1	Identification of immunoreactive linear epitopes of Borrelia miyamotoi
2	
3	Rafal Tokarz <sup>a</sup> #, Teresa Tagliafierro <sup>a</sup> , Adrian Caciula <sup>a</sup> , Nischay Mishra <sup>a</sup> , Riddhi Thakkar <sup>a</sup> ,
4	Lokendra V Chauhan <sup>a</sup> , Stephen Sameroff <sup>a</sup> , Shannon Delaney <sup>b</sup> , Gary P. Wormser <sup>c</sup> ,
5	Adriana Marques <sup>d</sup> , W. Ian Lipkin <sup>a</sup>
6	
7	<sup>a</sup> Center for Infection and Immunity, Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia
8	University, New York, NY
9	<sup>b</sup> Department of Psychiatry, Columbia University, New York, NY
10	<sup>c</sup> Division of Infectious Diseases, New York Medical College, Valhalla, NY
11	<sup>d</sup> Laboratory of Clinical Immunology and Microbiology, National Institute of Allergy and
12	Infectious Diseases, National Institutes of Health, Bethesda, Maryland.
13	
14	#Corresponding author
15	Rafal Tokarz
16	Center for Infection and Immunity
17	Mailman School of Public Health, Columbia University
18	722 West 168th Street, Room 1701, New York, NY 10032
19	phone: (212) 631 335 5021
20	Email: <u>rt2249@columbia.edu</u>
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	Running title: Reactive linear epitopes of Borrelia miyamotoi
26	

### 27 Abstract

Borrelia miyamotoi is an emerging tick-borne spirochete transmitted by Ixodid ticks. Current serologic assays for *B. miyamotoi* are impacted by genetic similarities to other Borrelia and limited understanding of optimal antigenic targets. In this study, we employed the TBD-Serochip, a peptide array platform, to identify new linear targets for serologic detection of B. miyamotoi. We examined a wide range of suspected B. miyamotoi antigens and identified 352 IgM and 91 IgG reactive peptides, with the majority mapping to variable membrane proteins. These included peptides within conserved fragments of variable membrane proteins that may have greater potential for differential diagnosis. We also identified reactive regions on FlaB, and demonstrate crossreactivity of B. burgdorferi C6 with a B. miyamotoi C6-like peptide. The panel of linear peptides identified in this study can be used to enhance serodiagnosis of B. miyamotoi. 

### 53 Introduction

54 Borrelia miyamotoi is a tick-borne relapsing fever spirochete found throughout temperate 55 regions worldwide that is transmitted by hard ticks of the genus Ixodes (1-5). B. 56 miyamotoi was discovered in 1995; however, the link to disease was first established in 57 2011 when it was implicated in an outbreak of tick-borne illness in Russia (1, 6). B. 58 miyamotoi is primarily transmitted by *I. scapularis* and *I. pacificus* in North America, and 59 I. ricinus and I. persulcatus in Europe and Asia (6-9). These tick species also transmit 60 Borreliae that cause Lyme borreliosis (10). Lyme borreliosis is the most common vector-61 borne disease in the United States, where most infections are caused by Borrelia 62 burgdorferi and transmitted by Ixodes scapularis. Despite sharing the same vectors, B. 63 miyamotoi differs from B. burgdorferi in a number of ecological aspects, including the 64 ability for transovarial transmission, guicker transmission during tick feeding, and lower 65 infection rates in vector ticks. The prevalence of *B. miyamotoi* in nymphs is typically 1% 66 to 5% versus 15% to 25% for B. burgdorferi (11-15). Nonetheless, B. miyamotoi and B. 67 burgdorferi can occasionally infect the same tick, and concurrent human infections have 68 been reported (5, 15, 16).

69

70 Symptomatic infections with B. miyamotoi (Borrelia miyamotoi disease; BMD) usually 71 present with fever and other non-specific symptoms including fatigue, headache, chills 72 and nausea (17, 18). Bouts of relapsing fever may occur in untreated patients. In the 73 United States, reports of BMD are rare with less than 200 cases identified between 2011 74 and 2017 (3, 19, 20). This is considerably less than would be expected based on the 75 high incidence of other tick-borne diseases and suggests a substantial underreporting of 76 B. miyamotoi infections (21). A portion of infections may be asymptomatic while 77 symptomatic infections may not be identified because of a similar presentation to certain 78 other tick-borne illnesses and the lack of optimal diagnostic tests (22-24). Patients with

79 BMD may test positive on the C6 ELISA, a serologic assay used in the diagnosis of 80 Lyme disease (20, 25, 26). Current methods of BMD diagnosis include PCR (in the 81 acute stage), and a two-tiered antibody assay (ELISA and western blot) based on 82 immunoreactivity to glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase (GlpQ), an enzyme 83 present in *B. miyamotoi* but absent in Lyme *Borrelia* (17, 27). Because GlpQ homologs 84 are present in other relapsing fever spirochetes and in other bacteria, its specificity for B. 85 miyamotoi is limited (28-31). In addition, serologic assays based on reactivity to GlpQ 86 are only reactive in 56% to 78% of sera from convalescent BMD patients and only 16% 87 of sera from individuals with acute disease (3, 32). Thus, other targets are needed in 88 order to develop more sensitive and specific serologic tests.

89 An alternative approach for BMD serologic diagnosis is the development of assays that 90 target outer surface antigens. Although this approach has been applied for diagnosis of 91 Lyme borreliosis, relatively little is known about the utility of outer surface antigens in 92 diagnosis of BMD. The primary challenge in assay development is the rapid antigenic 93 variation that is a characteristic of relapsing fever spirochetes (33-36). Like all relapsing 94 fever Borreliae, the genome of B. miyamotoi contains linear plasmids that encode 95 multiple alleles of variable major proteins (Vmps) (36-38). Vmps consist of two types of 96 lipoproteins that are dissimilar in sequence and length: the variable small proteins (Vsps) 97 and variable large proteins (VIps)(39). At any given time, only one Vmp is expressed by 98 the spirochete when a single allele is copied into the Vmp expression site. Relapsing 99 fever Borreliae alternate expression of these Vmps in order to evade the host immune 100 response (31, 32, 38). The alleles are genetically heterogenous; thus, it is unlikely that 101 host IgM raised against one Vmp will neutralize spirochetes expressing another Vmp. 102 Despite high genetic diversity, Vmps share conserved regions that may also be a target 103 of neutralizing antibodies. Identifying these regions could be useful for diagnosis,

particularly early in disease (37, 39). In this study, we employed the Tick-borne disease
Serochip (TBD-Serochip), a novel serologic peptide array platform, to examine the
antibody response in human patients with BMD to a wide array of *B. miyamotoi* antigens.
Our work identified linear epitopes that could be applied in the development of improved
diagnostic tests (40).

109

### 110 Materials and Methods

111 **TBD-Serochip**. The TBD-Serochip is a peptide array that consists of approximately 112 170,000 12-mer linear peptides designed from the protein sequence of the primary 113 antigens of Anaplasma phagocytophilum, B. burgdorferi, B. miyamotoi, Babesia microti, 114 Rickettsia rickettsii, Ehrlichia chaffeensis, Powassan virus and Heartland virus (40). The 115 12-mer peptides tile the sequence of each antigen with an 11 amino acid (aa) overlap to 116 the preceding 12-mer peptide in a sliding window pattern. Antigens selected for inclusion 117 on the TBD-Serochip were all previously reported to elicit an antibody response in 118 humans. For *B. miyamotoi*, the TBD-Serochip includes 12-mer peptides designed from 119 a wide array of Vmp and non-Vmp antigens (**Table 1**). For each selected antigen, we 120 downloaded every available homologous protein sequence from the NCBI protein 121 database. Sequences were aligned and used to design 12-mer peptides, with redundant 122 peptides excluded prior to synthesis. This approach resulted in the TBD-Serochip 123 incorporating peptides to all genetic variants of every included antigen.

Sera. We tested seven convalescent-phase sera from patients diagnosed with BMD.
Five sera originated from New York Medical College, and 2 from Tufts University.
Diagnosis of BMD was made during the acute disease phase, six by PCR, and one by a
GlpQ-based serologic assay (**Table 2**). We contrasted these data with TBD-Serochip
data obtained from sera from patients with Lyme disease (N=100), and healthy

129 individuals with no history of Lyme disease (N=16). All sera were tested at a 1:50 130 dilution. We used de-identified human sera from our previous work with the TBD-131 Serochip, and additional samples acquired form the National Institutes of Health (40). 132 These samples were obtained under clinical protocols (ClinicalTrials.gov 133 Identifier NCT00028080 and NCT00001539) approved by the institutional review board 134 of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Diseases, and all participants signed 135 informed consent. Sample types ranged from early to late Lyme disease (confirmed by 136 the two-tiered testing algorithm). All methods used for sample collection were performed 137 in accordance with the proper guidelines and regulations.

