Regeneration in the absence of a blastema requires cell division but is not tied to wound healing in the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*

Authors:

Julia Ramon-Mateu¹, Tori Ellison², Thomas E. Angelini^{2,3}, Mark Q. Martindale^{1*}

Affiliation:

¹The Whitney Laboratory for Marine Bioscience, 9505 N, Ocean Shore Blvd, St. Augustine, FL 32080-8610, USA

²Department of Materials Science and Engineering, Herbert Wertheim College of Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, 32611, USA

³Department of Mechanical and Aerospace Engineering, Herbert Wertheim College of Engineering, University of Florida, Gainesville, Florida, 32611, USA

*Corresponding author

Email: mqmartin@whitney.ufl.edu

22 **ABSTRACT**

23 **Background:**

24 The ability to regenerate is a widely distributed but highly variable trait among
25 metazoans. A variety of modes of regeneration has been described for different
26 organisms, however, many questions regarding the origin and evolution of these
27 strategies remain unanswered. Most species of ctenophore (or “comb jellies”), a clade
28 of marine animals that branch off at the base of the animal tree of life, possess an
29 outstanding capacity to regenerate. However, the cellular and molecular mechanisms
30 underlying this ability are unknown. We have used the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*
31 as a system to study wound healing and adult regeneration and provide some first-time
32 insights of the cellular mechanisms involved in the regeneration of one of the most
33 ancient extant group of multicellular animals.

34 **Results:**

35 We show that cell proliferation is activated at the wound site and is
36 indispensable for whole-body regeneration. Wound healing occurs normally in the
37 absence of cell proliferation forming a scar-less wound epithelium. No blastema-like
38 structure is generated at the cut site, rather undifferentiated cells assume the correct
39 location of missing structures and differentiate in place. Pulse-chase experiments and
40 surgical intervention show that cells originating in the main regions of cell proliferation
41 (the tentacle bulbs) do not seem to contribute to the formation of new structures after
42 surgical challenge, suggesting a local source of cells during regeneration. While
43 exposure to cell-proliferation blocking treatment inhibits regeneration, the ability to
44 regenerate is recovered when the treatment ends (days after the original cut),
45 suggesting that ctenophore regenerative capabilities are constantly ready to be
46 triggered and they are somehow separable of the wound healing process.

47

48 **Conclusions:**

49 Ctenophore regeneration takes place through a process of cell proliferation-
50 dependent non blastema-like regeneration and is temporally separable of the wound
51 healing process. The remarkable ability to replace missing tissue, the many favorable
52 experimental features (e.g. optical clarity, high fecundity, rapid regenerative
53 performance, stereotyped cell lineage, sequenced genome), and the early branching
54 phylogenetic position in the animal tree, all point to the emergence of ctenophores as a
55 new model system to study the evolution of animal regeneration.

56

57 **Keywords:** Ctenophore, regeneration, wound healing, wound epidermis, blastema,
58 stem cells, dedifferentiation, *Mnemiopsis leidyi*.

59 BACKGROUND

60 Regeneration, the ability to re-form a body part that has been lost, is a widely
 61 shared property of metazoans (1). However, the contribution of cell proliferation, the
 62 source of regenerating tissue, and the mechanisms which pattern the replaced tissues
 63 vary greatly among animals with regenerative ability, resulting in a collection of
 64 different “modes” of regeneration (2,3). Based on the involvement of cell proliferation,
 65 there have been described cases of regeneration in which the restoration of the
 66 missing body part is accomplished in the absence of cell proliferation, by the
 67 remodeling of pre-existing cell tissues; on the other hand, and also more common, is
 68 the strategy of regeneration which requires active cell proliferation. Cell proliferation-
 69 based regeneration can involve the production of a regeneration-specific structure, the
 70 blastema. Several different definitions of a “blastema” have been proposed depending
 71 on the model system and its regenerative strategy. For example, in amphibians, the
 72 blastema is described as an unpigmented outgrowth consisting of a mass of
 73 undifferentiated progenitor cells that forms at the wound site from where cells
 74 proliferate and differentiate to form the missing structures (4,5); while in planarians, the
 75 blastema is composed of post-mitotic progeny of proliferating cells that differentiate to
 76 reform the lost tissue (6). Given this lack of consensus around the word “blastema” and
 77 based on the biology, morphological features and regenerative response of our
 78 organism of study, we define the regeneration blastema as a “field” of undifferentiated
 79 cells that accumulate at the wound site and are later patterned to give rise to the
 80 appropriate set of missing structures but remains agnostic about their origin or their
 81 proliferative status.

82 The classical example of cell proliferation-independent regeneration is provided
 83 by the freshwater cnidarian polyp *Hydra*, which is able to regenerate the head after
 84 decapitation through remodeling of the pre-existing tissue without a significant
 85 contribution from cell proliferation (7-11). While documented cases of strict cell
 86 proliferation-independent regeneration are very few, most of the organisms with
 87 regenerative potential rely on cell proliferation – or a combination of both cell
 88 proliferation and tissue remodeling – to re-form lost structures. Regenerative abilities
 89 also appear to be diverse even within individual evolutionary clades. For example,
 90 regeneration of oral structures in another member of the phylum Cnidaria –
 91 *Nematostella vectensis* – is characterized by high levels of cell proliferation thus,
 92 differing from the cell proliferation-independent regeneration potential in *Hydra* (12). In
 93 planarians, whole-body regeneration is accomplished by the proliferation of pluripotent
 94 stem cells (neoblasts), the only cells in the adult with proliferative potential, which form
 95 a mass of undifferentiated cells known as the regenerating blastema (13–15). Annelid

96 regeneration provides examples of both blastema-based regeneration and tissue-
 97 remodeling based regeneration (16,17), showing diversity within the Lophotrochozoa.
 98 Moreover, evidence of cell migration has been documented during regeneration of
 99 several annelid species such as the freshwater annelid *Pristina leidy* (18) and the
 100 marine annelid worm *Capitella teleta*, in which local (proliferating cells close to the
 101 wound site) and distant (stem cell migration) sources of cells contribute to the
 102 formation of the regenerating blastema (19). Evidence of cell migration during
 103 regeneration is also provided by the hydrozoan *Hydractinia echinata* in which stem
 104 cells (i-cells) from a remote area migrate to the wound site and contribute in the
 105 formation of the blastema (20). In vertebrates, regenerative potential is limited primarily
 106 to the structural or cellular level. Urodele amphibians are known for being the only
 107 vertebrate tetrapods that can regenerate amputated limbs as adults. Similar to the
 108 previous examples of cell proliferation-based regeneration, they require cell
 109 proliferation and the formation of a blastema. However, the urodele blastema is not
 110 generated from or composed of cells of a single type, but consists of a heterogeneous
 111 collection of lineage-restricted progenitors (21).

112 Among the animals with impressive whole-body regenerative capabilities are
 113 lobate ctenophores (comb jellies), fragile holopelagic marine carnivores that represent
 114 one of the oldest extant metazoan lineages. Ctenophora is latin for “comb bearer”,
 115 referring to eight longitudinally oriented rows of locomotory ctene (or comb) plates
 116 which they coordinately beat to propel through the water column. Ctenophores possess
 117 a highly unique body plan characterized by a biradial symmetry (with no planes of
 118 mirror symmetry) and two epithelial layers: the ectoderm and the endoderm, separated
 119 by a thick mesoglea mostly composed of extracellular matrix, but also containing
 120 several types of individual muscle and mesenchymal cells. The oral-aboral axis is their
 121 major body axis and it is characterized by the mouth at one (oral) pole and the apical
 122 sensory organ containing a statocyst at the opposite (aboral) pole. Most ctenophores
 123 possess a pair of muscular tentacles that bear specialized adhesive cells called
 124 colloblasts, used to capture prey (22) (**Figure 1C**). One of the best studied species of
 125 ctenophores is the lobate ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidy*, which is emerging as a new
 126 model system in evolutionary-developmental biology (23-28). *M. leidy*'s life cycle is
 127 characterized by a rapid development including a highly stereotyped cleavage program
 128 and two adult stages: the juvenile tentaculate cydippid, distinguishable for having a pair
 129 of long branching tentacles (**Figure 1A, 1B**), and the larger lobate adult form which
 130 possess two oral feeding lobes. A particular feature of ctenophore embryogenesis is
 131 that they undergo mosaic development, meaning that embryos cannot compensate for
 132 cells/structures derived from cells killed or isolated during early development. If

blastomeres are separated at the two-cell stage, each will generate a “half-animal,” possessing exactly half of the normal set of adult features (29,30). This lack of ability to replace missing parts during embryogenesis contrasts with the outstanding capacity to regenerate as adults. Both the tentaculate larval and lobate adult life stages of *M. leidy* readily regenerate and are capable of whole-body regeneration from only a single body quadrant (30).

It has been known for well over 80 years that ctenophores have the capacity to replace missing body parts (30-33) but the cellular and molecular mechanisms underlying this ability are poorly understood. Is cell proliferation required for ctenophore regeneration? Is any kind of blastema-like structure formed during regeneration? What is the source and nature of cells that contribute to the regenerated structures? What is the role of the wound epidermis in regulating the future regenerative outcome? We have studied wound healing and adult regeneration in the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidy* and show that cell proliferation is activated at the wound site several hours after wound healing is complete and is indispensable for the regeneration of all the structures of the cydippid's body. Wound healing occurs normally in the absence of cell proliferation forming a scar-less wound epithelium only a few hours after amputation. In both animals cut in half along the oral-aboral axis and those in which the apical organ is removed, anlage of all missing structures occurs within 24-48 hours and complete replacement of all cell types by 72 hours after the injury. No blastema-like structure is generated, rather undifferentiated cells assume the correct location of missing structures and differentiate in place. EdU (5-ethynyl-2'-deoxyuridine) labeling shows that in uncut animals the majority of cell divisions occur in the tentacle bulbs where the tentacles are continuously growing. In surgically challenged animals, cell division is stimulated at the wound site between 6-12 hours after injury and continues until 72 hours after injury. EdU pulse and chase experiments after surgery together with the removal of the two main regions of active cell proliferation suggest a local source of cells in the formation of missing structures. Although the appearance of new structures is completely dependent on cell division, surprisingly, the ability to regenerate is recovered when exposure to cell-proliferation blocking treatment ends, suggesting that the onset of regeneration is constantly ready to be triggered and it is somehow temporally separable from the wound healing process. This study provides some first-time insights of the cellular mechanisms involved in ctenophore regeneration and paves the way for future molecular studies that will contribute to the understanding of the evolution of the regenerative ability throughout the animal kingdom.

RESULTS

170 Whole-body regeneration in *Mnemiopsis leidyi* cydippids

171 Although the regenerative response has been studied previously in *M. leidyi*
 172 (23, 30-33) we first characterized the sequence of morphogenic events during cydippid
 173 wound healing and regeneration to provide a baseline for further experimental
 174 investigations. For this, two types of surgeries – representing the replacement of all the
 175 structures and cell types of the cydippid's body (e.g. apical organ, comb rows, tentacle
 176 bulbs and tentacles) – were performed: 1) Bisection through the oral aboral axis
 177 keeping the piece with an intact apical organ which required the remaining piece to
 178 regenerate 4 comb rows and a tentacle apparatus (bisected cydippids with a complete
 179 intact apical organ regenerate into whole animals in a higher percentage of the cases
 180 compared to bisected animals with a half apical organ (30), 2) Apical organ amputation
 181 consisting in the removal of the apical organ, requiring the remaining piece to
 182 regenerate dome cilia, balancing cilia, lithocytes, polar fields, and neural cells of the
 183 apical organ floor (**Figure 1D**). The timing and order of formation of missing structures
 184 was assessed by *in vivo* imaging of the regenerating animals at different time points
 185 along the regeneration process.

