara-Nishimura and Tomoo Shimada (STOMAGEN RNAi). This
542 work was supported by the DFG through the Cluster of Excellence on Plant Sciences
543 (CEPLAS, EXC1028).

544

545 **References**

- 546 1. Srikanth, A. & Schmid, M. Regulation of flowering time: All roads lead to Rome.
547 *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.* **68**, 2013–2037 (2011).
- 548 2. Cucinotta, M., Colombo, L. & Roig-Villanova, I. Ovule development, a new
549 model for lateral organ formation. *Front. Plant Sci.* **5**, 117 (2014).
- 550 3. Skinner, D. J., Hill, T. A. & Gasser, C. S. Regulation of Ovule Development.
551 *PLANT CELL ONLINE* **16**, S32–S45 (2004).
- 552 4. Smyth, D. R., Bowman, J. L. & Meyerowitz, E. M. Early Flower Development in
553 *Arabidopsis*. *Plant Cell* **2**, 755–767 (1990).
- 554 5. Alonso-Blanco, C., Blankestijn-de Vries, H., Hanhart, C. J. & Koornneef, M.
555 Natural allelic variation at seed size loci in relation to other life history traits of
556 *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.* **96**, 4710–7 (1999).
- 557 6. Cucinotta, M. *et al.* Cytokinin response factors integrate auxin and cytokinin
558 pathways for female reproductive organ development. *Development* **143**,
559 4419–4424 (2016).
- 560 7. Cucinotta, M. *et al.* CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON1 (CUC1) and CUC2 regulate

- cytokinin homeostasis to determine ovule number in Arabidopsis. *J. Exp. Bot.* **69**,
5169–5176 (2018).
8. Bencivenga, S., Simonini, S., Benkova, E. & Colombo, L. The Transcription
Factors BEL1 and SPL Are Required for Cytokinin and Auxin Signaling During
Ovule Development in Arabidopsis. *Plant Cell* **24**, 2886–2897 (2012).
9. Gomez, M. D. *et al.* Gibberellins negatively modulate ovule number in plants.
Development **145**, dev163865 (2018).
10. Galbiati, F. *et al.* An integrative model of the control of ovule primordia formation.
Plant J. **76**, 446–455 (2013).
11. Yuan, J. & Kessler, S. A. A genome-wide association study reveals a novel
regulator of ovule number and fertility in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLOS Genet.* **15**,
e1007934 (2019).
12. Rédei, G. P. Single locus heterosis. *Z. Vererbungsl.* **93**, 164–170 (1962).
13. Torii, K. U. *et al.* The Arabidopsis ERECTA gene encodes a putative receptor
protein kinase with extracellular leucine-rich repeats. *Plant Cell* **8**, 735–746
(1996).

- 577 14. Shpak, E. D., McAbee, J. M., Pillitteri, L. J. & Torii, K. U. Stomatal Patterning and
578 Differentiation by Synergistic Interactions of Receptor Kinases. *Science* (80-.).
579 **309**, 290–293 (2005).
- 580 15. Shpak, E. D., Berthiaume, C. T., Hill, E. & Torii, K. U. Synergistic interaction of
581 three ERECTA-family receptor-like kinases controls Arabidopsis organ growth
582 and flower development by promoting cell proliferation. *Development* **131**,
583 1491–1501 (2004).
- 584 16. Uchida, N. & Tasaka, M. Regulation of plant vascular stem cells by
585 endodermis-derived EPFL-family peptide hormones and phloem-expressed
586 ERECTA-family receptor kinases. *J. Exp. Bot.* **64**, 5335–5343 (2013).
- 587 17. Tameshige, T. *et al.* A Secreted Peptide and Its Receptors Shape the Auxin
588 Response Pattern and Leaf Margin Morphogenesis. *Curr. Biol.* **26**, 2478–2485
589 (2016).
- 590 18. Hara, K. *et al.* Epidermal Cell Density is Autoregulated via a Secretory Peptide,
591 EPIDERMAL PATTERNING FACTOR 2 in Arabidopsis Leaves. *Plant Cell*
592 *Physiol.* **50**, 1019–1031 (2009).