138

139 *Epitope identification.* Following antibody incubations, the arrays were scanned on 140 NimbleGen MS 200 Microarray Scanner (Roche) to generate raw fluorescent intensity 141 data sets that were then subjected to quantile normalization. IgM and IgG reactivity were 142 examined separately. Based on the data obtained from healthy controls, we computed a 143 cut-off threshold for each epitope that was defined by calculating the mean plus 3 144 standard deviations of the signal intensity for the same epitope. We then filtered out any 145 peptides with signal intensities below threshold in all experiments. To identify peptides 146 that could be used to differentiate between BMD and healthy control sera, we used the 147 non-parametric Wilcoxon rank sum test and identified peptides that yielded a statistically 148 significant signal (q value ≤0.05 for both IgM and IgG, corrected for multiple testing using 149 Benjamini-Hochberg Procedure). This identified the optimal sets of IgM and IgG 12-mer 150 peptides that were reactive in sera with BMD, but not in healthy individuals. A portion of 151 these 12-mer peptides were assembled into longer contigs that were then ranked by the 152 average signal intensity.

153

154 Luciferase ImmunoPrecipitation System assay (LIPS). We generated a Renilla 155 luciferase-GlpQ construct for detection of anti-GlpQ lgG antibody. The complete GlpQ 156 coding sequence was amplified by PCR from a *B. miyamotoi*-positive *I. scapularis* using 157 primers 5'-GGATCCATGAAATTAAAATTACTAATGC-3' and 5'-158 GAGCTCTTATTTTTTTTTTATGAAGTTCATT-3'. The PCR product was cloned into pREN2 159 vector and the fusion proteins were generated in Cos-1 cells. Light units were measured 160 in a Berthold LB 960 Centro microplate luminometer (Berthold Technologies) using a 161 Renilla Luciferase Assay System kit (Promega) and MikroWin 2010 software.

162

### 163 **Results**

Of the 23,946 *B. miyamotoi* 12-mer peptides present on the TBD-Serochip, we identified 1491 peptides that were significantly reactive with IgM antibodies present in the seven BMD sera tested. Through assembly of overlapping reactive 12-mer peptides, we identified 352 reactive epitopes made up of 2 or more consecutive reactive peptides. For IgG, we identified 429 peptides that were clustered into 91 putative epitopes. All IgM and IgG epitopes were then mapped to specific regions within *B. miyamotoi* proteins. The majority of the epitopes mapped to Vmps (**Table 3**).

171 In addition to *B. miyamotoi* peptides, we examined the reactivity to peptides from other 172 tick-borne agents. In 2 samples, we detected IgG reactivity to specific epitopes of *B.* 173 *burgdorferi* that were identified in our previous work (40). Subsequent examination of 174 clinical history of these 2 individuals revealed that both had previously been diagnosed 175 with Lyme disease.

176

177 <u>*Reactivity to Vsp peptides.*</u> The TBD-Serochip contains 12-mer peptides designed
178 from the amino acid (aa) sequence of 13 full length and 4 partial Vsp paralogs (**Table 1**).
179 *B. miyamotoi* Vsps are approximately 220 aa in length and consist of a conserved N-

180 terminal fragment of approximately 85 aa, followed by highly variable regions (Figure 181 **1A**). We identified IgM-reactive epitopes within both the conserved and variable 182 fragments within every Vsp homolog. Although previous studies that were focused on 183 Vsp1 suggested that it may be a major immunodominant antigen of *B. miyamotoi*, our 184 data revealed Vsp4 to be more immunoreactive (Figure 1B and 1C). Among the 185 immunodominant regions of Vsps included a region within the N-terminal fragment that 186 was reactive with IgM in 5 out of 7 sera (sera 2 and 4 - 7). This 16 aa peptide, 187 designated VS-C-1, spans aa 52 to 66 of Vsp1 (mapped to accession number 188 AGS80212) and is conserved in the majority of sequenced *B. miyamotoi* Vsp paralogs 189 (Figure 2).

190

191 One Vsp paralog (accession number BAA11304) contains substantial aa variation within 192 the central portion of the VS-C-1 sequence. The aa at positions 8-12 in this paralog 193 deviates from AVEFA to SELME (Figure 2). Presumably, antibodies to VS-C-1 region 194 would not react with peptides with this divergent amino acid sequence (designated VS-195 C-2). Nonetheless, peptides corresponding to VS-C-2 (mapped to accession number 196 BAA11304) were reactive with 4 of the 7 VS-C-1-reactive samples (sera 4-7), but did not 197 react with sera from patient 2 (Figure 3). The presence of antibodies to both peptide 198 variants indicates that these 4 patients were exposed to Vsp paralogs with both VS-C-1 199 and VS-C-2 sequences.

200

We identified other reactive regions on Vsps, including VS-C-3 located at the end of the conserved N-terminal portion that was highly reactive in sera from patient 7 (**Figure 1B**). We also identified epitopes that were mapped to the variable fragments of Vsps. However, these epitopes were less frequently reactive than VS-C-1 or VS-C-2 (**Table 3**). OspC, a highly immunogenic *B. burgdorferi* lipoprotein, is the closest homolog to Vsp.

Both proteins have a high degree of homology within the N terminal region. We and others previously identified a reactive epitope within this region of OspC (39, 41, 42). The alignment of Vsp1 (AGS80212) with OspC (CAA59253) revealed that the VS-C-1 and OspC epitopes do not overlap and map to non-homologous portions of these lipoproteins (**Figure 4**).

211

**Reactivity to VIp peptides**. VIps of relapsing fever *Borrelia* are typically 330 aa to 350 aa in length and are classified into  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\gamma$  sub-families (38). The N-terminal 70 aa to 120 aa fragments are conserved within each subfamily, whereas the remaining portions display a substantially greater heterogeneity in aa sequence. *B. miyamotoi* encodes multiple  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\gamma$  alleles and only a single putative  $\beta$ -like allele. To account for this genomic diversity, the TBD-Serochip includes peptides for 33 full length and 26 partial  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\gamma$  homologs from multiple *B. miyamotoi* strains (**Table 1**).

219

220 All 7 tested sera reacted with a wide range of peptides from VIps. Although IgM reactivity 221 was predominant, several VIp regions were also reactive with IgG. Overall, the largest 222 number of VIp-reactive peptides mapped to  $\delta$  VIps. Figure 5 displays the location of all 223 reactive peptides mapped to VIp15/16 (accession number ALM31565), a VIp homolog 224 with the highest number of immunoreactive epitopes. A  $\delta$  VIp-specific region, located 225 between as 8-24 of VIp15/16, reacted with all seven BMD sera (Figure 5). We 226 designated this region VIpô-C-1 (Table 4). Six sera were IgM-positive to VIpô-C-1, 227 including two (samples 1 and 3) that were also IgG-positive. One serum (sample 2) was 228 reactive with only IgG. Six sera were reactive with another reactive region designated 229 VIpô-C-2. Two sera were reactive with IgM and IgG, two with IgM, and two with IgG. VIp-230 C-2 was mapped to aa 51-66 of Vlp15/16, a fragment that is also partially conserved in

231  $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$ , and  $\gamma$  Vlps.  $\gamma$  Vlps peptides corresponding to this region (designated Vlp $\gamma$ -C-2) were 232 reactive with four sera (all IgG) (**Figure 6, Table 3**).  $\alpha$  peptides (Vlp $\alpha$ -C2) were reactive 233 with IgG from three sera (**Table 3**).

234

235 Only one region within the variable fragment of  $\delta$  Vlps was reactive with all seven sera. 236 This region, mapped to as 222-240 of VIp 15/16, was designated VIp<sub>0</sub>V-1. In three sera, 237 the reactive fragment extended approximately 25 aa downstream, and overlapped with a 238 conserved region that is homologous to the C6 peptide of *B. burgdorferi* (Figure 7A). 239 VIp<sub>0</sub>V-1 corresponds to a poorly conserved fragment in VIps, even within paralogs of the 240 same subfamily (Figure 7A). Nonetheless, all seven sera were reactive to a wide range 241 of non-homologous peptides from different  $\delta$  VIp homologs that mapped to this region 242 (**Figure 7B**). Although the corresponding peptides from VIp  $\alpha$  and VIp  $\gamma$  have limited 243 homology to VIp $\delta$ V-1 peptides from VIp  $\delta$ , 4 sera also had reactivity to VIp  $\gamma$  peptides and 244 3 sera reacted with VIp  $\alpha$  peptides from within this region. Our results indicate that the 245 region corresponding to VIp-V-1 in VIps represents a major immunogenic region within 246 B. miyamotoi Vlps, irrespective of sequence similarity.