186

187 Wound healing

188 To assess the mechanism of wound healing, juvenile cydippids were punctured
 189 generating a small epithelial gap (**Figure 2A**). (Imaging of larger wound healing events
 190 provided to be too difficult to document visually). Within minutes after puncture, the
 191 edges of the cells lining the gap increased their thickness indicating the start of the
 192 wound closure. The next phase of wound closure was characterized by the migration of
 193 a small number of cells coming from deep levels of the mesoglea (underneath the
 194 epithelial layer) to the edges of the wound (**Figure 2B, Additional file 1**). Interestingly,
 195 while the migration of cells from the mesoglea to the wound site was quite evident, the
 196 migration of epithelial cells across the wounded area was not observed. Once the
 197 migrating deep cells adhered to the edges of the gap, they started to extend spike-
 198 shaped cytoskeleton extensions (filopodia) laterally towards the adjacent cells. The
 199 diameter of the gap was progressively reduced as the connections between filopodia of
 200 marginal cells pulled the edges of the wound together (**Figure 2C**). When the diameter
 201 of the gap was significantly reduced, the cells at the margin of the gap started to
 202 extend filopodia not only to adjacent cells, but also to cells from the opposite edge of
 203 the wound. At this stage, multiple filopodia were detected emerging from a single cell
 204 (**Figure 2D**). Filopodia from all the edges of the wound eventually met forming a
 205 network of filaments that sealed the gap (**Figure 2E**) resulting in a scar-free epithelium
 206 within approximately 1 -1.5 hours after the procedure.

207

208 *Events during half-body regeneration of Mnemiopsis leidyi cydippids following bisection*
 209 *through the oral-aboral axis*

210 Cydippid stage animals were bisected through the oral-aboral axis with one half
 211 retaining the intact whole apical organ. Bisected cydippids containing half of the set of
 212 structures present in intact cydippids (four comb rows and one tentacle) and a
 213 complete apical organ were left to regenerate in 1x filtered sea water (1x FSW) (n>100)
 214 at 22°C. Wound closure was initiated rapidly after bisection with the edges of the
 215 wound forming a round circumference that continued to reduce in diameter until
 216 meeting and was completed within 2 hours after bisection (hab). No scar or trace of the
 217 original wound was evident after this time. About 16 hab, four ciliated furrows – which
 218 connect the apical organ with the comb rows – appeared on a surface epithelium at the
 219 aboral end of the cut site (**Figure 3B**). A large mass of cells (blastema-like structure)
 220 never appeared at the cut site. Rather, accumulations of cells were detected forming
 221 the primordia of all four of the future comb rows in a deeper plane at the end of each
 222 ciliated furrow. By 24 hab, the first comb plates appeared, first in the two most external
 223 (closer to existing comb rows) comb rows and later in the two internal rows (**Figure**
 224 **3C**). Comb plate formation did not follow a consistent pattern initially. The correct
 225 orientation of comb plates and coordination of their beating was accomplished after a
 226 number of comb plates were formed (**Figure 3D** surface, deep), as has been described
 227 previously (33). Within 40 hab, coordinated comb plates were beating in all four
 228 regenerating comb rows and the primordia of tentacle bulb had emerged in the middle
 229 of the four comb rows. By 48 hab, regeneration of the missing structures of the
 230 cydippid body was essentially completed including the formation of the tentacle
 231 growing from the tentacle bulb (**Figure 3E**). At 96 hours after bisection, the
 232 regenerated tentacle was long enough to actively catch prey. The cut side continued to
 233 grow and within a day or two it was indistinguishable from the uncut side (**Figure 3F**).

234

235 *Events during regeneration of Mnemiopsis leidyi cydippids following apical organ*
 236 *amputation*

237 Cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated were left to regenerate in
 238 1x FSW (n>100) at 22°C. The cut edges of the wound met and sealed within 30-60
 239 minutes of the operation and the lesion was completely healed around 2 hours post
 240 amputation (hpa). Between 6 and 12 hpa, cells congregated under the wounded
 241 epithelium forming the primordia of the future apical organ (**Figure 4E-F**). Extension of
 242 the ciliated furrows from each comb row towards the wound site could be spotted
 243 around 12 hpa. Within 24 hpa, cells at the wound site started to differentiate into the

floor of the apical organ and its supporting cilia (**Figure 4G-H'**). At 48 hpa all the components of the statolith, including the supporting cilia, the balancing cilia and lithocytes, were formed (**Figure 4I-J'**). At approximately 60 hpa the complete set of structures forming the apical organ were regenerated with the exception of the polar fields (**Figure 4K-L'**). Within 3 days after surgery, the polar fields had formed, and animals were indistinguishable from control animals of the same size.

Cell proliferation in intact cydippids

To identify areas of cell proliferation in juvenile *M. leidy*, intact cydippids between 1.5 – 3.0 mm in diameter were labelled with the thymidine analog 5-ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine (EdU), which is incorporated into genomic DNA during the S-phase of the cell cycle (27,34-36) (**Figure 5A**). Cydippids incubated with EdU during a 15-minute pulse showed a pattern of cell division characterized by two main regions of active cell proliferation corresponding to the two tentacle bulbs (**Figure 5B-B'**). Higher magnifications of these structures showed EdU staining specifically concentrated at the lateral and median ridges of the tentacle bulb. Two symmetrical populations of densely packed cells were observed at the aboral extremity of the lateral ridges, previously characterized by Alié et al. (2011) as the aboral/external cell masses (a.e.c.) (**Figure 5C'**). EdU labeling was also found in some cells of the apical organ and few isolated cells along the pharynx and under the comb rows (n=20, **Figure 5B-D'**). To detect dividing cells in M phase of the cell cycle we performed anti-phospho-histone 3 (anti-PH3) immunolabelings in intact cydippids. The spatial pattern and distribution of PH3 labeling closely matched the one described for EdU incorporation, although PH3+ cells were always about 10% less numerous than the EdU labeled cells, suggesting that the duration of the M phase is much shorter than the S phase (n=10, **Figure 5B'',5C'',5D''**).

In order to track the populations of proliferating cells over time in intact animals we performed EdU pulse-chase experiments consisting in a 15-minute EdU incubation (pulse) and a chase of different times followed by visualization (**Figure 5A**). After a 24h chase, the pools of proliferating cells had migrated from the tentacle bulb through the proximal region of the tentacles, although some EdU+ cells were still detected at the tentacle sheath. Increased labeling of nuclei in the apical organ, pharynx and comb rows was also observed (n=10, **Figure 5E-F'**). Following a 48h chase, the population of proliferating cells that was originally in the tentacle bulbs at the time of labeling had migrated to the most distal end of the tentacles, but only a few cells associated with the tentacle bulb showed long-term EdU retention, suggesting that there is a resident population of slowly dividing stem cells in the tentacle bulb as previously reported by

281 Alié et al. (2011). The number of EdU+ nuclei along the pharynx, the apical sensory
282 organ (specifically in the apical organ floor) and comb rows was considerably
283 increased compared to the 24h chase condition (n=10, **Figure 5G-G'**), suggesting that
284 there are either small populations of EdU labeled cells restricted to those areas that
285 had proliferated during the chase period, or that cells migrated in to those regions from
286 regions of high mitotic density, or a combination of both events. Attempts to quantify
287 and compare brightness levels of EdU positive cells to infer additional rounds of cell
288 division during the chase period proved inconclusive (data not shown).

289

290 **Cell proliferation is activated during ctenophore regeneration**

291 In order to determine the role of cell proliferation in ctenophore regeneration we
292 performed a series of EdU experiments in regenerating cydippids. A 15-minute
293 exposure to EdU at different times after surgical cutting was evaluated after two types
294 of surgeries that required different regenerative responses: a bisection through the
295 oral-aboral axis and an apical organ ablation (**Figures 6A and 7A**). The dynamics of
296 cell proliferation at the wound site were quantified by calculating the ratio of EdU+
297 nuclei to total nuclei (DAPI stained) at different time-points following surgery (**Figures**
298 **6B and 7B**).

299 Following oral-aboral bisection, EdU+ nuclei were first detected at the wound
300 site between 6 and 12 hours after bisection (hab). There was some variability in the
301 presence of EdU+ nuclei at 6 hab – with some specimens having fewer EdU+ nuclei at
302 the wound site than others – however the presence of EdU+ cells was consistent in all
303 the analyzed individuals by 12 hab. The few EdU+ cells at the early stages were
304 scattered all along the cut site, but no aggregation of cells was observed (n=7, **Figure**
305 **6C-C''**). The number of EdU+ nuclei at the wound site slightly increased between 12
306 and 24 hab reaching a maximum at 24hab (**Figure 6B**), when EdU+ cells appeared
307 concentrated in discrete areas corresponding to the forming primordia of the
308 regenerating tissues (the tentacle bulb and comb rows) (n=27, **Figure 6D-D''**). By 48
309 hab, the % of EdU+ nuclei had decreased as the cells started to differentiate into the
310 final structures. EdU+ nuclei appeared confined into the regenerating comb rows and
311 tentacle bulb, already distinguishable by nuclear staining (n=12, **Figure 6E-E''**). At 72
312 hab, the number of EdU+ nuclei at the comb rows was considerably reduced and these
313 were concentrated at the oral end of the regenerating structures, where oral portions of
314 structures are generated later than aboral regions. For example, proliferative cells were
315 no longer detected at the aboral end of the comb rows where cells had already
316 differentiated into comb plates. In contrast, EdU+ cells at the regenerating tentacle bulb
317 were abundant but appeared organized at the aboral extremity forming the two

318 symmetrical populations of cells characteristic of the structure of the tentacle bulb
319 (n=15, **Figure 6F-F'**). By 96 hab, when major repatterning events of regeneration were
320 completed, EdU+ cells were only detected at the regenerated tentacle sheath forming
321 the pattern of cell proliferation previously described in the tentacle bulbs of intact
322 cydippids (**Figure 5**) (n=5, **Additional file 3A-A''**). In combination with EdU
323 incorporation experiments, anti-PH3 immunostaining was performed at selected time-
324 points following bisection. PH3+ cells were detected in the regenerating comb rows
325 and tentacle bulb at 24 hab and 48 hab (**Additional file 4A-B''**) consistent with the
326 EdU incorporation pattern, although the number of PH3+ cells was always less
327 numerous than the EdU+ cells.

328 EdU labeling was also detected at the wound site of regenerating cydippids
329 after apical organ amputation. Consistent with the oral-aboral bisection surgeries,
330 EdU+ cells were first detected at 12 hpa suggesting that the start of the cell
331 proliferation response occurred between the 6 and 12 hpa time points. A peak of cell
332 proliferation was also observed at 24 hpa (**Figure 7B**), with EdU+ cells localized at the
333 primordia of the apical organ, specifically in the apical organ floor and in the
334 surrounding tissue including the regenerating comb rows adjacent to the cut site (n=15,
335 **Figure 7E-F''**). The number of proliferating cells slightly decreased at 48 hpa when
336 EdU+ cells were concentrated in the regenerating apical organ and were no longer
337 found in the tissues near the wound site (n=20, **Figure 7G-H''**). By 72 hpa, the EdU+
338 nuclei were scarce and localized mostly along the polar fields in some specimens,
339 while EdU+ nuclei were completely absent in other individuals at the same time-point
340 (n=6, **Additional file 3B-C''**). Anti-PH3 immunostaining showed presence of M-phase
341 cells at the regenerating area at both 24 hpa and 48 hpa. Similar to half body
342 regeneration, the pattern of anti-PH3 was consistent with the EdU labeling with PH3+
343 cells being more numerous at 24 hpa than 48 hpa. However, it should also be noted
344 that as in all of our other observations, the number of PH3+ cells at any given time-
345 point was always lower compared to the EdU+ cells (**Additional file 4C-D'**).

346 Interestingly, for both types of surgeries, proliferating cells were not organized
347 in a compacted mass of "blastema-like" cells from where new tissue formed. In contrast,
348 proliferating cells were very few and scattered throughout the wound site at early time-
349 points after surgery – when a blastema is normally formed in animals with cell-
350 proliferation based regeneration – and appeared more abundant and directly confined
351 at the correct location of missing structures at later stages of regeneration, where they
352 differentiated in place.

353

354 **Cells participating in the regenerative response appear to arise locally**

355 To investigate the source of cells that contribute to the formation of new tissue
356 during ctenophore regeneration we performed a series of EdU pulse and chase
357 experiments in regenerating cydippids. This technique has been successfully used in
358 different model systems as a strategy to indirectly track populations of proliferating
359 cells and determine their contribution to the formation of new structures (19,37). With
360 the aim of determining whether cells proliferating before amputation contribute to the
361 formation of new tissues, uncut cydippids were incubated in EdU, which was
362 incorporated into cells undergoing the S-phase of cell cycle. After a 15-minute pulse,
363 animals were removed from EdU, and EdU incorporation was blocked ("chased") with
364 several washes of thymidine and 1x FSW. Following the washes, apical organ
365 amputations and oral-aboral bisections were performed and animals were left to
366 regenerate in 1x FSW. The location of EdU+ cells was subsequently visualized at 24
367 and 48 hours after injury. In combination with EdU detection, an immunostaining
368 against PH3 was performed in order to detect cells that were actively dividing in the
369 animal immediately before fixation (**Figure 8A**).