- 593 19. Hara, K., Kajita, R., Torii, K. U., Bergmann, D. C. & Kakimoto, T. The secretory
594 peptide gene EPF1 enforces the stomatal one-cell-spacing rule. *Genes &*
595 *jinDevelopment* **21**, 1720–1725 (2007).
- 596 20. Hunt, L. & Gray, J. E. The Signaling Peptide EPF2 Controls Asymmetric Cell
597 Divisions during Stomatal Development. *Curr. Biol.* **19**, 864–869 (2009).
- 598 21. Sugano, S. S. *et al.* Stomagen positively regulates stomatal density in Arabidopsis.
599 *Nature* **463**, 241–244 (2010).
- 600 22. Kondo, T. *et al.* Stomatal density is controlled by a mesophyll-derived signaling
601 molecule. *Plant Cell Physiol.* **51**, 1–8 (2010).
- 602 23. Hunt, L., Bailey, K. J. & Gray, J. E. The signalling peptide EPFL9 is a positive
603 regulator of stomatal development. *New Phytol.* **186**, 609–614 (2010).
- 604 24. Lee, J. S. *et al.* Direct interaction of ligand-receptor pairs specifying stomatal
605 patterning. *Genes Dev.* **26**, 126–136 (2012).
- 606 25. Lee, J. S. *et al.* Competitive binding of antagonistic peptides fine-tunes stomatal
607 patterning. *Nature* **522**, 439–443 (2015).
- 608 26. Qi, X. *et al.* Autocrine regulation of stomatal differentiation potential by EPF1 and

- 609 ERECTA-LIKE1 ligand-receptor signaling. *Elife* **6**, 1–21 (2017).
- 610 27. Davies, K. A. & Bergmann, D. C. Functional specialization of stomatal bHLHs
611 through modification of DNA-binding and phosphoregulation potential. *Proc.*
612 *Natl. Acad. Sci.* **111**, 15585–15590 (2014).
- 613 28. Lampard, G. R., MacAlister, C. A. & Bergmann, D. C. Arabidopsis Stomatal
614 Initiation Is Controlled by MAPK-Mediated Regulation of the bHLH
615 SPEECHLESS. *Science* (80-.). **322**, 1113–1116 (2008).
- 616 29. Koornneef, M., Alonso-Blanco, C. & Vreugdenhil, D. Naturally occurring genetic
617 variation in Arabidopsis thaliana. *Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.* **55**, 141–172 (2004).
- 618 30. van Zanten, M. *et al.* Ethylene-induced hyponastic growth in Arabidopsis thaliana
619 is controlled by ERECTA. *Plant J.* **61**, 83–95 (2010).
- 620 31. Godiard, L. *et al.* ERECTA, an LRR receptor-like kinase protein controlling
621 development pleiotropically affects resistance to bacterial wilt. *Plant J.* **36**,
622 353–365 (2003).
- 623 32. Bemis, S. M., Lee, J. S., Shpak, E. D. & Torii, K. U. Regulation of floral patterning
624 and organ identity by arabidopsis erecta-family receptor kinase genes. *J. Exp. Bot.*

- 625 (2013). doi:10.1093/jxb/ert270
- 626 33. Uchida, N. *et al.* Regulation of inflorescence architecture by intertissue layer
- 627 ligand-receptor communication between endodermis and phloem. *Proc. Natl.*
- 628 *Acad. Sci.* **109**, 6337–6342 (2012).
- 629 34. Abrash, E. B. & Bergmann, D. C. Regional specification of stomatal production by
- 630 the putative ligand CHALLAH. *Development* **137**, 447–455 (2010).
- 631 35. Abrash, E. B., Davies, K. A. & Bergmann, D. C. Generation of Signaling
- 632 Specificity in Arabidopsis by Spatially Restricted Buffering of Ligand–Receptor
- 633 Interactions. *Plant Cell* **23**, 2864–2879 (2011).
- 634 36. Sahana, G., de Koning, D. J., Guldbrandtsen, B., Sørensen, P. & Lund, M. S. The
- 635 efficiency of mapping of quantitative trait loci using cofactor analysis in half-sib
- 636 design. *Genet. Sel. Evol.* **38**, 167–182 (2006).
- 637 37. Kirch, T., Simon, R., Grünewald, M. & Werr, W. The
- 638 DORNROSCHEN/ENHANCER OF SHOOT REGENERATION1 Gene of
- 639 Arabidopsis Acts in the Control of Meristem Cell Fate and Lateral Organ
- 640 Development. *Plant Cell* **15**, 694–705 (2003).