247

248 Crossreactivity of the C6 peptides. VISE, the closest homolog to VIp, is a major 249 immunodominant B. burgdorferi lipoprotein and includes a 26 aa C6 epitope that is 250 employed in a peptide ELISA for Lyme disease diagnosis. Recent studies have reported 251 cross-reactivity in the Lyme disease C6 ELISA with sera from BMD patients (20, 26). 252 The similarity between a C6-like region in *B. miyamotoi* and the C6 was cited as a 253 potential cause. Comparison of *B. burgdorferi* C6 and corresponding homologous VIp 254 sequences indicate that some  $\delta$  VIp15/16 homologs share 20 out of 26 aa residues with 255 the C6 epitope (Figure 7A). The homology is most pronounced at the C terminal portion,

256 with 14 out of 15 identical residues in both peptides. To more clearly delineate antibody 257 responses to these two fragments, we compared the TBD-Serochip data to results 258 obtained with a commercial C6 ELISA. Of the seven BMD sera tested, four samples (2, 259 5, 6, and 7) were positive on the TBD-Serochip for reactivity to the B. miyamotoi C6-like 260 peptides (Figure 8). The same 4 samples, along with sample 3, had reactivity to the B. 261 burgdorferi C6 peptides and all were also positive on the C6 ELISA. Sera 1 and 4 were 262 negative with both assays. Serum 3 was positive for *B. burgdorferi* with the C6 ELISA 263 but did not react with *B. miyamotoi* peptides on the TBD-Serochip. This discrepancy was 264 likely due to past exposure to B. burgdorferi. Samples 3 and 5 both contained IgG 265 antibodies to the C6 peptides from a prior infection with *B. burgdorferi*. These antibodies 266 were specific for *B. burgdorferi* peptides and did not crossreact with the corresponding 267 peptides of B. miyamotoi (Figure 8). Serum 3 did not contain antibodies to the B. 268 miyamotoi C6-like region; thus the positive result on the B. burgdorferi ELISA and TBD-269 Serochip result was likely exclusively triggered by anti-B. burgdorferi antibodies. Serum 270 5 had IgG antibodies to B. burgdorferi, and IgM antibodies to B. miyamotoi C6-like 271 peptides. Sera 2, 6 and 7 had IgM or IgG antibodies to the B. miyamotoi C6-like 272 peptides; they also reacted with the highly conserved C-terminal portion of the B. 273 burgdorferi C6 and were the likely cause of the positive result on the C6 ELISA.

274

In summary, only 4 of the 7 patients with a history of *B. miyamotoi* infection had antibodies to the *B. miyamotoi* C6-like peptide. This is in contrast to the C6 which is one of the most frequently reactive linear peptides in *B. burgdorferi*. However, when they are present, the antibodies to the *B. miyamotoi* C6-like peptide will likely crossreact on the C6 ELISA.

280

281 FlaB. FlaB was the most reactive non-Vmp antigen. IgM antibodies to FlaB were 282 detected in six out of seven BMD sera tested. FlaB comprises the major component of 283 the spirochete flagellum and is among the most immunogenic of all Borrelia antigens 284 (43, 44). However, its utility in diagnosis is compromised by its high cross-reactivity (45). 285 FlaB bands can be recorded on both IgM and IgG Lyme disease western blots even in 286 specimens from healthy individuals (46). FlaB is also highly conserved amongst Borrelia, 287 with B. miyamotoi and B. burgdorferi FlaB sharing 90% aa identity. This further limits its 288 utility for differential diagnosis. In tests of BMD or Lyme disease sera, we detected 289 reactivity to a wide range of corresponding FlaB 12-mer peptides from both B. miyamotoi 290 and *B. burgdorferi* (Figure 9 A and B). The same was true when we tested sera from 291 patients with Lyme disease. We mapped the primary reactive portion of FlaB to a 45 aa 292 fragment located between aa 192 and 236 of B. miyamotoi (accession number 293 AHH05270) and its corresponding region in *B. burgdorferi* located between aa 190 and 294 236 (accession number AAC66541) (Figure 9 C). We found this region to be among the 295 most frequently reactive peptide fragments when testing sera from Lyme disease or 296 BMD. Despite this high reactivity, the majority of 12-mer peptides within these fragments 297 were occasionally cross-reactive with IgM antibodies present in sera from healthy 298 individuals. Nonetheless, we identified a 14 aa fragment within this region that was 299 reactive only with BMD and Lyme disease sera but not with control sera. This peptide, 300 AQEGAQQEGVQAVP, was located within aa 210 and 223 of B. miyamotoi FlaB. The 301 corresponding 13 as peptide in B. burgdorferi VQEGVQQEGAQQP was located within 302 aa 211 and 223. Although these peptides cannot be used to discriminate between B. 303 miyamotoi and B. burgdorferi, they may have utility for diagnosis of Borrelia infections.

304

305 *GlpQ*. We did not identify a specific epitope for GlpQ. To determine whether this was 306 due to the absence of anti-GlpQ antibodies, we established a LIPS assay using a full

307 length GlpQ as a target antigen. We tested 5 samples and IgG antibodies were present 308 in 3 sera. Our combined TBD-Serochip and LIPS data suggests that these 3 samples 309 contained anti-GlpQ antibodies to conformational but not linear epitopes. We propose 310 that detection of antibodies to conformational epitopes likely constitutes the primary 311 means of GlpQ serologic detection.

312

313 We did not identify unique epitopes on the remaining non-Vmp antigens. Although 314 reactive peptides were detected, they were not consistently reactive among the samples 315 tested.

316

317 **Additional specimens**. We analyzed three additional sera from patients who had 318 received a BMD diagnosis based on a positive index on a GlpQ ELISA from a 319 commercial laboratory. Upon testing these specimens on the TBD-Serochip, we did not 320 observe reactivity to any *B. miyamotoi* antigens. When we examined these by our LIPS 321 assay, one sample had a very low positive reading and the remaining two were negative.

322

### 323 Discussion

324 Lack of standardized assays, coupled with limited understanding of optimal target 325 antigens contribute to the challenge of serologic diagnosis of TBD (47-49). The 326 identification of superior targets, particularly of immunodominant specific epitopes has 327 the potential to improve serodiagnosis. Among the primary challenges of differential 328 serologic diagnosis of BMD is the insufficient sensitivity of GlpQ and the antigenic 329 similarities between B. miyamotoi and Lyme borreliosis Borrelia. Through accurate 330 mapping of specific linear immunoreactive peptides, the TBD-Serochip provides an 331 unparalleled opportunity for identification of agent-specific linear epitopes that could 332 facilitate differential diagnosis. In this study we used the TBD-Serochip to identify

peptides that can potentially serve as diagnostic targets for *B. miyamotoi* and
differentiate between patients with Lyme disease and BMD.

335

336 The most promising candidate diagnostic peptide targets were found on flagella and 337 Vmps, both well-known antigens within relapsing fever *Borreliae*. Flagellar proteins are 338 among the most immunogenic components in spirochetes, highlighted by their inclusion 339 as diagnostic targets in western blot assays for Lyme disease. In previous work, we 340 identified an immunoreactive 13 aa peptide within B. burgdorferi FlaB with high 341 diagnostic utility. We subsequently found that this peptide, along with the C6 fragment, 342 were the most frequently reactive *B. burgdorferi* linear peptides in patients with Lyme 343 disease. In addition, we observed that both peptides are often reactive in patients where 344 reactivity to other linear peptides was not detectable. The diagnostic utility of this FlaB 345 fragment extends to B. miyamotoi, as the corresponding 14 as peptide in B. miyamotoi 346 was reactive of all samples tested. Although its utility for differential diagnosis is partially 347 diminished by the inability to distinguish between Lyme disease and BMD sera, we 348 found this peptide to be potentially highly useful for diagnosis of Borrelia infections, both 349 in early disease (with IgM) or later disease and convalescence (with IgG).

350

The majority of immunoreactive epitopes identified in our study were located on Vmps (32, 50, 51). Vmps have been shown to be key antigens for neutralization in *Borrelia hermsii* (50). Recent studies in mice have shown the potential of Vsps as a possible target for diagnostic serologic assays for BMD (32). In our study, the majority of reactive peptides were mapped to the variable portions of Vsps and Vlps, but we also identified reactive regions within the conserved protein fragments. Because of higher degree of aa conservation, these reactive peptides could have greater utility for BMD diagnosis.