370 No EdU+ cells were detected at the wound site at 24h (n=30) nor 48h (n=10)
371 after bisection (**Figure 8B-C''**). EdU labeling at the tentacle bulb resembling the pattern
372 of cells migrating from the tentacle bulb distally along the tentacle previously described
373 (**Figure 5F-F'**) confirmed that the chase worked properly (**Figure 8B**). Moreover,
374 presence of PH3+ cells were observed at the regenerating area indicating active cell
375 division at the moment of fixation (**Figure 8B'' and 8C''**). Following apical organ
376 amputation, few EdU+ nuclei were detected at the area of apical organ regeneration
377 although the EdU signal was very weak, suggesting that these cells were the result of
378 multiple rounds of division (n=13, **Figure 8D-D''**). After a 48h chase, few bright EdU+
379 nuclei were detected at the apical organ suggesting that S-phase cells from the uncut
380 tissue might contribute to the formation of the apical sensory organ at later stages of
381 regeneration (n=12, **Figure 8E-E''**). Presence of PH3+ cells at the regenerating apical
382 organ confirmed active cell division at the apical organ area (**Figure 8E'-E''**). Taken
383 together, these results show a minor contribution of proliferative cells originating in
384 distant pre-existing proliferative tissue such as the tentacle bulbs to the formation of
385 new structures.

386 Expression patterns determined through *in-situ* hybridization have revealed
387 spatially restricted expression of the stem cell gene markers *Piwi*, *Vasa*, *Nanos* and
388 *Sox* within areas of cell proliferation including the tentacle bulbs, in both juvenile
389 cydippid and adult stages (27,28,36). On the other hand, the ctenophore group of
390 Beroids do not possess tentacles at any stage of their life cycle and they are the only
391 group of ctenophores that have lost the ability to regenerate (24). Based on these

observations, it was hypothesized that the tentacle bulbs served as putative “stem cell niche” source of new cells involved in the regeneration process (23). To test this hypothesis, we surgically removed both tentacle bulbs from juvenile cydippids and assessed their ability to regenerate. Two days after amputation all animals had regenerated all the cell types of the tentacle bulb ($n > 100$, **Figure 9A-C’**). EdU labeling at different time-points after amputation showed activation of cell proliferation during tentacle bulb regeneration, consistent with the other two types of surgeries analyzed. EdU+ nuclei were first detected at the distal end of the endodermal canals that connect the tentacles to the gastrovascular system at 18 hpa ($n = 10$, **Figure 9E-E’’**). At 24 hpa the number of EdU+ cells had increased, and they were mainly organized forming the primordia of tentacle bulbs although some EdU+ cells were still detected at the tip of the endodermal canal connecting to the tentacle bulbs in formation ($n = 20$, **Figure 9F-F’’**). By 48 hpa, EdU+ nuclei appeared organized in the characteristic pattern of intact tentacle bulbs (**Figure 5B’** and **5C’**), and they were not detected at the endodermal canals any more ($n = 20$, **Figure 9G-G’’**). In addition, animals in which both tentacle bulbs and apical organ were removed, were able to regenerate all the missing structures (data not shown). These data argue strongly that the tentacle bulbs are not the source of multipotent stem cells required for the successful regenerative response in tentaculate ctenophores and point to a local source of cells in the formation of new structures.

412

413 **There is recruitment of slowly-dividing cells at the regenerating structures**

414 After having discarded the tentacle bulbs as the “stem cell niche” source of new cells
415 for the regeneration of all missing structures, we questioned whether there is a
416 population of slowly-dividing cells scattered among the ctenophore body which get
417 stimulated after injury and contribute to the regenerative process. In order to target for
418 slowly-cycling cells, we performed a long pulse-chase experiment consisting of a 2-
419 hour EdU incubation pulse (in contrast to the 15-minute EdU pulse performed in
420 previous pulse-chase experiments) followed by a 5-day chase (**Figure 10A**).

421 EdU+ cells just after the 2-hour EdU pulse were found very densely compacted
422 in two main areas corresponding to the tentacle bulbs (**Figures 10D-D’** and **10G-G’**),
423 and sparser although also abundant in the apical sensory organ (specifically the apical
424 organ floor) (**Figures 10C-C’**, **10G-G’** and **Additional file 8**), under the comb rows
425 (**Figure 10I-I’**) and the pharynx (**Figure 10F’**) ($n = 22$, **Figure 10B-I**). This EdU labeling
426 is consistent with the EdU pattern observed in intact cydippids after 15-minute EdU
427 pulse (**Figure 5B-B’**), however, in contrast to the 15-minute pulse EdU pattern, the
428 amount of EdU+ cells detected at the apical organ, comb rows and pharynx was

considerably higher after the 2-hour EdU incubation. Moreover, EdU+ cells were also detected through the epidermal surface (**Additional file 6 and 7**) and endodermal canals (**Additional file 9**), locations where EdU+ cells were not detected after the 15-minute EdU pulse. After the 5-day chase, EdU+ cells were located around the apical organ, pharynx, comb rows and epidermis but they were no longer detected at the tentacle bulbs nor the tentacles (n=21, **Figure 10J-O'** and **Additional file 10 and 11**). This result is consistent with the idea that a population of protected slowly-dividing cells does not exist confined in a concrete location (tentacle bulbs) but, rather, slowly-cycling cells are found scattered among several structures of the cydippid body.

To determine whether this population of slowly-dividing cells contribute to the process of regeneration, we amputated the apical organ structure from cydippids exposed to the 2-hour EdU pulse and 5-day chase. The location of EdU+ cells was subsequently visualized at 24 hpa (**Figure 10A**). EdU+ cells were detected at the regenerating apical organ 24 hours post amputation (n=10, **Figure 10P-S'**) indicating a contribution of slowly-dividing cells originated at the pre-existing tissue to the regenerating structure.

Cell proliferation is strictly required for ctenophore regeneration

Having demonstrated that cell proliferation is activated during ctenophore regeneration, our next aim was to address the requirement of cell proliferation in the process of regeneration. Juvenile cydippids were exposed to hydroxyurea (HU) treatments, a drug that inhibits cell proliferation by blocking the ribonucleotide reductase enzyme and thereby preventing the S-phase of cell cycle (38). We first performed a dose-response test experiment in order to set the working concentration of HU in which animals could be continuously incubated during the complete period of regeneration with no significant disruption of their fitness. Concentrations of 20, 10 and 5mM HU were tested over a 72-hour time course. Incubations in 20 and 10mM HU were toxic and caused the degeneration and eventually death of most of the animals during the first 24 hours of incubation (data not shown). Incubations in 5mM HU were much less harmful; cydippids maintained a good condition, swimming normally with no cell death over the 72-hour time course. We therefore decided to set 5mM HU as the working concentration for the cell proliferation inhibitor experiments. We then assessed the efficacy of that drug concentration in blocking cell proliferation in intact cydippids. Intact cydippids were incubated in 5mM HU for 24 and 72 hours and then incubated for 15 minutes with EdU as previously described (**Additional file 12A**). At 24 hours of HU incubation, there was no detectable incorporation of EdU as compared with control cydippids, which showed the characteristic pattern of cell proliferation described in

Figure 5 (Additional file 12B-C’). Inhibition of cell proliferation was maintained 72 hours after continuous HU incubation, as shown by the total absence of EdU+ cells in treated cydippids (**Additional file 12D-E’**). Finally, we evaluated the effect of the drug during regeneration in dissected cydippids. Cydippids bisected through the aboral-oral axis and cydippids in which apical organ was amputated were exposed to a continuous incubation of 5mM HU from 0 to 72 hours after surgery. None of the bisected cydippids had regenerated at 72 hours following HU treatment (n=75, **Figure 11D-E’**). Wound closure and healing occurred normally as shown by the continuous epidermal layer covering the wound (**Figure 11E**), but no sign of formation of the missing structures (tentacle bulb and comb rows) was observed. Moreover, bisected cydippids in which HU was added 4 hab (n=25) – when wound healing is already completed – and 12 hab (n=25) – when cells at the wound site have already begun to cycle – fail to regenerate the missing structures (data not shown). Likewise, none of the apical organ amputated cydippids had regenerated any of the structures/cell types of the missing apical organ at 72 hours following HU treatment, although the wound had correctly healed (n=55, **Figure 11H-J’**). Although HU treated animals failed to regenerate any of their missing structures, an aggregation of cells could be observed at the wound site (**Figures 11E and 11J’**). These accumulations of quite large round-shaped cells could correspond to undifferentiated cells ready to re-form the missing structures but not able to proceed due to the blocking of cell proliferation. Importantly, the absence of EdU incorporation in dissected cydippids treated with HU confirmed that cell proliferation was completely suppressed (**Additional file 12I-J’**). From these observations we conclude that regeneration was impaired due to the absence of cell proliferation, therefore, cell proliferation is indispensable for normal ctenophore regeneration.

Regenerative ability is recovered after HU treatment ends

Hydroxyurea has been shown to be reversible in cell culture following removal of the inhibitor (33) (**Figure 12A**). HU treatments on cut cydippids showed that wound healing occurs normally without cell division. In order to determine whether regeneration could be initiated in HU treated animals we took surgically cut cydippids that had been exposed to HU over 48 hours, washed them in 1x FSW to remove the inhibitor, and then followed their development for 48 hours to check for any ability to regenerate missing cell types (**Figures 12B and 12E**). Surprisingly, 36 out of 94 bisected cydippids (38%) had regenerated all the missing structures (comb rows, tentacle bulb and tentacle) 48 hours after HU had been removed (**Figure 12D-D’’**). 58 out of 94 bisected cydippids (62%) showed some signs of regeneration but ultimately remained as “half animals”, suggesting that these animals were not healthy enough to

complete the regeneration process (40). (Note that these animals were not fed during the treatment (2 days) or recovery period (2 additional days)). On the other hand, 100% of the cydippids in which the apical organ was surgically removed and had been treated with HU for 48 hours, regenerated all the normal cell types of the apical organ (n=51, **Figure 12H-I'**). Altogether, these results show that ctenophore regeneration can be initiated over 48 hours after wound healing is complete, hence, wound healing and regeneration appear to be two relatively independent events which can be temporally decoupled.

DISCUSSION

In this study, we provide a detailed morphological and cellular characterization of wound healing and regeneration in the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*. Wound closure is initiated immediately after injury, with the edges of the wound forming a round circumference that moves over the underlying mesoglea as it continues to reduce in diameter until they meet and forming a scar-less wound epithelium by 2 hours following injury. Two main mechanisms seem to be pivotal for ctenophore wound closure: active cell migration of cells from the mesoglea underneath the epithelium upwards to the edges of the wound; and dynamic extension of filopodia by the leading-edge epithelial cells in order to zipper the wound edges together. Cell migration and formation of actin-based cellular protrusions have been described during wound closure in multiple systems (41), however, slight differences in those mechanisms have been observed in ctenophore wound healing. First, cell migration takes place in a “deep to surface” direction instead of a lateral direction, suggesting that only specific cell-types from the mesoglea, such as mesenchymal cells, have the ability to migrate and contribute to gap closure. Second, wound-edge cells in ctenophores organize their cytoskeleton in spike-shaped filopodia rather than in plate-like extensions (lamellipodia), which happen to be the most common type of cellular protrusions among different model systems of wound healing, including the cnidarian *Clytia* (42). Despite these minor differences, the fact that common mechanisms of wound closure are shared between early branching phyla like ctenophores and cnidarians and bilaterians (including vertebrates) proves the ancient origin of wound healing mechanisms as a strategy to maintain epithelium integrity. Wound healing in *M. leidyi* takes place through changes in cell behavior and occurs normally in the absence of cell proliferation. This observation is consistent with the majority of animal models of regeneration found in cnidarians (12,20,42-44) as well as with the more phylogenetically distantly-related marine annelid worm *Platynereis dumerilii* (37). Following wound healing and prior to activation of cell proliferation in *M. leidyi*, there is

remodeling of the tissue surrounding the wound and small numbers of round-shaped cells sparsely congregate at the wound site suggesting a reorganization of the tissue in order to prepare it for regeneration. Ctenophore regeneration, however, is strictly dependent on cell proliferation since none of the missing structures can be reformed in the absence of cell proliferation as proved by cell proliferation blocking treatments. Indeed, a combination of both tissue remodeling and cell proliferation-based strategies has been previously described in the regeneration of other animals including annelids (17,45), although in those cases tissue remodeling takes place simultaneously with cell proliferation – or even subsequent to activation of cell proliferation – and is involved in the regeneration of a specific structures such as parapodia (46) or the gut (47).