- 641 38. Cole, M. *et al.* DORNROSCHE is a direct target of the auxin response factor
642 MONOPTEROS in the Arabidopsis embryo. *Development* **136**, 1643–1651
643 (2009).
- 644 39. Liao, C. *et al.* Reporters for sensitive and quantitative measurement of auxin
645 response. *Nat. Methods* **12**, 207–210 (2015).
- 646 40. Pillitteri, L. J., Bemis, S. M., Shpak, E. D. & Torii, K. U. Haploinsufficiency after
647 successive loss of signaling reveals a role for ERECTA -family genes in
648 Arabidopsis ovule development. **3109**, 3099–3109 (2007).
- 649 41. Ho, C.-M. K., Paciorek, T., Abrash, E. & Bergmann, D. C. Modulators of Stomatal
650 Lineage Signal Transduction Alter Membrane Contact Sites and Reveal
651 Specialization among ERECTA Kinases. *Dev. Cell* **38**, 345–357 (2016).
- 652 42. Kurihara, D., Mizuta, Y., Sato, Y. & Higashiyama, T. ClearSee: a rapid optical
653 clearing reagent for whole-plant fluorescence imaging. *Development* 4168–4179
654 (2015). doi:10.1242/dev.127613
- 655 43. Yokoyama, R., Takahashi, T., Kato, A., Torii, K. U. & Komeda, Y. The
656 Arabidopsis ERECTA gene is expressed in the shoot apical meristem and organ

- 657 primordia. *Plant J.* **15**, 301–310 (1998).
- 658 44. Kosentka, P. Z., Overholt, A., Maradiaga, R., Mitoubsi, O. & Shpak, E. D. EPFL
- 659 Signals in the Boundary Region of the SAM Restrict Its Size and Promote Leaf
- 660 Initiation. *Plant Physiol.* **179**, 265–279 (2019).
- 661 45. Lin, G. *et al.* A receptor-like protein acts as a specificity switch for the regulation
- 662 of stomatal development. *Genes Dev.* **31**, 927–938 (2017).
- 663 46. Nadeau, J. A. & Sack, F. D. Control of Stomatal Distribution on the Arabidopsis
- 664 Leaf Surface. *Science (80-.)*. **296**, 1697–1700 (2002).
- 665 47. Gonçalves, B. *et al.* A conserved role for CUP-SHAPED COTYLEDON genes
- 666 during ovule development. *Plant J.* **83**, 732–742 (2015).
- 667 48. Yano, K. *et al.* Genome-wide association study using whole-genome sequencing
- 668 rapidly identifies new genes influencing agronomic traits in rice. *Nat. Genet.*
- 669 (2016). doi:10.1038/ng.3596
- 670 49. Bessho-Uehara, K. *et al.* Loss of function at RAE2 , a previously unidentified
- 671 EPFL, is required for awnlessness in cultivated Asian rice . *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.*
- 672 (2016). doi:10.1073/pnas.1604849113

- 673 50. Jin, J. *et al.* GAD1 Encodes a Secreted Peptide That Regulates Grain Number,
674 Grain Length, and Awn Development in Rice Domestication . *Plant Cell* **28**,
675 2453–2463 (2016).
- 676 51. Zhang, Y. *et al.* Natural alleles of GLA for grain length and awn development
677 were differently domesticated in rice subspecies japonica and indica . *Plant*
678 *Biotechnol. J.* 1–13 (2019). doi:10.1111/pbi.13080
- 679 52. Nordborg, M. *et al.* The Pattern of Polymorphism in Arabidopsis thaliana. *PLoS*
680 *Biol.* **3**, e196 (2005).
- 681 53. Broman, K. W., Wu, H., Sen, S. & Churchill, G. A. R/qtl: QTL mapping in
682 experimental crosses. *Bioinformatics* **19**, 889–890 (2003).
- 683 54. Hahn, F. *et al.* An Efficient Visual Screen for CRISPR/Cas9 Activity in
684 Arabidopsis thaliana. *Front. Plant Sci.* **08**, (2017).
- 685 55. Lampropoulos, A. *et al.* GreenGate - A Novel , Versatile , and Efficient Cloning
686 System for Plant Transgenesis. **8**, (2013).
- 687 56. Kawamoto, N., Sasabe, M., Endo, M., Machida, Y. & Araki, T.
688 Calcium-dependent protein kinases responsible for the phosphorylation of a bZIP

transcription factor FD crucial for the florigen complex formation. *Sci. Rep.* **5**,
8341 (2015).