358

359 Our work supports findings from previous studies that reported the C6 ELISA cannot 360 effectively discriminate between antibodies to B. burgdorferi and B. miyamotoi (20, 26). 361 We also demonstrate that the corresponding C6-like peptide in *B. miyamotoi* is the likely 362 cause of crossreactive signals. Our findings also raise concerns about the specificity of 363 GlpQ as a diagnostic antigen. Three sera that were positive on the GlpQ ELISA did not 364 have reactivity with B. miyamotoi peptides in the TBD Serochip assay. We cannot 365 explain the positive commercial ELISA results in the two samples that were negative on 366 the TBD-Serochip and by LIPS. One ELISA-positive sample had a low positive result by 367 LIPS but not the TBD-Serochip. We consider it very unlikely that this patient would have 368 antibodies to *B. miyamotoi* GlpQ but not to any other immunodominant antigens. We 369 conclude that the positive result may have been due to cross-reacting antibodies. 370 Although GlpQ is not present in *B. burgdorferi*, this enzyme is present in a wide range of 371 bacteria, and in some cases, shares substantial as sequence similarity. For example, 372 the Escherichia coli GlpQ shares 49% aa identity with B. miyamotoi GlpQ, and in one 39 373 aa stretch, 34 aa are identical in the two proteins. Thus, it is plausible that in some 374 instances, antibodies to GlpQ from other bacteria may react with B. miyamotoi GlpQ 375 ELISA. We suspect that GlpQ specificity may need further examination.

376

377 A limitation of our study is that we analyzed a limited number of specimens with 378 confirmed BMD. This was due to the fact that BMD diagnosis is rare and results in a 379 paucity of well-characterized BMD specimens. Also the timing of the convalescent serum 380 samples post treatment might have impacted our findings. Nevertheless, we observed a 381 similar pattern of reactivity from all samples and anticipate that we identified the major 382 reactive B. miyamotoi peptides. Although at present the TBD-Serochip is not yet 383 employed for patient serodiagnosis, these peptides can be ported to other serologic 384 platforms that are typically used in clinical laboratories. We utilized a similar approach for

- the development of a diagnostic assay for Zika virus (52). We anticipate that the panel of
- 386 peptides we identified in this work can build the foundation of future studies examining

the utility of these epitopes for the specific diagnosis of BMD.

388

### 389 Acknowledgments

We thank Simon H. Williams for his assistance with the manuscript. We thank SamTelford for providing samples.

This study was funded with grants from the Steven & Alexandra Cohen Foundation (CF CU18-2692 and SACF CU15-4008). This research was supported in part by the Intramural Research Program of the National Institute of Allergy and Infectious Disease, National Institutes of Health.

396

### 397 **Disclosures**:

398 Drs. Tokarz, Mishra, and Lipkin are listed as inventors in patent application No.
399 62/848,701, that covers the diagnostic peptides identified on the TBD-Serochip.

400 Dr. Wormser reports receiving research grants from Immunetics, Inc., Institute for 401 Systems Biology, Rarecyte, Inc., and Quidel Corporation. He owns equity in 402 Abbott/AbbVie; has been an expert witness in malpractice cases involving Lyme disease 403 and babesiosis; and is an unpaid board member of the American Lyme Disease 404 Foundation.

Dr. Marques is a coinventor on U.S. patent 8,926,98, which uses the Luciferase Immunoprecipitation System to evaluate antibody responses to the synthetic VOVO polypeptide, derived from VIsE and OspC antigens. Dr. Marques is an unpaid scientific board member of the Global Lyme Alliance and the American Lyme Disease Foundation.

410

411 **Disclaimer:** The content of this publication does not necessarily reflect the views of or 412 policies of the Department of Health and Human Services, nor does mention of trade 413 names, commercial products, or organizations imply endorsement by the U.S. 414 Government.

415

416

417

418 Figure Legends

419

# 420 Figure 1. Identification of reactive epitopes within the conserved region of Vsps.

421 Panel A displays the alignment of five *B. miyamotoi* Vsp homologs. Regions of homology 422 are shown in black. The numbers on top of the alignment denote the relative as position 423 of each protein relative to Vsp1. The location of the consensus reactive epitope VS-C-1 424 and its as sequence are indicated. Panels B and C show IgM reactivity plots of Vsp1 425 (accession number AGS80212) and Vsp4 (accession number ALU64345), respectively. 426 Numbers 1 to 7 represent the BMD samples. Reactivity to control sera (from a healthy 427 individual and various stages of Lyme disease) are shown on the right. The Y axis 428 represents the location of 12-mer peptides positioned along the contiguous protein 429 sequence of Vsp1 and Vsp4. Immunoreactivity with the 12-mer peptides is indicated in 430 green, with darker color corresponding to increasing reactivity. The location of VS-C-1 431 (samples 2, 4, 5, 6 and 7) and its corresponding 12-mer peptide sequences are 432 indicated in the bracket on the left in panels B and C.

433

Figure 2. Conservation of the VS-C-1 peptide in *B. miyamotoi*. Shown is the aa
alignment of all Vsp sequences deposited in Genbank as of June 28, 2019. Accession

numbers are indicated on the left. Location of the VS-C-1 peptide is shown in red. Thenumbers on top denote the aa position within Vsp1.

438

Figure 3. Vsp diversity and reactivity to the Vsp-C-1 epitope. Each panel represents the reactivity of the seven tested sera (labeled 1-7) to the peptides from Vsp homologs present on the TBD-Serochip. The variant Vsp 12-mer peptide sequences are shown on the left; accession numbers are indicated on the right. Amino acid differences to the consensus sequence (based on Vsp1) are displayed in red. Immunoreactivity to the 12mer peptides is indicated in green with increasing signal intensity displayed from light to dark.

446

Figure 4. Locations of major immunogenic linear peptides in Vsp and OspC. The N
terminal portions of Vsp1 and OspC were aligned to contrast the reactive portions of
OspC (in blue) and Vsp (in red).

450

451 Figure 5. Identification of reactive peptides within VIp  $\delta$ . Shown is the IqM and IqG 452 reactivity map displaying reactive 12-mer peptides (in green) of VIp 15/16 (accession 453 number ALM31565). Numbers 1 through 7 represent the 7 BMD sera. Reactivity to 454 control sera (healthy individual from a Lyme endemic area and a patient with Lyme 455 disease at convalescence) are shown on the right. The numbers on the Y-axis represent 456 the aa location of the 12-mer peptides positioned along the contiguous protein sequence 457 of VIp 15/16. Regions with immunoreactive 12-mer peptides are indicated in green with 458 increasing signal intensity displayed from light to dark. The asterisks indicate major 459 reactive epitopes; \* VIpô-C-1, \*\* VIpô-C-2, \*\*\* VIpô-V-1, \*\*\*\* C6-like.

460

461 Figure 6. Identification of reactive peptides within VIp y. Shown is the IgM and IgG 462 reactivity map displaying reactive 12-mer peptides (in green) of VIp5 (accession number 463 AHH06031). Numbers 1 through 7 represent the 7 BMD sera. Reactivity to control sera 464 (healthy individual from a Lyme endemic area and a patient with Lyme disease at 465 convalescence) are shown on the right. The numbers on the Y-axis represent the aa 466 location of the 12-mer peptides positioned along the contiguous protein sequence of VIp 467 5. Regions with immunoreactive 12-mer peptides are indicated in green with increasing 468 signal intensity displayed from light to dark. The asterisks indicate major reactive 469 epitopes; \* Vlpy-C-3, \*\* Vlpy-V-2, \*\*\* Vlpy-V-1

470

471

Figure 7. Sequence heterogeneity does not impact the reactivity to Vlpδ-V-1. Panel
A - alignment of *B. miyamotoi* Vlp homologs with *B. burgdorferi* VlsE. The position of
Vlpδ-V-1 reactive region is indicated in red, and the *B. burgdorferi* C6 epitope in blue.
Panel B - Signal intensity of the 12-mer peptides representing Vlpδ-V-1 sequence
variants. \* - maximum intensity.

477

Figure 8. Immunoreactivity comparison of the *B. burgdorferi* C6 and the C6-like peptide of *B. miyamotoi*. Panel A displays the reactivity maps of the 12-mer peptides that constitute the 26 aa C6-like region of *B. miyamotoi*. Panel A shows the corresponding C6 12-mer peptides from *B. burgdorferi*. The individual 12-mer peptides are indicated on the Y axis. Regions with immunoreactive 12-mer peptides are displayed in green with increasing signal intensity displayed from light to dark.