Cell proliferation in *M. leidy* is first detected at the wound site between 6-12 hours after surgery. The percentage of proliferating cells increases progressively during the first 12 hours following injury and reaches a maximum around 24 hours when the primordia of the missing structures are clearly delineated. Following this peak of cell proliferation, the percentage of cells undergoing cell division (S-phase) decreases while cells start to differentiate into their final structures. Comparing the kinetics of cell proliferation during regeneration of *M. leidy* with the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella vectensis* (12), the percentage of dividing cells at the wound site is lower and the peak of maximum cell proliferation occurs earlier in ctenophore regeneration. In intact cydippids, cell proliferation is concentrated in two main areas of the cydippid's body corresponding to the tentacle bulbs. Some actively cycling cells are also found in the apical organ as well as few isolated dividing cells along the pharynx and under the comb rows. These results are consistent with previous EdU analysis performed in *M. leidy* cydippids (27,28) and adult ctenophores of the species *Pleurobrachia pileus* (36) where EdU labeling has been detected in the same spatially restricted populations identified as stem cell pools, specialized in the production of particular cell types. Pulse-chase experiments show concrete areas of active cell proliferation in the tentacle bulb and progressive migration of these proliferating cells from the tentacle bulb to the distal tips of the tentacle. These observations fit with histological and cellular descriptions of the tentacle apparatus (36,48) which identified different populations of undifferentiated progenitors source of all cell types found in the tentacle tissue. Surprisingly, long-term EdU retention is not detected in any of the cells of the tentacle apparatus suggesting that a population of “protected” slowly-dividing stem cells might not exist in the tentacle bulb. This opens the question of the nature and origin of the progenitor cells responsible for the maintenance of the homeostasis of the tentacle structure. Perhaps tentacle bulb stem cells are continuously recruited from

576 adjacent somatic cells rather than being derived from a uniquely committed set of slow
577 dividing "set aside" stem cells.

578 Interestingly, proliferating cells during regeneration do not organize to form a
579 single large blastema-like structure from which a field of cells are reorganized to form
580 the missing structures. Rather, small numbers of undifferentiated cells assume the
581 correct location of all missing structures simultaneously and differentiate in place.
582 Considering the early branching phylogenetic position of ctenophores in the tree of life
583 (49,50), the absence of blastema during ctenophore regeneration questions whether
584 the formation of a blastema – which so far appears to have been reported in
585 representatives of all phyla of regenerating animals (51) – is a conserved trait
586 throughout the evolution of animal regeneration.

587 The strict requirement of cell proliferation and the absence of blastema
588 formation could make ctenophore regeneration a case of non-blastemal cell
589 proliferation-dependent regeneration. Although far less common than the blastemal
590 based regeneration, isolated cases of non-blastemal regeneration have been reported
591 such as lens regeneration by transdifferentiation in newts (52) or liver regeneration by
592 compensatory proliferation in humans (53). EdU pulse-chase experiments after
593 amputation show little to no contribution of cells originating in the main regions of active
594 cell proliferation, including the tentacle bulbs, to the formation of missing structures.
595 Moreover, the removal of these structures (tentacle bulbs), which have been reported
596 to be localized areas of expression of genes involved in stem cell maintenance and
597 regulation of cell fate (27,28,36) – and thus proposed to act as stem cell niches for
598 regeneration – do not prevent regeneration. These observations argue against the
599 contribution of discrete stem cell pools that migrate to and give rise to the re-formation
600 of lost structures, suggesting that new structures are generated from a local source of
601 cells that become activated to give rise to missing structures/cell types. Longer pulse-
602 chase experiments in which the animals were incubated in EdU for an extended
603 period of time (2 hours vs 15 min) and then followed by a much longer chase allowed
604 the identification of a population of slowly-cycling (potentially stem) cells which could
605 have escaped the initial short 15-minute pulse. Three main observations can be done
606 from this experiment: 1) While the pattern of EdU+ proliferating cells after the long 2-
607 hour pulse coincides with the one observed after the short 15-minute pulse, EdU+ cells
608 after the chase are no longer found in any of the cells forming the tentacle apparatus.
609 This observation is consistent with the results obtained in the pulse-chase experiments
610 after amputation and thus strongly discards the existence of a stem cell niche in the
611 tentacle bulbs source of cells for the regenerative process; 2) Long retaining EdU+
612 cells (referred as slow-dividing cells), are found uniformly distributed around the

613 cydippid's body instead of being organized in discrete pools. This distribution pattern of
614 cell proliferation could be comparable to the neoblast distribution pattern characteristic
615 of planarians (6,54,55), with the difference that neoblasts are known to reside in the
616 planarian parenchyma – a mesenchymal tissue surrounding organ systems – (6), while
617 these potential stem cells in ctenophores are found in both ectodermal and endodermal
618 structures but not in the mesenchymal cells of the mesoglea. 3) EdU+ long retaining
619 cells are detected at regenerating structures after amputation indicating that these
620 slow-cycling cells contribute to the re-formation of new structures.

621 It is however important to note that our experiments do not give a definitive
622 answer to the question of the origin of cells that give rise to new structures. There is
623 the possibility that wound healing activates the dedifferentiation of cells at the wound
624 site that are reprogrammed to give rise to whatever the appropriate set of cell types are
625 needed to reconstitute the missing structures. The accumulation of large, round,
626 apparently undifferentiated cells at the wound site during HU treatment is at least
627 consistent with this scenario. On the other hand, wound healing could activate a
628 dormant population of slowly-dividing pluripotent stem cells located uniformly around
629 the body that could migrate to the wound site and drive the regeneration process,
630 which could have escaped the short pulse of EdU incorporation and re-entered the cell
631 cycle as a consequence of injury. Although we saw some evidence of cells migrating
632 from the underlying mesoglea to repair the wound site, we did not see any evidence of
633 long range cell migration to the site of new cell type formation. Nonetheless, an early
634 study leveraging the combination of cell-lineage and specific cell-deletion experiments
635 in *M. leidyi* showed that comb plate regeneration cannot occur when the entire
636 complement of cell lineage comb plate progenitors are killed during embryogenesis,
637 suggesting that, at least for comb plate regeneration, a semi-committed somatic stem
638 cell population is set-aside during embryogenesis for comb plate replacement (32,56).
639 These data are premature and need to be extended to other cell types and later stages
640 of the regenerative process, however the stereotyped cell lineage seen in ctenophores
641 provides exciting opportunities to pursue the origins of stem cells in the regenerative
642 process in living animals.

643 Overall, our data, together with evidences from previous studies in ctenophores,
644 is consistent with a model of ctenophore regeneration based on a combined
645 contribution of active cell proliferation at the wound site together with the recruitment of
646 slowly-dividing cells to the regenerating structure (**Figure 13**). The fact that no discrete
647 pools of neoblast-type stem cells are identified in the ctenophore body, and the
648 absolute requirement for cell proliferation supports the hypothesis that proliferating
649 cells at the wound site are the result of dedifferentiation events. On the other hand,

whether these potential slowly dividing stem cells migrate to the wound site from close (local) or distant locations remains an open question. Gene expression data during the process of *M. leidy* regeneration combined with cell tracing experiments will contribute to address these questions and thus refine our working model of the origin of cells during ctenophore regeneration. Molecular data during regeneration will also be very valuable for performing comparisons of gene expression profiles between *M. leidy* development (57) and regeneration and thus determine whether the molecular basis of ctenophore regeneration is similar to that deployed during development.

It is quite accepted that cells that re-epithelialize the wound (i.e the "wound epithelium") provide the signals necessary to initiate regeneration (58,59). In vertebrates, local thrombin activation is a signal for regeneration as shown by the study in which cultured newt myotubes returned to the cell cycle by the activity of a thrombin-generated ligand (60). On the other hand, cellular interactions also seem to be important for the initiation of the regenerative response. One such case is the dorsoventral interaction between the wounded tissues during wound healing in planarians which has been shown to play a key role in the formation of the blastema and, hence, initiation of regeneration (61). These observations suggest that wound healing and regeneration are two closely related processes which need to take place sequentially in time. Our results, however, show that ctenophore regeneration can be initiated over 48 hours after wound healing is completed, suggesting that regeneration can be initiated without direct signaling induced by the wounded epithelium. Regeneration of the missing structures is not initiated until the cell proliferation blocking treatment is removed. Hence, another case scenario is that the wound epithelium produces persistent signaling necessary for triggering regeneration at the time of wound healing, but the process cannot be initiated due to the blocking of cell proliferation. This is consistent with the proposed hypothesis for *Nematostella* that the key transition from wound healing to a state of regeneration is the activation of cell proliferation (62). Studying and comparing the molecular signaling involved in both ctenophore wound healing and regeneration will be very useful to get further insight into the relationship between these two processes.

CONCLUSIONS

In conclusion, this study provides a thorough description of the morphological and cellular events during ctenophore wound healing and regeneration and compares them with the regenerative strategies followed by other metazoans. The early branching phylogenetic position of ctenophores together with their rapid, highly

686 stereotyped development and remarkable ability to regenerate make them a key
687 system to gain a better understanding of the evolution of animal regeneration.

688

689 **METHODS**

690 **Animal care**

691 Regeneration experiments were performed on juvenile *Mnemiopsis leidyi*
692 cydippid stages due to their small size and ease of visualization and because their
693 power of regeneration is the same as adults (30). *M. leidyi* cydippids were obtained
694 from spawning adults collected from either the floating docks located around Flagler
695 Beach area, FL. USA, or from the floating docks at the east end of the Bridge of Lions
696 on Anastasia Island, St. Augustine, FL. USA. For spawning, freshly collected adults
697 were kept in constant light for at least two consecutive nights and then individual
698 animals transferred into 6" diameter glass culture dishes filled with 1x FSW and placed
699 in total darkness. After approximately 3-4 hours in the dark at 22-24°C, these self-fertile
700 hermaphroditic animals had spawned and embryos were collected by pipetting them
701 into a new dish of UV treated 1.0 micron filtered full strength seawater (1x FSW) using
702 a transfer pipette. Embryos were raised at 22-24°C for approximately 5-7 days and fed
703 once a day with rotifers (*Brachionus plicatilis*, 160µm) (Reed Mariculture, Campbell,
704 CA. USA).

705

706 **Animal surgeries**

707 Operations were done in 35 mm plastic petri dishes with 2 mm thick silicon-
708 coated bottoms (SYLGARD-184, Dow Corning, Inc.) on cydippids 1.5-3.0 mm in
709 diameter. Cydippids were transferred in to the operation dishes in 0.2 µm-filtered
710 seawater and cut using hand pulled glass needles from Pyrex capillaries (30). Three
711 types of operations were performed: 1) Oral-aboral bisections, in which animals were
712 cut longitudinally through the esophageal plane generating two "half-animals". The
713 operations were performed such that one half retained an intact apical organ while the
714 remaining half lacked the apical organ. Only the halves retaining the apical organ were
715 studied here as these halves regenerate to normal animals in a high percentage of the
716 cases (30). 2) Apical organ amputations, involving the removal of the apical organ by
717 cutting perpendicular to the oral-aboral axis above the level of the tentacle bulbs. 3)
718 Tentacle bulb amputations, consisting in the removal of both tentacle bulbs (**Figure**
719 **1D**). Following surgery, halves containing the apical organ, amputated cydippids
720 without apical organ and amputated cydippids without tentacle bulbs were returned to
721 35 mm plastic Petri dishes filled with 0.2 µm filtered 1x FSW for the desired length of
722 time without feeding. All the regenerating experiments were performed at 22-24°C.