Figure legends

Figure 1. Identification of responsible loci for the reproductive traits. (A) Image of
seed density in *L. er* (left) and Cvi-0 (right). Bar = 1 mm. (B) Natural variation analysis
on seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)) phenotype at 16°C (cyan) and 21°C (red).
Fourteen selected representative accessions are presented. See the supplementary figure 1
for the phenotype of all accessions. (C) QTL analysis using *L. er* x Cvi-0 recombinant
inbred lines [45]. (D) QTL re-analysis with ER as a cofactor. X and Y axes indicate
chromosome position and LOD values, respectively.

700

Figure 2. Genetic and expression analysis of ER family receptors

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants
in each genotype. (B) Two weeks old *er-105; erl1-2; erl2-1* plant. (C) Six weeks old
er-105; erl1-2; erl2-1 plant. Expression patterns of ER, ERL1 and ERL2 in stage 8 flower

(D, F, H) or later stage (E, G, I) of developing pistils. se, st, ca, v and r indicate sepals, stamens, carpels, valve, replum, respectively. (D, F, H) and (E, G, I) share same scales, respectively. Scale bar = 1 mm (B), 5 mm (C) and 50 μ m (D, E, F, G, H, I). Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

710

711 **Figure 3. Identification of EPFL9 as a potential ligand for ER**

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants in each genotype. (B-E) Expression pattern of EPFL9 at stage 8 flower (B, C) and stage 9 flower (D, E). Transverse cross section (C, E) were obtained along the lines in (B) and (D). st, ca, v and r indicate sepals, stamens, carpels, valve, replum, respectively. Histone H2B fused EGFP was used as a reporter. Bar = 50 μ m. Student's t-test was used for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

718

719 **Figure 4. Identification of EPFL2 as a patterning regulator of ovule initiation**

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length(mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants

721 in each genotype. (B) Genetic interaction analysis either with *er-105* or *erl1-2*; *erl2-1*.
 722 (C-G) Expression patterns of EPFL2. Histone H2B fused TdTomato was used as a
 723 reporter. Developing pistil in stage 8 flower (C, D), and stage 9 flower (E, F). (G) A
 724 magnified view of a white box in (E). Transverse cross section (D, F) were obtained along
 725 the lines. v and r indicate valve and replum, respectively. Bar = 100 μ m. Student's t-test
 726 was used for the statistical analyses (A) and Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the
 727 statistical analyses (C, D). Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

728

729 **Figure 5. Disrupted regular patterning in ovule spacing in *epfl2* and ectopic**
 730 **expression of EPFL2**

731 (A, B) Ovule twinning phenotype in *epfl2-2*. (C-E) R2D2 expression in developing ovule
 732 primordia. Arrow heads indicate auxin maxima. (F, G) Expression pattern of *DRN* before
 733 acquiring ovule identity. (H, I) Expression pattern of *DRN* after arising ovule primordia.
 734 (J) Initiation of ovule primordia in wild-type (*pDRN::GFP*; Col) plants and (K) *epfl2*
 735 mutant (*pDRN::GFP*; *epfl2-2*) plants. (L) Quantification of cell number between ovule
 736 initial cells. Counted cells were indicated by dots in (J) and (K) as an example. F-test was

737 used for the statistical analysis. (M) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm))
738 phenotype in *pDRN::EPFL2*; Col transgenic plants. Student's t-test was used for the
739 statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$). Scale bar =
740 500 μm (A, B), 50 μm (C, D, E, F, H), 20 μm (J, K).

741

742 **Figure 6. Coordination of fruit growth and ovule patterning by two**
743 **peptide-receptor pairs**

744 (A) Graphical summary of expression patterns of EPFL2 (magenta) and EPFL9 (yellow).
745 (B) Two pathways control ovule initiation pattern and fruit growth to archive coordinated
746 fruit growth. (C) The interaction between EPFL2 (magenta) and auxin (green) establishes
747 regular ovule pattern in placenta.

748 **Supplementary Figures**

749 **Figure S1. Natural variation analysis on fruit length and seed number**

750 96 *Arabidopsis thaliana* natural accessions were analyzed on fruit length and seed number
751 per fruit at 16°C and 21°C. Each point indicates average values of fruit length and seed
752 number. Accessions in red points are presented in Figure 1B.

753

754 **Figure S2. Complementation of L. er, Van-0 and Hir-1 by functional ER genomic**
 755 **sequence**

756 (A) Fruit length phenotype. (B) Seed number per fruit. (C) Seed density. Student's t-test
 757 was used for the statistical analyses. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p <$
 758 0.005).