484

485	Figure	9. Reactivity to FlaB. Reactivity plots from a convalescent-phase Lyme disease
486	serum	(panel A) and BMD serum (Panel B). The reactive regions (in green) are indicated
487	on the	contiguous protein sequence of <i>B. burgdorferi</i> (accession number AAB36994-left)
488	and <i>B</i> .	miyamotoi (accession number AAT99442-right). Panel C shows a close up of the
489	primar	y reactive region, located between 190-220 on both antigens. Panel D displays an
490	alignm	ent of the primary reactive region, with the Borrelia specific epitope indicated in
491	red.	
492		
493		
494		
495	BIBILC	OGRAPHY
496		
497	1.	Platonov AE, Karan LS, Kolyasnikova NM, Makhneva NA, Toporkova MG,
498		Maleev VV, Fish D, Krause PJ. 2011. Humans infected with relapsing fever
499		spirochete Borrelia miyamotoi, Russia. Emerg Infect Dis <b>17:</b> 1816-1823.
500	2.	Sato K, Takano A, Konnai S, Nakao M, Ito T, Koyama K, Kaneko M, Ohnishi
501		M, Kawabata H. 2014. Human infections with Borrelia miyamotoi, Japan. Emerg
502		Infect Dis <b>20:</b> 1391-1393.
503	3.	Molloy PJ, Telford SR, 3rd, Chowdri HR, Lepore TJ, Gugliotta JL, Weeks
504		KE, Hewins ME, Goethert HK, Berardi VP. 2015. Borrelia miyamotoi Disease in
505		the Northeastern United States: A Case Series. Ann Intern Med 163:91-98.
506	4.	Cochez C, Heyman P, Heylen D, Fonville M, Hengeveld P, Takken W,
507		Simons L, Sprong H. 2015. The Presence of Borrelia miyamotoi, A Relapsing
508		Fever Spirochaete, in Questing Ixodes ricinus in Belgium and in The
509		Netherlands. Zoonoses Public Health 62:331-333.

510	5.	Kadkhoda K, Dumouchel C, Brancato J, Gretchen A, Krause PJ. 2017.
511		Human seroprevalence of Borrelia miyamotoi in Manitoba, Canada, in 2011-
512		2014: a cross-sectional study. CMAJ Open 5:E690-E693.
513	6.	Fukunaga M, Takahashi Y, Tsuruta Y, Matsushita O, Ralph D, McClelland M,
514		Nakao M. 1995. Genetic and phenotypic analysis of Borrelia miyamotoi sp. nov.,
515		isolated from the ixodid tick Ixodes persulcatus, the vector for Lyme disease in
516		Japan. Int J Syst Bacteriol <b>45:</b> 804-810.
517	7.	Scoles GA, Papero M, Beati L, Fish D. 2001. A relapsing fever group
518		spirochete transmitted by Ixodes scapularis ticks. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis
519		<b>1:</b> 21-34.
520	8.	Mun J, Eisen RJ, Eisen L, Lane RS. 2006. Detection of a Borrelia miyamotoi
521		sensu lato relapsing-fever group spirochete from Ixodes pacificus in California. J
522		Med Entomol <b>43:</b> 120-123.
523	9.	Kiewra D, Stanczak J, Richter M. 2014. Ixodes ricinus ticks (Acari, Ixodidae) as
524		a vector of Borrelia burgdorferi sensu lato and Borrelia miyamotoi in Lower
525		Silesia, Polandpreliminary study. Ticks Tick Borne Dis 5:892-897.
526	10.	Burgdorfer W, Barbour AG, Hayes SF, Benach JL, Grunwaldt E, Davis JP.
527		1982. Lyme disease-a tick-borne spirochetosis? Science <b>216:</b> 1317-1319.
528	11.	Tokarz R, Jain K, Bennett A, Briese T, Lipkin WI. 2010. Assessment of
529		polymicrobial infections in ticks in New York state. Vector Borne Zoonotic Dis
530		<b>10:</b> 217-221.
531	12.	Lee X, Coyle DR, Johnson DK, Murphy MW, McGeehin MA, Murphy RJ,
532		Raffa KF, Paskewitz SM. 2014. Prevalence of Borrelia burgdorferi and
533		Anaplasma phagocytophilum in Ixodes scapularis (Acari: Ixodidae) nymphs
534		collected in managed red pine forests in Wisconsin. J Med Entomol 51:694-701.

- 535 13. Venclikova K, Betasova L, Sikutova S, Jedlickova P, Hubalek Z, Rudolf I.
- 536 2014. Human pathogenic borreliae in Ixodes ricinus ticks in natural and urban
  537 ecosystem (Czech Republic). Acta Parasitol **59**:717-720.
- 538 14. Graham CB, Pilgard MA, Maes SE, Hojgaard A, Eisen RJ. 2016. Paired real-
- 539 time PCR assays for detection of Borrelia miyamotoi in North American Ixodes
- 540 scapularis and Ixodes pacificus (Acari: Ixodidae). Ticks Tick Borne Dis **7**:1230-
- 541 **1235**.
- 542 15. Tokarz R, Tagliafierro T, Cucura DM, Rochlin I, Sameroff S, Lipkin WI. 2017.
- 543 Detection of Anaplasma phagocytophilum, Babesia microti, Borrelia burgdorferi,
- 544 Borrelia miyamotoi, and Powassan Virus in Ticks by a Multiplex Real-Time
- 545 Reverse Transcription-PCR Assay. mSphere **2**.
- 546 16. Oda R, Kutsuna S, Sekikawa Y, Hongo I, Sato K, Ohnishi M, Kawabata H.
- 547 2017. The first case of imported Borrelia miyamotoi disease concurrent with
  548 Lyme disease. J Infect Chemother 23:333-335.
- 549 17. Krause PJ, Fish D, Narasimhan S, Barbour AG. 2015. Borrelia miyamotoi
- infection in nature and in humans. Clin Microbiol Infect **21:**631-639.
- 55118.Telford SR, 3rd, Goethert HK, Molloy PJ, Berardi VP, Chowdri HR, Gugliotta
- JL, Lepore TJ. 2015. Borrelia miyamotoi Disease: Neither Lyme Disease Nor
  Relapsing Fever. Clin Lab Med 35:867-882.
- 19. Wagemakers A, Staarink PJ, Sprong H, Hovius JW. 2015. Borrelia miyamotoi:
- a widespread tick-borne relapsing fever spirochete. Trends Parasitol **31:**260-269.
- 556 20. Molloy PJ, Weeks KE, Todd B, Wormser GP. 2018. Seroreactivity to the C6
- 557 Peptide in Borrelia miyamotoi Infections Occurring in the Northeastern United
- 558 States. Clin Infect Dis **66:**1407-1410.
- 559 21. Wormser GP, Shapiro ED, Fish D. 2019. Borrelia miyamotoi: An Emerging
  560 Tick-Borne Pathogen. Am J Med 132:136-137.

501 22. Neider MP, Russell CB, Sneenan NJ, Sander B, Moore S, LI Y, Jon	nson 5.
---	---------

- 562 **Patel SN, Sider D.** 2016. Human pathogens associated with the blacklegged tick
- 563 Ixodes scapularis: a systematic review. Parasit Vectors **9:**265.
- 564 23. Lommano E, Bertaiola L, Dupasquier C, Gern L. 2012. Infections and
- 565 coinfections of questing Ixodes ricinus ticks by emerging zoonotic pathogens in
- 566 Western Switzerland. Appl Environ Microbiol **78:**4606-4612.
- 567 24. Diuk-Wasser MA, Vannier E, Krause PJ. 2016. Coinfection by Ixodes Tick-
- 568 Borne Pathogens: Ecological, Epidemiological, and Clinical Consequences.
- 569 Trends Parasitol **32**:30-42.
- 570 25. Krause PJ, Narasimhan S, Wormser GP, Barbour AG, Platonov AE,
- 571 Brancato J, Lepore T, Dardick K, Mamula M, Rollend L, Steeves TK, Diuk-
- 572 Wasser M, Usmani-Brown S, Williamson P, Sarksyan DS, Fikrig E, Fish D,
- 573 **Tick Borne Diseases G.** 2014. Borrelia miyamotoi sensu lato seroreactivity and
- 574 seroprevalence in the northeastern United States. Emerg Infect Dis **20**:1183-
- 575 1190.
- 576 26. Sudhindra P, Wang G, Schriefer ME, McKenna D, Zhuge J, Krause PJ,
- 577 **Marques AR, Wormser GP.** 2016. Insights into Borrelia miyamotoi infection from 578 an untreated case demonstrating relapsing fever, monocytosis and a positive C6 579 Lyme serology. Diagn Microbiol Infect Dis **86**:93-96.
- 580 27. Jahfari S, Sarksyan DS, Kolyasnikova NM, Hovius JW, Sprong H, Platonov
- 581 AE. 2017. Evaluation of a serological test for the diagnosis of Borrelia miyamotoi
   582 disease in Europe. J Microbiol Methods 136:11-16.
- 583 28. Schwan TG, Schrumpf ME, Hinnebusch BJ, Anderson DE, Jr., Konkel ME.
- 584 1996. GlpQ: an antigen for serological discrimination between relapsing fever
- and Lyme borreliosis. J Clin Microbiol **34:**2483-2492.

200 29. Halperin I, Ori N, Conen K, Hasin I, Davidovilch N, Kiemeni E, Kay
--

- 587 **Baneth G, Cohen D, Yavzori M.** 2006. Detection of relapsing fever in human
- 588 blood samples from Israel using PCR targeting the glycerophosphodiester

589 phosphodiesterase (GlpQ) gene. Acta Trop **98:**189-195.