723 To study the wound healing process, juvenile cydippids were punctured
724 generating a round-shaped wound of approximately 200-400 μm of diameter. Animals
725 were placed in a small drop of water on a siliconized (Rain-X, Inc.) treated microscope
726 slide and punctures were performed by pinching the epithelium layer using a pair of
727 sharp needles (World Precision Instruments, Sarasota, FL. USA, Cat#500341). After
728 puncture, animals were checked for the presence of an epithelial gap with the edges of
729 the wound forming a small circumference exposing the mesoglea, and then they were
730 immediately mounted for live imaging (see below).

731

732 **Tissue labeling and cell counts**

733 *Detection of cell proliferation by incorporation of EdU*

734 To label proliferating cells, cydippids were fixed and processed for fluorescent
735 detection of incorporated EdU using the Click-iT EdU labeling kit (Invitrogen Thermo
736 Fisher Scientific, Waltham, MA. USA, Cat #C10424), which incorporates EdU in cells
737 that are undergoing the S phase of the cell cycle. Specifically, intact cydippids between
738 1.5-3.0 mm in diameter or bisected/amputated cydippids were incubated in EdU
739 labeling solution (100 μM of EdU in 1x FSW) for 15 minutes. For pulse-chase
740 experiments cydippids were incubated with 100 μM EdU in 1x FSW for 15 minutes,
741 washed 3 times with 100 μM thymidine in 1x FSW, and maintained in increasing
742 volumes of 1x FSW until fixation. Control or operated cydippids were embedded in
743 1.2% low melt agarose (25°C melting temperature, USB, Inc Cat #32830) in a 35 mm
744 plastic petri dish (Fisher, Inc. Cat #08757100A) and fixed in ice-cold 100mM HEPES
745 pH 6.9; 0.05M EGTA; 5mM MgSO_4 ; 200mM NaCl; 1x PBS; 3.7% Formaldehyde; 0.2%
746 Glutaraldehyde; 0.2% Triton X-100; and 1x FSW (0.2 μm filtered) for 1 hour at room
747 temperature with gentle rocking (63). Animals were then washed several times in PBS-
748 0.02% Triton X-100, then one time in PBS-0.2% Triton X-100 for 20 min, and again
749 several times in PBS-0.02% Triton X-100. The EdU detection reaction was performed
750 according to manufacturer instructions using the Alexa-567 reaction kit. Following
751 detection, cydippids were washed three times in PBS-0.02% Triton X-100, and
752 subsequently all nuclei were counterstained with DAPI (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA. USA,
753 Cat. #D1306) at 1.43 μM in 1x PBS for 2 hours. Cydippids were mounted in TDE
754 mounting media (97% TDE: 970 μl 2,2'-thiodiethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO.
755 USA); 30 μl PBS) for visualization. To quantify the percentage of EdU labeled cells at
756 the wound site, Zeiss 710 confocal z-stack projections of operated cydippids were
757 generated using Fiji software (Image J) and individual cells were digitally counted using
758 Imaris, Inc. software (Bitplane, Switzerland). Only the area and z-stacks surrounding
759 the wound site were used for the analysis. EdU+ cells and nuclei were counted

separately in 5 to 10 specimens for each time-point. The number of EdU-positive nuclei were divided by the total number of nuclei stained with DAPI generating a ratio corresponding to the % of EdU+ cells.

763

764 *Immunofluorescence*

Proliferating cells in M phase were detected using an antibody against phospho Histone 3 (PH3 – phospho S10). Control or operated cydippids were fixed as mentioned above. Fixed cydippids were washed several times in PBS-0.02% Triton X-100 (PBT 0.02%), then one time in PBS-0.2% Triton X-100 (PBT 0.2%) for 10 min, and again several times in PBT 0.02%. They were then blocked in 5% normal goat serum (NGS; diluted in PBT 0.2%) for 1 hour at room temperature with gentle rocking. After blocking, specimens were incubated in anti-phospho histone H3 antibody (ARG51679, Arigo Biolaboratories, Taiwan) diluted 1:150 in 5% NGS overnight at 4°C. The day after, specimens were washed at least five times with PBS-0.2% Triton X-100. Secondary antibody (Alexa Fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG (A-11008, Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA. USA) was diluted 1:250 in 5% NGS and incubated over night at 4°C with gentle rocking. After incubation, specimens were washed three times with PBT 0.02% and incubated with DAPI (0.1µg/µl in 1x PBS; Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA. USA, Cat. #D1306) for 2 hours to allow nuclear visualization. Samples were then rinsed in 1x PBS and mounted in TDE mounting media (97%TDE: 970µl 2,2'-thiodiethanol (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO. USA); 30µl PBS) for visualization.

781

782 **Cell proliferation inhibitor treatment with Hydroxyurea (HU)**

Cell proliferation was blocked using the ribonucleotide reductase inhibitor hydroxyurea (HU) (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO. USA). Incubations with hydroxyurea were performed at a concentration of 5 mM in 1x FSW. Operated cydippids were exposed to continuous incubations of 5mM HU for 48-72 hours. HU solution was exchanged with freshly diluted inhibitor every 12 hours. For washing experiments, the effect of HU was reversed by removal and replacement of the drug with 1x FSW.

789

790 **Imaging**

791 *Visualization of living animals*

In order to immobilize live animals for scoring and time lapse observations we utilized a custom made optically transparent jammed microgel. A solution of azobisisobutyronitrile (Sigma Aldrich, Inc.) and N'-Methylene Bisacrylamide (Sigma Aldrich, Inc) in ethanol is prepared at a 99:1 AAM:MBA molar ratio (64,65). The solution is sparged with nitrogen for 30 minutes, then placed into a preheated 60°C oil

797 bath. After approximately 30 minutes, the solution becomes hazy and a white
798 precipitate begins to form. The reaction mixture is heated for an additional 4 hours, the
799 precipitate collected by vacuum filtration and rinsed with ethanol on the filter. The
800 microparticles are triturated with 500 mL of ethanol overnight. The solids are again
801 collected by vacuum filtration and dried on the filter for ~10 minutes. The particles are
802 dried completely in a 50°C vacuum oven to yield a loose white powder. The purified
803 microgel powder is dispersed in 0.2 µm-filtered seawater at a concentration of 7.5%
804 (w/w) and mixed at 3500 rpm in a centrifugal speed mixer (64,66) in five-minute
805 intervals until no aggregates are apparent. The microgel is then left to swell overnight,
806 yielding mounting medium made from packed hydrogel microparticles. The 7.5%
807 microgel was placed around the operated/punctured cydippids mounted in a
808 hydrophobic-treated slide (Rain-Ex, Inc). For short live imaging, a cover slip with clay
809 corners was placed over the specimens; for long time-lapse live imaging, the corners of
810 the cover slip were sealed using Vaseline in order to maintain the humidity of the
811 preparation.

812

813 *Microscopes and image analysis*

814 *In vivo* differential interference contrast (DIC) images were captured using a Zeiss Axio
815 Imager M2 coupled with an AxioCam (HRc) digital camera. Fluorescent confocal
816 imaging was performed using a Zeiss LSM 710 confocal microscope (Zeiss, Gottingen,
817 Germany) using either a 10x/0.3 NA dry objective, a 20x/0.8 NA dry objective or a
818 40x/1.3 NA water immersion objective. For time-lapse imaging, DIC images were
819 captured using a Zeiss Axio Imager M2 coupled with a Rolera EM-C2 camera (Surrey,
820 BC, Canada). Stacks were taken every minute. Generation of Z-stack projections, time-
821 lapse movies and image processing was performed using Fiji software (67).

822

823 **ABBREVIATIONS**

824 1x FSW: 1x filtered sea water; hab: hours after bisection; hpa: hours post amputation;
825 EdU: 5-ethynyl-20-deoxyuridine; PH3: phospho-histone 3; HU: hydroxyurea; DIC:
826 differential interference contrast.

827

828 **DECLARATIONS**

829 **Acknowledgements**

830 We thank the owners and staff of Marker 8 Hotel and Marina in St. Augustine for
831 allowing us access to their floating docks for animal collection, and all the members of
832 our lab for assistance and discussions.

833

834 **Funding**

835 This work was supported by the National Science Foundation (NSF) grant (IOS-
836 1755364). The funders had no role in study design, data collection and interpretation,
837 or the decision to submit the work for publication.

839 **Availability of data and materials**

840 All data generated or analysed during this study are included in this published article
841 [and its supplementary information files].

843 **Authors' contributions**

844 Julia Ramon Mateu, Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing—original draft, Writing—
845 review and editing; Tori Ellison and Thomas E. Angelini: design and synthesis of
846 microgels; Mark Q. Martindale, Conceptualization, Supervision, Writing—original draft,
847 Writing—review and editing. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

849 **Competing interests**

850 The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

852 **Ethics approval and consent to participate**

853 Not applicable.

855 **REFERENCES**

- 856 1. Bely AE, Nyberg KG. Evolution of animal regeneration: re-emergence of a field.
857 Trends Ecol Evol. 2010;25(3):161–70.
858 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2009.08.005>
- 859 2. Alvarado AS, Tsonis PA. Bridging the regeneration gap: Genetic insights from
860 diverse animal models. Nat Rev Genet. 2006;7(11):873–84.
861 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nrg1923>
- 862 3. Tanaka EM, Reddien PW. The Cellular Basis for Animal Regeneration. Dev Cell.
863 2011;21(1):172–85. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2011.06.016>
- 864 4. Bryant S V, Endo T, Gardiner DM. Vertebrate limb regeneration and the origin of
865 limb stem cells. Int J Dev Biol. 2002;46:887–96.
- 866 5. McCusker C, Bryant S V., Gardiner DM. The axolotl limb blastema: cellular and
867 molecular mechanisms driving blastema formation and limb regeneration in
868 tetrapods. Regeneration. 2015;2(2):54–71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/reg2.32>
- 869 6. Reddien PW, Alvarado AS. Fundamentals of Planarian Regeneration. Annu Rev
870 Cell Dev Biol. 2004;20(1):725–57.

- 871 <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.20.010403.095114>
- 872 7. Park HD, Ortmeyer AB, Blankenbaker DP. Cell Division during Regeneration in
- 873 Hydra. *Nature*. 1970;228(October 17th):227–31.
- 874 8. Cummings SG, Bode HR. Head regeneration and polarity reversal in Hydra
- 875 attenuata can occur in the absence of DNA synthesis. *Wilhelm Roux's Arch Dev*
- 876 *Biol*. 1984;194(2):79–86.
- 877 9. Dübel S, Schaller HC. Terminal Differentiation of Ectodermal Epithelial Stem
- 878 Cells of Hydra Can Occur in G2 without Requiring Mitosis or S Phase. *J Cell*
- 879 *Biol*. 1990;110(April):939–45.
- 880 10. Holstein TW, Hobmayer E, David CN. Pattern of epithelial cell cycling in hydra.
- 881 *Dev Biol*. 1991;148(2):602–11.
- 882 11. Chera S, Ghila L, Dobretz K, Wenger Y, Bauer C, Buzgariu W, et al. Apoptotic
- 883 Cells Provide an Unexpected Source of Wnt3 Signaling to Drive Hydra Head
- 884 Regeneration. *Dev Cell*. 2009;17(2):279–89.
- 885 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.devcel.2009.07.014>
- 886 12. Passamaneck YJ, Martindale MQ. Cell proliferation is necessary for the
- 887 regeneration of oral structures in the anthozoan cnidarian *Nematostella*
- 888 *vectensis*. *BMC Dev Biol*. 2012;12. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-213X-12-34>
- 889 13. Baguna J, Salo E, Auladell C. Regeneration and pattern formation in planarians.
- 890 III. that neoblasts are Regeneration and pattern formation in planarians. III. that
- 891 neoblasts are totipotent stem cells and the cells totipotent stem cells and the
- 892 cells. *Development*. 1989;107(September):77–86.
- 893 14. Newmark PA, Sánchez Alvarado A. Bromodeoxyuridine specifically labels the
- 894 regenerative stem cells of planarians. *Dev Biol*. 2000;220(2):142–53
- 895 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2000.9645>
- 896 15. Wagner DE, Wang IE, Reddien PW. Clonogenic neoblasts are pluripotent adult
- 897 stem cells that underlie planarian regeneration. *Science* (80-).
- 898 2011;332(6031):811–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/science.1203983>.
- 899 16. Bely AE. Early events in annelid regeneration: a cellular perspective. *Integr*
- 900 *Comp Biol*. 2014;54(4):688–99. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1093/icb/icu109>
- 901 17. Özpolat BD, Bely AE. Developmental and molecular biology of annelid
- 902 regeneration: a comparative review of recent studies. *Curr Opin Genet Dev*.
- 903 2016;40:144–53. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2016.07.010>
- 904 18. Zattara EE, Turlington KW, Bely AE. Long-term time-lapse live imaging reveals
- 905 extensive cell migration during annelid regeneration. *BMC Dev Biol*.
- 906 2016;16(1):1–21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12861-016-0104-2>
- 907 19. de Jong DM, Seaver EC. Investigation into the cellular origins of posterior