759

760 **Figure S3. Fruit length and seed number in ER family receptor mutants**

761 (A) Fruit length (mm) and (B) seed number per fruit were analyzed in Columbia
 762 background mutants. Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the statistical analyses. Different
 763 letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

764

765 **Figure S4. Fruit phenotype of STOMAGEN RNAi plants**

766 (A) Fruit length (mm) and (B) seed number per fruit were analyzed. STOMAGEN RNAi
 767 plants were generated [17]. Student's t-test was used for the statistical analyses. Different
 768 letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

769

770 **Figure S5. Genetic interaction analysis between *er* and *epfl2* in Landsberg**

771 (A) Fruit length (mm) and (B) seed number per fruit were analyzed in Landsberg
772 background mutants. L. *er* *ER*⁺ was used as for wild-type plants. Tukey-Kramer's test
773 was used for the statistical analyses. Different letters indicate significant difference (p<
774 0.005).

775

776 **Figure S6. Generation of *epfl2* knockout mutant in Col background by**
777 **CRISPR/Cas9**

778 (A) Design of sgRNA. Boxes and lines indicate exons and introns, respectively. Green
779 and white boxes indicate coding regions corresponding to mature peptide and 3'
780 untranslated region (UTR), respectively. Arrowheads indicate the position of sgRNA1
781 and sgRNA2. (B) Sequences of newly isolated *epfl2* mutant alleles. Black bars indicate
782 the positions of sgRNA and red bars indicate the positions of protospacer adjacent motif
783 (PAM), respectively. (C) Leaf margin phenotype of *epfl2* mutant alleles. Seventh true
784 leaves were photographed according to [13]. Scale bars = 1 cm.

785

786 **Figure S7. Fruit phenotype of *epfl2* mutants**

787 (A) Fruit length (mm) and (B) seed number per fruit were analyzed. Student's t-test was
788 used for the statistical analyses. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p <$
789 0.005).

790

791 **Figure S8. Genetic analysis of *epfl2* and ER family receptors: Fruit length and seed
792 number phenotypes**

793 Double mutant analysis of *epfl2-2; erl1-2* in fruit length (A) and seed number per fruit
794 (B). Triple mutant analysis of *epfl2-2; erl1-2; erl2-1* in fruit length (C) and seed number
795 per fruit (D). Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the statistical analyses. Different letters
796 indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

797

798 **Figure S9. Fruit phenotype of *pDRN::EPFL2* plants**

799 (A) Fruit length (mm) and (B) seed number per fruit were analysed. Student's t-test was
800 used for the statistical analyses. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p <$

801 0.005).

802

803 **Figure S10. Expression pattern of *TMM***

804 pTMM::GUS expression in stage 5-10 flower buds (A), stage 11 flower (B) and stage 12
805 flower (C). Scale bar = 2 mm.

806

807 **Figure S11. Evaluation of recombinant peptide bioactivity based on stomatal
808 density**

809 Representative images of epidermis after peptides and mock treatments in wild-type
810 (A-D) and *tmm-KO* mutant (E-H). Scale bar = 100 μ m. (I) Quantification of stomatal
811 number per 0.2 mm². Each treatment were compared to mock treatment. Dunnett's test
812 was used for the statistical analyses. A value of $p < 0.005$ was considered as significant.

813

814 **Figure S12. Quantitative interaction analyses between EPFLs and ER-family
815 receptors by using ITC**

816 Isothermal titration calorimetry of the ERECTA family receptor domains with EPFL2

817 (A-C) and EPFL9 (D-F). 18 injections of 2 μ L of peptide (50 μ M) were titrated into 280
818 μ L of the receptor domain (5 μ M) at a stirring rate of 750 rpm. The experiment was
819 performed at 25°C. The thermograms show the detected peaks of the heat change after
820 each injection (upper panel), the integrated values were subjected to either the “one
821 binding site” (A-E) fitting algorithm of the Microcal ITC-ORIGIN software, or the “two
822 binding sites” algorithm (F). Each square represents the integrated value of the
823 corresponding peak and the line resembles the yielded fitting curve after χ^2
824 minimization (lower panel). The table lists the calculated K_d values for each interaction
825 with the theoretical stoichiometry (G).