- 590 30. Bacon RM, Pilgard MA, Johnson BJ, Raffel SJ, Schwan TG. 2004.
- 591 Glycerophosphodiester phosphodiesterase gene (glpQ) of Borrelia lonestari
- 592 identified as a target for differentiating Borrelia species associated with hard ticks
- 593 (Acari:Ixodidae). J Clin Microbiol **42:**2326-2328.
- 594 31. Hamase A, Takahashi Y, Nohgi K, Fukunaga M. 1996. Homology of variable
- 595 major protein genes between Borrelia hermsii and Borrelia miyamotoi. FEMS
  596 Microbiol Lett **140**:131-137.
- 597 32. Wagemakers A, Koetsveld J, Narasimhan S, Wickel M, Deponte K,
- 598 Bleijlevens B, Jahfari S, Sprong H, Karan LS, Sarksyan DS, van der Poll T,

599 Bockenstedt LK, Bins AD, Platonov AE, Fikrig E, Hovius JW. 2016. Variable

- Major Proteins as Targets for Specific Antibodies against Borrelia miyamotoi. J
  Immunol **196**:4185-4195.
- Stone BL, Brissette CA. 2017. Host Immune Evasion by Lyme and Relapsing
  Fever Borreliae: Findings to Lead Future Studies for Borrelia miyamotoi. Front
  Immunol 8:12.
- 605 34. Barbour AG, Restrepo BI. 2000. Antigenic variation in vector-borne pathogens.
  606 Emerg Infect Dis 6:449-457.
- 607 35. Hayes LJ, Wright DJ, Archard LC. 1988. Segmented arrangement of Borrelia
  608 duttonii DNA and location of variant surface antigen genes. J Gen Microbiol
  609 134:1785-1793.
- 610 36. Barbour AG. 1990. Antigenic variation of a relapsing fever Borrelia species.
  611 Annu Rev Microbiol 44:155-171.

612 3	7.	Carter CJ,	Bergstrom S,	Norris SJ,	Barbour AG.	1994. A famil	y of surface-
-------	----	------------	--------------	------------	-------------	---------------	---------------

- exposed proteins of 20 kilodaltons in the genus Borrelia. Infect Immun 62:27922799.
- 615 38. **Barbour AG.** 2016. Multiple and Diverse vsp and vlp Sequences in Borrelia
- 616 miyamotoi, a Hard Tick-Borne Zoonotic Pathogen. PLoS One **11**:e0146283.
- 617 39. Cadavid D, Pennington PM, Kerentseva TA, Bergstrom S, Barbour AG.
- 618 1997. Immunologic and genetic analyses of VmpA of a neurotropic strain of

619 Borrelia turicatae. Infect Immun **65**:3352-3360.

- 620 40. Tokarz R, Mishra N, Tagliafierro T, Sameroff S, Caciula A, Chauhan L, Patel
- 521 J, Sullivan E, Gucwa A, Fallon B, Golightly M, Molins C, Schriefer M,
- 622 Marques A, Briese T, Lipkin WI. 2018. A multiplex serologic platform for

623 diagnosis of tick-borne diseases. Sci Rep **8**:3158.

- 624 41. Kumru OS, Schulze RJ, Rodnin MV, Ladokhin AS, Zuckert WR. 2011.
- 625 Surface localization determinants of Borrelia OspC/Vsp family lipoproteins. J
- 626 Bacteriol **193:**2814-2825.
- 627 42. Zuckert WR, Kerentseva TA, Lawson CL, Barbour AG. 2001. Structural
- 628 conservation of neurotropism-associated VspA within the variable Borrelia Vsp629 OspC lipoprotein family. J Biol Chem **276**:457-463.
- 630 43. Barbour AG, Hayes SF, Heiland RA, Schrumpf ME, Tessier SL. 1986. A
  631 Borrelia-specific monoclonal antibody binds to a flagellar epitope. Infect Immun
- **52:**549-554.
- 633 44. Dressler F, Whalen JA, Reinhardt BN, Steere AC. 1993. Western blotting in
  634 the serodiagnosis of Lyme disease. J Infect Dis 167:392-400.
- 635 45. Luft BJ, Dunn JJ, Dattwyler RJ, Gorgone G, Gorevic PD, Schubach WH.
- 636 1993. Cross-reactive antigenic domains of the flagellin protein of Borrelia
- 637 burgdorferi. Res Microbiol **144**:251-257.

- 638 46. Moore A, Nelson C, Molins C, Mead P, Schriefer M. 2016. Current Guidelines,
- 639 Common Clinical Pitfalls, and Future Directions for Laboratory Diagnosis of Lyme
- 640 Disease, United States. Emerg Infect Dis 22.
- 641 47. **Marques AR.** 2015. Laboratory diagnosis of Lyme disease: advances and
- 642 challenges. Infect Dis Clin North Am **29:**295-307.
- 643 48. Biggs HM, Behravesh CB, Bradley KK, Dahlgren FS, Drexler NA, Dumler JS,
- 644 Folk SM, Kato CY, Lash RR, Levin ML, Massung RF, Nadelman RB,
- 645 Nicholson WL, Paddock CD, Pritt BS, Traeger MS. 2016. Diagnosis and
- 646 Management of Tickborne Rickettsial Diseases: Rocky Mountain Spotted Fever
- 647 and Other Spotted Fever Group Rickettsioses, Ehrlichioses, and Anaplasmosis -
- 648 United States. MMWR Recomm Rep **65**:1-44.
- 649 49. Krause PJ, Carroll M, Fedorova N, Brancato J, Dumouchel C, Akosa F,
- 650 Narasimhan S, Fikrig E, Lane RS. 2018. Human Borrelia miyamotoi infection in
- 651 California: Serodiagnosis is complicated by multiple endemic Borrelia species.
- 652 PLoS One **13**:e0191725.
- 653 50. Barbour AG, Bundoc V. 2001. In vitro and in vivo neutralization of the relapsing
  654 fever agent Borrelia hermsii with serotype-specific immunoglobulin M antibodies.
  655 Infect Immun 69:1009-1015.
- 656 51. Connolly SE, Benach JL. 2001. Cutting edge: the spirochetemia of murine
  657 relapsing fever is cleared by complement-independent bactericidal antibodies. J
  658 Immunol 167:3029-3032.
- 659 52. Mishra N, Caciula A, Price A, Thakkar R, Ng J, Chauhan LV, Jain K, Che X,
- 660 Espinosa DA, Montoya Cruz M, Balmaseda A, Sullivan EH, Patel JJ, Jarman
- 661 **RG**, Rakeman JL, Egan CT, Reusken C, Koopmans MPG, Harris E, Tokarz
- 662 **R**, **Briese T**, **Lipkin WI**. 2018. Diagnosis of Zika Virus Infection by Peptide Array
- and Enzyme-Linked Immunosorbent Assay. MBio 9.

6	6	4
---	---	---

Table 1. List of *B. miyamotoi* sequences used to design 12-mer peptides for the TBD-Serochip

Vmp antigens	Non-Vmp antigens
Vsps	GIpQ: ACT35309, AHM02192, AIK22387, ALU84911,
13 complete: AGS80212, AHH0975, AHH0976, AHH05982,	ALU84913, WP_0209954631, WP_025443886
AHH06030, AJA67245, ALM21566, ALN43422, ALN43425,	FhbA: AGS80215, WP_025444356
ALU64345, ALZ4557, WP_02444404, WP_044003917	ipA: WP_025444145, WP_025444146
4 partial: AHH05655, WP_025444233, WP_025444484,	P66: AAC09059, AAC09061, AAC09063, ACC09064,
WP_025444486	AAR17598, AAV52738, AAV52742, AAV52744,
Vips	AHH04809, AHH04811, WP_002557191, WP_002658111,
33 complete: AGS80213, AHH05904, ALM13565,	WP_002662685, WP_002665258, WP_020954965,
ALM31567-ALM31568, ALN43424, ALU64347-ALU64349,	WP_024705620, WP_031541601, WP_031557381
ALU64350-ALU64352, ALZ45558, AHH05899,	<b>OppA2:</b> ACC46283, ACC34274, ADQ44805, AHH05084,
AHH06031,WP_02444234-WP_025444237, WP_025444399-	WP_020954717, WP_020954718
WP_02444401, WP_02444405, WP_025444408,	<b>FlgG:</b> AGT27718, WP_020954670, WP_020955136,
WP_02544446, WP_02544448, WP_025444450-	WP_02443450, WP_02443451, WP_043867901
WP_025444452, WP_025444482-WP_04403984,	<b>FlaB:</b> AAB37005, AAL99374, AAR00324, AAT99442,
WP_051480340	AGC773382, AMB26827, ACO38653, AHI10982,
26 partial: AGS80214, AHH05967, ALN43420, ALN43421,	AIK22386, AML32120, ACP11868, BAS29553,
ALN43423, ALU64346, ALZ45552, AMW90882, AHH05648,	CAA49308, CAA49322, CAA49315, CAA49318,
AHH05649, AHH05654, AHH05892, AHH05998, AHH05648,	CAA34735, CAA4931, CAA53011, CAT00959, CAT00959,
AHH05905, AHH05966, AHH05968, AHH05969, AHH05976,	CAT00967, NP_212282, WP_002556748, WP_020954538,
AHH05977, AHH05979-AHH05981, AHH06035,	WP_020954528,
WP_051480326, WP_051480341	<b>FliL:</b> WP_020954670