- 908 regeneration in the annelid *Capitella teleta*. Regeneration. 2017;(October):1–17.
909 <http://doi.wiley.com/10.1002/reg2.94>
- 910 20. Bradshaw B, Thompson K, Frank U. Distinct mechanisms underlie oral vs aboral
911 regeneration in the cnidarian hydractinia echinata. Elife. 2015;2015(4):1–19
912 <http://dx.doi.org/10.7554/eLife.05506>
- 913 21. Kragl M, Knapp D, Nacu E, Khattak S, Maden M, Epperlein HH, et al. Cells keep
914 a memory of their tissue origin during axolotl limb regeneration. Nature.
915 2009;460(7251):60–5. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature08152>
- 916 22. Pang K, Martindale MQ. Comb jellies (Ctenophora): A model for basal metazoan
917 evolution and development. Cold Spring Harb Protoc. 2008;3(11):1–11
918 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1101/pdb.emo106>
- 919 23. Henry JQ, Martindale MQ. Regulation and regeneration in the ctenophore
920 Mnemiopsis leidyi. Dev Biol. 2000;227(2):720–33.
921 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.2000.9903>
- 922 24. Martindale MQ. The onset of regenerative properties in ctenophores. Vol. 40,
923 Current Opinion in Genetics and Development. 2016. p. 113-19
924 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2016.06.017>
- 925 25. Jager M, Manuel M. Ctenophores: an evolutionary-developmental perspective.
926 Curr Opin Genet Dev. 2016;39:85-92
927 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.gde.2016.05.020>
- 928 26. Fischer AHL, Pang K, Henry JQ, Martindale MQ. A cleavage clock regulates
929 features of lineage-specific differentiation in the development of a basal
930 branching metazoan, the ctenophore Mnemiopsis leidyi. Evodevo. 2014;5(1).
931 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2041-9139-5-4>
- 932 27. Schnitzler CE, Simmons DK, Pang K, Martindale MQ, Baxeavanis AD.
933 Expression of multiple Sox genes through embryonic development in the
934 ctenophore Mnemiopsis leidyi is spatially restricted to zones of cell proliferation.
935 Evodevo. 2014;5(1):1–17. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/2041-9139-5-15>
- 936 28. Reitzel AM, Pang K, Martindale MQ. Developmental expression of “germline”-
937 and “sex determination”-related genes in the ctenophore Mnemiopsis leidyi.
938 Evodevo. 2016;7(1):1–16. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s13227-016-0051-9>
- 939 29. Freeman G. Studies on regeneration in the creeping ctenophore, Vallicula
940 multiformis. Vol. 123, Journal of Morphology. 1967. p. 71–83
941 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/jmor.1051230107>
- 942 30. Martindale MQ. The ontogeny and maintenance of adult symmetry properties in
943 the ctenophore, Mnemiopsis mccradyi. Vol. 118, Developmental Biology. 1986.
944 p. 556–76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606\(86\)90026-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0012-1606(86)90026-6)

- 945 31. Coonfield BR. Regeneration in *Mnemiopsis leidyi* Agassiz. *Biol Bull.*
946 1936;71:421–8.
- 947 32. Martindale MQ, Henry JQ. Development and Regeneration of Comb Plates in
948 the Ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*. *Biol Bull.* 1996 Oct 1;191(2):290–2.
949 <https://doi.org/10.1086/BBLv191n2p290>
- 950 33. Tamm SL. Regeneration of Ciliary Comb Plates in the Ctenophore *Mnemiopsis*
951 *leidyi*. I. Morphology. *J Morphol.* 2012;(273):109–20.
952 <https://doi.org/10.1002/jmor.11016>
- 953 34. Salic A, Mitchison TJ. A chemical method for fast and sensitive detection of DNA
954 synthesis in vivo. *PNAS.* 2008;105(7). <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0712168105>
- 955 35. Chehrehasa F, Meedeniya ACB, Dwyer P, Abrahamsen G, Mackay-Sim A. EdU,
956 a new thymidine analogue for labelling proliferating cells in the nervous system.
957 *J Neurosci Methods.* 2009;177(1):122–30.
958 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.10.006>
- 959 36. Alié A, Leclère L, Jager M, Dayraud C, Chang P, Le Guyader H, et al. Somatic
960 stem cells express *Piwi* and *Vasa* genes in an adult ctenophore: Ancient
961 association of “germline genes” with stemness. *Dev Biol.* 2011;350(1):183–97.
962 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2010.10.019>
- 963 37. Planques A, Malem J, Parapar J, Vervoort M, Gazave E. Morphological, cellular
964 and molecular characterization of posterior regeneration in the marine annelid
965 *Platynereis dumerilii*. *Dev Biol.* 2019;445(2):189–210.
966 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ydbio.2018.11.004>
- 967 38. Young CW, Hodaas S, 1964. Hydroxyurea: inhibitory effect on DNA metabolism.
968 *Science.* 146:1172–1174.
- 969 39. Adams RLP, Lindsay J. Hydroxyurea. Reversal of inhibition and use as a cell-
970 synchronizing agent. *J Biol Chem.* 1967;242(6):1314–17.
- 971 40. Bading KT, Kaehlert S, Chi X, Jaspers C, Martindale MQ, Javidpour J. Food
972 availability drives plastic self-repair response in a basal metazoan-case study on
973 the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi* A. Agassiz. *Sci Rep.* 2017;7(1):1–9.
974 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41598-017-16346-w>
- 975 41. Begnaud S, Chen T, Delacour D, Mège RM, Ladoux B. Mechanics of epithelial
976 tissues during gap closure. *Curr Opin Cell Biol.* 2016;42:52–62.
977 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ceb.2016.04.006>
- 978 42. Kamran Z, Zellner K, Kyriazis H, Kraus CM, Reynier JB, Malamy JE. In vivo
979 imaging of epithelial wound healing in the cnidarian *Clytia hemisphaerica*
980 demonstrates early evolution of purse string and cell crawling closure
981 mechanisms. *BMC Dev Biol.* 2017;17(1):1–14.

982 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/s12861-017-0160-2>

983 43. Singer II. Tentacular and oral-disc regeneration in the sea anemone, *Aiptasia*

984 *diaphana*. *J Embryol Exp Morphol*. 1971;26(2):253–70.

985 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00597293>

986 44. Amiel AR, Johnston HT, Nedoncelle K, Warner JF, Ferreira S, Röttinger E.

987 Characterization of morphological and cellular events underlying oral

988 regeneration in the sea anemone, *Nematostella vectensis*. *Int J Mol Sci*.

989 2015;16(12):28449–71. <http://dx.doi.org/10.3390/ijms161226100>

990 45. De Jong DM, Seaver EC. A Stable Thoracic Hox Code and Epimorphosis

991 Characterize Posterior Regeneration in *Capitella teleta*. *PLoS One*. 2016;11(2).

992 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0149724>

993 46. Berrill NJ, 1931. Regeneration in *Sabella pavonina* (Sav.) and other sabellid

994 worms. *J Exp Zool*. 58:495-523.

995 47. Zattara EE, Bely AE. Evolution of a novel developmental trajectory: Fission is

996 distinct from regeneration in the annelid *Pristina leidyi*. *Evol Dev*. 2011;13(1):80–

997 95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1525-142X.2010.00458.x>

998 48. Borisenko I, Ereskovsky A V. Tentacular apparatus ultrastructure in the larva of

999 *Bolinopsis infundibulum* (Lobata: Ctenophora). *Acta Zool*. 2013;94(2):193-202

1000 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1463-6395.2011.00542.x>

1001 49. Dunn CW, Hejnol A, Matus DQ, Pang K, Browne WE, Smith SA, et al. Broad

1002 phylogenomic sampling improves resolution of the animal tree of life. *Nature*.

1003 2008;452(7188):745–49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06614>

1004 50. Ryan JF, Pang K, Schnitzler CE, Nguyen AD, Moreland RT, Simmons DK, et al.

1005 The genome of the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi* and its implications for cell

1006 type evolution. *Science*. 2008;452(7188):745-49.

1007 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nature06614>

1008 51. Sánchez Alvarado A. Regeneration in the metazoans: why does it happen?

1009 *BioEssays*. 2000;22(6):578–90.

1010 52. Tsonis PA, Del Rio-Tsonis K. Lens and retina regeneration: Transdifferentiation,

1011 stem cells and clinical applications. *Exp Eye Res*. 2004;78(2):161–72.

1012 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.exer.2003.10.022>

1013 53. Michalopoulos GK, DeFrances M. Liver regeneration. *Science*.

1014 1997;276(5309):60-66 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/b99968>

1015 54. Forsthoefel DJ, Newmark PA. Emerging patterns in planarian regeneration. *Curr*

1016 *Opin Genet Dev*. 2009;19(4):412–20. 10.1016/j.gde.2009.05.003. Emerging

1017 55. Baguñà J. The planarian neoblast: The rambling history of its origin and some

1018 current black boxes. *Int J Dev Biol*. 2012;56(1–3):19–37. 10.1387/ijdb.113463jb

- 1019 56. Martindale MQ, Henry JQ. Intracellular Fate Mapping in a Basal Metazoan, the
1020 Ctenophore *Mnemiopsis leidyi*, Reveals the Origins of Mesoderm and the
1021 Existence of Indeterminate Cell Lineages. *Dev Biol.* 1999;214:243–57.
1022 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/dbio.1999.9427>
- 1023 57. Levin M, Anavy L, Cole AG, Winter E, Mostov N, Khair S, et al. The mid-
1024 developmental transition and the evolution of animal body plans. *Nature.* 2016
1025 Feb 17;531:637. <https://doi.org/10.1038/nature16994>
- 1026 58. Brockes JP, Kumar A. Comparative Aspects of Animal Regeneration. *Annu Rev*
1027 *Cell Dev Biol.* 2008;24(1):525–49.
1028 <http://www.annualreviews.org/doi/10.1146/annurev.cellbio.24.110707.175336>
- 1029 59. Owlarn S, Klenner F, Schmidt D, Rabert F, Tomasso A, Reuter H, et al. Generic
1030 wound signals initiate regeneration in missing-tissue contexts. *Nat Commun.*
1031 2017;8(1):1–13. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41467-017-02338-x>
- 1032 60. Tanaka EM, Drechsel DN, Brockes JP. Thrombin regulates S-phase re-entry by
1033 cultured newt myotubes. *Curr Biol.* 1999;9(15):792–99.
1034 [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822\(99\)80362-5](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0960-9822(99)80362-5)
- 1035 61. Kato K, Orii H, Watanabe K, Agata K. The role of dorsoventral interaction in the
1036 onset of planarian regeneration. *Development.* 1999;126(5):1031–40.
1037 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1242/dev.031682>
- 1038 62. DuBuc TQ, Traylor-Knowles N, Martindale MQ. Initiating a regenerative
1039 response; cellular and molecular features of wound healing in the cnidarian
1040 *Nematostella vectensis*. *BMC Biol.* 2014;12(1):1–20.
1041 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1741-7007-12-24>
- 1042 63. Salinas-Saavedra M, Martindale MQ. Improved protocol for spawning and
1043 immunostaining embryos and juvenile stages of the ctenophore *Mnemiopsis*
1044 *leidyi*. *Protocol exchange.* 2018. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/protex.2018.092>
- 1045 64. Bhattacharjee T, Zehnder SM, Rowe KG, Jain S, Nixon RM, Sawyer WG, et al.
1046 Writing in the granular gel medium. *Sci Adv.* 2015;1(8).
1047 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1126/sciadv.1500655>
- 1048 65. O'Bryan CS, Kabb CP, Sumerlin BS, Angelini TE. Jammed Polyelectrolyte
1049 Microgels for 3D Cell Culture Applications: Rheological Behavior with Added
1050 Salts. *ACS Appl Bio Mater.* 2019;2(4):1509-17
1051 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsabm.8b00784>
- 1052 66. Bhattacharjee T, Gil CJ, Marshall SL, Urueña JM, O'Bryan CS, Carstens M, et
1053 al. Liquid-like Solids Support Cells in 3D. *ACS Biomater Sci Eng.*
1054 2016;2(10):1787–95. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1021/acsbiomaterials.6b00218>
- 1055 67. Schindelin J, Arganda-Carreras I, Frise E, Kaynig V, Longair M, Pietzsch T, et

1056 al. Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis. Nat Methods.
1057 2012;9(7):676–82. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/nmeth.2019>

1058

1059 **FIGURE LEGENDS**

1060

1061 **Figure 1. Cydippid stage of *Mnemiopsis leidyi* and animal surgeries.** (A) Lateral
1062 view of a *M. leidyi* cydippid. (B) Aboral view of a *M.leidyi* cydippid. Scale bars = 100µm.
1063 (C) Schematic representation of the body plan of a cydippid stage in a lateral and
1064 aboral views. (D) Diagrams showing the three types of animal surgeries performed in
1065 this study and the views presented for each one.