826

827 **Supplemental Movie 1. Expression pattern of EPFL2 using two photon microscopy.**

828 A z series of stage 9-10 pistil expressing H2B:TdTomato under the control of EPFL2
829 promoter. Images were acquired with 1 μ m intervals by using two photon microscopy
830 (Nikon A1R) with 1000 nm excitation.

831

832 **Supplemental Movie 2. Expression pattern of EPFL2 using ClearSee and confocal**

833 **microscopy.**

834 A z series of ClearSee treated stage 9-10 pistil expressing H2B:TdTomato under the

835 control of EPFL2 promoter. Images were acquired with 1 μ m intervals by LSM880 with

836 561 nm excitation.

Figure 1. Identification of responsible loci for the reproductive traits. (A) Image of seed density in *L. er* (left) and Cvi-0 (right). Bar = 1 mm. (B) Natural variation analysis on seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)) phenotype at 16°C (cyan) and 21°C (red). Fourteen selected representative accessions are presented. See the supplementary figure 1 for the phenotype of all accessions. (C) QTL analysis using *L. er* x Cvi-0 recombinant inbred lines [45]. (D) QTL re-analysis with ER as a cofactor. X and Y axes indicate chromosome position and LOD values, respectively.

Figure 2. Genetic and expression analysis of ER family receptors

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants in each genotype. (B) Two weeks old *er-105; erl1-2; erl2-1* plant. (C) Six weeks old *er-105; erl1-2; erl2-1* plant. Expression patterns of ER, ERL1 and ERL2 in stage 8 flower (D, F, H) or later stage (E, G, I) of developing pistils. se, st, ca, v and r indicate sepals, stamens, carpels, valve, replum, respectively. (D, F, H) and (E, G, I) share same scales, respectively. Scale bar = 1 mm (B), 5 mm (C) and 50 μ m (D, E, F, G, H, I). Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

Figure 3. Identification of EPFL9 as a potential ligand for ER

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants in each genotype. (B-E) Expression pattern of EPFL9 at stage 8 flower (B, C) and stage 9 flower (D, E). Transverse cross section (C, E) were obtained along the lines in (B) and (D). st, ca, v and r indicate sepals, stamens, carpels, valve, replum, respectively. Histone H2B fused EGFP was used as a reporter. Bar = 50 μm. Student's t-test was used for the statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

Figure 4. Identification of EPFL2 as a patterning regulator of ovule initiation

(A) Seed density (seed number/fruit length(mm)). 40 fruits were measured from 3 plants in each genotype. (B) Genetic interaction analysis either with *er-105* or *erl1-2; erl2-1*. (C-G) Expression patterns of EPFL2. Histone H2B fused TdTomato was used as a reporter. Developing pistil in stage 8 flower (C, D), and stage 9 flower (E, F). (G) A magnified view of a white box in (E). Transverse cross section (D, F) were obtained along the lines. v and r indicate valve and replum, respectively. Bar = 100 μ m. Student's t-test was used for the statistical analyses (A) and Tukey-Kramer's test was used for the statistical analyses (C, D). Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$).

Figure 5. Disrupted regular patterning in ovule spacing in *epfl2* and ectopic expression of EPFL2

(A, B) Ovule twinning phenotype in *epfl2-2*. (C-E) R2D2 expression in developing ovule primordia. Arrow heads indicate auxin maxima. (F, G) Expression pattern of *DRN* before acquiring ovule identity. (H, I) Expression pattern of *DRN* after arising ovule primordia. (J) Initiation of ovule primordia in wild-type (*pDRN::GFP*; Col) plants and (K) *epfl2* mutant (*pDRN::GFP*; *epfl2-2*) plants. (L) Quantification of cell number between ovule initial cells. Counted cells were indicated by dots in (J) and (K) as an example. F-test was used for the statistical analysis. (M) Seed density (seed number/fruit length (mm)) phenotype in *pDRN::EPFL2*; Col transgenic plants. Student's t-test was used for the

statistical analysis. Different letters indicate significant difference ($p < 0.005$). Scale bar =

500 μm (A, B), 50 μm (C, D, E, F, H), 20 μm (J, K).

Figure 6. Coordination of fruit growth and ovule patterning by two peptide-receptor pairs

(A) Graphical summary of expression patterns of EPFL2 (magenta) and EPFL9 (yellow).
 (B) Two pathways control ovule initiation pattern and fruit growth to archive coordinated fruit growth. (C) The interaction between EPFL2 (magenta) and auxin (green) establishes regular ovule pattern in placenta.