Samples	BMD Diagnosis (acute stage)
BMD-1	PCR
BMD-2	PCR
BMD-3*	PCR
BMD-4	PCR
BMD-5*	PCR
BMD-6	PCR and GlpQ ELISA (IgM & IgG)
BMD-7	GlpQ ELISA (IgM & IgG)

Table 2. BMD samples tested on the TBD-Serochip

\*previous diagnosis of Lyme disease

ANTIGEN	EPITOPE DESIGNATION	EPITOPE SEQUENCE	COORDINATES	ACCESSION NUMBER	POSITIVE SERA	
Vsp1	VS-C-1	SKKIKDAVEFAANVKE	52-66	AGS80212	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	lgM
Vsp	VS-C-2	SKKIKDASELMESVKE	49-64	BAA11304	4, 5, 6, 7	IgM
Vsp	VS-C-3	IGKKIKNADELDTVAD	81-96	WP025444465	2, 3, 4, 5, 7	IgM
Vsp2	VS-C-4	DGTLDTLNNKNGSLL	85-88	ALM31566	2, 5, 6, 7	IgM
Vsp3	VS-V-1	ASKAFIDKVKGENASLG	115-131	ALN43425	2, 5, 6	IgM
Vsp4	VS-V-2	TKLEVLEKTAEISNELNGK	104-122	ALU64345	2, 4, 5	IgM
Vsp6S	VS-V-3	ASKAFIDKVKGENASLG	128-144	ALZ45557	2, 5, 6	IgM
Vsp	VS-V-4	DKSSDLGKNDVKDTDAKSAILT	128-149	WP025444486	3, 4, 5	IgM
VIp δ	Vlpδ-C-1	GCNNGGGEDPQKFLTSI	8-24	ALM31565	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IgM, IgG
VIp δ	Vlpδ-C-2	KAETKKEDIGKYFADIEKTMTL	51-60	ALM31565	2, 3, 4, 6, 7	IgM, IgG
VIp δ	Vlpδ-V-1	TDGIEKAKDAAEIAIAPAV	222-240	ALM31565	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7	IgM, IgG
VIp γ	Vlpy-C-3	NSNTKKSDVGVYFKKV	47-62	AHH06031	1, 2, 5	IgM, IgG
VIp γ	Vlpy-V-2	LKEGKHDAGDDKKASDG	155-171	AHH06031	2, 4, 5, 7	IgM, IgG
VIp γ	Vlpy-V-1	VTGADILQAIVKDNGE	214-229	AHH06031	2, 4, 5, 6, 7	IgM, IgG
Vlp α	Vlpa-C2	KTTTKKNDVGVYFNSLG	72-77	AGS80213	2, 5, 7	IgM, IgG
Vlp α	Vlpα-V1	LKFAKGGSDAHLSNSAN	257-262	AGS80213	2, 5, 7	IgM, IgG

# Table 3. Major immunoreactive linear regions of B. miyamotoi Vmps identified with the TBD-Serochip.

**Table 4**. Reactivity of the C6 peptides in *B. burgdorferi* and *B. miyamotoi*

BMD	Prior	Reactivity											
samples	Lyme	TBD-S	Serochip										
	disease	B. miyamotoi C6-like	B. burgdorferi C6	C6 ELISA	Cross reactivity on the C6 ELISA								
1	-	-	-	-	-								
2	+	+	+	+	+								
3	-	-	+	+	-								
4	-	-	-	-	-								
5	+	+	+	+	-								
6	-	+	+	+	+								
7	-	+	+	+	+								

+ indicates reactivity to the 12-mer peptides within the C6 or C6-like region



В

С

Consensus 1. AGS80212 2. AOW96283 3. WP 056933024 4. WP 070401573 5. ALN43422 6. ALU64345 7. WP 099591043 8. AHH05786 9. AHH05982 10. WP 025444328 11. WP 025444486 12. WP 051480345 13. WP 099497249 14. WP 099498348 15. WP 099528617 16. WP 025444484 17. WP 099497297 18. WP 099528519 19. WP 099528536 20. WP 099528604 21. ALM31566 22. ALN43425 23. AI 745557 24. WP 025444465 25. WP 044003917 26. WP 056933028 27. WP 099591045 28. AHH06030 29. AXV43837 30. WP 070401561 31. WP 084821564 32. WP 099528539 33. WP 099591051 34. WP 132987282 35. WP 099498352 36. WP 133263981 37. AHH05975 38. QBK64105 39. WP 133007769 40. WP 070401567 41. WP 099591041 42. WP 025444404 43. AHH05900 44. WP 099528611 45. BAA11304