1066

1067 **Figure 2. Wound healing by filopodia-dependent cell crawling.** (A) Schematic
1068 representation of the puncture assay. (B-E) DIC images of the main phases of wound
1069 closure (See **Additional file 1** for the time lapse video corresponding to these images).
1070 (B) Cells from the mesoglea (yellow arrow caps) migrate upwards and adhere to the
1071 edges of the wound. (C) Marginal cells of the wound gap extend filopodia to the
1072 adjacent cells pulling the edges of the wound together. The inset shows a closer look
1073 to the cells at the edge of the wound and yellow arrow caps point to the filopodia. (D)
1074 When the diameter of the gap is considerably reduced, cells of the wound edge extend
1075 filopodia towards the opposite edges of the gap. The inset shows a cell extending
1076 multiple filopodia. (E) Network of filopodia connecting all the edges of the wound.
1077 Timescale is in hours. Scale bars = 100µm.

1078

1079 **Figure 3. Half body regeneration in *Mnemiopsis leidyi* cydippid.** (A) Schematic
1080 representation of the time course of morphogenic events during cydippid half body
1081 regeneration. All cartoons correspond to lateral views of the cydippid's body bisected
1082 through the oral-aboral axis showing the cut site in the first plane. The apical organ is
1083 located at the aboral end (top) and the mouth at the oral end (down). For simplicity,
1084 tissues on the opposite body site are not depicted. (B-F) DIC images showing the cut
1085 site of regenerating cydippids from 16 to 96 hab. Dotted line rectangles in (B), (C), (D)
1086 and (E) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the right.
1087 Magnifications show surface and deep planes. The vertical dotted line in (F) indicates
1088 the approximate position of bisection, and all tissue in the left of the line is regenerated
1089 tissue. N>100. Scale bars = 100 µm. Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab), apical
1090 organ (ao), pharynx (p), comb row (cr), ciliated furrow (cf), comb plate (cp), tentacle
1091 bulb (tb), tentacle (t).

1092

Figure 4. Apical organ regeneration in *Mnemiopsis leidyi* cydippids. (A) Schematic representation of the time course of morphogenic events during cydippid's apical organ regeneration. Cartoons correspond to lateral views of the apical sensory organ at different stages of regeneration. (B-C') DIC images of the apical organ of an intact cydippid in lateral (B-B') and aboral (C-C') views. (D-D') Schematic of the components of the apical sensory organ in lateral (D) and aboral (D') views. (E-L') DIC images showing the cut site of regenerating cydippids after apical organ amputation from 12 to 60 hpa. Lateral and aboral views are included. Dotted line rectangles delimit the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the right. Filled and empty white arrow caps in (G') point to aggregation of cells forming the primordia of the future statolith and apical organ floor respectively. N>100. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours post amputation (hpa), wound epithelium (we), endodermal canal (ec), dome cilia (dc), lithocyte (l), supporting cilia (sc), apical organ floor (ao fl), ciliated furrows (cf).

Figure 5. Cell proliferation in intact cydippids. (A) Schematic of the EdU pulse-chase experiment and PH3 immunostaining in intact cydippids. (B-D') Confocal stack projections of whole intact cydippids oriented in a lateral view. White dotted rectangles in (B) delimit the tentacle bulb (tb) (C-C'') and apical organ (ao) (D-D'') structures showed in higher magnification at the bottom. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta), M-phase cells are immunostained with anti-phospho-Histone 3 (PH3) (green) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note that both markers of cell proliferation (EdU and PH3) show the same pattern of distribution along the cydippid's body. The inset in (C') shows an aboral view of the tentacle bulb after EdU staining. White asterisks in (C') point to the symmetrical populations of intense cell proliferation referred as aboral/external cell masses (aec). (E-G') Confocal stack projections of whole intact cydippids oriented in a lateral view. The time of the chase is listed at the top of the columns, and the labeling corresponding to each panel is listed to the left of the rows. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note that EdU+ cells migrate from the tentacle bulb to the most distal end of the tentacle (yellow arrows). See **Additional file 2** for further detail of EdU pulse-chase experiment in the tentacle bulb. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: apical organ (ao), tentacle bulb (tb), aboral/external cell masses (aec), lateral ridge (lr), medial ridge (mr), comb row (cr).

Figure 6. Cell proliferation during half body regeneration. (A) Schematic of the EdU incorporation experiment in cydippids bisected through the oral-aboral axis. (B)

Box plot showing the levels of cell proliferation at the wound site at different time points after bisection. The thick horizontal bars indicate the median values. Each dot represents one individual. (C-F') Confocal stack projections of bisected cydippids through the oral-aboral axis oriented in a lateral view showing the cut site in the first plane. The time following bisection is listed to the left of the rows. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). The pattern of EdU labeling corresponding to each time-point is shown in a cartoon on the right of the rows. Dotted line rectangles in (C), (D) and (E) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the right. White dotted lines in (E') and (F') delimit the area corresponding to the regenerating comb rows and tentacle bulb. White asterisks point to tentacle bulbs of the uncut site. Note that EdU+ cells at 72 hpa (F') are located at the oral end of the regenerating comb rows and no EdU+ cells are detected at the aboral end where cells are already differentiated. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab) comb row (cr), tentacle bulb (tb).

Figure 7. Cell proliferation during apical organ regeneration. (A) Schematic of the EdU incorporation experiment in cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated. (B) Box plot showing the levels of cell proliferation at the wound site at different time points post amputation. The thick horizontal bars indicate the median values. Each dot represents one individual. (C-H'') Confocal stack projections of cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated at different time points post amputation. Aboral and lateral views are shown. The labeling corresponding to each panel is listed at the top of the columns, and the time following amputation is listed to the left of the rows. Dotted line rectangles in (C), (D), (E), (F), (G) and (H) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the right. White rectangles in (C'') and (D'') delimit the area of apical organ regeneration. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). DIC images of the tissue are shown in (F') and (H'). Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: tentacle bulb (tb), apical organ floor (ao fl), hours post amputation (hpa).

Figure 8. S-phase cells derived from the main regions of cell proliferation do not contribute to the formation of new structures. (A) Schematic of the EdU pulse-chase experiments and PH3 immunostaining in regenerating cydippids after oral-aboral bisection and apical organ amputation. (B-C'') Confocal stack projections of bisected cydippids through the oral-aboral axis oriented in a lateral view showing the cut site in the first plane. (D-E'') Confocal stack projections of cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated oriented in an aboral view. The labeling corresponding to each panel is

1167 listed at the top of the columns, and the time of chase is listed to the left of the rows.
 1168 Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta), M-phase cells are stained with
 1169 anti-PH3 (green) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Dotted line
 1170 rectangles in (B), (C), (D) and (E) show the area corresponding to higher
 1171 magnifications on the right. The pattern of EdU and PH3 staining is shown in cartoons
 1172 on the left. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab), hours post
 1173 amputation (hpa), tentacle bulb (tb).

1174

1175 **Figure 9. Regeneration occurs after removal of the main regions of active cell**
 1176 **proliferation. (A-C')** DIC images of an uncut cydippid (A) and cydippids after tentacle
 1177 bulbs amputation (B-C'). Black dotted line rectangle in (C) show the area
 1178 corresponding to higher magnification on the right. (D) Schematic of the EdU
 1179 incorporation experiment in cydippids in which both tentacle bulbs were amputated. (E-
 1180 G'') Confocal stack projections of cydippids in which the tentacle bulbs were
 1181 amputated oriented in a lateral view at different time points post amputation. The
 1182 labeling corresponding to each panel is listed at the top of the columns, and the time
 1183 following amputation is listed to the left of the rows. Dotted line rectangles in (E), (F)
 1184 and (G) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the right. Dotted lines
 1185 in (E'-E'') and (F-F'') delimit the area corresponding to the endodermal canal. Nuclei of
 1186 S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with
 1187 DAPI (blue). Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: tentacle sheath (ts), tentacle (t),
 1188 endodermal canal (ec), tentacle bulb (tb), hours post amputation (hpa).

1189

1190 **Figure 10. There is recruitment of slowly-dividing cells at the regenerating**
 1191 **structures. (A)** Schematic of the EdU long pulse-chase experiment. (B-I') Confocal
 1192 stack projections of whole intact cydippids oriented in an aboral (B-E') and lateral (F-I')
 1193 views after 2-hour EdU pulse. White dotted rectangles in (B) and (F) delimit the apical
 1194 organ (ao) (C-C' and G-G'), tentacle bulb (tb) (D-D' and H-H') and comb row (cr) (E-E'
 1195 and I-I') structures showed in higher magnification at the bottom. (J-O') Confocal stack
 1196 projections of whole intact cydippids oriented in an aboral (J-L') and lateral (M-O')
 1197 views after the 2-hour EdU pulse and 5-day chase. White dotted rectangles in (J) and
 1198 (M) delimit the apical organ (ao) (K-K'), tentacle bulb (tb) (L-L' and O-O') and pharynx
 1199 (p) (N-N') structures showed in higher magnification at the bottom. White dotted lines in
 1200 (L') and (O') delimit the area corresponding to the tentacles. Note that no EdU+ cells
 1201 are detected at the tentacle bulbs nor the tentacles after the 5-day chase (L-L', O-O')
 1202 (see also **Additional files 10 and 11**). (P-S') Confocal stack projections of cydippids in
 1203 which the apical organ was amputated after the 2-hour EdU pulse and 5-day chase at

1204 24 hpa. Aboral (**P-Q'**) and lateral (**R-S'**) views are shown. Dotted line rectangles in (**P**)
1205 and (**R**) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the bottom. Nuclei of
1206 S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with
1207 DAPI (blue). Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours post amputation (hpa), apical
1208 organ (ao), tentacle bulb (tb), comb row (cr), pharynx (p).

1209

1210 **Figure 11. Ctenophore regeneration does not occur in the absence of cell**
1211 **proliferation.** (**A**) Schematic representation of the regeneration state of cydippids
1212 shown in the panels on the right. Cartoons correspond to lateral views of the cydippid's
1213 body bisected in half through the oral-aboral axis showing the cut site in the first plane.
1214 (**B-E'**) DIC images of bisected cydippids in a lateral view at 72 hab. The type of
1215 treatment corresponding to each panel is listed to the left of the rows. Dotted line
1216 rectangles in (**B**) and (**D**) show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the
1217 right. Magnifications show surface (top) and deep (bottom) planes. Note that the wound
1218 site in treated cydippids is covered by a continuous epithelium but there is no sign of
1219 formation of missing structures. (**F**) Schematic representation of the apical sensory
1220 organ in lateral (top) and aboral (bottom) views. Red dotted rectangle at the bottom
1221 cartoon delimits the apical organ area shown in the images on the right. (**G-J'**) DIC
1222 images of cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated at 72 hpa orientated in a
1223 lateral (**G-H'**) and aboral (**I-J'**) views. The type of treatment corresponding to each
1224 panel is listed to the top of the columns. Dotted line rectangles in (**G**), (**H**), (**I**) and (**J**)
1225 show the area corresponding to higher magnifications on the bottom. Note that treated
1226 cydippids show aggregation of cells around the surface of the wounded area although
1227 none of the missing apical organ structures are formed. Scale bars = 100 μ m.
1228 Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab), hours post amputation (hpa), apical organ
1229 (ao), comb row (cr), tentacle bulb (tb), statolith (s), cupula (c), apical organ floor (ao fl),
1230 endodermal canal (ec), lithocyte (l), ciliated furrow (cf).