39

																V	′S-(	C-1												
K	Α	DG	T	V		DΙ	$\vee$	K	V	S	Κ	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F.	A .	Ą	S '	$\vee$	<	ΕV		Εļ	Τ	LV	K
K	A	DG		V	V	DI		ĸ	V	S	K	K		K	D	А	V	F	F	A	Δ		$\sqrt{1}$	<	ΕN		FΙ	T		K
K	Â	DG		V	V	DI	Í	Ŕ	Ī	Ś	K	K	T	K	D	Â	V	Ē	F	À	À	j v	V I	<u> </u>	ĒV	Z	Εİ	Ť	ĹV	K
K	A	DG	T	V	V	DΙ	Ň	ΪŔ	V	Ś	K	K		K	D	A	V	Ē	F	A	A	V V	V	Ś	ΕV		Εİ	Ť	LV	K
K	A	DG	T	V	V	DΙ	$\sim$	K	V	Ś	K	K		Κ	D	Α	V	E	F	A	A	Ń V	V	<	ΕV		Εİ	Т	LV	K
K	Α	DG	T	V		DΙ	$\sim$	ίK	V	S	Κ	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F.	A .	A	V V	$\vee$	<	ΕV		Εİ	Т	LV	κ
K	А	DG		V		DΙ	$\vee$	'Κ	V	Ś	Κ	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F	A L	A	S'	V	<	ΕV	/	Εl	Т	LV	K
K	Α	DG		V		DΙ	$\sim$	ΪK	V	Ś	K	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F.	A ,	Ą	S'	$\vee$	<	ΕV	/	Εļ	Т	LV	K
K	Α	DG		V		DΙ	S	K	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F	A L	A I	S'	$\vee$	<	ΕV	/	Εļ	T	LV	Κ
K	Α	DG	T	V		DΙ	$\vee$	ίK	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	А	V	Е	F.	A L	Ą	S'	$\vee$	<	Е		Εļ	T	LV	K
K	A	DG	T	V		DΙ	S	K	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	Α	V	Е	F.	A .	Ą	<u>S</u>	$\vee$	<	<u>E</u> V	/	Εļ	T	LV	Κ
K	A	DG		V		DI	$\sim$	K	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	A	V	E	F.	A	Т	<u>S</u> '	V	<	ΕV	/	Εļ	T	LV	K
K	A	DG		V		DI	V			<u>S</u>	K	<u>K</u>		K	<u>D</u>	<u>A</u>	<u>V</u>	E	F_	A _	A 📘	<u>S '</u>	V	<	E		Εļ	T	LV	K
K	A	DG		<u>V</u>		DI	<u> </u>	[ K	V	<u>S</u>	K	<u>K</u>		K	<u>D</u>	<u>A</u>	V	<u> </u>	E.	<u>A</u>	A 📘	<u>S '</u>	V	<	Ε.		EI	T	LΥ	K
K	A	DG		V			<u> </u>	<u>] K</u>		<u>S</u>	K	<u>    K</u>		K	<u>D</u>	<u>A</u>	V	<u> </u>		A		5	V I	<u> </u>		4	Εļ	<u> </u>	LV	K
K	A			<u>V</u>			= v			5	K	<u>K</u>	22	K	<u><u> </u></u>	<u>A</u>	<u>V</u>	<u> </u>		<u>A</u>			A	$\frac{1}{2}$		/		<u> </u>	LV	K
K	A			<u> </u>		<u>ן ק</u>	_ A	ι K		5	K	<u> </u>	-	K	<u><u> </u></u>	<u>A</u>	<u>V</u>	<u> </u>		<u>A</u>		5	V	<u> </u>						K
K	A			V				K		5	K	K	-	K		<u>A</u>	<u>V</u>	÷		A L	4	$\frac{S}{2}$		$\frac{1}{2}$			E I	<u> </u>		K
K				$\frac{V}{V}$			- A			<u> </u>	K	<u> </u>		K	분	<u>A</u>	$\frac{V}{V}$	÷		A A	4	2		$\frac{1}{2}$				+		K
K	A			$\overline{\nabla}$			= ()			- 2	ĸ	<u>K</u>	-	K	H	<u>A</u>	$\frac{V}{V}$	<u> </u>		A A	4	$\frac{2}{2}$						<u> </u>		ĸ
K	A			$\frac{\vee}{\vee}$						2	N		22	N	분	<u>A</u>	$\frac{V}{V}$	<u> </u>		A		$\frac{2}{2}$	v r			7		+		Ň
K				$\overline{\nabla}$						<u>- 2</u>	N			N	片	$\frac{A}{c}$	$\frac{V}{V}$	E										+		N V
K				$\overline{\nabla}$						<u>- 2</u>	N			N	片	<u>-</u>	$\frac{V}{V}$	E										+		N V
K				$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$							K			K	$\frac{1}{2}$		$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$	┢		$\frac{1}{\Delta}$		$\frac{1}{2}$			FN			+		K
K				$\overline{\mathbf{v}}$							K			K		$\overline{\Delta}$	$\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}$			$\frac{1}{\Delta}$		$\frac{1}{2}$					FI	+		K
K	$\overline{\varsigma}$			V			=7		Ť	7	K	K		K	h	$\overline{\Delta}$	$\frac{\mathbf{v}}{\mathbf{v}}$	F	F		Δ			$\overline{\langle}$	F			<u>+</u>		' K
K	A			Ň				k	Ť	5	K	K		K	D	Â	V	Ē	F	A	A	5	V I	$\overline{\mathbf{x}}$	F		Εİ	÷.		K
K	A	DG		Ň		DI	Á	Īĸ	Ň	5	ĸ	ĸ	11	K	Ď	M	Ť	F	F	À	À	5	V I	Ì	F		F	1.5	ĪV	K
K	A	DG		Ň		DI	Гíк	Ā	Ū	Š	K	K		K	D	A	V	F	F	À	À	5 '	V I	<u>`</u>	FV		HI	τī.	ĪV	R
K	A	DĞ		Ń		ĎI	Πĸ	Á	Ì	Š	K	K		K	D	Â	V	F	F	À	À	5 1	V	Ì	FV	Ż	нİ	Ť	ĪV	R
K	A	DG	T	V		DI	A	Ī	V	Ś	K	K		K	D	M	V	Ē	F	A	A	Ś `	V	Ś	E		E		LV	K
K	A	DG	Τ	V		DΙ		ΪK	Īν	Ś	Т	Ε		Κ	D	А		Е	F	A	A	S '	V	<	ΕV	4	ΕI	Т		Κ
K	А	DG		V		DΙ	K	Т	V	Ś	Κ	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	D	F	A	Т	S'	V	<	ΕV	/	Εl	Т	LV	K
K	Α	DG		V		DΙ	K	Т	V	Ś	K	Κ		Κ	D	А	V	D	F.	A	Т	S'	$\vee$	<	ΕV	/	Εļ	Т	LV	K
K	Α	DG		V		DΙ	Α	K	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	V	V	Е	F	A	Т	S'	$\vee$	<	Е	ł	Η	T	LV	Κ
K	Α	DG		Е		DI	$\vee$	ίK		S	K	K		K	D	А	V	D	F	A L	A I	S '	$\vee$	<	ΕV		НĮ	T	νV	K
K	Α	DG	T	V		DΙ	Α	K	V	S	K	Ν		R	D	V	V	Е	F.	A L	Ą	S'	$\vee$	<	ΕV	/	НI	T	LI	Κ
K	Α	DG	T	V		DΙ	Α	K	V	S	K	K		Κ	D	V	V	Е	F.	A	Т	<u>S</u>	$\vee$	<	$\vee$	ł	Ηļ	T	LV	Κ
_	-	DG		V		DΙ	A	K	V	S	K	Ν		R	D	V	V	E	F.	A .	A	S '	V	<	ΕV	/	Η	Т		K
K	S	DG	T	V		DΙ		K		S	K	K		Κ	D	V	V	E	F.	A	E (	G	V	<	ΕV		Н	T	LV	K
K	A	DG	T	V		DΙ	A	K	V	S	Ē	K		Κ	Ν	Α		А	F	A	<u>E_</u>	G	Γŀ	$\langle  $	ΕV		E	Т		K
K	A	DG		V		D	A	K	V	S	K	K		K	D	A	S	E	L	M	Ë	5	V	$\langle  $	<u>E</u>		E		LΥ	K
K	A	DG		V			- A	K	V	S	K	K		K	D	A	S	E	L	VI		<u>S</u>	V		E V		Ęļ	1	LV	K
K	A	DG		V			Â	ιK	V	S	K	<u> </u>		K		A	2	Ę	L	VI		<u>S</u>	V		ΕV		Ęļ	<u> </u>	LV	K
ΓK	A	DG		$\nabla$		DI	A	ιK	τv	S	K	K		K	D	A	S	E	L	VI	E I	5 '	$\nabla$		ΕV		E		LV	K













	A				
		Vlpδ-V-1 Epitope		C6 Epitope	
	B. burgdorferi VIsE CAJ41626	8 E Q D G K K P <b>E E A K</b> N P I A A <b>A</b> I G D -  - <b>K</b>	<b>D</b> GGA – E F G Q <b>D</b> E	MKKDDQIAAAIALRGMAKDGKFAVKDO	G E K E
δ	B. miyamotoi Vlp 15/16 WP025444401	1 A D N S K N I <b>E E A K D A</b> A S <b>I A S A K</b> -  - <b>K</b>	E D N K K E I K - D E	AKKDAVIAAGIALRAMAKDGKFAVKSN	N E E K
	B. miyamotoi VIp D4 ALN43421	1 D - D S K N I <b>E E A K D A</b> A S <b>I A S A K</b> -  - <b>K</b>	E D D K - K E I K D E	AKKDAVIAAGIALRAMAKGGKFAAKSN	<b>N E</b> - E
	B. miyamotoi VIp 15/16 WP025444400	) S – – E A K <b>I E T A K D A</b> A S <b>I A A A K</b> – – V	$\mathbf{E} \in \mathbf{S} \mathbf{K} - \mathbf{S} \mathbf{L} \mathbf{D} \mathbf{V}$	AKQDAVIAAGIALRAMAKDGKFTAKKI	) E - E
	B. miyamotoi VIp 15/16 WP025444482	2 T – G E I K <b>I E E A K</b> N <b>A</b> A E <b>I A A A K</b> – – A	$\mathbf{D} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{K} - \mathbf{D}\mathbf{L}\mathbf{E}\mathbf{I}\mathbf{D}\mathbf{S}$	AKKDAVIAGGIALRAMAKNGKFAAKNN	NE – D
	B. miyamotoi Vlp AHH06031	1 – – – – – <b>– – VKD</b> NG <b>EA</b> I K LASNNAAV	A A V D T	N K K D G T I A G GMAL R AMAK G G K F A G P S I	) A A S
	B. miyamotoi VIpC3 ALU64351	1 <b>VKD</b> GT <b>E</b> AAANAAK	A	NAKDATIAGAIALRAMAKDGKFAGPSA	ADAA
	<sup>α</sup> <b>Γ</b> <i>B. miyamotoi</i> Vlp1 AGS80213	SQESDVALTANADENTTSLKFAK	GGSDAHLSNSA	N P K A A A V A G G I A L R S L V K T G K L A S G A A	A D N A



GMAKDGKFAVKD

#### B. miyamotoi Vlp15/16 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 IgM lgG lgG IgG А IgM lgG ┃ ┃ <sub>IgM</sub> lgG Ⅱ lgM lgG lgM IgM lgG AKKDAIIAAGIA KKDAIIAAGIAL KDAIIAAGIALR DAIIAAGIALRA AIIAAGIALRAM IIAAGIALRAMA IAAGIALRAMAK AAGIALRAMAKD AGIALRAMAKDG GIALRAMAKDGK IALRAMAKDGKF ALRAMAKDGKFI LRAMAKDGKFIV RAMAKDGKFIVK AMAKDGKFIVKD 2 1 3 6 7 4 5 B. burgdorferi VIsE IgM IgG IgM IgG IgM IgG lgG lgM lgG IgG IgG II IgM В MKKDDQIAAAIA KKDDQIAAAIAL KDDQIAAAIALR DDQIAAAIALRG DQIAAAIALRGM QIAAAIALRGMA IAAAIALRGMAK AAAIALRGMAKD AAIALRGMAKDG AIALRGMAKDGK IALRGMAKDGKF ALRGMAKDGKFA LRGMAKDGKFAV RGMAKDGKFAVK