1231

1232 **Figure 12. Regenerative ability is recovered after HU treatment ends.** (**A**)
1233 Reversible S-phase arrest after HU treatment as detected by EdU labeling performed
1234 at the indicated time-points (red arrows). Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU
1235 (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note that cells have
1236 already resumed cell cycle progression 1 day after HU wash. (**B** and **E**) Schematic
1237 representation of HU treatment and wash experiment in bisected cydippids through the
1238 oral-aboral axis (**B**) and cydippids in which the apical organ was amputated (**E**). (**C-C'**)
1239 DIC images of bisected cydippids in lateral view showing the wound site at 48 hab.
1240 Note that there is no sign of regeneration of the missing structures. (**D-D''**) DIC images

1241 of bisected cydippids in lateral view showing the wound site at 96 hab. Note that all
1242 missing structures (comb rows and tentacle bulb) are regenerated. The dotted line
1243 rectangle in (C) and (D) show the area corresponding to higher magnification at the
1244 bottom. (F-G') DIC images of amputated cydippids oriented in a lateral (top panels)
1245 and aboral (bottom panels) view showing the wound site at 48 hpa. Note that there is
1246 no sign of regeneration of the missing structures. (H-I') DIC images of amputated
1247 cydippids oriented in a lateral (top panels) and aboral (bottom panels) view showing
1248 the wound site at 96 hpa. Note that all components of the apical organ are
1249 regenerated. Dotted line rectangles in (F), (G), (H) and (I) show the area corresponding
1250 to higher magnification on the right. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours after
1251 bisection (hab), hours post amputation (hpa), apical organ (ao), comb row (cr), tentacle
1252 bulb (tb), dome cilia (dc), lithocyte (l), supporting cilia (sc), apical organ floor (ao fl),
1253 endodermal canal (ec), ciliated furrows (cf).

1254

1255 **Figure 13. Working model of ctenophore regeneration.** Timeline of the
1256 morphological and cellular events underlying ctenophore regeneration. Apical organ
1257 regeneration is used as example. Proliferating cells (EdU+) are colored in magenta.
1258 Wound closure is initiated immediately after surgery with the edges of the wound
1259 forming a round circumference that reduces in diameter until meeting and is completed
1260 within 1-2 hours after amputation. Reorganization of tissue including aggregation of
1261 round-shaped cells at the wound epithelium – potentially derived from dedifferentiation
1262 events – takes place during the first 6 hours after injury (Cell-proliferation independent
1263 phase). Cell proliferation is activated at the wound site between 6-12 hours after
1264 amputation and it reaches a maximum at 24 hours, when the primordia of the missing
1265 structures are formed. After this peak of cell proliferation, the number of proliferating
1266 cells at the wound site decreases while cells start to specify and differentiate into the
1267 final structures.

1268

1269 **ADDITIONAL FILE LEGENDS**

1270

1271 **Additional file 1: Movie 1. Wound healing time-lapse.** DIC microscopy time-lapse
1272 movie of wound closure after puncture of the wound pictured in Figure 2. Note the
1273 migration of mesogleal cells to the edges of the wound during the wound closure
1274 process. Scale bar = 100 μ m. [AVI, 13.6MB]

1275

1276 **Additional file 2: Figure S5. EdU pulse and chase experiment in the tentacle bulb.**
1277 (A-D') Confocal stack projections of tentacle bulbs and tentacles in lateral view. The

1278 time of the chase is listed to the left of the rows, and the labeling corresponding to each
1279 panel is listed at the top of the columns. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU
1280 (magenta) combined with a differential interference contrast image of the tissue (DIC).
1281 Scale bars = 50 μ m. [TIFF, 8.2MB]

1282

1283 **Additional file 3: Figure S6 and S7. EdU staining at 96 hab and 72 hpa. (A-A'')**

1284 Confocal stack projections of a bisected cydippid through the oral-aboral axis at 96 hab
1285 oriented in a lateral view showing the cut site in the first plane. White asterisks point to
1286 tentacle bulbs of the uncut site. **(B-C'')** Confocal stack projections of cydippids in which
1287 the apical organ was amputated at 72 hpa. Examples of the two types of EdU pattern
1288 observed at this time-point are shown. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU
1289 (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). The pattern of EdU
1290 labeling corresponding to each time-point is shown in a cartoon on the left of the rows.
1291 Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab), hours post amputation
1292 (hpa), polar field (pf). [TIFF, 5.1MB]

1293

1294 **Additional file 4: Figure S6 and S7. PH3 immunostaining after oral-aboral**

1295 **bisection and apical organ amputation. (A-B'')** Confocal stack projections of a
1296 bisected cydippid through the oral-aboral axis oriented in a lateral view showing the cut
1297 site in the first plane. The time following surgery is listed to the left of the rows. M-
1298 phase cells are stained with anti-PH3 (green) and all nuclei are counterstained with
1299 DAPI (blue). **(C-D')** Confocal stack projections of regenerating apical organs in a lateral
1300 view. The time following surgery is listed to the left of the rows. M-phase cells are
1301 stained with anti-PH3 (green) combined with DIC image of the tissue. DIC images of
1302 surface and deep planes are shown for 24 hpa. Scale bars = 100 μ m. Abbreviations:
1303 hours after bisection (hab), hours post amputation (hpa). [TIFF, 3.1MB]

1304

1305 **Additional file 5: Figure S8. Controls of the EdU pulse-chase experiments in**

1306 **regenerating cydippids. (A)** Diagram of the experimental setup. **(B-D')** Confocal stack
1307 projections of an uncut cydippid just after EdU incubation (control 1). **(E-F')** Confocal
1308 stack projections of an amputated cydippid just after the cut (control 2). Nuclei of S-
1309 phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with
1310 DAPI (blue). White dotted rectangles in **(B)** and **(E)** show the area corresponding to
1311 higher magnifications on the right. White arrows in **(E')** point to the wound site. Note
1312 that no EdU+ cells are detected at the wound site just after the cut while EdU staining

1313 is found in the normal areas of cell proliferation (tentacle bulbs). Scale bars = 100µm.
1314 [TIFF, 3.6MB]

1315

1316 **Additional file 6: Movie S10. Z-stack of whole body intact cydippid oriented in an**
1317 **aboral view after a 2-hour EdU incubation pulse.** Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled
1318 with EdU (magenta) combined with a differential interference contrast image of the
1319 tissue (DIC). Note that EdU+ cells are located at the surface epidermis but not at the
1320 mesenchymal cells of the deep mesoglea. Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI, 36.4MB]

1321

1322 **Additional file 7: Movie S10. Z-stack of whole body intact cydippid oriented in a**
1323 **lateral view after a 2-hour EdU incubation pulse.** Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled
1324 with EdU (magenta) combined with a differential interference contrast image of the
1325 tissue (DIC). Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI, 38.9MB]

1326

1327 **Additional file 8: Movie S10. Z-stack of the apical organ area of a cydippid**
1328 **oriented in an aboral view after a 2-hour incubation pulse.** Nuclei of S-phase cells
1329 are labeled with EdU (magenta) combined with a differential interference contrast
1330 image of the tissue (DIC). Note that most of the EdU+ cells are found at the epidermis
1331 surrounding the apical organ area and some EdU+ cells are located at the apical organ
1332 floor. Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI, 12.8MB]

1333

1334 **Additional file 9: Movie S10. Z-stack of the comb row area of a cydippid after a 2-**
1335 **hour incubation pulse.** Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and
1336 all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue), combined with a differential interference
1337 contrast image of the tissue (DIC). Note that EdU+ cells are found at the endodermal
1338 canals that connect the comb rows with the digestive system. Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI,
1339 17,7MB]

1340

1341 **Additional file 10: Movie S10. Z-stack of the tentacle apparatus of a cydippid**
1342 **oriented in an aboral view exposed to a 2-hour EdU pulse followed by a 5-day**
1343 **chase.** Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) combined with a
1344 differential interference contrast image of the tissue (DIC). Note that no EdU+ cells are
1345 found at the tentacle bulb nor the tentacle. Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI, 5.4MB]

1346

1347 **Additional file 11: Movie S10. Z-stack of the tentacle apparatus of a cydippid**
1348 **oriented in a lateral view exposed to a 2-hour EdU pulse followed by a 5-day**

1349 **chase.** Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) combined with a
1350 differential interference contrast image of the tissue (DIC). Note that no EdU+ cells are
1351 found at the tentacle bulb nor the tentacle. Scale bar = 100µm. [AVI, 1.5MB]

1352

1353 **Additional file 12: Figure S11. 5mM Hydroxyurea (HU) blocks cell proliferation in**
1354 **intact and bisected cydippids.** (A) Schematic of cell proliferation inhibitor
1355 experiments with HU in intact cydippids. Confocal stack projections of intact cydippids
1356 oriented in an aboral view at 24 hours (B-C') and 72 hours (D-E') after HU incubation.
1357 The type of treatment is listed at the top of the columns, and the labeling corresponding
1358 to each panel is listed to the left of the rows. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with
1359 EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note that no EdU+
1360 nuclei were detected in treated cydippids after HU incubation. (F) Schematic of cell
1361 proliferation inhibitor experiments with HU in dissected cydippids. (G-H') Confocal
1362 stack projections of an untreated bisected cydippid oriented in a lateral view at 72 hab.
1363 (I-I') Confocal stack projections of a bisected cydippids treated with HU at 72 hab. (J-
1364 J') Confocal stack projections of cydippids amputated from the apical organ treated
1365 with HU at 72 hpa. The type of treatment and the time following bisection are listed at
1366 the top of the columns, and the labeling corresponding to each panel is listed to the left
1367 of the rows. The dotted line rectangle in (G) shows the area corresponding to higher
1368 magnifications on the right. The white asterisks in (G), (G') and (I) point to the tentacle
1369 bulb of the uncut site. The white rectangle in (J) indicates the area of apical organ
1370 regeneration. Nuclei of S-phase cells are labeled with EdU (magenta) and all nuclei are
1371 counterstained with DAPI (blue). Note that no EdU+ nuclei were detected and none of
1372 the missing structures had regenerated in treated cydippids. Scale bars = 100 µm.
1373 Abbreviations: hours after bisection (hab), hours post amputation (hpa). [TIFF, 4.3MB]

A Time course of cydippid half body regeneration

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/509331>; this version posted August 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

A

Time course of cydippid apical organ regeneration

Intact cydippid

12 hpa

48 hpa

24 hpa

60 hpa

A

EdU pulse-chase experiment

Control (no chase)

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/509331>; this version posted August 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

A

EdU pulse-chase experiment

D Tentacle bulb regeneration

CTRL: 2 hrs pulse, no chase

Aboral view

Lateral view

EXP 1: 2 hrs pulse, 5 day chase

Aboral view

Lateral view

EXP 2: 2 hrs pulse, 5 day chase, 24 hpa

Aboral view

Lateral view

A

**Half body
regeneration**

bioRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/509331>; this version posted August 20, 2019. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted bioRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under aCC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

72 hab

Regeneration of
missing structures

No sign of
regeneration

1x FSW

5mM HU

F

**Apical organ
regeneration**

1x FSW – 72 hpa

5mM HU – 72 hpa

Lateral view

Aboral view

B

Oral-aboral bisection

48 hours after HU incubation

48h after HU washing

E

Apical organ amputation

48 hours after HU incubation

48h after HU washing

→
Wound healing

→
Aggregation of cells
at the wound site

-----→
Dedifferentiation of cells
at the wound site ?

Cell proliferation response

→
Activation of **cell proliferation** at the wound site
(cell proliferation-based, blastema-less regeneration)

-----→
Recruitment of **slowly-dividing cells** (stem cells ?)
to the regenerating structure

→
Differentiation into distinct
structures